Recent Advancement in 3D Biometrics using Monocular Camera

Aritra Mukherjee, Abhijit Das Birla Institute of Technology and Science, Pilani – Hyderabad Campus Secunderabad, Telangana 500078

abhijit.das@hyderabad.bits-pilani.ac.in

Abstract

Recent literature has witnessed significant interest towards 3D biometrics employing monocular vision for robust authentication methods. Motivated by this, in this work we seek to provide insight on recent development in the area of 3D biometrics employing monocular vision. We present the similarity and dissimilarity of 3D monocular biometrics and classical biometrics, listing the strengths and challenges. Further, we provide an overview of recent techniques in 3D biometrics with monocular vision, as well as application systems adopted by the industry. Finally, we discuss open research problems in this area of research.

1. Introduction

In the present times, biometrics sensors and systems have become a part of daily human life across multiple spheres, where some kind of security is needed. From applications like digital financial transactions to border security, the role of various biometrics is unquestionable. Despite of all such advancements many forms of biometrics still needs research attention w.r.t to robustness and preciseness. Further, most of the existing biometric systems like fingerprints and palm prints, are touch-based systems. With the episode of COVID-19 the world has learnt how such systems can be rendered useless. To mitigate the same many expensive sensor technologies such as 3D sensors and other contact-less biometrics has been proposed. Most of them are expensive, hence affordability is a big question for the development of low-cost systems. In these contexts, the use of monocular camera as the alternative hardware, have gained steam in recent times (See Figure 1). Also, several commercial solutions have been released[1]. Among all the biometrics, face leads the race with more than half of the existing technologies related to 3D biometrics based on monocular vision, followed by gait, finger, ear, eye movement and vein. In many cases, a monocular camera is supplemented with other specialized low-cost emitters like IR LEDs, or are motorized. In almost all these works, some form of 3D infor-



Figure 1. Graph of scientific papers published per year in the period 1998-2022 matching keywords including "3D biometrics" and "single camera monocular vision". From Scopus (https://www.scopus.com).

mation retrieval is involved. Hence, in this review, we have tried to give an overview of such emerging technologies.

As mentioned, most of the advances are in the direction of 3D biometrics with face [112] followed by fingerprint [111], presentation attack detection [53]. Along with face biometrics ear [40] is used for multimodality. 3D vascular biometric[59] and palm based biometric[136] has recently gained steam in this avenue of research. Thus in many forms of human biometrics, 3D information is crucial. But the need for sophisticated and expensive hardware components works as a deterrent to its widespread adoption, as a result monocular vision for 3D data came into existence.

Most of the modalities used in 3D monocular biometrics employ Structure from shadow (SFS) and Structure from motion (SFM) to harness 3D information [112]. There are approaches based on monocular vision[82, 155, 127] but still those methods fail under drastic lighting conditions. Thus recent advancement[128] has worked in that direction. In recent times monocular 3D object reconstruction has made a lot of improvements due to the neural radiance field approach or NeRF[41, 73, 123, 31] and the area needs more research for efficiency and robustness in applications. Observing the recent trends, monocular image-based 3D biometrics is an emerging topic that has myriad applications and the best part is, it is much more affordable. Hence, it will be beneficial to document the recent development in this area.

Therefore, in the following sections, we are going to discuss the benefits and challenges of 3D biometrics using monocular vision in comparison to traditional biometrics. Followed by a critical review of recent advancements in this subject, categorized into different biometric traits, namely, face, gait, vein pattern, eye movement and others. Though the majority of the works reviewed are based on monocular images or cameras, some of them have used other expensive sensors. Next, we will discuss the way forward.

2. 3D biometrics with monocular vision

A classical biometric system acquires data from an individual (e.g., a face image), processes and mathematically models it, and compares mathematical representation with the distribution of a population in order to verify a claimed identity or to determine an identity. Keeping in mind that this classical structure is also incorporated in the context of 3D biometrics with monocular vision systems, one could introduce the following definition. A 3D biometrics with a monocular vision system is a special case of 3D biometrics that uses monocular sensors to get leverage that a traditional 3D biometrics achieves with sophisticated sensors. Hence, such a system can be low cost reliable biometric technology. Now we proceed to enlist the benefits and challenges in 3D biometrics with monocular vision.

2.1. Benefits

The future of biometrics technology is slowly turning towards the use of deep learning models using images or videos obtained from normal monocular cameras as studied in this work [57]. Figure 2 shows the relationship among different hardware sensors, biometrics traits and methodologies in recent works. It is to be noted that the abundance of 3D techniques and the use of the monocular camera is quite significant. There are many obvious reasons for this which can be broadly classified into four points, i.e.

- Ease of sensor usage: Fast growth of hardware technology has made deep learning available on edge devices with minimal capital and operating expenditure. The abundance in the availability of monocular cameras across price ranges and easy amalgamation of existing infrastructure also helps in ease of use.
- Low cost: Monocular camera still remains as one of the cheapest sensor technologies with a very high information bandwidth.
- Covertness: The other advantage is contact-less usage and sometimes, as required by scenarios, covert



Figure 2. Relationship between sensor hardware, biometric traits and applications and methodologies used for them, as per recent development in the field.

acquiring of data.

• **Operational simplicity:** Other than cost-related benefits, the simplicity of servicing biometric devices with a monocular camera cannot be argued, which is making it a primary choice for sensors.

2.2. Challenges

There are apparently some challenges attached to the usage of monocular cameras as the only sensor hardware. The works discussed in this review sheds light on them when used for various traits, especially in Section 3.2 and 3.4. The major challenges can be summarized as follows:

- **Proper spectrum band:** Many biometric traits rely on information that is unobtainable until a monocular camera is supplemented with special emitters or filters. Especially for subcutaneous information like vasculature and vein pattern IR LEDs are needed and sometime NIR filters are needed. That takes the overall cost of the system higher.
- Unavailability of direct 3D data: Some sort of algorithms, either classical or machine learning oriented, are needed to make a pseudo depth map properly out of the data from the monocular camera.
- **Dependence on lighting model:** Many works on biometrics using monocular camera needs structured or directed lighting for proper featuring of visual information, especially 3D features. This makes the usage of monocular cameras restricted to certain environments.
- Calibration of camera parameters: Many parameters of a camera such as auto-focus, white balance,

ISO, exposure, shutter speed and color model are autocalibrated for using monocular cameras in other common commercial usages. Only industrial-grade expensive cameras have the flexibility of tuning these parameters by the algorithm in feedback mode for efficient information sampling. This poses a challenge for making deep learning models more robust to handle these fluctuations.

3. Recent advancement on 3D biometrics with monocular vision

In this section, we do a critical review of the most recent and innovative work on 3D biometrics with monocular vision. Apart from biometric recognition itself, several other aspects such as presentation attack detection, and virtual reality (VR) that have been investigated by the research community are also discussed. Additionally, this section also highlights how the identified challenges have been addressed in the recent literature and the commercial solutions available.

3.1. Face biometrics

Among all biometrics traits, the face is the most popular one [112]. There had been many surveys on face recognition methodologies through the last decade [60, 117, 39, 137, 109] which show the use of traditional techniques like hand-designed feature, eigenfaces, Gabor filters and visual word for most of the approaches. From the beginning of the present decade, surveys recognized the booming of deep learning techniques in face biometrics [126, 99] and the need for privacy in face biometrics [96]. Recent works in this direction have been briefly described in Table 1. The Table 2 discusses about recent developments in face antispoofing techniques using monocular images. Some works recognized the need for 3D face recognition along with deep learning [72, 62, 81, 118] due to various emerging challenges. When it comes to reliable face recognition for biometrics a number of challenges crops up in real-world applications such as demographic bias [33], cancellable biometrics [94], face beautification [107, 108], face masking [43] and face morphing [132].

Taking all such constraints and challenges into consideration, we have focused our review on 3D face-based biometrics as it can dilute many of the above-highlighted challenges. Most of these works use a monocular camera. These works attempt to create a pseudo depth map [75, 93] or a 3D morphable model [49, 79] of the face from a single image or multiple images captured with a static camera and a moving head. GAN-based approaches [65, 148, 93, 75, 24] are used in most of the approaches for extracting 3D information. An early work [50] argued in favour of using 3D biometrics from 2D face images as it is more robust to pose and lighting variances. Applications like Deepfake detection are also been explored in this direction. Depthfake [90], which concludes that deep fake detection is more robust when 3D reconstruction is done from 2D. For the face, anti-spoofing detection of temporal repetitive patterns [133, 147, 101] and classification of materials by its irradiation property [80, 52], are the two most common trend used on short monocular facial video clips.

Further, the importance of 3D face decomposition from 2D images for biometrics, especially forgery detection has been well discussed in a recent work [152]. It elaborates how by decomposing a 2D image into graphics components including 3D shape, lighting, common texture, and identity texture the task of biometric recognition becomes a lot more robust. The use of NeRF for constructing 3D face avatar[142] is another approach to creating photorealistic 3D faces from 2D portraits with cross personality traits i.e. facial features and structure from different individuals.

3.2. Vein pattern biometrics

The fact that subcutaneous vein pattern can be used as a biometric trait, was established by earlier works [7, 61], which also prophesied the popularity of the trait. Recently vein pattern biometrics has received significant attention and multiple approaches for that have been explored well in the literature. They include both hardware and algorithm development, which are covered in some recent surveys [140, 130, 64, 8]. After going through existing surveys we discovered that there is no consolidated work that reviews recent developments in the field using monocular RGB and IR cameras. In Table 3 some of the recent works in 3D vein pattern using monocular camera has been briefly discussed. Some of the works are focused on specialized hardware development [104, 150, 71] for quick scanning of palm and finger veins, while some have taken periocular vasculature [16] as the source of subcutaneous vein pattern. CNN is used as the common methodology in most approaches [150, 16]. Most of the work has contributed by developing challenging datasets.

