

AUTONOMOUS CATHETERIZATION WITH OPEN-SOURCE SIMULATOR AND EXPERT TRAJECTORY

Tudor Jianu, Baoru Huang, Tuan Vo, Minh Nhat Vu, Jingxuan Kang, Hoan Nguyen, Olatunji Omisore, Pierre Berthet-Rayne, Sebastiano Fichera, Anh Nguyen

ABSTRACT

Endovascular robots have been actively developed in both academia and industry. However, progress toward autonomous catheterization is often hampered by the widespread use of closed-source simulators and physical phantoms. Additionally, the acquisition of large-scale datasets for training machine learning algorithms with endovascular robots is usually infeasible due to expensive medical procedures. In this chapter, we introduce CathSim, the first open-source simulator for endovascular intervention to address these limitations. CathSim emphasizes real-time performance to enable rapid development and testing of learning algorithms. We validate CathSim against the real robot and show that our simulator can successfully mimic the behavior of the real robot. Based on CathSim, we develop a multimodal expert navigation network and demonstrate its effectiveness in downstream endovascular navigation tasks. The intensive experimental results suggest that CathSim has the potential to significantly accelerate research in the autonomous catheterization field. Our project is publicly available at <https://github.com/airvlab/cathsim>.




Figure 1: An overview of CathSim.




Figure 2: The design architecture of CathSim.

1 INTRODUCTION

Endovascular interventions are commonly performed for the diagnosis and treatment of vascular diseases. This intervention involves the utilization of flexible tools, namely guidewires, and catheters. These instruments are introduced into the body via small incisions and manually navigated to specific body regions through the vascular system [69]. Endovascular tool navigation takes approximately 70% of the intervention time and is utilized for a plethora of vascular-related conditions such as peripheral artery disease, aneurysms, and stenosis [49]. Furthermore, they offer numerous advantages over traditional open surgery, including less recovery time, minimized pain and scarring, and a lower complication risk [69]. However, surgeons rely on X-ray imaging for visual feedback when performing endovascular tasks. Thus, they are overly exposed to operational hazards such as radiation and orthopedic injuries. In addition, the manual manipulation of catheters requires high surgical skills, while the existing manual solutions lack of haptic feedback and limited visualization capabilities [47].

Recently, several robots have been developed to assist surgeons in endovascular intervention [35]. This allows surgeons to perform endovascular procedures remotely [40, 11]. However, most of the existing robotic systems are based on the follow-the-leader (master-slave) convention, wherein navigation is still *fully reliant* on surgeons [50]. Furthermore, the use of manually controlled robotic systems still requires intensive focus from the surgeon, as well as a prolonged duration compared to its non-robotic counterpart [25]. We believe that to overcome these limitations, it is crucial to develop autonomous solutions for the tasks involved in endovascular interventions.

In this chapter, we introduce CathSim, a significant stride towards *autonomous catheterization*. Our development of this open-source endovascular simulator targets the facilitation of *real-time training of machine learning algorithms*, a crucial advancement over existing, often closed-source simulators burdened with computational demands [59]. CathSim distinguishes itself by focusing on machine learning applicability, thereby overcoming common design restrictions found in other simulators (Table 1). It contains features essential for rapid ML algorithm development, such as easy installation and gymnasium support, anatomically accurate phantoms including high-fidelity aortic models from Elastrat Sarl Ltd., Switzerland, and a variety of aortic arch models for extensive anatomical simulation. CathSim also achieves high training speeds, balancing computational demand and efficiency, and integrates advanced aorta modelling with detailed 3D mesh representations for realistic simulations. Additionally, it offers realistic guidewire simulation and compatibility with AR/VR training through Unity integration, enabling advanced surgical training applications. Moreover, CathSim facilitates targeted algorithm development for specific aortic complications, thereby enhancing the effectiveness of medical interventions. These features collectively position CathSim as a versatile and invaluable asset in both surgical training and the development of groundbreaking machine learning algorithms within the medical community.

Recognizing that autonomous catheterization is an emerging task within the machine learning domain, we introduce an expert trajectory solution as a foundational baseline. These expert trajectories model complex surgical procedures, offering a rich, practical learning context for developing autonomous systems [33]. By enabling observational learning, these systems can adeptly mirror expert maneuvers, significantly reducing the learning curve from novice to skilled interventionists. CathSim’s risk-free, diverse, and dynamic simulation environment allows autonomous systems to iterate and refine their performance safely, informed by expert actions [37]. Our research demonstrates that leveraging expert-guided learning in a simulated setting markedly enhances the effectiveness of downstream ML tasks in autonomous catheterization, such as imitation learning and force prediction. The contributions of this work are twofold:

- Introduction of CathSim, an innovative, open-source endovascular navigation simulator, specifically engineered for autonomous catheterization. It features real-world emulation, realistic force feedback, rapid training capability, and is AR/VR ready, making it an essential asset for the ML community in medical simulations.
- Development of an expert trajectory network, along with a novel set of evaluation metrics, to demonstrate its efficacy in pivotal downstream tasks like imitation learning and force prediction, thus pushing the boundaries of ML in autonomous medical interventions.

2 RELATED WORK

Endovascular Simulator. Research on simulators for minimally invasive surgery categorizes the simulation level into four distinct categories: synthetic, virtual reality, animal, and human cadaver [44]. Each type of simulation environment possesses unique advantages and limitations, as detailed in numerous studies [12, 64, 61]. The primary focus of these environments lies in trainee skills’ development [44, 64], path planning [12], and the enhancement of assistive features [45, 43, 34]. Recently, the use of synthetic simulators, such as high-fidelity phantoms, has been investigated through the application of imitation learning techniques [9]. Simultaneously, other studies have utilized simulation environments and tested their models on bi-dimensional synthetic phantoms [16, 39, 12, 64, 70]. Nevertheless, despite advancements, challenges persist due to the physicality, real-time-factor, or closed-source nature of the simulators.

Table 1 shows a comparison of current endovascular simulators. Unlike other simulators, CathSim provides an open-source environment that is well-suited for training autonomous agents. Built on

Table 1: Endovascular simulation environments comparison.

| Simulator | Physics Engine | Catheter | AR/VR | Force Sensing | Open-source |
|------------------------|----------------|-------------|-------|---------------|-------------|
| Molinero et al. [43] | Unity Physics | Discretized | ✗ | Vision-Based | ✗ |
| Karstensen et al. [30] | SOFA | TB theory | ✗ | ✗ | ✗ |
| Behr et al. [5] | SOFA | TB theory | ✗ | ✗ | ✗ |
| Omisore et al. [48] | CopelliaSim | Unknown | ✗ | ✗ | ✗ |
| Schegg et al. [58] | SOFA | TB theory | ✗ | ✗ | ✗ |
| You et al. [74] | Unity Physics | Discretized | ✗ | Vision-Based | ✗ |
| CathSim (ours) | MuJoCo | Discretized | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |

MuJoCo [67], CathSim offers real-time force sensing and high-fidelity, realistic visualization of the aorta, catheter, and endovascular robots. In practice, CathSim can be utilized to train reinforcement learning (RL) agents or serve as a skill training platform for interventionists.

Autonomous Catheterization. The advancement of machine learning has paved the way for initial results in autonomous catheterization. While initial research primarily concentrates on devising supportive features [74, 45], an evident shift towards higher degrees of autonomy has emerged, such as semi-autonomous navigation [71]. Several studies within this domain have employed deep RL techniques [5, 30, 36, 4, 48], typically exploiting images obtained during fluoroscopy [26, 27]. Nonetheless, several approaches do not depend on RL. For instance, several works [51, 10, 58] have utilized the Dijkstra algorithm [13], following a centerline based navigation paradigm. A different approach involves the use of breadth-first search [19]. Despite these promising results, a significant portion of the research is still positioned at the lower end of the autonomy spectrum [71], primarily relying on physical or closed-source environments.

