

# MagicStick: Controllable Video Editing via Control Handle Transformations

Yue Ma<sup>1</sup> Xiaodong Cun<sup>2†</sup> Yingqing He<sup>1</sup> Chenyang Qi<sup>1</sup> Xintao Wang<sup>2</sup>  
 Ying Shan<sup>2</sup> Xiu Li<sup>3</sup> Qifeng Chen<sup>1†</sup>  
<sup>1</sup>HKUST <sup>2</sup>Tencent AI Lab <sup>3</sup>Tsinghua University, SIGS

<https://magic-stick-edit.github.io/>




Figure 1. **Controllable video editing via modifying control handle.** We present a unified framework to modify video properties (e.g., shape, size, location, motion) leveraging the manual keyframe transformations on the extracted internal control signals.

## Abstract

Text-based video editing has recently attracted considerable interest in changing the style or replacing the objects with a similar structure. Beyond this, we demonstrate that properties such as shape, size, location, motion, etc., can also be edited in videos. Our key insight is that the keyframe’s transformations of the specific internal feature (e.g., edge maps of objects or human pose), can easily propagate to other frames to provide generation guidance. We thus propose *MagicStick*, a controllable video

editing method that edits the video properties by utilizing the transformation on the extracted internal control signals. In detail, to keep the appearance, we inflate both the pre-trained image diffusion model and ControlNet to the temporal dimension and train low-rank adaptions (LORA) layers to fit the specific scenes. Then, in editing, we perform an inversion and editing framework. Differently, finetuned ControlNet is introduced in both inversion and generation for attention guidance with the proposed attention remix between the spatial attention maps of inversion and editing. Yet succinct, our method is the first method to show the ability of video property editing from the pre-trained text-to-image model. We present experiments on numerous

† Corresponding author.

*examples within our unified framework. We also compare with shape-aware text-based editing and handcrafted motion video generation, demonstrating our superior temporal consistency and editing capability than previous works. The code and models will be made publicly available.*

## 1. Introduction

Due to the remarkable progress of text-to-image (T2I) generation [20, 25, 48], video editing has recently achieved significant progress leveraging the generation prior of text-to-image models [49]. Previous works have studied various editing effects, such as visual style transfer [3, 16, 45, 61] or modification of the character and object to a similar one [7, 21, 31]. However, many straightforward edits in the video remain out of reach currently. For example, can we enlarge or resize the specific objects in the video? What if we replace the localization of the specific objects? Or even, can we edit the human motion in the video?

These editings seem possible for images [13] but it is hard for video. First, each frame in the original video is different so the editing needs to be applied to all the frames individually. Second, the temporal consistency will deteriorate if we apply frame-wise editing to the video directly. Finally, taking resizing as an example, if we directly segment the object and then edit, the generated video still relies on the abilities of additional inpainting [1, 2] and harmonization [10]. To handle these problems, our key insight is inspired by ControlNet [65], where the structure can be generated following additional control. Editing the control signal is a relatively easy and clear way other than directly editing appearance. Thus, we first segment the specific object and then perform the editing on the *one specific frame* of the video, where other frames can utilize the same transformations by simple propagation.

To this end, we propose MagicStick, a universal video editing framework for geometry editing rather than appearance. To keep appearance, we first train a controllable video generation network following [36, 58] based on the pre-trained Stable Diffusion [49] and ControlNet [65] to get the specific appearance of the given video with the control signal and text prompt. After that, we involve the transformed conditional in both video inversion and generation. Finally, we propose a novel attention remixing block by remixing the attention in the inversion and generation with the guidance of fine-tuned ControlNet signals for editing. Thanks to our unified framework, we can successfully make changes to the specific video in terms of shape, size, and localization. To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to demonstrate the ability of such video editing via the pure text-to-image model without any 3D information. Besides the mentioned attributes, we can also alter the motion in the input human video, which is also absent in previous

T2I-based video editing approaches. To justify the effectiveness of our novel editing framework, we conduct extensive experiments on various videos, demonstrating the superiority of our approach.

Our contributions are summarized as follows:

- We demonstrate the ability of a series of important video editing aspects (including shape, size, location, and human motion) for the first time by introducing a new unified controllable video editing framework using pre-trained T2I models.
- We propose an effective attention remix module utilizing the attention from control signals to faithfully retain the edit-unrelated information from the source video.
- The experiments show the advantages of the proposed methods over the previous similar topics, *e.g.*, Shape-aware Video Editing [31] and handcrafted motion controls [56].

## 2. Related Work

**Video Editing.** Editing natural videos is a vital task that has drawn the attention of current researchers in the field of computer vision. Before the advent of diffusion models, many GAN-based approaches [15, 17, 42, 44] have achieved good performance. The emergence of diffusion models [52] delivers higher quality and more diverse editing results. Text2live [3] and StableVideo [7] present layer-atlas-based methods and edit the video on a flattened texture map. FateZero [45] and Video-p2p [33], guided by original and target text prompts, perform semantic editing by blending cross-attention activations. There are also some approaches [14, 39] edit the appearance of the generated images by powerful yet private video diffusion models. However, Most of these methods mainly focus on the texture of content rather than the shape editing, which is a more challenging topic. They show obvious artifacts even with the optimization of generative priors. In contrast, our framework can achieve the editing of complex properties including shape, size, and location, while maintaining both appearance and temporal consistency.

