

# Human Demonstrations are Generalizable Knowledge for Robots

Te Cui<sup>1</sup>, Guangyan Chen<sup>1</sup>, Tianxing Zhou<sup>1</sup>, Zicai Peng<sup>1</sup>, Mengxiao Hu<sup>1</sup>,  
Haoyang Lu<sup>1</sup>, Haizhou Li<sup>1</sup>, Meiling Wang<sup>1</sup>, Yi Yang<sup>1</sup>, Yufeng Yue<sup>1\*</sup>

*Abstract*—Learning from human demonstrations is an emerging trend for designing intelligent robotic systems. However, previous methods typically regard videos as instructions, simply dividing them into action sequences for robotic repetition, which poses obstacles to generalization to diverse tasks or object instances. In this paper, we propose a different perspective, considering human demonstration videos not as mere instructions, but as a source of knowledge for robots. Motivated by this perspective and the remarkable comprehension and generalization capabilities exhibited by large language models (LLMs), we propose DigKnow, a method that Distills Generalizable KNOWledge with a hierarchical structure. Specifically, DigKnow begins by converting human demonstration video frames into observation knowledge. This knowledge is then subjected to analysis to extract human action knowledge and further distilled into pattern knowledge compassing task and object instances, resulting in the acquisition of generalizable knowledge with a hierarchical structure. In settings with different tasks or object instances, DigKnow retrieves relevant knowledge for the current task and object instances. Subsequently, the LLM-based planner conducts planning based on the retrieved knowledge, and the policy executes actions in line with the plan to achieve the designated task. Utilizing the retrieved knowledge, we validate and rectify planning and execution outcomes, resulting in a substantial enhancement of the success rate. Experimental results across a range of tasks and scenes demonstrate the effectiveness of this approach in facilitating real-world robots to accomplish tasks with the knowledge derived from human demonstrations.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Learning empowers autonomous agents, including robots, to acquire intricate and adaptable behavioral skills suitable for diverse and unstructured environments. In light of the substantial progress in large language models (LLMs) in recent years, many recent studies [1]–[8] have explored the application of LLMs in the robot learning region, yielding significant improvements. These methods enhance the ability of robots to comprehend and generate natural language, enabling more intelligent and natural conversational capabilities. Moreover, these approaches demand only a limited number of reference examples, eliminating the need for extensive training data collection. Nonetheless, these methods primarily concentrate on text-based task planning, facing challenges related to either insufficient provided information or complex input text content requirements, which pose obstacles for end-users to instruct robots effectively.

\*Corresponding author: Yufeng Yue (yueyufeng@bit.edu.cn).

<sup>1</sup>Guangyan Chen, Te Cui, Tianxing Zhou, Zicai Peng, Mengxiao Hu, Meiling Wang, Yi Yang, and Yufeng Yue are with the School of Automation, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing, 100081, China.




Fig. 1: Given a human demonstration video, our DigKnow distills it into hierarchical, generalizable knowledge, rather than mere instructions as employed in prior approaches. In scenarios involving different tasks or object instances, our DigKnow exhibits effective generalization through the utilization of the retrieved knowledge.

Learning from human demonstrations [9]–[15] is a promising method to instruct robots, as it typically does not necessitate specialized knowledge of robotics technology and involves minimal or no textual input for teaching robots new tasks, facilitating the transfer of research-based robot prototypes to real-world applications. This approach enables end-users to conveniently provide specific instructions to robots tailored to their individual needs within their respective environments. Previous methods predominantly train custom models on extensive datasets of robot actions, necessitating substantial data collection efforts. More importantly, these methods typically treat videos as instructions, dividing them into action sequences for straightforward robotic repetition, thereby impeding generalization across a variety of tasks and object instances. In this paper, we argue that human demonstration videos are knowledge for robots. Motivated by this perspective and the impressive capabilities demonstrated by LLMs. We naturally ask the question: can the LLMs serve as an effective knowledge learner conditioned on human demonstrations?

