

Position Aware 60 GHz mmWave Beamforming for V2V Communications Utilizing Deep Learning

Muhammad Baqer Mollah¹, Honggang Wang², and Hua Fang³

¹Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Massachusetts Dartmouth, MA 02747

²Dept. of Graduate Computer Science and Engineering, Yeshiva University, NY 10016

³Dept. of Computer and Information Science, University of Massachusetts Dartmouth, MA 02747

Emails: mmollah@umassd.edu, honggang.wang@yu.edu, and hfang2@umassd.edu

Abstract—Beamforming techniques are considered as essential parts to compensate the severe path loss in millimeter-wave (mmWave) communications by adopting large antenna arrays and formulating narrow beams to obtain satisfactory received powers. However, performing accurate beam alignment over such narrow beams for efficient link configuration by traditional beam selection approaches, mainly relied on channel state information, typically impose significant latency and computing overheads, which is often infeasible in vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) communications like highly dynamic scenarios. In contrast, utilizing out-of-band contextual information, such as vehicular position information, is a potential alternative to reduce such overheads. In this context, this paper presents a deep learning-based solution on utilizing the vehicular position information for predicting the optimal beams having sufficient mmWave received powers so that the best V2V line-of-sight links can be ensured proactively. After experimental evaluation of the proposed solution on real-world measured mmWave sensing and communications datasets, the results show that the solution can achieve up to 84.58% of received power of link status on average, which confirm a promising solution for beamforming in mmWave at 60 GHz enabled V2V communications.

Index Terms—Beamforming, Connected and Autonomous Vehicles, Deep Learning, Millimeter-Wave Communications, Out-of-Band Information, Vehicle-to-Vehicle Communications.

I. INTRODUCTION

As a key enabler for communications technologies in connected and autonomous vehicles (CAVs) domain, the utilization of millimeter-Wave (mmWave) bands (e.g., 28 GHz and 57-71 GHz bands) has been promised to bring an abundance of spectrum resources [1], [2]. For example, in the context of cooperative perceptions like high-throughput and low latency demanding applications in CAVs, the connected vehicles mainly exchange a vast amount of 3D point cloud data from LiDAR sensors instead of lightweight textual data [3]. Realizing the high throughput and low latency benefits, mmWave communications enabled by vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) communications pave the way towards accessible and safe autonomous transportation systems.

This paper has been accepted to present at 2024 IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC), Denver, CO, USA.

©2024 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. Permission from IEEE must be obtained for all other uses, in any current or future media, including reprinting/republishing this material for advertising or promotional purposes, creating new collective works, for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or reuse of any copyrighted component of this work in other works.

On the other side, one inherent property of mmWave band is having high path attenuation of its signals, leading to drastically impact on the link quality performance degradation [4]. In particular, beamforming techniques are utilized to address this bottleneck, whereby massive antenna arrays are typically employed including formulating the narrow beams, thereby achieving desired high throughput from mmWave communications. Likewise, the narrow beams are expected to be aligned precisely and even required to re-direct in accordance with the environmental settings and any changes. Typically, in codebook based beamforming, the beam selection techniques are applied to find the optimal beams over a number of pre-defined beam codebooks.

In practice, with standard defined beam selection techniques, the vehicles usually select the best beam pairs through an exhaustive beam measurements process, which basically introduce computing and latency overheads while applying in highly dynamic moving vehicles. This overhead problem occurs mainly due to tight contact times (the time period of receiver's received the correct packets), frequent beam realignments, and change of channel state estimation to perform beam computing. Hence, research efforts are crucial to avoid beam misalignment along with reduced and reasonable overheads in order to take the fully potential benefits from mmWave communications.

