
UNBOUNDED DONOHO-STARK-ELAD-BRUCKSTEIN-RICAUD-TORRÉSANI
UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLES

K. MAHESH KRISHNA

Post Doctoral Fellow

Statistics and Mathematics Unit

Indian Statistical Institute, Bangalore Centre

Karnataka 560 059, India

Email: kmaheshak@gmail.com

Date: December 4, 2023

Abstract: Let (Ω, μ) , (Δ, ν) be measure spaces and $p = 1$ or $p = \infty$. Let $(\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Omega}, \{\tau_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Omega})$ and $(\{g_\beta\}_{\beta \in \Delta}, \{\omega_\beta\}_{\beta \in \Delta})$ be unbounded continuous p -Schauder frames for a Banach space \mathcal{X} . Then for every $x \in (\mathcal{D}(\theta_f) \cap \mathcal{D}(\theta_g)) \setminus \{0\}$, we show that

$$(1) \quad \mu(\text{supp}(\theta_f x)) \nu(\text{supp}(\theta_g x)) \geq \frac{1}{\left(\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} |f_\alpha(\omega_\beta)| \right) \left(\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} |g_\beta(\tau_\alpha)| \right)},$$

where

$$\theta_f : \mathcal{D}(\theta_f) \ni x \mapsto \theta_f x \in \mathcal{L}^p(\Omega, \mu); \quad \theta_f x : \Omega \ni \alpha \mapsto (\theta_f x)(\alpha) := f_\alpha(x) \in \mathbb{K},$$

$$\theta_g : \mathcal{D}(\theta_g) \ni x \mapsto \theta_g x \in \mathcal{L}^p(\Delta, \nu); \quad \theta_g x : \Delta \ni \beta \mapsto (\theta_g x)(\beta) := g_\beta(x) \in \mathbb{K}.$$

We call Inequality (1) as **Unbounded Donoho-Stark-Elad-Bruckstein-Ricaud-Torrésani Uncertainty Principle**. Along with recent **Functional Continuous Uncertainty Principle** [arXiv:2308.00312], Inequality (1) also improves Ricaud-Torrésani uncertainty principle [IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 2013]. In particular, it improves Elad-Bruckstein uncertainty principle [IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 2002] and Donoho-Stark uncertainty principle [SIAM J. Appl. Math., 1989].

Keywords: Uncertainty Principle, Frame, Banach space.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 42C15.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a collection $\{\tau_j\}_{j=1}^n$ in a finite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} over \mathbb{K} (\mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C}), define

$$\theta_\tau : \mathcal{H} \ni h \mapsto \theta_\tau h := (\langle h, \tau_j \rangle)_{j=1}^n \in \mathbb{K}^n.$$

Most general form of discrete uncertainty principle for finite dimensional Hilbert spaces is the following.

Theorem 1.1. (*Donoho-Stark-Elad-Bruckstein-Ricaud-Torrésani Uncertainty Principle*) [4, 5, 10] Let $\{\tau_j\}_{j=1}^n$, $\{\omega_j\}_{j=1}^n$ be two Parseval frames for a finite dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Then

$$\left(\frac{\|\theta_\tau h\|_0 + \|\theta_\omega h\|_0}{2} \right)^2 \geq \|\theta_\tau h\|_0 \|\theta_\omega h\|_0 \geq \frac{1}{\max_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} |\langle \tau_j, \omega_k \rangle|^2}, \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Recently, Theorem 1.1 has been derived for Banach spaces using the following notions.

Definition 1.2. [6, 7] Let (Ω, μ) be a measure space. Let $\{\tau_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Omega}$ be a collection in a Banach space \mathcal{X} and $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Omega}$ be a collection in \mathcal{X}^* . The pair $(\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Omega}, \{\tau_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Omega})$ is said to be a **continuous p -Schauder frame** for \mathcal{X} ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) if the following holds.

- (i) For every $x \in \mathcal{X}$, the map $\Omega \ni \alpha \mapsto f_\alpha(x) \in \mathbb{K}$ is measurable.
- (ii) The map

$$\theta_f : \mathcal{X} \ni x \mapsto \theta_f x \in \mathcal{L}^p(\Omega, \mu); \quad \theta_f x : \Omega \ni \alpha \mapsto (\theta_f x)(\alpha) := f_\alpha(x) \in \mathbb{K}$$

is a well-defined linear isometry.

