

# CAFCT: CONTEXTUAL AND ATTENTIONAL FEATURE FUSIONS OF CONVOLUTIONAL NEURAL NETWORKS AND TRANSFORMER FOR LIVER TUMOR SEGMENTATION

Ming Kang, Chee-Ming Ting\*, Fung Fung Ting, Raphaël C.-W. Phan

School of Information Technology, Monash University, Malaysia Campus

## ABSTRACT

Medical image semantic segmentation techniques can help identify tumors automatically from computed tomography (CT) scans. In this paper, we propose a Contextual and Attentional feature Fusions enhanced Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) and Transformer hybrid network (CAFCT) model for liver tumor segmentation. In the proposed model, three other modules are introduced in the network architecture: Attentional Feature Fusion (AFF), Atrous Spatial Pyramid Pooling (ASPP) of DeepLabv3, and Attention Gates (AGs) to improve contextual information related to tumor boundaries for accurate segmentation. Experimental results show that the proposed CAFCT achieves a mean Intersection over Union (IoU) of 90.38% and Dice score of 86.78%, respectively, on the Liver Tumor Segmentation Benchmark (LiTS) dataset, outperforming pure CNN or Transformer methods, e.g., Attention U-Net, and PVTFormer.

**Index Terms**— Medical image semantic segmentation, liver tumor segmentation, computed tomography (CT), attention mechanism.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Medical image semantic segmentation is an important branch of computer vision tasks and helps automated liver tumor segmentation assist physicians' diagnoses in clinical practice. Convolutional neural networks (CNNs), typically U-Net [1] series, have greatly promoted the development of various semantic segmentation, such as H-DenseUNet [2], R2U-Net [3], DeepLabv2 [4], DeepLabv3 [5], Deeplabv3+ [6], Attention U-Net [7], and so on. U-Net, which has been widely used in medical image semantic segmentation, is based on an encoder-decoder structure and uses skip connections to reduce the fusion of different features. DeepLabv2, Deeplabv3, and Deeplabv3+ employ Atrous Spatial Pyramid Pooling (ASPP) to capture contextual information at multiple scales. In order to highlight salient features that are passed through the skip connections, attention gates (AGs) are used in the Attention U-Net. H-DenseUNet explores intra-slice and inter-slice features for liver and tumor segmentation and achieves competitive performance on liver tumor segmentation.

Transformer [8] was originally proposed for natural language processing as a model architecture containing self-attention in an encoder-decoder architecture. The roles of CNN and transformer in feature extraction are different and complementary. The weight parameters of CNN that are trained in the kernel can extract the characteristics of the elements in the mixed response field. In contrast, Transformer adaptively extracts and blends features between all patches by obtaining the similarity of all patch pairs through the dot product between patch vectors. This gives the Transformer


an effective global receptive field and reduces the inductive bias of the model. Therefore, Transformer has more powerful generalization capabilities than CNN and multi-layer perceptron-like structures. However, the low sensing bias and strong global receptive field make it difficult for converter models to adequately capture the critical local details of a specific task. In addition, as the Transformer model continues to deepen, global features continue to mix and converge, leading to distraction. These make it difficult for the Transformer model to accurately predict the information in the dense prediction task of detailed semantic segmentation in the dense prediction task. PVTFormer [9] that utilizes Pyramid Vision Transformer (PVT) v2 [10] as a backbone generates high-quality liver tumor segmentation masks by enhancing semantic features.

In this paper, we combine CNN and Transformer by leveraging contextual attention to learn not only local and global information but also contextual knowledge. First, we use two branch backbone networks, CNN and Transformer, to extract features. In order to better fuse the two different types of extracted features, we design a novel Attentional Feature Fusion (AFF) module incorporating attention mechanisms, which is used to collect global information, capture channel-wise relationships, and improve representation ability. To avoid the different contexts between lesions in different periods, we introduce ASPP for multiscale lesions to enrich semantic information and detailed information related to lesion boundaries. One common application of attention mechanisms is in image segmentation tasks, where the model needs to identify and outline specific objects or regions within an image. We utilize AGs to help the model allocate more attention to important features while suppressing irrelevant or distracting information. In addition, we adopt a Binary Cross-Entropy (BCE) Dice loss. The main contributions of this paper are summarized as follows:

- 1) The backbone network of the proposed model incorporates the local and global features of CNN and Transformer through an attentional feature fusion module so that the model can learn context information, thereby comprehensively improving the performance of the liver tumor segmentation.
- 2) We design a novel attentional feature fusion module to comprehensively integrate information from two different types of features, which is different from simple add and concat operations. AFF module adaptively fuses semantic feature information based on the learned weighted contextual information of the two features.
- 3) We develop an innovative attention gate module functioning as skip connections to facilitate context learning, automatically adapting to target structures of diverse shapes and sizes. The proposed model trained with these attention gates inherently acquires the ability to diminish the significance of irrelevant areas in an input image while accentuating significant features crucial for semantic segmentation.