Imitation Learning. Recent advancements in RL have enabled imitation learning to be accomplished based on human demonstration [21]. This is especially beneficial for tasks requiring complex skills within dynamic environments, such as surgical tasks within evolving anatomies. Imitation learning frameworks have already been successfully deployed in executing real-world tasks via robotic systems, such as navigation, detection, and manipulation [63, 68, 18, 23]. Learning-based methods on demonstration have been employed in several studies within the field of endovascular navigation [55, 54, 8, 7, 9]. These have been paired with the incorporation of hidden Markov models or dynamical movement primitives [57], while recent works use generative adversarial imitation learning [21]. By utilizing insights from deep RL, the level of surgical autonomy could potentially evolve towards task autonomy, wherein the robot, under human supervision, assumes a portion of the decision-making responsibility while executing a surgical task [15].

3 THE CATHSIM SIMULATOR

Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 shows the overview and system design of CathSim with four components: *i*) the follower robot, as proposed by Abdelaziz et al. [1], *ii*) the aorta phantom, *iii*) the guidewire model, and *iv*) the blood simulation and AR/VR. We choose MuJoCo as our foundation platform for two reasons: First, MuJoCo is computationally efficient, making it an ideal choice for fast development. Second, MuJoCo is well integrated with the machine learning ecosystem, offering researchers a familiar interface and accelerating algorithm development to address endovascular intervention challenges. Since there are several methods to simulate each component in our system, it is a challenging task to find the optimal combination. We design our system such that it is modular, upgradable, real-time, and extendable.

Simulation Model. Although our CathSim has several components, we assume that all components are built from rigid bodies (instead of soft bodies). This is a well-known assumption in many state-of-the-art simulators to balance the computational time and fidelity of the simulation [16, 67]. We employ rigid bodies, governed by the general equations of motion in continuous time, as follows:

$$M\dot{v} + c = \tau + J^T f . \tag{1}$$

where M denotes the inertia in joint space, \dot{v} signifies acceleration, and c represents the bias force. The applied force, τ , includes passive forces, fluid dynamics, actuation forces, and external forces.

J denotes the constraint Jacobian, which establishes the relationship between quantities in joint and constraint coordinates. The Recursive-Newton-Euler algorithm [17] is employed to compute the bias force c , while the Composite Rigid-Body algorithm [17] is used to calculate the joint-space inertia matrix M . Forward kinematics are utilized to derive these quantities. Subsequently, inverse dynamics are applied to determine τ using Newton’s method [66].




Figure 3: The visualization of the aorta, guidewire and blood in our simulator.

Aorta. We scan four detailed 3D mesh representations of aortic arch models, which are created using clear, silicone-based anthropomorphic phantoms (manufactured by Elastrat Sarl Ltd., Switzerland). This is followed by the concave surface decomposition into a collection of near-convex shapes using the volumetric hierarchical approximate decomposition (V-HACD) [41], resulting in a set of convex hulls. These convex forms are subsequently incorporated into our simulator for collision modeling. Their use significantly simplifies computations [28] and allows for the implementation of multipoint contacts using the MuJoCo [67]. The combination of these steps results in our simulated aorta, as depicted in Fig. 3(a). In addition to Type-I Aortic Arch model which is mainly used in our experiments, we incorporate three distinct aortic models to enrich our anatomical dataset. These models include a high-fidelity Type-II aortic arch and a Type-I aortic arch with an aneurysm, both sourced from Elastrat, Switzerland. Furthermore, a low tortuosity aorta model, based on a patient-specific CT scan, is included. With these three additional representations, our simulator contains four distinct aorta models. These models aim to enhance the diversity and accuracy of aortic structures available for research and educational endeavors. These aortas are visualized in Fig. 4.




Figure 4: Aortic Models.

Guidewire. A rope-like structure designed to direct the catheter towards its intended position. The guidewire is composed of the main body and a tip, where the tip is characterized by a lower stiffness and a specific shape (depending on the procedure). Modelling the flexibility of a guidewire is accomplished by dividing it into many rigid components linked together by revolute or spherical joints [6]. This form of representation has been proven to confer accurate shape predictions [60], while characterized by a low computational cost compared to its counterparts [6]. To ensure real-time functionality during simulations, we developed a serpentine-based model comprising numerous rigid segments interconnected by revolute joints that approximate the continuous contour and bending behavior of the guidewire. The collision properties of the guidewire’s segments comprise a

capsule-based shape, composed of a cylindrical core flanked by conical terminations designed as opposed to hemispherical caps. The caps merge along their common interface, forming the wire’s exterior surface. This design mimics the motion and shape of the real catheter (Fig. 3(b)).

Blood Simulation. Although blood modeling is not the primary focus of our current work, for reference purposes, we include a basic implementation. Our model treats blood as an incompressible Newtonian fluid, following the real-time methodology described in the study by Wei et al. [70] (see Fig. 3(c)). We intentionally omit the dynamics of a pulsating vessel, resulting in the simplification of assuming rigid vessel walls. This simplification is a common approach in the field, as seen in works like Yi et al. [72], Behr et al. [5], and Karstensen et al. [30], and it helps to minimize computational demands while effectively simulating the forces opposing guidewire manipulation.




Figure 5: Schematic representation of the CathBot’s follower mechanism [35] (a) alongside a visualization of our simulated model (b).

Robotic Follower. In our study, we focus on simulating the robotic follower, predicated on the linear relationship between the leader and follower, as outlined in the CathBot design [35]. For the sake of simplicity, our simulation comprises four modular platforms attached to the main rail; two of these platforms hold the guidewire during translational movements with clamps, while the other two facilitate angular movements via rotary catheter and guidewire platforms. Prismatic joints connect the main rail components and the clamps, enabling translational movements, while revolute joints link the wheels, allowing the catheter and guidewire to rotate (refer to Fig. 5 for the design).

Actuation. CathBot’s actuation entirely depends on the frictional forces f_f between the guidewire and the clamp. In our simplified model, we assert that the frictional force f_f is sufficient to entirely prevent slippage ($f_f \geq f_s$), hence eliminating the need to account for the effects of sliding friction f_s . This approach gives us direct control over the joints without having to simulate frictional effects, leading to faster simulation times due to fewer contact points. Furthermore, a friction-based actuation mechanism could potentially slow down execution times and increase error probability due to simulation noise. Thus, we argue that our choice to assume perfect motion results in enhanced computational efficiency, particularly within the context of our defined problem domain.

4 AUTONOMOUS CATHETERIZATION WITH EXPERT NAVIGATION NETWORK

Inspired by autonomous driving and human-robot interaction field [33, 31], we develop an expert navigation network for use in downstream tasks. We use CathSim to generate a *vast amount of labeled training samples*, enabling our model to learn from diverse scenarios. By exposing the model to different scenarios, we can enhance its ability to generalize to real-world situations [75]. Furthermore, we also *leverage additional information* that is unavailable within the real systems [50], such as force [46] or shape representation [60], to further enhance our expert navigation system. We note that our simulator offers a wide range of modalities and sensing capabilities compared to the real-world endovascular procedure where the sensing is very *limited* (the surgeons can only rely on the X-ray images during the real procedure). By combining these modalities, we aim to develop an expert navigation system that not only achieves high performance but also ensures sample efficiency.




Figure 6: The expert navigation network.

Figure 7: Downstream imitation learning.

4.1 EXPERT NAVIGATION NETWORK

Our Expert Navigation Network (ENN) is a *multimodal* network trained on CathSim. Firstly, we include a semantic segmentation of the guidewire as one of the modalities. This allows the expert to accurately perceive the position and shape of the guidewire during navigation, enabling safe movements within the blood vessels. Secondly, we set joint position and joint velocity values for the guidewire. By incorporating these data, we can formulate the guidewire’s kinematics and dynamics details [65], thus allowing for more coordinated and efficient navigation compared to previous works [53, 62]. Thirdly, we include the top camera image as another input modality. This visual input provides contextual information about the surrounding environment, enabling the expert to make informed decisions based on the spatial arrangement of blood vessels and potential obstacles [10].

We employ Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) to extract visual features from the input images and the segmentation map, and a Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) to process the joint positions and joint velocities. The resulting feature maps are then flattened and concatenated. A fully connected layer is then used to map the features to the feature vector Z . By combining these modalities, our expert navigation system can learn the complex mapping between inputs and desired trajectories. The feature vector Z serves as the input for training the soft-actor-critic (SAC) policy π [20], a core component of our reinforcement learning approach. The overall ENN architecture is visualized in Fig. 6.

