

eXplainable Bayesian Multi-Perspective Generative Retrieval

EuiYul Song^{1†} Philhoon Oh² Sangryul Kim² James Thorne²

¹Samsung Electronics, euiyul.song@samsung.com

²KAIST AI, {philhoonoh, sangryul, thorne}@kaist.ac.kr

Abstract

Modern deterministic retrieval pipelines prioritize achieving state-of-the-art performance but often lack interpretability in decision-making. These models face challenges in assessing uncertainty, leading to overconfident predictions. To overcome these limitations, we integrate uncertainty calibration and interpretability into a retrieval pipeline. Specifically, we introduce Bayesian methodologies and multi-perspective retrieval to calibrate uncertainty within a retrieval pipeline. We incorporate techniques such as LIME and SHAP to analyze the behavior of a black-box reranker model. The importance scores derived from these explanation methodologies serve as supplementary relevance scores to enhance the base reranker model. We evaluate the resulting performance enhancements achieved through uncertainty calibration and interpretable reranking on Question Answering and Fact Checking tasks. Our methods demonstrate substantial performance improvements across three KILT datasets.

1 Introduction

With the integration of Transformers in information retrieval, there are significant advancements in knowledge-intensive language tasks (Petroni et al., 2021). Nonetheless, the issue of bias in information retrieval poses challenges, encompassing information scarcity and overconfidence exhibited by models. These factors establish a glass ceiling in model accuracy, necessitating the use of extensive annotation and adversarial training.

For instance, obtaining extensive, high-quality annotated data (Raykar et al., 2010; Zaidan and Callison-Burch, 2011; Sabou et al., 2014) is crucial for debiasing and addressing overfitting concerns (Kaplan et al., 2020). However, the process of data annotation entails significant investments in




Figure 1: We add uncertainty calibration and explainability on the black box reranker system. We find that performance improves simply by applying two modules without a significant increase in inference latency.

time and resources for project management, Active Learning (Zhang et al., 2023), data generation, data labeling, data visualization, and validation (Thorne et al., 2018; Schuster et al., 2019; Smit et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2022a; Wang et al., 2023).

Adversarial attacks and probing (Ribeiro et al., 2018, 2020; Chen et al., 2020; Ivgi and Berant, 2021; Qi et al., 2021; Miyato et al., 2021; Perez et al., 2022; Hartvigsen et al., 2022; Shi et al., 2023) have been employed to enhance model generalization and robustness by introducing noise and addressing security concerns (Li et al., 2021). Nevertheless, adversarial training entails an increased computational cost, as it involves perturbation and carries the risk of overfitting to adversarial data.

To enhance the robustness of the existing retrieval pipeline while mitigating labeling and training costs, we introduce uncertainty calibration and explainability techniques. Our contributions are summarized as follows:

- We implement multi-perspective retrieval, combining results when grounding an answer, resulting in a 2.91% increase in downstream reader accuracy across three KILT datasets.

[†]Work performed while at KAIST AI.

- To address uncertainty in a reranker model, we employ Monte Carlo Dropout and Stochastic Weight Averaging. This calibration enhances reader accuracy by 0.77% without incurring additional training costs. Reranking is performed using importance feature scores from LIME and SHAP, leading to a 1.73% increase across two KILT datasets.
- We conduct uncertainty-aware imputation pre-training of a reader using Stochastic Weight Averaging and Jensen Shannon Divergence. This approach yields a 0.68% increase in downstream reader accuracy without introducing additional training and inference costs.

In summary, our approach encompasses reranking and reasoning using explainable feature scores, Bayesian deep learning, and multi-perspective retrieval. Together, these strategies significantly bolster the robustness of the retrieval pipeline. These advancements empower the retrieval pipeline to attain state-of-the-art performance, concurrently resulting in cost savings through reduced adversarial training time and decreased reliance on extensive data labeling.

2 Related Work

2.1 Explainability and Interpretability

With the increase in the complexity of neural network structures, it becomes difficult to discern the underlying rationale behind the model's outcomes. This phenomenon is often referred to as the "black box" problem (Hussain, 2019), and ongoing research has been dedicated to enhancing the explainability and interpretability of the model's outcomes.

One line of work to explain the model is to analyze the attention mechanism. Since attention calculates the distribution of the input, it can be viewed as an indicator (Kobayashi et al., 2020) or at least as an attribute to identify the important feature. (Brunner et al., 2020). However, the idea of using attention is criticized for its inconsistency, where attention distributions are misaligned even with the equivalent predictions (Jain and Wallace, 2019). Serrano and Smith 2019 demonstrate that attention weights do not always correlate with the model prediction, and Liu et al. 2022b asserts that attention-based techniques may not accurately measure the level of impact contributed by each feature.

Another line of work for analyzing deep learning models emphasizes the interpretability aspect.

Instead of directly analyzing the model itself, this approach involves training an auxiliary function, which is designed to provide explanations that offer insights into the behavior of the model. Therefore, the auxiliary function, often referred to as explanation models, should be simple enough for people to interpret the results (Ribeiro et al., 2016; Lundberg and Lee, 2017). Also, features extracted by explainers can be served as supplementary inputs for models or leverage to enhance the performance of the model. Thorne et al. 2019 demonstrate that explanations generated by LIME (Ribeiro et al., 2016) exhibit a higher level of similarity to human judgments compared to the attention thresholding approach in the Natural Language Inference task.