- (iii) For every $x \in \mathcal{X}$, the map $\Omega \ni \alpha \mapsto f_\alpha(x)\tau_\alpha \in \mathcal{X}$ is weakly measurable.
- (iv) For every $x \in \mathcal{X}$,

$$x = \int_{\Omega} f_\alpha(x)\tau_\alpha d\mu(\alpha),$$

where the integral is weak integral.

Theorem 1.3. (Functional Continuous Donoho-Stark-Elad-Bruckstein-Ricaud-Torrésani Uncertainty Principle) [6–8] Let (Ω, μ) , (Δ, ν) be measure spaces. Let $(\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Omega}, \{\tau_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Omega})$ and $(\{g_\beta\}_{\beta \in \Delta}, \{\omega_\beta\}_{\beta \in \Delta})$ be continuous p -Schauder frames for a Banach space \mathcal{X} . Then

- (i) for $1 < p < \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\text{supp}(\theta_f x))^{\frac{1}{p}} \nu(\text{supp}(\theta_g x))^{\frac{1}{q}} &\geq \frac{1}{\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} |f_\alpha(\omega_\beta)|}, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \{0\}; \\ \nu(\text{supp}(\theta_g x))^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu(\text{supp}(\theta_f x))^{\frac{1}{q}} &\geq \frac{1}{\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} |g_\beta(\tau_\alpha)|}, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

where q is the conjugate index of p .

- (ii) for $p = 1$, we have

$$(2) \quad \mu(\text{supp}(\theta_f x)) \geq \frac{1}{\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} |f_\alpha(\omega_\beta)|}, \quad \nu(\text{supp}(\theta_g x)) \geq \frac{1}{\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} |g_\beta(\tau_\alpha)|}.$$

- (iii) for $p = \infty$, we have

$$\mu(\text{supp}(\theta_f x)) \geq \frac{1}{\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} |g_\beta(\tau_\alpha)|}, \quad \nu(\text{supp}(\theta_g x)) \geq \frac{1}{\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} |f_\alpha(\omega_\beta)|}.$$

In this paper, we derive an unbounded uncertainty principle which contains Theorem 1.1 as a particular case.

2. UNBOUNDED DONOHO-STARK-ELAD-BRUCKSTEIN-RICAUD-TORRÉSANI UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE

We first generalize Definition 1.2 using unbounded linear functionals. Our motivation to do so is the theory of unbounded frames (also known as semi frames or pseudo frames) for Hilbert and Banach spaces, see [1–3, 9].

Definition 2.1. Let (Ω, μ) be a measure space and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Let $\{\tau_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Omega}$ be a collection in a Banach space \mathcal{X} and $\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Omega}$ be a collection of linear functions on \mathcal{X} (which may not be bounded). The pair $(\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Omega}, \{\tau_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Omega})$ is said to be an **unbounded continuous p -Schauder frame** or **continuous semi p -Schauder frame** for \mathcal{X} if the following conditions holds.

**UNBOUNDED DONOHO-STARK-ELAD-BRUCKSTEIN-RICAUD-TORRÉSANI
UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLES**

(i) For every $x \in \mathcal{X}$, the map $\Omega \ni \alpha \mapsto f_\alpha(x) \in \mathbb{K}$ is measurable.

(ii) The map

$$\theta_f : \mathcal{D}(\theta_f) \ni x \mapsto \theta_f x \in \mathcal{L}^p(\Omega, \mu); \quad \theta_f x : \Omega \ni \alpha \mapsto (\theta_f x)(\alpha) := f_\alpha(x) \in \mathbb{K}$$

is well-defined (need not be bounded).

(iii) For every $x \in \mathcal{X}$, the map $\Omega \ni \alpha \mapsto f_\alpha(x)\tau_\alpha \in \mathcal{X}$ is weakly measurable.

(iv) For every $x \in \mathcal{D}(\theta_f)$,

$$x = \int_{\Omega} f_\alpha(x)\tau_\alpha d\mu(\alpha),$$

where the integral is weak integral.