**Image and Video Generation.** Text-to-image generation is a popular topic with extensive research in recent years. Many approaches have developed based on transformer architectures [12, 18, 19, 25, 26, 47, 60, 62, 63] to achieve textual control for generated content. Currently, diffusion-based models [23, 41] have gained remarkable attention due to the impressive generation performance. DALL-E-2 [48] enhances text-image alignments by leveraging the representation space of CLIP [46] and Imagen [51] employs cascaded diffusion models to achieve high-definition image generation. Self-guidance [13] conducts controllable image generation using attention and activations. However, it operates attention during generation and struggles to maintain the consistency with input. Moreover, its focus is on image




Figure 2. **Overview.** We propose a controllable video editing method that edits the video properties by utilizing the transformation on the extracted internal control signals. To achieve this, on the **left**, we tune the given video based on the extracted control signals and the text prompt for video customization. On the **right**, we first edit the key frame manually and propagate it to other frames as guidance. Then, relying on the proposed attention remix module, we can achieve controllable video editing using structure guidance during inversion and denoising.

generation and cannot be applied to video editing directly.

To address a similar problem in video generation, various works [4, 20, 34–36, 66] try to extend LDM to video domain. The approaches such as MagicVideo [67] and GEN1 [14] adopt a distinct strategy by initializing the model from text-to-image [49]. These methods then generate continuous content by incorporating additional temporal layers. Tune-A-Video [58] proposes a method that specializes in one-shot text-to-video generation tasks. The model has the ability to generate video with similar motion to the source video. However, how to edit real-world video content using this model is still unclear. Inspired by the image controllable generation methods [65] and Tune-A-Video, our method can achieve video property editing in real-world videos by leveraging the pretrained text-to-image model.

**Image Editing** has a long history in computer vision. Early works mainly leverage GAN [17] or VAE [30] to generate results in some specific domain. Thanks to the development of diffusion model [52], many current works adopt the pretrained diffusion model [24] for editing. SDEdit [37] adds noise and corruption to the image for generating the content. DiffEdit [9] and Blended Diffusion [1, 2] use the additional mask to blend the inversion noises or representations during the image generation process. Prompt-to-Prompt [22] achieve semantic editing by mixing activations from original and target text prompts. Similar work has also been proposed by Plug-and-Play [53], Pix2pix-Zero [43] and Masacrl [6]. There are also some methods [29, 38, 57] achieving better editing performance by finetuning on a single image. However, applying these image editing approaches to video frames directly will lead to serious issues such as flickering

and inconsistency among frames.

### 3. Method

We aim to edit the property changes (e.g., shape, size, location, motion) in a video through transformations on one specific control signal as shown in Fig. 2. Below, we first give the basic knowledge of the latent diffusion model and inversion in Sec. 3.1. Then, we introduce our video customization method in Sec. 3.2 to keep the appearance. Finally, we present the details of control handle transformation (Sec. 3.3) and the editing details in inference (Sec. 3.4).

#### 3.1. Preliminary

**Latent Diffusion Models.** Derived from diffusion Models, Latent Diffusion Models [49] reformulate the diffusion and denoising procedures within a latent space. First, an encoder  $\mathcal{E}$  compresses a pixel space image  $x$  to a low-resolution latent  $z = \mathcal{E}(x)$ , which can be reconstructed from latent feature to image  $\mathcal{D}(z) \approx x$  by decoder  $\mathcal{D}$ . Second, a U-Net [50]  $\varepsilon_\theta$  with self-attention [54] and cross-attention is optimized to eliminate the artificial noise using the objective during training:

$$\min_{\theta} E_{z_0, \varepsilon \sim N(0, I), t \sim \text{Uniform}(1, T)} \|\varepsilon - \varepsilon_\theta(z_t, t, p)\|_2^2, \quad (1)$$

where  $p$  is the embedding of the text prompt and  $z_t$  is a noisy sample of  $z_0$  at timestep  $t$ . After training, we can generate the image from a random noise  $\varepsilon$  and a text embedding  $p$  to recover the latent  $z$  and then decoded by  $\mathcal{D}$ .

**DDIM Inversion.** During inference, we can use deterministic DDIM sampling to transform a random noise  $z_T$  to a

clean latent  $z_0$  across a sequence of timesteps from  $T$  to 1:

$$z_{t-1} = \sqrt{\alpha_{t-1}} \frac{z_t - \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t} \varepsilon_\theta}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{t-1}} \varepsilon_\theta, \quad (2)$$

where  $\alpha_t$  is the parameter for noise scheduling [24, 52]. Thus, DDIM Inversion [11] is proposed to inverse the above progress from a clean latent space  $z_0$  to a noised latent space  $z_T$  by adding noising:

$$\hat{z}_t = \sqrt{\alpha_t} \frac{\hat{z}_{t-1} - \sqrt{1 - \alpha_{t-1}} \varepsilon_\theta}{\sqrt{\alpha_{t-1}}} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t} \varepsilon_\theta, \quad (3)$$

In this context, the  $z_0$  can be reconstructed by inverted latent  $\hat{z}_T$  using DDIM and classifier-free guidance.

