Functional Dimensionality of Koopman Eigenfunction Space

Ido Cohen¹ idocoh@ariel.ac.il Eli Appleboim² eliap@ee.technion.ac.il

Gershon Wolansky³ gershonw@math.technion.ac.il

¹Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Ariel University, Ariel ²Electrical and Computer Engineering, Technion, Haifa ³Mathematics, Technion, Haifa

January 5, 2024

Abstract

This work presents the general form solution of *Koopman Partial Differential Equation* and shows that its functional dimensionality is finite. The dimensionality is as the dimensionality of the dynamics. Thus, the representation of nonlinear dynamics as a linear one with a finite set of Koopman eigenfunctions without error is possible. This formulation justifies the flowbox statement and provides a simple numerical method to find such representation.

Keywords: Koopman operator, Koopman Partial Differential Equation, Dynamical systems, Flowbox, Conservation laws

1 Introduction

The Koopman spectrum is a commonly used tool for dynamical system analysis. Treating Koopman Eigenfunction space as an infinite dimensional vector space yields various techniques to represent the system as a linear one with truncated dimensionality [22, 6, 14, 24, 23]. Naturally, these methods occasionally result in an overly redundant spectral decomposition [19, 26], which is often inaccurate [9, 10]. Thus, the challenge of extracting meaningful information about the dynamics from samples remains open [1]. In this study, we present the general solution of *Koopman Partial Differential Equation* (KPDE) and show that only N Koopman Eigenfunctions are required for perfectly representing a nonlinear dynamic as a linear one.

Regrettably, the mathematical framework of the Koopman spectrum has received little attention, resulting in a limited understanding of how to efficiently extract a representation based on the underlying geometry of this space from samples [4]. This lack of knowledge has led unsophisticated and exhaustive algorithms [5, 26, 17] that led to intrinsic flaws in dynamical representation and prediction [27], for example, in highly nonlinear time-variant systems [25], homogeneous flows [9], or even linear systems with non-zero inputs [18]. These drawbacks led to ad-hok adaptations to almost every application [23]. This variety of adaptations challenges the liability of the algorithms due to their lack of stability [28], accuracy [12, 11], and of robustness to noise [16, 3, 20, 21, 2]. This study aims to bridge this knowledge gap by presenting a comprehensive theory on the general form of the KPDE.

Main contributions

- The general form solution of *Koopman Eigenfunction* (KEF) is presented.
- The general solution of KPDE is formulated.
- A minimal set of Koopman eigenfunction space [8] is naturally stemmed from KPDE's solution.
- A flowbox representation and KPDE's solution overlap each other.
- The functional dimensionality is as the dimensionality of the dynamics.

Paper Outlines The plan of this paper is as follows. The general solution of KPDE is formulated based on the characteristics method. Consequently, the functional dimensionality of KEF space is proven to be finite. Namely, we need only N Koopman eigenfunction to represent a dynamical system as a linear one. At last, we show a direct connection between the minimal set concept, flowbox theorem, and conservation laws of dynamical systems. We precede with preliminary definitions and identities.

2 Preliminaries

We list below essential notations and definitions that are used in this paper.

Dynamic Let us consider the following nonlinear dynamical system, defined in a domain \mathcal{D} in \mathbb{R}^n

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}} = P(\boldsymbol{x}), \ t \in I = [0, T] \tag{1}$$

where $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N$, the operator $\dot{}$ denotes the time derivative, and $P : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$. All along this work it is assumed that P is C^1 and therefore $\boldsymbol{x}(t) \in C^2$.

Equilibrium An equilibrium point, denoted by $x^* \in \mathcal{D}$, is a stationary point of Eq. (1), i.e. a point at which

$$P(\boldsymbol{x}^*) = \boldsymbol{0} \tag{2}$$

where $\mathbf{0}$ is the N dimensional zero vector.

Measurement A measurement is a function from \mathcal{D} to \mathbb{C} .

Koopman Operator The Koopman operator K_{τ} acts on the infinite dimensional vector space of measurements and admits the following. Let $g(\boldsymbol{x})$ be a measurement then

$$K_{\tau}(g(\boldsymbol{x}(s))) = g(\boldsymbol{x}(s+\tau)), \quad s, s+\tau \in I,$$
(KO)

where $\tau > 0$. This operator is linear [15, 19].

