# Traffic Incident Database with Multiple Labels Including Various Perspective Environmental Information

Shota Nishiyama<sup>\*1</sup>, Takuma Saito<sup>\*2</sup>, Ryo Nakamura<sup>3,4</sup>,Go Ohtani<sup>3,5</sup> Hirokatsu Kataoka<sup>3</sup> and Kensho Hara<sup>3</sup> https://github.com/nissy-shota/V-TIDB

Abstract-Traffic accident recognition is essential in developing automated driving and Advanced Driving Assistant System technologies. A large dataset of annotated traffic accidents is necessary to improve the accuracy of traffic accident recognition using deep learning models. Conventional traffic accident datasets provide annotations on the presence or absence of traffic accidents and other teacher labels, improving traffic accident recognition performance. However, the labels annotated in conventional datasets need to be more comprehensive to describe traffic accidents in detail. Therefore, we propose V-TIDB, a large-scale traffic accident recognition dataset annotated with various environmental information as multi-labels. Our proposed dataset aims to improve the performance of traffic accident recognition by annotating ten types of environmental information as teacher labels in addition to the presence or absence of traffic accidents. V-TIDB is constructed by collecting many videos from the Internet and annotating them with appropriate environmental information. In our experiments, we compare the performance of traffic accident recognition when only labels related to the presence or absence of traffic accidents are trained and when environmental information is added as a multi-label. In the second experiment, we compare the performance of the training with only "contact level," which represents the severity of the traffic accident, and the performance with environmental information added as a multilabel. The results showed that 6 out of 10 environmental information labels improved the performance of recognizing the presence or absence of traffic accidents. In the experiment on the degree of recognition of traffic accidents, the performance of recognition of car wrecks and contacts was improved for all environmental information. These experiments show that V-TIDB can be used to learn traffic accident recognition models that take environmental information into account in detail and can be used for appropriate traffic accident analysis.

### I. INTRODUCTION

With the development of robot technology, Advanced Driving Assistant Systems (ADAS) and automated driving are becoming more sophisticated. Studies of urban traffic scenes have contributed to the broadening of ADAS and automated driving [3]. The Honda Research Institute Driving Dataset (HDD) focuses on driving scene understanding and

<sup>4</sup>Fukuoka University, Fukuoka, Japan

<sup>4</sup>Keio University, Kanagawa, Japan



Fig. 1. Construction of a dataset labeled with various environmental information. The dataset was constructed by collecting a large number of traffic videos from the Internet and assigning labels indicating the presence or absence of traffic accidents and ten types of environmental information.

analyzes the interaction between humans and traffic scenes by detecting traffic participants and analyzing scenes as corresponding semantic categories [12]. KITTI claims that autonomous driving systems rely on multiple sensors and environmental maps to provide video of a wide range of areas, including the periphery of medium-sized cities, rural areas, and highways [5]. Berkeley DeepDrive Video (BDDV) aims to learn generic motion models to learn driving models and policies [16].

Despite these advancements, 1.3 million people die in traffic accidents every year [10]. Therefore, it is imperative to reduce the number of traffic accidents through the prediction and recognition of accidents by ADAS and automated driving systems. Additionally, even if automated vehicles and ADAS do not cause accidents, surrounding vehicles may cause accidents. Thus, it is expected that these systems must avoid traffic accidents by considering surrounding traffic participants (non-automated drivers, pedestrians, etc.). Avoiding traffic accidents is one of the most critical issues in automated driving and ADAS. Deep learning models are expected to improve the accuracy of traffic accident prediction and recognition for these systems.

