

# AUTOMATIC CHANNEL SELECTION AND SPATIAL FEATURE INTEGRATION FOR MULTI-CHANNEL SPEECH RECOGNITION ACROSS VARIOUS ARRAY TOPOLOGIES

Bingshen Mu<sup>1</sup>, Pengcheng Guo<sup>1</sup>, Dake Guo<sup>1</sup>, Pan Zhou<sup>2</sup>, Wei Chen<sup>2</sup>, Lei Xie<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Audio, Speech and Language Processing Group (ASLP@NPU), School of Computer Science, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xian, China

<sup>2</sup>Space AI, Li Auto

## ABSTRACT

Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR) has shown remarkable progress, yet it still faces challenges in real-world distant scenarios across various array topologies each with multiple recording devices. The focal point of the CHiME-7 Distant ASR task is to devise a unified system capable of generalizing various array topologies that have multiple recording devices and offering reliable recognition performance in real-world environments. Addressing this task, we introduce an ASR system that demonstrates exceptional performance across various array topologies. First of all, we propose two attention-based automatic channel selection modules to select the most advantageous subset of multi-channel signals from multiple recording devices for each utterance. Furthermore, we introduce inter-channel spatial features to augment the effectiveness of multi-frame cross-channel attention, aiding it in improving the capability of spatial information awareness. Finally, we propose a multi-layer convolution fusion module drawing inspiration from the U-Net architecture to integrate the multi-channel output into a single-channel output. Experimental results on the CHiME-7 corpus with oracle segmentation demonstrate that the improvements introduced in our proposed ASR system lead to a relative reduction of 40.1% in the Macro Diarization Attributed Word Error Rates (DA-WER) when compared to the baseline ASR system on the Eval sets.

**Index Terms**— Distant automatic speech recognition, channel selection, spatial features, multi-channel fusion

## 1. INTRODUCTION

As deep learning continues to advance, automatic speech recognition (ASR) technology has made significant progress, leading to substantial improvements in its performance. However, ASR systems continue to encounter challenges in real-world distant scenarios characterized by factors like background noise, reverberation, speaker overlap, and various array topologies. To tackle these challenges, the CHiME Challenge series [1–7] has been established to boost the development of robust ASR systems by promoting research and innovation in multi-microphone signal processing algorithms.

The CHiME-7 Distant ASR (DASR) task this year focuses on designing a system that can generalize across various array geometries (e.g., linear, circle, and ad-hoc array) and provide reliable recognition performance in a wide range of real-world settings, even under adverse acoustic conditions [7]. In this task, multiple recording devices are used to capture audio from different spatial locations simultaneously, enabling a better coverage of the sound source. However, when the number of recording devices becomes large, it

may not be advisable to incorporate all devices into the analysis given that the audio recorded by some devices is affected heavily by background noise, resulting in significant degradation of ASR performance. Besides, taking advantage of the information from devices located in different spatial locations effectively remains a challenge. Furthermore, it is worthwhile to explore the adaptation of an ASR system to various array topologies without using prior array-specific information.

Automatic channel selection is an effective approach to selecting the most promising subset of multi-channel signals for each utterance. One of the advantages of channel selection is that it can generalize across different array topologies. Previous channel selection measures may be classified into two groups: signal-based and decoder-based measures. Signal-based measures include position and orientation methods [8], energy and signal-to-noise ratio methods [8, 9], room impulse response methods [10, 11], and envelope-variance methods [8, 12]. Decoder-based measures include likelihood [13], pairwise likelihood normalization [14], feature normalization [9], and class separability [15]. Conventional channel selection methods require preprocessing the audio or post-processing the ASR results, consequently resulting in protracted and inflexible processing pipelines. Hence, we propose two attention-based automatic channel selection modules: coarse-grained channel selection (CGCS) and fine-grained channel selection (FGCS). These two modules can be integrated into the ASR system and learn to assign higher weights to channels or frames that are beneficial for ASR performance. Due to the remarkable performance of the guided source separation (GSS) [16] algorithm, we utilize the single-channel audio processed by the GSS algorithm as the target for channel selection. Additionally, we replace the conventional residual connection between the original multi-channel audio features and the channel-selected multi-channel audio features with the gated residual connection (GRC). This change prevents the conventional residual connection from reintroducing original multi-channel audio features that are not conducive to ASR performance back into the channel-selected multi-channel audio features and allows autonomous learning of which features from the original multi-channel audio features should be incorporated into the channel-selected multi-channel audio features.

