Paragraph-to-Image Generation with Information-Enriched Diffusion Model

Weijia Wu^{1,2,3}* Zhuang Li¹* Yefei He² Mike Zheng Shou^{3†} Chunhua Shen² Lele Cheng¹ Yan Li^{1†} Tingting Gao¹ Di Zhang¹ Zhongyuan Wang¹ Yan Li^{1†} Tingting Gao¹ Di Zhang¹ Zhongyuan Wang¹

¹Kuaishou Technology $\frac{2\pi}{2}$ hejiang University $\frac{3\pi}{2}$ Show Lab, National University of Singapore

Figure 1. Examples of Paragraph-Image Alignment from ParaDiffusion. With the powerful semantic understanding capabilities of the LLM, ParaDiffusion is capable of generating highly *aesthetic* and sophisticated images, *aligning well* with long textual content.

Abstract

Text-to-image (T2I) models have recently experienced rapid development, achieving astonishing performance in terms of fidelity and textual alignment capabilities. However, given a long paragraph (up to 512 words), these generation models still struggle to achieve strong alignment and are unable to generate images depicting complex scenes.

In this paper, we introduce an information-enriched diffusion model for paragraph-to-image generation task, termed ParaDiffusion, which delves into the transference of the extensive semantic comprehension capabilities of large language models to the task of image generation. At its core is using a large language model (e.g., Llama V2) to encode long-form text, followed by fine-tuning with LoRA to align

the text-image feature spaces in the generation task. To facilitate the training of long-text semantic alignment, we also curated a high-quality paragraph-image pair dataset, namely ParaImage. This dataset contains a small amount of high-quality, meticulously annotated data, and a largescale synthetic dataset with long text descriptions being generated using a vision-language model.

Experiments demonstrate that ParaDiffusion outperforms state-of-the-art models (SD XL, DeepFloyd IF) on ViLG-300 and ParaPrompts, achieving up to 15% *and* 45% *human voting rate improvements for visual appeal and text faithfulness, respectively. The code and dataset will be released to foster community research on long-text alignment.*

The project website is at: [ParaDiffusion](https://weijiawu.github.io/ParaDiffusionPage/)*.*

1. Introduction

Recently, text-to-image (T2I) generative models have emerged as focal points of scholarly attention and progress within the computer version field. Some notable works, such as Stable Diffusion [\[27\]](#page-13-0) of Stability AI, DALL-E2 [\[26\]](#page-13-1) of OpenAI, Imagen [\[30\]](#page-13-2) of Google, RAPHAEL [\[40\]](#page-14-0) of SenseTime, and Emu [\[4\]](#page-12-0) of Meta, have achieved substantial progress, accomplishments, and influence for text-based image generation tasks. As the name implies, semantic alignment proficiency is paramount for text-guided image generation tasks, wherein the model is required to generate image content corresponding to any provided textual description. The majority of current T2I models focus on generating high-quality images based on processing *relatively short textual inputs and simple descriptions.* The long-text semantic alignment in the field of text-guided image generation still poses a few challenges.

Given a comprehensive paragraph, as depicted in Figure [1,](#page-0-0) extending up to 512 words, the generation model is required to generate a detailed image that encompasses all the objects, attributes, and spatial positions mentioned within the given paragraph. For such a task, we define it as *paragraph-to-image generation*. The majority of current T2I models are unable to handle such intricate long-text semantic alignment, primarily due to the two constraints: 1) Data limitations. The mainstream public text-image pair dataset, LAION-5B [\[32\]](#page-14-1), offers only simple text-image pair information, with an average textual description consisting of approximately 11 words, which suffer from a lack of informative content. The simplicity of the image-text descriptions limits the model to learn complex and long-text semantic alignment. 2) Architecture constraints. Existing T2I models, such as Stable Diffusion and DALL-E2, employ CLIP [\[24\]](#page-13-3) trained on LAION-5B as the text encoder, which only supports a maximum of 77 tokens. Re-cently, DeepFloyd [\[5\]](#page-12-1) and PIXART- α [\[3\]](#page-12-2) have attempted to leverage the powerful textual understanding capabilities of large language model (*e.g.,* T5-XXL [\[25\]](#page-13-4)) for text-image generation tasks, and demonstrated that T5-XXL outperforms CLIP in image-text alignment. Note that, these works merely extended the text embedding of text encoder from 77 tokens to 128 tokens without investigating the semantic alignment ability for long-text inputs. Furthermore, the T5-XXL model is trained on pure text data without prior knowledge of image-text pairs, and aligning text embeddings of the frozen T5-XXL with visual features in a bruteforce manner may not be the optimal solution.

In this paper, we explore solutions of long-term text and image alignment from the perspectives of both training data and the network structure.

For the first challenge, we construct and present a high-quality, textual rich paragraph-to-image pairs dataset, namely ParaImage, where the corresponding textual descriptions can extend up to 400 words. The long-term text description encompasses the objects, attributes, and spatial locations in the image, along with the corresponding visual style. ParaImage consists primarily of two types of data: 1) ParaImage-Big with Generative Captions. We select four million high-quality images from LAION 5B and employ a powerful vision-language model (*i.e.,* CogVLM [\[37\]](#page-14-2)) to generate semantically rich textual descriptions. This dataset is primarily utilized to achieve alignment between long text and images, enabling the diffusion model to perceive the rich semantic information embedded in lengthy textual descriptions. 2) ParaImage-Small with Manual Captions. A few thousand high-quality images are thoughtfully selected from a dataset with 0.6 million of images with some common principles in photography, then professionally annotated by skilled annotators. Considering the inherent limitations in precision associated with synthetic data and the efficiency of quality-tuning [\[4\]](#page-12-0), it is necessary to construct a manually annotated, high-quality paragraph-image pair dataset for the final stage of quality tuning.

For the second challenge, we explore the transfer of long-text semantic understanding capabilities from the state-of-the-art large language models, *i.e.,* Llama V2 [\[35\]](#page-14-3), to the paragraph-to-image generation task. To harness the robust performance of LLM more effectively, different from the prior methods using frozen weight, we design an efficient training strategy to fine-tune Llama V2 concurrently with the optimization of diffusion models. This ensures that the extracted text embeddings are more compatible with the text-image pair space. Besides, the design enables the adaptation of a decoder-only LLM to text-to-image generation tasks. This, in turn, allows us to leverage the advantages of a decoder-only LLM (*i.e.,* Llama V2), such as the powerful understanding ability from the larger training text corpus (four times that of T5). We show some generated examples by ParaDiffusion in Figure [1.](#page-0-0)

Our main contributions are summarized as follows:

- 1. For the first time, we explore the long-form text alignment challenge for the image generation task, namely, the *paragraph-to-image generation* task. A comprehensive solution from both data and algorithmic aspects is provided for the challenging setting.
- 2. We introduce a high-quality, rich-text paragraph-toimage pairs dataset, namely *ParaImage*, where the associated textual descriptions extend up to 400 words, meticulously documenting object identities, properties, spatial relationships, and image style, *etc*.
- 3. We re-evaluate how to better transfer the semantic understanding capabilities of LLM to the text-image generation task, proposing an effective training strategy for fine-tuning LLM, *e.g.,* Llama V2.
- 4. Experiments demonstrate that ParaDiffusion outperforms state-of-the-art models (SD XL, DeepFloyd IF) on ViLG-300 and ParaPrompts, achieving up to 15% and 45% human voting rate improvements for visual appeal and text faithfulness, respectively.