Considering this challenge in vehicular settings, recent works have suggested effective approaches to configure the communications links through leveraging the out-of-band contextual information, which can be obtained from other lower bands (sub-6 GHz bands) [5], [6], RADAR communications bands [7]–[9], or extracted useful sensing information from on-board vehicular non-RF sensing devices [10]–[12]. For instance, deployment based the 3GPP 5G NR (New Radio) [13] and IEEE 802.11ad [14] standards might take around 10 milliseconds to select the best beams through searching over all beam directions and need to run over repeatedly when the vehicles move forward. In this regard, combining the surrounding perception capable side-information collected from the vehicle sensors and then, exchanging with sub-6 GHz channels has recently been demonstrated in work [15] as promising results in terms of decreasing the end-to-end latency, which helps to reduce 52.75% on average time in IEEE 802.11ad standard. Besides, another work in [16] has

observed regarding the beam search space by utilizing almost similar side-information, 80% and 50% on average overhead caused by beam selection can be reduced for 3GPP 5G NR and IEEE 802.11ad, respectively.

Over the recent years, several approaches pursuing efficient beamforming have been introduced based on the out-of-band position specific information. In particular, the solution in [17] has leveraged machine learning technique to investigate how position information can help to reduce the beam training overheads. Likewise, the work in [18] emphasizes on both location and orientation information to perform 3D beam selection by utilizing deep learning technique. Further, the authors in [19] have proposed an approach, where a multi-path fingerprint-based database is maintained which records fingerprints of necessary location information along with channel characteristics so that the knowledge of reliable beamforming can be known in advance. Besides, almost similar concepts have been utilized in [20] and [21]. In [20], the authors utilize spatially indexed historical information so that statistically significant beam sectors can be chosen in specific locations. This proposed work is particularly focused on IEEE 802.11ad standard with an aim of reducing the time of beam sweeping, thereby improving the available time for data communications. And, the work in [21] has utilized the information about spatial correlation of stronger channels in-between the receiver and sender at given locations so that the users are able to track the information beforehand to establish communication link quickly.

However, the aforementioned works are mainly limited to either for vehicle-to-infrastructure (V2I) communications or based on ray-tracing simulations, but utilizing real-world measured wireless data as well as considering the mobility of connected vehicles under V2V connectivity have not been investigated yet. With these limitations in mind, we introduce a solution for mmWave beamforming for V2V communications with the aid of a proposed deep learning model. Specifically, the deep learning model takes vehicular position information as input and predicts a subset of beams, that is, top- M beams, thus, significantly lowers down the beam search space. Different from other out-of-band enabled approaches, the proposed solution fully leverages the position data obtained from the global positioning sensors installed in the connected vehicles, subsequently, lowering down the complicity. Finally, we validate our proposed solution with experiments on real-world 60 GHz mmWave sensing and communications datasets, compare with state-of-the-art approach, and present the results in terms of two meaningful matrices, namely accuracies and received power ratios.

II. SYSTEM MODEL AND PROBLEM STATEMENT

In this section, we first present our considered system model, and then, we describe the problem statement.

A. System Model

In this work, we consider a mmWave communications system model operating at 60 GHz frequency band as de-

scribed in Fig. 1. here, the system model is comprised of a moving vehicle v_2 to provide communications services, e.g., cooperative perception, to another moving connected vehicle v_1 within its coverage area. The v_2 works as a transmitter T_x measures its own location information, that is, latitude and longitude, and shares the information with v_1 in real-time manner, while the v_1 collects and processes the received location information and works as a receiver R_x . Both vehicles, v_1 and v_2 , are connected with each other by vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) communication links.




Fig. 1: Illustration of considered mmWave enabled V2V communications system model.

The connected vehicle v_1 consists of N_R antenna array elements, fixed beam codebooks \mathcal{Q} , and each codebook describes particular beam orientation, consequently, has received power at every beams. The beam codebooks can be expressed as $\mathcal{Q} = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{|\mathcal{Q}|} : q_i \in \mathbb{C}^{N_R \times 1}\}$, where $|\mathcal{Q}|$ denotes the total number of beamforming vectors. Besides, the antenna array elements enable v_1 to perform beamforming so that it can obtain adequate received power. Whereas, the vehicle user v_2 has, for the purpose of simplicity, one antenna and assuming it is oriented always towards the v_1 . Thus, the total beamforming vector can be represented by $(\mathcal{Q} \times 1)$.

