

GLOBAL EXISTENCE AND LONG TIME BEHAVIOR OF SOLUTIONS TO SOME OLDROYD TYPE MODELS IN HYBRID BESOV SPACES

HANTAEEK BAE AND JAEYONG SHIN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we deal with some Oldroyd type models, which describe incompressible viscoelastic fluids. There are 3 parameters in these models: the viscous coefficient of fluid ν_1 , the viscous coefficient of the elastic part of the stress tensor ν_2 , and the damping coefficient of the elastic part of the stress tensor α . In this paper, we assume at least one of the parameters is zero: $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \alpha) = (+, 0, +), (+, 0, 0), (0, +, +), (0, +, 0), (0, 0, +)$ and prove the global existence of unique solutions to all these 5 cases in the framework of hybrid Besov spaces. We also derive decay rates of the solutions except for the case $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \alpha) = (+, 0, 0)$. To the best of our knowledge, decay rates in this paper are the first results in this framework, and can improve some previous works.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we investigate some incompressible viscoelastic fluids. We start from the equations of homogeneous incompressible fluids:

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + u \cdot \nabla u &= \operatorname{div} \sigma, \\ \operatorname{div} u &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where u is the velocity of the fluid, and σ is a symmetric total Cauchy stress tensor. We now decompose $\sigma = \tilde{\tau} - pI_d$, where $\tilde{\tau}$ is symmetric extra stress tensor and p is the pressure of the fluid. Then, we obtain the following system of the incompressible fluid:

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p &= \operatorname{div} \tilde{\tau}, \\ \operatorname{div} u &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

To complete the system (1.1), we need to add the constitutive law of $\tilde{\tau}$. In a Newtonian fluid, the constitutive law is given by

$$\tilde{\tau} = 2\nu_1 D(u), \quad D(u) = \frac{\nabla u + \nabla u^T}{2} \tag{1.2}$$

with the viscosity coefficient of the fluid ν_1 . Then, (1.1) becomes the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations. On the other hand, in a viscoelastic fluid, $\tilde{\tau}$ depends not only on the current motion of the fluid, but also on the history of the motion [40, Chapter 2]. One of the linear models that relate the history of the motion of the fluid to $\tilde{\tau}$ is the Jeffreys model:

$$\tilde{\tau} + \lambda_1 \frac{\partial \tilde{\tau}}{\partial t} = 2\eta_0 \left(D(u) + \lambda_2 \frac{\partial D(u)}{\partial t} \right), \tag{1.3}$$

where λ_1 and λ_2 are the relaxation time and retardation time, respectively, satisfying $0 \leq \lambda_2 < \lambda_1$. However, (1.3) cannot explain several rheological phenomena of viscoelastic fluids. Moreover, (1.3) does not satisfy the principle of material frame-indifference, or the objectivity. We will present the nonlinear and objective constitutive models after introducing the meaning of the objectivity.

In classical mechanics, an observer, or a frame, is defined as a rigid body with a clock. A change of frame is the transformation from the pair (x, t) in one frame to the pair (x^*, t^*) in a different frame, where x and x^* are the position vectors and we may take $t = t^*$. Since the two frames are rigid bodies, the change of frame is given by

$$x^* = c(t) + Q(t)(x - x_b), \tag{1.4}$$

Date: February 15, 2024.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q35; 76D03.

Key words and phrases. Viscoelastic fluids; Hybrid Besov spaces; Existence; Decay rates.

where $c(t)$ is the relative displacement of the base point x_b , $Q(t)$ is a time-dependent orthogonal tensor. In other words, the frames move relative to each other, and can also rotate or reflect. A quantity is called *objective* if it is frame-independent.

Definition 1.1. [30] Under the change of frame given by (1.4),

- (1) a vector v is objective if $v^* = Q(t)v$,
- (2) a tensor τ is objective if $\tau^* = Q(t)\tau Q^T(t)$.

According to the principle of material indifference of continuum mechanics, the Cauchy stress tensor $\sigma = \tilde{\tau} - pI_d$ is objective, and so is $\tilde{\tau}$. We next see whether ∇u is objective or not. Since

$$u^* = \frac{dx^*}{dt} = \dot{c} + \dot{Q}(x - x_b) + Q \frac{dx}{dt} = \dot{c} + \dot{Q}(x - x_b) + Qu, \quad \frac{dx^*}{dx} = Q(t),$$

we derive $\nabla^* u^* = Q \nabla u Q^T + \dot{Q} Q^T$ which tells that ∇u is also not an objective tensor. We now turn to the symmetric and skew-symmetric parts of ∇u :

$$D(u) = \frac{\nabla u + \nabla u^T}{2}, \quad \Omega(u) = \frac{\nabla u - \nabla u^T}{2}.$$

Using $\nabla^* u^* = Q \nabla u Q^T + \dot{Q} Q^T$ and orthogonality of $Q(t)$, we can find that

$$D^* = Q D Q^T, \quad \Omega^* = Q \Omega Q^T + \dot{Q} Q^T. \quad (1.5)$$

Thus, $D(u)$ is objective, but $\Omega(u)$ is not objective.

In order to construct the proper constitutive equations, we need objective derivatives for an objective tensor τ . At first, let us check the material derivative

$$\frac{D}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}.$$

Noting that $\frac{D^*}{Dt^*} = \frac{D}{Dt}$ when $t = t^*$ and $\tau^* = Q(t)\tau Q^T(t)$, we see that

$$\frac{D}{Dt} \tau^* = \left(\frac{DQ}{Dt} \right) \tau Q^T + Q \left(\frac{D\tau}{Dt} \right) Q^T + Q\tau \left(\frac{DQ^T}{Dt} \right) = \dot{Q}\tau Q^T + Q \frac{D\tau}{Dt} Q^T + Q\tau \dot{Q}^T \quad (1.6)$$

and so the material derivative is not objective. We now define the Oldroyd derivative as

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}\tau}{\mathcal{D}t} = \frac{D\tau}{Dt} + \tau\Omega - \Omega\tau$$

which is objective by (1.5) and (1.6). Similarly, the following derivatives are objective:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{D}_b \tau}{\mathcal{D}t} &= \frac{D\tau}{Dt} + \mathcal{Q}_b(\tau, \nabla u), \\ \mathcal{Q}_b(\tau, \nabla u) &= \tau\Omega - \Omega\tau - b(D(u)\tau + \tau D(u)), \quad b \in [-1, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

1.1. Constitutive equations. We here provide some nonlinear and objective constitutive models. The most well-known model is described by Oldroyd [35] with

$$\tilde{\tau} + \lambda_1 \frac{\mathcal{D}_b \tilde{\tau}}{\mathcal{D}t} = 2\eta_0 \left(D(u) + \lambda_2 \frac{\mathcal{D}_b D(u)}{\mathcal{D}t} \right) \quad (1.7)$$

which can be obtained by replacing the partial derivative in time in (1.3) with the above objective derivative. (1.7) is called Oldroyd-A model when $b = -1$ and Oldroyd-B model when $b = 1$. Oldroyd-B model is preferred than Oldroyd-A model since Oldroyd-B model exhibits the rod climbing effect as rheological phenomena, but the other does the effect in reverse.

We now reorganize (1.7) into manageable forms by decomposing the extra stress tensor as

$$\tilde{\tau} = \tau_N + \tau, \quad \tau_N = 2\eta_0 \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} D(u), \quad (1.8)$$

where τ_N and τ correspond to the Newtonian part and the elastic part, respectively. Then, (1.7) is reduced to

$$\alpha\tau + \frac{\mathcal{D}_b\tau}{\mathcal{D}t} = \mu D(u) \quad (1.9)$$

allowing to choose proper non-negative constants α and μ . We also introduce the Johnson-Segalman model, the Phan-Thien-Tanner (PTT) model, the Giesekus model, and the generalized Oldroyd model. To do so, we first give

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{\nabla}{\tau} &= \tau_t + u \cdot \nabla \tau - (\nabla u)^T \cdot \tau - \tau \cdot \nabla u \\ \overset{\Delta}{\tau} &= \tau_t + u \cdot \nabla \tau + \tau \cdot (\nabla u)^T + \nabla u \cdot \tau. \end{aligned}$$

- Johnson-Segalman model [29]:

$$\alpha\tau + \left(\frac{1+a\overset{\nabla}{\tau}}{2} + \frac{1-a\overset{\Delta}{\tau}}{2} \right) = \mu D(u), \quad a \in [-1, 1]$$

- Phan-Thien-Tanner (PTT) model [37]:

$$f(\tau)\tau + \frac{\mathcal{D}_b\tau}{\mathcal{D}t} = \mu D(u), \quad f(\tau) = \begin{cases} \alpha e^{\text{tr}\tau} & \text{(exponential)} \\ \alpha + \beta \text{tr}\tau & \text{(linear)}, \end{cases}$$

where $b = 1$ and $\text{tr}\tau$ is the trace of τ . In this paper, we take the linear $f(\tau)$ in (PTT) model.

- Giesekus model [24]:

$$\alpha\tau + \overset{\nabla}{\tau} + \beta\tau^2 = \mu D(u).$$

- Generalized Oldroyd model [36]:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\tau + \frac{\mathcal{D}_b\tau}{\mathcal{D}t} + \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}(\tau, \nabla u) &= \mu D(u), \\ \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}(\tau, \nabla u) &= c_1 \text{tr}\tau D(u) + c_2(\tau : D(u))I + c_3(D(u))^2 + c_4(D(u) : D(u))I. \end{aligned}$$

Combined with (1.1) and (1.8), the models described above can be written as

$$u_t + u \cdot \nabla u - \nu_1 \Delta u + \nabla p = \text{div } \tau, \quad (1.10a)$$

$$\tau_t + u \cdot \nabla \tau + \alpha\tau - \nu_2 \Delta \tau + \mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) = \mu D(u), \quad (1.10b)$$

$$\text{div } u = 0, \quad (1.10c)$$

where ν_1, ν_2, α are non-negative constants, and we set $\mu = 1$ from now on for simplicity. $\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u)$, which is a quadratic function of τ and ∇u , represents the nonlinear term of each model described above.

1.2. Our results. To determine function spaces for initial data and solutions, we may use a scaling-invariant property. In fact, (1.10) is not scaling-invariant, but if we neglect $\text{div } \tau$ and $\alpha\tau$, and restrict $\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u)$ to $\tau \nabla u$, (1.10) is invariant under the transformation

$$(u(t, x), \tau(t, x)) \longmapsto (\lambda u(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x), \tau(\lambda^2 t, \lambda x))$$

when $\nu_1 > 0$ and $\nu_2 > 0$. Accordingly we can take the scaling invariant property for initial data

$$(u_0(x), \tau_0(x)) \longmapsto (\lambda u_0(\lambda x), \tau_0(\lambda x)). \quad (1.11)$$

So, we may take (u_0, τ_0) belonging to $\dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{d}{p}-1} \times \dot{B}_{p,1}^{\frac{d}{p}}$ which is invariant under (1.11). But, in this paper, we take the model (1.10) with at least one of (ν_1, ν_2, α) being zero:

- ▷ Case I: $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \alpha) = (+, 0, +)$;
- ▷ Case II: $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \alpha) = (+, 0, 0)$;
- ▷ Case III: $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \alpha) = (0, +, +)$;
- ▷ Case IV: $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \alpha) = (0, +, 0)$;
- ▷ Case V: $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \alpha) = (0, 0, +)$,

where $+$ means a positive constant. Therefore, we need a space stronger than $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \times \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}$. Motivated by the compressible Navier-Stokes equations [16, 17], we take initial data in hybrid Besov space whose norm is given by

$$\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s,t}} = \sum_{j \leq j_0} 2^{js} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2} + \sum_{j \geq j_0+1} 2^{jt} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}.$$

The goal of this paper is twofold:

- (1) The existence of global-in-time solutions of (1.10) in the framework of hybrid Besov spaces: there exists a constant $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $(u_0, \tau_0) \in \mathcal{E}_0$ with $\|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0} \leq \epsilon$, then there is a unique solution of (1.10) such that $\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \lesssim \|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}$ for all $T > 0$. The spaces \mathcal{E}_0 and \mathcal{E}_T are given by the following table where we classify the possible form of $\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u)$ in each case (Since we treat $\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u)$ as a perturbation of the linear part of (1.10), the exact form of $\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u)$ is not expressed, but is written as in Table.) This result covers all known results in Besov spaces and energy spaces. Moreover, we provide new existence results with $\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u)$ having additional terms.
- (2) The decay rates of (u, τ) except for Case II:

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{l(u)+s_0, h(u)}} + \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{l(\tau)+s_0, h(\tau)}} \leq C(\|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}, s_0)(1+t)^{-\frac{s_0}{2}}, \quad \forall s_0 \in (0, 2]. \quad (1.12)$$

Here, $l(u)$ and $h(u)$ denote low and high frequency regularity of u , respectively, that guarantee the global existence of unique solutions in hybrid Besov spaces. Similarly, $l(\tau)$ and $h(\tau)$ denote low and high frequency regularity of τ . Our approach to derive (1.12) is straightforward, but this type of decay results are totally new. Furthermore, as an application of (1.12), we can obtain further decay rates by imposing additional initial data condition (L^2 or L^1 type), which cover some recent works related to decay rates for Oldroyd type models [26, 28, 44]. We emphasize that (1.12) indicates that decay rates depend only on regularities in low frequency parts, and even in deriving further decay rates, low frequency parts play a crucial role.