Koopman Eigenfunction Assuming the initial condition \boldsymbol{x}_0 , a measurement $\varphi(\boldsymbol{x})$, satisfying the following relation along the orbit $\mathcal{X}(\boldsymbol{x}_0)$

$$\frac{d\varphi(\boldsymbol{x})}{dt} = \lambda\varphi(\boldsymbol{x}), \quad \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{X}(\boldsymbol{x}_0)$$
(3)

for some value $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, is a Koopman Eigenfunction (KEF).

Koopman PDE Let $\Phi(\boldsymbol{x})$ be some differentiable measurement. Then Φ is a solution of the Koopman *Partial Differential Equation* (PDE) if it satisfies the following, everywhere in \mathcal{D} ,

$$\nabla \Phi(\boldsymbol{x})^T P(\boldsymbol{x}) = \lambda \Phi(\boldsymbol{x}), \quad \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{D}.$$
(4)

where ∇ denotes the gradient of Φ with respect to the state vector \boldsymbol{x} . In particular, it is assumed that Φ is C^1 as a function of \boldsymbol{x} .

- **Conservation Law** The function $h : \mathcal{D} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a conservation law if it is a (non constant) solution to Eq. (4) associated with $\lambda = 0$.
- Unit Measurement A unit velocity measurement is a smooth function m: $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the following PDE¹,

$$\nabla m(\boldsymbol{x})^T P(\boldsymbol{x}) = 1, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{D}.$$
 (5)

The change rate of the unit measurement, dictated by the dynamics, is given by

$$\frac{d}{dt}m(\boldsymbol{x}) = \nabla m(\boldsymbol{x})^T P(\boldsymbol{x}) = 1,$$
(6)

which is the source of its name.

3 Solution of KPDE, Minimal Set, and Flowbox

3.1 General Solution of KPDE

Let S be an open, N-1 dimensional hyper surface embedded in \mathbb{R}^N . We say that S is *non-recurrent* with respect to P if any solution orbit of P intersect S at most once.

 $^{^1 {\}rm This}$ function is denoted with m as a shortage for $\mu ov \acute{\alpha} \delta \alpha$ unit in Greek.

3.1.1 Examples

Let N = 2

[a] $P := (x_1, x_2).$

We can choose S to be any circle $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = R > 0$, excluding one point (x_1^0, x_2^0) on this circle. Then $\Omega(S)$ is the entire plan \mathbb{R}^2 , excluding the orbit passing trough this point.

- [b] $P := (-x_1, x_2)$. We can define S to be $x_1 = 1$. In that case $\Omega(S)$ is the half plain $x_1 > 0$.
- [c] If $P := (x_2, -x_1)$. Then all orbits are composed of the circles $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = R$, so any point on any surface is recurrent.

Let $\Omega(S) \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be the set of all points on the solution orbits intersecting S. The characteristic method for Cauchy Problem applied to linear, first order PDE implies (c.f. Courant & Hilbert [13])

Theorem 3.1. Given a function g on S, the equation

 $\nabla \Psi^T(x) \cdot P(x) = h(x)$

has a unique solution in the domain $\Omega(S)$ satisfying $\Psi = g$ on S.

Using this Theorem for g = 0 and h = 1, we now define *m* to be the *unique* solution of (5) on $\Omega(S)$ satisfying $m(x) \equiv 0$ on *S*.

Remark 3.2. The existence of such unit measurement m on $\Omega(S)$ follows from the assumption that S is non-recurrent.

To formulate the general solution of Koopman PDE, we first state the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.3. Any λ -Koopman eigenfunction on $\Omega(S)$ is of the form $h(\boldsymbol{x})e^{\lambda m(\boldsymbol{x})}$ where h is an invariant of P, on $\Omega(S)$, namely

$$\nabla^T h(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot P(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \Omega(S)$$
(7)

Proof. Let $\Phi(\boldsymbol{x})$ be a λ -Koopman eigenfunction. Then

$$\nabla^{T} \left(\Phi(\boldsymbol{x}) e^{-\lambda m(\boldsymbol{x})} \right) \cdot P = e^{-\lambda m(\boldsymbol{x})} \underbrace{\nabla^{T} \Phi(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot P}_{=\lambda \Phi(\boldsymbol{x})} - e^{-\lambda m(\boldsymbol{x})} \Phi(\boldsymbol{x}) \lambda \underbrace{\nabla^{T} m(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot P}_{=1} = 0$$
(8)

using (Eq. (4), Eq. (6)).