3.3. Gait biometrics

Gait pattern is one of the most popular biometric traits [29], but sensing of gait pattern is a challenge. An early work on using pose agnostic gait recognition [42] used a view-invariant video sequence, which paved the way for many works in the future. The use of 3D information for gait biometrics was proposed [11] in which kinematic models were learnt from human motion in voxel space, which was later used for classification. Though gait biometrics seems to be a difficult security measure to attack, it was proved that with clothing variation, gait patterns can be spoofed [48]. This research began on making gait recognition invariant of clothing and luggage. Getting gait infor-

Work	Sensor	Technique	Dataset	Accuracy	Challenge
Jin <i>et al</i> . [65]	normal	shearlet transform combined with	Bosphorus 3D Face	SSIM 0.869, RMSE	Occlusion
	monocular	a generative adversarial network	Database [114], CASIA 3D [23]	23.99, PSNR 20.53	
	camera	(GAN), multi conditional image to	for training, BU-3DFE [144]		
		image translation	for testing		
Deng et	normal	Attention mechanism with graph	LFPW, HELEN, IBUG and	reconstruction error	Albedo, speed
al. [32]	monocular	convolutional network, rough 3D	XM2VTS combined by Guo et	median 1.29mm,	vs accuracy
	camera	data to train the model	al. [46] with face landmarks	mean 1.63mm std	for smaller
			generated from FAN [20] for	1.41mm	parameter
			training and NoW dataset [113]		size
Zenget	normal	Joint reconstruction by a deep shape	Florence hybrid face	EER 6.39%, mean	occlusion,
al. [148]	monocular	reconstruction and texture comple-	dataset [14]	RMSE 2.09mm	non-face
	camera	tion network, U-V texture map and			objects non-
		inpainting network, explainable			symmetric
					face
Han <i>et al</i> . [49]	normal	roughly generated 3D morphable	No dataset other than trained	The RMSE 2.707mm	works on non
	monocular	model (3DMM) using a pixel level	3DMM		uniformly lit
	camera	microfacet estimation			faces only
Angermann <i>et</i>	normal	Cyclic optimization of RGB-to-	Texas 3DFRD [4/], SUR-	$RMSE \pm std 0.068 \pm$	four neural
al. [10]	monocular	depth and depth-to-RGB networks	REAL [131] and Bosphorus 3D	$0.0.27$, MAE \pm std	networks
	camera	for unsupervised single-shot depth	Face Database [114]	0.051 ± 0.023	must be fitted
Vong of	Dual nival	Natural with two nevel modules	Public DD detect [6] and can	WMAE 0.095	Fixed focus
ral [66]	monocular	namely Adaptive Sampling Mod	tured dataset 135744 face im	WPMSE 0 133	distance bias
<i>u</i> . [00]	camera	ule and Adaptive Normal Module	ages for 101 subjects with canon	WKWSE 0.155	to skin tone
	camera	generalized depth and normal esti-	DSL R (focus distance 1.0 to 1.5		and lighting
		mation	m)		and lighting
Malah et	normal	GAN and Graph CNN based ap-	AFLW2000-3D dataset [154]	AFLW2000-3D	Biased to tex-
al. [93]	monocular	proach with facial landmark loca-	and Florence hybrid face	EMD 0.13 Chamfer	ture and light-
[>0]	camera	tion in the 2D face image as input	dataset [14]	0.0077. Florence	ing, not good
		and 3D mesh as output		EMD 0.269 Chamfer	around eyes
		1		0.0623	,
Li et al. [79]	normal	3DMM refinement by end to	CelebA [86], 300WLP [153],	3D-RMSE (in mm)	not good for
	monocular	end training by residual learn-	LS3DW [20], LFW [56],	on NoW mean 1.9	Asian faces
	camera	ing, combination of low level	FFHQ [69] and IJB-C [95]	median 1.52 std 1.49	
		losses with fine-grained optimiza-	for training Florence [14]	Florence mean 2 me-	
		tion, high-quality 3D faces from	NoW [113] Facewarehouse [21]	dian 1.55 std 1.57	
		moderate-quality 2D faces	for testing	Facewarehouse mean	
				1.91	
Kao <i>et al</i> . [68]	normal	Perspective network, a novel deep	ARkit (contributing dataset)	RMSE (mm) ARkit	fails un-
	monocular	network architecture learns 3D to	400 people 717840 samples for	mean 1.76 median	der high
	camera	2D face coordinates and then uses	training 100 184884 samples	1.72	occlusion
		the reverse to estimate very accurate	for testing, BIWI [36]		
		the reverse to estimate very accurate 3D faces from 2D images	for testing, BIWI [36]	~	
Khan <i>et</i>	normal	the reverse to estimate very accurate 3D faces from 2D images Lightweight feature fusion model	for testing, BIWI [36] Pandora [17], Eurecom	Synthetic human	training re-
Khan <i>et al</i> . [75]	normal monocular	the reverse to estimate very accurate 3D faces from 2D images Lightweight feature fusion model that used an encoder-decoder type	for testing, BIWI [36] Pandora [17], Eurecom Kinect [98], BIWI [36], Syn-	Synthetic human facial depth RMSE	training re- quires huge
Khan <i>et al</i> . [75]	normal monocular camera and	the reverse to estimate very accurate 3D faces from 2D images Lightweight feature fusion model that used an encoder-decoder type architecture pretrained on synthetic	for testing, BIWI [36] Pandora [17], Eurecom Kinect [98], BIWI [36], Syn- thetic human facial depth [74]	Synthetic human facial depth RMSE 0.023	training re- quires huge dataset for
Khan <i>et al</i> . [75]	normal monocular camera and synthetic	the reverse to estimate very accurate 3D faces from 2D images Lightweight feature fusion model that used an encoder-decoder type architecture pretrained on synthetic data, small mode huge data	for testing, BIWI [36] Pandora [17], Eurecom Kinect [98], BIWI [36], Syn- thetic human facial depth [74]	Synthetic human facial depth RMSE 0.023	training re- quires huge dataset for optimal
Khan <i>et</i> <i>al</i> . [75]	normal monocular camera and synthetic monocular	the reverse to estimate very accurate 3D faces from 2D images Lightweight feature fusion model that used an encoder-decoder type architecture pretrained on synthetic data, small mode huge data	for testing, BIWI [36] Pandora [17], Eurecom Kinect [98], BIWI [36], Syn- thetic human facial depth [74]	Synthetic human facial depth RMSE 0.023	training re- quires huge dataset for optimal results
Khan et al. [75]	normal monocular camera and synthetic monocular camera	the reverse to estimate very accurate 3D faces from 2D images Lightweight feature fusion model that used an encoder-decoder type architecture pretrained on synthetic data, small mode huge data	for testing, BIWI [36] Pandora [17], Eurecom Kinect [98], BIWI [36], Syn- thetic human facial depth [74]	Synthetic human facial depth RMSE 0.023	training re- quires huge dataset for optimal results
Khan al. [75]etChen al. [24]et	normal monocular camera and synthetic monocular camera normal monocular	the reverse to estimate very accurate 3D faces from 2D images Lightweight feature fusion model that used an encoder-decoder type architecture pretrained on synthetic data, small mode huge data Well-trained CycleGAN with 3DMM for pretraining with data	for testing, BIWI [36] Pandora [17], Eurecom Kinect [98], BIWI [36], Syn- thetic human facial depth [74] ND-2006 [35], CASIA 3D [23], 3D TEC [134] Persperse 2D	Synthetic human facial depth RMSE 0.023 Rank1 recognition	training re- quires huge dataset for optimal results high pose variation
Khan <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> [75] Chen <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> [24]	normal monocular camera and synthetic monocular camera monocular camera	the reverse to estimate very accurate 3D faces from 2D images Lightweight feature fusion model that used an encoder-decoder type architecture pretrained on synthetic data, small mode huge data Well-trained CycleGAN with 3DMM for pretraining with den- tical cycle consistency loss and	for testing, BIWI [36] Pandora [17], Eurecom Kinect [98], BIWI [36], Syn- thetic human facial depth [74] ND-2006 [35], CASIA 3D [23], 3D-TEC [134], Bosphorus 3D Face Database [114] Tavas	Synthetic human facial depth RMSE 0.023 Rank1 recognition accuracy 3D-TEC 0.5 ND 2006 0.872	training re- quires huge dataset for optimal results high pose variation
Khan et al. [75] Chen et al. [24]	normal monocular camera and synthetic monocular camera normal monocular camera	the reverse to estimate very accurate 3D faces from 2D images Lightweight feature fusion model that used an encoder-decoder type architecture pretrained on synthetic data, small mode huge data Well-trained CycleGAN with 3DMM for pretraining with den- tical cycle consistency loss and	for testing, BIWI [36] Pandora [17], Eurecom Kinect [98], BIWI [36], Syn- thetic human facial depth [74] ND-2006 [35], CASIA 3D [23], 3D-TEC [134], Bosphorus 3D Face Database [114], Texas 3DFED [47]	Synthetic human facial depth RMSE 0.023 Rank1 recognition accuracy 3D-TEC 0.5, ND-2006 0.872, CASLA 3D 0.706	training re- quires huge dataset for optimal results high pose variation
Khan et al. [75] Chen et al. [24]	normal monocular camera and synthetic monocular camera monocular camera	the reverse to estimate very accurate 3D faces from 2D images Lightweight feature fusion model that used an encoder-decoder type architecture pretrained on synthetic data, small mode huge data Well-trained CycleGAN with 3DMM for pretraining with den- tical cycle consistency loss and two perceptual losses with identity preservation in 2D and 3D	for testing, BIWI [36] Pandora [17], Eurecom Kinect [98], BIWI [36], Syn- thetic human facial depth [74] ND-2006 [35], CASIA 3D [23], 3D-TEC [134], Bosphorus 3D Face Database [114], Texas 3DFRD [47]	Synthetic human facial depth RMSE 0.023 Rank1 recognition accuracy 3D-TEC 0.5, ND-2006 0.872, CASIA 3D 0.706, Boshborus 0.641	training re- quires huge dataset for optimal results high pose variation
Khan <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> [75] Chen <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> [24]	normal monocular camera and synthetic monocular camera monocular camera	the reverse to estimate very accurate 3D faces from 2D images Lightweight feature fusion model that used an encoder-decoder type architecture pretrained on synthetic data, small mode huge data Well-trained CycleGAN with 3DMM for pretraining with den- tical cycle consistency loss and two perceptual losses with identity preservation in 2D and 3D	for testing, BIWI [36] Pandora [17], Eurecom Kinect [98], BIWI [36], Syn- thetic human facial depth [74] ND-2006 [35], CASIA 3D [23], 3D-TEC [134], Bosphorus 3D Face Database [114], Texas 3DFRD [47]	Synthetic human facial depth RMSE 0.023 Rank1 recognition accuracy 3D-TEC 0.5, ND-2006 0.872, CASIA 3D 0.706, Bosphorus 0.641, Texas-3ERD 0.925	training re- quires huge dataset for optimal results high pose variation
Khan et al. [75] Chen et al. [24]	normal monocular camera and synthetic monocular camera monocular camera	the reverse to estimate very accurate 3D faces from 2D images Lightweight feature fusion model that used an encoder-decoder type architecture pretrained on synthetic data, small mode huge data Well-trained CycleGAN with 3DMM for pretraining with den- tical cycle consistency loss and two perceptual losses with identity preservation in 2D and 3D Reinforced Active Learning for dy-	for testing, BIWI [36] Pandora [17], Eurecom Kinect [98], BIWI [36], Syn- thetic human facial depth [74] ND-2006 [35], CASIA 3D [23], 3D-TEC [134], Bosphorus 3D Face Database [114], Texas 3DFRD [47]	Synthetic human facial depth RMSE 0.023 Rank1 recognition accuracy 3D-TEC 0.5, ND-2006 0.872, CASIA 3D 0.706, Bosphorus 0.641, Texas-3FRD 0.925 for similar frame-	training re- quires huge dataset for optimal results high pose variation
Khan et al. [75] et Chen et al. [24] et Mohaghegh et al. [100]	normal monocular camera and synthetic monocular camera normal monocular camera	the reverse to estimate very accurate 3D faces from 2D images Lightweight feature fusion model that used an encoder-decoder type architecture pretrained on synthetic data, small mode huge data Well-trained CycleGAN with 3DMM for pretraining with den- tical cycle consistency loss and two perceptual losses with identity preservation in 2D and 3D Reinforced Active Learning for dy- namically selecting the most in-	for testing, BIWI [36] Pandora [17], Eurecom Kinect [98], BIWI [36], Syn- thetic human facial depth [74] ND-2006 [35], CASIA 3D [23], 3D-TEC [134], Bosphorus 3D Face Database [114], Texas 3DFRD [47] 300WLP, AFLW-2000 [153]	Synthetic human facial depth RMSE 0.023 Rank1 recognition accuracy 3D-TEC 0.5, ND-2006 0.872, CASIA 3D 0.706, Bosphorus 0.641, Texas-3FRD 0.925 for similar frame- works, it needs 40%	training re- quires huge dataset for optimal results high pose variation
Khan et al. [75] et Chen et al. [24] et Mohaghegh et al. [100]	normal monocular camera and synthetic monocular camera normal monocular camera	the reverse to estimate very accurate 3D faces from 2D images Lightweight feature fusion model that used an encoder-decoder type architecture pretrained on synthetic data, small mode huge data Well-trained CycleGAN with 3DMM for pretraining with den- tical cycle consistency loss and two perceptual losses with identity preservation in 2D and 3D Reinforced Active Learning for dy- namically selecting the most in- formative view-points by clustering	for testing, BIWI [36] Pandora [17], Eurecom Kinect [98], BIWI [36], Syn- thetic human facial depth [74] ND-2006 [35], CASIA 3D [23], 3D-TEC [134], Bosphorus 3D Face Database [114], Texas 3DFRD [47] 300WLP, AFLW-2000 [153]	Synthetic human facial depth RMSE 0.023 Rank1 recognition accuracy 3D-TEC 0.5, ND-2006 0.872, CASIA 3D 0.706, Bosphorus 0.641, Texas-3FRD 0.925 for similar frame- works, it needs 40% of the labelled data	training re- quires huge dataset for optimal results high pose variation variation