### 3.2. Controllable Video Customization

Since the generation process of the generative model is too stochastic to keep the appearance, for our tasks, we first tune the network to satisfy our requirements, which is similar to model customization in text-to-image generation. Differently, we also involve the pretrained ControlNet [65] to add additional correspondence between the condition and output, with additional designs of temporal attention layer [58], trainable LoRA [27] and token embedding for better video customization finetuning.

In detail, as shown in Fig. 2, the low-rank matrices [27] are injected into pre-trained linear layers within the cross-attention modules of the denoising UNet. LoRA employs a low-rank learnable factorization technique to update the attention weight matrix  $W_q, W_k, W_v$ :

$$W_i = W_{i_0} + \Delta W_{i_0} = W_{i_0} + B_i A_i, \quad (4)$$

where  $i = q, k, v$  denotes the different part in cross-attention.  $W_{i_0} \in R^{d \times k}$  represents the original weights of the pre-trained T2I model [49],  $B \in R^{d \times r}$  and  $A \in R^{r \times k}$  represent the low-rank factors, where  $r$  is much smaller than original dimensions  $d$  and  $k$ . Remarkably, this operation does not hurt the ability of the pre-trained T2I model [49] in concept generation and composition. In addition, we leverage inflated ControlNet [65] inspired by Tune-A-Video [58] to extract temporal information among the condition sequences. The original condition of the object is encoded by structure-guided module, where we convert the self-attention to spatial-temporal self-attention.

### 3.3. Control Handle Transformation

Since naive object editing in a video may deteriorate the surrounding background, we opt to edit the first condition to ensure a more consistent editing performance. Then they are incorporated into UNet after being encoded by ControlNet-based [65] structure guided module. As shown in Fig. 2, our control handle editing involves three steps.




Figure 3. **Attention ReMix module.** Take the moving task as an example, we utilize edited conditions in both inversion and denoising. In inversion, the edited condition  $C^{edit}$  is employed to edit self-attention and cross attention from input video and get binary mask  $M_t$ . In denoising,  $C^{edit}$  is leveraged to guide the appearance generation in the target area.

**1) Extract.** We first segment the interested objects using Segment-and-Track-Anything [8]. Then the annotator (e.g., pose detector, hed-detector, depth estimator) is utilized to extract conditions in the clean segmented object sequences.

**2) Transformation.** After getting the intermediate representations of the specific frame, the user can perform single or multiple geometric editing operations on objects in the first condition frame, such as resizing and moving.

**3) Propagation.** We compute the transformation parameters in the first edited frame and then apply these transformations across all frames, ensuring consistent guidance throughout the video. This propagation can also be adjusted frame-by-frame by the users.

### 3.4. Controllable Video Editing

With guidance from the user and the appearance customization, we can finally edit the video in our framework. In detail, we conduct the video inversion to obtain the intermediate features and then inject saved features during the denoising stage as shown in Fig. 2. Different from previous unconditional video inversion and editing pipeline [45], our method guides both processes with the control signals and then performs editing via the proposed attention remix module.

**Controllable Inversion and Generation.** Most current works [5, 28, 38] perform the inversion and editing pipeline to achieve appearance editing. For our task, we aim to achieve more complex property editing by incorporating a control handle during the inversion and generation. To be more specific, the same edited conditions in two stages are employed to play distinct roles. During inversion, we inject the representation of the edited condition into the UNet as well as modify the self-attention and cross-attention from the source video. As shown in Fig. 3, we observe that both



the original and target areas are activated by edited words after injection. During generation, the edited condition is reintroduced into the network to serve as guidance for re-generating specific highlighted areas. In both stages, the injection of the edited condition is indispensable to accomplish our editing tasks through their mutual coordination.

**Attention Remix Module.** With only the guidance of structure can not perform the editing well since the original objects will also influence the results. To achieve our ultimate goal, we propose the Attention ReMix module to modify the attention during the generation process. As shown in Fig. 3, we store the intermediate self-attention maps  $\{s_t^{\text{src}}\}_{t=1}^T$  and cross-attention maps  $\{c_t^{\text{src}}\}_{t=1}^T$  at each timestep  $t$  and the last noising latent maps  $z_T$  as:

$$z_T, \{c_t^{\text{src}}\}_{t=1}^T, \{s_t^{\text{src}}\}_{t=1}^T = \text{Inv}(z_0, C^{\text{edit}}), \quad (5)$$

where  $\text{Inv}$  donates for the DDIM inversion pipeline and  $C^{\text{edit}}$  represents edited condition. As shown in Fig. 3, during the denoising stage, the activation areas of cross-attention by edited words provide significant assistance for mask generation. The binary mask  $M_t$  is obtained from thresholding the cross-attention map  $\{c_t^{\text{src}}\}_{t=1}^T$ . Then we blend the  $\{s_t^{\text{src}}\}_{t=1}^T$  with  $M_t$  and use the structure-guided module to generate the target object. Formally, this process is implemented as:

$$M_t = \text{GetMask}(c_t^{\text{src}}, \tau), \\ s_t^{\text{final}} = G(M_t \odot s_t^{\text{src}}, C^{\text{edit}}) + (1 - M_t) \odot s_t^{\text{src}}. \quad (6)$$

where  $\tau$  stands for the threshold,  $\text{GetMask}(\cdot)$  and  $G(\cdot)$  represent the operation to get mask and structure guidance.