Cross-channel attention has recently been introduced in ASR systems to directly harness the inherent potential of multi-channel signals [17, 18]. It is truly remarkable that this approach can bypass the complicated front-end formalization and seamlessly incorporate beamforming and acoustic modeling into an end-to-end neural network. Furthermore, the cross-channel attention is agnostic to the number and topology configuration of arrays, making it particularly well-suited for the demands of the CHiME-7 task. This cross-

\*: Corresponding author.

channel attention approach takes frame-level multi-channel signals as input and learns the global correlations between different channels. Multi-Frame Cross-Channel Attention (MFCCA) [19] models cross-channel information between adjacent frames while leveraging both channel and frame information. Given that MFCCA implicitly models spatial information between different channels through the attention mechanism, we propose an improvement to MFCCA by incorporating additional inter-channel spatial features, such as inter-channel phase difference (IPD) [20, 21]. These spatial features explicitly guide the MFCCA in modeling spatial information and capturing desired signals while suppressing interfering sources.

To integrate multi-channel outputs, previous research [18, 22] often averages or concatenates features along the channel dimension. However, directly reducing the channel dimension can lead to the loss of channel-specific information in multi-channel outputs. To address this, we employ a multi-layer convolution fusion module based on U-Net [23] to gradually reduce the channel dimension, transforming the multi-channel output into a single-channel output. Our convolution fusion module employs skip connections and the fusion of multi-scale features, mitigating the loss of channel-specific information as the channel dimension gradually decreases.

In summary, we propose two attention-based automatic channel selection modules with GRC to select the most promising subset of multi-channel signals for ASR. Furthermore, we propose an improvement to MFCCA by incorporating inter-channel spatial features, enabling MFCCA to perceive spatial relationships between different channels more clearly. Additionally, we improve the multi-channel output fusion module by employing a U-Net-based convolution fusion module to more effectively integrate the multi-channel output. Experiments conducted on the CHiME-7 corpus with oracle segmentation indicate that the improvements made to our proposed ASR system result in a relative reduction of 40.1% in Macro Diarization Attributed Word Error Rates (DA-WER) [7] compared to the baseline ASR system on the Eval sets.

## 2. PROPOSED SYSTEM

### 2.1. Data processing

CHiME-7 DASR task is composed of three different datasets: CHiME-6 [6] whose distant speech captured by 6 Kinect array devices with 4 microphones each for a total of 24 microphones, DiPCo [24] whose distant speech captured by 5 far-field devices with a 7-mic circular array each for a total of 35 microphones, and Mixer 6 Speech [25] whose distant speech are captured by 10 microphones of varying styles. Given the substantial microphone counts present in each dataset, preprocessing of the data becomes imperative. Fig. 2 shows our data processing progress. These three datasets are first preprocessed using the weighted prediction error (WPE) [26] and GSS algorithms to obtain enhanced signals for each utterance. After WPE processing, the multi-channel audio from each array is transformed into single-channel audio using the array-based BeamformIt [27] algorithm. As a result, the multi-channel audio from multiple array devices is converted into one multi-channel audio, where the number of channels equals the number of arrays.

### 2.2. Attention-based CGCS with GRC

We think that the richness of audio semantic information is correlated with the noise it contains. The more noise an audio contains, the more interference it poses to the human voice, consequently the less semantic information is retained. The CGCS is performed based on the richness of semantic information contained in each channel of the multi-channel audio. We utilize the Gated Recurrent Unit (GRU) [28] network as the channel-level audio feature extractor