T 1 1 0 D	1 .	c	. •	C	•	1	
Table / Recent	WORKS IN	tace	anti_cn	oofing	110100	monocule	r comero
1000 2. Recent	works m	race	anu-su	00mme	using	monocuia	u camera
				· · · ·			

Work	Technique	Dataset	Accuracy	Challenge
Lin et al. [83]	Face anti-spoofing based distributed learn- ing, learns semantic relationship by Eigen decomposition of manifold matrix with hy- pergraph Laplacian manifold learning in a distributed way	MSSPOOF [26], CASIA-SURF [149]	FN on MSSPOOF 0.14, FP on MSSPOOF 0.018, FN on CASIA- SURF 0.17, FP on CASIA-SURF 0.012	High training data amount
Verissimo et al. [133]	Transfer learning on pretrained VGG16	Replay-Attack dataset [25], OULU-NPU [18], NUAA [125], MSU- MFSD [138]	ERR(in % Raw an Subsam- pled) NUAA 0,0, Replay-attack 0.67, 1.98, MSU-FASD 5.32, 5.36, OULU 4.69, 7.18	May fail on 3d face mask PA
Singh <i>et al.</i> [120]	Pair-wise pose normalization on the real and fake face with global affine alignment, signed difference between AlexNet and ResNet enrolled and checked with SVM for verification	Morph ABC dataset [121]	D-EER 6.18 ± 3.7 , BPCER20 8.3 ± 0.1 , BPCER10 4.2 ± 0.1	Does not han- dle non-rigid deformation
Yu et al. [147]	Central Difference Convolutional Network with Neural Architecture Search with Mul- tiscale Attention Fusion Module, aggrega- tion of intensity and gradient information for capturing intrinsic detailed pattern	OULU-NPU [18], MSU-MFSD [138], Replay-Attack dataset dataset [25], SiW [84], M [85], CASIA- SURF [149]	Overall AUC $96.63 \pm 9.15\%$, Train on CASIA, test on Replay HTER 6.5%, Train on Replay test on CA- SIA HTER 29.8%	not con- text aware, not cross application
Muhammad et al. [101]	novel video preprocessing named Tempo- ral Sequence Sampling, SSL on CNN by removing interframe affine transforms for liveliness detection	Replay-Attack dataset [25], OULU- NPU [18], MSU- MFSD [138], CASIA-SURF [149]	Train on CASIA, test on Replay HTER 5.9%, Train on Replay test on CASIA HTER 15.2%, train on MSU-MFSD and cross dataset HTER (Replay, CASIA) 28.66%, OULU-NPU included 30.12%	needs at least 2 seconds clip
Li et al. [80]	Attentional face image to 1D CNN for ex- tracting points with the absorption of light based on blood vessel underneath, then GRU to learn temporal variation in absorp- tion	MSU-MFSD [138], Replay-Attack dataset [25], 3DMAD [34]	3DMAD EER 0.29%, MSU EER- P 0.97, EER-R 16.55, Replay at- tack EER-P 2.18% HTER-P 1.60% EER-R 8.52, HTER-R 10.03%	tone biased, needs good lighting
Hassani <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> [52]	Near-infrared spectroscopy with visible light image correspondence for PAD, using MobileV3 net	collected dataset of 30 individuals with 80000 unique frames	ACER, NPCER 0.2, 0.2 in lab con- dition, 0.3, 0.4 in exterior lighting	Needs IR camera with RGB

mation from mobile sensors such as accelerometers and gyroscope [151] paved the way for non-visual approaches for gait biometrics. The trend of recent surveys in a chronological fashion [135, 58, 91, 116, 102] shows that the field of Gait biometrics is gradually moving towards the use of deep learning models with a monocular camera using 3D vision as the only sensor. We have compiled some recent works in 3D monocular gait recognition in Table 4 that shows the trend of gait as a biometric using a monocular camera as the only sensor. Time series analysis of skeleton points [129], many times enhanced with depth [37] and optical flow [76] are mostly used.

3.4. Eye movement biometric

One of the earliest surveys works for measuring eye movement and its use in behaviour classification [145] proposed the way for using it as a biometric trait. The 3 dimensions of eye movement are the 2D spatial locus on a

1D temporal dimension. For many years the area remained dormant till eye movement, as a biometric, was established [70]. The work argued that eye movement biometrics is a short-term recognition process with a maximum duration of 10 seconds, but later works proved that with more time accuracy becomes better [63, 5] at the cost of high execution time. They also showed how deep learning approaches can be used for better performance. Eye movement biometrics has been a relatively less used biometric trait due to the requirement of specialized hardware (high-speed monocular camera) and long recording times. Early survey works like [44] prophesied in favour of eye movement as a rising trait in biometrics. Recently with advancements in deep learning models and sensor technology, the interest in the field has rekindled. A thorough survey [19] has shown how eye movement biometrics have gained pace and another work [115] showed how the robustness of the method has increased through time using deep learning approaches.