Case	ν_1	ν_2	α	\mathcal{E}_0	\mathcal{E}_T	$\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) \simeq$
I	+	0	+	$\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}+1} \times \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}+1}$	$L_T^\infty \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}+1} \cap L_T^1 \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1, \frac{d}{2}+2} \times L_T^\infty \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}+1} \cap L_T^1 \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}+1}$	$\tau^2 + \tau \nabla u + (\nabla u)^2$
II	+	0	0	$\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}+1} \times \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}+1}$	$L_T^\infty \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}+1} \cap L_T^1 \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1, \frac{d}{2}+2} \times L_T^\infty \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}+1}$	$\tau \nabla u + (\nabla u)^2$
III	0	+	+	$\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}+1} \times \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}}$	$L_T^\infty \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}+1} \cap L_T^1 \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1} \times L_T^\infty \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}} \cap L_T^1 \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}+2}$	$\tau^2 + \tau \nabla u + (\nabla u)^2$
IV	0	+	0	$\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}+1} \times \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}}$	$L_T^\infty \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}+1} \cap L_T^1 \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1} \times L_T^\infty \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}} \cap L_T^1 \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1, \frac{d}{2}+2}$	$\tau \nabla u + (\nabla u)^2$
V	0	0	+	$\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}+1} \times \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}+1}$	$L_T^\infty \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}+1} \cap L_T^1 \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}+1} \times L_T^\infty \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}+1} \cap L_T^1 \dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}+1}$	τ^2

There has been a lot of work on (1.10) and related models: see [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26, 27, 28, 31, 32, 33, 34, 38, 39, 40, 42, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50] and references therein. Here we compare some results with ours in terms of the set of parameters (ν_1, ν_2, α) , the function spaces and decay rates.

Case I $((\nu_1, \nu_2, \alpha) = (+, 0, +))$: This is the case which have been studied widely, especially with $\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) = \mathcal{Q}_b(\tau, \nabla u)$: see [3, 8, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26, 27, 28, 32, 33, 42, 50]. In particular, global well-posedness in critical Besov spaces has been established in [3] and improved in [50]. We note that $\mathcal{Q}_b(\tau, \nabla u) \simeq \tau \nabla u$. Compared to these results, we find a proper hybrid Besov space to show the global well-posedness with $\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) \simeq \tau^2 + \tau \nabla u + (\nabla u)^2$ (Theorem 3.1). Furthermore, we obtain (1.12) type of decay rates for both scenarios (Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.2). Also, to compare previous works related to decay rates with ours, we assume additional initial data condition and obtain further decay rates, which improve the results in [26, 28]: see Corollary 3.1 and Remark 3.1 for more details.

Case II $((\nu_1, \nu_2, \alpha) = (+, 0, 0))$: This case with $\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) = \mathcal{Q}_b(\tau, \nabla u)$ has been studied in [4, 48], and the global well-posedness in hybrid Besov spaces is dealt with in [4]. As Case I, we find a proper hybrid Besov space that guarantee the global well-posedness with $\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) \simeq \tau \nabla u + (\nabla u)^2$ (Theorem 4.1).

Case III $((\nu_1, \nu_2, \alpha) = (0, +, +))$: The global well-posedness with small initial data in H^s for $s > \frac{d}{2} + 1$, is considered in [19, 20]. By contrast, we prove such results in hybrid Besov spaces, which is larger than H^s (Theorem 5.1). The decay rates of solutions with initial data in $L^1 \cap H^s$ are shown in [28], and improved by ours (Theorem 5.2 and Corollary 5.1).

Case IV $((\nu_1, \nu_2, \alpha) = (0, +, 0))$: This case with $\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) = \mathcal{Q}_b(\tau, \nabla u)$ has been studied in [5, 15, 44, 45], and the global well-posedness in hybrid Besov spaces is dealt with in [5, 45]. We can check similar results with $\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) \simeq \tau \nabla u + (\nabla u)^2$ (Theorem 6.1). We also derive decay rates of solutions which improve [44]: See Theorem 6.2, Corollary 6.1 and Remark 6.1.

Case V $((\nu_1, \nu_2, \alpha) = (0, 0, +))$: This case is dealt with in [49] in 3D with $(u_0, \tau_0) \in \dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{2}} \times \dot{B}^{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{2}}$ and $\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) = 0$. In this paper, we improve this result with $(u_0, \tau_0) \in \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}+1} \times \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}+1}$ with $d = 2, 3$, and $\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) \simeq \tau^2$ (Theorem 7.1). We also derive decay rates of solutions (Theorem 7.2 and Corollary 7.1).

1.3. Detailed plan of the proofs of our results. To prove our results, we may use a fixed point argument. But, in principle, the calculations used to derive a priori estimates are easily applied to the fixed point argument. So, we only provide a priori estimates for the existence part and then show the uniqueness of solutions and derive decay rates. The proofs of our results look similar in each case, but we will provide as much of the proof process as possible, rather than referring to some bounds obtained before, because the function spaces are slightly different in each case.

- In each section, we begin with a linearized model of (1.10) with a divergence-free vector field v ,

$$u_t + \mathbb{P}(v \cdot \nabla u) - \nu_1 \Delta u - \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau = F, \quad (1.13a)$$

$$\tau_t + v \cdot \nabla \tau + \alpha \tau - \nu_2 \Delta \tau - D(u) = G, \quad (1.13b)$$

$$(\mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau)_t + \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div}(v \cdot \nabla \tau) + \alpha \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau - \nu_2 \Delta \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau - \frac{1}{2} \Delta u = \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} G, \quad (1.13c)$$

where \mathbb{P} is the Leray projection operator. (1.13c) is derived by taking $\mathbb{P} \operatorname{div}$ to (1.13b) because (1.13a) contains $\mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau$. We first bound solutions of (1.13) in Lemma 3.1, Lemma 4.1, Lemma 5.1, Lemma 6.1, and Lemma 7.1. These lemmas, with $v = u$, $F = 0$, and $G = -\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u)$, are used to derive the bound $\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \lesssim \|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}$ for all $T > 0$ when $\|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}$ is sufficiently small.

We can also derive decay rates of solutions (1.12) by using the bounds obtained in the proofs of Lemma 3.1, Lemma 5.1, Lemma 6.1, and Lemma 7.1. However, we are not able to derive the decay rates of solutions in Case II: see Remark 4.1 for more details. Furthermore, we can derive the faster decay rates by intersecting \mathcal{E}_0 with L^2 or L^1 type. Simply speaking, decay rates with L^2 intersection come from applying interpolation with L^2 when deriving (1.12). In three dimension, owing to obtain decay rates with L^1 intersection, we use a variant of Schonbek's Fourier splitting method [41] in our setting. To this end, we consider $\dot{B}_{2, \infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ since it is larger than L^1 and more natural in this framework: see Corollary 3.1, Corollary 5.1, Corollary 6.1 and Corollary 7.1 for more details.

- To prove the uniqueness of solutions, we assume that there are two solutions (u_1, τ_1) and (u_2, τ_2) and deal with the following equations for $(u, \tau) = (u_1 - u_2, \tau_1 - \tau_2)$:

$$u_t + \mathbb{P}(u_2 \cdot \nabla u) - \nu_1 \Delta u - \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau = -\mathbb{P}(u \cdot \nabla u_1),$$

$$\tau_t + u_2 \cdot \nabla \tau + \alpha \tau - \nu_2 \Delta \tau - D(u) = -u \cdot \nabla \tau_1 - [\mathcal{Q}(\tau_1, \nabla u_1) - \mathcal{Q}(\tau_2, \nabla u_2)],$$

$$\mathcal{Q}(\tau_1, \nabla u_1) - \mathcal{Q}(\tau_2, \nabla u_2) \simeq a(\tau_1 + \tau_2)\tau + b(\tau \nabla u_1 + \tau_2 \nabla u) + c(\nabla u_1 + \nabla u_2) \nabla u$$

for given $\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) \simeq a\tau^2 + b\tau \nabla u + c(\nabla u)^2$. Then (u, τ) satisfy (1.13) with $v = u_2$, $F \mapsto \delta F = -\mathbb{P}(u \cdot \nabla u_1)$, and $G \mapsto \delta G = -u \cdot \nabla \tau_1 - [\mathcal{Q}(\tau_1, \nabla u_1) - \mathcal{Q}(\tau_2, \nabla u_2)]$. We then modify Lemma 3.1, Lemma 4.1, Lemma 5.1, Lemma 6.1, and Lemma 7.1 to bound (u, τ) in \mathcal{F}_T (which will be selected in each section), which is slightly larger than \mathcal{E}_T , as follows

$$\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}_T} \lesssim \left(\|(u_1, \tau_1)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} + \|(u_2, \tau_2)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \right) \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}_T}.$$

Since $\|(u_1, \tau_1)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} + \|(u_2, \tau_2)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T}$ is sufficiently small, we can confirm the uniqueness of solutions.

After providing some auxiliary materials in Section 2, we will give our results from Section 3.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notation.

(1) All constants will be denoted by C and we follow the convention that such constants can vary from expression to expression and even between two occurrences within the same expression. $A \lesssim B$ means there is a constant C such that $A \leq CB$. $A \simeq B$ means $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$.

(2) $L_T^p X = L^p([0, T]; X)$.

(3) (f, g) denotes the L^2 inner product of f and g . This notation is also used to bound two quantities in the same space.

2.2. Littlewood-Paley decomposition. [1, Chapter 2] Let $\mathcal{C} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d : \frac{3}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{8}{3}\}$ and take a smooth radial function ϕ with values in $[0, 1]$ supported on \mathcal{C} and satisfies

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}.$$

Using this, we define dyadic blocks:

$$\Delta_j f = 2^{jd} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(2^j y) f(x-y) dy, \quad \widehat{h}(\xi) = \phi(\xi).$$

Then, the homogeneous Littlewood-Paley decomposition is given by

$$f = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \Delta_j f \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'_h,$$

where \mathcal{S}'_h is the space of tempered distributions $u \in \mathcal{S}'$ such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow -\infty} S_j u = 0$ in \mathcal{S}' .