Annotated traffic accident videos are necessary for training deep-learning models. However, the number of annotated traffic accident videos needs to be increased. The datasets

<sup>\*</sup>indicates equal contribution.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Aichi Institute of Technology Graduate School of Business Administration and Computer Science, Toyota, 470-0356, Japan b21723bb@aitech.ac.jp

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Tokyo Denki University, Tokyo, Japan, saito.t@is.fr.dendai.ac.jp

 $<sup>^{3}\</sup>mathrm{National}$  Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST), Ibaraki, Japan

proposed so far for traffic accident recognition have been annotated with information on the presence or absence of the accident, the region where the accident occurred, and the time of the accident. Some datasets provide time information of the moment when a traffic accident occurs. Other annotated information such as "Predictability," "Reaction," and "Traffic Lane" labels are also essential for traffic accident recognition.

Figure 1 represents a sample traffic accident in one of our datasets. In this traffic accident, a car in the left lane "touched" an opposing car by skidding into the right lane. The cause of the skid was considered to be that the major road was wet due to rainy weather. Environmental information such as weather conditions and roads is essential in traffic accident recognition. However, deep learning models can be misleading if the surrounding environment information given in the video is insufficient. Thus, if the dataset does not contain annotations that explain environmental information, a model that cannot take environmental information into account is trained. The learned model will need help reflecting the influence of environmental information on the prediction and recognition of traffic accidents. In addition, the evaluation of the model may need to be revised when environmental information is not included.

In this study, we propose V-TIDB, a dataset that includes ten types of environmental information in addition to the presence or absence of accident information in traffic accident videos. The ten types of environmental information in V-TIDB can be broadly divided into three elements: information around the accident, the accident itself, and the observer's point of view. The information about the accident includes local labels such as weather and time of day. The accident itself includes labels such as the vehicles involved and the degree of damage. The observer's point of view includes labels such as reactions and predictability. We report the construction of a new large-scale dataset, V-TIDB, a multi-label annotated dataset of ten types of detailed environmental information consisting of these three elements. We also provide a benchmark for traffic accident recognition on the V-TIDB dataset annotated with detailed environmental labels.

In summary, our contributions are as follows:

- We report that our multi-labeling of detailed environments improves the recognition performance of traffic accidents.
- We propose a larger dataset than the previous dashcam traffic accident dataset.
- We report on constructing a dataset that includes observers' information to reveal more video information than conventional traffic accident datasets.
- We will publish links and annotations to the videos included in the V-TIDB.

# II. RELATED WORK

#### A. Traffic accident datasets

There are two main types of existing traffic accident datasets: those captured by surveillance cameras and those

captured by dashcams.

Surveillance videos provide a global view of multi-vehicle accidents but do not capture subjective factors that contribute to the accident. Additionally, it is challenging to communicate the results of traffic accident predictions to drivers using Time to Accident (TTA). A typical example of a surveillance camera dataset is the Traffic Accidents Dataset (TAD) [17], which primarily focuses on predicting traffic accidents on highways and includes weather and accident type labels.

Dashcam videos provide a driver's perspective and make it easy for drivers to understand the situation and predictions. The Street Accident (SA) dataset [2] is captured by dashcams and is used to predict accidents and detect participants who may have contributed to the accident. Another dashcam dataset, Car Crash Dataset (CCD) [1], includes weather labels in addition to time information, providing helpful information for predicting traffic accidents.

The Dataset of Object Detection in Aerial Images Detection of Traffic Anomaly (DoTA) [19] assumes that human attention deficits are a factor in traffic accidents and includes 4677 videos. Anomaly detection is used to detect traffic accidents, but it may be limited to binary classification of normal and abnormal. The Driver Attention Prediction in Driving Accidents (DADA-2000) dataset [20] [11] includes 2000 videos and has been extended to segmentation tasks to improve annotation quality.

The Near-miss Incident DataBase (NIDB) [15] includes 6300 videos and provides information on objects and environments to support the detection of near-miss incidents.

However, one challenge of these datasets is the limited amount of data. Therefore, some studies simulate traffic accident videos to predict and recognize traffic accidents. Examples of simulated datasets include GTA-Crash and Prescan [9] [13].