Table 5. Recent works in veni pattern as biometric using a monocular camera in ROB and NIR modes						
Work	Sensor	Technique	Dataset	Accuracy	Challenge	
Qin et	Motorized	Novel device, Rotated camera captures	Dataset collected from 80 sub-	99.80% on	requires	
al. [104]	monocular	multiple images subjected to Unet for ROI	jects with 1280 sequences ($8 \times$ se-	single view	spe-	
	camera and	extraction and local attention transformer	quences $2 \times$ fingers 80 subjects)	and 91.25%	cialized	
	IR LEDs	stitches local vein patterns for classifica-	with 76800 frames (60 frames	to 97.19% for	hardware	
		tion	$\times 1280$ sequences)	multiview		
Zhao et	Monocular	Novel device, Hierarchical Content-Aware	Multi-perspective Finger Vein	THU-MFV	requires	
al. [150]	camera with	Network composed of Global Stem Net-	dataset (THU-MFV) collected	EER A1	spe-	
	IR LEDs	work (lightweight CNN + bidirectional	5400 images from 40, participants	0.97%,	cialized	
		gated GRU) and Local Perception Module	divided in A1 100 classes, A2 50	A2 1.55%,	hardware	
		with novel entropy loss extracts discrimi-	classes with over/underexposed	LMVFV EER		
		native hierarchical features for classifica-	images, Large Multi-View Finger	1.22%		
		tion	Vein database (LMVFV) 660			
			classes from 176 participants with		ĺ	
			23760 images			
Kauba et	Motorized	Novel device with NIR sensitive cam-	Extension of SCUT-3DFV-V1 [67]	Not applica-	images	
al. [71]	NIR camera	era Basler acA4112-30uc with lens 25	to 702 distinct fingers	ble as work	not sharp,	
	with mirrors	mm/F1.8 from Edmund Optics, 805 nm to		is on a novel	not all	
	and NIR	811 nm laser diodes, 75° Powell lens, 4		hardware	angles	
	LEDs	mirrors		design only	covered	
Bhattacharya	NIR camera	Vein and Periocular Pattern based Convo-	contributed Forehead Subcutaneous	EER 0.08	no cov-	
<i>et al</i> . [16]	with Rasp-	lutional Neural Network that fuses fore-	Vein Pattern (FSVP) and Periocu-	accuracy	ering on	
	berry Pi 4	head Vein pattern and Periocular pattern	lar Biometric Pattern (PBP) dataset	$98.6\% \pm 1.3\%$	forehead,	
	Model B	embedding for classification, Gamma cor-	FSVP-PBP with 200 subjects con-		spe-	
		rection, Difference of Gaussian and Con-	sisting 2000 images		cialized	
		trast enhancement used as preprocessing			hardware	

Table 3. Recent works in vein pattern as biometric using a monocular camera in RGB and NIR modes

Table 4. Recent works in Gait biometrics using normal monocular camera						
Work	Technique	Dataset	Accuracy	Challenge		
Hua et	Fusion of global long-term attention (GLTA) and local	CASIA-B [146], Multi-	rank 1 accuracy with Normal walk-	accuracy dips		
al. [55]	short-term attention (LSTA) for extracting personal static	state Gait dataset (MSGD)	ing, carrying backpack, wearing	when carrying		
	and dynamic physiological features, novel architecture	created like MVLP gait	coat on CASIA-B 96.5%, 92.6%,	accessories		
	called physiological feature extraction (PFE) network to	dataset [124] with 60 per-	79.8% on MSGD 91%, 85.9%,			
	concatenate gait silhouette and physiological features for	sons with 14 angles with 14	75.3%			
	classification	sequences in each angle per				
		person				
Favorskaya	The depth is estimated from a U-net type architecture	TUM-GAIT dataset [54]	best accuracy, normal 99.7%, with	only suitable for		
et al. [37]	and then the foreground is extracted where optical flow is		bag 68.3% and with different shoes	particular camera		
	computed, then the interpolated motion is used for skele-		98.1%	pose		
	ton point time trajectory estimation, which are the fea-					
	tures for classification					
Khan et	Optical-flow based region extraction for transfer learning	CASIA-B [146], CASIA-	rank 1 accuracy on CASIA-B Nor-	fails when high		
al. [76]	on EfficientNet-B0, Bayesian optimization for enhancing	C [12]	mal walking, carrying backpack	inter-class simi-		
	video frames, feature fusion by named-Sq-Parallel Fu-		, wearing coat 96.82%, 94.1%,	larity is there		
	sion then Entropy controlled Tiger optimization used for		85.21%, CASIA-C normal walk			
	classifying using Extreme Learning Machines		with bag, slow walk, normal walk,			
			fast walk 99.4%, 92.8%, 94.1%,			
			93.6%			
Toral et	Skeleton extraction by openPose [22] and then using Lin-	Dataset created from 14 in-	Accuracy of 0.9733 and F_1 of	camera has pose		
al. [129]	ear Discriminant Analysis and Quadratic Discriminant	dividuals walking 4 m dis-	0.9732	bias in dataset		
	Analysis on time series of skeleton points	tance 10 times each, camera				
		framerate at 30 FPS and a				
		test train ration of 7:3				

Some recent surveys [89, 122] have shown a trend of using normal monocular cameras in the research community, but it is still behind the accuracy of high-speed eye movement trackers. We have analysed some recent works on eye movement biometrics with a monocular eye tracker as the sensor in Table 5 and have discovered that there is a dearth of datasets in the area. We also observed that accuracy drops with low-cost low-speed trackers thus keeping the problem of eye movement biometrics with a normal monocular camera still open. CNN is the most preferred methodology on the 2D coordinate locus [88, 87, 105, 143] on all recently explored work.

3.5. Other available works

Other than using monocular cameras and standard traits, various other sensors and traits have emerged in recent times. 3D reconstruction of finger surface with multiple

Work	Sensor	Technique	Dataset	Accuracy	Challenge
Lohr et	EyeLink 1000	End-to-end Eye Movement Biometrics (EMB)	Gazebase [45]	EER $0.32\% \pm 0.04\%$ best at	Needs high-
al. [88]	eye tracker	with a novel variant of parameter efficient	and	$500Hz$ with 5×12 s dura-	speed monocular
	at a 1000 Hz	Densenet	Judo1000 [92]	tion, EER 2.67% with same	eye-tracker
	sampling rate			settings	
Lohr et	EyeLink 1000	Exponentially-dilated CNN with 440 times	Gazebase [45]	HSS(1000Hz) EER	degraded perfor-
al. [<mark>87</mark>]	eye tracker	less learnable parameters, trained with multi-		0.1125 with 1 round,	mance on low-
	at a 1000 Hz	similarity loss, that works on low cognitive		TEX(1000Hz) EER 0.2110	cost eye trackers
	sampling rate	tasks		with 6 rounds	
Raju et	CMITECH	ResNet 16 based approach to classify printed	ETDPADv2	1500 ms timed SAS-I	Does not consider
al. [105]	BMT-20 iris	iris and genuine by eye movement with very	[110]	EER 3.62%, ACR 98.06%,	replay and lens
	imager	low recording duration		APCER 0.14%, NPCER	attacks
				3.75%, ACER $1.94%$	
Yin et	EyeLink 1000	Motion Information (MI) and Saccade Distri-	Gazebase [45]	HSS-12C 5.25% (only MI),	Needs long expo-
al. [143]	eye tracker	bution Map (SDM) feature extraction network,		RAN-12C 6.3% (SDM+MI)	sure time of 12s
	at a 1000 Hz	each supplemented by 2 CNNs, concatenated		TEX-12C 7.33% (only MI)	
	sampling rate	feature train on L2 used for classification			

Table 5. Recent works in eye movement as biometric using high-speed monocular eye trackers

shots taken with a monocular camera [28, 106] is a very recent trait in biometrics that has proved better accuracy than standard fingerprint and vein-pattern approaches. Another recent work has proved how this approach can provide better security against presentation attacks [103]. In many cases, 3D information is obtained using comparatively expensive sensors. Structured light is been used many a time on the face for fast 3D information retrieval but that causes irritation to the eyes. Millimeter wave radar has been used to mitigate this issue [141] and it proved a reliable way for face recognition and liveliness detection. The use of Lidar as the sensor for gait biometrics [9] has proved better accuracy due to its efficiency in detecting 3D features. Deep learning has been used on pen holding pose [139] obtained from video clips, to add towards accuracy of live 2D signature biometrics. Monocular images of finger knuckles [119] have also been proved as a trait of biometrics with relatively low-cost cameras. Thermal cameras have been used to use heat signatures of hand for biometrics [77] and have high resilience against presentation attacks. The trajectory of VR controllers with respect to VR headset [97] has been used as a biometric to incorporate the behaviour of persons using such hardware. Facial microexpressions are known to be a good trait in biometrics but a simple monocular camera often fails to capture the finer nuances required as features for it. In this direction, event cameras [15] have been used recently to capture more details with a very high frame rate. Soft biometrics for person re-identification has been used widely but recently WiFi radio signature distortion due to human presence has been proved as a metric of soft biometrics [13]. Some recent datasets have come up, among whom, monocular mobile-phone camera face dataset with ears [38], monocular full body dataset from different angles and altitudes and different distances (as long as 1 km) over a thousand subjects [27], soft biometric dataset from monocular cameras with gender, age and ethnicity [51] and dataset for 3D fingerprint presentation attack with monocular images [78] are some which deserves mention. Such trends clearly show that monocular camera used for various contact-less biometric traits is going to be a leading area of research in the near future.