To answer this question, we exploit the LLMs for robot learning from human demonstration videos. However, it is challenging in terms of analysis, distillation, and generalization due to the following reasons: (I) **Analysis**. While GPT-4V can be utilized for video analysis and action sequence generation [11], its limited ability to assess the relative positions of objects

hinders its overall accuracy. To address this, we construct scene graphs, convert them into textual descriptions, and subsequently employ LLMs to generate action sequences by comparing consecutive scene graphs. This approach effectively captures relative positional relationships via scene graphs, thereby enhancing the accuracy of video analysis. (II) **Distillation.** A singular form of knowledge encounters difficulty in addressing both high-level and low-level requirements. To mitigate this challenge, we distill video analysis into hierarchical knowledge, encompassing observation, action, and pattern levels. For more efficient acquisition of relevant knowledge, we differentiate between task and object knowledge, enabling our method to retrieve knowledge for the current task and objects separately. (III) **Generalization.** While hierarchical knowledge is utilized to facilitate the generalization of robots to novel scenarios, this capacity is nonetheless constrained by the instability in the outputs of LLMs and the execution of actions. To address this issue, we leverage hierarchical knowledge to further validate and rectify planning and execution outcomes, which effectively enhances the success rate of task completion and strengthens the generalization capability.

Based on the above analysis, we propose a novel LLM-based framework for robot learning from human demonstration videos, called DigKnow. Given a human video, our approach initiates by constructing scene graphs to acquire observation knowledge. We then identify keyframes and employ LLMs to extract action knowledge through a comparative analysis of scene graphs between consecutive keyframes. Subsequently, this knowledge is subsequently distilled into pattern knowledge, with separate consideration for task and object instances. In scenarios involving different tasks or object instances, our DigKnow retrieves knowledge relevant to the current task and object instances. The LLM-based planner performs planning based on retrieved knowledge, and a low-level policy is employed for execution to accomplish the task. To enhance the generalization ability, we employ retrieved knowledge for additional validation and correction of planning and execution outcomes. As shown in Fig. 1, our DigKnow exhibits robust generalization capabilities, even when confronted with novel tasks and object instances.

Our main contributions can be summarized as follows:

- A novel LLM-based robot learning framework, termed DigKnow, is proposed. DigKnow distills human videos into generalizable knowledge and retrieves relevant knowledge to facilitate generalization in unseen scenarios.
- An efficient video analysis method is proposed, which utilizes LLMs to generate action sequences by comparing scene graphs, achieving accurate video analysis without additional training.
- A hierarchical knowledge structure based on video is proposed to facilitate the retrieval of relevant knowledge, thus enabling our method to generalize effectively in different scenarios.
- A knowledge-based correcting method is proposed to validate and rectify planning and execution results, thereby

improving task completion success rates and bolstering generalization capabilities.

## II. RELATED WORK

### A. LLM-based Task Planning

Large Language Models have demonstrated outstanding performance in various domains, including text comprehension and language translation [16]–[20]. In recent research, there is a growing interest in integrating LLMs with robotic systems to enhance robots’ high-level environmental awareness and task comprehension abilities [2], [8], [21]–[29]. However, most of them [25]–[29] demand extensive expert-collected robot data and entail the need for data reacquisition and model retraining when applying them to different or expanded robotic contexts. This limitation hinders their adaptability, rendering their direct application to varied tasks and contexts a challenging endeavor. Consequently, researchers have leveraged large language models for task decomposition and motion planning in robotics, translating human instructions into a sequence of precise executable steps [24], [30], [31], thereby enhancing the versatility of these approaches. SayCan [32] utilizes LLM to formulate a set of natural language-expressed executable robot actions, concurrently restricting the output space of large language models to enhance the resulting plans. PROG PROMPT [33] proposes a programmatic LLM prompting structure enabling planning across diverse environments, robot capabilities, and tasks. However, these methods predominantly focus on text-based task planning, encountering difficulties related to either inadequate information provided or intricate input text content requirements, thereby presenting obstacles to effective robot instruction by end-users.

### B. Robot Learning from Human Demonstrations

Learning from human demonstrations enables robots to quickly acquire the ability to complete specified tasks [12], [13], [34], [35]. Previous methodologies [9]–[11], [14], [15], [36]–[42] construct datasets of human actions to serve as training data, supervising the robotic motion learning process. Concept2Robot [14] employs a two-stage model, trained on an extensive human action dataset, facilitating the robotic arm in executing human instructions. DexMV [15] utilizes a specialized device to record human hand motions, serving as a reference for model learning and optimizing the motion postures of the robotic arm. However, the processes of collecting and annotating training data can lead to increased time consumption and experimental costs. Furthermore, these methods entail the need for model retraining when applying them to different or expanded robotic contexts. To alleviate these limitations, Microsoft leverages GPT-4(V) to interpret human demonstration videos and formulate motion instructions for robots [43]. This approach obviates the need for extensive data collection and model retraining, significantly enhancing system reusability in research. Nonetheless, these methods typically treat videos as instructions, dividing them into action sequences for straightforward robotic repetition, thereby




Fig. 2: Overall architecture of our DigKnow. (a) The human demonstration videos are distilled into hierarchical knowledge, spanning observation, action, and pattern levels. (b) In situations involving unseen tasks or object instances, the LLM-based planner utilizes the retrieved knowledge for planning, and a low-level policy is employed for execution. Furthermore, we employ retrieved knowledge for further validation and correction of planning and execution outcomes.

impeding generalization across a variety of tasks and object instances.

