ConChain: A Scheme for Contention-free and Attack Resilient BlockChain

Faisal Haque Bappy¹, Tariqul Islam², Tarannum Shaila Zaman³,

Md Sajidul Islam Sajid⁴, and Mir Mehedi Ahsan Pritom⁵

¹,² School of Information Studies (iSchool), Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY, USA

³ Computer and Information Science, SUNY Polytechnic Institute, NY, USA

⁴ Computer and Information Sciences, Towson University, Towson, MD, USA

⁵ Computer Science, Tennessee Tech University, Cookeville, TN, USA

Email: {fbappy@, mtislam@}syr.edu and {zamant@sunypoly, msajid@towson, mpritom@tntech}.edu

Abstract—Although blockchains have become widely popular for their use in cryptocurrencies, they are now becoming pervasive as more traditional applications adopt blockchain to ensure data security. Despite being a secured network, blockchains have some tradeoffs such as high latency, low throughput, and transaction failures. One of the core problems behind these is improper management of "conflicting transactions", which is also known as "contention". When there is a large pool of pending transactions in a blockchain and some of them are conflicting, a situation of contention occurs, and as a result, the latency of the network increases, and a substantial amount of resources are wasted which results in low throughput and transaction failures. In this paper, we proposed ConChain, a novel blockchain scheme that combines transaction parallelism and an intelligent dependency manager to minimize conflicting transactions in blockchain networks as well as improve performance. ConChain is also capable of ensuring proper defense against major attacks due to contention.

Index Terms—blockchain, contention, conflicting transactions, attack resilience, transaction ordering

I. INTRODUCTION

Blockchains, as distributed systems, require fault tolerance for record-keeping without a central authority. State Machine Replication (SMR) synchronizes servers for fault tolerance [\[1\]](#page-1-0). To handle malicious nodes, Byzantine Fault Tolerant (BFT) protocols are used for consensus [\[2\]](#page-1-1). However, BFT struggles with high contention workloads, where conflicting transactions hinder consensus. While many researchers have worked to mitigate contention issues in classic distributed systems [\[3\]](#page-1-2), [\[4\]](#page-1-3), very few articles have addressed contention issues in blockchain systems. XOX Fabric [\[5\]](#page-1-4) and Fabric CRDT [\[6\]](#page-1-5) proposed updated frameworks that can perform better with contention workloads, but no solution to the contention problem was proposed. In this paper, we propose a novel scheme for a contention-free blockchain, ConChain. ConChain enhances ordering using a transaction dependency manager, grouping and scheduling transactions to prevent conflicts. ConChain employs parallel processing for improved performance and defends against major blockchain attacks.

The following are the major contributions of this work:

1) We formally defined and simulated contention in a private blockchain.

2) We applied three naive solutions for reducing contention and then compared their performance.

3) We proposed the architecture of ConChain, a scheme that can ensure contention-free transactions, increase throughput, and defend against some well-known attacks.

4) We presented an outline of how ConChain will be able to defend against four major attacks.

II. RELATED WORKS

The popularity of cryptocurrencies and decentralized applications is leading to increasingly complex and large-scale blockchain systems. Handling the growing number of transactions securely and efficiently has prompted researchers to explore concurrency through parallel processing. Amiri et al. introduced the ParBlockchain framework, demonstrating how parallel processing can enhance transaction speed and scalability in private blockchain networks [\[7\]](#page-1-6). However, simultaneous processing of numerous transactions results in "conflicting transactions" or "contentions." Contention, a well-known issue in distributed systems, has been extensively studied by Salehi et al. [\[8\]](#page-1-7). While various solutions exist for classical distributed systems, none specifically address contention in both private and public blockchain systems. Consequently, developing a contention-free transaction framework for blockchains remains a critical task.

III. SIMULATING CONTENTION

To understand contention, we simulated it using Hyperledger Fabric and the SmallBank dataset.

Fig. 1: Comparison of different simulation results

Timestamping[\[4\]](#page-1-3). Using timestamps with transactions maintained order in a "first-come, first-served" manner, as shown in Figure [1.](#page-0-0) While effective in reducing contention, this doesn't ensure contention-free transactions.

Grouping Transactions[\[9\]](#page-1-8). Similar to timestamping, transactions were selected based on type (Read/Write). Prioritizing read operations reduced latency, but contention remained high in write and update operations.

Locking[\[10\]](#page-1-9). Applying locks before the ordering process reduced contention significantly, as shown in Figure [1.](#page-0-0) However, this mechanism increased system latency.

IV. CONCHAIN ARCHITECTURE

In our simulation analysis, we discovered that modifying the ordering scheme or introducing an additional layer can effectively mitigate contention; however, this often results in a tradeoff with increased latency and reduced system throughput. ConChain, as depicted in Figure [2,](#page-1-10) addresses this challenge by incorporating two additional layers designed to facilitate contention-free transactions. The "Dependency Manager" critically assesses each transaction, assigning variables such as readWallets and writeWallets to indicate the relevant operations on wallets. Concurrently, the transaction assigner assesses dependencies, assigns available workers, and orchestrates conflict-free execution.