## 4. Experiments

We implement our method based on the pretrained model of Stable Diffusion [49] and ControlNet [65] at 100 iterations. We sample 8 uniform frames at the resolution of  $512 \times 512$  from the input video and finetune the models for about 5 minutes on one NVIDIA RTX 3090Ti GPU. The learning rate is  $3 \times 10^{-5}$ . During editing, the attentions are fused in the DDIM step at  $t \in [0.5 \times T, T]$  with total timestep  $T = 50$ . We use DDIM sampler [52] with classifier-free guidance [23] in our experiments. The source prompt of the video is generated via the image caption model [32] and then replaced or modified some words manually.

### 4.1. Applications

**Object size editing.** Using the pretrained text-to-image diffusion model [49], our method supports object size editing through manual modification of the specific sketch in the first frame. As shown in the 1st and 2nd rows of Fig. 4, our method achieves consistent editing of the foreground

“swan” by scaling down its sketch, while preserving the original background content.

**Object position editing.** One of the applications of our method is to edit the position of objects by adjusting the object’s position in the first frame of the control signal. This task is challenging because the model needs to generate the object at the target area while inpainting the original area simultaneously. In the 3rd and 4th rows of Fig. 4, thanks to the proposed Attention ReMix module, our approach enables moving the “parrot” from the right of the branch to left by shifting the position of the parrot sketch in 1st condition frame. The background and the object successfully remain consistent in different video frames.

**Human motion editing.** Our approach is also capable of editing human motion just replacing the source skeleton condition to the target sequences extracted from certain videos. For instance, we can modify man’s motion from “jumping” to “raising hand” in the 5th and 6th rows in Fig. 4. The result shows that we can generate new content using target pose sequences while maintaining the human appearance in the source video.

**Object appearance editing.** Thanks to the broad knowledge of per-trained T2I models [49], we modify the object appearance through the editing of text prompts which is similar to current mainstream video editing methods. Differently, we can further fulfill the property and appearance editing simultaneously. The 7th and 8th rows in Fig. 4 showcase this powerful capability. We replace “bear” with “lion” simply by modifying the corresponding words and enlarging its sketch.

### 4.2. Comparisons

**Qualitative results.** Our method enables multiple possibilities for editing. Here we give two types since they can be directly compared with other methods. The first is Shape-aware editing. As shown in Fig 5, the most naive method is to segment the objects and direct inpainting [64] and paste. However, we find this naive method struggles with the video harmonization and temporal artifacts caused by inpainting and segmentation as shown in Fig. 5. Another relevant method is [31]. However, its appearance is still limited by the optimization, causing blur and unnatural results. We also compare the method by naively using Tune-A-Video [58] with T2I-Adapter [40]. It accomplishes local editing after overfitting but still exhibits temporal inconsistency. Differently, the proposed methods manage to resize the “swan” and edit its appearance to “duck” simultaneously, while maintaining the temporal coherence. On the other hand, we compare our method on conditional video generation from handcrafted motion conditions and a single appearance. As shown in Fig. 5, VideoComposer [56] struggles to generate temporally consistent results. In contrast, our method showcases a natural appearance and better



Figure 4. **Controllable video editing via modifying the control signals (e.g., sketch map and skeleton).** Our framework can achieve consistent editing via the transformation of control signals while keeping its original appearance. From top to bottom is resizing (small), moving (transformation), human pose editing, and shape-aware text-based editing. We can further introduce text-based editing as the bottom sample to change both appearance and shape. Zoom in for the best view.






Figure 5. **Qualitative comparison.** **Left:** Shape-aware video editing. we resize the swan and replace word “swan” with “duck”. **Right:** Moving object, the first frame and motion conditions are provided to reconstruct the video. Our results exhibit the best temporal consistency, video fidelity, and editing quality. Zoom in for the best view.

temporal consistency.

**Quantitative results.** We also conduct the quantitative results of the experiments to show the advantage of the proposed framework with the following metrics: 1) *Tem-Con*: Following the previous methods [14, 55, 59], we perform the quantitative evaluation using the pretrained CLIP [46] model. Specifically, we evaluate the temporal consistency in frames by calculating the cosine similarity between all pairs of consecutive frames. 2) *Fram-Acc*: We execute the appearance editing and report the matrix about frame-wise editing accuracy, which is the percentage of frames. In detail, the edited frame will exhibit a higher CLIP similarity to the target prompt than the source prompt. 3) *Four user studies metrics*: Following FateZero [45], we assess our approach using four user studies metrics (“Edit”, “Image”, “Temp” and “ID”). They are editing quality, overall frame-wise image fidelity, temporal consistency of the video, and object appearance consistency, respectively. For a fair comparison, we ask 20 subjects to rank different methods. Each study displays four videos in random order and requests the evaluators to identify the one with superior quality. From Tab. 1, the proposed method achieves the best temporal consistency against baselines and shows a comparable object appearance consistency as frame-wise inpainting and pasting. As for the user studies, the average ranking of our method earns user preferences the best in four aspects.