---

\*Corresponding author.



**Fig. 1.** Overview of CAFCT. Conv represents the convolutional neural layer. AFF represents the Attentional Feature Fusion module. ASPP represents the Atrous Spatial Pyramid Pooling module. AGs represent the Attention Gates module. Concat represents concatenation.

## 2. METHODS

In this section, each module in the architecture of our Contextual Attention Fusions enhanced Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) and Transformer hybrid network (CAFCT) model is introduced in detail, as shown in Fig. 1. CAFCT has a two-branch encoder structure and attention-gated skip connections. The encoder is composed of CNN and Transformer branches, which are mainly responsible for feature extraction and downsampling. Then, contextual feature fusion is generated by three modules consisting of AFF, ASPP, and AGs, in which the resolution of the output feature map of each layer is reduced by half compared to the previous layer, and the number of channels is doubled while the channel on the last layer remains unchanged. Corresponding to the encoder, the convolutional decoder is mainly responsible for mapping the low-resolution feature map obtained by the encoder to the pixel-level prediction.

### 2.1. CNN and Transformer Encoders

In the encoder part, we use two branches of encoders, namely a CNN-based encoder and a Transformer-based encoder. Although CNN has achieved unparalleled performance in numerous medical image segmentation tasks, it lacks efficiency in capturing global contextual information. Existing work obtains global information by generating very large receptive fields, which requires continuous downsample and stack convolutional layers until they are deep enough. The existing work acquires global information by generating a very large receptive field, which requires continuous downsampling and stacking of convolutional layers until it is deep enough. This brings several disadvantages: first, the training of very deep networks will be affected by the problem of diminishing feature reuse, in which low-level features are washed out by successive multiplication operations; second, as the spatial resolution gradually decreases, local information loss that is crucial for dense prediction tasks such as pixel-by-pixel segmentation. Transformers, used for sequence-to-sequence prediction, have emerged as alternative architectures with inherent global self-attention mechanisms but may have limited localization capabilities due to insufficient underlying details. Therefore, CNN and Transformer dual encoders are a better solution to improve the efficiency of modeling global context while maintaining robust capture of low-level details.

To further unleash the power of CNNs plus Transformers in medical image semantic segmentation, CAFCT combines convo-

lution with transformers to enjoy the benefit of both the local advantages of convolution and the global advantages of Transformers. CNN branch progressively expands the receptive field, capturing features from a local to a global scale. Meanwhile, the Transformer branch initiates with global self-attention, ultimately restoring local details. These two branches contribute to the extraction of features. In the CNN branch, features are progressively downsampled and hundreds of layers are employed to obtain the global context of features. In the Transformer branch, the input image is first evenly divided into patches and then the patches are flattened and passed into a linear embedding layer with output dimension.

### 2.2. Attentional Feature Fusion

To integrate multi-level features respectively extracted by CNN-based and Transformer-based encoders to segment liver tumors, we design an attentional feature fusion module to mine contextual semantics for improved lesion segmentation. Dai et al. [11] proposed the attentional feature fusion framework that aggregates local and global contextual features given at different scales. Inspired by this work, we build an attentional feature fusion module leveraging Squeeze-and-Excitation Networks (SENet) [12] structure to gather multiscale feature contexts to address issues arising from variations in scale.

As shown in Fig. 2, we first concatenate features  $F_{CNN}$  and  $F_{Trans}$  from CNN and Transformer branches respectively, and then interactively input the output to SENet blocks to explicitly model the interdependencies between the channels of its convolutional feature. The SENet block is divided into two operations that are associated with attention and gating mechanisms. First, the squeeze operation, which represents the global distribution of the response on the feature channel and enables the layers close to the input to obtain the global receptive field, employs a global pooling operation to compress the 3D of  $H \times W \times C$  to 1D vector  $1 \times 1 \times 1$ . Compared with the ordinary convolution capturing the size of the local receptive field at the lower level, the receptive field becomes wide avoiding errors via squeeze operation. The squeeze operation performs feature compression along the spatial dimension and turns each two-dimensional feature channel into a real number that has a global receptive field to some extent. The output dimension matches the number of input feature channels so that the information on the global receptive field can be obtained. Second, the excitation operation, which is similar to the gating function, gives each part a correlation weight value.