4.1.1 IMPLEMENTATION DETAILS

Observation Space. We incorporated a range of observations to provide the agent with an extensive understanding of the environment. A grayscale image of dimensions 80×80 (denoted by $I \in [0, 1]^{80 \times 80}$) is generated as a visual clue, which is accompanied by the ground truth binary segmentation mask $S \in \{0, 1\}^{80 \times 80}$. The mask $S[i, j] = 1$ is validated only when the pixel coordinates (i, j) are part of set A , the pixels that constitute the guidewire. Furthermore, to enhance the reinforcement learning problem’s optimization, we also included the ground truth joint positions $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{168}$ and joint velocities $V \in \mathbb{R}^{168}$. These joint values provide detailed mechanical insight into the guidewire’s state, enriching the agent’s knowledge base and facilitating informed decision-making.

Action Representation. In CathSim, the essential actions are denoted by translation $a_t \in [-1, 1]$ and rotation $a_r \in [-1, 1]$. At each time step, the agent generates these actions, which are collectively represented by the vector $a \in [-1, 1]^2$. Here, a positive a_t denotes forward movement, while a negative a_t signifies a backward movement. Similarly, a positive a_r corresponds to a clockwise guidewire rotation, while a negative a_r indicates an anticlockwise rotation. The selection of translation and rotation actions in CathSim closely mirrors the actions in real-world endovascular procedures, as described by Kundrat et al. [35]. By faithfully reproducing the motions of an actual robot, the simulation environment better replicates real-world situations. This enables the reinforcement learning agent to acquire policies that can be feasibly implemented in a physical robot, thereby augmenting the practical value and applicability of the behaviors learned.

Reward Function. Our employed reward system is dense, and it hinges on the spatial position of the guidewire tip in relation to the goal. Formally, the reward r is determined by the function $r(p_t, g) = -d(p_t, g)$, where $d(p_t, g) = \|p_t - g\|$ characterizes the distance between the position p of the guidewire tip at time t and the target g . If the guidewire tip lies within a distance threshold δ of the target g , the agent is conferred a positive reward r . For our research, we adopted a δ of 4mm and assigned a task completion reward of $r = 10$. Consequently, we end up with the following reward function:

$$r(h_t, g) = \begin{cases} 10 & \text{if } d(h, g) \leq \delta \\ -d(h, g) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Training Details. The experiments were conducted on an NVIDIA RTX 2060 GPU (33MHz) system on an Ubuntu 22.04 LTS based operating system. Furthermore, the system contained an AMD Ryzen 7 5800X 8-Core Processor with a total of 16 threads with 64GB of RAM. All experiments used PyTorch, whilst for the Soft Actor Critic implementation we used stable baselines [52]. The training was carried out for a total of 600,000 time steps using a total of 5 different random seeds, resulting in a training time bounded between 2 and 5 hours. Each episode has two terminal states, one which is time-bound (i.e., termination of an episode upon reaching a number of steps) and one which is goal bound (i.e., the agent achieves the goal g).

Table 2: The network architectures for ENN.

| Network | Layer (type) | Output Shape | Param # | Nonlinearity |
|---------|--------------|--------------|---------|--------------|
| CNN | Input | (1, 80, 80) | 0 | - |
| | Conv2D | (32, 19, 19) | 2080 | ReLU |
| | Conv2D | (64, 8, 8) | 32832 | ReLU |
| | Conv2D | (64, 6, 6) | 36928 | ReLU |
| | Flatten | (2304) | 0 | - |
| | Linear | (256) | 590080 | ReLU |
| MLP | Input | (1, 336) | 0 | - |
| | Linear | (256) | 86272 | ReLU |
| | Linear | (128) | 32896 | ReLU |

Table 3: SAC hyperparameters

| Hyperparameter | Value |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|
| Learning Rate | 3×10^{-4} |
| Buffer Size | 10^6 |
| Batch Size | 256 |
| Smoothing Coefficient (τ) | 0.005 |
| Discount (γ) | 0.99 |
| Train Frequency | 1 |
| Gradient Steps | 1 |
| Entropy Coefficient | 1 |
| Target Update Interval | 1 |
| Target Entropy | -2 |

Networks. We employ multiple feature extractors to dissociate the dominant features within our Expert Navigation Network (ENN). Specifically, we use a convolutional neural network (CNN [42]) to extract the image-based features, resulting in two latent features J_I and J_S that represent the top camera view and guidewire segmentation map. The CNN is composed of 3 convolutional layers with a ReLU [2] activation function, followed by a flattening operation. We also concatenate joint positions Q and joint velocities V to generate a joint feature vector $J_J = Q \parallel P$ of dimensionality 336 which is then passed through the MLP. These features are concatenated to form a single feature vector $J = J_I \parallel J_S \parallel J_J$, which is fed into a policy network $\pi(a_t, \theta)$. Both network architectures are presented in Table 2.

SAC. Our primary reinforcement learning method is the soft actor-critic (SAC) [20]. Soft actor-critic (SAC) is a model-free reinforcement learning algorithm that learns a stochastic policy and a value function simultaneously. The objective function of SAC combines the expected return of the policy and the entropy of the policy, which encourages exploration and prevents premature convergence to suboptimal policies. The algorithm consists of three networks, namely a state-value function V parameterized by ψ , a soft Q -function Q parameterized by θ , and a policy π parameterized by ϕ . The parameters we employ are present in Table 3. For the policy network, we use a composition of linear layers to handle and transform the input data.

4.2 DOWNSTREAM TASKS

We demonstrate the effectiveness of the ENN and our CathSim simulator in downstream tasks, including imitation learning and force prediction. Both tasks play an important role in practice, as they provide critical information for the surgeon in the real procedure.

Imitation Learning. We utilize our ENN using behavioral cloning, a form of imitation learning [24], to train our navigation algorithm in a supervised manner. This approach emphasizes the

utility of the simulation environment in extracting meaningful representations for imitation learning purposes. Firstly, we generate expert trajectories by executing the expert policy, denoted as π_{exp} , within CathSim. These trajectories serve as our labeled training data, providing the desired actions for each state encountered during navigation. Secondly, to mimic the real-world observation space, we select the image as the only input modality. Thirdly, we train the behavioral cloning algorithm by learning to replicate the expert’s actions given the input observations and optimizing the policy parameters to minimize the discrepancy between the expert actions and the predicted actions:

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = -\mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}[\log \pi_{\theta}(a|s)] - \beta H(\pi_{\theta}(a|s)) + \lambda \|\theta\|_2^2 . \quad (3)$$

where $-\mathbb{E}_{\pi_{\theta}}[\log \pi_{\theta}(a|s)]$ represents the negative log-likelihood, averaged over all actions and states; $-\beta H(\pi_{\theta}(a|s))$ is the entropy loss, weighted by β and $\lambda \|\theta\|_2^2$ is L_2 regularization, weighted by λ .

To facilitate this learning process, the feature space, denoted as Z , which was originally extracted by the expert policy was set to train the network. By capturing the essential characteristics of the expert’s navigation strategy, this feature space serves as a meaningful representation of the observations [22]. Subsequently, we train the mapping from the learned feature space Z to actions, allowing the behavioral cloning algorithm to effectively mimic the expert’s decision-making process. Through this iterative process of learning and mapping, our behavioral cloning algorithm learns to navigate based on the expert trajectory while using less information compared to the expert. Fig. 7 shows the concept of our imitation learning task.

Force Prediction. This is a crucial task in endovascular intervention, as surgeons utilize force feedback cues to avoid damaging the endothelial wall of the patient’s blood vessels. Many force prediction methods have been proposed by employing sensor utilization [73] or image-based methods [62]. We present a supervised method to demonstrate the force prediction capabilities of our ENN. The structure of our force prediction algorithm consists of a CNN coupled with an MLP head and the following loss function:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_Z + \mathcal{L}_f = \sum_{i=1}^D (Z_i - \hat{Z}_i)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^D (f - \hat{f})^2 . \quad (4)$$

where \hat{Z} represents the feature vector extracted by ENN and \hat{f} represents the force resulted from the transition π_{exp} , D represents the number of samples in the collected dataset.