### III. DIGKNOW

Given the human demonstration video  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times 4 \times H \times W}$ , representing a sequence of moving images  $I$ , the DigKnow pipeline, as depicted in Fig. 2, begins with the construction of scene graphs to capture observation knowledge. Subsequently, DigKnow identifies keyframes and employs LLMs to generate action knowledge by comparatively analyzing consecutive keyframes. This action knowledge, comprising action sequences along with their associated scene graphs, is then distilled into pattern knowledge. The resulting hierarchical knowledge is stored in the knowledge base for future retrieval. In situations involving unseen tasks or object instances, our DigKnow retrieves saved knowledge relevant to the current task and object instances. The LLM-based planner utilizes the retrieved knowledge for planning, with a low-level policy employed for execution to accomplish the task. Upon completion of planning or action execution, we harness the retrieved hierarchical knowledge for further validation and rectification.

#### A. Generalizable Knowledge Distillation

Previous methods typically regard videos as instructions, simply dividing them into action sequences for robotic repetition, presenting difficulties in achieving generalization across various tasks or object instances. In this paper, we propose to distill human videos into generalizable knowledge with a hierarchical structure. The hierarchical knowledge structure contains the observation, action, and pattern levels, with the pattern level separately considering object instance and task patterns.

**Observation level:** To comprehend human-environment interactions, the observation level contains inter-object relations,

human-object relations, and object state information, represented as scene graphs extracted from downsampled frames.

Given the RGB-D human demonstration video  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times 4 \times H \times W}$ , we generate the downsampled video  $\tilde{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{T' \times 4 \times H \times W}$  by applying a downsampling scale  $d$ , where  $T' = \frac{T}{d}$ . For each frame  $I_t$  in the downsampled video, we perform open vocabulary semantic segmentation [44], [45] on the RGB image to obtain the segmentation results  $I_t^s$ . Subsequently, we project the segmentation results into a 3D semantic point cloud, utilizing the observed depth information. From the derived semantic point cloud, we compute spatial relations, encompassing both inter-object and human-object interactions. These relations are based on well-established and commonly used spatial relationship definitions. Additionally, we utilize the VLM to predict the state of each detected object. Consequently, the scene graph  $G_t = \{N, E\}$  is constructed, which describes nodes  $N$ , including object nodes and an additional human node, along with their spatial relations  $E$ . Each node is defined as  $n_i = (c_i, s_i)$ , where  $c_i$  represents the node class,  $s_i$  denotes the node state.

**Action level:** The action level analyzes human behaviors in the video and generates action sequences. Previous methods typically predict action sequences with custom models, requiring extensive data collection and labeling, and constraining the model's generalization capability. Although GPT-4V [11] can be utilized to generate action sequences, its limited ability to assess the relative positions of objects hinders its overall accuracy. In contrast, we take full advantage of our hierarchical structure, employing LLMs to predict action sequences based on the observation level. This approach effectively captures relative positional relationships via scene graphs, thus improving the accuracy of video analysis.

Considering the redundancy in the constructed scene graphs

for each downsampled frame, we select keyframes to reduce redundancy and facilitate action sequence generation. A keyframe is chosen when the scene graph of the current frame, denoted as  $G_t$ , differs from that of the preceding frame,  $G_{t-1}$ . This selection results in keyframe sequences, represented as  $G^k$ , which have a length of  $T^k$ . For each keyframe, we convert the scene graph into text with the following format.

Objects and states: **object1 [state], object2, object3 [state] ...**  
 Inter-object relations: **object1 is [spatial relation] object2 ...**  
 Human-object relations: **object3 is [spatial relation] hand.**

These keyframes are fed into LLMs to generate action sequences  $\mathcal{A}$  with a length of  $T^k - 1$  through the comparison between consecutive keyframes. More concretely, the  $i$ -th action  $\mathcal{A}_i$  is derived as follows:

The scene graph of the first frame is  $G_i^k$ , the scene graph of the second frame is  $G_{i+1}^k$   
**Q:** What action did this human perform? **A:** Put chips in the drawer.

Consequently, the action knowledge comprises action sequences along with their corresponding initial and end scene graphs. To facilitate summarization while reducing computational and memory resources, only objects pertinent to associated actions and those with spatial relations to the action-relevant objects are considered in the resulting scene graphs.