Fig. 2. The structure of attentional feature fusion module.

The importance of each feature channel is automatically obtained through excitation operation. Thus, useful features are improved, and features that are not very useful for the current task are suppressed according to this importance simultaneously.

### 2.3. Atrous Spatial Pyramid Pooling

The boundary information of image segmentation has a great influence on the final segmentation results, which may cause the inaccurate segmentation of the object boundaries leading to pixel-by-pixel misclassification. We design a simple yet effective edge-aware module. The atrous spatial pyramid pooling module, first proposed in DeepLabv2, integrates low-level local boundary information and high-level global position information to explore edge semantics related to object boundaries under explicit boundary supervision [?]. We introduce the ASPP module to combine boundary features with liver tumor features at each layer to guide representation learning for image segmentation and make the model pay more attention to the structure and details of the tumors. Thus, multi-level fusion features of the former module AFF are gradually aggregated from top to bottom to predict the boundary information of segmented liver tumors.

On the other hand, due to the different parameters and techniques set by the CT equipment during the image acquisition process, and according to the label data of the brachial plexus ultrasound image, the lesions on livers have different sizes and shapes, which results in the network model not being able to effectively extract feature information, and thus cannot achieve ideal segmentation accuracy. ASPP module uses atrous convolution and global average pooling with different expansion rates to extract feature information at different scales in CT images, which can effectively improve segmentation performance.

Fig. 3 illustrates the structure of the ASPP module. The first step of the ASPP module requires 5 convolutional layers to operate in parallel: The first convolutional layer uses a  $1 \times 1$  convolution kernel to perform a convolution operation on the input feature map, and after batch normalization processing Obtain the output feature map; the 2nd to 4th convolutional layers allow the input feature map to pass through dilated convolutions with expansion rates of 6, 12, and 18 and a convolution kernel size of  $3 \times 3$  respectively. The dilated convolution can be used without changing the convolution. When the kernel size is small, the receptive field is expanded to extract more feature information, and the output feature map is obtained through batch normalization processing; the fifth convolution layer must first reduce the size of the original image in order to obtain the global context. information, then global average pooling is performed on each channel of the input feature map, and then a new feature map is formed through  $1 \times 1$  convolution. After convolution, batch normalization is also performed, and finally the image is processed using bilinear interpolation. Restore the size. After the five parallel convolution layer operations are completed, the out-




Fig. 3. The structure of atrous spatial pyramid pooling module.

put feature maps obtained by each convolution layer are first spliced to increase the correlation between feature maps of different scales, because the feature maps are spliced in the channel dimension. So the obtained channel dimension is the original 5 times the feature map; then use  $1 \times 1$  convolution to reduce the dimensionality of the obtained feature map; finally, the feature map output by the ASPP module is obtained through batch normalization operation.

### 2.4. Attention Gates

Attention gates, firstly proposed in [7, 13, 14], automatically learn to focus on different shapes and the size of the object structure as shown in Fig. 4. Attention mechanisms are employed to spotlight or accentuate specific portions of the input data, enabling the model to concentrate on pertinent information. Whereas AGs might be integrated into architectures crafted to improve the model's capability to selectively attend to diverse regions within the input. Given that semantic differences among various-scale feature maps can impact feature fusion in skip connections, we introduce skip connections with AGs modules. These modules aid in the fusion of features between semantically misaligned feature maps, leading to a notable enhancement in segmentation results.

AGs exhibit distinctive characteristics with features and gated signals serving as inputs. This involves employing  $1 \times 1$  convolution to standardize their sizes, followed by an element-wise addition to obtain superimposed features. Subsequently, activation is applied, and a grid of attention coefficients is obtained using bilinear interpolation. In the process of identifying significant image regions and fine-tuning feature responses, the input features are multiplied element-wise with the attention coefficient, derived from the gating signal obtained at a coarser scale. This activation and contextual information aid in selecting spatial regions. The attention gate is operational during forward and backward propagation, implementing neuron activation. In the reverse process, the gradient from the background area is weighted downward. The model inherently learns to suppress irrelevant image areas while accentuating task-relevant salient features. These features can be integrated into standard image analysis models based on convolutional networks, enhancing sensitivity, prediction accuracy, and suitability for dense label prediction.