(AFE), taking the final hidden state as the feature representation for each channel. To extract semantically relevant channel-level audio features, we employ the CTC loss function to guide the AFE. Fig. 1 illustrates the input for CGCS. The query  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{GSS}} \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times 1 \times 1 \times D}$  and key  $\mathbf{A}_{\text{WPE+BF}} \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times K \times 1 \times D}$  in the attention mechanism are features extracted from the GSS and WPE+BF audio by the WavLM [29] and AFE, respectively. For the CGCS outside the encoder, the value is  $\mathbf{X}_{\text{WPE+BF}} \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times K \times T \times D}$  extracted from the WPE+BF audio by the WavLM. Subsequently, the output of this CGCS will be added with  $\mathbf{X}_{\text{WPE+BF}}$  through GRC, resulting in the preliminary selected feature  $\mathbf{X}'_{\text{WPE+BF}} \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times K \times T \times D}$ . GRC here is to autonomously learn which features from  $\mathbf{X}_{\text{WPE+BF}}$  should be incorporated into  $\mathbf{X}'_{\text{WPE+BF}}$ . For the CGCS in each encoder layer, the value  $V(l)$  is the output of the previous encoder layer, while the value  $V(0)$  is the preliminary selected feature  $\mathbf{X}'_{\text{WPE+BF}}$  when  $l$  equals 0. In CGCS, the query should be repeated along the time and channel dimensions denoted as  $\mathbf{A}'_{\text{GSS}} \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times K \times T \times D}$ , and the key should be repeated along the time dimension denoted as  $\mathbf{A}'_{\text{WPE+BF}} \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times K \times T \times D}$ . The output of CGCS in the first encoder layer is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q}^{CGCS} &= \mathbf{A}'_{\text{GSS}} \mathbf{W}^{CGCS,q} + \left( \mathbf{b}^{CGCS,q} \right)^T, \\ \mathbf{K}^{CGCS} &= \mathbf{A}'_{\text{WPE+BF}} \mathbf{W}^{CGCS,k} + \left( \mathbf{b}^{CGCS,k} \right)^T, \\ \mathbf{V}^{CGCS} &= \mathbf{X}'_{\text{WPE+BF}} \mathbf{W}^{CGCS,v} + \left( \mathbf{b}^{CGCS,v} \right)^T, \\ \mathbf{H}^{CGCS} &= \text{softmax} \left( \frac{(\mathbf{Q}^{CGCS} \mathbf{K}^{CGCS})^T}{\sqrt{D}} \right) \mathbf{V}^{CGCS}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{W}^{CGCS,*}$  and  $\mathbf{b}^{CGCS,*}$  are learnable weight and bias parameters respectively.

### 2.3. Attention-based FGCS


CGCS leverages the channel-level semantic information to select channels that encompass abundant semantic information. In contrast, FGCS focuses on calculating the frame-level similarity between the GSS audio features and the multi-channel audio features. It assigns higher weights to the frames of multi-channel audio features that are similar to each frame of the GSS audio features. Fig. 1 illustrates the input for FGCS. The query  $\mathbf{X}_{\text{GSS}} \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times 1 \times T \times D}$  in the attention mechanism is the feature extracted from the GSS audio by the WavLM, while the key and value are obtained from the output of CGCS. In FGCS, the query should be repeated along the channel dimension denoted as  $\mathbf{X}'_{\text{GSS}} \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times K \times T \times D}$ . The output of FGCS is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q}^{FGCS} &= \mathbf{X}'_{\text{GSS}} \mathbf{W}^{FGCS,q} + \left( \mathbf{b}^{FGCS,q} \right)^T, \\ \mathbf{K}^{FGCS} &= \mathbf{H}^{CGCS} \mathbf{W}^{FGCS,k} + \left( \mathbf{b}^{FGCS,k} \right)^T, \\ \mathbf{V}^{FGCS} &= \mathbf{H}^{CGCS} \mathbf{W}^{FGCS,v} + \left( \mathbf{b}^{FGCS,v} \right)^T, \\ \mathbf{H}^{FGCS} &= \text{softmax} \left( \frac{(\mathbf{Q}^{FGCS} \mathbf{K}^{FGCS})^T}{\sqrt{D}} \right) \mathbf{V}^{FGCS}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$


where  $\mathbf{W}^{FGCS,*}$  and  $\mathbf{b}^{FGCS,*}$  are learnable weight and bias parameters respectively.