We define the homogeneous Besov spaces: for $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\dot{B}_{p,q}^s = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'_h; \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s} = \|2^{js} \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^p}\|_{l^q(\mathbb{Z})} < \infty \right\}.$$

We also define the hybrid Besov spaces with $p = 2, q = 1$: for $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}^{s,t}} = \sum_{j \leq j_0} 2^{js} \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^2} + \sum_{j \geq j_0+1} 2^{jt} \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^2}$$

for some j_0 and $\dot{B}^{s,t} = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{S}'_h; \|f\|_{\dot{B}^{s,t}} < \infty \right\}$. We denote

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\dot{B}^s} &:= \|f\|_{\dot{B}^{s,s}} = \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{2,1}^s}, \\ \|f\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l &:= \sum_{j \leq j_0} 2^{js} \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^2}, \quad \|f\|_{\dot{B}^s}^h := \sum_{j \geq j_0+1} 2^{js} \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

From the definition, we easily check that

$$\dot{B}^{s,t} = \dot{B}_{2,1}^s \cap \dot{B}_{2,1}^t \quad \text{if } s \leq t, \quad \dot{B}^{s_1,t_1} \hookrightarrow \dot{B}^{s_2,t_2} \quad \text{if } s_1 \leq s_2, \quad t_1 \geq t_2. \quad (2.2)$$

We note that the definition of $\dot{B}^{s,t}$ does not depend on the choice of j_0 . This is the reason why we can choose j_0 not at the beginning but during the proofs of our results as in [16, 17]. We note that homogeneous Besov spaces satisfy the following interpolation relationship: for $\theta \in [0, 1]$,

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{\theta s_1 + (1-\theta)s_2}} \leq \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{s_1}}^\theta \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^{s_2}}^{1-\theta}, \quad (2.3)$$

and for $0 < s < s'$,

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^s} \leq C \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^0}^{1-\frac{s}{s'}} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,\infty}^{s'}}^{\frac{s}{s'}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p}^{1-\frac{s}{s'}} \|f\|_{\dot{B}_{p,1}^{s'}}^{\frac{s}{s'}}. \quad (2.4)$$

We also note that (2.3) holds for $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s}^l$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\dot{B}_{p,q}^s}^h$.

2.3. Product estimates. For two distributions f and g , we introduce Bony's decomposition:

$$fg = \mathcal{T}_f g + \mathcal{T}_g f + \mathcal{R}(f, g), \quad \mathcal{T}_f g = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} S_{j-1} f \Delta_j g, \quad \mathcal{R}(f, g) = \sum_{|j-j'| \leq 1} \Delta_j f \Delta_{j'} g.$$

Proposition 2.1. [17, Appendix] For all s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2 such that $s_1, s_2 \leq \frac{d}{2}$, we have

$$\|\mathcal{T}_f g\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1+t_1-\frac{d}{2}, s_2+t_2-\frac{d}{2}}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1, s_2}} \|g\|_{\dot{B}^{t_1, t_2}}.$$

If $\min(s_1 + t_1, s_2 + t_2) > 0$, then

$$\|\mathcal{R}(f, g)\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1+t_1-\frac{d}{2}, s_2+t_2-\frac{d}{2}}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1, s_2}} \|g\|_{\dot{B}^{t_1, t_2}}.$$

If $f \in L^\infty$,

$$\|\mathcal{T}_f g\|_{\dot{B}^{t_1, t_2}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty} \|g\|_{\dot{B}^{t_1, t_2}},$$

and if $\min(t_1, t_2) > 0$, then

$$\|\mathcal{R}(f, g)\|_{\dot{B}^{t_1, t_2}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty} \|g\|_{\dot{B}^{t_1, t_2}}.$$

By Proposition 2.1 and $\dot{B}_{2,1}^{\frac{d}{2}} \hookrightarrow L^\infty$, we deduce the following inequalities. For $-\frac{d}{2} < s_1, s_2 \leq \frac{d}{2}$ and $t_1, t_2 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|fg\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1, s_2}} &\lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1, s_2}} \|g\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}, \\ \|fg\|_{\dot{B}^{t_1, t_2}} &\lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{B}^{t_1, t_2}} \|g\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} + \|f\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \|g\|_{\dot{B}^{t_1, t_2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

We next deal with the convection terms. We note that $\operatorname{div} v = 0$ enables to extend the range of indices in the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. [17, Appendix] Let v be a divergence-free vector field. Let $-\frac{d}{2} - 1 < s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2 \leq \frac{d}{2} + 1$ and $c_j \in l^1(\mathbb{Z})$. Then, the following bounds hold: for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$|(\Delta_j \Lambda^k (v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j \Lambda^k u)| \lesssim c_j 2^{-j(\varphi^{s_1, s_2}(j) - k)} \|v\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1, s_2}} \left\| \Delta_j \Lambda^k u \right\|_{L^2}, \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &|(\Delta_j (v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j w) + (\Delta_j (v \cdot \nabla w), \Delta_j u)| \\ &\lesssim c_j \|v\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \left(2^{-j\varphi^{s_1, s_2}(j)} \|w\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1, s_2}} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2} + 2^{-j\varphi^{t_1, t_2}(j)} \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{t_1, t_2}} \|\Delta_j w\|_{L^2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where the function $\varphi^{s_1, s_2}(j)$ is defined by

$$\varphi^{s_1, s_2}(j) = \begin{cases} s_1, & \text{if } j \leq j_0, \\ s_2, & \text{if } j \geq j_0 + 1. \end{cases}$$

We also recall the following identity introduced in [48].

Proposition 2.3. For any smooth tensor τ and a vector u ,

$$\mathbb{P} \operatorname{div}(u \cdot \nabla \tau) = \mathbb{P}(u \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) + \mathbb{B}(\nabla u, \nabla \tau),$$

$$\mathbb{B}(\nabla u, \nabla \tau) := \mathbb{P}(\nabla u \cdot \nabla \tau) - \mathbb{P}(\nabla u \cdot \nabla \Delta^{-1} \nabla \cdot \operatorname{div} \tau).$$

As in [4], for a divergence-free vector field u

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla u \cdot \nabla \tau)^i &= \sum_{j,k} \partial_j u^k \partial_k \tau^{i,j} = \sum_{j,k} \partial_k (\partial_j u^k \tau^{i,j}), \\ (\nabla u \cdot \nabla \Delta^{-1} \nabla \cdot \operatorname{div} \tau)^i &= \sum_k \partial_i u^k \partial_k \Delta^{-1} \nabla \cdot \operatorname{div} \tau = \sum_k \partial_k (\partial_i u^k \Delta^{-1} \nabla \cdot \operatorname{div} \tau). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

We finally fix one constant C_0 which is defined as

$$\|\mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L^2} \leq C_0 \|\Lambda \tau\|_{L^2} \quad (2.9)$$

although we can see that $C_0 = d$. Here, Λ is the fractional Laplacian: $\Lambda = \sqrt{-\Delta}$.

3. Case I: $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \alpha) = (+, 0, +)$

In this section, we consider (1.10) with $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \alpha) = (+, 0, +)$:

$$u_t + u \cdot \nabla u - \nu_1 \Delta u + \nabla p = \operatorname{div} \tau, \quad (3.1a)$$

$$\tau_t + u \cdot \nabla \tau + \alpha \tau + \mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) = D(u), \quad (3.1b)$$

$$\operatorname{div} u = 0 \quad (3.1c)$$

with $\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) \simeq \tau^2 + \tau \nabla u + (\nabla u)^2$. We first define the spaces \mathcal{E}_0 and \mathcal{E}_T for initial data and solutions:

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0} &= \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}+1}}, & \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} &= \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}_T} + \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{H}_T}, \\ \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}_T} &= \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}+1}}, & \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{H}_T} &= \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1, \frac{d}{2}+2}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.1. There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $(u_0, \tau_0) \in \mathcal{E}_0$ with $\|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0} \leq \epsilon$, then there exists a unique solution (u, τ) of (3.1) in \mathcal{E}_T such that $\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \lesssim \|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}$ for all $T > 0$.

Theorem 3.2. The solution of Theorem 3.1 has the following decay rates:

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1+s_0, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_0, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \leq C(\|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}, s_0)(1+t)^{-\frac{s_0}{2}}, \quad s_0 \in (0, 2].$$

Moreover, using Theorem 3.2 we can derive the further decay rates of the solution of Theorem 3.1 with additional initial data condition.

Corollary 3.1. Let $(u, \tau) \in \mathcal{E}_T$ be the solution of Theorem 3.1 with $(u_0, \tau_0) \in \mathcal{E}_0$.

• Assume that $(u_0, \tau_0) \in L^2$. Then, (u, τ) is in $L_T^\infty L^2 \cap L_T^2 \dot{H}^1 \times L_T^\infty L^2 \cap L_T^2 L^2$ and satisfies

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^s} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{s}{2}}, \quad s \in (0, \frac{d}{2} + 1], \quad (3.2)$$

$$\|\tau(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^s} \lesssim \begin{cases} (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{s}{2}}, & s \in (0, \frac{d}{2}], \\ (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{d}{4}}, & s \in (\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2} + 1]. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

• Let $d = 3$ and assume that $(u_0, \tau_0) \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \times \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Then, (u, τ) has the further decay rates:

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^s} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{s}{2}}, \quad s \in [0, \frac{5}{2}], \quad (3.4)$$

$$\|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^s} \lesssim \begin{cases} (1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}-\frac{s}{2}}, & s \in [0, \frac{3}{2}], \\ (1+t)^{-2}, & s \in (\frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}]. \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

Remark 3.1. Here, we compare the results of recent work [28] and Corollary 3.1. One of the main results in [28] is following: in three dimension, for $(u_0, \tau_0) \in L^1 \cap H^3$ with $\|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{H^3} \leq \epsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^k} &\lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{k}{2}}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \\ \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{H}^k} &\lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}-\frac{k}{2}}, \quad k = 0, 1. \end{aligned}$$

First, we can derive (3.2) and (3.3) without L^1 assumption while $L^2 \cap \mathcal{E}_0$ is larger than H^3 . We also note that $L^1 \times L^{\frac{3}{2}}$ is continuously embedded in $\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \times \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, which is the initial data space of (u_0, τ_0) assumed to derive (3.4) and (3.5), and $L^{\frac{3}{2}}$ is weaker low regularity condition than L^1 in terms of τ_0 . Furthermore, we improve [28] in the sense of the embedding $\dot{B}^k \hookrightarrow \dot{H}^k$.

The first step to prove Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 is to use the linearized system of (3.1) with a divergence-free vector field v :

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + \mathbb{P}(v \cdot \nabla u) - \nu_1 \Delta u - \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau &= F, \\ \tau_t + v \cdot \nabla \tau + \alpha \tau - D(u) &= G, \\ (\mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau)_t + \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div}(v \cdot \nabla \tau) + \alpha \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau - \frac{1}{2} \Delta u &= \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} G. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Lemma 3.1. Let $-\frac{d}{2} - 1 < s \leq \frac{d}{2} + 1$, $u_0 \in \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}$, $\tau_0 \in \dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}$, and $v \in L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}$. Then, a solution (u, τ) of (3.6) satisfies the following bound

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+2}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \\ & \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|F\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|G\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^s}^l \\ & + \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\min(s, \frac{d}{2})+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $s = \frac{d}{2} - 1$, $v = u$, $F = 0$ and $-G = \mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) \simeq \tau^2 + \tau \nabla u + (\nabla u)^2$. Since

$$\|\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla u, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^l \lesssim \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}_T} \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{H}_T},$$

a direct application of Lemma 3.1 yields

$$\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}_T} + \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{H}_T} \lesssim \|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0} + \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}_T} \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{H}_T}.$$

So, we have the desired result, $\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \lesssim \|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}$ for all $T > 0$, when $\|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}$ is sufficiently small. By combining with the uniqueness part in Section 3.2, we can prove Theorem 3.1. (The same argument will be used to the remaining cases.) The proof of Lemma 3.1 is also used to derive decay rates in Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.1.