3.6. Commercial solutions

In terms of commercial solutions also many recent systems can be found, focusing their attention on biometrics with monocular cameras. Some of the key players are FaceTec Inc. with its selfie camera-based face recognition. It is a real-time 3D FaceScan that collects time-stamped, unreusable Liveness data and creates a 3D FaceMap [2]. TBS 2D Iron is a robust fingerprint sensor that provides the user with a sense of comfort and reliability. It scans the 3D fingerprint from visual spectra camera. It is ideal for both indoor and outdoor applications and it can work efficiently in harsh environmental conditions to deliver superior identification performance [3]. Fujitsu PalmSecure technology from Fujitsu Inc. is a palm vein-based authentication solution that utilizes industry-leading vascular pattern biometric technology. The Fujitsu PalmSecure sensor uses nearinfrared light to capture a person's 3D palm vein pattern, generating a unique biometric template that is immediately encrypted in the sensor before transmission[4].

3.7. Summary

After analysis of recent trends in the field of biometrics using monocular cameras, the general patterns can be summarized into some basic points. The most important observation is that 3D face reconstruction from monocular images, using pseudo-depth map generation, is a growing trend, with the betterment of models through the years. Novel devices using normal camera on rotating mechanism for 3D reconstruction using SFM, on non-dynamic features is gaining steam. For using the monocular camera at its full potential, short videos with spatiotemporal attention are gaining popularity in anti-spoofing biometric approaches. It is also observed that the use of NIR cameras or normal cameras with NIR LEDs is gaining popularity for subcutaneous biometrics. Emerging technologies such as Lidar and event cameras are being used with their decreasing cost factors as an alternative to monocular cameras. Recently novel datasets are coming up in 3D face, finger and gait. The use of multimodal information like audio with video clips is getting attention (in both meanings of the word!).

4. Open research problems

After going through the recent advancements in the field of biometrics using the monocular camera we have observed that there are a few open challenges remaining that needs to be addressed. Most of the challenges depend on further refinement of deep learning models and few are also related to enhancement of sensor hardware of a monocular camera. They are as follows:

- Lighting model invariant 3D reconstruction of traits from monocular images: As observed in recent works, 3D trait reconstruction such as face from a single image depends a lot on the light distribution. This is a restriction in the adoption of a monocular camera as a universal sensor in any environment. Research is expected in this direction to accurately model 3D features of space irrespective of the lighting model.
- Auto-preprocessing to nullify camera corrections: Use of deep learning models on images and videos often suffers from unnecessary preprocessing imposed by commercially available, cost-efficient monocular cameras, as their primary purpose is to obtain visually aesthetic photographs. In the process of serving that, it alters the image quality, often to the extent that latent distinguishing features are attenuated beyond extraction. Models are required to be designed that can extract and enhance such features in an architecture and monocular camera-type agnostic manner.
- Multipurpose filters with electronically adjustable pass frequency: To use the same sensor in various traits, usually filters on the lens of the camera are enough. But those filers have a fixed cut-off frequency unlike their electrical counterparts working on electrical signals. It is expected that researchers will investigate the building of filters, whose cut-off frequency can be adjusted electrically, maybe by altering the alignment of crystals suspended in some medium.
- Cost-effective faster frame rate with reasonable exposure: Many traits of biometrics, especially eye movement and gait suffers from low frame rates available in standard cameras. Generally, the pixel size of sensors is enough and with proper software and

sampling frequency much higher frame rates can be achieved. This is evident in smartphones where slowmo camera apps can be installed without any change in sensor geometry. How to do such enhancements in a cost-effective manner, perhaps using edge computing, in real-time is an area where further research is expected for the discussed field.

- Ethnicity and skin tone agnostic feature extraction: This is perhaps one of the open challenges in biometrics that is still not properly solved. This is due to the bias in facial models and data points in training datasets[30]. A more generalized approach to modelling biometric traits which is robust to any such coverlets is expected by researchers.
- Generalized techniques: Achieving generalized featuring and modeling will always in the to-do-list. In this interoperability of the models with respect to acquiring sensors is an important aspect to study. Another important aspect in this direction will be to generalise attack detection across various traits of biometrics using a monocular camera. The types of attacks are increasing every day. To tackle newer attacks without having enough data points to train the models a generalized anomaly detection approach is expected. Such an approach can only be realized if macro features learnt by deep models are contextually represented in a human-interpretable manner. Thus bringing explainability to the classification and verification processes.
- **Explainable models:** For this avenue of research working on the explainable model can lead to improvement of the modelling 3D information from 2D data. Thereby, developing the overall performance of the pipeline of 3D monocular biometrics.

5. Conclusions

This article reviews recent literature on 3D biometrics employing monocular vision and finds that research in this area has gained a recent surge. This is due to the power of deep learning that produced a platform that can nurture latent information in traditional monocular sensors and produce comparable results that we can achieve while using sophisticated 3D sensors. In this article, we reviewed recent techniques, showing that face, vein, eye movement and gait, are prevalent traits that are considered for 3D biometrics employing monocular vision. We discussed their benefits and limitations, as well as challenges with respect to biometric acquisition processing, and commercial systems available. Finally, we elaborated on some of the open research problems in the field.

References

- [1] https://evolvebi.com/product/global-3d-biometricsmarket/.
- [2] https://www.facetec.com/.
- [3] https://www.facetec.com/.
- [4] https://www.fujitsu.com/global/imagesgig5/f-pro-datasheet-4.pdf.
- [5] A. Abdelwahab and N. Landwehr. Deep distributional sequence embeddings based on a wasserstein loss. *Neural Processing Letters*, 54(5):3749–3769, 2022.
- [6] A. Abuolaim and M. S. Brown. Defocus deblurring using dual-pixel data. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part X 16*, pages 111–126. Springer, 2020.
- [7] O. S. Adeoye. A survey of emerging biometric technologies. *international journal of computer applications*, 9(10):1–5, 2010.
- [8] R. S. Al-Khafaji and M. S. Al-Tamimi. Vein biometric recognition methods and systems: A review. *Advances in Science and Technology. Research Journal*, 16(1):36–46, 2022.
- [9] C. Álvarez-Aparicio, Á. M. Guerrero-Higueras, M. Á. González-Santamarta, A. Campazas-Vega, V. Matellán, and C. Fernández-Llamas. Biometric recognition through gait analysis. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1):14530, 2022.
- [10] C. Angermann, M. Schwab, M. Haltmeier, C. Laubichler, and S. Jónsson. Unsupervised single-shot depth estimation using perceptual reconstruction. arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.12170, 2022.
- [11] G. Ariyanto and M. S. Nixon. Model-based 3d gait biometrics. In 2011 international joint conference on biometrics (IJCB), pages 1–7. IEEE, 2011.
- [12] H. Arshad, M. A. Khan, M. I. Sharif, M. Yasmin, J. M. R. Tavares, Y.-D. Zhang, and S. C. Satapathy. A multilevel paradigm for deep convolutional neural network features selection with an application to human gait recognition. *Expert Systems*, 39(7):e12541, 2022.
- [13] D. Avola, M. Cascio, L. Cinque, A. Fagioli, and C. Petrioli. Person re-identification through wi-fi extracted radio biometric signatures. *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security*, 17:1145–1158, 2022.
- [14] A. D. Bagdanov, A. Del Bimbo, and I. Masi. The florence 2d/3d hybrid face dataset. In *Proceedings of the 2011 joint ACM workshop on Human gesture and behavior understanding*, pages 79–80, 2011.
- [15] F. Becattini, F. Palai, and A. Del Bimbo. Understanding human reactions looking at facial microexpressions with an event camera. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, 18(12):9112–9121, 2022.
- [16] S. Bhattacharya, A. Ranjan, and M. Reza. A portable biometrics system based on forehead subcutaneous vein pattern and periocular biometric pattern. *IEEE Sensors Journal*, 22(7):7022–7033, 2022.
- [17] G. Borghi, M. Venturelli, R. Vezzani, and R. Cucchiara. Poseidon: Face-from-depth for driver pose estimation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 4661–4670, 2017.