We propose a new dataset, V-TIDB, which consists of 9062 videos, including 4088 videos of traffic accidents. Our large traffic accident dataset addresses the challenges of anomaly detection and simulation datasets. It can support deep learning models to gain deeper insights than anomaly detection. Furthermore, the large amount of annotated environmental information greatly aids in exploring the perceptions and contributing factors of traffic accidents.

# B. Recognition task for video data using environmental information

Action recognition has been actively studied as a recognition task using video data. [8], [14], [7]It is known that using environmental information improves the robustness of action recognition, represented by video data recognition tasks. [18], [6] Recently, a dataset called Large Scale Holistic Video Understanding (HVU), which labels action labels and various environmental information, has been proposed[4]. The HVU dataset has been shown to improve the robustness of action classification when learning classifications based on labels of various environmental information.

Dataset	#Videos	Incident	Contact	Derivation	Environment	Predictability	Reaction	State	Time	Traffic lane	Weather	Temporal	Spatial
		target	level	object									
SA [2]	620											$\checkmark$	
A3D [3]	1500			$\checkmark$								$\checkmark$	
DADA [4]	2000				√				$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
DoTA [6]	4677	$\checkmark$										$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
CCD [5]	4500		$\checkmark$					$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
NIDB [7]	6200	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$				$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Ours	9062	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		

# TABLE I Comparisons of traffic incident datasets. Our data set is larger in variety than the previous data set.

# *C.* Differences between conventional traffic accident recognition datasets

proposed traffic accident datasets are not directly distributed.

The tableI shows a comparison between our proposed dataset and the above dataset. Note that "spatial" defines the bounding box of accident objects and is used in the accident detection task. At the same time, "temporal" indicates the time of the accident and is used to learn the time before and after the accident. Here, our dataset has a much larger number of labels and videos. The labels assigned in previous studies tend to annotate the accident target and related environmental factors. Still, some labels annotate the "reaction" or "response" of the accident target, "Was it an accident?" and "Should I stop or swerve to prevent the accident?" and labels that answer subjective questions such as "Was it an accident?" and "Should I stop or swerve to prevent an accident?" are less common. In contrast, the labels added to the dataset proposed in this study describe the accident situation in more detail, allowing the evaluator to perform accident recognition activities more effectively.

# III. VARIOUS-PERSPECTIVE TRAFFIC INCIDENT DATABASE V-TIDB

In this section, we introduce the V-TIDB (Variousperspective Traffic Incident Database), a proposed dataset for large-scale traffic recognition. In particular, we explain the two steps of creating a V-TIDB: "collecting videos" and "defining labels for videos". And finally, we explain the statistics of V-TIDB.

### A. Video Collection and Preprocessing

We collected dashcam videos, including traffic accidents, from YouTube, a video open-source site. The videos are more than 9,000, and they were collected based on the search terms "traffic accident" and "near-miss. Those videos were set to fit into ten seconds if they were longer than ten seconds. For videos that include traffic accidents, set the video to include traffic accidents within the ten-second setting.

The advantages of using YouTube for video collection are 1) the ability to collect a relatively large number of videos compared to the human collection and 2) the ability to avoid privacy and ethical issues when releasing the dataset. It is possible to collect many videos at a relatively low cost compared to the human collection. In particular, regarding privacy and ethical issues, the Traffic Accident Recognition Dataset may be ethically censured from officially releasing the videos since the videos contain traffic accidents. Therefore, it is difficult to distribute videos directly, so many of the

## B. Annotation Definitions

We have defined 11 parent categories of environmental information for the collected videos containing traffic accidents, each with several child categories. This section provides a description of the parent categories in boldface type, and the child categories are described in the following sections.

**Traffic Incident:** This category indicates whether or not an accident is included in the video, with two subcategories: positive and negative. Videos in which a traffic accident occurs are assigned the category "positive," and videos in which no traffic accident occurs are assigned the category "negative."

**Incident Targets:** This parent category indicates the traffic accident captured by the dashcam at the time of the accident. For example, the subcategories "Car" and "Pedestrian" are included.