Remark 3.2. Using the same argument in Lemma 3.1, we bound (3.6) in high frequency part as

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^h + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^h + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^h \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^h + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^h + \|F\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^h \\ & + \|G\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^h + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^h + \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Combining (3.7) and (3.13) with $s = \frac{d}{2} - 1$ gives us

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \\ & \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} + \|F\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|G\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} + \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Let $v = u$, $F = 0$ and $-G = \mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) \simeq \tau^2 + \tau \nabla u$. We also set the spaces of initial data and solutions:

$$\mathcal{F}_0 = \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \times \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}, \quad \mathcal{F}_T = L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \cap L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1} \times L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}} \cap L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}. \quad (3.9)$$

Then, (3.8) yields $\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}_T} \lesssim \|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{F}_0}$ for all $T > 0$ when $\|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{F}_0}$ is sufficiently small. This corresponds to the results in [3, 50].

Theorem 3.3. [3, 50] Let $\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) \simeq \tau^2 + \tau \nabla u$ and define \mathcal{F}_0 and \mathcal{F}_T as in (3.9). There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $(u_0, \tau_0) \in \mathcal{F}_0$ with $\|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{F}_0} \leq \epsilon$, then there exists a unique solution (u, τ) of (3.1) in \mathcal{F}_T such that $\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}_T} \lesssim \|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{F}_0}$ for all $T > 0$.

Furthermore, we can derive the decay rates of the solutions in \mathcal{F}_T which are not in [3, 50].

Corollary 3.2. The solution of Theorem 3.3 has the following decay rates: for all $t > 0$ and $s_0 \in (0, 2]$

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1+s_0, \frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_0, \frac{d}{2}}} \leq C(\|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{F}_0}, s_0)(1+t)^{-\frac{s_0}{2}}.$$

3.1. Proof of Lemma 3.1.

3.1.1. **Low frequency part.** First of all, it follows from (3.6) that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu_1 \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 - (\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \operatorname{div} \tau) = (\Delta_j F, \Delta_j u) - (\Delta_j (v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j u), \quad (3.10)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + \alpha \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + (\Delta_j \Lambda u, \Delta_j \Lambda \operatorname{div} \tau) = (\Delta_j \Lambda G, \Delta_j \Lambda \tau) - (\Delta_j \Lambda (v \cdot \nabla \tau), \Delta_j \Lambda \tau), \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} (\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) - \|\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + \alpha (\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \operatorname{div} \tau) + \nu_1 (\Delta_j \Lambda^2 u, \Delta_j \operatorname{div} \tau) \\ &= (\Delta_j F, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) + (\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} G, \Delta_j u) - (\Delta_j (v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) - (\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} (v \cdot \nabla \tau), \Delta_j u). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

We now proceed to add $\alpha(3.10)$, $K_1(3.11)$, and (3.12) to deduce that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} f_j^2 + h_j^2 = \tilde{F}_j^1 - \tilde{F}_j^2 - \tilde{F}_j^3 - \tilde{F}_j^4 \quad \text{for } j \leq j_0,$$

where $K_1 > 0$ is determined below, and

$$\begin{aligned} f_j^2 &= \alpha \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2 + K_1 \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + 2(\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau), \\ h_j^2 &= \left(\alpha \nu_1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + \alpha K_1 \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + (K_1 + \nu_1) (\Delta_j \Lambda^2 u, \Delta_j \operatorname{div} \tau), \\ \tilde{F}_j^1 &= \alpha (\Delta_j F, \Delta_j u) + K_1 (\Delta_j \Lambda G, \Delta_j \Lambda \tau) + (\Delta_j F, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) + (\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} G, \Delta_j u), \\ \tilde{F}_j^2 &= \alpha (\Delta_j (v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j u) + (\Delta_j (v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) + (\Delta_j (v \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau), \Delta_j u), \\ \tilde{F}_j^3 &= K_1 (\Delta_j \Lambda (v \cdot \nabla \tau), \Delta_j \Lambda \tau), \\ \tilde{F}_j^4 &= (\Delta_j \mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau), \Delta_j u), \end{aligned}$$

where we apply Proposition 2.3 to $\mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} (v \cdot \nabla \tau)$. By choosing $K_1 = \frac{2C_0^2}{\alpha}$ where C_0 is defined in (2.9), and by taking a sufficiently small j_0 , we find

$$f_j^2 \simeq \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2, \quad h_j^2 \simeq \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2 \gtrsim 2^{2j} f_j^2.$$

We now bound \tilde{F}_j terms using (2.6) and (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \tilde{F}_j^1 \right| + \left| \tilde{F}_j^4 \right| &\lesssim c_j 2^{-sj} \left(\|F\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l + \|G\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1}}^l + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l \right) f_j, \\ \left| \tilde{F}_j^2 \right| &\lesssim c_j 2^{-sj} \|v\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \right) f_j, \\ \left| \tilde{F}_j^3 \right| &\lesssim c_j 2^{-\min(s, \frac{d}{2})j} \|v\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\min(s, \frac{d}{2})+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2} \lesssim c_j 2^{-sj} \|v\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\min(s, \frac{d}{2})+1, \frac{d}{2}}} f_j \end{aligned}$$

whenever $j \leq j_0$ and $-\frac{d}{2} - 1 < s \leq \frac{d}{2} + 1$. From these bounds, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^s}^l + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s+1}}^l + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s+2}}^l + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s+3}}^l &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1}}^l + \|F\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^s}^l \\ &\quad + \|G\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s+1}}^l + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^s}^l + \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\min(s, \frac{d}{2})+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.11), we also have

$$\|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s+1}}^l + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s+1}}^l \lesssim \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1}}^l + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s+2}}^l + \|G\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s+1}}^l + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\min(s, \frac{d}{2})+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}.$$

Combining these two inequalities and using (2.2), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^s}^l + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s+1}}^l + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s+2}}^l + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s+1}}^l &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1}}^l + \|F\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^s}^l \\ &\quad + \|G\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s+1}}^l + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^s}^l + \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\min(s, \frac{d}{2})+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

3.1.2. High frequency part. In going to bound the high frequency part of (u, τ) , we first see

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + \alpha \|\Delta_j \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + (\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \operatorname{div} \tau) = (\Delta_j G, \Delta_j \tau) - (\Delta_j (v \cdot \nabla \tau), \Delta_j \tau) \quad (3.14)$$

which has one regularity less than (3.11). By (3.10) + (3.14), we derive the following for $j \geq j_0 + 1$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} f_j^2 + h_j^2 = \tilde{G}_j^1 - \tilde{G}_j^2, \quad (3.15)$$

where j_0 is fixed above and

$$f_j^2 = \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \tau\|_{L^2}^2, \quad h_j^2 = \nu_1 \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + \alpha \|\Delta_j \tau\|_{L^2}^2 \gtrsim f_j^2, \\ \tilde{G}_j^1 = (\Delta_j F, \Delta_j u) + (\Delta_j G, \Delta_j \tau), \quad \tilde{G}_j^2 = (\Delta_j (v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j u) + (\Delta_j (v \cdot \nabla \tau), \Delta_j \tau).$$

Using (2.6), we bound the right-hand side of (3.15) as follows:

$$\left| \tilde{G}_j^1 \right| \lesssim c_j 2^{-(\frac{d}{2}+1)j} \|(F, G)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h f_j, \quad \left| \tilde{G}_j^2 \right| \lesssim c_j 2^{-(\frac{d}{2}+1)j} \|v\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) f_j$$

when $j \geq j_0 + 1$. So, we obtain

$$\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h \\ \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \|(F, G)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}. \quad (3.16)$$

From (3.10), we also have

$$\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^h + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+2}}^h \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^h + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \|F\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^h + \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}}} \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}. \quad (3.17)$$

The two bounds (3.16) and (3.17), and (2.2) give

$$\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+2}}^h + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h \\ + \|(F, G)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}. \quad (3.18)$$

By (3.13) and (3.18), we complete the proof of Lemma 3.1.

3.2. Uniqueness. Suppose there are two solutions (u_1, τ_1) and (u_2, τ_2) of (3.1) with the same initial data. Let $(u, \tau) = (u_1 - u_2, \tau_1 - \tau_2)$. Then, (u, τ) satisfies $\operatorname{div} u_2 = 0$ and

$$u_t + \mathbb{P}(u_2 \cdot \nabla u) - \nu_1 \Delta u - \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau = -\mathbb{P}(u \cdot \nabla u_1) = \delta F, \\ \tau_t + u_2 \cdot \nabla \tau + \alpha \tau - D(u) = -u \cdot \nabla \tau_1 - [\mathcal{Q}(\tau_1, \nabla u_1) - \mathcal{Q}(\tau_2, \nabla u_2)] = \delta G. \quad (3.19)$$

We now show the uniqueness in \mathcal{F}_T defined in (3.9). By applying (3.8) to (3.19), we have

$$\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}_T} \lesssim \|\delta F\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|\delta G\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} + \|u_2\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}_T}.$$

We now bound the nonlinear terms. We first deal with $u \cdot \nabla u_1$ and $u \cdot \nabla \tau_1$ by using (2.3) and (2.5):

$$\|u \cdot \nabla u_1\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|u \cdot \nabla \tau_1\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \lesssim \|u_1\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|\tau_1\|_{L_T^2 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|u\|_{L_T^2 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \\ \lesssim \|(u_1, \tau_1)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}_T}.$$

Since $\mathcal{Q}(\tau_1, \nabla u_1) - \mathcal{Q}(\tau_2, \nabla u_2) \simeq (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\tau + \tau \nabla u_1 + \tau_2 \nabla u + (\nabla u_1 + \nabla u_2) \nabla u$,

$$\|\mathcal{Q}(\tau_1, \nabla u_1) - \mathcal{Q}(\tau_2, \nabla u_2)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \lesssim \left(\|(u_1, \tau_1)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} + \|(u_2, \tau_2)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \right) \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}_T}.$$

By all these bounds together, we deduce that

$$\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}_T} \lesssim \left(\|(u_1, \tau_1)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} + \|(u_2, \tau_2)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \right) \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}_T}.$$

Since $\|(u_1, \tau_1)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} + \|(u_2, \tau_2)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \lesssim \epsilon$, $(u, \tau) = 0$ in \mathcal{F}_T , we complete the uniqueness part.

3.3. Proof of Theorem 3.2. Let $v = u$, $F = 0$, and $-G = \mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) \simeq \tau^2 + \tau \nabla u + (\nabla u)^2$. If we do not take time integrations when bounding solutions in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+2}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \\ & \lesssim \|\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u)\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla u, \nabla \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\min(s, \frac{d}{2})+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

From (2.2) and (2.5), we bound two nonlinear terms

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u)\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} & \lesssim \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \right) \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+2}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right), \\ \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla u, \nabla \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l & \lesssim \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla u, \nabla \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{\min(s, \frac{d}{2}), \frac{d}{2}}} \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\min(s, \frac{d}{2})+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \end{aligned}$$

for $s > -\frac{d}{2}$. Thus, using (2.2) we can rearrange (3.20) to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+2}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \\ & \lesssim \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \right) \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+2}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^l. \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Since $\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \lesssim \epsilon$, the first term of the right-hand side of (3.21) can be absorbed into the left-hand side of (3.21). We now bound the remaining terms when $\frac{d}{2} - 1 \leq s \leq \frac{d}{2} + 1$. First of all, when $s \geq \frac{d}{2} - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l & = \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^l \|u\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h \|u\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l \\ & \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^l \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2}}^l + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h \|u\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^l \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

When $\frac{d}{2} - 1 \leq s \leq \frac{d}{2}$, we have

$$\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^l = \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^l \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^l + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^l \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^l \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1}}^l + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^l \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h.$$

When $\frac{d}{2} < s \leq \frac{d}{2} + 1$, we deal with $\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^l$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^l & \lesssim \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^l \right)^{1-\theta} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2}}^l \right)^\theta \left(\|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^l \right)^\beta \left(\|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1}}^l \right)^{1-\beta} + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^l \\ & \lesssim \left(\|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^l \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2}}^l \right)^\beta \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^l \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1}}^l \right)^{1-\beta} + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^l \\ & \lesssim \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^l \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2}}^l + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^l \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1}}^l + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^l \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h \\ & \lesssim \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^l + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^l \right) \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}}^l + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1}}^l \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta = \frac{2}{s+3-d/2}$, $\beta = \frac{s-d/2}{s+1-d/2}$ and we use $0 < \beta \leq \theta < 1$ when $\frac{d}{2} < s \leq \frac{d}{2} + 1$. That is, for $\frac{d}{2} - 1 \leq s \leq \frac{d}{2} + 1$,

$$\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^l \lesssim \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^l + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^l \right) \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}}^l + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1}}^l \right). \quad (3.23)$$

Therefore, all the terms of right-hand side of (3.21) can be absorbed into the left-hand side of (3.21) and we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+2}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \leq 0, \quad \frac{d}{2} - 1 \leq s \leq \frac{d}{2} + 1. \quad (3.24)$$

To make (3.24) as an ODE of the form $f' + cf^m \leq 0$ with $m > 1$, we need a lower bound of $\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2}}^l$ in terms of $\|u\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l$. Using (2.3) and letting $s = \frac{d}{2} - 1 + s_0$, $s_0 \in (0, 2]$, we have

$$\|u\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l \leq \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^l \right)^\theta \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2}}^l \right)^{1-\theta} \lesssim \epsilon^{\frac{2}{s_0+2}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2}}^l \right)^{\frac{s_0}{s_0+2}}$$

which yields that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) + \epsilon^{-\frac{2}{s_0}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l \right)^{1+\frac{2}{s_0}} + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \leq 0.$$

Again using the fact that $\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \lesssim \epsilon$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1+s_0, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_0, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) + C(\epsilon) \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1+s_0, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_0, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right)^{1+\frac{2}{s_0}} \leq 0.$$

By solving this inequality, we can obtain the decay rates in Theorem 3.2.