Theorem 3.4 (General solution of KPDE). There exists N-1 invariant functions $h_i: \Omega(S) \to (0,1)$ such that any Koopman eigenfunction defined on $\Omega(S)$ is of the form

$$\Phi(\boldsymbol{x}) = f(h_1(\boldsymbol{x}), \cdots, h_{N-1}(\boldsymbol{x}))e^{\lambda M(\boldsymbol{x})}$$
(9)

where f is any differentiable function on $(0,1)^{N-1}$.

Proof. We first show the existence of N-1 invariants h_1, \ldots, h_{N-1} of on $\Omega(S)$ such that any invariant on $\Omega(S)$ is of the form $f(h_1, \ldots, h_{N-1})$.

Since S is homeomorphic to the N-1 dimensional ball, there exists N functions $X := s_1, \ldots s_N$ on $[0, 1]^{N-1}$ which are parameterization of S, namely $X : (0, 1)^{N-1} \to S$ is a 1-1 surjection.

Let h_i be the unique solution of the Caushy problem $\nabla h_i^T \cdot P(x) = 0$ on $\Omega(S)$ such that $h_i(X(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{N-1})) = \tau_i$ for any $(\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_{N-1}) \in (0, 1)^{N-1}$.

Claim: Any invariant of the system Eq. (1) is given by $f(h_1(\boldsymbol{x}), \dots, h_{N-1}(\boldsymbol{x}))$ for some differentiable function f on $(0,1)^{N-1}$. Indeed, suppose G is some invariant function on $\Omega(S)$. Let g be the restriction of G to S. Since X is a parameterization of S on $(0,1)^{N-1}$ then $g \circ X = f$ for some f defined on $(0,1)^{N-1}$.

Since G is an invariant on $\Omega(S)$, it is the *unique* solution of the Cauchy problem $\nabla^T \Phi \cdot P = 0$ such that $\Phi = g$ on S. On the other hand, the function g equals $f(h_1, \dots, h_{N-1})$ on S by definition. Moreover,

$$\nabla^T f(h_1, \dots h_{N-1}) \cdot P = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial h_i} \nabla^T h_i \cdot P = 0$$

so $f(h_1, \ldots, h_{N-1}) = G$ by uniqueness.

The Theorem now follows from Lemma 3.3.

Back to the Examples 3.1.1: In case [a] we get $M = \frac{1}{2} \ln(x_1^2 + x_2^2)$. A possible invariant is $h(x_1, x_2) = x_1/x_2$. This invariant is not defined on the line $x_2 = 0$, but we may define $\tilde{h} = \arctan(x_1/x_2) \equiv \arg(x_1, x_2)$ as an invariant. Depending the chosen branch of the argument, \tilde{h} can be extended on the entire plain, excluding the orbit of a single solution intersecting a given point (x_1^0, x_2^0) on the circle. The Koopman eigenfunction $\Phi_{\lambda} = \arg(x_1, x_2)e^{\frac{\lambda(x_1^2 + x_2^2)}{2}}$ however, cannot be extended to the entire plain (see Fig. 1).

In case [b], $M = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{1}{x_1^2}\right)$ on the half plain defined by $x_1 > 0$ (consistent with $M(1, x_2) = 0$). We obtain an invariant $h(x_1, x_2) = x_1 x_2$. This invariant, however, can be extended to the entire plain.

In case [c] there are no non-recurrent surfaces, so there are no Koopman eigenfunctions for arbitrary eigenvalue λ . However, in this case there exists eigenfunctions of the form $h(x_1^2 + x_2^2)e^{in \arg(x_1, x_2)}$ for any function h and integer n, corresponding to $\lambda = in$.