We further consider that OFDM is used in the downlink communications with \mathcal{N} subcarriers. For a given transmitted symbol $s_n \in \mathbb{C}$, the downlink received signal at n^{th} subcarrier at discrete time instant t can be written as:

$$x_n[t] = \mathbf{h}_n^T[t]q_i[t]s_n + \omega_n[t] \quad (1)$$

where, $\mathbf{h}_n[t] \in \mathbb{C}^{N_R \times 1}$ is the channel vectors between v_2 and v_1 and $\omega_n[t]$ is the received noise.

B. Problem Statement

The primary task of this work is to make optimal beams predictions from the received powers, and from the received signal $x_n[t]$, we can get the received power by summing over \mathcal{N} subcarriers at the v_1 side for the receiver codebook i as:

$$\mathcal{P}_i = \sum_{n=1}^{\mathcal{N}} |\mathbf{h}_n^T[t]q_i[t]s_n|^2 \quad (2)$$

Given the position sensing data and mmWave received power, determining the optimal beam q_i^* at the v_1 from

the beam codebook \mathcal{Q} is referred as maximizing the received power. However, if we convert beam codebooks $\mathcal{Q} = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{|\mathcal{Q}|}\}$ into a unique index as $\mathcal{I} \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, \mathcal{M} : \mathcal{M} \leq |\mathcal{Q}|\}$, predicting optimal beam from beam codebooks can be essentially equivalent to predicting optimal beam index. Then, we can formulate predicting the optimal beam problem as:

$$\mathcal{I}^* = \arg \max_{i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, |\mathcal{Q}|\}} \mathcal{P}_i \quad (3)$$

In this work, our goal is to predict a set of recommended beams $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2, \mathcal{I}_3, \dots, \mathcal{I}_M\}$ as top- M beams such that $\mathcal{I}^* \in \mathcal{B}$ as top-1 beam.

III. PROPOSED DEEP LEARNING MODEL

In this section, we describe the proposed solution by first discussing the data preprocessing steps, followed by elaborating the proposed deep learning model architecture.

A. Position Data Preprocessing

The raw position data captured from GPS sensors are basically in Decimal Degrees, specifically the latitude and longitude values are from -90° to $+90^\circ$ and -180° to $+180^\circ$, respectively. However, in our proposed solution as presented as next subsection, the position data will be fed into a deep learning model as input, and the input is desired to be fixed in size. In this regard, feeding raw position data directly may require developing deep learning model with high complexity in terms of architecture and computational cost. Thus, we first pass through the raw position data into a preprocessing step, namely data normalization, to make fit as well as accelerate the convergence of the proposed deep learning model. We then define the position matrix as $X_{pos}[t] \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 2}$, where N is the total number of samples, and the number 2 is due to having two values at each time instant t . After that, we calculate the normalized values of latitude x'_{lt} and longitude y'_{lg} at each rows of the matrix as: $(x'_{lt}, y'_{lg}) = ((x_{lt} - x_{lt_min}) / (x_{lt_max} - x_{lt_min}), (x_{lg} - x_{lg_min}) / (x_{lg_max} - x_{lg_min}))$, within Cartesian coordinate system, where x_{lt} and x_{lg} are the raw latitude and longitude values, while the *max* and *min* denote their maximum and minimum values, respectively. Finally, the revised matrix with normalized position data is denoted as $X'_{pos}[t]$, and g_t is considered as a variable representing the vector elements of $X'_{pos}[t]$.

B. Proposed Model Architecture

The designed architecture of proposed deep learning model for position data assisted mmWave beamforming task is presented in Fig. 2. The proposed model adopts a convolutional neural network architecture including four components: the convolutional blocks, a flatten layer, and the fully connected layers, followed by a softmax layer, and these components are elaborate as follows.