3.4. Proof of Corollary 3.1. • If $(u_0, \tau_0) \in L^2$, using the fact $\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \lesssim \epsilon$, it is easy to check that $(u, \tau) \in L_T^\infty L^2 \cap L_T^2 \dot{H}^1 \times L_T^\infty L^2 \cap L_T^2 L^2$ with $\|(u, \tau)(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{L^2}$ for all t . Then, (3.24) with (2.4) gives us

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{s}{2}}, \quad s \in \left(\frac{d}{2} - 1, \frac{d}{2} + 1 \right].$$

Using (2.4) again, we obtain (3.2). From $\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \lesssim \epsilon$ and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|\tau(t)\|_{L^2} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|\tau(t)\|_{L^2} &\leq C \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \\ \frac{d}{dt} \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} &\leq C \|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \leq C(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{d}{4}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\|\tau(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{d}{4}}.$$

Then, (3.3) is derived by (2.3) and (2.4).

• When $(u_0, \tau_0) \in \dot{B}_{2, \infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \times \dot{B}_{2, \infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, we use a variant of Fourier splitting method [41] in this framework. To this end, we need the following two estimates arising in low frequency part:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} f_j + 2^{2j} f_j &\leq X_j, \quad j \leq j_0, \\ f_j &\simeq \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}, \quad X_j \lesssim \|\Delta_j (u \cdot \nabla u)\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta_j \Lambda (u \cdot \nabla \tau)\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta_j \Lambda \mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u)\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^0}^l + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^1}^l \right) + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^2}^l + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^1}^l \lesssim \|\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u)\|_{\dot{B}^1}^l + (\|u\|_{\dot{B}^0} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^1}) \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{5}{2}}}. \quad (3.26)$$

Let $r(t)$ satisfying $2^{r(t)} = (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, then we can choose $t_1 \geq 0$ such that $r(t) < j_0$ for all $t \geq t_1$. Thus, for $t \geq t_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\|u\|_{\dot{B}^2}^l &= -\sum_{j \leq j_0} 2^{2j} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2} = -\sum_{j \leq r(t)} 2^{2j} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2} - \sum_{r(t) < j \leq j_0} 2^{2j} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq -(1+t)^{-1} \sum_{r(t) < j \leq j_0} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2} = -(1+t)^{-1} \|u\|_{\dot{B}^0}^l + (1+t)^{-1} \sum_{j \leq r(t)} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Together with (3.2) and (3.3), (3.26) arrives at

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^0}^l + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^1}^l \right) + (1+t)^{-1} \|u\|_{\dot{B}^0}^l + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^1}^l \\ \lesssim (1+t)^{-1} \sum_{j \leq r(t)} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2} + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^0} \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{5}{2}}} + (1+t)^{-\frac{9}{4}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

To obtain decay of $\sum_{j \leq r(t)} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}$, we use Duhamel's formula for (3.25):

$$f_j(t) \leq e^{-2^{2j}t} f_j(0) + \int_0^t e^{-2^{2j}(t-t')} X_j(t') dt',$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \leq r(t)} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{j \leq r(t)} f_j \lesssim \sum_{j \leq r(t)} 2^{\frac{3}{2}j} 2^{-\frac{3}{2}j} f_j(0) + \sum_{j \leq r(t)} \int_0^t 2^{\frac{5}{2}j} 2^{-\frac{5}{2}j} X_j(t') dt' \\ &\lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \left(\|u_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}}}^l + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}}}^l \right) + (1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}} \int_0^t \sup_{j \leq j_0} 2^{-\frac{5}{2}j} X_j(t') dt'. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sup_{j \leq j_0} 2^{-\frac{5}{2}j} X_j(t) \lesssim \|u \otimes u(t)\|_{L^1} + \|u \cdot \nabla \tau(t)\|_{L^1} + \|\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u)(t)\|_{L^1} \lesssim \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + (1+t)^{-1},$$

we get

$$\sum_{j \leq r(t)} \|\Delta_j u(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}}.$$

From (3.27), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[(1+t) \left(\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^0}^l + \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^1}^l \right) \right] \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}},$$

and equivalently

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^0}^l + \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^1}^l \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Repeating the above process again with $\|u(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^0} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ implies

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^0}^l + \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^1}^l \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}},$$

that is,

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^0} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}}.$$

Since

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l \lesssim \left(\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^0}^l \right)^{\frac{2}{s+2}} \left(\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2}}^l \right)^{\frac{s}{s+2}} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{2}{s+2}} \left(\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2}}^l \right)^{\frac{s}{s+2}}$$

from (2.3), (3.24) becomes

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s,\frac{5}{2}}} + \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1,\frac{5}{2}}} \right) + (1+t)^{\frac{3}{2s}} \left(\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l \right)^{1+\frac{2}{s}} + \|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{5}{2}}}^h + \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1,\frac{5}{2}}} \leq 0.$$

Moreover, from

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{5}{2}}}^h + \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1,\frac{5}{2}}} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}},$$

we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s,\frac{5}{2}}} + \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1,\frac{5}{2}}} \right) + (1+t)^{\frac{3}{2s}} \left(\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s,\frac{5}{2}}} + \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1,\frac{5}{2}}} \right)^{1+\frac{2}{s}} \leq 0.$$

By solving this inequality we get

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s,\frac{5}{2}}} + \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1,\frac{5}{2}}} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{s}{2}}, \quad s \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{2} \right],$$

and using (2.3) again, we obtain (3.4). From $\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \lesssim \epsilon$ and (3.4), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^0} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^0} \leq C \|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^1} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}},$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \leq C \|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{5}{2}}} \leq C(1+t)^{-2},$$

which implies

$$\|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^0} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}}, \quad \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{2}}} \lesssim (1+t)^{-2}.$$

Then, (3.5) is derived by (2.3).

3.5. Proof of Corollary 3.2. As in the proof of Theorem 3.2, if we do not take the time integrations when deriving (3.13) and (3.7) with $v = u$, $F = 0$, and $-G = \mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) \simeq \tau^2 + \tau \nabla u$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \right) + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \\ & \lesssim \|\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u)\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}}} + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla u, \nabla \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\min(s, \frac{d}{2})+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u)\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}}} & \lesssim \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \right) + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}}}, \\ \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla u, \nabla \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}-1}} & \lesssim \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla u, \nabla \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{\min(s, \frac{d}{2}), \frac{d}{2}-1}} \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\min(s, \frac{d}{2})+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \end{aligned}$$

for $s > -\frac{d}{2}$, (3.28) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \right) + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \\ & \lesssim \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \right) + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\min(s, \frac{d}{2})+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \right) \\ & \lesssim \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \right) + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^l \\ & + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^h + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

By (3.22), (3.23) and $\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}_T} \lesssim \epsilon$, the first three terms of the right-hand side of (3.29) can be absorbed into the left-hand side of (3.29) for $\frac{d}{2} - 1 \leq s \leq \frac{d}{2} + 1$. We also bound the remaining terms:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^h & = \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^l \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^h + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^h \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h, \\ \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}}} & = \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^l \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}}} + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \\ & \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^l \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h \end{aligned}$$

for $s \geq \frac{d}{2} - 1$. Thus, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \right) + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \leq 0, \quad \frac{d}{2} - 1 \leq s \leq \frac{d}{2} + 1.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 3.2, letting $s = \frac{d}{2} - 1 + s_0$, $s_0 \in (0, 2]$, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1+s_0, \frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_0, \frac{d}{2}}} \right) + \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1+s_0, \frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_0, \frac{d}{2}}} \right)^{1+\frac{2}{s_0}} \leq 0,$$

which implies Corollary 3.2.

4. CASE II: $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \alpha) = (+, 0, 0)$

In this section, we deal with (1.10) with $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \alpha) = (+, 0, 0)$:

$$u_t + u \cdot \nabla u - \nu_1 \Delta u + \nabla p = \operatorname{div} \tau, \quad (4.1a)$$

$$\tau_t + u \cdot \nabla \tau + \mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) = D(u), \quad (4.1b)$$

$$\operatorname{div} u = 0, \quad (4.1c)$$

where $\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) \simeq \tau \nabla u + (\nabla u)^2$. As in Section 3, we set the norms for initial data and solutions:

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0} & = \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}+1}}, \quad \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} = \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}_T} + \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{H}_T} \\ \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}_T} & = \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}+1}}, \quad \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{H}_T} = \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1, \frac{d}{2}+2}} + \|\Lambda^{-1} \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.1. There exists a constant ϵ such that if $(u_0, \tau_0) \in \mathcal{E}_0$ with $\|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0} \leq \epsilon$, there exists a unique solution (u, τ) in \mathcal{E}_T of (4.1) such that $\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \lesssim \|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}$ for all $T > 0$.

To prove Theorem 4.1, we use the following lemma dealing with the linearized system of (4.1) with a divergence-free vector field v :

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + \mathbb{P}(v \cdot \nabla u) - \nu_1 \Delta u - \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau &= F, \\ \tau_t + v \cdot \nabla \tau - D(u) &= G, \\ (\mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau)_t + \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div}(v \cdot \nabla \tau) - \frac{1}{2} \Delta u &= \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} G. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Lemma 4.1. Let $-\frac{d}{2} < s \leq \frac{d}{2} + 1$, $(u_0, \tau_0) \in \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}$, and $v \in L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}$. Then, a solution (u, τ) of (4.2) satisfies the following bound

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+2}} + \|\Lambda^{-1} \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \lesssim \|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \\ & + \|(F, G)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s-1, \frac{d}{2}}} + \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $s = \frac{d}{2} - 1$, $v = u$, $F = 0$, and $-G = \mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) \simeq \tau \nabla u + (\nabla u)^2$. By (2.5) and (2.8),

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \lesssim \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}_T} \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{H}_T}, \\ & \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla u, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-2, \frac{d}{2}}} \lesssim \|\tau \nabla u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|\nabla u \nabla \tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \lesssim \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \end{aligned}$$

and so Lemma 4.1 yields $\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \lesssim \|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}$ for all $T > 0$ when $\|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}$ is sufficiently small.