### 4.3. Ablation Studies

**Video Customization via LoRA and token embedding tunning.** In the right column of Fig. 6, we present the cases without LoRA in cross-attention or token embedding tunning. The visualized result in 3rd column illustrates the significance of cross-attention LoRA. The preservation of

Method	CLIP Metrics $\uparrow$		User Study $\downarrow$			
	Tem-Con	Fram-Acc	Edit	Image	Temp	ID
Tune-A-Video [58] & T2I-adapter [40]	0.891	0.851	2.87	2.99	2.67	3.18
Shape-aware Edit [31]	0.722	0.618	3.56	3.69	3.74	3.53
VideoComposer [56]	0.914	0.846	3.38	2.74	2.94	4.31
Single-frame Inpainting & Pasting	0.920	0.887	3.92	3.97	4.08	<b>1.93</b>
Ours	<b>0.928</b>	<b>0.919</b>	<b>1.27</b>	<b>1.62</b>	<b>1.58</b>	2.04

Table 1. **Quantitative evaluation against baselines.** In our user study, the results of our method are preferred over those from baselines. For CLIP-Score, we achieve the best temporal consistency and comparable object appearance consistency against frame-wise inpainting and pasting.




Figure 6. **Ablation on Video Customization.** Without LoRA tuning in cross-attention, the generated video can not preserve the content of the object. If we remove token embedding tunning, the appearance of the object (e.g., texture and color) experiences a degradation in content consistency.

object appearance is unattainable without it. We also ob-



Figure 7. **Ablation about Attention ReMix module.** The attentions (left top) are the visualization of cross-attention during denoising. The masks  $1 - M_t$  (left bottom) are generated by the word activation of cross-attention in inversion. If we remove the structure-guided module in inversion or denoising, our method fails to complete moving task.

Method	CLIP Metrics $\uparrow$		User Study $\downarrow$			
	Tem-Con	Fram-Acc	Edit	Image	Temp	ID
Inversion & Editing						
W/o LoRA tuning in cross-attention	0.891	0.884	3.27	3.34	2.91	3.97
W/o token embedding tuning	0.908	0.915	2.65	3.09	2.63	3.82
W/o spatial self-attention	0.752	0.869	4.34	3.71	4.09	3.41
W/o temporal layer	0.884	0.893	3.52	3.12	3.92	2.40
Ours	<b>0.931</b>	<b>0.922</b>	<b>1.23</b>	<b>1.74</b>	<b>1.46</b>	<b>1.41</b>

Table 2. **Quantitative evaluation about ablation study.** For CLIP-Score, if we remove the spatial self-attention, the temporal consistency declines a lot. In our user study, the generated videos without LoRA tuning in cross-attention exhibit challenges in preserving appearance.

serve a decline in performance when token embedding is not tuned, further indicating that this operation is crucial for preserving content. In contrast, our framework ensures the consistency of texture and appearance by finetuning both components.

**Attention Remix Module** is studied in Fig. 7, where we remove the structure-guided module to ablate its role during inversion and generation. The 3rd column shows that removing the structure-guided module in inversion led to the failure of moving editing. Since the lack of target area mask (left bottom of 3rd column), the self-attention in this region entirely derives from that of the background. The 4th column demonstrates the absence of guidance during generation. It also fails to achieve the task even with the target area mask (left bottom of 4th column). We analyze that there is no guidance for the target area during the generation (left top of 4th column). Finally, we also visualize the result without attention remix module both two stages in the 5th column. The framework severely degrades to be a self-attention reconstruction. We observe that it fails to accomplish the moving task and maintain background consistency (red rectangles in the 5th column). In contrast, when we equip the guidance both in inversion and generation, the “cup” can be shifted successfully, which further emphasizes the significance of our module.




Figure 8. **Ablation about temporal modules.** Without spatial-temporal self-attention (short as “ST-Attention”), the generated result shows an inconsistent background. If we eliminate the temporal layer, flickering occurs among frames.

**Temporal modules.** We ablate the temporal modules in our framework, including spatial-temporal self-attention and the temporal layer. In the 3rd column of Fig. 8, we notice that removing the spatial-temporal self-attention results in temporal artifacts among frames, emphasizing its importance for temporal consistency. The 4th column illustrates the impact when the temporal layer is removed, showing its effects on the temporal stability of the generated video.

**Quantitative Ablation.** We employ a similar setting with the comparison with baseline in Sec. 4.2. In Tab. 2, we note that the ID performance experiences a significant decline without token embedding tuning. When removing spatial self-attention, the temporal coherence of the generated video is significantly disrupted, further underscoring the crucial role of modules.

## 5. Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a new controllable video editing method *MagicStick* that performs temporal consistent video property editing such as shape, size, location, motion, or all that can also be edited in videos. To the best of our knowledge, our method is the first to demonstrate the capability of video editing using a trained text-to-image model. To achieve this, we make an attempt to study and utilize the transformations on one control signal (e.g., edge maps of objects or human pose) using customized ControlNet. A new Attention ReMix module is further proposed for more complex video property editing. Our framework leverages pre-trained image diffusion models for video editing, which we believe will contribute to a lot of new video applications.