5 EXPERIMENTS

We first validate the realism of our CathSim and then analyze the effectiveness of the ENN. Since other endovascular simulators are closed-source or do not support learning algorithms, it is not straightforward to compare our CathSim with them. We instead compare our CathSim with the real robot to show that our simulator can mimic the real robot’s behavior.

5.1 CATHSIM VALIDATION

CathSim vs. Real Robot Comparison. To assess our simulator’s accuracy, we juxtaposed the force measurements from our simulator with those from real-world experiments. In the real experiments Kundrat et al. [35], an ATI Mini40 load cell was used to capture the force resulting from the interaction between instruments and the same Type-I silicone phantom employed in our experiments. This force-based comparison was chosen due to the scarcity of quantitative metrics suitable for evaluations [56]. The setup details can be visualized in Fig. 8.

Statistical Analysis. We compare the observed empirical distribution and a normal distribution derived from the real experiments conducted by Kundrat et al. [35]. We derive a cumulative distribution (Fig. 9) by sampling data from a Gaussian distribution given the experiments by Kundrat et al. [35]. We utilize Mann-Whitney test to compare the given distributions. The resulting statistic given the test is 76076, with a p-value of, $p \approx 0.445$ which leads to the conclusion that the differences in the distributions are merely given to chance. As such, the distributions can be considered as being part of the same population and thus convene that the force distribution of our simulator




Figure 8: Experimental setup of CathBot: (1) Pulsatile and continuous flow pumps, (2) Force Sensor, (3) Vascular phantom, (4) Webcam, (5) NDI Aurora field generator, (6) Catheter manipulator (i.e., robotic follower), (7) Simulated X-ray Screening, and (8) Master device. Adapted from Chi et al. [9], Kundrat et al. [35].

closely represents the distribution of forces encountered in the real-life system. Therefore, we can see that our CathSim successfully mimics the behavior of the real-world robotic system.

User Study. We conducted a user study with 10 participants to evaluate the authenticity and effectiveness of our CathSim, an endovascular simulator. Initially, the participants, who had no prior experience in endovascular navigation, were shown a fluoroscopic video of an actual endovascular navigation procedure. They then interacted with CathSim, performing tasks such as cannulating the brachiocephalic artery and the left common carotid artery.

Following their interaction, participants provided feedback through a questionnaire, assessing CathSim on seven key criteria using a 5-point Likert scale [38]. The criteria were:

1. *Anatomical Accuracy:* How effectively did the simulator replicate the anatomy and structure of blood vessels?
2. *Navigational Realism:* How closely did the simulator emulate the visual experience of a real endovascular procedure?
3. *User Satisfaction:* What was the level of satisfaction regarding the simulator’s overall performance and functionality?
4. *Friction Accuracy:* How accurately did the simulation portray the resistance and friction of the guidewire against vessel walls?
5. *Interaction Realism:* How realistic were the visual depictions of the guidewire’s interactions with the vessel walls?
6. *Motion Accuracy:* Did the motion of the guidewire align with expectations for a real guidewire’s movement?
7. *Visual Realism:* How visually authentic was the guidewire simulation?

The results, presented in Table 4, indicate comprehensive positive feedback. However, the enhancement of the simulator’s visual experience was identified as an area for improvement.




Figure 9: Comparison between the simulated force from our CathSim and real force from the real robot.

Table 4: User-study results.

| Question | Average | STD |
|---------------------|---------|------|
| Anatomical Accuracy | 4.57 | 0.53 |
| Navigation Realism | 3.86 | 0.69 |
| User Satisfaction | 4.43 | 0.53 |
| Friction Accuracy | 4.00 | 0.82 |
| Interaction Realism | 3.75 | 0.96 |
| Motion Accuracy | 4.25 | 0.50 |
| Visual Realism | 3.67 | 1.15 |




Figure 10: Episode lengths of when utilizing different input modalities.




Figure 11: Experiment Setup
Table 5: Force prediction results.

| Algorithm | MSE (N) ↓ |
|------------|-----------|
| Baseline | 5.0021 |
| FPN (1K) | 0.5047 |
| FPN (10K) | 0.1828 |
| FPN (100K) | 0.0898 |

5.2 EXPERT TRAJECTORY ANALYSIS

Experimental Setup. We conduct the experiment to validate the effectiveness of the expert trajectory with different modality inputs (i.e., image, internal, segmentation mask). We also employ a professional endovascular surgeon who *controls CathSim manually* to collect the “Human” trajectory. We propose the following metrics (details in Subsection 5.2.1) to evaluate the catheterization results: Force (N), Path Length (cm), Episode Length (steps), Safety (%), Success (%), and SPL (%). Two targets are selected for the procedures, specifically the brachiocephalic artery (BCA) and the left common carotid artery (LCCA). The targets and the initial placement of the catheter and the targets are visualized in Fig. 11. In all training setups, our *CathSim’s speed is from 40 to 80 frames per second*, which is well suited for real-time applications.




Figure 12: Examples of navigation path from an endovascular surgeon and our ENN.

Table 6: Expert navigation results. ENN uses both image, internal, and segmentation mask as inputs.

| Target | Input | Force | Path Length | Episode Length | Safety | Success | SPL |
|--------|----------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|
| | | (N) ↓ | (cm) ↓ | (s) ↓ | % ↑ | % ↑ | % ↑ |
| BCA | Human | 1.02 ± 0.22 | 28.82 ± 11.80 | 146.30 ± 62.83 | 83 ± 04 | 100 ± 00 | 62 |
| | Image | 3.61 ± 0.61 | 25.28 ± 15.21 | 162.55 ± 106.85 | 16 ± 10 | 65 ± 48 | 74 |
| | Image+Mask | 3.36 ± 0.41 | 18.55 ± 2.91 | 77.67 ± 21.83 | 25 ± 07 | 100 ± 00 | 86 |
| | Internal | 3.33 ± 0.46 | 20.53 ± 4.96 | 87.25 ± 50.56 | 26 ± 09 | 97 ± 18 | 80 |
| | Internal+Image | 2.53 ± 0.57 | 21.65 ± 4.35 | 221.03 ± 113.30 | 39 ± 15 | 33 ± 47 | 76 |
| | ENN | 2.33 ± 0.18 | 15.78 ± 0.17 | 36.88 ± 2.40 | 45 ± 04 | 100 ± 00 | 99 |
| LCCA | Human | 1.28 ± 0.30 | 20.70 ± 3.38 | 97.36 ± 23.01 | 77 ± 06 | 100 ± 00 | 78 |
| | Image | 4.02 ± 0.69 | 24.46 ± 5.66 | 220.30 ± 114.17 | 14 ± 14 | 33 ± 47 | 69 |
| | Image+Mask | 3.00 ± 0.29 | 16.32 ± 2.80 | 48.90 ± 12.73 | 33 ± 06 | 100 ± 00 | 96 |
| | Internal | 2.69 ± 0.80 | 22.47 ± 9.49 | 104.37 ± 97.29 | 39 ± 17 | 83 ± 37 | 79 |
| | Internal+Image | 2.47 ± 0.48 | 14.87 ± 0.79 | 37.80 ± 10.50 | 42 ± 08 | 100 ± 00 | 100 |
| | ENN | 2.26 ± 0.33 | 14.85 ± 0.79 | 33.77 ± 5.33 | 45 ± 05 | 100 ± 00 | 100 |

Quantitative Results. Table 6 shows that the expert network ENN outperforms other tested configurations for both BCA and LCCA targets. It excels in terms of minimal force exerted, the shortest path length, and the least episode length. While the human surgeon shows a better safety score, ENN surpasses most other configurations. The results show that utilizing several modality inputs effectively improves catheterization results. However, we note that the *human surgeon still performs the task more safely* in comparison with ENN, and safety is a crucial metric in real-world endovascular intervention procedures.