Remark 4.1. In Case II, we have the dissipative effect to the equation of $\mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau$. As in (3.20), if we do not take time integrations in the proof of (4.8) and (4.13) with $v = u$, $F = 0$ and $-G = \mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) \simeq \tau \nabla u + (\nabla u)^2$, we can obtain the following

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\Lambda^{-1} \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\Lambda^{-1} \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \\ & \lesssim \|\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u)\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla u, \nabla \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1, \frac{d}{2}}} + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\Lambda^{-1} \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

However in this form, the left-hand side is not enough to control the right-hand side and hence we cannot derive the decay rates of $\mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau$.

Remark 4.2. Using the bounds in the proof of Lemma 4.1, we can deduce the following bound

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}}} + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\Lambda^{-1} \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}}} \\ & + \|F\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|G\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}}} + \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}}} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Let $v = u$, $F = 0$ and $-G = \mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) \simeq \tau \nabla u$ and

$$\mathcal{F}_0 = \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \times \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}}, \quad \mathcal{F}_T = L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \cap L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1} \times L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}}. \quad (4.4)$$

Then, $\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}_T} \lesssim \|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{F}_0}$ for all $T > 0$ when $\|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{F}_0}$ is sufficiently small. So, we can reprove the results in [4].

4.1. Proof of Lemma 4.1.

4.1.1. **Low frequency part.** From (4.2), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu_1 \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 - (\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \operatorname{div} \tau) = (\Delta_j F, \Delta_j u) - (\Delta_j(v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j u), \quad (4.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j \Lambda^{-1} \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \operatorname{div} \tau) &= (\Delta_j \Lambda^{-1} \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} G, \Delta_j \Lambda^{-1} \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) \\ &\quad - (\Delta_j \Lambda^{-1} \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div}(v \cdot \nabla \tau), \Delta_j \Lambda^{-1} \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau), \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt}(\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) - \|\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu_1 (\Delta_j \Lambda^2 u, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) \\ &= (\Delta_j F, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) + (\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} G, \Delta_j u) - (\Delta_j (v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) - (\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} (v \cdot \nabla \tau), \Delta_j u). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Computing $\frac{1}{2}(4.5) + (4.6) - K_1(4.7)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} f_j^2 + h_j^2 = \tilde{F}_j^1 - \tilde{F}_j^2 - \tilde{F}_j^3 - \tilde{F}_j^4 \quad \text{for } j \leq j_0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_j^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \Lambda^{-1} \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_2^2 - 2K_1 (\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau), \\ h_j^2 &= \frac{\nu_1 - K_1}{2} \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + K_1 \|\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L^2}^2 - \nu_1 K_1 (\Delta_j \Lambda^2 u, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau), \\ \tilde{F}_j^1 &= \frac{1}{2} (\Delta_j F, \Delta_j u) + (\Delta_j \Lambda^{-1} \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} G, \Delta_j \Lambda^{-1} \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) - K_1 (\Delta_j F, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) - K_1 (\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} G, \Delta_j u), \\ \tilde{F}_j^2 &= \frac{1}{2} (\Delta_j (v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j u) - K_1 [(\Delta_j (v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) + (\Delta_j (v \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau), \Delta_j u)], \\ \tilde{F}_j^3 &= (\Delta_j \Lambda^{-1} (v \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau), \Delta_j \Lambda^{-1} \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau), \\ \tilde{F}_j^4 &= -K_1 (\Delta_j \mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau), \Delta_j u) + (\Delta_j \Lambda^{-1} \mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau), \Delta_j \Lambda^{-1} \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau). \end{aligned}$$

We apply Proposition 2.3 again to $\mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} (v \cdot \nabla \tau)$. For a sufficiently small $K_1 > 0$ and for each j_0 , we note that

$$f_j^2 \simeq \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \Lambda^{-1} \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L^2}^2, \quad h_j^2 \simeq \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L^2}^2 \simeq 2^{2j} f_j^2.$$

Also using (2.2), (2.6) and (2.7), we bound the nonlinear terms as

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{F}_j^1| + |\tilde{F}_j^4| &\lesssim c_j 2^{-sj} \left(\|(F, G)\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{s-1}}^l \right) f_j, \\ |\tilde{F}_j^2| &\lesssim c_j 2^{-sj} \|v\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\Lambda^{-1} \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) f_j, \\ |\tilde{F}_j^3| &\lesssim c_j 2^{-sj} \|v\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|\Lambda^{-1} \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} f_j, \end{aligned}$$

whenever $j \leq j_0$ and $-\frac{d}{2} < s \leq \frac{d}{2} + 1$. From these bounds, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^s}^l + \|\Lambda^{-1} \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^s}^l + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s+2}}^l + \|\Lambda^{-1} \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s+2}}^l \\ &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l + \|\Lambda^{-1} \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l + \|(F, G)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^s}^l + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s-1}}^l \\ &+ \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\Lambda^{-1} \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

From (4.2), we also have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu_1 \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 - (\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) = (\Delta_j F, \Delta_j u) - (\Delta_j (v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j u), \\ & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + (\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) = (\Delta_j G, \Delta_j \tau) - (\Delta_j (v \cdot \nabla \tau), \Delta_j \tau) \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

and so we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \tau\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + \nu_1 \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim c_j 2^{-sj} \left[\|(F, G)\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l + \|v\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) \right] (\|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta_j \tau\|_{L^2}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^s}^l + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^s}^l \lesssim \|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l + \|(F, G)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^s}^l + \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}.$$

By combining this with (4.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^s}^l + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^s}^l + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s+2}}^l + \|\Lambda^{-1} \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s+2}}^l \lesssim \|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l \\ & + \|(F, G)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^s}^l + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s-1}}^l + \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

4.1.2. **High frequency part.** From (4.2), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu_1 \|\Delta_j \Lambda^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 - (\Delta_j \Lambda u, \Delta_j \Lambda \operatorname{div} \tau) = (\Delta_j \Lambda F, \Delta_j \Lambda u) - (\Delta_j \Lambda(v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j \Lambda u) \quad (4.11)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\Delta_j \Lambda u, \Delta_j \Lambda \operatorname{div} \tau) = (\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} G, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) - (\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div}(v \cdot \nabla \tau), \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau). \quad (4.12)$$

By (4.11) + (2 + 2\nu_1 K_2)(4.12) - K_2(4.7), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} f_j^2 + h_j^2 = \tilde{G}_j^1 - \tilde{G}_j^2 - \tilde{G}_j^3, \quad \text{for } j \geq j_0 + 1,$$

where

$$f_j^2 = \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + (2 + 2\nu_1 K_2) \|\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L^2}^2 - 2K_2 (\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau),$$

$$h_j^2 = \nu_1 \|\Delta_j \Lambda^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{K_2}{2} \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + K_2 \|\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L^2}^2,$$

$$\tilde{G}_j^1 = (\Delta_j \Lambda F, \Delta_j \Lambda u) + (2 + 2\nu_1 K_2) (\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} G, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) - K_2 (\Delta_j F, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) - K_2 (\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} G, \Delta_j u),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{G}_j^2 &= (\Delta_j \Lambda(v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j \Lambda u) + (2 + 2\nu_1 K_2) (\Delta_j(v \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau), \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) \\ &\quad - K_2 [(\Delta_j(v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) + (\Delta_j(v \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau), \Delta_j u)], \end{aligned}$$

$$\tilde{G}_j^3 = (2 + 2\nu_1 K_2) (\Delta_j \mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau), \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) - K_2 (\Delta_j \mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau), \Delta_j u).$$

For a sufficiently small $K_2 > 0$ and for each j_0 , we have

$$f_j^2 \simeq \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L^2}^2, \quad h_j^2 \simeq \|\Delta_j \Lambda^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L^2}^2 \gtrsim f_j^2.$$

Also using (2.2), (2.6) and (2.7), we bound the nonlinear terms as

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \tilde{G}_j^1 \right| + \left| \tilde{G}_j^3 \right| &\lesssim c_j 2^{-\frac{d}{2}j} \left(\|(F, G)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^h \right) f_j, \\ \left| \tilde{G}_j^2 \right| &\lesssim c_j 2^{-\frac{d}{2}j} \|v\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}}} \right) f_j \end{aligned}$$

whenever $j \geq j_0 + 1$. From these bounds, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \|\mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^h + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \|\mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^h \\ & \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \|\mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^h + \|(F, G)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^h \\ & + \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}}} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

From (4.9), we also obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^h + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+2}}^h \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^h + \|\mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^h + \|F\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^h + \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}, \\ & \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h \lesssim \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+2}}^h + \|G\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these three inequalities, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+2}}^h + \|\Lambda^{-1} \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h \lesssim \|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h \\ & + \|(F, G)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^h + \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

By (4.10) and (4.14), we complete the proof of Lemma 4.1.

4.2. Uniqueness. We now assume that (u_1, τ_1) and (u_2, τ_2) are two solutions of (4.1) with the same initial data. Let $(u, \tau) = (u_1 - u_2, \tau_1 - \tau_2)$. Then, (u, τ) satisfies $\operatorname{div} u_2 = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + \mathbb{P}(u_2 \cdot \nabla u) - \nu_1 \Delta u - \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau &= -\mathbb{P}(u \cdot \nabla u_1) = \delta F, \\ \tau_t + u_2 \cdot \nabla \tau - D(u) &= -u \cdot \nabla \tau_1 - [\mathcal{Q}(\tau_1, \nabla u_1) - \mathcal{Q}(\tau_2, \nabla u_2)] = \delta G. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

We now show the uniqueness in \mathcal{F}_T defined in (4.4). By applying (4.3) to (4.15), we have

$$\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}_T} \lesssim \|\delta F\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|\delta G\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}}} + \|u_2\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}_T}.$$

We now look at each of the nonlinear terms in \mathcal{F}_T . First of all,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u \cdot \nabla u_1\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|u \cdot \nabla \tau_1\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} \|u_1\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|u\|_{L_T^2 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \|\tau_1\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}+1}} T^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim (1 + T^{1/2}) \|(u_1, \tau_1)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}_T}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{Q}(\tau_1, \nabla u_1) - \mathcal{Q}(\tau_2, \nabla u_2) \simeq \tau \nabla u_1 + \tau_2 \nabla u + (\nabla u_1 + \nabla u_2) \nabla u$, we get

$$\|\mathcal{Q}(\tau_1, \nabla u_1) - \mathcal{Q}(\tau_2, \nabla u_2)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}}} \lesssim \left(\|(u_1, \tau_1)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} + \|(u_2, \tau_2)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \right) \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}_T}.$$

By all these bounds, we deduce that

$$\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}_T} \lesssim (1 + T^{1/2}) \left(\|(u_1, \tau_1)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} + \|(u_2, \tau_2)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \right) \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}_T}.$$

Since $\|(u_1, \tau_1)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} + \|(u_2, \tau_2)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \lesssim \epsilon$, $(u, \tau) = 0$ in \mathcal{F}_T for some finite time $T > 0$. By repeating this process, we can conclude the uniqueness of solutions globally-in-time.

5. CASE III: $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \alpha) = (0, +, +)$

In this section, we deal with (1.10) with $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \alpha) = (0, +, +)$:

$$u_t + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = \operatorname{div} \tau, \quad (5.1a)$$

$$\tau_t + u \cdot \nabla \tau + \alpha \tau - \nu_2 \Delta \tau + \mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) = D(u), \quad (5.1b)$$

$$\operatorname{div} u = 0 \quad (5.1c)$$

with $\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) \simeq \tau^2 + \tau \nabla u + (\nabla u)^2$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0} &= \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}, \quad \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} = \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}_T} + \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{H}_T} \\ \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}_T} &= \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}, \quad \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{H}_T} = \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}+2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.1. There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $(u_0, \tau_0) \in \mathcal{E}_0$ with $\|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0} \leq \epsilon$, there exists a unique solution (u, τ) in \mathcal{E}_T of (5.1) such that $\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \lesssim \|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}$ for all $T > 0$.

Theorem 5.2. The solution of Theorem 5.1 has the following decay rates:

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1+s_0, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_0, \frac{d}{2}}} \leq C(\|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}, s_0)(1+t)^{-\frac{s_0}{2}}, \quad s_0 \in (0, 2].$$

Similar to Case I, we also derive the further decay rates of the solution of Theorem 5.1.