- *Convolutional Blocks:* The convolutional blocks, the key building blocks used in our proposed model, are mainly

made up of three convolutional blocks. These convolutional blocks are formed together with three sequence of 1D (one-dimensional) convolutional layers, however, each blocks are followed by a max-pooling layer.

- *Flatten Layer:* After the convolutional blocks, one flatten layer is employed to flatten the output received from the last convolutional block, while not effecting the batch size.

- *Fully Connected Layers:* Two fully connected layers are incorporated to process the outputs from the flatten layer.

- *Softmax Layer:* At last, the softmax layer is involved as last layer, where softmax activation function is applied on the output from flatten layer to transform the values into probability scores within the range $[0, 1]$ with total value 1, essentially, can be interpreted to eventually determine the top- M beams.

Given the sequence vector g_t as an input to the proposed model, the convolutional block ConvNetBlock₁ first compute it to extract the features by learning the hidden meaningful representations. This block is repeated two more times as ConvNetBlock₂ and ConvNetBlock₃ in the network to make enhanced feature extraction and improved performance. However, the max-pooling layers in both blocks contribute to downsize the feature maps while keeping the most significant features, leading to decreasing the risk of the model becoming overfitted. Then, the output from ConvNetBlock₃ is passed through the flatten layer. The overall process is presented as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= \text{ConvNetBlock}_1(g_t) \\ c_2 &= \text{ConvNetBlock}_2(c_1) \\ c_3 &= \text{ConvNetBlock}_3(c_2) \\ F &= \text{Flatten}(c_3), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where, c_1 , c_2 , and c_3 are the hidden vectors and F represents the result from the flatten layer. This resulting output F , after that, is forwarded to the two fully connected (dense) layers FCN₁ and FCN₂. The two fully connected layers together encompass a prediction by applying weights. If c'_1 and c'_2 denote the outputs from the two connected layers, respectively, we can describe as

$$\begin{aligned} c'_1 &= \text{FCN}_1(F) \\ c'_2 &= \text{FCN}_2(c'_1). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

In the end, softmax layer (Softmax) helps to give the final top- M beam prediction result as $\hat{\mathcal{I}} = \text{Softmax}(h'_2)$ by converting the unnormalized output from the connected layers into normalized values (a probability distribution). However, since our proposed model is particularly designed to predict the top- M beams, the cross-entropy is utilized as a loss function with an aim to minimize the loss, which can be calculated by

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{\mathcal{I}}, \mathcal{I}) = -\frac{1}{N_b} \sum_{k=0}^{N_b-1} \sum_{l=0}^{M-1} \mathcal{I}^{(k)} \log \hat{\mathcal{I}}^{(l)}, \quad (6)$$

where, N_b represents the number of samples in each batches, M denotes the total number of beam indices, $\mathcal{I}^{(k)}$




Fig. 2: Proposed deep learning model for mmWave beamforming.




Fig. 3: Visual representation of receiver vehicle's GPS location data points (400 samples) along with corresponding best beam indices out of 64 beams on Google Map satellite view.

is the ground truth beams indices for k^{th} sample, and $\hat{\mathcal{I}}^{(l)}$ is the predicted probabilities of beams indices by softmax for l^{th} sample. Further, we utilize a stochastic optimization technique namely adaptive moment estimation (Adam) [22] for faster convergence on minimizing the loss function while training the model.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section, we describe the results obtained from a series of experiments, followed by datasets description and implementation settings.

A. Datasets Description

In this work, we consider the DeepSense6G dataset [23], a collection of real-world mmWave sensing and communications measurements, to evaluate the effectiveness of our proposed top- M beam prediction solution presented in Section III. Specifically, following the consistency of our considered system model and formulated problem, we adopt scenario 36 (24,800 samples), scenario 37 (31,000 samples), scenario 38 (36,000 samples), and 39 (20,400 samples). These scenarios are captured with a testbed setup including two moving vehicles (namely, unit 1 and unit 2) deployed in diverse outdoor inter-city and urban scenarios having long and shorter distances, respectively, and the data is collected at Tempe, Phoenix, Scottsdale, and Chandler of Arizona during both day as well as night times.