Example 2.2. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Define $\mathcal{X} := \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ and let $\{e_n\}_n$ be the standard Schauder basis for \mathcal{X} . Let $\{\zeta_n\}_n$ be the coordinate functionals associated with $\{e_n\}_n$. Define

$$\tau_n := \frac{e_n}{n}, \quad f_n := n\zeta_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{D}(\theta_f) = \left\{ \{a_n\}_n \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N}) : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |na_n|^p < \infty \right\}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(\{a_m\}_m)\tau_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} na_n \frac{e_n}{n} = \{a_n\}_n, \quad \forall \{a_n\}_n \in \mathcal{D}(\theta_f).$$

Therefore $(\{f_n\}_n, \{\tau_n\}_n)$ is an unbounded p -Schauder frame for \mathcal{X} .

Theorem 2.3. (1-Unbounded Donoho-Stark-Elad-Bruckstein-Ricaud-Torrésani Uncertainty Principle) Let (Ω, μ) , (Δ, ν) be measure spaces. Let $(\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Omega}, \{\tau_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Omega})$ and $(\{g_\beta\}_{\beta \in \Delta}, \{\omega_\beta\}_{\beta \in \Delta})$ be unbounded continuous 1-Schauder frames for a Banach space \mathcal{X} . Then for every $x \in (\mathcal{D}(\theta_f) \cap \mathcal{D}(\theta_g)) \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$(3) \quad \mu(\text{supp}(\theta_f x))\nu(\text{supp}(\theta_g x)) \geq \frac{1}{\left(\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} |f_\alpha(\omega_\beta)| \right) \left(\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} |g_\beta(\tau_\alpha)| \right)}.$$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathcal{D}(\theta_f) \setminus \{0\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\theta_f x\| &= \int_{\Omega} |f_{\alpha}(x)| d\mu(\alpha) = \int_{\text{supp}(\theta_f x)} |f_{\alpha}(x)| d\mu(\alpha) = \int_{\text{supp}(\theta_f x)} \left| f_{\alpha} \left(\int_{\Delta} g_{\beta}(x) \omega_{\beta} d\nu(\beta) \right) \right| d\mu(\alpha) \\
 &= \int_{\text{supp}(\theta_f x)} \left| \int_{\Delta} g_{\beta}(x) f_{\alpha}(\omega_{\beta}) d\nu(\beta) \right| d\mu(\alpha) = \int_{\text{supp}(\theta_f x)} \left| \int_{\text{supp}(\theta_g x)} g_{\beta}(x) f_{\alpha}(\omega_{\beta}) d\nu(\beta) \right| d\mu(\alpha) \\
 &\leq \int_{\text{supp}(\theta_f x)} \int_{\text{supp}(\theta_g x)} |g_{\beta}(x) f_{\alpha}(\omega_{\beta})| d\nu(\beta) d\mu(\alpha) \\
 &\leq \left(\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} |f_{\alpha}(\omega_{\beta})| \right) \int_{\text{supp}(\theta_f x)} \int_{\text{supp}(\theta_g x)} |g_{\beta}(x)| d\nu(\beta) d\mu(\alpha) \\
 &= \left(\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} |f_{\alpha}(\omega_{\beta})| \right) \mu(\text{supp}(\theta_f x)) \int_{\text{supp}(\theta_g x)} |g_{\beta}(x)| d\nu(\beta) \\
 &= \left(\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} |f_{\alpha}(\omega_{\beta})| \right) \mu(\text{supp}(\theta_f x)) \|\theta_g x\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(4) \quad \frac{1}{\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} |f_{\alpha}(\omega_{\beta})|} \|\theta_f x\| \leq \mu(\text{supp}(\theta_f x)) \|\theta_g x\|.$$