2. Related Work

Text-to-Image Models. Text-to-image generation [\[10\]](#page-13-5) require the model to generate an image corresponding to a given textual description. Recently, diffusion-based methods [\[2,](#page-12-3) [4,](#page-12-0) [7,](#page-13-6) [8,](#page-13-7) [13,](#page-13-8) [26,](#page-13-1) [27,](#page-13-0) [41\]](#page-14-4) have demonstrated remarkable performance and found applications in various downstream tasks, including controllable generation [\[29,](#page-13-9) [42\]](#page-14-5), controlled editing [\[9\]](#page-13-10), and perception tasks [\[38,](#page-14-6) [39\]](#page-14-7). Stable Diffusion [\[27\]](#page-13-0) enhances and accelerates the traditional DDPM [\[10\]](#page-13-5) by conducting denoising processes in the latent space. Imagen [\[30\]](#page-13-2) firstly incorporates large frozen language models (*i.e.,* T5−XXL [\[25\]](#page-13-4)) as text encoders for textto-image generation tasks, demonstrating their significant performance. DALL-E2 utilizes CLIP [\[24\]](#page-13-3) as a text encoder and a diffusion model [\[31\]](#page-13-11) as a decoder to address text-toimage generation tasks. Emu [\[4\]](#page-12-0), on the other hand, introduces new insight that supervised fine-tuning with small but high-quality, visually appealing images can significantly improve the generation quality. RAPHAEL [\[40\]](#page-14-0), ERNIE-ViLG [\[6\]](#page-12-4), and Ediffi [\[1\]](#page-12-5) approach the task of text-to-image generation from the perspective of an ensemble of expert denoisers, exploring potential gains in performance.

Recently, DeepFloyd [\[5\]](#page-12-1) and PIXART- α [\[3\]](#page-12-2) further validate the superior text-image semantic alignment capabilities of large language models over CLIP, as they both employ T5 XXL as a text encoder. However, these models still solely explore short-text textual alignment tasks, restricting the text encoder to within 128 tokens. They do not delve deeply into unlocking the full potential of large language models (LLM) and lack exploration of data methodologies. In contrast to these approaches, we further investigate the gains in rich paragraph-image alignment capabilities offered by the state-of-the-art language model (*i.e.,* Llama V2 [\[35\]](#page-14-3)). Additionally, we provide an effective solution from a data-centric perspective.

Large Language Models. Large language models primarily consist of two mainstream architectures: the Encoder-Decoder architecture [\[17,](#page-13-12) [25\]](#page-13-4) and the decoderonly architecture [\[22,](#page-13-13) [34,](#page-14-8) [35\]](#page-14-3). Recently, decoder-only models, such as ChatGPT [\[22\]](#page-13-13), GPT-4, Llama V1 [\[34\]](#page-14-8), and Llama V2 [\[35\]](#page-14-3), have exerted significant influence and advancements across various domains. But for encoderdecoder architecture, as far as we know, the latest one, T5 [\[25\]](#page-13-4), was proposed three years ago. Decoder-only architectures have achieved significant victories in both training data scale and semantic understanding performance. However, existing text-to-image models [\[3,](#page-12-2) [5,](#page-12-1) [30\]](#page-13-2), only conduct exploration of the encoder-decoder architecture, *i.e.,* T5 [\[25\]](#page-13-4), as a text encoder. This choice is made under the assumption that the decoder-only architecture might not efficiently extract textual features for text-image task. In this paper, we propose a universal and efficient fine-tuning strategy to adapt any decoder-only architecture (*e.g.,* Llama V2 [\[35\]](#page-14-3)) to a text-guided image generation model. We present an intriguing insight: directly using frozen LLMs [\[5,](#page-12-1) [30\]](#page-13-2) as text encoders is not an elegant solution, while LLMs are trained on pure text data, without considering whether the text embedding is suitable for the text-image feature space. Inspired by the success of instruction-tuning [\[16,](#page-13-14) [36\]](#page-14-9) and LoRA [\[11\]](#page-13-15), we propose a strategy for paragraph-image alignment learning with language model adaptation. This involves freezing the pretrained Large Language Model weights and introducing a certain degree of trainable parameters to learn the textual relationships between paragraphs and images.

3. Approach

As discussed earlier, we propose a comprehensive solution at both the data and architecture levels for the paragraph-toimage generation task. Thus, this section is divided into two parts: 1) Algorithm Level ([§3.1\)](#page-2-0). We introduce a paragraphto-image generation architecture with diffusion model. 2) Dataset Level $(\S$ 3.2). we present a high-quality, textual rich paragraph-to-image pairs dataset, where the corresponding textual descriptions can extend up to 400 words.

3.1. Algorithm: ParaDiffusion

Following LDM [\[27\]](#page-13-0), our architecture consists of three components: a text encoder to encode textual descriptions, an autoencoder (AE) to encode an image into latent embeddings, and a U-Net [\[28\]](#page-13-16) to learn the denoising process.

3.1.1 Text Paragraph Encoder

Prior works [\[3,](#page-12-2) [5\]](#page-12-1) directly utilize *frozen* encoder-decoder language model (*i.e.,* T5 [\[25\]](#page-13-4)) for short-text to image gen-

Figure 2. Pipeline of Methodology. The training pipeline of ParaDiffusion mainly includes three stages: 1) Stage-1 for pretraining is based on 0.3 billion samples to acquire general text-image knowledge. 2) Stage-2 employ millions of data to simultaneously fine-tune LLM and the diffusion model for Paragraph-Image Alignment. 3) Quality tuning with curated high-quality annotated data (*i.e.,* ParaImage-Small).

eration tasks, restricting the text encoder to within 128 tokens. We present a insight that efficiently fine-tuning a more powerful decoder-only language model can yield stronger performance in long-text alignment (up to 512 tokens). Compared to the encoder-decoder architecture of large language models, recent decoder-only models, such as Chat-GPT [\[22\]](#page-13-13), and Llama V2 [\[35\]](#page-14-3), have garnered more attention and success. There are some evident advantages to using the decoder-only language models as text encoders: 1) Models like Llama and the GPT series, based on the decoder-only architecture, exhibit stronger semantic understanding and generalization capabilities. 2) The training corpora are also nearly four times larger than that of the encoder-decoder language model, *i.e.,* T5 [\[25\]](#page-13-4). However, typically, decoderonly architectures are not adept at feature extraction and mapping tasks. Therefore, we propose Paragraph-Image Alignment Learning with Language Model Adaptation to address this issue, adapting the decoder-only model, *i.e.,* Llama V2 [\[35\]](#page-14-3) for text-to-image generation tasks.

3.1.2 Paragraph-Image Alignment Learning with Language Model Adaptation

Given a text description y , the standard **Text to Image Dif**fusion Models is probabilistic model designed to model conditional distributions of the form $p(z|y)$, where a conditional denoising autoencoder $\epsilon_{\theta}(z_t, t, y); t \in \{1, \ldots, T\}$ is used to learn the reverse process of a fixed Markov Chain of length T . The corresponding objective can be simplified to:

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{E}(x), y, \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1), t} \left[\| \epsilon - \epsilon_{\theta}(z_t, t, \tau_{\theta}(y)) \|_2^2 \right], \qquad (1)
$$

where τ_{θ} denotes the text encoder. *E* refers to a AE [\[27\]](#page-13-0) for mapping image to latent feature. Typically, during training, we optimize only the Unet of the diffusion model ϵ_{θ} , while freezing the τ_{θ} . This is because we consider the text embedding from τ_{θ} , *i.e.*, CLIP, to be well-suited for text-image alignment tasks. However, when using an LLM as the text encoder, it is essential to consider whether a frozen LLM is appropriate for this context.