Here, the unit 1 acts as a receiver R_x , whereas the another unit 2 acts as a transmitter T_x , and both are operating at 60 GHz carrier frequency. Besides, the unit 1 employs four

numbers of mmWave phased arrays, and each phased array has 16 elements ($N_R = 16$) uniform linear arrays (ULA) facing right, left, back, and front directions on the vehicle. Further, the number of pre-defined and over-sampled codebook beams at each phased array of unit 1 is 64 ($|\mathcal{Q}| = 64$), whereas the unit 2 has one antenna element, leading to total $64 \times 1 = 64$ beam pairs.


In particular, the unit 2 continually performs omnidirectional transmission by utilizing its one antenna element, at the same time, the unit 1 collects the measurements of received power at each beams by making a full beam sweeping. The obtained received power at beams are represented as beam indices ($\mathcal{I} \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 64\}$). Moreover, both the unit 1 and unit 2 carry GPS Real Time Kinematics sensors to obtain the real-time vehicle position data, i.e., latitude and longitude values. The data collection maintains a gap between two consecutive samples is 0.1 second, which makes sampling rate as 10 samples/second. At each time instant, the unit 1 records the synchronous data of location coordinates of unit 2 along with corresponding received powers and optimal beam indices (referred as ground truth indices). As an example, the Figs. 3a, b, c, and d illustrate the visual representation of 400 location data points of R_x corresponding to individual ground truth beams associated with maximum received powers for all V2V scenarios.

B. Implementation Details


We carry out two sets of experiments, for the designed deep learning model as well as a baseline work, on the considered real-world datasets. For the baseline work, we consider the




(a) Accuracies: For scenario 36 and scenario 37



(b) Accuracies: For scenario 38 and scenario 39



(c) Received power: For scenario 36 and scenario 37



(d) Received power: For scenario 38 and scenario 39

Fig. 4: Performance comparison of average achieved accuracies and received power ratio in percentage for all considered vehicle-to-vehicle scenarios.

work proposed in [19], and the key reason behind this choosing is because of having same beam search overhead with ours, that is, the overhead is dependent on M .

In particular, the baseline work consists of four steps for beam selection: (i) first, the receiver R_x divides the coverage zone into a number of uniform location bins, and contributing vehicles help to perform channels measurements so that the R_x can build a database including fingerprints of channel characteristics (e.g., received power strengths) and corresponding beams for specific locations bins in advance, (ii) then, the intended transmitter T_x makes a training request and a query along with its position information to the receiver R_x using sub-6GHz bands, (iii) after that, the R_x acknowledges and shares the candidate beams from its database to the T_x to initiate beam training, and (iv) at the end, upon received the acknowledgement, the T_x proceed to beam training following the candidate beams and establish communications over mmWave link after receiving the feedback on the best beam index (among the candidates) from the R_x .

Settings and Parameters: The experiments are conducted on a computing device having Intel Core i7-10875H CPU with 32 GB RAM and NVIDIA GeForce RTX 2080 Super GPU with 8 GB memory. In particular, the deep learning model is developed by PyTorch and CUDA toolkit 11.7.

The fixed learning rate and weight decay values of the utilized Adam optimizer are set to 0.01 and 1×10^{-4} , respectively, while the batch size N_b is 128, and the model is trained up to total 30 epochs. We split the data resources of

each scenario into 60%, 20%, and 20% for training, validation, and testing, respectively. We utilize this ratio in the proposed solution, whereas we use 80% for training and 20% for testing in the baseline work. Particularly, we keep the same testing part in both experiments for the purpose of fair comparison.

C. Performance Evaluation

For better analysis and illustration of the performance evaluation, we adopt the following two important metrics to measure the performances. Both matrices are averaged over total number of testing samples.