Expert Trajectory vs. Humans Skill. To evaluate the performance of various iterations of our model during training, we computed the mean episode length and compared it with the human results. As depicted in Fig. 10, within the BCA, our algorithms successfully navigate the environment after 10^5 time steps and half of them exhibited superior performance compared to the human operator. Moreover, it is evident from both targets’ navigation that ENN consistently achieves good performance with low inter-seed variance. We note although our ENN outperforms the surgeon, *ENN uses several modality inputs* (including image, internal force, segmentation mask), while the *surgeon only relies on the image* to conduct the task.

Navigation Path. Fig. 12 shows the comparison between the navigation paths generated by our ENN and a surgeon. The surgeon’s path exhibits a meandering trajectory, which stands in contrast to the expert’s more direct route. Moreover, the path taken by the human operator in navigating towards the BCA (Fig. 12(a)), demonstrates heightened irregularity, which indicates the increased difficulty in comparison to targeting the LCCA. This is likely due to the BCA’s deeper location within the chest. Despite these challenges, the human operator exerted less force compared to our ENN algorithm.

5.2.1 EVALUATION METRICS

Force. In our study, the force applied by surgical instruments during the simulation is critical for evaluating their performance and interaction with the aorta. To accurately record this force, we used a manual cannulation method through our simulator. At each time step of the simulation, we collected the collision points between the guidewire and the aorta. These collision points give us crucial insights into the tridimensional force acting on the system, which consists of the normal force (f_z) and the frictional forces (f_x and f_y). To compute the total magnitude of the force, we calculated the Euclidean norm of the force vector at a given time step (t), denoted as f_t . This magnitude is obtained from the square root of the sum of the squares of the force vector components

$$f_t = \sqrt{f_{x,t}^2 + f_{y,t}^2 + f_{z,t}^2} \tag{5}$$

This allows us to holistically assess the collective impact of the force components, providing a more comprehensive understanding of the guidewire’s behavior and its interaction forces with the aorta throughout the simulation. Furthermore, it facilitates a comparison between our experiments and those conducted by Kundrat et al. [35].

Path Length. The path length was derived by summing the Euclidean distances between sequential positions of the guidewire head. For each time step, the position of the guidewire head, denoted as h_t , was extracted. The Euclidean distance between the guidewire head position at time t and the position at time $t + 1$, denoted as h_t and h_{t+1} respectively, was then calculated $d(h_t, h_{t+1}) = \sqrt{(h_{t+1} - h_t)^2}$. This process resulted in a path length represented by:

$$\text{PathLength} = \sum_{i=1}^n \|h_{t+1} - h_t\| \quad (6)$$

where n is the episode length.

SPL. The navigation performance of the expert was evaluated in relation to human performance. This involved utilizing the path length, as calculated in the previous paragraph, to assess the optimality of the navigation. An optimal policy results in the shortest path. The Success Weighted by Normalized Inverse Path Length (SPL) metric, as suggested by Anderson et al. [3] was then computed using

$$\text{SPL} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N S_i \frac{l_i}{\max(p_i, l_i)} \quad (7)$$

where the path length p_i is normalized by the optimal path l_i . In this context, the shortest path observed was used as the optimal path, considering that human performance is consistently outperformed by the RL policies.

Safety. We compute the safety based on the number of times an algorithm inflicts a force greater than $2N$. This constant is derived from the study in real-world setup [35]. As such, we create a binary variable $a \in \{0, 1\}$ where $a = 1$ if $f_t \geq 2N$. As such, the safety metric is defined as:

$$\text{Safety} = 1 - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \quad (8)$$

where N represents the number of steps within an episode. We further subtract the result from 1. Intuitively, an algorithm that inflicts a great force at each step during the episode will have a safety of 0%, whereas an algorithm that inflicts a damage of $f \leq 2N$ at all time steps, will result in a safety of 100%.

Episode Length. The length of an episode is determined by the number of steps an algorithm takes to complete a task. This metric is significant as it provides insight into the efficiency of an algorithm; fewer steps generally indicate more efficient performance, assuming that the quality of task completion is preserved.

Success. The success of an episode is defined by whether the agent is able to achieve the goal within a pre-specified time limit of 300 time steps. This metric is binary; it records a success if the goal is reached within the time limit, and a failure otherwise. This serves to measure the effectiveness of the agent in task completion under time constraints, mirroring real-world scenarios where timeliness is often crucial.

5.3 DOWNSTREAM TASK RESULTS

5.3.1 IMITATION LEARNING

We compare the baseline algorithm (using only Image), the expert (ENN), and the behavioral cloning algorithm incorporating ENN in Table 7. The ENN-generated expert trajectories markedly enhance the algorithm’s performance within a constrained observation space. When compared to the Image baseline, the integration of expert trajectories in the behavioral cloning algorithm yields significant improvements in various aspects. For both BCA and LCCA targets, this integration leads to reduced force, shortened path and episode lengths, increased safety, and higher success rate and SPL scores. These results underscore the potential of expert trajectories to substantially enhance performance beyond the baseline, highlighting their importance for sim-to-real transfer in future research.

Network Architecture. Our network for the Behavioral Cloning (BC) algorithm is structured with a sequence of specialized layers. The input, formatted as a tensor of dimensions (1, 80, 80), passes

Table 7: The imitation learning results with and without using the expert navigation network (ENN).

| Target | Algorithm | Force | Path Length | Episode Length | Safety | Success | SPL |
|--------|----------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------|-----------|
| | | (N) ↓ | (cm) ↓ | (s) ↓ | % ↑ | % ↑ | % ↑ |
| BCA | ENN | 2.33 ± 0.18 | 15.78 ± 0.17 | 36.88 ± 2.40 | 45 ± 04 | 100 ± 00 | 99 |
| | Image w/o. ENN | 3.61 ± 0.61 | 25.28 ± 15.21 | 162.55 ± 106.85 | 16 ± 10 | 65 ± 48 | 74 |
| | Image w. ENN | 2.23 ± 0.10 | 16.06 ± 0.33 | 43.40 ± 1.50 | 49 ± 03 | 100 ± 00 | 98 |
| LCCA | ENN | 2.26 ± 0.33 | 14.85 ± 0.79 | 33.77 ± 5.33 | 45 ± 05 | 100 ± 00 | 100 |
| | Image w/o ENN | 4.02 ± 0.69 | 24.46 ± 5.66 | 220.30 ± 114.17 | 14 ± 14 | 33 ± 47 | 69 |
| | Image w. ENN | 2.51 ± 0.21 | 14.71 ± 0.20 | 33.10 ± 2.07 | 43 ± 04 | 100 ± 00 | 100 |

through three Conv2D layers, each using the Rectified Linear Unit (ReLU) as the activation function. After these layers, the output tensor is reshaped into a single dimension by a flattening layer, transitioning from convolutional to linear layers. This flattened output is then processed by a Linear layer with the ReLU activation function, followed by another Linear layer without an activation function to provide the final output. These raw scores are the direct output of the network, reflecting the decisions made by the BC algorithm. The detailed architecture of the network is presented in Table 8.

Table 8: BC Architecture

| Layer (type) | Output Shape | Param # | Nonlinearity |
|--------------|--------------|---------|--------------|
| Input | (1, 80, 80) | 0 | - |
| Conv2D | (32, 19, 19) | 2080 | ReLU |
| Conv2D | (64, 8, 8) | 32832 | ReLU |
| Conv2D | (64, 6, 6) | 36928 | ReLU |
| Flatten | (2304) | 0 | - |
| Linear | (512) | 1180160 | ReLU |
| Linear | (2) | 1026 | None |

Table 9: BC hyperparameters

| Hyperparameter | Default Value |
|---------------------|--------------------|
| Batch Size | 32 |
| Optimizer | Adam |
| Learning Rate | 1×10^{-3} |
| Entropy Coefficient | 1×10^{-3} |
| Epochs | 800 |

Training Methodology. The training of the BC algorithm is conducted using a specific set of hyperparameters, detailed in Table 9. We utilize a batch size of 32 and employ the Adam optimizer [32] to minimize the loss function. The learning rate and entropy coefficient are set to 1×10^{-3} , controlling the optimization step size and encouraging exploratory behavior in the policy, respectively. The training spans over 800 epochs, with each epoch encompassing a full pass through the dataset, allowing the model sufficient time to converge to an optimal solution.