Inspired by the success of instruction-tuning [\[16,](#page-13-14) [36\]](#page-14-9) and LoRA [\[11\]](#page-13-15), we propose a strategy for paragraph-image alignment learning with language model adaptation. This involves freezing the pretrained Large Language Model weights τ_{θ_0} and introducing a certain degree of trainable parameters $\Delta\theta(\Theta)$ to learn the textual relationships between paragraphs and images. The objective is revised as follows:

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{E}(x), y, \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0,1), t} \left[\| \epsilon - \epsilon_{\theta}(z_t, t, \tau_{\theta_0 + \Delta\theta(\Theta)}(y)) \|_2^2 \right], \quad (2)
$$

where both ϵ_{θ} and $\tau_{\Delta\theta(\Theta)}$ can be jointly optimized for learning better text representation. Compared to direct fine-tuning, this strategy offers two advantages: 1) During the training of paragraph-image alignment, it preserves the powerful semantic understanding capabilities of the LLM, preventing knowledge overfitting to simple text-image semantics. 2) Storage and compute efficient. Requires only limited computational resources and incurs no additional inference costs.

3.1.3 Training Strategy

As shown in Figure [2,](#page-3-0) ParaDiffusion adopts a three-stage training approach. Similar to the prior works [\[4,](#page-12-0) [30\]](#page-13-2), stage 1 is employed to acquire general text-image semantic alignment knowledge. Stage 2 is introduced to simultaneously fine-tune the LLM and the diffusion model for paragraphimage alignment. In Stage 3, a high-quality small dataset, consisting of 3k carefully selected images, is used to further enhance the model performance.

second boats are sailing on the water, and colorful buildings can be seen along the shore. The sky is filled with a
Several boats are sailing on the water, and colorful buildings can be seen along the shore. The sky is fil beautiful sunset glow, reflecting on the water and the buildings. The color of the buildings varies, from orange to <mark>red,</mark> creating a vibrant scenery. There are many boats of different sizes, some closer to the shore, some further
away. The <u>boats</u> are all calmly floating on the water, showcasing the tranquility of the harbor. At a dist is a row of buildings, all of which are painted in various colors, including orange, red, and yellow. The buildings are very tall, some of which have multiple floors. The sky also has some white clouds, adding some depth to the entire scene. The water surface is calm, reflecting the color of the sky and buildings, creating a peaceful atmosphere. High-quality Long-form Textual Description from Skilled Annotators

(b) ParaImage-Small: A Small Number of but Visually Appealing Images with Manual Description

Figure 3. Examples of the proposed ParaImage dataset. (a) High-quality images with generative captions (ParaImage-Big) are primarily employed for the paragraph-image alignment learning in Stage 2. (b) Aesthetic images with manual long-term description (ParaImage-Small) are primarily used for quality-tuning in Stage 3.

Stage 1: Pre-train for Short-text and Image Alignment In this stage, we gathered a dataset of 300 million examples to train the Unet of diffusion model with 1.3 billion parameters. Among these, one billion examples were selected from the 5 billion images of the LAION dataset [\[32\]](#page-14-1). Additionally, we constructed an internal dataset containing over 200 million examples. Similar to SDXL [\[23\]](#page-13-17), the model was trained with progressively increasing resolutions. It started with training at a resolution of 256 and was later adjusted to a resolution of 512. The entire pre-training process took 5 days on 56 A100 GPUs.

Stage 2: Paragraph-Image Alignment Learning with LLM Adaptation. To perform paragraph-image alignment learning $(\S$ [3.1.2\)](#page-3-1), we construct a large scale dataset with several million paragraph-image pairs, namely ParaImage-Big, where the long-form text is generated by CogVLM [\[37\]](#page-14-2), as illustrated in Figure $3(a)$ $3(a)$. The entire paragraphimage alignment learning process took 2 days on 56 A100 GPUs.

Stage 3: High-Quality Alignment Data Finally, we created an extremely small but high-quality dataset, namely ParaImage-Small, to further enhance the performance of model with a small learning rate. This dataset consists of 3 manually selected images, each accompanied by humanannotated long-text descriptions. These descriptions provide detailed information about the objects in the images, including their attributes and spatial relationships.

3.2. Dataset: ParaImage

3.2.1 Image with Generative Captions

Inspired by the success of current large vision-language models [\[19,](#page-13-18) [37,](#page-14-2) [43\]](#page-14-10), we propose to leverage the SOTA model, *i.e.,* CogVLM for automatically generating extensive long-form textual annotations for images. Firstly, we gathered approximately 3.3 million high-quality images from the LAION-Aesthetics [\[15\]](#page-13-19) and SAM datasets [\[14\]](#page-13-20). For the LAION-Aesthetics, we downloaded around 8 million images with aesthetics scores above 6 and then filtered them to a set of 3.2 million images with a minimum short edge resolution of 512 pixels. For SAM dataset, we obtained 2 million images and further filtered out those with mosaic elements, resulting in a final dataset of around 100k images. Then, we prompted CogVLM to generate corresponding long-form textual descriptions for the images, as illustrated in Figure $3(a)$ $3(a)$. Figure [4](#page-5-0) and Table [1](#page-5-1) present a detailed statistical comparison, and it is evident that in terms of textual descriptions, the captions of the proposed dataset are richer and longer in semantic content. 92% of captions from LAION have a text length of fewer than 25 words, whereas over 70% of captions from ParaImage-Big exceed 100 words, with a few extending to over 200 words.

3.2.2 ParaImage-Small: Image with Manual Captions

The generated captions from CogVLM cannot be guaranteed to be 100% accurate; therefore, it is essential to create a small but high-quality dataset with manual annota-

Table 1. Comparison of Data Statistics. 'short side' denotes average length of short side for input image. 'Ave.' denotes average number of per caption.

Figure 4. Distribution of Caption Length. The textual descriptions of the proposed dataset (ParaImage) far exceed those of currently available public datasets.

tions (*i.e.,* ParaImage-Small). This dataset consists of 3k, manually selected by annotators from 650k exquisite images of LAION-Aesthetics, adhering to common principles in photography. Then, the $3k$ images were annotated with corresponding long-text descriptions, detailing the objects in the images, the attributes of these objects, and their spatial relationships. The details for *Paragraph Description Annotation Rule* are as follows:

- 1. The description should be no less than 50 words and no more than 500 words.
- 2. The first sentence of the description should commence with a portrayal of the main subject of the image, *e.g.,* A close-up photo of a beautiful woman.
- 3. The description should be objective and avoid subjective emotions and speculations, such as ('On a cloudy day, it might rain in the future').
- 4. The description should cover details about the objects' number, color, appearance, and location.
- 5. The description should follow a logical sequence, such as from left to right or from the center outward.
- 6. Avoid using prefixes like 'In the picture is,' 'This image depicts', or 'Here is.'

Details regarding the selection principles of images are provided in the supplementary materials. Based on the images selection annotation rules, we invited and trained 10 annotators to score the images from 1 to 5 based on aesthetics and content, with 5 being the highest score. There are two rounds of quality inspection, each round involving 3 staff members for review. Finally, we selected images with the highest score, resulting in around 3000 images. With these images, we hired 20 annotators to provide a detailed description for each image following the annotation

Figure 5. Distribution of Caption Length for Different Evaluation Dataset. Our ParaPrompts dataset offers a high proportion of long-text descriptions.