**Pattern level:** To effectively generalize in unseen scenarios, we further distill the action level into the pattern level by summarizing patterns presented in human demonstration videos. Furthermore, we independently analyze object-related and task-related patterns, which facilitates the retrieval of relevant knowledge for specific objects or tasks within unseen scenarios. To achieve this, the generated action sequences and their associated scene graphs from the action knowledge, are fed into the LLM, and we instruct it to summarize these patterns.

Initial scene graph:  $G_0^k$   
 Action and resulting scene graphs: 1.  $\mathcal{A}_0$ ;  $G_1^k$ . 2.  $\mathcal{A}_1$ ;  $G_2^k$  ...  
**Q:** 1. Concretize this task. 2. Summarize patterns for each object.  
**A:** 1. Repositioning each object on the table to its original location.  
 2. The drawer on the table tends to contain snacks ...

In our implementation, we directly query the LLM to summarize task patterns  $\mathcal{P}^t$  and object patterns  $\mathcal{P}^o$ . Specifically, the patterns related to tasks primarily involve concretizing human-provided instructions, enhancing the robot’s comprehension of abstract human instructions. Additionally, we capture patterns for each object relevant to actions. For objects with single interactions, their patterns are directly represented by the scene graph depicted in the keyframe. For objects involved in multiple interactions, we guide the LLM to summarize their patterns based on the associated actions and corresponding scene graphs.

**Knowledge storage:** Following the acquisition of generalizable knowledge, we employ the summarized task patterns from the provided video and the visual representation of the

scene as text-keys  $K^t$  and visual-keys  $K^v$ , respectively. The acquired knowledge is stored in a knowledge base  $\mathcal{B}$  associated with these keys. The task patterns are stored in textual format, while the visual representations are preserved as images.

### B. Knowledge Retrieval

When the robot operates in response to human instructions, DigKnow queries the knowledge base to retrieve pertinent stored knowledge, which then guides its planning process. We observe that object-related knowledge within similar scenes can be transferrable across distinct tasks (e.g., object locations). Additionally, task-related knowledge for similar instructions can also be applicable across various scenes.

To achieve this, we introduce two retrieval metrics: (I) task semantics; (II) visual feature of the scene. The task knowledge corresponding to relevant instructions and the object knowledge corresponding to identical objects within similar scenes are extracted. Specifically, DigKnow initiates a zero-shot query to the LLM, instructing it to identify all tasks with text-keys  $K^t$  that exhibit semantic similarity to the current task instruction. The task pattern knowledge from each relevant task is incorporated into the planning process.

Current task: [task instruction]  
 Previous tasks: 1.  $K_1^t$ , 2.  $K_2^t$ , 3.  $K_3^t$  ...  
**Q:** 1. Does the new task belong to the same category as any previous tasks? 2. If it does, which specific prior tasks fall into this category? **A:** 1: Yes, 2: [1,5].

Subsequently, we identify object instances within the current scene and access the pertinent object pattern knowledge stored in the knowledge base. Specifically, our approach calculates the visual similarity between the current scene and the visual-keys  $K^v$  stored in the knowledge base, selecting the top  $N$  scenes displaying the highest similarity. Then, the pattern knowledge  $\mathcal{P}^o$  of the detected objects is retrieved from the selected scenes and transmitted to the LLM. In this paper, we employ DINO-V2 [46] features for visual-visual retrieval.

Utilizing the retrieved pattern knowledge  $\mathcal{P}^t$  and  $\mathcal{P}^o$  for both task and object instances, DigKnow constructs the initial scene graph  $G_0$  of the current scene, and then LLM performs planning to generate the necessary action sequences  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  for task completion as follows:

Task: [task instruction]  
 Initial scene graph:  $G_0$   
 Pattern knowledge:  $\mathcal{P}^t, \mathcal{P}^o$   
**Q:** Please generate an action sequence to complete the task. **A:** 1. Open the drawer; 2. Pick up the drink; 3. Put the drink on the table; 4. Close the drawer.

### C. Knowledge-based Correction

While the LLM generates plans informed by the retrieved knowledge, the generalization capacity remains constrained by the inherent instability in LLM outputs and action execution. To address this issue, the planning corrector and execution corrector are developed to leverage hierarchical knowledge

for the validation and rectification of planning and execution outcomes. This approach significantly improves task completion success rates and enhances generalization capabilities.