2.2 Bayesian Deep Learning

The field of Bayesian Deep Learning has witnessed extensive development, leveraging the probabilistic interpretation of deep learning models to estimate uncertainty. Variational Inference (Blundell et al., 2015; Blei et al., 2017; Kingma and Welling, 2019) has been extensively studied as a method to learn a probability distribution over the weights of a neural network. Concurrently, Stochastic Weight Averaging (Izmailov et al., 2018; Gupta et al., 2020) involves averaging neural network weights sampled during stochastic gradient descent (SGD) training, enhancing optimization towards improved generalization. Monte Carlo Dropout (Gal and Ghahramani, 2016) employs dropout in neural networks as a Bayesian approximation to calibrate uncertainty.

Snapshot Ensembling (Huang et al., 2017) undergoes multiple cycles of learning rate annealing during training, exploring and moving away from various local minima. It captures a snapshot at each minimum for ensemble use during test time. Fast Ensembling (Garipov et al., 2018) explores loss surfaces along trajectories of low loss using a cyclical learning rate schedule, subsequently averaging predictions from the traversed networks.

Deep Ensembles (Lakshminarayanan et al., 2017) entail training multiple deep neural networks with the same data and architectures but different initializations, followed by averaging their predictions to address model uncertainty. Gumbel Softmax (Jang et al., 2017), on the other hand, serves as a bridge between discrete and continuous spaces, providing a differentiable approximation for sampling from discrete distributions during training. The continuous evolution of these methods highlights the diversity within the Bayesian Deep Learn-

ing field, with each approach contributing unique value to uncertainty estimation.

2.3 Retrieval Augmented Language Models

Efficient document retrieval has long been dominated by statistical methods such as TF-IDF and BM25. With the rise of the Transformer era, new search methodologies like Dense Passage Retrieval (DPR) (Karpukhin et al., 2020), which leverages language model embeddings, as well as those employing Seq-to-Seq models (Lewis et al., 2020a; Raffel et al., 2020), have emerged.

RAG (Lewis et al., 2020b) integrates a pretrained retrieval with a pretrained Seq-to-Seq generator and demonstrates the feasibility of end-to-end fine-tuning. Building on this, Re2G (Glass et al., 2022) extends the work by adding a reranker, which merges contexts retrieved from ANN and BM25 indexes. Contriever (Izacard et al., 2022a) and Atlas (Izacard et al., 2022b) utilize contrastive learning, showcasing competitive results against existing models and displaying strong potential in unsupervised and multilingual retrieval tasks.

In this work, we extensively leverage the work of Song et al., 2024, which utilizes reinforcement and reranking in a generative retrieval model. Its architecture builds upon previous works (De Cao et al., 2020; Thorne, 2022), providing a comprehensive and extended approach to interpreting remaining spaces in the field of generative retrieval.

3 Method

We present multi-perspective retrieval, consolidating results from both Re3val (Song et al., 2024) and GENRE (De Cao et al., 2020) when formulating an answer. Additionally, we explore the impact of Monte Carlo Dropout, Stochastic Weight Averaging, Deep Ensemble, and Snapshot Ensemble on Re3val’s reranker. Introducing a novel reranking method, we utilize importance feature scores from LIME and SHAP as relevance scores. Furthermore, we undertake uncertainty-aware imputation pre-training for a reader, employing techniques such as Stochastic Weight Averaging and Jensen Shannon Divergence.

3.1 Bayesian Context Reranker

Re3val utilizes a deterministic binary classification approach in its context reranker. However, their analysis does not consider the impact of calibrating model uncertainty. To overcome this limitation, we explore various Bayesian Deep Learning

techniques: Deep Ensemble (Lakshminarayanan et al., 2017), Snapshot Ensemble (Huang et al., 2017), Stochastic Weight Averaging (Izmailov et al., 2018), and Monte Carlo Dropout (Gal and Ghahramani, 2016). Our objective is to assess the effects of these uncertainty calibration techniques on the performance of the context reranker.

3.1.1 Deep Ensemble

Let $x \in N^K$ represent the input token, and $y \in \{0, 1\}$ represent the corresponding binary label, where K denotes the vocabulary size. We consider an ensemble of context rerankers with M rerankers in the ensemble. The ensemble comprises parameters θ_m for $m = 1$ to M , and $p_{\theta}(y|x)$ represents the probabilistic distribution over labels.

During the training phase, we initialize the parameters $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_M$ with different random seeds. At test time, we combine the predictions by averaging their probabilistic distributions over labels as follows:

$$p(y|x) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M p_{\theta_m}(y|x, \theta_m) \quad (1)$$

3.1.2 Snapshot Ensemble

In the Snapshot Ensemble technique, we incorporate a cyclic annealing scheduler to adjust the learning rate during training. Let T denote the total number of training iterations, α_0 represent the initial learning rate, θ denote a parameter, and M signify a cycle. The cyclic annealing scheduler is defined as follows:

$$\alpha(t) = \frac{\alpha_0}{2} \left(\cos \left(\frac{\pi \text{mod}(t-1, \lceil T/M \rceil)}{\lceil T/M \rceil} \right) + 1 \right) \quad (2)$$

During testing, we average the prediction probabilities of the last 3 out of M cycles.

3.1.3 Stochastic Weight Averaging

To improve the stability of Re3val’s context reranker, we have implemented Stochastic Weight Averaging (SWA) on the model weights using the Adam optimizer. The weight-averaging formula is as follows:

$$w_{\text{SWA}} \leftarrow \frac{w_{\text{SWA}} \cdot n_{\text{models}} + w}{n_{\text{models}} + 1} \quad (3)$$

Here, w_{SWA} represents the averaged weights, n_{models} is the number of models included in the averaging, and w represents the weights of the current model being added to the average.