Figure 6. Distribution of Concepts for ParaPrompts. Our prompts covers the common concepts in human-related activities.

guidelines above. Then two rounds of quality audits were conducted afterwards, with two people in each round. For the images that does not meet the evaluation criteria, we would conduct revisions. The entire labeling process took two weeks, with one week for selecting pictures and the other week to provide detailed text descriptions.

4. Experiments

4.1. Implementation Details

Algorithm. Different from the prior works [\[4,](#page-12-0) [26,](#page-13-1) [27\]](#page-13-0), for the first time, we used a powerful decoder-only large language model (*i.e.,* Llama V2 [\[35\]](#page-14-3)) as the text encoder. To learn the long-term paragraph-image alignment, we adjusted the length of extracted text tokens to 512 tokens, enabling precise alignment for very complex and semantically rich prompts. For the diffusion model, we follow SDXL [\[23\]](#page-13-17) and use a Unet of 1.3B parameters to learn the latent Gaussian noise. Compared to previous work, our training cost is also significantly lower, with the entire model training process only requiring 56 *V100 GPUs for* 8 *days*. Following LoRA [\[11\]](#page-13-15), we apply LoRA to each layer of Llama V2 [\[35\]](#page-14-3). At different stages, we employ varying learning rate strategies. For Stage 1, the learning rate is set to 8e–5 with cosine annealing decay for a single cycle. For Stage 2, the learning rate is $5e-5$, and for Stage 3, it is $1e-5$.

Datasets and Metrics. We use Zero-shot FID-30K on MS-COCO 256×256 [\[18\]](#page-13-21) and human evaluation on ViLG-

Table 2. Performance Comparison on MS-COCO $256 \times$ 256 [\[18\]](#page-13-21) using zero-shot FID-30K. '#Params' refers to the parameters of Unet.

Method	Text Encoder	#Params	$FID-30K$	Venue/Date
DALL-E		12.0B	27.50	Blog, Jan. 2021
GLIDE [21]		5.0 _B	12.24	ICML'22
DALL-E2 [26]	CLIP [24]	6.5B	10.39	arXiv, April 2022
PIXART- α [3]	T5-XXL [25]	0.6B	10.65	arXiv, Oct. 2023
SD XL [23]	CLIP [24]	2.6B		arXiv, Jul. 2023
GigaGAN [12]	CLIP [24]	0.9B	9.09	CVPR'23
SD [27]	CLIP [24]	0.9B	8.32	CVPR'22
Imagen $[30]$	T5-XXL [25]	3.0B	7.27	NeurIPS'22
ERNIE-ViLG 2.0	CLIP [24]	22B	6.75	CVPR'23
DeepFloyd-IF $[5]$	T5-XL [25]	4.3B	6.66	Product, May 2023
RAPHAEL [40]	CLIP [24]	3.0B	6.61	arXiv, May 2023
ParaDiffusion	Llama $V2$ [35]	1.3B	9.64	

300[\[6\]](#page-12-4) to assess our algorithm. Additionally, considering that the current test prompts focus on short text-to-image generation, ignoring the evaluation for paragraph-to-image generation, we introduced a new evaluation set of prompts called ParaPrompts, including 400 long-text descriptions. Figure [5](#page-5-2) illustrates the distribution comparison of prompt lengths between ParaPrompts and the previous test set. It is evident that previous prompts testing was mostly concentrated on text alignments within the range of 0-25 words, while our prompts extend to long-text alignments of 100 words or more. Additionally, we present a new insight that longer text descriptions are more challenging, both in terms of visual appeal and text faithfulness. Relevant discussions and comparisons are provided in the supplementary materials. Figure [6](#page-5-3) presents the distribution of prompt content, where we categorized prompts into eight scene categories to achieve a more comprehensive evaluation.

4.2. Performance Comparisons and Analysis

4.2.1 Fidelity Assessment on COCO Dataset

Zero-shot FID-30K on MS-COCO 256×256 [\[18\]](#page-13-21) is a universal evaluation method for text-image generation tasks. Table [2](#page-6-0) presents relevant comparisons between ParaDiffusion and other existing works. Our ParaDiffusion achieved an FID score of 9.64, demonstrating similar per-formance to SD XL [\[23\]](#page-13-17) and PIXART- α [\[3\]](#page-12-2). In comparison, RAPHAEL [\[40\]](#page-14-0) and DeepFloyd-IF [\[5\]](#page-12-1) achieved better scores, while utilizing larger models with more parameters. Furthermore, we would like to argue that FID may not be an appropriate metric for image quality evaluation, where a higher score does not necessarily indicate better-generated images. Many studies [\[3,](#page-12-2) [5,](#page-12-1) [23\]](#page-13-17), have demonstrated that, instead, the evaluation by human users is a more authoritative measure.

4.2.2 ViLG-300 [\[6\]](#page-12-4)

Following the prior works [\[3,](#page-12-2) [6\]](#page-12-4), we also conducted a User Study evaluation from the perspectives of visual appeal and

Figure 7. User study on 300 prompts of ViLG-300 [\[6\]](#page-12-4). 'Tie' indicates that the image quality of the four models appears similar.

Figure 8. User study on 400 prompts of ParaPrompts. We only selected open-source models for comparison, when the results of closed-source models [\[24,](#page-13-3) [40\]](#page-14-0) were unavailable or API calls failed.

text faithfulness on 300 prompts from ViLG-300 [\[6\]](#page-12-4). We only chose the recent models with sota performance for comparative evaluation, as involving human evaluators can be time-consuming. Figure [7](#page-6-1) presents the related rating proportions from expert evaluators.

Visual Appeal. Our model significantly outperforms DeepFloyd-IF and SD XL-Refiner in terms of visual appeal, while both of these are considered among the best models of the past year. In comparison to PIXART- α [\[3\]](#page-12-2), our model has achieved competitive performance. We want to emphasize that our model did not specifically focus on Visual Appeal. Additionally, PIXART- α [\[3\]](#page-12-2) and our work are concurrent efforts. Therefore, we believe the performance is acceptable.

Text Faithfulness. Figure [7](#page-6-1) (right) shows that our model achieved outstanding performance in Text Faithfulness among the four models, with a voting percentage of 13.7%. 'Tie (Same Performance)' received a very high voting percentage. This is because ViLG-300 includes many simple prompts, leading to consistently good results and making it challenging to differentiate among them. We provide additional cases in the supplementary material to further analyze this situation.

4.2.3 ParaPrompts-400

Figure [8](#page-6-2) presents the results on ParaPrompts-400.

Visual Appeal. Similar to ViLG-300 [\[6\]](#page-12-4), our ParaDiffusion achieved outstanding results in terms of visual appeal on the ParaPrompts dataset, surpassing SD XL and DeepFloyd-IF and approaching PIXART- α [\[3\]](#page-12-2). Compared to the performance on the ViLG-300 [\[6\]](#page-12-4) dataset, there is a decrease in the voting percentage for the 'Tie' category.

floating in the sky. In front of the window, there is a yellow table with a white cup, a pink vase, and other items placed on it. In the middle of the room, there is an easel standing on a bamboo mat, holding a colored can there is a dark brown ceiling fan with five fans, which helps cool down the air within the studio. On the right side of the room, there is a cabinet with decorations such as a black porcelain vase and a red flower pot. (c) Visualization Comparison for paragraph and text alignment

Figure 9. Visualization Comparison. ParaDiffusion demonstrates exceptional superiority in long-term text alignment.