**Planning corrector:** We observe that planning failures can predominantly be attributed to two factors: (1) inconsistencies between plans and the provided knowledge, and (2) planning actions without fulfilling their preconditions, exemplified by instances where a robot intends to close the cabinet before placing the drink it holds in its gripper. To address the first factor, we query LLM to assess the alignment between generated plans and the retrieved knowledge, resulting in the summarization of disparities denoted as  $\mathcal{S}^k$ .

Task: [task instruction]  
 Pattern knowledge:  $\mathcal{P}^i, \mathcal{P}^o$   
 Planned actions:  $\mathcal{A}_0, \hat{\mathcal{A}}_1, \dots$   
 Q: 1. Validate compliance with pattern knowledge; 2. if not, summarize accordingly. A: 1. No. 2. The drink should be in the drawer, not in the basket. ...

Regarding the second factor, our approach involves three key steps. Initially, we instruct LLM to infer the preceding scene graphs  $G_t^k$  for individual actions  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_t$  by leveraging the initial scene graph and the planned action sequence. Subsequently, actions  $\mathcal{A}_t$  and their preceding scene graphs  $G_t^k$  from retrieved knowledge are introduced (Sec. III-B). Then, LLM assesses the generated action sequence  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$  for compliance with execution requirements by considering the provided actions  $\mathcal{A}_t$  and their associated preceding scene graphs  $G_t^k$ , while also providing summaries of any discrepancies and their explanations  $\mathcal{S}^c$ .

Action and preceding scene graphs: 1.  $\mathcal{A}_0; G_0^k$ . 2.  $\mathcal{A}_1; G_1^k \dots$   
 Initial scene graph:  $G_0$   
 Planned actions:  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_0, \hat{\mathcal{A}}_1, \dots$   
 Q: 1. Infer the scene graph sequence based on the planned action and the initial scene graph. 2. Determine compliance with execution conditions based on the provided action, its preceding scene graph, and the inferred preceding scene graph 3. If not compliant, summarize the discrepancies. A: 1.  $G_0^k, G_1^k, G_2^k \dots$  2. No. 3. The robot should first place the drink and then close the drawer..

Based on the explanation for planning failures summarized by LLM, we instruct LLM to make corrections to the plans.

Planned actions:  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_0, \hat{\mathcal{A}}_1, \dots$   
 Failure explanation:  $\mathcal{S}^k, \mathcal{S}^c$   
 Q: Revise plans based on failure explanation. A: 3. Place the drink on the table. 4. Close the drawer ...

**Execution corrector:** To alleviate task failure caused by individual action execution errors, we employ an execution correction mechanism that leverages retrieved knowledge for validation. Following the execution of each action, we construct a scene graph of the current environment. To refine this scene graph, we consider only objects relevant to the action and those spatially associated with task-relevant objects. Subsequently, semantically analogous actions and their resulting scene graphs

are introduced. The LLM then evaluates whether the execution outcomes of the current action align with those of the provided actions. Disparities and their explanations are summarized. Based on this summary, we instruct LLM to rectify the failure.

Action and resulting scene graphs: 1.  $\mathcal{A}_0; G_1^k$ . 2.  $\mathcal{A}_1; G_2^k \dots$   
 Executed action and the resulting scene graph:  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_t, G_{t+1}$   
 Q: 1. Based on the provided action and its corresponding resulting scene graph, whether the executed action is successful. 2. If not, explain the failure and generate the correction plan. A: 1. No. 2. Explanation: Failed to successfully grasp the drink. Correction plan: 1. Pick up the drink from the drawer; 2. Place the drink on the table.

## IV. EXPERIMENTS

We evaluate our approach in a real-world environment with a Franka Emika Panda Robot. We use GPT-4 [47] for all LLM modules. We design experiments to test DigKnow’s core capabilities: (I) distilling hierarchical knowledge from human demonstration videos, (II) retrieving relevant knowledge and performing planning, and (III) validating and correcting the planning and execution results. Specifically, we provide a human demonstration video and instruct the robot to perform tasks in scenarios involving different tasks or objects, evaluating the (I) hierarchical knowledge, (II) planned action sequences, and (III) the correction results in the event of errors.

**Generalizable knowledge distillation:** Effective knowledge distillation from videos is crucial for enhancing the adaptability of robots in diverse environments. To demonstrate the effect of generalizable knowledge distillation, we utilize a human demonstration video as input, and the resulting knowledge is presented in Fig 3. DigKnow effectively generates action sequences precisely, and distills task and object pattern knowledge, enabling our method to generalize to unseen scenarios.