Corollary 5.1. Let $(u, \tau) \in \mathcal{E}_T$ be the solution of Theorem 5.1 with $(u_0, \tau_0) \in \mathcal{E}_0$.

• Assume that $(u_0, \tau_0) \in L^2$. Then, (u, τ) is in $L_T^\infty L^2 \times L_T^\infty L^2 \cap L_T^2 H^1$ and satisfies

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^s} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{s}{2}}, \quad s \in (0, \frac{d}{2} + 1],$$

$$\|\tau(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^s} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{s}{2}}, \quad s \in (0, \frac{d}{2}].$$

• Let $d = 3$ and assume that $(u_0, \tau_0) \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \times \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Then, (u, τ) has the further decay rates:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^s} &\lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{s}{2}}, \quad s \in [0, \frac{5}{2}], \\ \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^s} &\lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}-\frac{s}{2}}, \quad s \in [0, \frac{3}{2}]. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Corollary 5.1 is exactly same with that of Corollary 3.1 since the estimates of low frequency part are equivalent. Also, the comments in Remark 3.1 about the results in [28] and present paper still hold in Case III.

As before, to prove Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.2, the following linearized equation with a divergence-free vector field v is used

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + \mathbb{P}(v \cdot \nabla u) - \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau &= F, \\ \tau_t + v \cdot \nabla \tau + \alpha \tau - \nu_2 \Delta \tau - D(u) &= G, \\ (\mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau)_t + \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div}(v \cdot \nabla \tau) + \alpha \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau - \nu_2 \Delta(\mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) - \frac{1}{2} \Delta u &= \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} G. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Lemma 5.1. Let $-\frac{d}{2} - 1 < s_1 \leq \frac{d}{2} + 1$, $-\frac{d}{2} < s_2 \leq \frac{d}{2}$, $u_0 \in \dot{B}^{s_1, s_2+1}$, $\tau_0 \in \dot{B}^{s_1+1, s_2}$ and $v \in L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}$. Then, a solution (u, τ) of (5.2) satisfies the following bound

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_1, s_2+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_1+1, s_2}} + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1+2, s_2+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1+1, s_2+2}} \\ &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1, s_2+1}} + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1+1, s_2}} + \|F\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1, s_2+1}} + \|G\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1+1, s_2}} + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1, s_2-1}} \\ &+ \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_1, s_2+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\min(s_1, \frac{d}{2})+1, s_2}} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $(s_1, s_2) = (\frac{d}{2} - 1, \frac{d}{2})$, $v = u$, $F = 0$ and $-G = \mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) \simeq \tau^2 + \tau \nabla u + (\nabla u)^2$. Since

$$\|\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla u, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} \lesssim \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}_T} \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{H}_T},$$

Lemma 5.1 yields the desired result $\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \lesssim \|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}$ for all $T > 0$ when $\|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}$ is sufficiently small.

5.1. Proof of Lemma 5.1.

5.1.1. **Low frequency part.** From (5.2), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2 - (\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \operatorname{div} \tau) = (\Delta_j F, \Delta_j u) - (\Delta_j(v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j u), \tag{5.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + \alpha \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu_2 \|\Delta_j \Lambda^2 \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + (\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \Lambda^2 \operatorname{div} \tau) \\ = (\Delta_j \Lambda G, \Delta_j \Lambda \tau) - (\Delta_j \Lambda(v \cdot \nabla \tau), \Delta_j \Lambda \tau), \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) - \|\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + \alpha (\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \operatorname{div} \tau) + \nu_2 (\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \Lambda^2 \operatorname{div} \tau) \\ = (\Delta_j F, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) + (\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} G, \Delta_j u) - (\Delta_j(v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) - (\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div}(v \cdot \nabla \tau), \Delta_j u). \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Computing $\alpha(5.3) + K_1(5.4) + (5.5)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} f_j^2 + h_j^2 = \tilde{F}_j^1 - \tilde{F}_j^2 - \tilde{F}_j^3 - \tilde{F}_j^4 \quad \text{for } j \leq j_1,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_j^2 &= \alpha \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2 + K_1 \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + 2(\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau), \\
 h_j^2 &= \alpha K_1 \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu_2 K_1 \|\Delta_j \Lambda^2 \tau\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + (K_1 + \nu_2)(\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \Lambda^2 \operatorname{div} \tau), \\
 \tilde{F}_j^1 &= \alpha(\Delta_j F, \Delta_j u) + K_1(\Delta_j \Lambda G, \Delta_j \Lambda \tau) + (\Delta_j F, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) + (\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} G, \Delta_j u), \\
 \tilde{F}_j^2 &= \alpha(\Delta_j(v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j u) + (\Delta_j(v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) + (\Delta_j(v \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau), \Delta_j u), \\
 \tilde{F}_j^3 &= K_1(\Delta_j \Lambda(v \cdot \nabla \tau), \Delta_j \Lambda \tau), \\
 \tilde{F}_j^4 &= (\Delta_j \mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau), \Delta_j u).
 \end{aligned}$$

By choosing $K_1 = \frac{2C_0^2}{\alpha}$ and sufficiently small j_1 , we obtain

$$f_j^2 \simeq \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2, \quad h_j^2 \simeq \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2 \gtrsim 2^{2j} f_j^2.$$

Using (2.6) and (2.7), we also bound the nonlinear terms

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \tilde{F}_j^1 \right| + \left| \tilde{F}_j^4 \right| &\lesssim c_j 2^{-s_1 j} \left(\|F\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1}}^l + \|G\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1+1}}^l + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1}}^l \right) f_j, \\
 \left| \tilde{F}_j^2 \right| &\lesssim c_j 2^{-s_1 j} \|v\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1, s_2+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1+1, s_2}} \right) f_j, \\
 \left| \tilde{F}_j^3 \right| &\lesssim c_j 2^{-\min(s_1, \frac{d}{2})j} \|v\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\min(s_1, \frac{d}{2})+1, s_2}} \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2} \lesssim c_j 2^{-s_1 j} \|v\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\min(s_1, \frac{d}{2})+1, s_2}} f_j
 \end{aligned}$$

whenever $j \leq j_1$ and $-\frac{d}{2} - 1 < s_1 \leq \frac{d}{2} + 1$, $-\frac{d}{2} < s_2 \leq \frac{d}{2}$. From these bounds, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_1}}^l + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_1+1}}^l + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1+2}}^l + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1+3}}^l \\
 &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1}}^l + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1+1}}^l + \|F\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1}}^l + \|G\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1+1}}^l + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1}}^l \\
 &+ \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_1, s_2+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\min(s_1, \frac{d}{2})+1, s_2}} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (5.4), we also have

$$\|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_1+1}}^l + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1+1}}^l \lesssim \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1+1}}^l + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1+2}}^l + \|G\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1+1}}^l + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\min(s_1, \frac{d}{2})+1, s_2}} \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}.$$

Combining these two inequalities with (2.2), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_1}}^l + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_1+1}}^l + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1+2}}^l + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1+1}}^l \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1}}^l + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1+1}}^l + \|F\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1}}^l \\
 &+ \|G\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1+1}}^l + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1}}^l + \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_1, s_2+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\min(s_1, \frac{d}{2})+1, s_2}} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

5.1.2. High frequency part. Using (5.2), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 - (\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \Lambda^2 \operatorname{div} \tau) = (\Delta_j \Lambda F, \Delta_j \Lambda u) - (\Delta_j \Lambda(v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j \Lambda u), \tag{5.7}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + \alpha \|\Delta_j \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu_2 \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + (\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \operatorname{div} \tau) = (\Delta_j G, \Delta_j \tau) - (\Delta_j(v \cdot \nabla \tau), \Delta_j \tau). \tag{5.8}$$

By taking $\nu_2(5.7) + K_2(5.8) + (5.5)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} f_j^2 + h_j^2 = \tilde{G}_j^1 - \tilde{G}_j^2 - \tilde{G}_j^3, \quad \text{for } j \geq j_2 + 1,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
f_j^2 &= \nu_2 \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + K_2 \|\Delta_j \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + 2(\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau), \\
h_j^2 &= \alpha K_2 \|\Delta_j \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu_2 K_2 \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + (K_2 + \alpha)(\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \operatorname{div} \tau), \\
\tilde{G}_j^1 &= \nu_2(\Delta_j \Lambda F, \Delta_j \Lambda u) + K_2(\Delta_j G, \Delta_j \tau) + (\Delta_j F, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) + (\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} G, \Delta_j u), \\
\tilde{G}_j^2 &= \nu_2(\Delta_j \Lambda(v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j \Lambda u) + K_2(\Delta_j(v \cdot \nabla \tau), \Delta_j \tau) + (\Delta_j(v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) \\
&\quad + (\Delta_j(v \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau), \Delta_j u), \\
\tilde{G}_j^3 &= (\Delta_j \mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau), \Delta_j u).
\end{aligned}$$

By choosing $K_2 = \frac{2C_0^2}{\nu_2}$ and sufficiently large j_2 , we notice that

$$f_j^2 \simeq \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \tau\|_{L^2}^2, \quad h_j^2 \simeq \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2 \gtrsim f_j^2.$$

Moreover, (2.6) and (2.7) are also used to bound the nonlinear terms as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \tilde{G}_j^1 \right| + \left| \tilde{G}_j^3 \right| &\lesssim c_j 2^{-s_2 j} \left(\|F\|_{\dot{B}^{s_2+1}}^h + \|G\|_{\dot{B}^{s_2}}^h + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{s_2-1}}^h \right) f_j, \\
\left| \tilde{G}_j^2 \right| &\lesssim c_j 2^{-s_2 j} \|v\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1, s_2+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1, s_2}} \right) f_j
\end{aligned}$$

whenever $j \geq j_2 + 1$ and $-\frac{d}{2} - 1 < s_1 \leq \frac{d}{2} + 1$, $-\frac{d}{2} < s_2 \leq \frac{d}{2}$. From these bounds, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_2+1}}^h + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_2}}^h + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_2+1}}^h + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_2}}^h \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s_2+1}}^h + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s_2}}^h + \|F\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_2+1}}^h \\
&\quad + \|G\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_2}}^h + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_2-1}}^h + \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_1, s_2+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1, s_2}} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

From (5.8), we also bound τ as

$$\|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_2}}^h + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_2+2}}^h \lesssim \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s_2}}^h + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_2+1}}^h + \|G\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_2}}^h + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1, s_2}} \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}.$$

Combining these two inequalities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_2+1}}^h + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_2}}^h + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_2+1}}^h + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_2+2}}^h \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s_2+1}}^h + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s_2}}^h + \|F\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_2+1}}^h \\
&\quad + \|G\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_2}}^h + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_2-1}}^h + \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_1, s_2+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1, s_2}} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

5.1.3. Intermediate frequency part. Unlike the proof of Lemma 3.1, there is a gap between low and high frequency parts because we choose sufficiently small j_1 and sufficiently large j_2 to obtain (5.6) and (5.9), respectively. We now fill up the gap, $j_1 + 1 \leq j \leq j_2$. In fact, this part is very easy to handle since $2^j \simeq 1$, but we provide some details to complete the proof. From (5.3) + (5.8) + K(5.5), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} f_j^2 + h_j^2 = \tilde{H}_j^1 - \tilde{H}_j^2 - \tilde{H}_j^3 \quad \text{for } j_1 + 1 \leq j \leq j_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
f_j^2 &= \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + 2K(\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau), \\
h_j^2 &= \alpha \|\Delta_j \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu_2 \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2 - K \|\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{K}{2} \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + \alpha K(\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \operatorname{div} \tau) \\
&\quad + \nu_2 K(\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \Lambda^2 \operatorname{div} \tau) \\
\tilde{H}_j^1 &= (\Delta_j F, \Delta_j u) + (\Delta_j G, \Delta_j \tau) + K(\Delta_j F, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) + K(\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} G, \Delta_j u), \\
\tilde{H}_j^2 &= (\Delta_j(v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j u) + (\Delta_j(v \cdot \nabla \tau), \Delta_j \tau) + K[(\Delta_j(v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) + (\Delta_j(v \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau), \Delta_j u)], \\
\tilde{H}_j^3 &= K(\Delta_j \mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau), \Delta_j u).
\end{aligned}$$

For sufficiently small $K > 0$, we obtain

$$f_j^2 \simeq h_j^2 \simeq \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \tau\|_{L^2}^2,$$

and by (2.6) and (2.7) with a slight abuse of the fact $2^j \simeq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \tilde{H}_j^1 \right| + \left| \tilde{H}_j^3 \right| &\lesssim (\|\Delta_j F\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta_j G\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta_j \mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{L^2}) f_j, \\ \left| \tilde{H}_j^2 \right| &\lesssim c_j \|v\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1, s_2+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1, s_2}} \right) f_j, \end{aligned}$$

wherever $j_1 + 1 \leq j \leq j_2$. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_1}}^I + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_1+1}}^I + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1+2}}^I + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1+1}}^I \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1}}^I + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1+1}}^I + \|F\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1}}^I \\ &+ \|G\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1+1}}^I + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1}}^I + \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_1, s_2+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1, s_2}} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

where

$$\|f\|_{\dot{B}^s}^I := \sum_{j=j_1+1}^{j_2} 2^{js} \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^2} \simeq \sum_{j=j_1+1}^{j_2} \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^2}.$$

By (5.6), (5.9) and (5.10) with taking $j_0 = j_2$, we complete the proof of Lemma 5.1.