### 2.4. MFCCA with inter-channel spatial features

The spatial information is of vital importance for the multi-channel scenario. Nevertheless, MFCCA lacks explicit spatial features as inputs, relying solely on multi-channel audio features for input,



**Fig. 1:** (a) An overview of our proposed ASR system. (b) A detailed description of each Encoder layer, where the subscript “ $l$ ” denotes layer index. (c) The architecture of Convolution fusion. (d) The architecture of GRC, “Gate” is a Linear layer.



**Fig. 2:** The flow chart of data processing.  $(N \times M)$  denotes  $N$  array devices each with  $M$  microphones.  $(K)$  denotes  $K$  channels after data processing.

thereby enabling MFCCA to implicitly learn spatial information. With the aim of improving the capability of spatial information awareness, we incorporate the inter-channel spatial features named cosIPD features into MFCCA. Specifically, we concatenate the cosIPD features with the output of FGCS and utilize the MFCCA to better perceive spatial information. Specifically, we concatenate  $\mathbf{H}^{FGCS}$  with the cosIPD features that are repeated along the time dimension  $\mathbf{C}_{WPE+BF} \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times K \times T \times D}$ , extracted by the cosIPD feature extractor (CFE) based on GRU from the WPE+BF audio.

## 2.5. Convolution fusion

To integrate the multi-channel outputs, prior research [18,22] mainly averages or merges features along the channel dimension. In order to alleviate the adverse impact of directly reducing channel dimension and thus preserving channel-specific information, [19] employs a straightforward stack of multiple convolution layers to gradually decrease the channel dimension. However, it can lead to channel-specific information loss as the channel dimension is progressively reduced, particularly after multiple convolution layers of stacking. We improve the convolution fusion module inspired by the architecture of U-Net. Fig. 1(c) illustrates the structure of our convolution fusion module. The U-Net-based convolution fusion module employs skip connections and the fusion of multi-scale features. Skip connections allow for the direct transfer of channel-specific information between the U-Net encoders and decoders, aiding in preventing channel-specific information loss during the propagation process in stacked multiple convolution layers. Moreover, the module combines multi-channel audio features from different channel dimensions, enabling it to capture channel-specific information at multiple scales. Each ConvBlock consists of a 2-D convolution layer, Layer Normalization, and PReLU activation function. The input channel

number  $C$  in the convolution fusion module remains fixed (i.e.,  $C$  equals 10 in our work). Consequently, if the channel number of multi-channel encoder output  $K$  is smaller than the preconfigured value  $C$ , expansion of channels is achieved by simple repeating.

## 3. EXPERIMENTS

### 3.1. Baseline

Our baseline is identical to the baseline of the CHiME-7 DASR task [7]. It is noteworthy that the diarizer component is omitted since oracle segmentation is provided. The baseline uses automatic channel selection with an envelope-variance method [12] for later processing via GSS. For the baseline ASR, we directly take the model from [30, 31], which consists of a hybrid CTC/Attention Transformer [32] encoder-decoder ASR model with pretrained and frozen WavLM feature extractor.

### 3.2. Experimental setup


All of our systems are implemented with the ESPnet [33] toolkit. We follow the setup of the baseline ASR system to build our systems, which consist of a WavLM frontend, a 12-layer Transformer encoder, and a 6-layer Transformer decoder. The dimensions of MHSA and FFN layers are set to 256 and 2048, respectively. All of our systems are trained on the full CHiME-7 train sets with oracle segmentation and processed following the procedures outlined in Section 2.1, 276 hours in total. Besides, all of our systems are rescored by a Transformer-based language model (LM) trained on a combination of the CHiME-7 and LibriSpeech [34] corpora. During the training, we freeze the parameters of the WavLM. AFE is initialized with a well-trained ASR system that utilizes a 4-layer bi-directional GRU encoder trained solely with the CTC loss. The spatial feature cosIPD is extracted with window length, frameshift, and STFT length are 32ms, 16ms, and 512, respectively.