			Table 3. Ablation Study for LLM Adaptation on ParaPrompts-	
			400. We only evaluate Text Faithfulness for the experiment.	

Methods	# Trainable Params	Win $(\%)$	Tie $(\%)$	Lose $(\%)$
w/o LoRA (Base)				
w LoRA	$4.2M(0.06\%)$	$50.6 (+5.5)$	4.3	45.1
w LoRA	16.7M (0.25%)	$51.1 (+5.8)$	3.6	45.3
w LoRA	33.6M (0.51%)	$153.4 (+4.7)$	2.1	48.7
w LoRA	$67.1M(1.01\%)$	$51.9(+6.6)$	2.8	45.3

Table 4. Ablation Study for ParaImage on ParaPrompts-400.

This indicates that in more challenging long-text scenarios, the performance differences among different models become more pronounced.

Text Faithfulness. As for paragraph-guided image generation setting, ParaDiffusion demonstrates a more pronounced advantage in text faithfulness, reaching 60.3%, while other models achieve only around 10%. This indicates that ParaDiffusion significantly outperforms other models in aligning long-text aspects such as object, object attributes, and object positional relationships. In the supplementary material, we provide additional visualizations and analyses to support this claim.

4.3. Ablation Study

4.3.1 Effect of Language Model Adaptation

Table [3](#page-7-0) presents the ablation study for LLM Adaptation. 'Base' denotes directly performing paragraph-image alignment learning (Stage-2) with ParaImage-Big without finetuning Llama V2 using LoRA. Compared to the base model, our LLM adaptation with LoRA demonstrates an improvement of nearly 5% in human voting rates. Another insight reveals that during the process of increasing trainable parameters from 4.2 million to 67.1 million, the performance appears to gain consistently. Therefore, in our final configuration, we randomly select 16.7 million trainable parameters as the ultimate setting. Figure 9 (b) presents the visual comparisons for the performance from LLM adaptation.

4.3.2 Effect of ParaImage

Table [4](#page-7-2) presents the ablation study for the proposed dataset, ParaImage. ParaImage-Big brings significant improvements, with approximately a 50% increase in human voting rates for both visual appeal and text faithfulness simultaneously. The smaller-scale, and high-quality set of 3k images in ParaImage-Small further enhances aesthetic aspects, achieving a remarkable around 70% increase in human preference rates compared to that of Stage 2. Figure [9](#page-7-1) (a) presents a visual comparison, vividly illustrating the gains in visual appeal. In this case, the prompt is 'An exquisite sculpture in the center of a square on a rainy day.'

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we firstly present the challenges of long-text alignment in the task of text-guided image generation. Additionally, we propose an effective solution that addresses both the data and algorithmic aspects. In terms of algorithms, we introduce an information-enriched diffusion model, which explores the transfer of the long-text semantic understanding capabilities from large language models to the image generation task. For data, we construct and present a high-quality, textual rich paragraph-to-image pairs

A close-up picture of people and scenery. The subject is a middle-aged man. A man in gray clothing is standing on a rock by the sea. He is wearing a black hat. The man has his hands inserted into the pockets of the gray clothing.
The background is the vast ocean and sky, wit the vast ocean and sky, with a few white clouds in the sky.

An outdoor photo of people. A man wearing a yellow plaid shirt and a brown leather jacket. Wearing a black hat, there is a red car behind him and an earthy yellow wall. In the entire picture, men are the most prominent subjects.

A young man wearing a black leather jacket and tie stood behind an old door, his gaze firmly fixed on the camera. The door had patterns of leaves and flowers on it revealing a yellow background. His hair casually curled and he appeared to be deep in thought or contemplating something.

A close-up photo of a person. The subject is a woman. She wore a blue coat with a gray dress underneath. She has blue eyes and blond hair, and wears a pair of earrings. Behind are blurred city buildings and streets.

A close-up photo of a person. The subject is a .
lauty, a woman with golden curly hair, wearing exquisite makeup, her eyes are brown, and wearing a black fur coat, she looks very elegant and elegant. The background is a gray wall.

A portrait of a Pakistani old man. He is wearing a white robe, a white turban on his head, and a long white beard. The background is a white wall.

A close-up photo of a person. The subject is vas wearing a wide-brimmed hat, a gray-white beard on his face, a brown coat. His facial expression looked pensive and serious, with the clear blue sky in the background.

A close-up photo of a person. The woman has her hair tied up, a garland of flowers, a pair pendant earrings on her ears, a white dress, with a blurred street in the background.

Figure 10. More Visualizations for human-centric from ParaDiffusion.

dataset, where the corresponding textual descriptions can extend up to 400 words. The experiments demonstrate that our ParaDiffusion achieves outstanding performance in both visual appeal and text faithfulness aspects.

6. Appendix

6.1. More Visualizations

ated images consistently feature intricate object details and demonstrate effective multi-object control. Table [10](#page-8-0) and Table [11](#page-9-0) provides more visualizations of ParaDiffusion for human-centric and scenery-centric domains, respectively. The visualization reveals that our ParaDiffusion can generate intricate and realistic composite images of individuals as well as picturesque scenes. Moreover, it is noteworthy that images generated through paragraph-image generation exhibit a compelling narrative quality, enriched with profound semantics. The gener-

6.2. Limitations

Despite ParaDiffusion achieving excellent performance in long-text alignment and Visual Appeal, there are still some

areas for improvement, such as inference speed. ParaDiffusion has not been optimized for speed, and implementing effective strategies, such as ODE solvers [\[20\]](#page-13-24) or consistency models [\[33\]](#page-14-11), could lead to further enhancement and optimization in inference speed. In addition, while ParaDiffusion exhibits the capability to produce images of high realism, the presence of undesirable instances persists, as depicted in Figure [12.](#page-10-0) Two predominant strategies prove effective in addressing these challenges: Firstly, at the data level, augmenting the dataset with additional high-quality images enhances diversity, contributing to further model refinement. Secondly, at the algorithmic level, the incorporation of additional constraints, such as geometric and semantic constraints, serves to imbue synthesized images with greater logical and semantic coherence.

6.3. Experiments on 1,600 prompts of PartiPrompts

We also provides the related experiment results on PartiPrompts-1600, as shown in Figure [13.](#page-10-1) It can be observed that our model also achieved outstanding performance in Text Faithfulness, with a 27.3% human voting rate, significantly outperforming previous models such as

A photo of a natural landscape. The main subject is rolling mountains. The peaks are towering into the clouds, the cliffs are steep, the mountains are majestic, and they are covered with a little white snow.
Clouds were floating between the mountain peaks, and there were two planets hanging in the sky
outside the dazzling l

A natural landscape painting with white clouds floating in the blue sky. There are several mountains below with some plants growing on the mountains. There is a sea below the mountains. There is a house made of stone and wood on the shore. There are many green plants next to the house.

A close-up photo of an animal. The main subject is a white Samoyed lying on a stone on the shore. Behind it is a green lake. Green vegetation grows on the shore of the lake. On both sides are continuous mountains. In the distance are towering peaks. Covered with some snow, the sky is blue with piled clouds.