**Limitation & Future work.** While our method achieves impressive results, it still has some limitations. During ge-



ometry editing, it struggles to modify the motion of the object to follow new trajectories significantly different from those in the source video. For instance, making a swan take flight or a person perform a full Thomas spin is quite challenging for our framework. We will test our method on the more powerful pretrained video diffusion model for better editing abilities.

**Acknowledgments.** We thank Jiaxi Feng, Yabo Zhang for their helpful comments. This project was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China under grant number 2022ZD0161501.

## References

- [1] Omri Avrahami, Dani Lischinski, and Ohad Fried. Blended diffusion for text-driven editing of natural images. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 18208–18218, 2022. 2, 3
- [2] Omri Avrahami, Ohad Fried, and Dani Lischinski. Blended latent diffusion. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 42(4):1–11, 2023. 2, 3
- [3] Omer Bar-Tal, Dolev Ofri-Amar, Rafail Fridman, Yoni Kasten, and Tali Dekel. Text2live: Text-driven layered image and video editing. In *European conference on computer vision*, pages 707–723. Springer, 2022. 2
- [4] Andreas Blattmann, Robin Rombach, Huan Ling, Tim Dockhorn, Seung Wook Kim, Sanja Fidler, and Karsten Kreis. Align your latents: High-resolution video synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 22563–22575, 2023. 3
- [5] Tim Brooks, Aleksander Holynski, and Alexei A Efros. Instructpix2pix: Learning to follow image editing instructions. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 18392–18402, 2023. 4
- [6] Mingdeng Cao, Xintao Wang, Zhongang Qi, Ying Shan, Xiahao Qie, and Yinqiang Zheng. Masactrl: Tuning-free mutual self-attention control for consistent image synthesis and editing. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, pages 22560–22570, 2023. 3
- [7] Wenhao Chai, Xun Guo, Gaoang Wang, and Yan Lu. Stable-video: Text-driven consistency-aware diffusion video editing. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 23040–23050, 2023. 2
- [8] Yangming Cheng, Liulei Li, Yuanyou Xu, Xiaodi Li, Zongxin Yang, Wenguan Wang, and Yi Yang. Segment and track anything. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.06558*, 2023. 4
- [9] Guillaume Couairon, Jakob Verbeek, Holger Schwenk, and Matthieu Cord. Diffedit: Diffusion-based semantic image editing with mask guidance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.11427*, 2022. 3
- [10] Xiaodong Cun and Chi-Man Pun. Improving the harmony of the composite image by spatial-separated attention module. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 29:4759–4771, 2020. 2
- [11] Prafulla Dhariwal and Alexander Nichol. Diffusion models beat gans on image synthesis. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 34:8780–8794, 2021. 4
- [12] Ming Ding, Wendi Zheng, Wenyi Hong, and Jie Tang. Cogview2: Faster and better text-to-image generation via hierarchical transformers. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:16890–16902, 2022. 2
- [13] Dave Epstein, Allan Jabri, Ben Poole, Alexei A. Efros, and Aleksander Holynski. Diffusion self-guidance for controllable image generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.00986*, 2023. 2
- [14] Patrick Esser, Johnathan Chiu, Parmida Atighehchian, Jonathan Granskog, and Anastasis Germanidis. Structure and content-guided video synthesis with diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 7346–7356, 2023. 2, 3, 7
- [15] Rinon Gal, Or Patashnik, Haggai Maron, Amit H Bermano, Gal Chechik, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Stylegan-nada: Clip-guided domain adaptation of image generators. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 41(4):1–13, 2022. 2
- [16] Michal Geyer, Omer Bar-Tal, Shai Bagon, and Tali Dekel. Tokenflow: Consistent diffusion features for consistent video editing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.10373*, 2023. 2
- [17] Ian Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. Generative adversarial networks. *Communications of the ACM*, 63(11):139–144, 2020. 2, 3
- [18] Chunming He, Kai Li, Yachao Zhang, Longxiang Tang, Yulun Zhang, Zhenhua Guo, and Xiu Li. Camouflaged object detection with feature decomposition and edge reconstruction. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 22046–22055, 2023. 2
- [19] Chunming He, Kai Li, Yachao Zhang, Guoxia Xu, Longxiang Tang, Yulun Zhang, Zhenhua Guo, and Xiu Li. Weakly-supervised concealed object segmentation with sam-based pseudo labeling and multi-scale feature grouping. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.11003*, 2023. 2
- [20] Yingqing He, Tianyu Yang, Yong Zhang, Ying Shan, and Qifeng Chen. Latent video diffusion models for high-fidelity video generation with arbitrary lengths. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.13221*, 2022. 2, 3
- [21] Yingqing He, Menghan Xia, Haoxin Chen, Xiaodong Cun, Yuan Gong, Jinbo Xing, Yong Zhang, Xintao Wang, Chao Weng, Ying Shan, et al. Animate-a-story: Storytelling with retrieval-augmented video generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.06940*, 2023. 2
- [22] Amir Hertz, Ron Mokady, Jay Tenenbaum, Kfir Aberman, Yael Pritch, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Prompt-to-prompt image editing with cross attention control. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.01626*, 2022. 3
- [23] Jonathan Ho and Tim Salimans. Classifier-free diffusion guidance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.12598*, 2022. 2, 5
- [24] Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:6840–6851, 2020. 3, 4