3.1.4 Monte Carlo Dropout

We utilize Monte Carlo Dropout, which randomly deactivates neurons in a neural network during training and inference. This approach differs from conventional dropout, which does not deactivate neurons during the inference stage. By applying dropout during inference, Monte Carlo Dropout samples from an approximate posterior distribution denoted as $q(\theta|D)$, where D represents the training examples. Using Monte Carlo Dropout, our prediction probabilities are modified accordingly.

$$p(y|x) \approx \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T p(y|x, \theta_t), \theta_t \sim q(\theta|D) \quad (4)$$

3.2 eXplainable Context Reranker

Reranking candidate passages plays a pivotal role in elevating the performance of knowledge-intensive downstream tasks. Ensuring the relevance of contexts to queries is crucial for achieving enhanced results. To assess the effectiveness of Re3val’s Context Reranker, we conducted an analysis of the visual representation of the context using LIME (Ribeiro et al., 2016), SHAP (Lundberg and Lee, 2017), and attention values. In Figure 2, LIME results indicate that Chainsmokers is the second most influential factor for the positive label given the query "who is singing in something just like this." Similar findings are observed with SHAP, as illustrated in Figure 3, where Chains emerges as the most influential factor for the prediction.

Contrary to these findings, attention scores in Figure 4 and Figure 5 do not provide information related to the prediction label. Each figure represents the average score of attention heads on the first and last layer, respectively. Individual attention scores are included in the Appendix A.3.

Following the analysis, we leverage features extracted from LIME and SHAP to assess their potential in enhancing downstream task performance. For LIME, features are extracted using the top-5 contexts, and the top-10 passages are subsequently reranked based on these features. Conversely, for SHAP, features are extracted from the top-1 passage due to its resource-intensive nature, and the top-10 passages are re-ranked accordingly.

3.3 Uncertainty Aware Fusion in Decoder

3.3.1 Pre-training

Re3val incorporates imputation pre-training (Re3val_I) as a solution to the sparsity challenge

posed by Missing Not At Random (MNAR) gold data. Nevertheless, exploring the impact of introducing stochasticity to reduce uncertainty in Re3val_I requires further investigation.

Our initial approach involves enhancing Re3val_I through Stochastic Weight Averaging (SWA) while intentionally introducing asymmetry in the number of particles between gold and imputed contexts. Subsequently, we aim to examine the effects of particle symmetry during imputation pre-training by ensuring an equal distribution of imputed and gold data samples in the SWA process.

Furthermore, minimizing the dissimilarity between the imputed and gold data distributions in our training objective can further reduce entropy. Let f_θ represent FiD (Izacard and Grave, 2020), x_i denote imputed contexts, z_i signify gold contexts, M represent the vocabulary size, g_ϕ represent the FiD encoder, $P = \frac{e^{g_\phi(x_i)}}{e^{g_\phi(x_i)} + e^{g_\phi(z_i)}}$, and $Q = \frac{e^{g_\phi(z_i)}}{e^{g_\phi(x_i)} + e^{g_\phi(z_i)}}$. Our training objective for pre-training becomes:

$$L(\theta) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M y_i \log f_\theta(x_i, z_i) + JSD(P||Q) \quad (5)$$

Here, JSD (Jensen-Shannon Divergence) (Menéndez et al., 1997) is defined as:

$$JSD = H\left(\frac{1}{2}(P + Q)\right) - \frac{1}{2}(H(P) + H(Q)) \quad (6)$$

In the above equation, $H(X) = -\sum_{x \in X} x \log(x)$.

3.3.2 Multi-Perspective Retrieval

Re3val offers empirical support for the idea that mutual information at the retrieval level diminishes entropies and aids in the reranking process. However, the influence of mutual information at the reader level necessitates additional exploration. Additionally, the inclusion of correctly added passages containing detrimental information leads to alterations in the reader model’s predictions (Oh and Thorne, 2023). In an effort to investigate this phenomenon, we retrieve page titles from two indexes, namely GENRE and Re3val, to assess the impact of mutual information during reasoning. Mathematically, we express the impact as follows:

$$I(X; Y) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} P(x, y) \log \frac{P(x, y)}{P(x)P(y)} \quad (7)$$

In this equation, X represents the top-2 reranked GENRE contexts, Y represents the top-3 Re3val



Figure 2: LIME visualization of the binary context reranker. Among the analyzed features, **Chainsmokers** appears to be the second most positive feature following SEP.




Figure 3: SHAP visualization for the binary context reranker reveals that the feature **Chains** has the most significant impact on determining the context as relevant.




Figure 4: Average attention score on the first layer.




Figure 5: Averaged attention score on the last layer.

contexts. By examining the combined likelihood of GENRE and Re3val contexts, the mutual information $I(X; Y)$ enables us to understand the interdependence of these variables. Consequently, our reader utilizes this mutual information to minimize uncertainty in Re3val and GENRE contexts, thereby enhancing the overall reader performance.

Additionally, we generate a contrastive version of each query to evaluate whether the contexts retrieved using the contrastive query can reduce the entropies of the contexts obtained with the original query while reading.