- *Top- M Accuracy:* The results of number of correct top- M predictions from the model during testing time in relation to the total number of predictions, which can be defined as follows.

$$Acc_{top-M} = \frac{1}{N_{test}} \sum_{i=0}^{N_{test}-1} \frac{|I_t^* \cap \hat{I}_t|}{|\hat{I}_t|}$$

where, N_{test} , I_t^* , and \hat{I}_t denote the number of test samples, optimal beams at t^{th} time, and top- M beams at t^{th} time, respectively.

- *Received Power Ratio:* The ratio between received power in downlink associated with predicted beams and received power from ground-truth beams. Intuitively, this metric in terms of percentage can represent how much percentage of received power can be possibly obtained from the link status. It can be defined as follows.

$$R_{\mathcal{P}_t} = \frac{1}{N_{test}} \sum_{i=0}^{N_{test}-1} \frac{\hat{\mathcal{P}}_t}{\mathcal{P}_t^{(gt)}}$$

where, $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_t$ and $\mathcal{P}_t^{(gt)}$ are the received power from predicted beams and ground-truth beams, respectively, at time instant t .

First, we compare the performance of this proposed solution with the baseline in terms of accuracy under Top- M beams, in particular, we choose the top beam candidates $M \in \{1, 5, 9, 13\}$. As depicted in Figs. 4a and 4b, we present the results for four scenarios, and it can be observed that our proposed solution outperforms the baseline almost at every top- M beam selection accuracies. For instance, with the same beam search overheads, the top-1 prediction in scenario 36 is performed nearly 19.67% increased average accuracy than the baseline, which is made possible by applying trained deep learning model. For another, we also depict the results of received power ratio performances in Figs. 4c and 4d. For example, the results show that with top-1 predicted beams, 84.58% received power ratio can be possibly achieved on average in scenario 36. All average results obtained from the experiments after running 5 times. While quantifying the end-to-end latency performance has already been explored in other works, our emphasis of this proposed solution lies in accomplishing the maximum received power from the communications links. The implementation codes are publicly available at Github¹.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have presented a proposed beam selection solution to improve the achieved downlink received power in vehicle-to-vehicle connectivity enabled by 60 GHz mmWave communications. In this solution, we have utilized deep learning model to learn from the out-of-band vehicular position information and predict the top- M beams (a subset of beams). This predicted beams has also helped to reduce the beam searching space, thereby addressing the beam searching overheads limitation of mmWave communications. At the end, experiments on real-world datasets have shown the effectiveness and applicability of the proposed solution, which has indicated the benefits of exploring the side-information in mmWave beamforming. As a future work, this work can be potentially extended by including multiple sensing information together and performing the model fusion.

Acknowledgment: This research is supported by National Science Foundation (NSF) under the grant number # 2010366.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. B. Mollah, H. Wang, M. A. Karim, and H. Fang, "mmWave enabled connected autonomous vehicles: A use case with V2V cooperative perception," *IEEE Network*, pp. 1–7, 2023.
- [2] G. Ciaramitaro, M. Brambilla, M. Nicoli, and U. Spagnolini, "Signalling design in sensor-assisted mmwave communications for cooperative driving," *IEEE Open Journal of Intelligent Transportation Systems*, 2023.
- [3] H. Ngo, H. Fang, and H. Wang, "Cooperative perception with V2V communication for autonomous vehicles," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 2023.