**Contact Level:** This parent category describes the severity of the crash, with three child categories: "wreck" for significant collisions, "touch" for minor collisions, and "near miss" for videos that avoid a traffic accident.

**Environment:** This parent category indicates the location of the accident in the video, with six child categories such as "Major road," "Highway," and "Parking lot."

**Derivation Object:** This parent category indicates the viewpoint of the in-vehicle camera, with two child categories: "My car vs Another" when the traffic accident video is recorded from the first-person perspective and "Another vs Other" when the video is recorded from the third-person perspective (i.e., someone else's accident captured by the dashcam of your car).

**Predictability:** This parent category indicates whether the annotator can predict traffic accidents, with "Predictable" and "Not predictable" as child categories. "Predictable" indicates that the annotator could have predicted the traffic accident in video two to three seconds before it occurred. If the annotator could not have guessed the traffic accident, "Not Predictable" is assigned.

**Reaction:** This parent category evaluates the car's behavior recorded in the traffic accident video, with three child categories: "Avoidance" when a car avoids a traffic accident after it has occurred, "stoppage" when the car stops before the accident occurs, and "cancellation" when the car decelerates.



Fig. 2. The histogram shows the parent category name in the title and the child category name on the vertical axis. The horizontal axis is the number of child categories in V-TIDB.

**State:** This parent category evaluates the cause of the accident, with eight child categories such as "ignored traffic light," "cutting off," "sudden stop," "inattention," "overspeed," and "skid."

**Time:** This parent category indicates when the traffic accident occurred, with two child categories: "daytime" and "night."

**Traffic Lane:** This parent category represents the lane where the traffic accident was filmed, with two child categories: "left" and "right."

**Weather:** This parent category describes the climatic conditions when the traffic accident video was shot, with four child categories: "sunny/cloudy," "snowy," "foggy," and "undefined" for videos that do not include traffic accidents.

Of the parent classes defined above, "Environment," "Time," "Traffic Lane," and "Weather" are also annotated for videos that do not include traffic accidents. Child categories that the annotator could not label are annotated as "Undefined."

## C. Dataset Statistics

This section presents the statistics of the proposed V-TIDB. Details of each class are shown in Figure 2. The V-TIDB consists of 9062 videos, with 4088 showing traffic accidents (positive class) and 4974 showing no traffic accidents (negative class). The dataset has a slightly larger negative class video. The parent category "Incident Target" has the most significant number of classes, followed by "State."

The parent category "Incident target" contains ten child categories, with "car" being the most common, accounting for about 77% of the total. "Pedestrian" is the next most

common, accounting for approximately 15% of the total. "Bicycles," "animals," "ambulances," and "police cars" are included but appear rarely.

The parent category "Contact level" consists of three child categories, with "Wreck" being the most common, accounting for about 46% of the total. "Near-Miss" and "Touch" account for about 27% and 22% of the total, respectively.

The parent category "Environment" has six classes and is also assigned to images with no accidents. "Major road" is the most frequent, accounting for about 65% of the total, followed by "Highway" accounting for approximately 32% of the total. "Parking lot," "Gravel road," and "Other location" appear rarely and account for less than 1% of the total.

The parent category "Derivation Object" has two child categories, with "My car vs Another" accounting for 51% of the total, and "Another vs Others" accounting for approximately 47% from the first and third-person perspectives, respectively.

The parent category "Predictability" has two child categories, with "Not Predictable" accounting for about 64% of the total and "Predictable" accounting for about 34% of the total.

The parent category "Reaction" consists of four child categories, with "Stoppage" being the most common, accounting for about 53% of the total, followed by "Deceleration" accounting for about 17% of the total. "Other" and "Avoidance" account for approximately 14% and 13% of the responses, respectively.