On the other way, let $x \in \mathcal{D}(\theta_g) \setminus \{0\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\theta_g x\| &= \int_{\Delta} |g_{\beta}(x)| d\nu(\beta) = \int_{\text{supp}(\theta_g x)} |g_{\beta}(x)| d\nu(\beta) = \int_{\text{supp}(\theta_g x)} \left| g_{\beta} \left(\int_{\Omega} f_{\alpha}(x) \tau_{\alpha} d\mu(\alpha) \right) \right| d\nu(\beta) \\
 &= \int_{\text{supp}(\theta_g x)} \left| \int_{\Omega} f_{\alpha}(x) g_{\beta}(\tau_{\alpha}) d\mu(\alpha) \right| d\nu(\beta) = \int_{\text{supp}(\theta_g x)} \left| \int_{\text{supp}(\theta_f x)} f_{\alpha}(x) g_{\beta}(\tau_{\alpha}) d\mu(\alpha) \right| d\nu(\beta) \\
 &\leq \int_{\text{supp}(\theta_g x)} \int_{\text{supp}(\theta_f x)} |f_{\alpha}(x) g_{\beta}(\tau_{\alpha})| d\mu(\alpha) d\nu(\beta) \\
 &\leq \left(\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} |g_{\beta}(\tau_{\alpha})| \right) \int_{\text{supp}(\theta_g x)} \int_{\text{supp}(\theta_f x)} |f_{\alpha}(x)| d\mu(\alpha) d\nu(\beta) \\
 &= \left(\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} |g_{\beta}(\tau_{\alpha})| \right) \nu(\text{supp}(\theta_g x)) \int_{\text{supp}(\theta_f x)} |f_{\alpha}(x)| d\mu(\alpha) \\
 &= \left(\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} |g_{\beta}(\tau_{\alpha})| \right) \nu(\text{supp}(\theta_g x)) \|\theta_f x\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(5) \quad \frac{1}{\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} |g_{\beta}(\tau_{\alpha})|} \|\theta_g x\| \leq \nu(\text{supp}(\theta_g x)) \|\theta_f x\|.$$

**UNBOUNDED DONOHO-STARK-ELAD-BRUCKSTEIN-RICAUD-TORRÉSANI
UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLES**

Multiplying Inequalities (4) and (5) we get

$$\frac{1}{\left(\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} |f_\alpha(\omega_\beta)| \right) \left(\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} |g_\beta(\tau_\alpha)| \right)} \|\theta_f x\| \|\theta_g x\| \leq \mu(\text{supp}(\theta_f x)) \nu(\text{supp}(\theta_g x)) \|\theta_g x\| \|\theta_f x\|,$$

$$\forall x \in (\mathcal{D}(\theta_f) \cap \mathcal{D}(\theta_g)) \setminus \{0\}.$$

A cancellation of $\|\theta_f x\| \|\theta_g x\|$ gives the required inequality. \square

Corollary 2.4. *Let $(\{f_j\}_{j=1}^n, \{\tau_j\}_{j=1}^n)$ and $(\{g_k\}_{k=1}^m, \{\omega_k\}_{k=1}^m)$ be collections in a Banach space \mathcal{X} such that*

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(x) \tau_j = \sum_{k=1}^m g_k(x) \omega_k, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Then for every $x \in \mathcal{X} \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\|\theta_f x\|_0 \|\theta_g x\|_0 \geq \frac{1}{\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq m} |f_j(\omega_k)| \right) \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq m} |g_k(\tau_j)| \right)},$$

where

$$\theta_f : \mathcal{X} \ni x \mapsto (f_j(x))_{j=1}^n \in \ell^1([n]); \quad \theta_g : \mathcal{X} \ni x \mapsto (g_k(x))_{k=1}^m \in \ell^1([m]).$$

Even though by multiplying two inequalities in (2) we get Inequality (3) for continuous 1-Schauder frames, observe that the conclusion in (2) is stronger (with stronger assumption) than that of Theorem 2.3.

Theorem 2.5. (∞ -Unbounded Donoho-Stark-Elad-Bruckstein-Ricaud-Torrésani Uncertainty Principle) *Let (Ω, μ) , (Δ, ν) be measure spaces. Let $(\{f_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Omega}, \{\tau_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Omega})$ and $(\{g_\beta\}_{\beta \in \Delta}, \{\omega_\beta\}_{\beta \in \Delta})$ be unbounded continuous ∞ -Schauder frames for a Banach space \mathcal{X} . Then for every $x \in (\mathcal{D}(\theta_f) \cap \mathcal{D}(\theta_g)) \setminus \{0\}$, we have*