- [18] Z. Boulkenafet, J. Komulainen, L. Li, X. Feng, and A. Hadid. Oulu-npu: A mobile face presentation attack database with real-world variations. In 2017 12th IEEE international conference on automatic face & gesture recognition (FG 2017), pages 612–618. IEEE, 2017.
- [19] A. R. A. Brasil, J. O. Andrade, and K. S. Komati. Eye movements biometrics: A bibliometric analysis from 2004 to 2019. arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.01310, 2020.
- [20] A. Bulat and G. Tzimiropoulos. How far are we from solving the 2d & 3d face alignment problem?(and a dataset of 230,000 3d facial landmarks). In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 1021– 1030, 2017.
- [21] C. Cao, Y. Weng, S. Zhou, Y. Tong, and K. Zhou. Facewarehouse: A 3d facial expression database for visual computing. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 20(3):413–425, 2013.
- [22] Z. Cao, T. Simon, S.-E. Wei, and Y. Sheikh. Realtime multiperson 2d pose estimation using part affinity fields. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 7291–7299, 2017.
- [23] C. CBSR. Casia 3d face database.
- [24] H. Chen, Y. Yan, J. Qin, T. Zhao, and T. Guo. Recognitionoriented facial depth estimation from a single image. *Applied Intelligence*, 53(2):1807–1825, 2023.
- [25] I. Chingovska, A. Anjos, and S. Marcel. On the effectiveness of local binary patterns in face anti-spoofing. In 2012 BIOSIG-proceedings of the international conference of biometrics special interest group (BIOSIG), pages 1–7. IEEE, 2012.
- [26] I. Chingovska, N. Erdogmus, A. Anjos, and S. Marcel. Face recognition systems under spoofing attacks. *Face Recognition Across the Imaging Spectrum*, pages 165–194, 2016.
- [27] D. Cornett, J. Brogan, N. Barber, D. Aykac, S. Baird, N. Burchfield, C. Dukes, A. Duncan, R. Ferrell, J. Goddard, et al. Expanding accurate person recognition to new altitudes and ranges: The briar dataset. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pages 593–602, 2023.
- [28] Z. Cui, J. Feng, and J. Zhou. Monocular 3d fingerprint reconstruction and unwarping. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 2023.
- [29] D. Cunado, M. S. Nixon, and J. N. Carter. Using gait as a biometric, via phase-weighted magnitude spectra. In Audio-and Video-based Biometric Person Authentication: First International Conference, AVBPA'97 Crans-Montana, Switzerland, March 12–14, 1997 Proceedings 1, pages 93– 102. Springer, 1997.
- [30] A. Das, A. Dantcheva, and F. Bremond. Mitigating bias in gender, age and ethnicity classification: a multi-task convolution neural network approach. In *Proceedings of the european conference on computer vision (eccv) workshops*, pages 0–0, 2018.
- [31] Y. Deng, B. Wang, and H.-Y. Shum. Learning detailed radiance manifolds for high-fidelity and 3d-consistent portrait synthesis from monocular image. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 4423–4433, 2023.

- [32] Z. Deng, Y. Liang, J. Pan, J. Liao, Y. Hao, and X. Wen. Fast 3d face reconstruction from a single image combining attention mechanism and graph convolutional network. *The Visual Computer*, pages 1–15, 2022.
- [33] P. Drozdowski, C. Rathgeb, A. Dantcheva, N. Damer, and C. Busch. Demographic bias in biometrics: A survey on an emerging challenge. *IEEE Transactions on Technology and Society*, 1(2):89–103, 2020.
- [34] N. Erdogmus and S. Marcel. Spoofing face recognition with 3d masks. *IEEE transactions on information forensics and security*, 9(7):1084–1097, 2014.
- [35] T. C. Faltemier, K. W. Bowyer, and P. J. Flynn. Using a multi-instance enrollment representation to improve 3d face recognition. In 2007 First IEEE International Conference on Biometrics: Theory, Applications, and Systems, pages 1–6. IEEE, 2007.
- [36] G. Fanelli, M. Dantone, J. Gall, A. Fossati, and L. Van Gool. Random forests for real time 3d face analysis. *International journal of computer vision*, 101:437–458, 2013.
- [37] M. N. Favorskaya and K. A. Gusev. Accurate extraction of human gait patterns using motion interpolation. In *Intelligent Decision Technologies: Proceedings of the 14th KES-IDT 2022 Conference*, pages 205–214. Springer, 2022.
- [38] D. Freire-Obregón, M. De Marsico, P. Barra, J. Lorenzo-Navarro, and M. Castrillón-Santana. Zero-shot ear crossdataset transfer for person recognition on mobile devices. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 2023.
- [39] J. Galbally, S. Marcel, and J. Fierrez. Biometric antispoofing methods: A survey in face recognition. *IEEE Access*, 2:1530–1552, 2014.
- [40] I. I. Ganapathi, S. S. Ali, S. Prakash, N.-S. Vu, and N. Werghi. A survey of 3d ear recognition techniques. ACM Computing Surveys, 55(10):1–36, 2023.
- [41] X. Gao, C. Zhong, J. Xiang, Y. Hong, Y. Guo, and J. Zhang. Reconstructing personalized semantic facial nerf models from monocular video. ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG), 41(6):1–12, 2022.
- [42] M. Goffredo, I. Bouchrika, J. N. Carter, and M. S. Nixon. Self-calibrating view-invariant gait biometrics. *IEEE transactions on systems, man, and cybernetics, part B (cybernetics)*, 40(4):997–1008, 2009.
- [43] M. Gomez-Barrero, P. Drozdowski, C. Rathgeb, J. Patino, M. Todisco, A. Nautsch, N. Damer, J. Priesnitz, N. Evans, and C. Busch. Biometrics in the era of covid-19: challenges and opportunities. *IEEE Transactions on Technology and Society*, 2022.
- [44] G. Goudelis, A. Tefas, and I. Pitas. Emerging biometric modalities: a survey. *Journal on Multimodal User Interfaces*, 2:217–235, 2008.
- [45] H. Griffith, D. Lohr, E. Abdulin, and O. Komogortsev. Gazebase, a large-scale, multi-stimulus, longitudinal eye movement dataset. *Scientific Data*, 8(1):184, 2021.
- [46] J. Guo, X. Zhu, Y. Yang, F. Yang, Z. Lei, and S. Z. Li. Towards fast, accurate and stable 3d dense face alignment. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part XIX*, pages 152–168. Springer, 2020.

- [47] S. Gupta, K. R. Castleman, M. K. Markey, and A. C. Bovik. Texas 3d face recognition database. In 2010 IEEE Southwest Symposium on Image Analysis & Interpretation (SSIAI), pages 97–100. IEEE, 2010.
- [48] A. Hadid, M. Ghahramani, V. Kellokumpu, M. Pietikäinen, J. Bustard, and M. Nixon. Can gait biometrics be spoofed? In *Proceedings of the 21st international conference on pattern recognition (ICPR2012)*, pages 3280–3283. IEEE, 2012.
- [49] P. Han, X. Li, F. Liu, Y. Cai, K. Yang, M. Yan, S. Sun, Y. Liu, and X. Shao. Accurate passive 3d polarization face reconstruction under complex conditions assisted with deep learning. In *Photonics*, volume 9, page 924. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, 2022.
- [50] W. Han, F. Chen, and F. Sun. Can we extract 3d biometrics from 2d images for facial beauty analysis? In *Proceedings* of the 2020 9th International Conference on Computing and Pattern Recognition, pages 213–217, 2020.
- [51] B. Hassan and E. Izquierdo. Onedetect: A federated learning architecture for global soft biometrics prediction. In 2022 International Conference on Intelligent Systems and Computer Vision (ISCV), pages 1–8. IEEE, 2022.
- [52] A. Hassani, J. Diedrich, and H. Malik. Monocular facial presentation–attack–detection: Classifying near-infrared reflectance patterns. *Applied Sciences*, 13(3):1987, 2023.
- [53] J. Hernandez-Ortega, J. Fierrez, A. Morales, and J. Galbally. Introduction to presentation attack detection in face biometrics and recent advances. *Handbook of Biometric Anti-Spoofing: Presentation Attack Detection and Vulnerability Assessment*, pages 203–230, 2023.
- [54] M. Hofmann, J. Geiger, S. Bachmann, B. Schuller, and G. Rigoll. The tum gait from audio, image and depth (gaid) database: Multimodal recognition of subjects and traits. *Journal of Visual Communication and Image Representation*, 25(1):195–206, 2014.
- [55] C. Hua, Y. Pan, J. Li, and Z. Wang. Gait recognition by combining the long-short-term attention network and personal physiological features. *Sensors*, 22(22):8779, 2022.
- [56] G. B. Huang, M. Mattar, T. Berg, and E. Learned-Miller. Labeled faces in the wild: A database forstudying face recognition in unconstrained environments. In Workshop on faces in'Real-Life'Images: detection, alignment, and recognition, 2008.
- [57] H. Imaoka, H. Hashimoto, K. Takahashi, A. F. Ebihara, J. Liu, A. Hayasaka, Y. Morishita, and K. Sakurai. The future of biometrics technology: from face recognition to related applications. *APSIPA Transactions on Signal and Information Processing*, 10:e9, 2021.
- [58] E. R. Isaac, S. Elias, S. Rajagopalan, and K. Easwarakumar. Trait of gait: A survey on gait biometrics. arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.10744, 2019.
- [59] A. Iula and A. Vizzuso. 3d vascular pattern extraction from grayscale volumetric ultrasound images for biometric recognition purposes. *Applied Sciences*, 12(16):8285, 2022.
- [60] R. Jafri and H. R. Arabnia. A survey of face recognition techniques. *journal of information processing systems*, 5(2):41–68, 2009.