The parent category "State" consists of eight child categories, with "Inattention" being the most frequent, account-

#### TABLE II

F1 score for predicting traffic accidents (positive/negative) by ResNet18 and ResNet50 without (baseline) and the addition of environmental information (marked with  $\Diamond$ ).

	F1-score(ResNet18)	F1-score(ResNet50)
Traffic incident (Baseline)	0.969	0.912
♦ Incident target	0.963 (-0.006)	0.952 (+0.040)
♦ Contact level	0.960 (-0.009)	0.956 (+0.044)
♦ Environment	0.971 (+0.002)	0.940 (+0.028)
Operivation object	0.965 (-0.004)	0.954 (+0.042)
♦ Predictability	0.977 (+0.008)	0.968 (+0.056)
♦ Reaction	0.972 (+0.003)	0.967 (+0.055)
♦ State	0.974 (+0.005)	0.967 (+0.055)
♦ Time	0.970 (+0.001)	0.889 (-0.023)
♦ Traffic lane	0.957 (-0.012)	0.945 (+0.033)
♦ Weather	0.971 (+0.002)	0.922 (+0.010)

ing for approximately 25% of the total, followed by "Cutting off" accounting for about 23% of the total. "Other causes," "Slip," "Blindspot," "Ignore traffic light," and "Sudden stop" account for the remaining categories.

The parent category "Time" has two child categories assigned to videos with no traffic accidents. "Daytime" accounts for about 70% of the total, while "Night" accounts for approximately 29% of the total.

The parent category "Traffic lane" is a label given to videos in which no traffic accidents occur and has two child categories: "Right-hand traffic" accounts for about 59% of the videos, while "Left-hand traffic" accounts for approximately 38%.

The parent category "Weather" has four child categories. "Sunny/Cloudy" is the most common, accounting for about 87% of the total. "Rainy" is the next most common, accounting for approximately 9%, followed by "Snowy," which accounts for around 3% of the total. "Foggy" accounts for about 0.1%.

The V-TIDB statistics show a significant bias in the child categories. However, we created a large multi-label dataset with environmental information to determine the causes of traffic accidents.

#### **IV. EXPERIMENTS**

In this chapter, we describe an experiment to evaluate the performance of our proposed V-TIDB dataset for traffic accident recognition and conduct a comparison experiment to determine which environmental information labels assigned to V-TIDB contribute to improving the accuracy of traffic accident recognition. Comparison experiments are conducted for "Incident target" and "Contact level." We compare the results of multi-task learning of environmental information added as multi-labels to V-TIDB with the results of learning only "Incident target" and "Contact level."

#### A. Implementation details

We used 3D-ResNet[7], a simple yet powerful framework for video action recognition, to recognize traffic accidents in videos. We added a linear layer of the number of parent categories of the environmental information added to the final layer of 3D-ResNet for multi-task learning of the multilabel environmental information assigned to V-TIDB, and the

#### TABLE III

Comparison between each parent category by f1 score of contact level recognition by ResNet18 between no environmental information (baseline) and the addition of environmental information (marked with ◊).

	wreck	touch	near-miss
Contact level(Baseline)	0.55	0.15	0.51
♦ Incident target	0.63 (+0.08)	0.24 (+0.09)	0.41 (-0.10)
♦ Traffic incident	0.60 (+0.05)	0.30 (+0.15)	0.44 (-0.07)
♦ Environment	0.60 (+0.05)	0.16 (+0.01)	0.49 (-0.02)
♦ Derivation object	0.64 (+0.09)	0.21 (+0.06)	0.46 (-0.05)
◊ Predictability	0.63 (+0.08)	0.17 (+0.02)	0.27 (-0.24)
◊ Reaction	0.63 (+0.08)	0.29 (+0.14)	0.45 (-0.06)
♦ State	0.62 (+0.07)	0.18 (+0.03)	0.47 (-0.04)
♦ Time	0.55 (+0.00)	0.33 (+0.18)	0.36 (-0.15)
♦ Traffic lane	0.61 (+0.06)	0.22 (+0.07)	0.32 (-0.19)
$\diamond$ Weather	0.58 (+0.03)	0.27 (+0.12)	0.43 (-0.08)