5.3.2 FORCE PREDICTION

Table 5 shows the impact of the amount of generated trajectory samples, obtained by the use of ENN, on the fine-tuning of the Force Prediction Network (FPN) and its subsequent performance, measured through the Mean Square Error (MSE). The baseline MSE stands at 5.0021N. When we fine-tuned the FPN with 1,000 (1K) generated samples, the MSE was reduced significantly to 0.5047N, demonstrating the efficacy of the expert network in generating valuable samples for network training. As the quantity of generated samples increased to 10,000 (10K), the MSE further dropped to 0.1828N. This trend continued, as evidenced by the decrease in MSE to 0.0898N when the FPN was fine-tuned with 100,000 (100K) samples. These results highlight the potential of the expert network to generate increasingly useful samples for training which is unattainable by human participants in real-world procedures, and the subsequent ability of the FPN to be fine-tuned to achieve progressively better results.

6 DISCUSSION

Our work has introduced the first open-source and real-time endovascular simulator. To this end, we do not propose any new learning algorithms, instead, our CathSim serves as the foundation for future development. Similar to the autonomous driving field [14] where the simulators significantly advance the field, we hope that our CathSim will attract more attention and work from the machine learning community to tackle the autonomous catheterization task, an important but *relatively underdeveloped research direction*.

Limitation. While we have demonstrated the features of CathSim and successfully trained an expert navigation network, there are notable limitations. First, since CathSim is the very first open-source endovascular simulator, it is infeasible for us to compare it with other closed-source ones. However, we have validated our simulator with a real robot setup. We hope that with our open-source simulator, future research can facilitate broader comparisons. Additionally, it’s pertinent to note that the expert trajectory utilized in our study is generated using CathSim. This may introduce an inherent bias, as the trajectory is specific to our simulator’s environment and might not fully replicate real-world scenarios. Second, in order to simplify the simulation and enable real-time factors, we utilize rigid body and rigid contact assumptions, which do not fully align with the real world where the aorta is deformable and soft. This aspect potentially limits the applicability of our findings in real-world settings. Finally, we have not applied our learned policy to the real robot as our ENN shows strong results primarily under multiple modalities input, which is not feasible in actual procedures reliant solely on X-ray images for navigation.

Although the *ENN outperforms human surgeons* in some metrics, we clarify that our results are achieved *under assumptions*. First, our ENN utilizes multiple inputs including joint positions, joint velocities, internal force, and segmentation images, while surgeons rely solely on the images. Additionally, the surgeon interacts with the simulator using traditional devices (keyboards), which may limit precision due to the discretized action space. In contrast, our algorithm operates continuously. These differences afford our ENN certain advantages, thereby contributing to its promising results.

Future Work. We see several interesting future research directions. First, we believe that apart from training expert navigation agents, our CathSim can be used in other tasks such as planning, shape prediction, or sim2real X-ray transfer [29]. Second, extending our simulator to handle soft contact and deformation aorta would make the simulation more realistic. Third, our CathSim simulator can be used to collect and label data for learning tasks. This helps reduce the cost, as real-world data collection for endovascular intervention is expensive [35]. Finally, developing robust autonomous catheterization agents and applying them to the real robot remains a significant challenge. Currently, it is uncertain how seamlessly the learning agent’s policy would adapt to real-world situations under real-world settings such as dynamic blood flow pressure, soft and deformable tissues. We believe several future works are needed to improve both the endovascular simulators and learning policy to bridge the simulation to real gap.

Finally, we note that our CathSim is a simulator for medical-related tasks. Therefore, agents trained in our environment must not be used directly on humans. Users who wish to perform real-world trials from our simulator must ensure that they obtain all necessary ethical approvals and follow all local, national, and international regulations.

REFERENCES

- [1] Mohamed E. M. K. Abdelaziz, Dennis Kundrat, Marco Pupillo, Giulio Dagnino, et al. Toward a versatile robotic platform for fluoroscopy and mri-guided endovascular interventions: A pre-clinical study. In *IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, 2019.
- [2] Abien Fred Agarap. Deep learning using rectified linear units (relu). *arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.08375*, 2018.
- [3] Peter Anderson, Angel Chang, Devendra Singh Chaplot, Alexey Dosovitskiy, Saurabh Gupta, Vladlen Koltun, Jana Kosecka, Jitendra Malik, Roozbeh Mottaghi, Manolis Savva, et al. On evaluation of embodied navigation agents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.06757*, 2018.
- [4] S Athinotis, RA Srivatsan, and H Choset. Deep q reinforcement learning for autonomous navigation of surgical snake robot in confined spaces. In *Proceedings of the The Hamlyn Symposium on Medical Robotics, London, UK*, pp. 23–26, 2019.
- [5] Tobias Behr, Tim Philipp Pusch, Marius Siegfarth, Dominik Hüsener, Tobias Mörschel, and Lennart Karstensen. Deep Reinforcement Learning for the Navigation of Neurovascular Catheters. *Current Directions in Biomedical Engineering*, 5(1):5–8, September 2019. ISSN 2364-5504.

-
- [6] Jessica Burgner-Kahrs, D Caleb Rucker, and Howie Choset. Continuum robots for medical applications: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, 31(6):1261–1280, 2015.
- [7] Wenqiang Chi, Jindong Liu, Mohamed EMK Abdelaziz, Giulio Dagnino, Celia Riga, Colin Bicknell, and Guang-Zhong Yang. Trajectory optimization of robot-assisted endovascular catheterization with reinforcement learning. In *2018 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS)*, pp. 3875–3881. IEEE, 2018.
- [8] Wenqiang Chi, Jindong Liu, Hedyeh Rafii-Tari, Celia Riga, Colin Bicknell, and Guang-Zhong Yang. Learning-based endovascular navigation through the use of non-rigid registration for collaborative robotic catheterization. *International Journal of Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery*, 13, 04 2018.
- [9] Wenqiang Chi, Giulio Dagnino, Trevor MY Kwok, Anh Nguyen, Dennis Kundra, Mohamed EMK Abdelaziz, Celia Riga, Colin Bicknell, and Guang-Zhong Yang. Collaborative robot-assisted endovascular catheterization with generative adversarial imitation learning. In *2020 IEEE International conference on robotics and automation (ICRA)*, pp. 2414–2420. IEEE, 2020.
- [10] Yongjun Cho, Jae-Hyeon Park, Jaesoon Choi, and Dong Eui Chang. Image processing based autonomous guidewire navigation in percutaneous coronary intervention. In *2021 IEEE International Conference on Consumer Electronics-Asia (ICCE-Asia)*, pp. 1–6. IEEE, 2021.
- [11] Giulio Dagnino, Dennis Kundra, Trevor MY Kwok, Mohamed EMK Abdelaziz, Wenqiang Chi, Anh Nguyen, Celia Riga, and Guang-Zhong Yang. In-vivo validation of a novel robotic platform for endovascular intervention. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 2022.
- [12] Jérémie Dequidt, Christian Duriez, Stéphane Cotin, and Erwan Kerrien. Towards interactive planning of coil embolization in brain aneurysms. In *Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention-MICCAI 2009*, volume 5761, pp. 377–385. Springer Berlin/Heidelberg, 2009.
- [13] Edsger W Dijkstra. A note on two problems in connexion with graphs. *Numerische mathematik*, 1(1):269–271, 1959.
- [14] Alexey Dosovitskiy, German Ros, Felipe Codevilla, Antonio Lopez, and Vladlen Koltun. CARLA: An open urban driving simulator. In *Proceedings of the 1st Annual Conference on Robot Learning*, pp. 1–16, 2017.
- [15] Pierre E Dupont, Bradley J Nelson, Michael Goldfarb, Blake Hannaford, Arianna Menciassi, Marcia K O’Malley, Nabil Simaan, Pietro Valdastri, and Guang-Zhong Yang. A decade retrospective of medical robotics research from 2010 to 2020. *Science Robotics*, 6(60):eabi8017, 2021.
- [16] François Faure, Christian Duriez, Hervé Delingette, Jérémie Allard, Benjamin Gilles, Stéphanie Marchesseau, Hugo Talbot, Hadrien Courtecuisse, Guillaume Bousquet, Igor Peterlik, and Stéphane Cotin. Sofa: A multi-model framework for interactive physical simulation. In *Soft Tissue Biomechanical Modeling for Computer Assisted Surgery*. 2012. URL <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-00681539>.
- [17] Roy Featherstone. *Rigid body dynamics algorithms*. Springer, 2014.
- [18] Chelsea Finn, Sergey Levine, and Pieter Abbeel. Guided cost learning: Deep inverse optimal control via policy optimization. In *International conference on machine learning*, pp. 49–58. PMLR, 2016.
- [19] Cedric Fischer, Quentin Boehler, and Bradley J Nelson. Using magnetic fields to navigate and simultaneously localize catheters in endoluminal environments. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*, 7(3):7217–7223, 2022.
- [20] Tuomas Haarnoja, Aurick Zhou, Pieter Abbeel, and Sergey Levine. Soft actor-critic: Off-policy maximum entropy deep reinforcement learning with a stochastic actor. In *International conference on machine learning*, 2018.