As shown in Fig. 1, the CNN layers divide features evenly into four feature maps and integrate features from adjacent layers via AGs in the decoder part. The proposed model leverages high-level abstract features obtained from the ASPP module as gating signals. These signals, along with the input features, are fed into the Atten-




Fig. 4. The structure of attention gates module.

tion Gate, where attention coefficients are computed based on activation and context information derived from the gating signal. Grid resampling is executed through bilinear interpolation. Utilizing attention coefficients, the input features are updated to pinpoint spatial regions pertinent to the designated task. This process filters and extracts crucial spatial features, which are then merged with refined features obtained by quadruple bilinear upsampling of the gated signal. The final output is ultimately generated through a combination of convolutional layers and bilinear upsampling.

### 2.5. Multi-Loss Function

For achieving precise semantic segmentation, we employ a multi-loss approach known as BCE-Dice loss, which integrates binary cross-entropy loss and Dice loss. Dice Loss provides a global overview, while BCE conducts a detailed examination pixel by pixel, offering complementary perspectives. This combination introduces variability in the loss, maintaining stability attributed to BCE. However, it’s important to note that BCE-Dice loss may not be suitable for segmenting small-scale objects, such as a  $\times 10$  object in a  $512 \times 512$  image, as the BCE loss is impacted in such scenarios, unlike Dice loss.

## 3. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

### 3.1. Dataset

We evaluated the proposed CAFCT on the Liver Tumor Segmentation Benchmark (LiTS) [15] dataset. The LiTS dataset comprises 200 abdominal CT training scans with contrast enhancement, sourced from seven distinct clinical institutions. The training data set contains 130 CT scans and the test data set 70 CT scans. Trained radiologists manually segmented the liver and liver lesions in the provided training CT scans. The volumes varied in the number of axial slices, ranging from 42 to 1026 cross-sections per volume, resulting in a total of 16,917 images. The size of each CT image is  $512 \times 512$  pixels.

### 3.2. Implementation Details

Our CAFCT is based on the Pytorch deep learning framework, and throughout our experiments, we tweaked a number of hyperparameters, such as learning rate, batch size, weight decay rate, resize, etc. Ubuntu 20.04 was utilized on both the training and testing computers, and single NVIDIA® RTX® 3090 graphics cards, each with 24GB of video memory, were used to set up the hardware. For training, we employed the small batch stochastic gradient descent (SGD) method with a batch size of 8 and a learning rate 0.001. Adam optimization and SGD techniques were compared, and it was found that SGD typically delivers greater performance, although Adam converges more quickly. Despite Adam’s faster convergence, we valued performance in terms of both time and performance.

Table 1. Performance comparison of CAFCT and other methods on the LiTS dataset. The unit of mIoU and Dice for liver tumor segmentation is the percentage (%).

Methods	mIoU	Dice
U-Net [1]	59.43	67.50
H-DenseUNet [2]	69.54	82.40
DeeplabV3+ [6]	72.33	82.97
Attention U-Net [7]	76.33	84.06
PVTFormer [9]	78.46	86.78
<b>CAFCT (Ours)</b>	<b>82.82</b>	<b>90.38</b>

### 3.3. Evaluation Metrics

According to the valuation of LiTS in the existing literature, we employ mean Intersection over Union (mIoU) and Dice global score to evaluate the liver and tumor segmentation performance. In Equation (1), the accuracy, precision, sensitivity (i.e., recall), specificity, Intersection over Union (IoU), and Dice are shown as a criterion group to completely evaluate the experimental outcomes.

$$IoU = \frac{TP}{TP + FN + FP} \quad (1)$$

$$Dice = \frac{2 \times TP}{|TP + FN| + |TP + FP|}$$

where  $TP$  is the number of correctly predicted positive samples. Similar to  $TN$ ,  $FP$ , and  $FN$ , which indicate the number of negative samples that were accurately predicted, the number of negative samples that were predicted as positive, and the positive samples that were predicted as negative, respectively, we have  $TN$ ,  $FP$ , and  $FN$ .

### 3.4. Results

To evaluate semantic segmentation performance, we use mIoU and Dice as metrics for liver tumor segmentation of all methods. The comparison of the proposed CAFCT with previous advanced deep learning methods on the LiTS dataset is presented in Table 1. The mIoU and Dice of our proposed CAFCT are 82.82% and 90.38%, respectively. Compared with PVTFormer, ours achieved mIoU improvement of absolute 4.36% and 3.6% on the Dice evaluation metric. Experiment results show that our proposed methods can achieve better semantic segmentation performance than typical pure CNN or Transformer methods on liver tumor CT images.