Fig. 2: Architecture of ConChain

Moreover, ConChain integrates parallel processing to prioritize non-conflicting transactions, significantly enhancing the overall rate of successful transactions per second. In practical terms, this means that conflicting transactions are efficiently put on hold, allowing the system to process non-conflicting ones first. This strategic use of parallel processing is particularly impactful in scenarios where contention is prevalent, leading to a notable increase in overall system throughput.

Scheme	Type	Nodes	Succ	Fail	Latency(s)	TPS	Succ. TPS $(\%)$
ConChain			9974	26	0.01	132.5	88.60%
Fabric			9560	440	0.21	16.1	57.43%
ConChain	RW	4	8540	460	2.63	29.9	83.96%
Fabric	RW	4	8126	1874	2.39	13.2	76.43%

TABLE I: Performance Comparison between ConChain and Default Hyperledger Fabric

Our simulation with 9000 transactions shows a notable reduction in failed transactions, consistently achieving over 80% success rate compared to the default Hyperledger Fabric. The use of parallel processing also enhances overall throughput. The results, as summarized in Table [I,](#page-1-11) showcase the effectiveness of ConChain.

V. DEFENSE AGAINST ATTACKS

ConChain effectively defends against potential attacks exploiting vulnerabilities arising from contentions.

Double Spending Attack. ConChain safeguards against double spending attacks by utilizing a "Dependency Manager" that rejects unrelated fake transactions, preventing delays and ordering issues caused by conflicting transactions.

Block Withholding Attack. To counter Block Withholding attacks, ConChain enables miners to identify fake transactions through a dependency tracker, preventing their assignment to workers and quickly recognizing and ceasing the mining of attacker-generated fake transactions.

Balance Attack. ConChain detects fake transactions before ordering, thwarting balance attacks attempting to fork the main chain and enabling nodes to prevent the creation of a longer, illegitimate chain.

DDoS Attack. ConChain defends against DDoS attacks by maintaining a transaction queue with a time limit, preventing the execution of synthetically generated conflicting transactions and thwarting the attack's success.

VI. CONCLUSION

This work aims to create a contention-free, efficient, and attack-resilient blockchain scheme. Our proposed architecture, ConChain, ensures contention-free transactions by adding an extra layer to the ordering process, addressing conflicting transactions. ConChain is designed to defend against major attacks resulting from contention. We anticipate that implementing ConChain will mitigate contention in blockchain networks, enhance performance, reduce resource wastage, and provide additional defense against major attacks.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Vukolić, "The quest for scalable blockchain fabric: Proof-of-work vs. bft replication," in *Open Problems in Network Security: IFIP WG 11.4 International Workshop, iNetSec 2015, Zurich, Switzerland, October 29, 2015, Revised Selected Papers*. Springer, 2016, pp. 112–125.
- [2] E. Buchman, "Tendermint: Byzantine fault tolerance in the age of blockchains," Ph.D. dissertation, University of Guelph, 2016.
- [3] M. A. Salehi, A. N. Toosi, and R. Buyya, "Contention management in federated virtualized distributed systems: implementation and evaluation," *Software: Practice and Experience*, vol. 44, no. 3, pp. 353–368, 2014.
- [4] A. E. Kostin, I. Aybay, and G. Oz, "A randomized contention-based loadbalancing protocol for a distributed multiserver queuing system," *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, vol. 11, no. 12, pp. 1252–1273, 2000.
- [5] C. Gorenflo, L. Golab, and S. Keshav, "Xox fabric: A hybrid approach to blockchain transaction execution," in *2020 IEEE International Conference on Blockchain and Cryptocurrency (ICBC)*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 1–9.
- [6] P. Nasirifard, R. Mayer, and H.-A. Jacobsen, "Fabriccrdt: A conflict-free replicated datatypes approach to permissioned blockchains," in *Proceedings of the 20th International Middleware Conference*, ser. Middleware '19. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2019, p. 110–122.
- [7] M. J. Amiri, D. Agrawal, and A. El Abbadi, "Parblockchain: Leveraging transaction parallelism in permissioned blockchain systems," in *2019 IEEE 39th International Conference on Distributed Computing Systems (ICDCS)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 1337–1347.
- [8] M. A. Salehi, J. H. Abawajy, and R. Buyya, "Taxonomy of contention management in interconnected distributed systems." 2014.
- [9] X. Xu, X. Wang, Z. Li, H. Yu, G. Sun, S. Maharjan, and Y. Zhang, "Mitigating conflicting transactions in hyperledger fabric-permissioned blockchain for delay-sensitive iot applications," *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 8, no. 13, pp. 10 596–10 607, 2021.
- [10] L. Xu, W. Chen, Z. Li, J. Xu, A. Liu, and L. Zhao, "Locking mechanism for concurrency conflicts on hyperledger fabric," in *Web Information Systems Engineering – WISE 2019: 20th International Conference, Hong Kong, China, January 19–22, 2020, Proceedings*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 2020, p. 32–47.