4 Experiment

4.1 Data

To facilitate the training of the Bayesian Context Reranker and the execution of FiD pre-training, we utilize a dataset comprising 48,000 instances sourced from Natural Questions (Kwiatkowski et

al., 2019), HotpotQA (Yang et al., 2018), and FEVER (Thorne et al., 2018), which is part of the KILT dataset (Petroni et al., 2021). These instances are uniformly sampled for training purposes. Additionally, for asymmetric SWA pre-training in conjunction with FiD, we integrate an additional 48,800 instances uniformly sampled from WoW (Dinan et al., 2019) and TriviaQA (Joshi et al., 2017). A detailed summary of the training and development data quantities is in Table 6.

4.1.1 Contrastive Query Generation

For contrastive query generation explained in Section 3.4.2, we use OpenAI gpt-3.5-turbo (Brown et al., 2020) to generate a new query with the prefix "Generate a contrastive version of the following query. Output: ". For instance, the query "When did the new Maze Runner movie come out?" augments to "When is the release date of the old Maze Runner movie?".

4.1.2 Contexts

We use GENRE (De Cao et al., 2020) pre-trained¹ on 11 KILT (Petroni et al., 2021) datasets to retrieve the top 5 page titles with a query and a contrastive query. Furthermore, we utilize Re3val to retrieve other top-5 page titles with a query. Following the retrieval process, we individually input the page titles from each index into the Re3val Context Reranker to obtain the top 5 contexts related to a query.

4.2 Hyperparameters

The standard hyperparameter configurations and hardware settings utilized for all tasks are delineated in Table 5.

4.3 Metrics

Evaluation metrics for downstream reading tasks vary based on the specific task at hand. For instance, question-answering tasks are assessed using metrics like Exact Match (EM) and F1 scores, while dialogue tasks utilize measures such as ROUGE-L and F1 scores. Moreover, fact-checking tasks are evaluated using the Accuracy (AC) score.

4.4 Bayesian Context Reranker

We employ three samples for averaging probabilities in Deep Ensemble, Snapshot Ensemble, and Monte Carlo Dropout. In the case of stochastic weight averaging, we average the weights over Adam’s entire trajectory on a cross-encoder (Devlin et al., 2019). The outcomes of all these variations are then fed into the Re3val_r, trained on T5-large (Raffel et al., 2020). This model is trained with five contexts, including gold contexts and imputed DPR contexts from the DPR multi-set².

4.5 eXplainable Context Reranker

To evaluate the effectiveness of the explainers as feature extractors, we conduct experiments using LIME³ and SHAP⁴ implemented by authors. As these modules involve sampling, they are resource-intensive. To address this issue, we limit the number of contexts used for feature extraction to top-5 for LIME and top-1 for SHAP. Then we construct a feature score dictionary, where tokens serve as keys, and the values are corresponding scores calculated by each module. Lastly, the top 10 contexts

are sorted by aggregating the scores of features presented in each context. For LIME, we extract 10 features using 150 samplings while we employ the default settings for SHAP.

4.6 Uncertainty Aware Fusion in Decoder

4.6.1 Pre-training

To augment Re3val’s Fusion in Decoder pre-training through the introduction of stochasticity, we have employed a symmetrical technique for integrating both gold and DPR contexts. Our methodology entails initially inputting three gold contexts, succeeded by three DPR contexts. In cases where an instance possesses fewer than three gold contexts, we exclusively provide a query without a context for the remaining N encoders, with N calculated as the difference between 3 and the available number of gold contexts for that specific instance. Subsequently, we compute the average weights from checkpoints over the last 30% of the training budget.

4.6.2 Multi-Perspective Retrieval

We assess the impact on performance by combining the contexts from GENRE with a query and contrastive query and the contexts from Re3val with a query. In total, we consider reading five contexts. During inference, we use the pre-trained FiD model that was trained using five contexts, including the gold contexts and imputed DPR contexts for a query with less than five gold contexts as Re3val (Song et al., 2024). All the contexts above are concatenated with the query and the page title.

5 Result

5.1 Bayesian Context Reranker

Due to time and resource limitations, we were unable to incorporate uncertainty metrics such as negative log-likelihood, expected calibration error (Guo et al., 2017), and Brier score (Lakshminarayanan et al., 2017) into our evaluation of Bayesian Context Reranker variants. Nevertheless, our results in Table 1 indicate an increase in reader performance when utilizing retrieved contexts from all our Bayesian Context Reranker variants, except for the one using Snapshot Ensemble. This finding suggests that our uncertainty calibration methodologies, excluding the Snapshot Ensemble, effectively enhance the reranking function. It is worth noting that all the variants, except Deep Ensemble, do not require additional training time and memory. Thus,

¹<https://huggingface.co/facebook/genre-kilt>

²<https://dl.fbaipublicfiles.com/dpr/checkpoint/retriever/multiset>

³<https://github.com/marcotcr/lime>

⁴<https://github.com/slundberg/shap>

Dataset	QA				FC	AVG
	NQ		HoPo		FEV	
Model	EM	F-1	EM	F-1	AC	
Re3val _I	34.58	44.02	32.25	42.56	78.00	48.28
+Lime	35.21	45.09	28.25	38.43	79.10	47.52
+Shapley	36.38	46.32	26.30	35.93	79.66	47.45
+Deep Ensemble	34.90	44.87	32.37	42.76	78.29	48.52
+Snapshot Ensemble	33.87	43.82	32.21	42.64	78.70	48.26
+Stochastic Weight Averaging	35.04	44.67	32.48	42.94	78.42	48.64
+Monte Carlo Dropout	36.34	45.92	32.32	42.60	78.49	49.05

Table 1: Effect of Bayesian and eXplainable Context Reranking on the downstream reading task. Evaluation is conducted on the pre-trained Re3val_I without any fine-tuning. LIME, SHAP, Deep Ensemble, Snapshot Ensemble, Stochastic Weight Averaging, and Monte Carlo Dropout are applied to the Re3val context reranker.