output features of the linear layer are trained as the number of child categories. The network was given a video size of  $112 \times 112$  pixels and 16 frames as input. The optimizer used stochastic gradient descent (SGD) with learning rate and momentum set to 0.01 and 0.9, respectively. Cross-entropy was used as the loss function. When the network was trained with multiple labels, the loss function was defined as the average value for the loss value of each label. The batch size was set to 128. The evaluation metric was the F1-score, which in this paper is defined as the average output and label accuracy of the network across 16 clips in the time direction.

# B. Verification of the Effectiveness of Environmental Labels in Classifying the Presence or Absence of Traffic Accidents

We perform a comparison experiment to demonstrate the usefulness of multi-labeled detailed environmental information in traffic accident recognition.

Table II compares the F1-score of "traffic incident" alone with the F1-score of V-TIDB plus the environmental label. The models used in the comparison experiment are 3D-ResNet18 and 3D-ResNet50. The F1-score of the model trained with only the parent category "Incident target" is used as the baseline. The environmental information assigned to V-TIDB and to "Incident target" is shown as  $\Diamond$ . The parentheses to the right of the environmental information score marked with  $\Diamond$  indicate the difference from the baseline. The differences indicate that both 3D-ResNet and 3D-ResNet50 have improved the accuracy of some environmental labels.For 3D-ResNet18, the F1-score improves with the addition of 6 out of 10 parent categories of environmental information, while for 3D-ResNet50, the performance improves with the addition of all parent categories except for "Time".

For the specific environmental labels, the accuracy was improved for "Environment", "Predictability," "Reaction," "State," "Time," and "Weather." Therefore, multiple environment labels are helpful for traffic accident recognition. The parent category that improved the accuracy of traffic accident recognition the most was "Predictability," and ResNet18 improved by 0.008 points. Predictability" also contributed



Fig. 3. Accuracy evaluation of each class. The accuracy of positive and negative classifications for each class were compared by evaluating the their accuracy.

the most to the accuracy improvement in ResNet50, with an improvement of 0.056 points.

## C. Verification of the effectiveness of environmental labels in classifying traffic accident levels

We will experiment to compare the recognition accuracy of "Contact level", which indicates the level of a traffic incident, with that of "Traffic incident" (positive/negative) estimated by the traffic incident recognition. The experiment compares the F1-score of "Contact level" alone with the F1-score with environmental labels. The model used in the comparison experiment is 3D-ResNet18, which has high recognition accuracy for "traffic incident" (positive/negative). The F1score of the model trained with only the parent category of "Contact level" is defined as the baseline. The environmental information assigned to V-TIDB added to "Contact level" is shown by  $\Diamond$ . Table III shows that the accuracy of "wreck" improved when ten environmental information labels out of ten were added. The parent category of environmental information that contributed the most to the improvement in accuracy was the "Derivation object," with an improvement of 0.09 points. In the "touch" category, accuracy was improved when ten out of ten environmental information labels were assigned. The parent category of environmental information that contributed the most to accuracy was "traffic incident" (positive/negative), with an improvement of 0.15 points. The recognition accuracy of "near-miss" was decreased by adding the environmental information. The parent category of the environmental information that reduced the accuracy was "predictability."

# D. Analysis of incident recognition performance on each environment

In this section, we discuss the different classification accuracies of the environmental labels assigned to V-TIDB as multi-labels. Accuracy for "traffic incident" was calculated for each child category of the environmental labels. Figure 3 shows the child categories on the horizontal axis. Each parent category is color-coded. The vertical axis is the value of Accuracy. The network is trained for traffic accident recognition. The classes with the highest accuracy in classifying traffic accidents were "animal", "ignored traffic light", "blindspot", and "foggy", which showed correct classification results for all validation data. In contrast, the "motorcycle", "forest", and "sudden stop" classes showed low accuracy. The "Negative" child category of each label indicates a video in which no traffic accidents have occurred.