## 4. CONCLUSION

We proposed a novel contextual and attentional fusion network CAFCT for liver tumor segmentation by efficiently combining features extracted from CNN and Transformer’s branches. The attentional feature fusion and attention gate modules are used to suppress irrelevant contextual information in the encoders and increase the weight of the liver tumor channel. Combined with the atrous spatial pyramid pooling module, our model improves the segmentation accuracy of tumor boundaries in different sizes. We conducted experiments on the LiTS dataset, compared with typical pure CNN or Transformer methods, experimental results showed that our method achieved better performance on liver tumor semantic segmentation.

## 5. REFERENCES

- [1] O. Ronneberger, P. Fischer, and T. Brox, "U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation," in *Proc. Med. Image Comput. Comput. Assist. Interv. (MICCAI)*, Munich, Germany, Oct. 5–9, 2015, pp. 234–241.
- [2] X. Li, H. Chen, Q. Dou, C.-W. Fu, and P.-A. Heng, "H-denseunet: Hybrid densely connected unet for liver and tumor segmentation from ct volumes," *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging*, vol. 37, no. 12, pp. 2663–2674, Dec. 2018.
- [3] M. Z. Alom, C. Yakopcic, M. Hasan, T. M. Taha, and V. K. Asari, "Recurrent residual u-net for medical image segmentation," *J. Med. Imaging*, vol. 6, no. 1, Mar. 2019, p. 014006.
- [4] L.-C. Chen, G. Papandreou, I. Kokkinos, K. Murphy, and A. L. Yuille, "Deeplab: semantic image segmentation with deep convolutional nets, atrous convolution, and fully connected crfs," *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 834–848, Apr. 2018.
- [5] L.-C. Chen, G. Papandreou, F. Schroff, and H. Adam, "Rethinking atrous convolution for semantic image segmentation," arXiv preprint, arXiv:1706.05587, Dec. 2017.
- [6] L.-C. Chen, Y. Zhu, G. Papandreou, F. Schroff, and H. Adam, "Encoder-decoder with strous separable convolution for semantic image segmentation," in *Proc. Eur. Conf. Comput. Vis. (ECCV)*, Munich, Germany, Sep. 8–14, 2018, pp. 833–851.
- [7] O. Oktay *et al.*, "Attention u-net: Learning where to look for the pancreas," in *Proc. Med. Imaging Deep Learn. (MIDL)*, Amsterdam, Netherlands, Jul. 4–6, 2018.
- [8] A. Vaswani *et al.*, "Attention is all you need," in *Proc. Neural Inf. Process. Syst. (NIPS)*, Long Beach, CA, USA, Dec. 4–9, 2017, pp. 6000–6010.
- [9] D. Jha *et al.*, "Ct liver segmentation via pvt-based encoding and refined decoding," arXiv preprint, arXiv:2401.09630 [eess.IV], Jan. 2024.
- [10] W. Wang *et al.*, "Pvt v2: Improved baselines with pyramid vision transformer," *Comput. Vis. Media*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 415–424, Sep. 2022.
- [11] Y. Dai, F. Gieseke, S. Oehmcke, Y. Wu, and K. Barnard, "Attentional feature fusion," in *Proc. IEEE Winter Conf. Appl. Comput. Vis. (WACV)*, Waikoloa, HI, USA, Jan. 3–8, 2021, pp. 3559–3568.
- [12] J. Hu, L. Shen, and G. Sun, "Squeeze-and-excitation networks," in *Proc. IEEE/CVF Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit. (CVPR)*, Salt Lake City, UT, USA, Jun. 18–23, 2018, pp. 7132–7141.
- [13] J. Schlemper *et al.*, "Attention-gated networks for improving ultrasound scan plan detection," in *Proc. Med. Imaging Deep Learn. (MIDL)*, Amsterdam, Netherlands, Jul. 4–6, 2018.
- [14] J. Schlemper *et al.*, "Attention gated networks: Learning to leverage salient regions in medical images," *Med. Image Anal.*, vol. 53, pp. 197–207, Apr. 2019.
- [15] P. Bilic *et al.*, "The liver tumor segmentation benchmark (lits)," *Med. Image Anal.*, vol. 84, Feb. 2023, p. 102680.