- [61] A. K. Jain and A. Kumar. Biometrics of next generation: An overview. Second generation biometrics, 12(1):2–3, 2010.
- [62] S. Jia, G. Guo, and Z. Xu. A survey on 3d mask presentation attack detection and countermeasures. *Pattern recognition*, 98:107032, 2020.
- [63] S. Jia, A. Seccia, P. Antonenko, R. Lamb, A. Keil, M. Schneps, M. Pomplun, et al. Biometric recognition through eye movements using a recurrent neural network. In 2018 IEEE International Conference on Big Knowledge (ICBK), pages 57–64. IEEE, 2018.
- [64] W. Jia, W. Xia, B. Zhang, Y. Zhao, L. Fei, W. Kang, D. Huang, and G. Guo. A survey on dorsal hand vein biometrics. *Pattern Recognition*, 120:108122, 2021.
- [65] B. Jin, L. Cruz, and N. Gonçalves. Pseudo rgb-d face recognition. *IEEE Sensors Journal*, 22(22):21780–21794, 2022.
- [66] M. Kang, J. Choe, H. Ha, H.-G. Jeon, S. Im, I. S. Kweon, and K.-J. Yoon. Facial depth and normal estimation using single dual-pixel camera. In *Computer Vision–ECCV 2022:* 17th European Conference, Tel Aviv, Israel, October 23– 27, 2022, Proceedings, Part VIII, pages 181–200. Springer, 2022.
- [67] W. Kang, H. Liu, W. Luo, and F. Deng. Study of a full-view 3d finger vein verification technique. *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security*, 15:1175–1189, 2019.
- [68] Y. Kao, B. Pan, M. Xu, J. Lyu, X. Zhu, Y. Chang, X. Li, and Z. Lei. Towards 3d face reconstruction in perspective projection: Estimating 6dof face pose from monocular image. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 2023.
- [69] T. Karras, S. Laine, and T. Aila. A style-based generator architecture for generative adversarial networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 4401–4410, 2019.
- [70] P. Kasprowski and J. Ober. Eye movements in biometrics. In *International Workshop on Biometric Authentication*, pages 248–258. Springer, 2004.
- [71] C. Kauba, M. Drahanskỳ, M. Nováková, A. Uhl, and Š. Rydlo. Three-dimensional finger vein recognition: A novel mirror-based imaging device. *Journal of Imaging*, 8(5):148, 2022.
- [72] P. Kaur, K. Krishan, S. K. Sharma, and T. Kanchan. Facialrecognition algorithms: A literature review. *Medicine, Science and the Law*, 60(2):131–139, 2020.
- [73] F. Khan, M. A. Farooq, W. Shariff, S. Basak, and P. Corcoran. Towards monocular neural facial depth estimation: Past, present, and future. *IEEE Access*, 10:29589–29611, 2022.
- [74] F. Khan, S. Hussain, S. Basak, J. Lemley, and P. Corcoran. An efficient encoder–decoder model for portrait depth estimation from single images trained on pixel-accurate synthetic data. *Neural Networks*, 142:479–491, 2021.
- [75] F. Khan, W. Shariff, M. A. Farooq, S. Basak, and P. Corcoran. A robust light-weight fused-feature encoder-decoder model for monocular facial depth estimation from single images trained on synthetic data. *IEEE Access*, 2023.
- [76] M. A. Khan, H. Arshad, W. Z. Khan, M. Alhaisoni, U. Tariq, H. S. Hussein, H. Alshazly, L. Osman, and A. Elashry. Hgrbol2: human gait recognition for biometric application using bayesian optimization and extreme

learning machine. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 143:337–348, 2023.

- [77] A. Knish and N. Rabin. Thermal heat distribution features for hand identification. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 203:117462, 2022.
- [78] J. Kolberg, J. Priesnitz, C. Rathgeb, and C. Busch. Colfispoof: A new database for contactless fingerprint presentation attack detection research. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pages 653–661, 2023.
- [79] J. Li, Z. Pan, M. Zhang, and X. Liu. 3d face reconstruction based on fine-grained optimization. In 2023 15th International Conference on Computer Research and Development (ICCRD), pages 199–203. IEEE, 2023.
- [80] L. Li, Z. Yao, S. Zhou, Y. Ma, J. Wu, and Z. Xia. Image analysis of facial blood vessels for anti-spoofing of printed image and 3d mask attacks. In 2022 International Conference on Image Processing and Media Computing (ICIPMC), pages 68–72. IEEE, 2022.
- [81] M. Li, B. Huang, and G. Tian. A comprehensive survey on 3d face recognition methods. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 110:104669, 2022.
- [82] Y. Li, Q. Hao, J. Hu, X. Pan, Z. Li, and Z. Cui. 3d3m: 3d modulated morphable model for monocular face reconstruction. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 2022.
- [83] X. Lin, C. Hong, X. Liang, and L. Liu. Distributed hypergraph laplacian for face anti-spoofing with monocular images. In 2022 International Conference on High Performance Big Data and Intelligent Systems (HDIS), pages 131–135. IEEE, 2022.
- [84] Y. Liu, A. Jourabloo, and X. Liu. Learning deep models for face anti-spoofing: Binary or auxiliary supervision. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 389–398, 2018.
- [85] Y. Liu, J. Stehouwer, A. Jourabloo, and X. Liu. Deep tree learning for zero-shot face anti-spoofing. In *Proceedings of* the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 4680–4689, 2019.
- [86] Z. Liu, P. Luo, X. Wang, and X. Tang. Deep learning face attributes in the wild. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, pages 3730–3738, 2015.
- [87] D. Lohr, H. Griffith, and O. V. Komogortsev. Eye know you: Metric learning for end-to-end biometric authentication using eye movements from a longitudinal dataset. *IEEE Transactions on Biometrics, Behavior, and Identity Science*, 4(2):276–288, 2022.
- [88] D. Lohr and O. V. Komogortsev. Eye know you too: A densenet architecture for end-to-end biometric authentication via eye movements. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.02110*, 2022.
- [89] B. Mahanama, Y. Jayawardana, S. Rengarajan, G. Jayawardena, L. Chukoskie, J. Snider, and S. Jayarathna. Eye movement and pupil measures: A review. *frontiers in Computer Science*, 3:733531, 2022.
- [90] L. Maiano, L. Papa, K. Vocaj, and I. Amerini. Depthfake: a depth-based strategy for detecting deepfake videos. arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.11074, 2022.

- [91] Y. Makihara, M. S. Nixon, and Y. Yagi. Gait recognition: Databases, representations, and applications. *Computer Vision: A Reference Guide*, pages 1–13, 2020.
- [92] S. Makowski, L. A. Jäger, P. Prasse, and T. Scheffer. Biometric identification and presentation-attack detection using micro-and macro-movements of the eyes. In 2020 IEEE International Joint Conference on Biometrics (IJCB), pages 1–10. IEEE, 2020.
- [93] M. Malah, M. Hemam, and F. Abbas. 3d face reconstruction from single image with generative adversarial networks. *Journal of King Saud University-Computer and Information Sciences*, 35(1):250–256, 2023.
- [94] Manisha and N. Kumar. Cancelable biometrics: A comprehensive survey. Artificial Intelligence Review, 53:3403– 3446, 2020.
- [95] B. Maze, J. Adams, J. A. Duncan, N. Kalka, T. Miller, C. Otto, A. K. Jain, W. T. Niggel, J. Anderson, J. Cheney, et al. Iarpa janus benchmark-c: Face dataset and protocol. In 2018 international conference on biometrics (ICB), pages 158–165. IEEE, 2018.
- [96] B. Meden, P. Rot, P. Terhörst, N. Damer, A. Kuijper, W. J. Scheirer, A. Ross, P. Peer, and V. Štruc. Privacy–enhancing face biometrics: A comprehensive survey. *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security*, 16:4147– 4183, 2021.
- [97] R. Miller, N. K. Banerjee, and S. Banerjee. Combining real-world constraints on user behavior with deep neural networks for virtual reality (vr) biometrics. In 2022 IEEE Conference on Virtual Reality and 3D User Interfaces (VR), pages 409–418. IEEE, 2022.
- [98] R. Min, N. Kose, and J.-L. Dugelay. Kinectfacedb: A kinect database for face recognition. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, 44(11):1534–1548, 2014.
- [99] S. Minaee, A. Abdolrashidi, H. Su, M. Bennamoun, and D. Zhang. Biometrics recognition using deep learning: A survey. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, pages 1–49, 2023.
- [100] H. Mohaghegh, H. Rahmani, H. Laga, F. Boussaid, and M. Bennamoun. Reinforced learning for label-efficient 3d face reconstruction. 2023.
- [101] U. Muhammad, Z. Yu, and J. Komulainen. Self-supervised 2d face presentation attack detection via temporal sequence sampling. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 156:15–22, 2022.
- [102] A. Parashar, A. Parashar, W. Ding, R. S. Shekhawat, and I. Rida. Deep learning pipelines for recognition of gait biometrics with covariates: A comprehensive review. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, pages 1–65, 2023.
- [103] S. Purnapatra, C. Miller-Lynch, S. Miner, Y. Liu, K. Bahmani, S. Dey, and S. Schuckers. Presentation attack detection with advanced cnn models for noncontact-based fingerprint systems. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.05459, 2023.
- [104] H. Qin, R. Hu, M. A. El-Yacoubi, Y. Li, and X. Gao. Local attention transformer-based full-view finger-vein identification. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, 2022.
- [105] M. H. Raju, D. J. Lohr, and O. Komogortsev. Iris print attack detection using eye movement signals. In 2022 Sym-

posium on Eye Tracking Research and Applications, pages 1–6, 2022.