# V. DISCUSSION

# Effectiveness of Environmental Information Labels in Traffic Accident Recognition

In the first experiment with "traffic incident" as the baseline, there were six out of ten parent categories in 3D-ResNet18 for which adding an environmental label improved accuracy. In 3D-ResNet50, there were nine out of ten parent categories. This indicates that annotations including detailed environmental information around V-TIDB and the annotator's subjective view are effective for traffic accident recognition. In particular, "Predictability", which contributed to the improvement of traffic accident recognition accuracy, is a parent category based on the annotator's subjective prediction of a traffic accident two to three seconds before. This is a unique label for our dataset, which does not exist in any other dataset than table I, indicating that the annotations including subjectivity are useful for traffic accident recognition.

In the second experiment, using "Contact level" as a baseline, the addition of environmental information was effective in recognizing the scale of traffic accidents such as "wreck" and "touch". On the other hand, it had a negative effect on the recognition of "near-misses," which indicate that the driver avoided a traffic accident just before it occurred. One possible cause for the decrease in recognition accuracy in "near-miss" is suggested to be that environmental information is not as important for robust recognition of "nearmiss," and may even be a hindrance. In the case of traffic accident recognition, improving recognition performance for accident videos that attribute environmental factors (such as "the accident happened because it was raining" or "the accident happened because it was dark and visibility was poor") is expected. On the other hand, for "near-miss," there are many possibilities of driver's inattention, and recognizing such videos by learning to depend on the environment had a negative impact on recognition accuracy, resulting in an overall decrease. In addition to the recognition of whether or not a traffic accident has occurred, there is room for improving the accuracy of the recognition of the level of traffic accidents. As a method to increase the recognition rate of the level of traffic accidents, we are considering a combination of detailed environmental information assigned as multi-labels or a method of learning using all multi-labels.

**Comparison issues between traffic accident recognition datasets.** We have considered comparative experiments on several datasets for traffic accident recognition. However, some datasets were "not downloadable" because they were difficult to compare. Some datasets were "downloadable but corrupted". In addition, some datasets were "private". Comparative experiments were complex in our environment for the above reasons.

The contribution of this paper is not to propose a conventional dataset to mark the traffic accident recognition rate but rather 1) It reveals that various environmental labels are effective in traffic accident recognition. 2) We collect more dashcam videos and IDs than a conventional dataset, label them with the presence of traffic accidents and environmental information, and disclose this information to the public.

### VI. CONCLUSION

In this study, we proposed V-TIDB, a large-scale dataset consisting of more than 9000 videos, to improve the accuracy of traffic accident recognition. In this study, a comparison experiment using the f1-score was conducted for "Traffic incident," which is the parent category of traffic accidents themselves, and "Contact level," which is the parent category of the scale of traffic accidents, by adding multi-label environmental information assigned by V-TIDB. The results showed that the accuracy of traffic incident recognition was improved for more than six out of ten types of environmental information in the "Traffic incident" category. In addition, for "contact level" of "wreck" and "touch", ten out of ten types of environmental information improved the recognition accuracy of the traffic incident scale.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Computational resource of AI Bridging Cloud Infrastructure (ABCI) provided by National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST) was used.