-
- [21] Jonathan Ho and Stefano Ermon. Generative adversarial imitation learning. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 29, 2016.
- [22] Yuenan Hou, Zheng Ma, Chunxiao Liu, and Chen Change Loy. Learning to steer by mimicking features from heterogeneous auxiliary networks. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pp. 8433–8440, 2019.
- [23] Baoru Huang, Yicheng Hu, Anh Nguyen, Stamatia Giannarou, and Daniel S Elson. Detecting the sensing area of a laparoscopic probe in minimally invasive cancer surgery. In *International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention*, pp. 260–270. Springer, 2023.
- [24] Ahmed Hussein, Mohamed Medhat Gaber, Eyad Elyan, and Chrisina Jayne. Imitation learning: A survey of learning methods. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, 50(2):1–35, 2017.
- [25] Ramón Díaz Jara, Alfredo D Guerrón, and Dana Portenier. Complications of robotic surgery. *Surgical Clinics*, 100(2):461–468, 2020.
- [26] Cheng Ji, Zeng-Guang Hou, and Xiao-Liang Xie. An image-based guidewire navigation method for robot-assisted intravascular interventions. In *2011 Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*, pp. 6680–6685. IEEE, 2011.
- [27] Tudor Jianu, Baoru Huang, Pierre Berthet-Rayne, Sebastiano Fichera, and Anh Nguyen. 3d guidewire shape reconstruction from monoplane fluoroscopic images. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.11209*, 2023.
- [28] Pablo Jiménez, Federico Thomas, and Carme Torras. 3d collision detection: a survey. *Computers & Graphics*, 25(2):269–285, 2001.
- [29] Jingxuan Kang, Tudor Jianu, Baoru Huang, Binod Bhattarai, Ngan Le, Frans Coenen, and Anh Nguyen. Translating simulation images to x-ray images via multi-scale semantic matching. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.07693*, 2023.
- [30] Lennart Karstensen, Tobias Behr, Tim Philipp Pusch, Franziska Mathis-Ullrich, and Jan Stal-lkamp. Autonomous guidewire navigation in a two dimensional vascular phantom. *Current Directions in Biomedical Engineering*, 6(1), 2020.
- [31] Ji Woong Kim, Peiyao Zhang, Peter Gehlbach, Iulian Iordachita, and Marin Kobilarov. Towards autonomous eye surgery by combining deep imitation learning with optimal control. In *Conference on Robot Learning*, pp. 2347–2358. PMLR, 2021.
- [32] Diederik P Kingma and Jimmy Ba. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980*, 2014.
- [33] B Ravi Kiran, Ibrahim Sobh, Victor Talpaert, Patrick Mannion, Ahmad A Al Sallab, Senthil Yogamani, and Patrick Pérez. Deep reinforcement learning for autonomous driving: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 23(6):4909–4926, 2021.
- [34] Chayun Kongtongvattana, Baoru Huang, Jingxuan Kang, Hoan Nguyen, Olajide Olufemi, and Anh Nguyen. Shape-sensitive loss for catheter and guidewire segmentation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.11205*, 2023.
- [35] Dennis Kundrat, Giulio Dagnino, Trevor MY Kwok, Mohamed EMK Abdelaziz, Wenqiang Chi, Anh Nguyen, Celia Riga, and Guang-Zhong Yang. An mr-safe endovascular robotic platform: Design, control, and ex-vivo evaluation. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 68(10):3110–3121, 2021.
- [36] Jihoon Kweon, Kyunghwan Kim, Chaehyuk Lee, Hwi Kwon, Jinwoo Park, Kyoseok Song, Young In Kim, Jeeone Park, Inwook Back, Jae-Hyung Roh, et al. Deep reinforcement learning for guidewire navigation in coronary artery phantom. *IEEE Access*, 2021.
- [37] Bin Li, Ruofeng Wei, Jiaqi Xu, Bo Lu, Chi Hang Yee, Chi Fai Ng, Pheng-Ann Heng, Qi Dou, and Yun-Hui Liu. 3d perception based imitation learning under limited demonstration for laparoscope control in robotic surgery. In *2022 International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pp. 7664–7670. IEEE, 2022.

-
- [38] Rensis Likert. A technique for the measurement of attitudes. *Archives of psychology*, 1932.
- [39] Timothy P Lillicrap, Jonathan J Hunt, Alexander Pritzel, Nicolas Heess, Tom Erez, Yuval Tassa, David Silver, and Daan Wierstra. Continuous control with deep reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1509.02971*, 2015.
- [40] Ehtisham Mahmud, Florian Schmid, Peter Kalmar, Hannes Deutschmann, Franz Hafner, Peter Rief, and Marianne Brodmann. Feasibility and safety of robotic peripheral vascular interventions: results of the rapid trial. *Cardiovascular Interventions*, 9(19):2058–2064, 2016.
- [41] Khaled Mamou and Faouzi Ghorbel. A simple and efficient approach for 3d mesh approximate convex decomposition. In *2009 16th IEEE international conference on image processing (ICIP)*, 2009.
- [42] Volodymyr Mnih, Koray Kavukcuoglu, David Silver, Andrei A Rusu, Joel Veness, Marc G Bellemare, Alex Graves, Martin Riedmiller, Andreas K Fidjeland, Georg Ostrovski, et al. Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning. *nature*, 518(7540):529–533, 2015.
- [43] M Benavente Molinero, Giulio Dagnino, Jindong Liu, Wenqiang Chi, Mohamed EMK Abdelaziz, Trevor MY Kwok, C Riga, and Guang-Zhong Yang. Haptic guidance for robot-assisted endovascular procedures: implementation and evaluation on surgical simulator. In *IROS. IEEE*, 2019.
- [44] Craig Iain Nesbitt, Nikhil Birdi, Sebastian Mafeld, and Gerrard Stansby. The role of simulation in the development of endovascular surgical skills. *Perspect Med Educ*, 5(1):8–14, February 2016. ISSN 2212-277X.
- [45] Anh Nguyen, Dennis Kundrat, Giulio Dagnino, et al. End-to-end real-time catheter segmentation with optical flow-guided warping during endovascular intervention. In *2020 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, pp. 9967–9973, 2020. doi: 10.1109/ICRA40945.2020.9197307.
- [46] Allison M Okamura. Haptic feedback in robot-assisted minimally invasive surgery. *Current opinion in urology*, 19(1):102, 2009.
- [47] Olatunji Mumini Omisore, Shipeng Han, Lingcui Ren, Guoshuai Wang, Fangliang Ou, Hui Li, and Lei Wang. Towards characterization and adaptive compensation of backlash in a novel robotic catheter system for cardiovascular interventions. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems*, 12(4):824–838, 2018.
- [48] Olatunji Mumini Omisore, Toluwanimi Akinyemi, Wenke Duan, Wenjing Du, and Lei Wang. A novel sample-efficient deep reinforcement learning with episodic policy transfer for pid-based control in cardiac catheterization robots. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.14941*, 2021.
- [49] AD Padsalgikar. Cardiovascular system: Structure, assessment, and diseases. *Plastics in medical devices for cardiovascular applications. William Andrew Publishing*, pp. 103–132, 2017.
- [50] A Püschel, C Schafmayer, and J Groß. Robot-assisted techniques in vascular and endovascular surgery. *Langenbeck's Archives of Surgery*, 407(5):1789–1795, 2022.
- [51] Hanxin Qian, Xiaofeng Lin, Zonghan Wu, Quan Zeng, Chichi Li, Yi Pang, Cheng Wang, and Shoujun Zhou. Towards rebuild the interventionist's intra-operative natural behavior: A fully sensorized endovascular robotic system design. In *2019 International Conference on Medical Imaging Physics and Engineering (ICMIPE)*, pp. 1–7. IEEE, 2019.
- [52] Antonin Raffin, Ashley Hill, Adam Gleave, Anssi Kanervisto, Maximilian Ernestus, and Noah Dormann. Stable-baselines3: Reliable reinforcement learning implementations. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 22(268):1–8, 2021. URL <http://jmlr.org/papers/v22/20-1364.html>.