- [25] Jonathan Ho, William Chan, Chitwan Saharia, Jay Whang, Ruiqi Gao, Alexey Gritsenko, Diederik P Kingma, Ben Poole, Mohammad Norouzi, David J Fleet, et al. Imagen video: High definition video generation with diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.02303*, 2022. 2
- [26] Wenyi Hong, Ming Ding, Wendi Zheng, Xinghan Liu, and Jie Tang. Cogvideo: Large-scale pretraining for text-to-video generation via transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.15868*, 2022. 2
- [27] Edward J Hu, Yelong Shen, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang, and Weizhu Chen. Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.09685*, 2021. 4
- [28] Xuan Ju, Ailing Zeng, Yuxuan Bian, Shaoteng Liu, and Qiang Xu. Direct inversion: Boosting diffusion-based editing with 3 lines of code. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.04269*, 2023. 4
- [29] Bahjat Kawar, Shiran Zada, Oran Lang, Omer Tov, Huiwen Chang, Tali Dekel, Inbar Mosseri, and Michal Irani. Imagic: Text-based real image editing with diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 6007–6017, 2023. 3
- [30] Diederik P Kingma and Max Welling. Auto-encoding variational bayes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1312.6114*, 2013. 3
- [31] Yao-Chih Lee, Ji-Ze Genevieve Jang, Yi-Ting Chen, Elizabeth Qiu, and Jia-Bin Huang. Shape-aware text-driven layered video editing. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 14317–14326, 2023. 2, 5, 7
- [32] Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Caiming Xiong, and Steven Hoi. Blip: Bootstrapping language-image pre-training for unified vision-language understanding and generation. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 12888–12900. PMLR, 2022. 5
- [33] Shaoteng Liu, Yuechen Zhang, Wenbo Li, Zhe Lin, and Jiaya Jia. Video-p2p: Video editing with cross-attention control. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.04761*, 2023. 2
- [34] Zhengxiong Luo, Dayou Chen, Yingya Zhang, Yan Huang, Liang Wang, Yujun Shen, Deli Zhao, Jinren Zhou, and Tieniu Tan. Decomposed diffusion models for high-quality video generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08320*, 2023. 3
- [35] Yue Ma, Yali Wang, Yue Wu, Ziyu Lyu, Siran Chen, Xiu Li, and Yu Qiao. Visual knowledge graph for human action reasoning in videos. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, pages 4132–4141, 2022.
- [36] Yue Ma, Yingqing He, Xiaodong Cun, Xintao Wang, Ying Shan, Xiu Li, and Qifeng Chen. Follow your pose: Pose-guided text-to-video generation using pose-free videos. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.01186*, 2023. 2, 3
- [37] Chenlin Meng, Yutong He, Yang Song, Jiaming Song, Jiajun Wu, Jun-Yan Zhu, and Stefano Ermon. Sdedit: Guided image synthesis and editing with stochastic differential equations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.01073*, 2021. 3
- [38] Ron Mokady, Amir Hertz, Kfir Aberman, Yael Pritch, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Null-text inversion for editing real images using guided diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 6038–6047, 2023. 3, 4
- [39] Eyal Molad, Eliahu Horwitz, Dani Valevski, Alex Rav Acha, Yossi Matias, Yael Pritch, Yaniv Leviathan, and Yedid Hoshen. Dreamix: Video diffusion models are general video editors. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.01329*, 2023. 2
- [40] Chong Mou, Xintao Wang, Liangbin Xie, Jian Zhang, Zhong-gang Qi, Ying Shan, and Xiaohu Qie. T2i-adapter: Learning adapters to dig out more controllable ability for text-to-image diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.08453*, 2023. 5, 7
- [41] Alex Nichol, Prafulla Dhariwal, Aditya Ramesh, Pranav Shyam, Pamela Mishkin, Bob McGrew, Ilya Sutskever, and Mark Chen. Glide: Towards photorealistic image generation and editing with text-guided diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.10741*, 2021. 2
- [42] Taesung Park, Ming-Yu Liu, Ting-Chun Wang, and Jun-Yan Zhu. Semantic image synthesis with spatially-adaptive normalization. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 2337–2346, 2019. 2
- [43] Gaurav Parmar, Krishna Kumar Singh, Richard Zhang, Yijun Li, Jingwan Lu, and Jun-Yan Zhu. Zero-shot image-to-image translation. In *ACM SIGGRAPH 2023 Conference Proceedings*, pages 1–11, 2023. 3
- [44] Or Patashnik, Zongze Wu, Eli Shechtman, Daniel Cohen-Or, and Dani Lischinski. Styleclip: Text-driven manipulation of stylegan imagery. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 2085–2094, 2021. 2
- [45] Chenyang Qi, Xiaodong Cun, Yong Zhang, Chenyang Lei, Xintao Wang, Ying Shan, and Qifeng Chen. Fatezero: Fusing attentions for zero-shot text-based video editing. *arXiv:2303.09535*, 2023. 2, 4, 7
- [46] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021. 2, 7
- [47] Aditya Ramesh, Mikhail Pavlov, Gabriel Goh, Scott Gray, Chelsea Voss, Alec Radford, Mark Chen, and Ilya Sutskever. Zero-shot text-to-image generation. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 8821–8831. PMLR, 2021. 2
- [48] Aditya Ramesh, Prafulla Dhariwal, Alex Nichol, Casey Chu, and Mark Chen. Hierarchical text-conditional image generation with clip latents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.06125*, 1 (2):3, 2022. 2
- [49] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 10684–10695, 2022. 2, 3, 4, 5
- [50] Olaf Ronneberger, Philipp Fischer, and Thomas Brox. U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. In *Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted*