#### REFERENCES

- Bao, W., Yu, Q., Kong, Y.: Uncertainty-based traffic accident anticipation with spatio-temporal relational learning. In: Proceedings of the 28th ACM International Conference on Multimedia. p. 2682–2690. MM '20, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA (2020)
- [2] Chan, F.H., Chen, Y.T., Xiang, Y., Sun, M.: Anticipating accidents in dashcam videos. In: Lai, S.H., Lepetit, V., Nishino, K., Sato, Y. (eds.) Computer Vision – ACCV 2016. pp. 136–153. Springer International Publishing, Cham (2017)
- [3] Cordts, M., Omran, M., Ramos, S., Rehfeld, T., Enzweiler, M., Benenson, R., Franke, U., Roth, S., Schiele, B.: The cityscapes dataset for semantic urban scene understanding. In: Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. pp. 3213–3223 (2016)
- [4] Diba, A., Fayyaz, M., Sharma, V., Paluri, M., Gall, J., Stiefelhagen, R., Van Gool, L.: Large scale holistic video understanding. In: European Conference on Computer Vision. pp. 593–610. Springer (2020)
- [5] Geiger, A., Lenz, P., Stiller, C., Urtasun, R.: Vision meets robotics: The kitti dataset. The International Journal of Robotics Research 32(11), 1231–1237 (2013)
- [6] Kapidis, G., Poppe, R., van Dam, E.A., Noldus, L.P.J.J., Veltkamp, R.C.: Multitask learning to improve egocentric action recognition. CoRR abs/1909.06761 (2019), http://arxiv.org/abs/1909. 06761
- [7] Kataoka, H., Wakamiya, T., Hara, K., Satoh, Y.: Would megascale datasets further enhance spatiotemporal 3d cnns? CoRR abs/2004.04968 (2020), https://arxiv.org/abs/2004. 04968
- [8] Kay, W., Carreira, J., Simonyan, K., Zhang, B., Hillier, C., Vijayanarasimhan, S., Viola, F., Green, T., Back, T., Natsev, P., et al.: The kinetics human action video dataset. arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.06950 (2017)
- [9] Kim, H., Lee, K., Hwang, G., Suh, C.: Crash to not crash: Learn to identify dangerous vehicles using a simulator. In: Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence. vol. 33, pp. 978–985 (2019)
- [10] Organization, W.H.: Road traffic injuries (2023), https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/road-traffic-injuries
- [11] Pradana, H., Dao, M.S., Zettsu, K.: Augmenting ego-vehicle for traffic near-miss and accident classification dataset using manipulating conditional style translation. arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.02726 (2023)
- [12] Ramanishka, V., Chen, Y.T., Misu, T., Saenko, K.: Toward driving scene understanding: A dataset for learning driver behavior and causal reasoning. In: Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. pp. 7699–7707 (2018)
- [13] Schoonbeek, T.J., Piva, F.J., Abdolhay, H.R., Dubbelman, G.: Learning to predict collision risk from simulated video data. In: 2022 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV). pp. 943–951. IEEE (2022)
- [14] Sultani, W., Chen, C., Shah, M.: Real-world anomaly detection in surveillance videos. In: Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. pp. 6479–6488 (2018)
- [15] Suzuki, T., Kataoka, H., Aoki, Y., Satoh, Y.: Anticipating traffic accidents with adaptive loss and large-scale incident db. In: Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. pp. 3521–3529 (2018)
- [16] Xu, H., Gao, Y., Yu, F., Darrell, T.: End-to-end learning of driving models from large-scale video datasets. In: Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. pp. 2174–2182 (2017)
- [17] Xu, Y., Huang, C., Nan, Y., Lian, S.: Tad: A large-scale benchmark for traffic accidents detection from video surveillance. arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.12386 (2022)
- [18] Xu, Y., Zhou, F., Wang, L., Peng, W., Zhang, K.: Optimization of action recognition model based on multi-task learning and boundary gradient. Electronics 10(19) (2021), https://www.mdpi.com/ 2079-9292/10/19/2380
- [19] Yao, Y., Wang, X., Xu, M., Pu, Z., Wang, Y., Atkins, E., Crandall, D.J.: Dota: unsupervised detection of traffic anomaly in driving videos. IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence 45(1), 444–459 (2022)
- [20] Zhang, J., Yang, K., Stiefelhagen, R.: Issafe: Improving semantic segmentation in accidents by fusing event-based data. In: 2021 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS). pp. 1132–1139. IEEE (2021)