A train with smoke is traveling on the snowy tracks, surrounded by a winter forest. The train is black and has three yellow lights on its front. There are many white clouds of steam coming out from the top of the train. In the picture, you can see the track covered in white snow, as well as trees that have turned silver-white due to the frost. The sky above is grayish-white.

An anime landscape photo. A yellow car is parked on a dirt road
with some luggage on the roof. There is a telephone pole next to
the car. In the background are rolling mountains and snow-covered
peaks. In the foreground is peaks. In the foregr
red farmhouses.

A landscape photo with a lake as the main subject. Towering mountains stand in the distance, covered with dense green vegetation. At the foot of
the mountain, near the river, there is a simple small house with a black
tile roof and white walls. A wide river with crystal clear water and the
s

A landscape photo of people. The main subject is a tree in the center of the castle. A man in white is sitting on a bench under the tree. There are rolling mountains in the distance. The mountains are covered with trees. Clouds and mist surround the mountain peaks. The clouds in the sky are very gray.

A photo of a cityscape. There are various tall buildings standing on
both sides of the river. There are several rows of tables and chairs on
the street along the shore, as well as a few pedestrians. In the distance is a slightly green mountain peak, only part of which can be seen. There are some clouds floating in the sky.

a small town covered in snow, with the town's roofs illuminated by glowing lights. In the center of the town is a church with a tall spire and a clock tower. Surrounding it are other houses, all covered in
white snow. Above the town is a lake, and on the opposite bank of
the lake stands a mountain range. The sky above the picture is dyed
blue, creating a peac

An autumn landscape photo. The photo shows a lake reflecting the blue sky and white clouds, red-leaf trees and houses. An arched stone bridge in a park spans the lake, connecting the two sides. The lake is covered with red-leaf trees. Colorful leaves with city buildings and blue sky and white clouds in the background

Figure 11. More Visualizations for scenery-centric from ParaDiffusion.

SD XL and DeepFloyd-IF. Additionally, our model demonstrated a competitive advantage in visual appeal, surpassing SD XL and DeepFloyd-IF, and approaching the performance of contemporaneous work $PIXART-\alpha$. A notable

A man is sitting at a table, with a pizza and two bottles of beverages on the table. The man has glasses, a bald head, a few white wrinkles around his mouth, and appears to be wearing a black shirt. He is smiling while looking directly at the camera. On the right side, there is an elderly man standing behind the man. Behind them are kitchen equipment such as ovens, refrigerators, and clocks. There are also some cups placed on the countertop, along with a bowl containing yellow food.

A close-up photo of person. The subjects are two young women, both looking at the camera
with similar expressions. The woman on the left is wearing a long white skirt with a gray white wall.

(b) Anomaly for semantic misalignment (Number)

with similar expressions. The woman on the left is wearing a long white skirt with a gray jacket and the other is wearing a white shirt, black jacket and black pants. Behind them is a

(c) Logical inconsistency

light from the sun in the sky scattered on her body, it more vivid and natural. The background is a sea of flowers and a blue sky and white clouds that are rendered golden by the sun at dusk.

Figure 12. **Bad Cases for ParaDiffusion.** There are still some areas that can be optimized for our ParaDiffusion.

Figure 13. User study on 1600 prompts of PartiPrompts. We only selected open-source models for comparison, when the results of closed-source models [\[24,](#page-13-3) [40\]](#page-14-0) were unavailable or API calls failed.

observation in ParaPrompts is the high proportion of 'Tie' votes in human evaluations, especially for Text Faithfulness, with a voting rate of up to 34%. This is attributed to the presence of numerous simple or abstract prompts in ParaPrompts-1600, making it challenging to provide precise voting results, such as for prompts like 'happiness' or 'emotion.'

6.4. Visualization Comparison on ViLG-300 and ParaPrompts-400

To offer a more intuitive comparison, we provide visualizations comparing our model with prior works on ViLG-300 and ParaPrompts-400 datasets, as depicted in Figure [14](#page-11-0) and Figure [15.](#page-11-1) From the perspective of visual appeal, the synthesized images produced by our ParaDiffusion align well with human aesthetics. They exhibit qualities reminiscent of photographic images in terms of lighting, contrast, scenes, and photographic composition. Concerning the alignment of long-form text, our ParaDiffusion demonstrates outstanding advantages, as illustrated in Figure [15.](#page-11-1) Previous works often struggle to precisely align each object and attribute in lengthy textual descriptions, as seen in the second row of Figure [15.](#page-11-1) Existing models frequently miss generating elements like 'towers' and 'houses', and their relative spatial relationships are flawed. In contrast,

our model excels in accurately aligning the textual description with the content in the image.

6.5. More Details for ParaImage-Small

As stated in the main text, we selected 3,000 exquisite images from a pool of 650,000 images curated by LAION-Aesthetics [\[15\]](#page-13-19), adhering to common photographic principles. The detailed Aesthetic Image Selection Rule is outlined as follows:

- 1. The selected images will be used to annotate long-form descriptions (128-512 words, 4-10 sentences). Please assess whether the chosen images contain sufficient information (number and attributes of objects, image style) to support such lengthy textual descriptions.
- 2. The images should not include trademarks, any text added in post-production, and should be free of any mosaic effects.
- 3. Spatial Relationships between Multiple Objects: For images with multiple objects, there should be sufficient spatial hierarchy or positional relationships between these objects. For example, in a landscape photograph, the spatial distribution of mountains, lakes, and trees should create an interesting composition. There should be clear left-right relationships between multiple people.
- 4. Interaction between Multiple Objects: For images with multiple objects, choose scenes that showcase the interaction between the objects. This can include dialogue between characters, interactions between animals, or other interesting associations between objects.
- 5. Attribute of Single Object: All key details of the main subject should be clearly visible, and the subject's attribute information should include at least three distinct aspects, including color, shape, and size. For example, in wildlife photography, the feather color, morphology, and size of an animal should be clearly visible.

A sphere made of kitchen tile. A sphere with the texture of kitchen tile.

Figure 14. Visualization Comparison on ViLG-300. Our ParaDiffusion exhibits competitive performance in visual appeal.

A mushroom grows from the soil. The mushroom is a light flesh color, and a beam of sunlight shines on the cap of the mushroom, showing a bright orange color. The cap of the mushroom is flat and the stipe is light brown. There are dead branches and fallen leaves piled around the mushrooms. There are some sharp thorns on the dead branches, and the fallen leaves are earthy orange and brown. The

The water in the sea is sky blue, brick red, and gray. The water in the sea is uneven. There is a bridge above the river. The color of the bridge is brick red, and there are buildings on it. it says, there is a guardrail on the side of the bridge, the guardrail is very long, the color of the guardrail is black, there are many pillars next to the guardrail, the lights inside are on, there are many holder are many pillars next to t <mark>bridge</mark>, and the houses are also lit. There are lights on. There are many trees around the house. There is a over next to the trees. The tower also lights up. There is a road on the right side of the river.
There are plan

Figure 15. Visualization Comparison on ParaPrompts-400. Our ParaDiffusion demonstrates significant advantages in long-text alignment.

- 6. Colors, Shapes, and Sizes of Objects: Various objects in the image should showcase diversity in colors, shapes, and sizes. This contributes to creating visually engaging scenes.
- 7. Clarity of the Story: The selected images should clearly convey a story or emotion. Annotators should

pay attention to whether the image presents a clear and engaging narrative. For example, a couple walking on the street, a family portrait, or animals engaging in a conflict.