### 3.3. Results and discussion

Table 1 presents the DA-WER results of our proposed methods on the CHiME-7 Dev and Eval sets. As shown in Table 1, all of our proposed methods outperform than baseline. Among all proposed methods, FGCS yields the most significant benefits. This is because FGCS selects the most correlated frame-level features with the GSS audio feature from the multi-channel audio features. Furthermore, the combined utilization of CGCS and GRC contributes

**Table 1:** The DA-WER(%) results of our proposed ASR systems on the Dev and Eval sets.

ASR system	Dev Scenario			Dev Macro	Eval Scenario			Eval Macro
	CHiME-6	DiPCo	Mixer 6		CHiME-6	DiPCo	Mixer 6	
Baseline	32.6	33.5	20.2	28.8	35.5	36.3	28.6	33.4
MFCCA	31.1	34.3	21.7	29.0	36.8	37.5	17.1	30.4
+CGCS	28.8	30.5	18.6	26.0	33.3	31.7	15.3	26.8
+GRC	26.5	30.8	17.7	25.0	27.2	31.7	18.2	25.7
+FGCS	28.2	30.4	16.1	24.9	32.7	28.6	15.4	25.6
+cosIPD	29.1	30.7	15.9	25.2	33.4	31.2	15.4	26.4
+U-NetFusion	28.7	30.4	18.5	25.9	33.2	31.6	15.3	26.7
+ALL	24.5	26.4	14.0	21.7	28.1	24.6	13.3	22.0
ROVER	<b>22.8</b>	<b>24.5</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>20.1</b>	<b>25.6</b>	<b>22.3</b>	<b>12.0</b>	<b>20.0</b>



**Fig. 3:** A visualization of attention scores for CGCS and FGCS.

significantly more to the reduction in DA-WER compared to using CGCS alone, making it the second most effective approach after FGCS. This phenomenon can be attributed to the direct summation of the original features with the features selected through CGCS outside the encoder. This process may reintroduce numerous features that are unfavorable for ASR. The incorporation of GRC effectively addresses this issue by allowing for a selective reintroduction of the original features. The incorporation of cosIPD features into MFCCA leads to a noticeable reduction in DA-WER. This improvement can be attributed to the direct modeling of spatial features by MFCCA, which significantly enhances its ability to perceive spatial information. Replacing the convolution fusion module in MFCCA with the U-Net-based convolution fusion module allows the ASR system to compress the channel dimension while retaining a greater amount of channel-specific information. By incorporating all the proposed methods into the ASR system, we achieve the best performance. The DA-WER shows a relative reduction of 24.6% on the Dev sets and 34.1% on the Eval sets. Finally, we utilize the ROVER [35] to combine the results of all the aforementioned ASR systems. This leads to a relative reduction of 30.2% in DA-WER on the Dev sets and 40.1% on the Eval sets.

### 3.4. Visualization of CGCS and FGCS

To analyze the behavior of CGCS and FGCS, Fig. 3 respectively visualizes the attention scores of CGCS and FGCS. CGCS can assign different scores based on the richness of semantic information across different channels. Channels with richer semantic information are assigned higher scores. FGCS assigns different scores to each frame of the multi-channel audio features based on the frame-level similarity between the multi-channel audio features and the GSS audio features. Frames in the multi-channel audio features that exhibit higher similarity with the GSS audio features are assigned higher scores. Channels that contain richer semantic information tend to have more frames with high similarity to the GSS audio features. As shown in Fig. 3, the third channel has the most abundant semantic information and also has the highest quantity of frames that are most similar to

**Table 2:** The Macro DA-WER results of other ASR systems on the Eval sets. ISS: Improved Speech Separation; FT: WavLM Finetuning; DA: Data Augmentation; ID: Improved Decoding; IAM: Improved Acoustic Model.

ASR System	ISS	FT	DA	ID	IAM	Eval (%)
1 <sup>st</sup> Rank [36]	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	16.8
2 <sup>nd</sup> Rank [37]	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	18.3
Ours	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	20.0

the GSS audio features.