- [106] R. Ramachandra and H. Li. Finger-nestnet: Interpretable fingerphoto verification on smartphone using deep nested residual network. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, pages 693–700, 2023.
- [107] C. Rathgeb, A. Dantcheva, and C. Busch. Impact and detection of facial beautification in face recognition: An overview. *IEEE Access*, 7:152667–152678, 2019.
- [108] C. Rathgeb, P. Drozdowski, and C. Busch. Makeup presentation attacks: Review and detection performance benchmark. *IEEE Access*, 8:224958–224973, 2020.
- [109] A. Rattani and R. Derakhshani. A survey of mobile face biometrics. *Computers & Electrical Engineering*, 72:39– 52, 2018.
- [110] I. Rigas and O. V. Komogortsev. Eye movement-driven defense against iris print-attacks. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 68:316–326, 2015.
- [111] M. K. Rusia and D. K. Singh. A comprehensive survey on techniques to handle face identity threats: challenges and opportunities. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 82(2):1669–1748, 2023.
- [112] G. G. Samatas and G. A. Papakostas. Biometrics: Going 3d. Sensors, 22(17):6364, 2022.
- [113] S. Sanyal, T. Bolkart, H. Feng, and M. J. Black. Learning to regress 3d face shape and expression from an image without 3d supervision. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 7763–7772, 2019.
- [114] A. Savran, N. Alyüz, H. Dibeklioğlu, O. Çeliktutan, B. Gökberk, B. Sankur, and L. Akarun. Bosphorus database for 3d face analysis. In *Biometrics and Identity Management: First European Workshop, BIOID 2008, Roskilde, Denmark, May 7-9, 2008. Revised Selected Papers 1*, pages 47–56. Springer, 2008.
- [115] C. Schröder, S. M. K. Al Zaidawi, M. H. Prinzler, S. Maneth, and G. Zachmann. Robustness of eye movement biometrics against varying stimuli and varying trajectory length. In *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pages 1–7, 2020.
- [116] A. Sepas-Moghaddam and A. Etemad. Deep gait recognition: A survey. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 45(1):264–284, 2022.
- [117] A. Serrano, I. M. de Diego, C. Conde, and E. Cabello. Recent advances in face biometrics with gabor wavelets: A review. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 31(5):372–381, 2010.
- [118] S. Sharma and V. Kumar. 3d face reconstruction in deep learning era: A survey. Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering, 29(5):3475–3507, 2022.
- [119] B. K. Singh, R. Kumar, and R. R. Kishore. A biometric system design using finger knuckle biological trait. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 81(26):36835–36852, 2022.
- [120] J. M. Singh and R. Ramachandra. Fusion of deep features for differential face morphing attack detection at automatic border control gates. In 2022 10th European Workshop on Visual Information Processing (EUVIP), pages 1–5. IEEE, 2022.

- [121] J. M. Singh, R. Ramachandra, K. B. Raja, and C. Busch. Robust morph-detection at automated border control gate using deep decomposed 3d shape & diffuse reflectance. In 2019 15th International Conference on Signal-Image Technology & Internet-Based Systems (SITIS), pages 106–112. IEEE, 2019.
- [122] M. Startsev and R. Zemblys. Evaluating eye movement event detection: A review of the state of the art. *Behavior Research Methods*, pages 1–62, 2022.
- [123] J. Sun, X. Wang, Y. Zhang, X. Li, Q. Zhang, Y. Liu, and J. Wang. Fenerf: Face editing in neural radiance fields. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 7672–7682, 2022.
- [124] N. Takemura, Y. Makihara, D. Muramatsu, T. Echigo, and Y. Yagi. Multi-view large population gait dataset and its performance evaluation for cross-view gait recognition. *IPSJ transactions on Computer Vision and Applications*, 10:1–14, 2018.
- [125] X. Tan, Y. Li, J. Liu, and L. Jiang. Face liveness detection from a single image with sparse low rank bilinear discriminative model. *ECCV* (6), 6316:504–517, 2010.
- [126] M. Taskiran, N. Kahraman, and C. E. Erdem. Face recognition: Past, present and future (a review). *Digital Signal Processing*, 106:102809, 2020.
- [127] A. Tewari, X. Pan, O. Fried, M. Agrawala, C. Theobalt, et al. Disentangled3d: Learning a 3d generative model with disentangled geometry and appearance from monocular images. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference* on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 1516– 1525, 2022.
- [128] H. Tiwari, V. K. Kurmi, K. Venkatesh, and Y.-S. Chen. Occlusion resistant network for 3d face reconstruction. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF winter conference on applications of computer vision, pages 813–822, 2022.
- [129] V. Toral-Álvarez, C. Álvarez-Aparicio, Á. M. Guerrero-Higueras, and C. Fernández-Llamas. Gait-based authentication using a rgb camera. In 14th International Conference on Computational Intelligence in Security for Information Systems and 12th International Conference on European Transnational Educational (CISIS 2021 and ICEUTE 2021) 14, pages 126–135. Springer, 2022.
- [130] A. Uhl. State of the art in vascular biometrics. *Handbook* of Vascular Biometrics, pages 3–61, 2020.
- [131] G. Varol, J. Romero, X. Martin, N. Mahmood, M. J. Black, I. Laptev, and C. Schmid. Learning from synthetic humans. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision* and pattern recognition, pages 109–117, 2017.
- [132] S. Venkatesh, R. Ramachandra, K. Raja, and C. Busch. Face morphing attack generation and detection: A comprehensive survey. *IEEE transactions on technology and society*, 2(3):128–145, 2021.
- [133] S. Verissimo, G. Gadelha, L. Batista, J. Janduy, and F. Falcão. Transfer learning for face anti-spoofing detection. *IEEE Latin America Transactions*, 21(4):530–536, 2023.
- [134] V. Vijayan, K. W. Bowyer, P. J. Flynn, D. Huang, L. Chen, M. Hansen, O. Ocegueda, S. K. Shah, and I. A. Kakadi-

aris. Twins 3d face recognition challenge. In 2011 international joint conference on biometrics (IJCB), pages 1–7. IEEE, 2011.

- [135] C. Wan, L. Wang, and V. V. Phoha. A survey on gait recognition. ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR), 51(5):1–35, 2018.
- [136] H. Wang, L. Su, H. Zeng, P. Chen, R. Liang, and Y. Zhang. Anti-spoofing study on palm biometric features. *Expert Systems with Applications*, page 119546, 2023.
- [137] N. Wang, D. Tao, X. Gao, X. Li, and J. Li. A comprehensive survey to face hallucination. *International journal of computer vision*, 106:9–30, 2014.
- [138] D. Wen, H. Han, and A. K. Jain. Face spoof detection with image distortion analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security*, 10(4):746–761, 2015.
- [139] P. Wu, L. Fei, S. Li, S. Zhao, X. Fang, and S. Teng. Towards pen-holding hand pose recognition: a new benchmark and a coarse-to-fine phhp recognition network. *IET Biometrics*, 11(6):581–587, 2022.
- [140] W. Wu, S. J. Elliott, S. Lin, S. Sun, and Y. Tang. Review of palm vein recognition. *IET Biometrics*, 9(1):1–10, 2020.
- [141] W. Xu, W. Song, J. Liu, Y. Liu, X. Cui, Y. Zheng, J. Han, X. Wang, and K. Ren. Mask does not matter: Anti-spoofing face authentication using mmwave without on-site registration. In *Proceedings of the 28th Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking*, pages 310– 323, 2022.
- [142] Y. Xu, H. Zhang, L. Wang, X. Zhao, H. Huang, G. Qi, and Y. Liu. Latentavatar: Learning latent expression code for expressive neural head avatar. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.01190, 2023.
- [143] J. Yin, J. Sun, J. Li, and K. Liu. An effective gaze-based authentication method with the spatiotemporal feature of eye movement. *Sensors*, 22(8):3002, 2022.
- [144] L. Yin, X. Wei, Y. Sun, J. Wang, and M. J. Rosato. A 3d facial expression database for facial behavior research. In 7th international conference on automatic face and gesture recognition (FGR06), pages 211–216. IEEE, 2006.
- [145] L. R. Young and D. Sheena. Survey of eye movement recording methods. *Behavior research methods & instrumentation*, 7(5):397–429, 1975.
- [146] S. Yu, D. Tan, and T. Tan. A framework for evaluating the effect of view angle, clothing and carrying condition on gait recognition. In *18th international conference on pattern recognition (ICPR'06)*, volume 4, pages 441–444. IEEE, 2006.
- [147] Z. Yu, C. Zhao, Z. Wang, Y. Qin, Z. Su, X. Li, F. Zhou, and G. Zhao. Searching central difference convolutional networks for face anti-spoofing. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 5295–5305, 2020.
- [148] X. Zeng, Z. Wu, X. Peng, and Y. Qiao. Joint 3d facial shape reconstruction and texture completion from a single image. *Computational Visual Media*, 8:239–256, 2022.
- [149] Z. Zhang, J. Yan, S. Liu, Z. Lei, D. Yi, and S. Z. Li. A face antispoofing database with diverse attacks. In 2012 5th IAPR international conference on Biometrics (ICB), pages 26–31. IEEE, 2012.

- [150] P. Zhao, S. Zhao, L. Chen, W. Yang, and Q. Liao. Exploiting multiperspective driven hierarchical content-aware network for finger vein verification. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, 32(11):7938– 7950, 2022.
- [151] Y. Zhong, Y. Deng, and G. Meltzner. Pace independent mobile gait biometrics. In 2015 IEEE 7th international conference on biometrics theory, applications and systems (BTAS), pages 1–8. IEEE, 2015.
- [152] X. Zhu, H. Fei, B. Zhang, T. Zhang, X. Zhang, S. Z. Li, and Z. Lei. Face forgery detection by 3d decomposition and composition search. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis* and Machine Intelligence, 2023.
- [153] X. Zhu, Z. Lei, X. Liu, H. Shi, and S. Z. Li. Face alignment across large poses: A 3d solution. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 146–155, 2016.
- [154] X. Zhu, X. Liu, Z. Lei, and S. Z. Li. Face alignment in full pose range: A 3d total solution. *IEEE transactions* on pattern analysis and machine intelligence, 41(1):78–92, 2017.
- [155] X. Zhu, C. Yu, D. Huang, Z. Lei, H. Wang, and S. Z. Li. Beyond 3dmm: Learning to capture high-fidelity 3d face shape. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 2022.