Figure 1: Initial surfaces for a source and a hyperbolic systems

3.2 Minimal Set

The mathematical structure of KEF space is defined and studied thoroughly in [8]. This structure is not a ring but more complex than a group. This structure is algebraic-differential, meaning there are admissible actions following differential conditions for which the Koopman space is closed. After defining this structure, the author defined dependent and independent sets of KEFs. A set of KEFs are independent if their Jaacobian is a full-rank matrix. Thus, there is a set of at maximum N independent KEFs, named a *minimal set*, from which one can generate the rest of the eigenfunctions. Following Theorem 3.4, a minimal set can be,

$$\Phi_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}) = h_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})e^{m(\boldsymbol{x})}$$

$$\Phi_{2}(\boldsymbol{x}) = h_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})e^{m(\boldsymbol{x})}$$

$$\vdots \qquad . \tag{10}$$

$$\Phi_{N-1}(\boldsymbol{x}) = h_{N-1}(\boldsymbol{x})e^{m(\boldsymbol{x})}$$

$$\Phi_{N}(\boldsymbol{x}) = e^{m(\boldsymbol{x})}$$

3.3 Flowbox

Flowbox is a coordinate system in which the dynamic is trivial. Meaning, the velocity of one coordinate is one and the rest's are zeros. The Flowbox theorem states that for any point in a Lipschitz vector field there is an invertible transformation from a neighborhood of a point to a flowboxed coordinate [7]. This statement holds if the point is far from a system singularity. Theorem 3.4

naturally induces a flowbox coordinate system,

$$z_{1} = h_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})$$

$$z_{2} = h_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})$$

$$\vdots \qquad . \qquad (11)$$

$$z_{N-1} = h_{N-1}(\boldsymbol{x})$$

$$z_{N} = m(\boldsymbol{x})$$

and, in these coordinates, any solution satisfying $\boldsymbol{x}(0) \in S$ takes the form

$$z_{1}(t) = h_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}(0))$$

$$\vdots$$

$$z_{N-1}(t) = h_{N-1}(\boldsymbol{x}(0))$$

$$z_{N}(t) = t$$
(12)

for any $-\infty < t < \infty$.

4 Conclusions

In many applications in signal and image processing, data mining we are looking for a good representation. Often, the measure of "goodness" depends on the space in which data is embedded and the specific application at hand. However, generally speaking, sparsity and accuracy are the crucial parameter for data representation, reconstructing, storing and retrieval.

The same considerations are guiding us in dynamical system representations which lead us to formulate the solutions of KPDE concisely and accurately. By using the characteristic method, one can formulate the general solution of KPDE as a function of N independent Koopman Eigenfunctions. This formulation emphasizes the importance of the geometry considerations in finding KEFs numerically for "good" representation. Thus, randomly sampled vector field or sampled orbits randomly initiated can easily reveal the underlying dynamic under the assumption of the dynamic and KEFs' smoothness.