**Knowledge-based planning:** To assess DigKnow’s generalization capability through knowledge acquisition, we devise two scenarios featuring distinct object instances and task directives. We apply the acquired knowledge for planning, and the results, including a summary and the generated action sequence, are presented in Figure 4. In the first scenario, which involves the desk organization task with unseen object instances, DigKnow demonstrates precise task comprehension facilitated by distilled task pattern knowledge. Moreover, it accurately categorizes drawers for each object based on object pattern knowledge, leading to the successful completion of the task. In the second scenario, involving a different task and unseen object instances, DigKnow also achieves successful task execution.

**Knowledge-based correction:** Verification and correction are crucial for enhancing the robot’s generalization across different scenarios, as planning and execution errors are inevitable. To validate DigKnow’s verification and correction capabilities based on extracted knowledge, we conducted multiple tests in the designed scenarios and examined DigKnow’s correction results. Examples of planning correction and execution correction in the second scenario are depicted in Fig. 5. DigKnow is capable of correcting the planned action




Fig. 3: Qualitative results of generalizable knowledge distillation.

sequences by comparing them with the provided knowledge. Additionally, in cases of policy execution errors, DigKnow accurately analyzes the causes of the error and generates plans to rectify it.

## V. LIMITATIONS

At present, our experiments remain confined to a limited set of scenarios. In the future, we will broaden the scope of our experiments to encompass a more extensive range of scenarios for a comprehensive validation of our DigKnow method.

## VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we introduce a novel perspective regarding human videos as knowledge for robots, departing from the utilization of videos solely as instructions, as employed in prior methods. To achieve this, we introduce an efficient and robust framework, termed DigKnow, designed to distill generalizable knowledge from human videos, spanning observation, action, and pattern levels. In diverse task and object instance scenarios, DigKnow selectively retrieves pertinent knowledge for the present task and object instances. Subsequently, our LLM-based




Fig. 4: Qualitative results of knowledge-based planning.




Fig. 5: Qualitative results of planning corrector and execution corrector.

planner formulates plans based on the retrieved knowledge, while the policy executes actions in accordance with the plan to achieve the specified task. Leveraging the retrieved generalizable knowledge, we validate and rectify planning and execution outcomes, resulting in a substantial improvement in