- Intervention–MICCAI 2015: 18th International Conference, Munich, Germany, October 5-9, 2015, Proceedings, Part III 18*, pages 234–241. Springer, 2015. 3
- [51] Chitwan Saharia, William Chan, Saurabh Saxena, Lala Li, Jay Whang, Emily L Denton, Kamyar Ghasemipour, Raphael Gontijo Lopes, Burcu Karagol Ayan, Tim Salimans, et al. Photorealistic text-to-image diffusion models with deep language understanding. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:36479–36494, 2022. 2
- [52] Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. Denoising diffusion implicit models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.02502*, 2020. 2, 3, 4, 5
- [53] Narek Tumanyan, Michal Geyer, Shai Bagon, and Tali Dekel. Plug-and-play diffusion features for text-driven image-to-image translation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1921–1930, 2023. 3
- [54] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017. 3
- [55] Fu-Yun Wang, Wenshuo Chen, Guanglu Song, Han-Jia Ye, Yu Liu, and Hongsheng Li. Gen-l-video: Multi-text to long video generation via temporal co-denoising. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.18264*, 2023. 7
- [56] Xiang Wang, Hangjie Yuan, Shiwei Zhang, Dayou Chen, Jiuniu Wang, Yingya Zhang, Yujun Shen, Deli Zhao, and Jingren Zhou. Videocomposer: Compositional video synthesis with motion controllability. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.02018*, 2023. 2, 5, 7
- [57] Yuxiang Wei, Yabo Zhang, Zhilong Ji, Jinfeng Bai, Lei Zhang, and Wangmeng Zuo. Elite: Encoding visual concepts into textual embeddings for customized text-to-image generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13848*, 2023. 3
- [58] Jay Zhangjie Wu, Yixiao Ge, Xintao Wang, Stan Weixian Lei, Yuchao Gu, Yufei Shi, Wynne Hsu, Ying Shan, Xiaohu Qie, and Mike Zheng Shou. Tune-a-video: One-shot tuning of image diffusion models for text-to-video generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 7623–7633, 2023. 2, 3, 4, 5, 7
- [59] Jinbo Xing, Menghan Xia, Yuxin Liu, Yuechen Zhang, Yong Zhang, Yingqing He, Hanyuan Liu, Haoxin Chen, Xiaodong Cun, Xintao Wang, et al. Make-your-video: Customized video generation using textual and structural guidance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.00943*, 2023. 7
- [60] Wilson Yan, Yunzhi Zhang, Pieter Abbeel, and Aravind Srinivas. Videogpt: Video generation using vq-vae and transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.10157*, 2021. 2
- [61] Shuai Yang, Yifan Zhou, Ziwei Liu, , and Chen Change Loy. Rerender a video: Zero-shot text-guided video-to-video translation. In *ACM SIGGRAPH Asia Conference Proceedings*, 2023. 2
- [62] Jiahui Yu, Xin Li, Jing Yu Koh, Han Zhang, Ruoming Pang, James Qin, Alexander Ku, Yuanzhong Xu, Jason Baldridge, and Yonghui Wu. Vector-quantized image modeling with improved vqgan. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.04627*, 2021. 2
- [63] Jiahui Yu, Yuanzhong Xu, Jing Yu Koh, Thang Luong, Gunjan Baid, Zirui Wang, Vijay Vasudevan, Alexander Ku, Yinfei Yang, Burcu Karagol Ayan, et al. Scaling autoregressive models for content-rich text-to-image generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.10789*, 2022. 2
- [64] Tao Yu, Runseng Feng, Ruoyu Feng, Jinming Liu, Xin Jin, Wenjun Zeng, and Zhibo Chen. Inpaint anything: Segment anything meets image inpainting. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.06790*, 2023. 5
- [65] Lvmin Zhang, Anyi Rao, and Maneesh Agrawala. Adding conditional control to text-to-image diffusion models, 2023. 2, 3, 4, 5
- [66] Yabo Zhang, Yuxiang Wei, Dongsheng Jiang, Xiaopeng Zhang, Wangmeng Zuo, and Qi Tian. Controlvideo: Training-free controllable text-to-video generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.13077*, 2023. 3
- [67] Daquan Zhou, Weimin Wang, Hanshu Yan, Weiwei Lv, Yizhe Zhu, and Jiashi Feng. Magicvideo: Efficient video generation with latent diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.11018*, 2022. 3