List of Acronyms

KEF Koopman Eigenfunction

KPDE Koopman Partial Differential Equation

PDE Partial Differential Equation

References

- Allan M Avila and I Mezić, Data-driven analysis and forecasting of highway traffic dynamics, Nature communications 11 (2020), no. 1, 1–16.
- [2] Omri Azencot, Wotao Yin, and Andrea Bertozzi, Consistent dynamic mode decomposition, SIAM Journal on Applied Dynamical Systems 18 (2019), no. 3, 1565–1585.
- [3] Shervin Bagheri, Effects of small noise on the DMD/Koopman spectrum, Bulletin Am. Phys. Soc 58 (2013), no. 18, H35.
- [4] Erik M Bollt, Geometric considerations of a good dictionary for koopman analysis of dynamical systems: Cardinality, "primary eigenfunction," and efficient representation, Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation 100 (2021), 105833.
- [5] Steven L Brunton and J Nathan Kutz, Data-driven science and engineering: Machine learning, dynamical systems, and control, Cambridge University Press, 2022.
- [6] Steven L Brunton, Joshua L Proctor, and J Nathan Kutz, Sparse identification of nonlinear dynamics with control (sindyc), IFAC-PapersOnLine 49 (2016), no. 18, 710–715.
- [7] C. Calcaterra and A. Boldt, Lipschitz flow-box theorem, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 338 (2008), 1108 – 1115.
- [8] Ido Cohen and Eli Appelboim, A minimal set of koopman eigenfunctionsanalysis and numerics, arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.05837 (2023).
- [9] Ido Cohen, Omri Azencot, Pavel Lifshits, and Guy Gilboa, Modes of homogeneous gradient flows, SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences 14 (2021), no. 3, 913–945.
- [10] Ido Cohen and Guy Gilboa, Latent modes of nonlinear flows: A koopman theory analysis, Elements in Non-local Data Interactions: Foundations and Applications, Cambridge University Press, 2023.
- [11] Scott TM Dawson, Maziar S Hemati, Matthew O Williams, and Clarence W Rowley, Characterizing and correcting for the effect of sensor noise in the dynamic mode decomposition, Experiments in Fluids 57 (2016), no. 3, 42.
- [12] Maziar S Hemati, Clarence W Rowley, Eric A Deem, and Louis N Cattafesta, *De-biasing the dynamic mode decomposition for applied Koop*man spectral analysis of noisy datasets, Theoretical and Computational Fluid Dynamics **31** (2017), no. 4, 349–368.
- [13] David Hilbert, Methods of mathematical physics, CUP Archive, 1985.

- [14] Eurika Kaiser, J Nathan Kutz, and Steven L Brunton, Discovering conservation laws from data for control, 2018 IEEE Conference on Decision and Control (CDC), IEEE, 2018, pp. 6415–6421.
- [15] Bernard O Koopman, Hamiltonian systems and transformation in hilbert space, Proceedings of the national academy of sciences of the united states of america 17 (1931), no. 5, 315.
- [16] J Nathan Kutz, Steven L Brunton, Bingni W Brunton, and Joshua L Proctor, Dynamic mode decomposition: data-driven modeling of complex systems, pp. 119–132, SIAM, 2016.
- [17] Qianxiao Li, Felix Dietrich, Erik M Bollt, and Ioannis G Kevrekidis, Extended dynamic mode decomposition with dictionary learning: A datadriven adaptive spectral decomposition of the koopman operator, Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science 27 (2017), no. 10, 103111.
- [18] Hannah Lu and Daniel M Tartakovsky, Extended dynamic mode decomposition for inhomogeneous problems, Journal of Computational Physics 444 (2021), 110550.
- [19] Igor Mezić, Spectral properties of dynamical systems, model reduction and decompositions, Nonlinear Dynamics 41 (2005), no. 1, 309–325.
- [20] Taku Nonomura, Hisaichi Shibata, and Ryoji Takaki, Dynamic mode decomposition using a Kalman filter for parameter estimation, AIP Advances 8 (2018), no. 10, 105106.
- [21] _____, Extended-Kalman-filter-based dynamic mode decomposition for simultaneous system identification and denoising, PloS one 14 (2019), no. 2.
- [22] Peter J Schmid, Dynamic mode decomposition of numerical and experimental data, Journal of fluid mechanics **656** (2010), 5–28.
- [23] _____, Dynamic mode decomposition and its variants, Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics 54 (2022), 225–254.
- [24] Simone Servadio, Roberto Armellin, and Richard Linares, A koopmanoperator control optimization for relative motion in space, AIAA SCITECH 2023 Forum, 2023, p. 0873.
- [25] Rotem Turjeman, Tom Berkov, Ido Cohen, and Guy Gilboa, *The underly-ing correlated dynamics in neural training*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.09040 (2022).
- [26] Matthew O Williams, Ioannis G Kevrekidis, and Clarence W Rowley, A data-driven approximation of the koopman operator: Extending dynamic mode decomposition, Journal of Nonlinear Science 25 (2015), 1307–1346.

- [27] Ziyou Wu, Steven L Brunton, and Shai Revzen, *Challenges in dynamic mode decomposition*, Journal of the Royal Society Interface 18 (2021), no. 185, 20210686.
- [28] Andrew Wynn, DS Pearson, Bharathram Ganapathisubramani, and Paul J Goulart, Optimal mode decomposition for unsteady flows, Journal of Fluid Mechanics 733 (2013), 473–503.