the success rate. Experimental results conducted across various tasks and environments demonstrate the effectiveness of this approach in enabling real-world robots to accomplish tasks with knowledge derived from human demonstrations.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Naoki Wake, Atsushi Kanehira, Kazuhiro Sasabuchi, Jun Takamatsu, and Katsushi Ikeuchi. Chatgpt empowered long-step robot control in various environments: A case application. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.03893*, 2023.
- [2] Wenlong Huang, Pieter Abbeel, Deepak Pathak, and Igor Mordatch. Language models as zero-shot planners: Extracting actionable knowledge for embodied agents. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 9118–9147. PMLR, 2022.
- [3] Mengdi Xu, Peide Huang, Wenhao Yu, Shiqi Liu, Xilun Zhang, Yaru Niu, Tingnan Zhang, Fei Xia, Jie Tan, and Ding Zhao. Creative robot tool use with large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.13065*, 2023.
- [4] Haoyu Zhou, Mingyu Ding, Weikun Peng, Masayoshi Tomizuka, Lin Shao, and Chuang Gan. Generalizable long-horizon manipulations with large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.02264*, 2023.
- [5] Zhe Ni, Xiao-Xin Deng, Cong Tai, Xin-Yue Zhu, Xiang Wu, Yong-Jin Liu, and Long Zeng. Grid: Scene-graph-based instruction-driven robotic task planning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.07726*, 2023.
- [6] Boyi Li, Philipp Wu, Pieter Abbeel, and Jitendra Malik. Interactive task planning with language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.10645*, 2023.
- [7] Lihan Zha, Yuchen Cui, Li-Heng Lin, Minae Kwon, Montserrat Gonzalez Arenas, Andy Zeng, Fei Xia, and Dorsa Sadigh. Distilling and retrieving generalizable knowledge for robot manipulation via language corrections. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.10678*, 2023.
- [8] Zeyi Liu, Arpit Bahety, and Shuran Song. Reflect: Summarizing robot experiences for failure explanation and correction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.15724*, 2023.
- [9] Yezhou Yang, Yi Li, Cornelia Fermuller, and Yiannis Aloimonos. Robot learning manipulation action plans by “watching” unconstrained videos from the world wide web. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 29, 2015.
- [10] Jangwon Lee and Michael S Ryoo. Learning robot activities from first-person human videos using convolutional future regression. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops*, pages 1–2, 2017.
- [11] Naoki Wake, Atsushi Kanehira, Kazuhiro Sasabuchi, Jun Takamatsu, and Katsushi Ikeuchi. Gpt-4v (ision) for robotics: Multimodal task planning from human demonstration. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.12015*, 2023.
- [12] Stefan Schaal. Learning from demonstration. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 9, 1996.
- [13] Harish Ravichandar, Athanasios S Polydoros, Sonia Chernova, and Aude Billard. Recent advances in robot learning from demonstration. *Annual review of control, robotics, and autonomous systems*, 3:297–330, 2020.
- [14] Lin Shao, Toki Migimatsu, Qiang Zhang, Karen Yang, and Jeannette Bohg. Concept2robot: Learning manipulation concepts from instructions and human demonstrations. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 40(12-14):1419–1434, 2021.
- [15] Yuzhe Qin, Yueh-Hua Wu, Shaowei Liu, Hanwen Jiang, Ruihan Yang, Yang Fu, and Xiaolong Wang. Dexmv: Imitation learning for dexterous manipulation from human videos. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 570–587. Springer, 2022.
- [16] Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding, 2019.
- [17] Sid Black, Stella Biderman, Eric Hallahan, Quentin Anthony, Leo Gao, Laurence Golding, Horace He, Connor Leahy, Kyle McDonell, Jason Phang, Michael Pieler, USVSN Sai Prashanth, Shivanshu Purohit, Laria Reynolds, Jonathan Tow, Ben Wang, and Samuel Weinbach. Gpt-neox-20b: An open-source autoregressive language model, 2022.
- [18] Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, et al. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971*, 2023.
- [19] Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Albert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajjwal Bhargava, Shruti Bhosale, et al. Llama 2: Open foundation and finetuned chat models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288*, 2023.
- [20] Ebtesam Almazrouei, Hamza Alobeidli, Abdulaziz Alshamsi, Alessandro Cappelli, Ruxandra Cojocaru, Merouane Debbah, Etienne Goffinet, Daniel Heslow, Julien Launay, Quentin Malartic, et al. Falcon-40b: an open large language model with state-of-the-art performance. *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL*, 2023:10755–10773, 2023.
- [21] Chan Hee Song, Jiaman Wu, Clayton Washington, Brian M. Sadler, Wei-Lun Chao, and Yu Su. Llm-planner: Few-shot grounded planning for embodied agents with large language models, 2023.
- [22] Kevin Lin, Christopher Agia, Toki Migimatsu, Marco Pavone, and Jeannette Bohg. Text2motion: from natural language instructions to feasible plans. *Autonomous Robots*, 47(8):1345–1365, November 2023.
- [23] Jacky Liang, Wenlong Huang, Fei Xia, Peng Xu, Karol Hausman, Brian Ichter, Pete Florence, and Andy Zeng. Code as policies: Language model programs for embodied control. In *2023 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 9493–9500. IEEE, 2023.
- [24] Wenlong Huang, Fei Xia, Ted Xiao, Harris Chan, Jacky Liang, Pete Florence, Andy Zeng, Jonathan Tompson, Igor Mordatch, Yevgen Chebotar, et al. Inner monologue: Embodied reasoning through planning with language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.05608*, 2022.
- [25] Mohit Shridhar, Lucas Manuelli, and Dieter Fox. Perceiver-actor: A multi-task transformer for robotic manipulation. In *Conference on Robot Learning*, pages 785–799. PMLR, 2023.
- [26] Anthony Brohan, Noah Brown, Justice Carbajal, Yevgen Chebotar, Xi Chen, Krzysztof Choromanski, Tianli Ding, Danny Driess, Avinava Dubey, Chelsea Finn, et al. Rt-2: Vision-language-action models transfer web knowledge to robotic control. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.15818*, 2023.
- [27] Anthony Brohan, Noah Brown, Justice Carbajal, Yevgen Chebotar, Joseph Dabis, Chelsea Finn, Keerthana Gopalakrishnan, Karol Hausman, Alex Herzog, Jasmine Hsu, et al. Rt-1: Robotics transformer for real-world control at scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.06817*, 2022.
- [28] Xinghang Li, Minghuan Liu, Hanbo Zhang, Cunjun Yu, Jie Xu, Hongtao Wu, Chilam Cheang, Ya Jing, Weinan Zhang, Huaping Liu, et al. Vision-language foundation models as effective robot imitators. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.01378*, 2023.
- [29] Rutav Shah, Roberto Martín-Martín, and Yuke Zhu. Mutex: Learning unified policies from multimodal task specifications. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.14320*, 2023.
- [30] Yan Ding, Xiaohan Zhang, Saeid Amiri, Nieqing Cao, Hao Yang, Chad Esselink, and Shiqi Zhang. Robot task planning and situation handling in open worlds. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.01287*, 2022.
- [31] Yan Ding, Xiaohan Zhang, Chris Paxton, and Shiqi Zhang. Task and motion planning with large language models for object rearrangement. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.06247*, 2023.
- [32] Anthony Brohan, Yevgen Chebotar, Chelsea Finn, Karol Hausman, Alexander Herzog, Daniel Ho, Julian Ibarz, Alex Irpan, Eric Jang, Ryan Julian, et al. Do as i can, not as i say: Grounding language in robotic affordances. In *Conference on Robot Learning*, pages 287–318. PMLR, 2023.
- [33] Ishika Singh, Valts Blukis, Arsalan Mousavian, Ankit Goyal, Danfei Xu, Jonathan Tremblay, Dieter Fox, Jesse Thomason, and Animesh Garg. Progprompt: Generating situated robot task plans using large language models. In *2023 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pages 11523–11530. IEEE, 2023.
- [34] George Konidaris, Scott Kuindersma, Roderic Grupen, and Andrew Barto. Robot learning from demonstration by constructing skill trees. *The International Journal of Robotics Research*, 31(3):360–375, 2012.
- [35] Brenna D Argall, Sonia Chernova, Manuela Veloso, and Brett Browning. A survey of robot learning from demonstration. *Robotics and autonomous systems*, 57(5):469–483, 2009.
- [36] Annie S Chen, Suraj Nair, and Chelsea Finn. Learning generalizable robotic reward functions from “in-the-wild” human videos. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.16817*, 2021.
- [37] Eric Jang, Alex Irpan, Mohi Khansari, Daniel Kappler, Frederik Ebert, Corey Lynch, Sergey Levine, and Chelsea Finn. Bc-z: Zero-shot task generalization with robotic imitation learning. In *Conference on Robot Learning*, pages 991–1002. PMLR, 2022.
- [38] Laura Smith, Nikita Dhawan, Marvin Zhang, Pieter Abbeel, and Sergey Levine. Avid: Learning multi-stage tasks via pixel-level translation of human videos. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.04443*, 2019.
- [39] Haoyu Xiong, Quanzhou Li, Yun-Chun Chen, Homanga Bharadhwaj, Samarth Sinha, and Animesh Garg. Learning by watching: Physical imitation of manipulation skills from human videos. In *2021 IEEE/RSJ*