8. Variety of Object Categories: A diverse set of object categories enriches the content of the image. Ensure

Promnt(123 words) - Meantifid small town, In the left of the town, there is a bakery with a green sign that reads UIVO Bakery on it. On the left side aof the bakery, there is a proted in the pressure in a mean than a struc right of the buildings, and some of the windows have blue curtains. On the right side of the picture, there is a calm river. Several boats are moored on the river, side. There are also some green trees and flowers along th there are mountains, with a blue sky and white clouds above. There are also several birds flying in the sky.

Figure 16. Risk of Conflict between Visual Appeal and Text Faithfulness. Two insights:1) Merely extending the token count of the current model (SD XL, DeepFloyd-IF)) does not yield satisfactory performance. 2) As the number of input tokens increases, all models experience a certain degree of decline in visual appeal.

that the image encompasses various object categories to showcase diversity. For instance, on a city street, include people, cyclists, buses, and unique architectural structures simultaneously.

Following the aforementioned rules, we instructed the annotators to rate the images on a scale of 1-5, with 5 being the highest score. Subsequently, we selected images with a score of 5 as the data source for ParaImage-Small, resulting in approximately 3k images.

6.6. Risk of Conflict between Visual Appeal and Text Faithfulness

We also explored the potential of existing architectures (*e.g.,* SD XL, DeepFloyd-IF) for long-text alignment of text-image image generation, as shown in Figure [16.](#page-12-6) Firstly, all methods that utilize CLIP as a text encoder, such as SDXL, face limitations in supporting paragraphimage tasks due to the maximum number of input tokens supported by CLIP being 77. Secondly, we investigated the performance of methods using T5 XXL as a text encoder, *e.g.*, DeepFloyd-IF [\[5\]](#page-12-1) and PIXART- α [\[3\]](#page-12-2). We directly adjusted the tokens limitation of these methods to accommodate longer lengths, enabling support for image generation settings involving the alignment of long-form text. With an increase in the number of tokens, the visual appeal quality of DeepFloyd-IF experiences a significant decline, becoming more cartoonish around 512 tokens. Furthermore, its semantic alignment is unsatisfactory, with many generated objects missing, such as the table. Similarly, PIXART- α fails to achieve satisfactory semantic alignment even with the maximum token limit increase, and its visual appeal also experiences a certain degree of decline. In contrast, our ParaDiffusion exhibits a more stable performance, achieving good

semantic alignment with 256 tokens and showing minimal decline in visual appeal as the token count increases.

References

- [1] Yogesh Balaji, Seungjun Nah, Xun Huang, Arash Vahdat, Jiaming Song, Karsten Kreis, Miika Aittala, Timo Aila, Samuli Laine, Bryan Catanzaro, et al. ediffi: Text-to-image diffusion models with an ensemble of expert denoisers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.01324*, 2022. [3](#page-2-1)
- [2] Huiwen Chang, Han Zhang, Jarred Barber, AJ Maschinot, Jose Lezama, Lu Jiang, Ming-Hsuan Yang, Kevin Murphy, William T Freeman, Michael Rubinstein, et al. Muse: Text-to-image generation via masked generative transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.00704*, 2023. [3](#page-2-1)
- [3] Junsong Chen, Jincheng Yu, Chongjian Ge, Lewei Yao, Enze Xie, Yue Wu, Zhongdao Wang, James Kwok, Ping Luo, Huchuan Lu, et al. Pixart-α: Fast training of diffusion transformer for photorealistic text-to-image synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.00426*, 2023. [2,](#page-1-0) [3,](#page-2-1) [7,](#page-6-3) [13](#page-12-7)
- [4] Xiaoliang Dai, Ji Hou, Chih-Yao Ma, Sam Tsai, Jialiang Wang, Rui Wang, Peizhao Zhang, Simon Vandenhende, Xiaofang Wang, Abhimanyu Dubey, et al. Emu: Enhancing image generation models using photogenic needles in a haystack. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.15807*, 2023. [2,](#page-1-0) [3,](#page-2-1) [4,](#page-3-2) [6](#page-5-4)
- [5] Deepfloyd. Deepfloyd. *URL https://www.deepfloyd.ai/.*, 2023. [2,](#page-1-0) [3,](#page-2-1) [7,](#page-6-3) [13](#page-12-7)
- [6] Zhida Feng, Zhenyu Zhang, Xintong Yu, Yewei Fang, Lanxin Li, Xuyi Chen, Yuxiang Lu, Jiaxiang Liu, Weichong Yin, Shikun Feng, et al. Ernie-vilg 2.0: Improving text-toimage diffusion model with knowledge-enhanced mixtureof-denoising-experts. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 10135–10145, 2023. [3,](#page-2-1) [7](#page-6-3)
- [7] Yuchao Gu, Xintao Wang, Jay Zhangjie Wu, Yujun Shi, Yunpeng Chen, Zihan Fan, Wuyou Xiao, Rui Zhao, Shuning Chang, Weijia Wu, et al. Mix-of-show: Decentralized lowrank adaptation for multi-concept customization of diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.18292*, 2023. [3](#page-2-1)
- [8] Yefei He, Luping Liu, Jing Liu, Weijia Wu, Hong Zhou, and Bohan Zhuang. Ptqd: Accurate post-training quantization for diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.10657*, 2023. [3](#page-2-1)
- [9] Amir Hertz, Ron Mokady, Jay Tenenbaum, Kfir Aberman, Yael Pritch, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Prompt-to-prompt image editing with cross attention control. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.01626*, 2022. [3](#page-2-1)
- [10] Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:6840–6851, 2020. [3](#page-2-1)
- [11] Edward J Hu, Yelong Shen, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang, and Weizhu Chen. Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.09685*, 2021. [3,](#page-2-1) [4,](#page-3-2) [6](#page-5-4)
- [12] Minguk Kang, Jun-Yan Zhu, Richard Zhang, Jaesik Park, Eli Shechtman, Sylvain Paris, and Taesung Park. Scaling up gans for text-to-image synthesis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 10124–10134, 2023. [7](#page-6-3)
- [13] Bahjat Kawar, Shiran Zada, Oran Lang, Omer Tov, Huiwen Chang, Tali Dekel, Inbar Mosseri, and Michal Irani. Imagic: Text-based real image editing with diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 6007–6017, 2023. [3](#page-2-1)
- [14] Alexander Kirillov, Eric Mintun, Nikhila Ravi, Hanzi Mao, Chloe Rolland, Laura Gustafson, Tete Xiao, Spencer Whitehead, Alexander C Berg, Wan-Yen Lo, et al. Segment anything. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.02643*, 2023. [5](#page-4-2)
- [15] laion. https://laion.ai/blog/laion-aesthetics/. *blog*, 2022. [5,](#page-4-2) [6,](#page-5-4) [11](#page-10-2)
- [16] Brian Lester, Rami Al-Rfou, and Noah Constant. The power of scale for parameter-efficient prompt tuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.08691*, 2021. [3,](#page-2-1) [4](#page-3-2)
- [17] Mike Lewis, Yinhan Liu, Naman Goyal, Marjan Ghazvininejad, Abdelrahman Mohamed, Omer Levy, Ves Stoyanov, and Luke Zettlemoyer. Bart: Denoising sequence-to-sequence pre-training for natural language generation, translation, and comprehension. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.13461*, 2019. [3](#page-2-1)
- [18] Tsung-Yi Lin, Michael Maire, Serge Belongie, James Hays, Pietro Perona, Deva Ramanan, Piotr Dollár, and C Lawrence Zitnick. Microsoft coco: Common objects in context. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2014: 13th European Conference, Zurich, Switzerland, September 6-12, 2014, Proceedings, Part V 13*, pages 740–755. Springer, 2014. [6,](#page-5-4) [7](#page-6-3)
- [19] Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Qingyang Wu, and Yong Jae Lee. Visual instruction tuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.08485*, 2023. [5](#page-4-2)
- [20] Cheng Lu, Yuhao Zhou, Fan Bao, Jianfei Chen, Chongxuan Li, and Jun Zhu. Dpm-solver: A fast ode solver for diffusion probabilistic model sampling in around 10 steps. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:5775–5787, 2022. [9](#page-8-1)
- [21] Alex Nichol, Prafulla Dhariwal, Aditya Ramesh, Pranav Shyam, Pamela Mishkin, Bob McGrew, Ilya Sutskever, and Mark Chen. Glide: Towards photorealistic image generation and editing with text-guided diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.10741*, 2021. [7](#page-6-3)
- [22] Long Ouyang, Jeffrey Wu, Xu Jiang, Diogo Almeida, Carroll Wainwright, Pamela Mishkin, Chong Zhang, Sandhini Agarwal, Katarina Slama, Alex Ray, et al. Training language models to follow instructions with human feedback. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35: 27730–27744, 2022. [3,](#page-2-1) [4](#page-3-2)
- [23] Dustin Podell, Zion English, Kyle Lacey, Andreas Blattmann, Tim Dockhorn, Jonas Müller, Joe Penna, and Robin Rombach. Sdxl: Improving latent diffusion models for high-resolution image synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.01952*, 2023. [5,](#page-4-2) [6,](#page-5-4) [7](#page-6-3)
- [24] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021. [2,](#page-1-0) [3,](#page-2-1) [7,](#page-6-3) [11](#page-10-2)
- [25] Colin Raffel, Noam Shazeer, Adam Roberts, Katherine Lee, Sharan Narang, Michael Matena, Yanqi Zhou, Wei Li, and Peter J Liu. Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 21(1):5485–5551, 2020. [2,](#page-1-0) [3,](#page-2-1) [4,](#page-3-2) [7](#page-6-3)
- [26] Aditya Ramesh, Prafulla Dhariwal, Alex Nichol, Casey Chu, and Mark Chen. Hierarchical text-conditional image generation with clip latents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.06125*, 2022. [2,](#page-1-0) [3,](#page-2-1) [6,](#page-5-4) [7](#page-6-3)
- [27] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 10684–10695, 2022. [2,](#page-1-0) [3,](#page-2-1) [4,](#page-3-2) [6,](#page-5-4) [7](#page-6-3)
- [28] Olaf Ronneberger, Philipp Fischer, and Thomas Brox. Unet: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. In *Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention–MICCAI 2015: 18th International Conference, Munich, Germany, October 5-9, 2015, Proceedings, Part III 18*, pages 234–241. Springer, 2015. [3](#page-2-1)
- [29] Nataniel Ruiz, Yuanzhen Li, Varun Jampani, Yael Pritch, Michael Rubinstein, and Kfir Aberman. Dreambooth: Fine tuning text-to-image diffusion models for subject-driven generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 22500– 22510, 2023. [3](#page-2-1)
- [30] Chitwan Saharia, William Chan, Saurabh Saxena, Lala Li, Jay Whang, Emily L Denton, Kamyar Ghasemipour, Raphael Gontijo Lopes, Burcu Karagol Ayan, Tim Salimans, et al. Photorealistic text-to-image diffusion models with deep language understanding. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:36479–36494, 2022. [2,](#page-1-0) [3,](#page-2-1) [4,](#page-3-2) [7](#page-6-3)
- [31] Chitwan Saharia, Jonathan Ho, William Chan, Tim Salimans, David J Fleet, and Mohammad Norouzi. Image super-resolution via iterative refinement. *IEEE Transactions*