Dataset	NQ		QA HoPo		TQA		FC	Dial WoW		AVG
	EM	F-1	EM	F-1	EM	F-1	AC	RL	F-1	
Re3val _I	60.10	70.70	51.20	64.05	60.60	75.43	94.50	24.79	24.76	58.24
+SWA	58.60	69.71	39.40	57.18	59.90	74.77	95.10	25.31	25.26	55.66

Table 2: The development set outcomes for Re3val_I pre-training with SWA with sample asymmetry. The evaluation involved 5 contexts, comprising gold and imputed DPR contexts for queries with fewer than 5 gold contexts. Notably, the performance of SWA degrades when there is an asymmetry in the count between gold and DPR contexts.

these methodologies are promising for advancing the next generation of retrieval pipelines.

5.2 Explainable Feature Reranker

The downstream performances for using LIME and SHAP for reranking the contexts are described in Table 1. Surprisingly, SHAP achieves the highest score in the Natural Question and FEVER dataset, followed by Monte Carlo Dropout and LIME. These results suggest that explainer models are effective in identifying relevant features existing in the contexts. However, in the case of HotPotQA, neither LIME nor SHAP performs as well as other approaches. We speculate that this discrepancy is due to the multi-hop nature of HotPotQA, where relevant information is not confined to a single passage. Consequently, extracting features independently from a single context may fail to capture crucial features in such scenarios.

5.3 Uncertainty Aware Fusion in Decoder

5.3.1 Pre-training

Stochastic Weight Averaging with asymmetry between gold and imputed samples does not yield the desired performance improvements according to Table 2. We hypothesize that the uneven number of particles between the imputed and gold data introduces bias during the model averaging.

Specifically, when there are more imputed data samples than gold data samples during the reading phase, the imputed data can disproportionately influence the final weights through model averaging. This imbalance can negatively impact performance since the imputed data may not be relevant to the query. We ensure equal imputed and gold data samples during SWA to mitigate this issue.

Table 3 presents compelling evidence of consistent performance improvement when employing Stochastic Weight Averaging on Re3val_I with an equal number of particles derived from two distinct data distributions. This equitable allocation of particles ensures a balanced influence from both data sources on the final model averaging weights, effectively mitigating potential biases in imputed contexts.

In addition, incorporating Jensen-Shannon Divergence (JSD) between probability distributions of two encoded contexts in the Fusion in Decoder facilitates capturing similarity and distribution alignment. Our loss function (6) promotes more confident predictions when reading contexts from the two distributions. Significantly, as evidenced by the results in Table 3, the inclusion of JSD leads to improved reasoning capabilities, further highlighting the effectiveness of Jensen-Shannon Divergence in boosting confidence when processing samples

Dataset	NQ		QA		FC	
	EM	F-1	EM	F-1	AC	AVG
Re3val _I	60.32	71.4	58.65	73.22	92.05	70.34
+JSD	60.47	71.52	59.03	73.48	92.68	70.73
+SWA	60.75	<u>72.00</u>	59.30	<u>73.57</u>	<u>92.73</u>	<u>70.93</u>
+JSD+SWA	<u>60.72</u>	72.11	59.53	73.75	92.82	71.02

Table 3: Development set results for our Uncertainty Aware FiD Pre-training Strategy. Evaluated with 3 gold and 3 imputed contexts per query, using the same pre-processing strategy as in Section 3.3.1

Dataset	Model	ICl	NQ		QA		FC	
			EM	F-1	EM	F-1	AC	AVG
Re3val		5	34.58	44.02	32.25	42.56	78.00	48.28
GENRE		5	31.05	40.00	24.96	34.17	78.60	44.87
-GENRE		5	31.27	39.91	24.32	33.27	79.49	45.03
GENRE; -GENRE		3;2	35.25	44.81	26.73	36.38	79.59	46.19
Re3val;GENRE		3;2	<u>39.23</u>	49.37	34.02	44.78	80.03	<u>51.09</u>
Re3val;GENRE; -GENRE		2;2;1	39.62	<u>49.31</u>	34.11	44.77	<u>79.85</u>	51.19

Table 4: Development set results on a Reader with contexts retrieved from different indices. ICl indicates the number of contexts used for reading, with a separator ";" separating the number of contexts from the corresponding index. "-" indicates the utilization of contexts retrieved with a contrastive query.

from different distributions.

5.3.2 Multi-Perspective Retrieval

The evidence presented in Table 4 strongly supports a substantial improvement in downstream reader performance by integrating information from Re3val and GENRE contexts. This enhancement demonstrates the mutually complementary nature of the information obtained from both indexes, effectively reducing uncertainty. Additionally, combining contexts retrieved using both a contrastive query and a regular query leads to reduced entropies associated with their respective contexts, as evidenced by the performance results. These findings also highlight the effectiveness of Re3val’s context reranker in accurately reranking the relevant contexts at the top.