- [4] S. Sun, T. S. Rappaport, M. Shafi, P. Tang, J. Zhang, and P. J. Smith, "Propagation models and performance evaluation for 5G millimeter-wave bands," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 67, no. 9, pp. 8422–8439, 2018.
- [5] P. Kyösti, P. Zhang, A. Pärssinen, K. Haneda, P. Koivumäki, and W. Fan, "On the feasibility of out-of-band spatial channel information for millimeter-wave beam search," *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 2023.
- [6] M. Alrabeiah and A. Alkhateeb, "Deep learning for mmwave beam and blockage prediction using sub-6 GHz channels," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 68, no. 9, pp. 5504–5518, 2020.
- [7] A. Graff, Y. Chen, N. González-Prelcic, and T. Shimizu, "Deep learning-based link configuration for radar-aided multiuser mmwave vehicle-to-infrastructure communication," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 2023.
- [8] H. Luo, U. Demirhan, and A. Alkhateeb, "Millimeter wave V2V beam tracking using radar: Algorithms and real-world demonstration," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.01558*, 2023.
- [9] U. Demirhan and A. Alkhateeb, "Radar aided 6G beam prediction: Deep learning algorithms and real-world demonstration," in *2022 IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference (WCNC)*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 2655–2660.
- [10] J. Gu, L. Collins, D. Roy, A. Mokhtari, S. Shakkottai, and K. R. Chowdhury, "Meta-learning for image-guided millimeter-wave beam selection in unseen environments," in *ICASSP 2023-2023 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–5.
- [11] W. Xu, F. Gao, X. Tao, J. Zhang, and A. Alkhateeb, "Computer vision aided mmwave beam alignment in V2X communications," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 2699–2714, 2023.
- [12] J. Gu, B. Salehi, S. Pimple, D. Roy, and K. R. Chowdhury, "Tune: Transfer learning in unseen environments for V2X mmwave beam selection," in *IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–6.
- [13] X. Lin, "An overview of 5G advanced evolution in 3GPP release 18," *IEEE Communications Standards Magazine*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 77–83, 2022.
- [14] "Study group on IEEE 802.11ad (TGbd)," Accessed: July 2023, Available: <https://standards.ieee.org/ieee/802.11ad/4527/>.
- [15] B. Salehi, J. Gu, D. Roy, and K. Chowdhury, "FLASH: Federated learning for automated selection of high-band mmwave sectors," in *IEEE INFOCOM 2022-IEEE Conference on Computer Communications*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 1719–1728.
- [16] B. Salehi, G. Reus-Muns, D. Roy, Z. Wang, T. Jian, J. Dy, S. Ioannidis, and K. Chowdhury, "Deep learning on multimodal sensor data at the wireless edge for vehicular network," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 71, no. 7, pp. 7639–7655, 2022.
- [17] J. Morais, A. Behboodi, H. Peshki, and A. Alkhateeb, "Position-aided beam prediction in the real world: How useful GPS locations actually are?" in *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 1–6.
- [18] S. Rezaie, E. De Carvalho, and C. N. Manchón, "A deep learning approach to location-and orientation-aided 3D beam selection for mmwave communications," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 21, no. 12, pp. 11 110–11 124, 2022.
- [19] V. Va, J. Choi, T. Shimizu, G. Bansal, and R. W. Heath, "Inverse multipath fingerprinting for millimeter wave V2I beam alignment," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, vol. 67, no. 5, pp. 4042–4058, 2017.
- [20] M. Mattos, A. Rodrigues, R. Meireles, and A. Aguiar, "Geolocation-based sector selection for Vehicle-to-Infrastructure 802.11 ad communication," *Computer Communications*, vol. 193, pp. 224–233, 2022.
- [21] S. Khosravi, H. S. Ghadikolaei, J. Zander, and M. Petrova, "Location-aided beamforming in mobile millimeter-wave networks," in *2022 IEEE 95th Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC2022-Spring)*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 1–7.
- [22] D. Kingma and J. Ba, "Adam: A method for stochastic optimization," in *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, San Diego, CA, USA, 2015.
- [23] A. Alkhateeb, G. Charan, T. Osman, A. Hredzak, J. Morais, U. Demirhan, and N. Srinivas, "DeepSense 6G: A large-scale real-world multi-modal sensing and communication dataset," *IEEE Communications Magazine*, pp. 1–7, 2023.

¹<https://github.com/mbaquer/V2V-mmWave-Beamforming>