on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 45(4):4713– 4726, 2022. [3](#page-2-1)

- [32] Christoph Schuhmann, Romain Beaumont, Richard Vencu, Cade Gordon, Ross Wightman, Mehdi Cherti, Theo Coombes, Aarush Katta, Clayton Mullis, Mitchell Wortsman, et al. Laion-5b: An open large-scale dataset for training next generation image-text models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.08402*, 2022. [2,](#page-1-0) [5,](#page-4-2) [6](#page-5-4)
- [33] Yang Song, Prafulla Dhariwal, Mark Chen, and Ilya Sutskever. Consistency models. 2023. [9](#page-8-1)
- [34] Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, et al. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971*, 2023. [3](#page-2-1)
- [35] Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Albert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajjwal Bhargava, Shruti Bhosale, et al. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288*, 2023. [2,](#page-1-0) [3,](#page-2-1) [4,](#page-3-2) [6,](#page-5-4) [7](#page-6-3)
- [36] Yizhong Wang, Yeganeh Kordi, Swaroop Mishra, Alisa Liu, Noah A Smith, Daniel Khashabi, and Hannaneh Hajishirzi. Self-instruct: Aligning language model with self generated instructions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.10560*, 2022. [3,](#page-2-1) [4](#page-3-2)
- [37] WeihanWang, Wenmeng Yu Qingsong Lv, Yan Wang Wenyi Hong, Ji Qi, Zhuoyi Yang Junhui Ji, Xixuan Song Lei Zhao, Xu Bin Jiazheng Xu, Yuxiao Dong Juanzi Li, and Jie Tang Ming Dingz. Cogvlm: Visual expert for large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:5148899*, 2023. [2,](#page-1-0) [5](#page-4-2)
- [38] Weijia Wu, Yuzhong Zhao, Hao Chen, Yuchao Gu, Rui Zhao, Yefei He, Hong Zhou, Mike Zheng Shou, and Chunhua Shen. Datasetdm: Synthesizing data with perception annotations using diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.06160*, 2023. [3](#page-2-1)
- [39] Weijia Wu, Yuzhong Zhao, Mike Zheng Shou, Hong Zhou, and Chunhua Shen. Diffumask: Synthesizing images with pixel-level annotations for semantic segmentation using diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.11681*, 2023. [3](#page-2-1)
- [40] Zeyue Xue, Guanglu Song, Qiushan Guo, Boxiao Liu, Zhuofan Zong, Yu Liu, and Ping Luo. Raphael: Text-to-image generation via large mixture of diffusion paths. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.18295*, 2023. [2,](#page-1-0) [3,](#page-2-1) [7,](#page-6-3) [11](#page-10-2)
- [41] Jiahui Yu, Yuanzhong Xu, Jing Yu Koh, Thang Luong, Gunjan Baid, Zirui Wang, Vijay Vasudevan, Alexander Ku, Yinfei Yang, Burcu Karagol Ayan, et al. Scaling autoregressive models for content-rich text-to-image generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.10789*, 2022. [3](#page-2-1)
- [42] Lvmin Zhang, Anyi Rao, and Maneesh Agrawala. Adding conditional control to text-to-image diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 3836–3847, 2023. [3](#page-2-1)
- [43] Deyao Zhu, Jun Chen, Xiaoqian Shen, Xiang Li, and Mohamed Elhoseiny. Minigpt-4: Enhancing vision-language understanding with advanced large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.10592*, 2023. [5](#page-4-2)