6 Conclusion

Our study emphasizes the crucial role of uncertainty calibration and interpretability in strengthening the robustness of information retrieval pipelines. By leveraging Bayesian methodologies such as Stochastic Weight Averaging and Monte Carlo Dropout, we achieved a notable improvement in performance for a deterministic cross-encoder reranker across three KILT datasets without incurring additional training time. Furthermore, incorpo-

rating LIME and SHAP as relevance measures for the cross-encoder reranker resulted in a significant performance boost across the two datasets. Our implementation of the Stochastic FiD pre-training strategy and multi-perspective retrieval surpasses the performance of the existing base model but also demonstrates our pioneering use of uncertainty calibration when grounding an answer. This innovative approach contributes to a deeper understanding of uncertainty calibration and interpretability, promoting the development of more robust information retrieval systems.

Limitations

While our contribution is sound and clear, there exists a challenge in incorporating explainable modules like LIME and SHAP. As Thorne et al. 2019 points out, the computational cost of generating explanations for black-box models is considerably high, making it impractical for test time inference. Additionally, in the multi-hop setting, these techniques demonstrate lower performance compared to other methods. Therefore, further research is required to explore the integration of black-box explainers into multi-hop environments.

Ethics Statement

We make use of datasets sourced from Natural Questions, TriviaQA, HotpotQA, FEVER, and Wizard of Wikipedia. These datasets play a crucial role in the KILT benchmark and are derived from the KILT knowledge source, based on the English Wikipedia dump on August 1st, 2019. It’s important to note that these datasets might include instances of inaccurate or misunderstood information, leading to the potential generation of biased, toxic, or fabricated content. Furthermore, the employment of language models like T5 and BERT during training introduces ethical risks embedded within the internal parameters of these models. As a result, researchers should exercise caution when utilizing our paper and its outputs, establishing appropriate policies to address potential ethical risks that may arise when deploying these models in real-world production scenarios.

Acknowledgement

We appreciate ChatGPT 3.5’s assistance in correcting writing errors.

References

- David M Blei, Alp Kucukelbir, and Jon D McAuliffe. 2017. Variational inference: A review for statisticians. *Journal of the American statistical Association*, 112(518):859–877.
- Charles Blundell, Julien Cornebise, Koray Kavukcuoglu, and Daan Wierstra. 2015. [Weight uncertainty in neural networks](#).
- Tom B. Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Sandhini Agarwal, Ariel Herbert-Voss, Gretchen Krueger, Tom Henighan, Rewon Child, Aditya Ramesh, Daniel M. Ziegler, Jeffrey Wu, Clemens Winter, Christopher Hesse, Mark Chen, Eric Sigler, Mateusz Litwin, Scott Gray, Benjamin Chess, Jack Clark, Christopher Berner, Sam McCandlish, Alec Radford, Ilya Sutskever, and Dario Amodei. 2020. [Language models are few-shot learners](#).
- Gino Brunner, Yang Liu, Damián Pascual, Oliver Richter, Massimiliano Ciaramita, and Roger Wattenhofer. 2020. [On identifiability in transformers](#).
- Luoxin Chen, Weitong Ruan, Xinyue Liu, and Jianhua Lu. 2020. [SeqVAT: Virtual adversarial training for semi-supervised sequence labeling](#). In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 8801–8811, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Nicola De Cao, Gautier Izacard, Sebastian Riedel, and Fabio Petroni. 2020. Autoregressive entity retrieval. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.00904*.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. [Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding](#).
- Yarin Gal and Zoubin Ghahramani. 2016. Dropout as a bayesian approximation: Representing model uncertainty in deep learning. In *Proceedings of the 33rd International Conference on International Conference on Machine Learning - Volume 48, ICML’16*, page 1050–1059. JMLR.org.
- Timur Garipov, Pavel Izmailov, Dmitrii Podoprikin, Dmitry P Vetrov, and Andrew G Wilson. 2018. Loss surfaces, mode connectivity, and fast ensembling of dnns. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 31.
- Michael Glass, Gaetano Rossiello, Md Faisal Mahbub Chowdhury, Ankita Naik, Pengshan Cai, and Alfio Gliozzo. 2022. [Re2G: Retrieve, rerank, generate](#). In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 2701–2715, Seattle, United States. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Chuan Guo, Geoff Pleiss, Yu Sun, and Kilian Q. Weinberger. 2017. [On calibration of modern neural networks](#).
- Vipul Gupta, Santiago Akle Serrano, and Dennis DeCoste. 2020. [Stochastic weight averaging in parallel: Large-batch training that generalizes well](#).
- Thomas Hartvigsen, Saadia Gabriel, Hamid Palangi, Maarten Sap, Dipankar Ray, and Ece Kamar. 2022. [ToxiGen: A large-scale machine-generated dataset for adversarial and implicit hate speech detection](#). In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 3309–3326, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Gao Huang, Yixuan Li, Geoff Pleiss, Zhuang Liu, John E. Hopcroft, and Kilian Q. Weinberger. 2017. [Snapshot ensembles: Train 1, get m for free](#). In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Jabbar Hussain. 2019. Deep learning black box problem.
- Maor Ivgi and Jonathan Berant. 2021. [Achieving model robustness through discrete adversarial training](#). In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 1529–1544, Online and Punta Cana, Dominican Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Gautier Izacard, Mathilde Caron, Lucas Hosseini, Sebastian Riedel, Piotr Bojanowski, Armand Joulin, and Edouard Grave. 2022a. Unsupervised dense