-
- [53] Hedyeh Rafii-Tari, Christopher J Payne, Celia Riga, Colin Bicknell, Su-Lin Lee, and Guang-Zhong Yang. Assessment of navigation cues with proximal force sensing during endovascular catheterization. In *Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention–MICCAI 2012: 15th International Conference, Nice, France, October 1-5, 2012, Proceedings, Part II 15*, pp. 560–567. Springer, 2012.
- [54] Hedyeh Rafii-Tari, Jindong Liu, Su-Lin Lee, Colin Bicknell, and Guang-Zhong Yang. Learning-based modeling of endovascular navigation for collaborative robotic catheterization. In *Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention–MICCAI 2013: 16th International Conference, Nagoya, Japan, September 22-26, 2013, Proceedings, Part II 16*, pp. 369–377. Springer, 2013.
- [55] Hedyeh Rafii-Tari, Jindong Liu, Christopher J Payne, Colin Bicknell, and Guang-Zhong Yang. Hierarchical hmm based learning of navigation primitives for cooperative robotic endovascular catheterization. In *Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention–MICCAI 2014: 17th International Conference, Boston, MA, USA, September 14-18, 2014, Proceedings, Part I 17*, pp. 496–503. Springer, 2014.
- [56] Hedyeh Rafii-Tari, Christopher J. Payne, Colin Bicknell, Ka-Wai Kwok, Nicholas J. W. Cheshire, Celia Riga, and Guang-Zhong Yang. Objective assessment of endovascular navigation skills with force sensing. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering*, 45(5):1315–1327, May 2017. ISSN 1573-9686. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10439-017-1791-y>.
- [57] Matteo Saveriano, Fares J Abu-Dakka, Aljaz Kramberger, and Luka Peternel. Dynamic movement primitives in robotics: A tutorial survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.03861*, 2021.
- [58] Pierre Schegg, Jérémie Dequidt, Eulalie Coevoet, Edouard Leurent, Rémi Sabatier, Philippe Preux, and Christian Duriez. Automated planning for robotic guidewire navigation in the coronary arteries. In *2022 IEEE 5th International Conference on Soft Robotics (RoboSoft)*, pp. 239–246. IEEE, 2022.
- [59] KWM See, KH Chui, WH Chan, KC Wong, and YC Chan. Evidence for endovascular simulation training: a systematic review. *European Journal of Vascular and Endovascular Surgery*, 51(3):441–451, 2016.
- [60] Chaoyang Shi, Xiongbiao Luo, Peng Qi, Tianliang Li, Shuang Song, Zoran Najdovski, Toshio Fukuda, and Hongliang Ren. Shape sensing techniques for continuum robots in minimally invasive surgery: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 64(8):1665–1678, 2016.
- [61] Sara Sincerì, Marina Carbone, Michele Marconi, Andrea Moglia, Mauro Ferrari, and Vincenzo Ferrari. Basic endovascular skills trainer: A surgical simulator for the training of novice practitioners of endovascular procedures. In *2015 37th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC)*, 2015.
- [62] Hwa-Seob Song, Byung-Ju Yi, Jong Yun Won, and Jaehong Woo. Learning-based catheter and guidewire-driven autonomous vascular intervention robotic system for reduced repulsive force. *Journal of Computational Design and Engineering*, 9(5):1549–1564, 2022.
- [63] Lei Tai, Jingwei Zhang, Ming Liu, and Wolfram Burgard. Socially compliant navigation through raw depth inputs with generative adversarial imitation learning. In *2018 IEEE international conference on robotics and automation (ICRA)*, pp. 1111–1117. IEEE, 2018.
- [64] Hugo Talbot, Federico Spadoni, Christian Duriez, Maxime Sermesant, Stéphane Cotin, and Hervé Delingette. Interactive training system for interventional electrocardiology procedures. In *Biomedical Simulation: 6th International Symposium, ISBMS 2014, Strasbourg, France, October 16-17, 2014. Proceedings 6*, pp. 11–19. Springer, 2014.
- [65] Yuval Tassa, Yotam Doron, Alistair Muldal, Tom Erez, Yazhe Li, Diego de Las Casas, David Budden, Abbas Abdolmaleki, Josh Merel, Andrew Lefrancq, Timothy Lillicrap, and Martin Riedmiller. Deepmind control suite, 2018.

-
- [66] Emanuel Todorov. A convex, smooth and invertible contact model for trajectory optimization. In *2011 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pp. 1071–1076. IEEE, 2011.
- [67] Emanuel Todorov, Tom Erez, and Yuval Tassa. Mujoco: A physics engine for model-based control. In *IROS*, 2012.
- [68] Minh Q Tran, Tuong Do, Huy Tran, Erman Tjiputra, Quang D Tran, and Anh Nguyen. Light-weight deformable registration using adversarial learning with distilling knowledge. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 41(6):1443–1453, 2022.
- [69] Isaac Wamala, Ellen T Roche, and Frank A Pigula. The use of soft robotics in cardiovascular therapy. *Expert Review of Cardiovascular Therapy*, 15(10):767–774, 2017.
- [70] Yiyi Wei, Stéphane Cotin, Jérémie Dequidt, Christian Duriez, Jérémie Allard, Erwan Kerrien, et al. A (near) real-time simulation method of aneurysm coil embolization. *Aneurysm*, 8(29): 223–248, 2012.
- [71] Guang-Zhong Yang, James Cambias, Kevin Cleary, Eric Daimler, James Drake, Pierre E Dupont, Nobuhiko Hata, Peter Kazanzides, Sylvain Martel, Rajni V Patel, et al. Medical robotics—regulatory, ethical, and legal considerations for increasing levels of autonomy, 2017.
- [72] Xin Yi, Scott Adams, Paul Babyn, and Abdul Elnajmi. Automatic catheter detection in pediatric x-ray images using a scale-recurrent network and synthetic data. *arXiv:1806.00921*, 2018.
- [73] Katsuaki Yokoyama, Hiroshi Nakagawa, Dipen C Shah, Hendrik Lambert, Giovanni Leo, Nicolas Aeby, Atsushi Ikeda, Jan V Pitha, Tushar Sharma, Ralph Lazzara, et al. Novel contact force sensor incorporated in irrigated radiofrequency ablation catheter predicts lesion size and incidence of steam pop and thrombus. *Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology*, 1(5): 354–362, 2008.
- [74] Hyeonseok You, EunKyung Bae, Youngjin Moon, Jihoon Kweon, and Jaesoon Choi. Automatic control of cardiac ablation catheter with deep reinforcement learning method. *Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*, 33:5415–5423, 2019.
- [75] Wenshuai Zhao, Jorge Peña Queraltá, and Tomi Westerlund. Sim-to-real transfer in deep reinforcement learning for robotics: a survey. In *2020 IEEE symposium series on computational intelligence (SSCI)*, pp. 737–744. IEEE, 2020.