- International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, pages 7827–7834. IEEE, 2021.
- [40] Sudeep Dasari and Abhinav Gupta. Transformers for one-shot visual imitation. In *Conference on Robot Learning*, pages 2071–2084. PMLR, 2021.
  - [41] Suraj Nair, Aravind Rajeswaran, Vikash Kumar, Chelsea Finn, and Abhinav Gupta. R3m: A universal visual representation for robot manipulation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.12601*, 2022.
  - [42] Naoki Wake, Riku Arakawa, Iori Yanokura, Takuya Kiyokawa, Kazuhiro Sasabuchi, Jun Takamatsu, and Katsushi Ikeuchi. A learning-from-observation framework: One-shot robot teaching for grasp-manipulation-release household operations. In *2021 IEEE/SICE International Symposium on System Integration (SII)*, pages 461–466. IEEE, 2021.
  - [43] Naoki Wake, Atsushi Kanehira, Kazuhiro Sasabuchi, Jun Takamatsu, and Katsushi Ikeuchi. Gpt-4v (ision) for robotics: Multimodal task planning from human demonstration. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.12015*, 2023.
  - [44] Alexander Kirillov, Eric Mintun, Nikhila Ravi, Hanzi Mao, Chloe Rolland, Laura Gustafson, Tete Xiao, Spencer Whitehead, Alexander C. Berg, Wan-Yen Lo, Piotr Dollár, and Ross Girshick. Segment anything. *arXiv:2304.02643*, 2023.
  - [45] Shilong Liu, Zhaoyang Zeng, Tianhe Ren, Feng Li, Hao Zhang, Jie Yang, Chunyuan Li, Jianwei Yang, Hang Su, Jun Zhu, et al. Grounding dino: Marrying dino with grounded pre-training for open-set object detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.05499*, 2023.
  - [46] Maxime Oquab, Timothée Darcet, Théo Moutakanni, Huy Vo, Marc Szafraniec, Vasil Khalidov, Pierre Fernandez, Daniel Haziza, Francisco Massa, Alaaeldin El-Nouby, et al. Dinov2: Learning robust visual features without supervision. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.07193*, 2023.
  - [47] OpenAI. Gpt-4 technical report, 2023.