- information retrieval with contrastive learning. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2112.09118.pdf>.
- Gautier Izacard and Edouard Grave. 2020. Leveraging passage retrieval with generative models for open domain question answering. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2007.01282*.
- Gautier Izacard, Patrick Lewis, Maria Lomeli, Lucas Hosseini, Fabio Petroni, Timo Schick, Jane Dwivedi-Yu, Armand Joulin, Sebastian Riedel, and Edouard Grave. 2022b. Atlas: Few-shot learning with retrieval augmented language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv*, 2208.
- Pavel Izmailov, Dmitrii Podoprikin, Timur Garipov, Dmitry Vetrov, and Andrew Gordon Wilson. 2018. Averaging weights leads to wider optima and better generalization. In *34th Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence 2018, UAI 2018*, 34th Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence 2018, UAI 2018, pages 876–885. Association For Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence (AUAI). Funding Information: Acknowledgements. This work was supported by NSF IIS-1563887, Samsung Research, Samsung Electronics and Russian Science Foundation grant 17-11-01027. We also thank Vadim Bereznyuk for helpful comments. Funding Information: This work was supported by NSF IIS-1563887, Samsung Research, Samsung Electronics and Russian Science Foundation grant 17-11-01027. We also thank Vadim Bereznyuk for helpful comments. Publisher Copyright: © 34th Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence 2018. All rights reserved.; 34th Conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence 2018, UAI 2018 ; Conference date: 06-08-2018 Through 10-08-2018.
- Sarthak Jain and Byron C. Wallace. 2019. [Attention is not explanation](#).
- Eric Jang, Shixiang Gu, and Ben Poole. 2017. [Categorical reparameterization with gumbel-softmax](#). In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Jared Kaplan, Sam McCandlish, Tom Henighan, Tom B. Brown, Benjamin Chess, Rewon Child, Scott Gray, Alec Radford, Jeffrey Wu, and Dario Amodei. 2020. [Scaling laws for neural language models](#).
- Vladimir Karpukhin, Barlas Oguz, Sewon Min, Patrick Lewis, Ledell Wu, Sergey Edunov, Danqi Chen, and Wen-tau Yih. 2020. [Dense passage retrieval for open-domain question answering](#). In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 6769–6781, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Diederik P. Kingma and Max Welling. 2019. [An introduction to variational autoencoders](#). *Foundations and Trends® in Machine Learning*, 12(4):307–392.
- Goro Kobayashi, Tatsuki Kuribayashi, Sho Yokoi, and Kentaro Inui. 2020. [Attention is not only a weight: Analyzing transformers with vector norms](#). In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 7057–7075, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Balaji Lakshminarayanan, Alexander Pritzel, and Charles Blundell. 2017. Simple and scalable predictive uncertainty estimation using deep ensembles. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30.
- Mike Lewis, Yinhan Liu, Naman Goyal, Marjan Ghazvininejad, Abdelrahman Mohamed, Omer Levy, Veselin Stoyanov, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2020a. [BART: Denoising sequence-to-sequence pre-training for natural language generation, translation, and comprehension](#). In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 7871–7880, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Patrick Lewis, Ethan Perez, Aleksandra Piktus, Fabio Petroni, Vladimir Karpukhin, Naman Goyal, Heinrich Küttler, Mike Lewis, Wen-tau Yih, Tim Rocktäschel, et al. 2020b. Retrieval-augmented generation for knowledge-intensive nlp tasks. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33:9459–9474.
- Dianqi Li, Yizhe Zhang, Hao Peng, Liqun Chen, Chris Brockett, Ming-Ting Sun, and Bill Dolan. 2021. [Contextualized perturbation for textual adversarial attack](#). In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 5053–5069, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Alisa Liu, Swabha Swayamdipta, Noah A. Smith, and Yejin Choi. 2022a. [WANLI: Worker and AI collaboration for natural language inference dataset creation](#). In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2022*, pages 6826–6847, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yibing Liu, Haoliang Li, Yangyang Guo, Chenqi Kong, Jing Li, and Shiqi Wang. 2022b. [Rethinking attention-model explainability through faithfulness violation test](#).
- Scott M Lundberg and Su-In Lee. 2017. [A unified approach to interpreting model predictions](#). In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 30. Curran Associates, Inc.
- M.L. Menéndez, J.A. Pardo, L. Pardo, and M.C. Pardo. 1997. [The jensen-shannon divergence](#).
- Takeru Miyato, Andrew M. Dai, and Ian Goodfellow. 2021. [Adversarial training methods for semi-supervised text classification](#).
- Philhoon Oh and James Thorne. 2023. [Detrimental contexts in open-domain question answering](#). In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023*, pages 11589–11605, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Ethan Perez, Saffron Huang, Francis Song, Trevor Cai, Roman Ring, John Aslanides, Amelia Glaese, Nat McAleese, and Geoffrey Irving. 2022. [Red teaming language models with language models](#). In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 3419–3448, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Fabio Petroni, Aleksandra Piktus, Angela Fan, Patrick Lewis, Majid Yazdani, Nicola De Cao, James Thorne, Yacine Jernite, Vladimir Karpukhin, Jean Maillard, Vassilis Plachouras, Tim Rocktäschel, and Sebastian Riedel. 2021. [KILT: a benchmark for knowledge intensive language tasks](#). In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 2523–2544, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Fanchao Qi, Yangyi Chen, Xurui Zhang, Mukai Li, Zhiyuan Liu, and Maosong Sun. 2021. [Mind the style of text! adversarial and backdoor attacks based on text style transfer](#). In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 4569–4580, Online and Punta Cana, Dominican Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Colin Raffel, Noam Shazeer, Adam Roberts, Katherine Lee, Sharan Narang, Michael Matena, Yanqi Zhou, Wei Li, and Peter J Liu. 2020. Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 21(1):5485–5551.
- Vikas C. Raykar, Shipeng Yu, Linda H. Zhao, Gerardo Hermosillo Valadez, Charles Florin, Luca Bogni, and Linda Moy. 2010. [Learning from crowds](#). *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 11(43):1297–1322.
- Marco Tulio Ribeiro, Sameer Singh, and Carlos Guestrin. 2016. ["why should i trust you?": Explaining the predictions of any classifier](#).
- Marco Tulio Ribeiro, Sameer Singh, and Carlos Guestrin. 2018. [Semantically equivalent adversarial rules for debugging NLP models](#). In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 856–865, Melbourne, Australia. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Marco Tulio Ribeiro, Tongshuang Wu, Carlos Guestrin, and Sameer Singh. 2020. [Beyond accuracy: Behavioral testing of NLP models with CheckList](#). In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 4902–4912, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Marta Sabou, Kalina Bontcheva, Leon Derczynski, and Arno Scharl. 2014. [Corpus annotation through crowdsourcing: Towards best practice guidelines](#). In *Proceedings of the Ninth International Conference on Language Resources and Evaluation (LREC'14)*, pages 859–866, Reykjavik, Iceland. European Language Resources Association (ELRA).
- Tal Schuster, Darsh Shah, Yun Jie Serene Yeo, Daniel Roberto Filizzola Ortiz, Enrico Santus, and Regina Barzilay. 2019. [Towards debiasing fact verification models](#). In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, pages 3419–3425, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Sofia Serrano and Noah A. Smith. 2019. [Is attention interpretable?](#)
- Freda Shi, Xinyun Chen, Kanishka Misra, Nathan Scales, David Dohan, Ed Chi, Nathanael Schärli, and Denny Zhou. 2023. [Large language models can be easily distracted by irrelevant context](#).
- Akshay Smit, Saahil Jain, Pranav Rajpurkar, Anuj Pareek, Andrew Ng, and Matthew Lungren. 2020. [Combining automatic labelers and expert annotations for accurate radiology report labeling using BERT](#). In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 1500–1519, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- EuiYul Song, Sangryul Kim, Haeju Lee, Joonkee Kim, and James Thorne. 2024. [Re3val: Reinforced and reranked generative retrieval](#).
- James Thorne. 2022. [Data-efficient auto-regressive document retrieval for fact verification](#). In *Proceedings of The Third Workshop on Simple and Efficient Natural Language Processing (SustaiNLP)*, pages 44–51, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates (Hybrid). Association for Computational Linguistics.
- James Thorne, Andreas Vlachos, Christos Christodoulopoulos, and Arpit Mittal. 2018. [FEVER: a large-scale dataset for fact extraction and VERification](#). In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long Papers)*, pages 809–819, New Orleans, Louisiana. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- James Thorne, Andreas Vlachos, Christos Christodoulopoulos, and Arpit Mittal. 2019. [Generating token-level explanations for natural language inference](#).
- Yizhong Wang, Hamish Ivison, Pradeep Dasigi, Jack Hessel, Tushar Khot, Khyathi Raghavi Chandu, David Wadden, Kelsey MacMillan, Noah A. Smith, Iz Beltagy, and Hannaneh Hajishirzi. 2023. [How far can camels go? exploring the state of instruction tuning on open resources](#).