$$(6) \quad \mu(\text{supp}(\theta_f x)) \nu(\text{supp}(\theta_g x)) \geq \frac{1}{\left(\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} |f_\alpha(\omega_\beta)| \right) \left(\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} |g_\beta(\tau_\alpha)| \right)}.$$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathcal{D}(\theta_f) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\alpha \in \Omega$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |(\theta_f x)(\alpha)| &= |f_\alpha(x)| = \left| f_\alpha \left(\int_{\Delta} g_\beta(x) \omega_\beta d\nu(\beta) \right) \right| = \left| \int_{\Delta} g_\beta(x) f_\alpha(\omega_\beta) d\nu(\beta) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\text{supp}(\theta_g x)} g_\beta(x) f_\alpha(\omega_\beta) d\nu(\beta) \right| \leq \int_{\text{supp}(\theta_g x)} |g_\beta(x) f_\alpha(\omega_\beta)| d\nu(\beta) \\ &\leq \left(\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} |f_\alpha(\omega_\beta)| \right) \int_{\text{supp}(\theta_g x)} |g_\beta(x)| d\nu(\beta) \\ &\leq \left(\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} |f_\alpha(\omega_\beta)| \right) \nu(\text{supp}(\theta_g x)) \|\theta_g x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(7) \quad \frac{1}{\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} |f_\alpha(\omega_\beta)|} \|\theta_f x\| \leq \nu(\text{supp}(\theta_g x)) \|\theta_g x\|.$$

Now let $\beta \in \Delta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(\theta_g x)(\beta)| &= |g_\beta(x)| = \left| g_\beta \left(\int_{\Omega} f_\alpha(x) \tau_\alpha d\mu(\alpha) \right) \right| = \left| \int_{\Omega} f_\alpha(x) g_\beta(\tau_\alpha) d\mu(\alpha) \right| \\
 &= \left| \int_{\text{supp}(\theta_f x)} f_\alpha(x) g_\beta(\tau_\alpha) d\mu(\alpha) \right| \leq \int_{\text{supp}(\theta_f x)} |f_\alpha(x) g_\beta(\tau_\alpha)| d\mu(\alpha) \\
 &\leq \left(\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} |g_\beta(\tau_\alpha)| \right) \int_{\text{supp}(\theta_f x)} |f_\alpha(x)| d\mu(\alpha) \\
 &\leq \left(\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} |g_\beta(\tau_\alpha)| \right) \mu(\text{supp}(\theta_f x)) \|\theta_f x\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(8) \quad \frac{1}{\sup_{\alpha \in \Omega, \beta \in \Delta} |g_\beta(\tau_\alpha)|} \|\theta_g x\| \leq \mu(\text{supp}(\theta_f x)) \|\theta_f x\|.$$

By multiplying Inequalities (7) and (8) and canceling $\|\theta_f x\| \|\theta_g x\|$ we get the required inequality. \square

Note that the proof of Theorem 2.3 does not work for unbounded continuous p -Schauder frames for $p > 1$ (even by using Holder's inequality). We are therefore left over with following problem.

Problem 2.6. *What is the unbounded version of Theorem 2.3 for $1 < p < \infty$?*

REFERENCES

- [1] J.-P. Antoine and P. Balazs. Frames, semi-frames, and Hilbert scales. *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.*, 33(7-9):736–769, 2012.
- [2] Jean-Pierre Antoine and Peter Balazs. Frames and semi-frames. *J. Phys. A*, 44(20):205201, 25, 2011.
- [3] Ole Christensen. Frames and pseudo-inverses. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 195(2):401–414, 1995.
- [4] David L. Donoho and Philip B. Stark. Uncertainty principles and signal recovery. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 49(3):906–931, 1989.
- [5] Michael Elad and Alfred M. Bruckstein. A generalized uncertainty principle and sparse representation in pairs of bases. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 48(9):2558–2567, 2002.
- [6] K. Mahesh Krishna. Endpoint functional continuous uncertainty principles. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10121545>, 14 November, 2023.
- [7] K. Mahesh Krishna. Functional continuous uncertainty principle. *arXiv:2308.00312v1 [math.FA]* 1 August, 2023.
- [8] K. Mahesh Krishna. Functional Donoho-Stark-Elad-Bruckstein-Ricaud-Torrésani uncertainty principle. *arXiv: 2304.03324v1, [math.FA]* 5 April, 2023.
- [9] Bei Liu, Rui Liu, and Bentuo Zheng. Parseval p -frames and the Feichtinger conjecture. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 424(1):248–259, 2015.
- [10] Benjamin Ricaud and Bruno Torrèsani. Refined support and entropic uncertainty inequalities. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 59(7):4272–4279, 2013.