### 3.5. Compared with other systems

As shown in Table 2, our system currently ranks third in the CHiME-7 acoustic robustness sub-track, but there is still a gap compared to the top two systems. To tackle the challenge of high overlapped speech ratios in the CHiME-7 dataset, they both employ additional data processing algorithms for speech separation, such as CACGMM [38], alongside GSS. Moreover, they both finetune WavLM to harness its capabilities further. The training data scale for the first-ranked system is 4,500 hours after data augmentation. The second-ranked system uses joint CTC/Attention decoding and Kaldi decoding with TLG graph and rescoring ASR results using 3-gram LM, Transformer-LM, and AWD-LSTM-LM [39] trained on a combination of the CHiME-7, LibriSpeech, and WSJ corpora. However, neither of them improves the acoustic model, using only the original Conformer, E-Branchformer, and Zipformer. In contrast, our primary focus lies in enhancing the performance of the acoustic model and outcomes reflect the effectiveness of our proposed methods. In the future, we will enhance our ASR system to address the issue of overlapped speech. Moreover, we will attempt to unfreeze WavLM and conduct joint training with our ASR system. Finally, we will explore more effective data preprocessing, data augmentation, and decoding methods to achieve lower DA-WER.

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we propose an ASR system that excels consistently across various array topologies each with multiple recording devices. The ASR system includes two attention-based automatic channel selection modules with GRC, improved MFCCA by integrating spatial features, and a multi-layer convolution fusion module inspired by the U-Net architecture. Demonstrated the effectiveness of all proposed methods through experimental validation. Our ASR system achieves a relative reduction in DA-WER on the Dev and Eval sets is 30.2% and 40.1%, respectively.