- Omar F. Zaidan and Chris Callison-Burch. 2011. [Crowdsourcing translation: Professional quality from non-professionals](#). In *Proceedings of the 49th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 1220–1229, Portland, Oregon, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Zhisong Zhang, Emma Strubell, and Eduard Hovy. 2023. [A survey of active learning for natural language processing](#).

A Appendix

A.1 Hyperparameters

Configuration	Context Reranker	FiD
parameters	110M	770M
base model	bert-base	t5-large
runs	single	single
learning rate	5e-5	1e-4
scheduler	linear	constant
warmup ratio	0	0
eval steps ratio	10%	10%
batch size	1200*	32*
max seq length	250*	250*
max target length	50	50
epoch	4	5*
train beam size	1	1
eval beam size	1	1
dropout rate	0	0
optimizer	AdamW	AdamW
gpu	A100	A100
early stopping steps	4	4

Table 5: The hyperparameter and hardware configurations employed in our study have been detailed earlier. Instances marked with asterisks (*) signify variations specifically applied to the WoW dataset. For WoW, we trained with a maximum sequence length twice that of the max seq length and half the batch size above.

A.2 Data

Splits	NQ	TriviaQA	HotpotQA	FEVER	WoW
train	48,000	48,000	48,000	48,000	48,000
dev	2,837	5,359	5,600	10,444	3,054

Table 6: The number of training and development data.

A.3 Attention Score




Figure 6: The attention scores of the heads in the initial layer of the binary context reranker. Tokens are self-attended on Heads 3, 4, and 11. Heads 1, 2, 6, 8, 9, 10, and 12 primarily focus on the [CLS] token. However, no discernible pattern is observed for the remaining heads. This does not offer meaningful information regarding the context.




Figure 7: The attention scores of the heads in the last layer of the chains binary context reranker. There is high attention on [SEP] token across the heads. However, this high attention on the [SEP] token does not provide significant insights into the given context.