## 5. REFERENCES

- [1] J. Barker, E. Vincent, and et al., “The PASCAL CHiME speech separation and recognition challenge,” *Computer Speech & Language*, 2013.
- [2] E. Vincent, J. Barker, and et al., “The second ‘CHiME’ speech separation and recognition challenge: An overview of challenge systems and outcomes,” in *Proc. ASRU. IEEE*, 2013.
- [3] J. Barker, R. Marxer, and et al., “The third ‘CHiME’ speech separation and recognition challenge: Dataset, task and baselines,” in *Proc. ASRU. IEEE*, 2015.
- [4] E. Vincent, S. Watanabe, and et al., “The 4th CHiME speech separation and recognition challenge,” 2016.
- [5] J. Barker, S. Watanabe, and et al., “The Fifth ‘CHiME’ Speech Separation and Recognition Challenge: Dataset, Task and Baselines,” in *Proc. Interspeech. ISCA*, 2018.
- [6] S. Watanabe, M. Mandel, and et al., “CHiME-6 challenge: Tackling multispeaker speech recognition for unsegmented recordings,” in *Proc. CHiME Workshop*, 2020.
- [7] S. Cornell, M. Wiesner, and et al., “The CHiME-7 DASR Challenge: Distant Meeting Transcription with Multiple Devices in Diverse Scenarios,” *arXiv preprint*, 2023.
- [8] M. Wolf and C. Nadeu, “On the potential of channel selection for recognition of reverberated speech with multiple microphones,” in *Proc. Interspeech. ISCA*, 2010.
- [9] Y. Obuchi, “Multiple-microphone robust speech recognition using decoder-based channel selection,” in *Proc. SAPA workshop. ISCA*, 2004.
- [10] M. Wolf and C. Nadeu, “Towards microphone selection based on room impulse response energy-related measures,” 2009.
- [11] M. Jeub, C. Nelke, and et al., “Blind estimation of the coherent-to-diffuse energy ratio from noisy speech signals,” in *Proc. EUSIPCO. IEEE*, 2011.
- [12] M. Wolf and C. Nadeu, “Channel selection measures for multi-microphone speech recognition,” *Speech Communication*, 2014.
- [13] Y. Shimizu, S. Kajita, and et al., “Speech recognition based on space diversity using distributed multi-microphone,” in *Proc. ICASSP. IEEE*, 2000.
- [14] M. Wolf and C. Nadeu, “Pairwise likelihood normalization-based channel selection for multi-microphone ASR,” *Proc. IberSPEECH*, 2012.
- [15] M. Wolf, “Channel selection by class separability measures for automatic transcriptions on distant microphones,” in *Proc. Interspeech. ISCA*, 2007.
- [16] C. Boeddeker, J. Heitkaemper, and et al., “Front-end processing for the CHiME-5 dinner party scenario,” in *Proc. CHiME5 Workshop*, 2018.
- [17] F. Chang, M. Radfar, and et al., “End-to-end multi-channel transformer for speech recognition,” in *Proc. ICASSP. IEEE*, 2021.
- [18] F. Chang, M. Radfar, and et al., “Multi-channel transformer transducer for speech recognition,” *arXiv preprint*, 2021.
- [19] F. Yu, S. Zhang, and et al., “MFCCA: Multi-Frame Cross-Channel attention for multi-speaker ASR in Multi-party meeting scenario,” in *Proc. SLT. IEEE*, 2023.
- [20] T. Yoshioka, H. Erdogan, and et al., “Multi-microphone neural speech separation for far-field multi-talker speech recognition,” in *Proc. ICASSP. IEEE*, 2018.
- [21] R. Gu, J. Wu, and et al., “End-to-end multi-channel speech separation,” *arXiv preprint*, 2019.
- [22] W. Wang, X. Qin, and et al., “Cross-channel attention-based target speaker voice activity detection: Experimental results for the m2met challenge,” in *Proc. ICASSP. IEEE*, 2022.
- [23] O. Ronneberger, P. Fischer, and et al., “U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation,” in *Proc. MIC-CAI. Springer*, 2015.
- [24] M. Van Segbroeck, A. Zaid, and et al., “DiPCo–Dinner Party Corpus,” *arXiv preprint*, 2019.
- [25] L. Brandschain, D. Graff, and et al., “The Mixer 6 corpus: Resources for cross-channel and text independent speaker recognition,” in *Proc. LREC*, 2010.
- [26] L. Drude, J. Heymann, and et al., “NARA-WPE: A python package for weighted prediction error dereverberation in numpy and tensorflow for online and offline processing,” in *Proc. ITG Symposium on Speech Communication. VDE/IEEE*, 2018.
- [27] X. Anguera, C. Wooters, and et al., “Acoustic beamforming for speaker diarization of meetings,” *IEEE/ACM TASLP*, 2007.
- [28] K. Cho, B. Van Merriënboer, and et al., “Learning phrase representations using RNN encoder-decoder for statistical machine translation,” in *Proc. EMNLP*, 2014.
- [29] S. Chen, C. Wang, and et al., “Wavlm: Large-scale self-supervised pre-training for full stack speech processing,” *IEEE/JSTSP*, 2022.
- [30] Y. Masuyama, X. Chang, and et al., “End-to-end integration of speech recognition, dereverberation, beamforming, and self-supervised learning representation,” in *Proc. SLT. IEEE*, 2023.
- [31] X. Chang, T. Maekaku, and et al., “End-to-end integration of speech recognition, speech enhancement, and self-supervised learning representation,” *arXiv preprint*, 2022.
- [32] A. Vaswani, N. Shazeer, and et al., “Attention is all you need,” in *Proc. NeurIPS*, 2017.
- [33] S. Watanabe, T. Hori, and et al., “Espnet: End-to-end speech processing toolkit,” in *Proc. Interspeech. ISCA*, 2018.
- [34] V. Panayotov, G. Chen, and et al., “Librispeech: an asr corpus based on public domain audio books,” in *Proc. ICASSP. IEEE*, 2015.
- [35] J. Fiscus, “A post-processing system to yield reduced word error rates: Recognizer output voting error reduction (ROVER),” in *Proc. ASRU. IEEE*, 1997.
- [36] R. Wang, M. He, and et al., “The USTC-NERCSLIP Systems for the CHiME-7 DASR Challenge,” *arXiv preprint*, 2023.
- [37] T. Prisyach, Y. Khokhlov, and et al., “STCON System for the CHiME-7 Challenge,” in *Proc. CHiME Workshop*, 2023.
- [38] N. Ito, S. Araki, and et al., “Complex angular central Gaussian mixture model for directional statistics in mask-based microphone array signal processing,” in *Proc. EUSIPCO. IEEE.*, 2016.
- [39] S. Merity, N. Keskar, and et al., “Regularizing and optimizing LSTM language models,” *arXiv preprint*, 2017.