5.2. Uniqueness. If (u_1, τ_1) and (u_2, τ_2) are two solutions of (5.1) with the same initial data, let $(u, \tau) = (u_1 - u_2, \tau_1 - \tau_2)$. Then, (u, τ) satisfies $\operatorname{div} u_2 = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + \mathbb{P}(u_2 \cdot \nabla u) - \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau &= -\mathbb{P}(u \cdot \nabla u_1) = \delta F, \\ \tau_t + u_2 \cdot \nabla \tau + \alpha \tau - \nu_2 \Delta \tau - D(u) &= -u \cdot \nabla \tau_1 - [\mathcal{Q}(\tau_1, \nabla u_1) - \mathcal{Q}(\tau_2, \nabla u_2)] = \delta G. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

We now show the uniqueness of solutions by estimating (u, τ) in

$$\mathcal{F}_T := L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}} \cap L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1, \frac{d}{2}} \times L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}-1} \cap L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}+1}.$$

Lemma 5.1 with $(s_1, s_2) = (\frac{d}{2} - 1, \frac{d}{2} - 1)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}_T} &\lesssim \|\delta F\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}}} + \|\delta G\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla u_2, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}-2}} \\ &+ \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}-1}} \right) \|u_2\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

Using (2.2) and (2.5) repeatedly, we bound

$$\begin{aligned} \|u \cdot \nabla u_1\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}}} \|u_1\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}, \\ \|u \cdot \nabla \tau_1\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}-1}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}-1}} \|\tau_1\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}, \\ \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla u_2, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}-2}} &\lesssim \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla u_2, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} \lesssim \|u_2\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{Q}(\tau_1, \nabla u_1) - \mathcal{Q}(\tau_2, \nabla u_2) \simeq (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\tau + \tau \nabla u_1 + \tau_2 \nabla u + (\nabla u_1 + \nabla u_2)\nabla u$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{Q}(\tau_1, \nabla u_1) - \mathcal{Q}(\tau_2, \nabla u_2)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}-1}} &\lesssim \left(\|\tau_1\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} + \|\tau_2\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} + \|u_1\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}-1}} \\ &+ \left(\|\tau_2\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} + \|u_1\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|u_2\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1, \frac{d}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (5.12) and the bound of nonlinear terms, and using (2.2), we deduce that

$$\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}_T} \lesssim \left(\|(u_1, \tau_1)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} + \|(u_2, \tau_2)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \right) \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}_T}.$$

Since $\|(u_1, \tau_1)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} + \|(u_2, \tau_2)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \lesssim \epsilon$, $(u, \tau) = 0$ in \mathcal{F}_T which completes the uniqueness part.

5.3. Proof of Theorem 5.2. A similar argument of Theorem 3.2 can be used to prove Theorem 5.2. Indeed, if we do not take time integrations in the proof of Lemma 5.1 with $(s_1, s_2) = (s, \frac{d}{2})$ and $v = u$, $F = 0$, $-G = \mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) \simeq \tau^2 + \tau \nabla u + (\nabla u)^2$, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \right) + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+2}} \\ & \lesssim \|\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u)\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}}} + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla u, \nabla \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\min(s, \frac{d}{2})+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

From (2.2) and (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u)\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}}} & \lesssim \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \right) \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \right), \\ \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla u, \nabla \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}-1}} & \lesssim \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla u, \nabla \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{\min(s, \frac{d}{2}), \frac{d}{2}-1}} \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\min(s, \frac{d}{2})+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \end{aligned}$$

for $s > -\frac{d}{2}$. Thus, using (2.2) we can rearrange (5.13) to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \right) + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+2}} \\ & \lesssim \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \right) + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\min(s, \frac{d}{2})+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \right) \\ & \lesssim \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \right) \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \right) + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^l. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.22) and (3.23), we obtain that for $\frac{d}{2} - 1 \leq s \leq \frac{d}{2} + 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \right) + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+2}} \\ & \lesssim \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \right) \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \right) \lesssim \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{E}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{E}} \lesssim \epsilon$, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \right) + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+2}} \leq 0.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 3.2, using (2.3) and letting $s = \frac{d}{2} - 1 + s_0$, $s_0 \in (0, 2]$, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1+s_0, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_0, \frac{d}{2}}} \right) + C \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1+s_0, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_0, \frac{d}{2}}} \right)^{1+\frac{2}{s_0}} \leq 0,$$

which implies Theorem 5.2.

6. CASE IV: $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \alpha) = (0, +, 0)$

In this section, we consider (1.10) with $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \alpha) = (0, +, 0)$:

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p &= \operatorname{div} \tau, \\ \tau_t + u \cdot \nabla \tau - \nu_2 \Delta \tau + \mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) &= D(u), \\ \operatorname{div} u &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

where $\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) \simeq \tau \nabla u + (\nabla u)^2$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0} &= \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}}}, \quad \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} = \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}_T} + \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{H}_T} \\ \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}_T} &= \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}}}, \quad \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{H}_T} = \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1, \frac{d}{2}+2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 6.1. There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $(u_0, \tau_0) \in \mathcal{E}_0$ with $\|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0} \leq \epsilon$, there exists a unique solution (u, τ) in \mathcal{E}_T of (6.1) such that $\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \lesssim \|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}$ for all $T > 0$.

Theorem 6.2. The solutions of Theorem 6.1 have the following decay rates:

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1+s_0, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1+s_0, \frac{d}{2}}} \leq C(\|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}, s_0)(1+t)^{-\frac{s_0}{2}}, \quad s_0 \in (0, 2].$$

We also derive the further decay rates of the solution of Theorem 6.1.

Corollary 6.1. *Let $(u, \tau) \in \mathcal{E}_T$ be the solution of Theorem 6.1 with $(u_0, \tau_0) \in \mathcal{E}_0$.*

• *Assume that $(u_0, \tau_0) \in L^2$. Then, (u, τ) is in $L_T^\infty L^2 \times L_T^\infty L^2 \cap L_T^2 \dot{H}^1$ and satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^s} &\lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{s}{2}}, \quad s \in (0, \frac{d}{2} + 1], \\ \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^s} &\lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{s}{2}}, \quad s \in (0, \frac{d}{2}]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

• *Let $d = 3$ and assume that $(u_0, \tau_0) \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}}$. Then, (u, τ) has the further decay rates:*

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^s} &\lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{s}{2}}, \quad s \in [0, \frac{5}{2}], \\ \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^s} &\lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{s}{2}}, \quad s \in [0, \frac{3}{2}]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

Remark 6.1. Decay rates of Oldroyd type model with fractional diffusive stress $(-\Delta)^\beta \tau$ is considered in [44], and the results related to (6.1) in [44] ($\beta = 1$) is following: in three dimension, for $(u_0, \tau_0) \in L^1 \cap H^3$ with $\|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{L^1 \cap H^3} \leq \epsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}}, \quad \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \quad \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}}, \\ \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim (1+t)^{-2}, \quad \|\mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot \tau(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

In [44] the authors assume sufficiently small initial data in $L^1 \cap H^3$, but Corollary 6.1 only need the smallness assumption of (u_0, τ_0) in \mathcal{E}_0 , which is larger than H^3 . Moreover, (6.3) covers (6.4) since $\dot{B}^k \hookrightarrow \dot{H}^k$ and $\dot{B}^{\frac{3}{2}} \hookrightarrow L^\infty$. We also obtain the decay rates of the entire stress tensor τ , not $\mathbb{P}\nabla \cdot \tau$.

To prove Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 6.2, we begin with the following linearized equations with a divergence-free vector field v

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + \mathbb{P}(v \cdot \nabla u) - \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau &= F, \\ \tau_t + v \cdot \nabla \tau - \nu_2 \Delta \tau - D(u) &= G, \\ (\mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau)_t + \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div}(v \cdot \nabla \tau) - \nu_2 \Delta(\mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) - \frac{1}{2} \Delta u &= \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} G. \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

Lemma 6.1. Let $-\frac{d}{2} - 1 < s_1 \leq \frac{d}{2} + 1$, $-\frac{d}{2} < s_2 \leq \frac{d}{2}$, $u_0 \in \dot{B}^{s_1, s_2+1}$, $\tau_0 \in \dot{B}^{s_1, s_2}$, and $v \in L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}$. Then, a solution (u, τ) of (6.5) satisfies the following bound

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_1, s_2+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_1, s_2}} + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1+2, s_2+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1+2, s_2+2}} \\ &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1, s_2+1}} + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1, s_2}} + \|F\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1, s_2+1}} + \|G\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1, s_2}} + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1, s_2-1}} \\ &+ \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_1, s_2+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_1, s_2}} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $(s_1, s_2) = (\frac{d}{2} - 1, \frac{d}{2})$, $v = u$, $F = 0$ and $-G = \mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) \simeq \tau \nabla u + (\nabla u)^2$. Since

$$\|\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}}} + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla u, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} \lesssim \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}_T} \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{H}_T},$$

Lemma 6.1 provides the bound $\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \lesssim \|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}$ for all $T > 0$ when $\|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}$ is sufficiently small.

6.1. Proof of Lemma 6.1.

6.1.1. **Low frequency part.** First of all, using (6.5), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2 - (\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \operatorname{div} \tau) = (\Delta_j F, \Delta_j u) - (\Delta_j(v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j u), \quad (6.6)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu_2 \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + (\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \operatorname{div} \tau) = (\Delta_j G, \Delta_j \tau) - (\Delta_j(v \cdot \nabla \tau), \Delta_j \tau), \quad (6.7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt}(\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) - \|\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu_2 (\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \Lambda^2 \operatorname{div} \tau) \\ &= (\Delta_j F, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) + (\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} G, \Delta_j u) - (\Delta_j (v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) - (\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} (v \cdot \nabla \tau), \Delta_j u). \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

By proceeding to add (6.6), (6.7), and K_1 (6.8), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} f_j^2 + h_j^2 = \tilde{F}_j^1 - \tilde{F}_j^2 - \tilde{F}_j^3, \quad \text{for } j \leq j_0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_j^2 &= \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + 2K_1 (\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau), \\ h_j^2 &= \nu_2 \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2 - K_1 \|\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{K_1}{2} \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu_2 K_1 (\Delta_j \Lambda u, \Delta_j \Lambda \operatorname{div} \tau), \\ \tilde{F}_j^1 &= (\Delta_j F, \Delta_j u) + (\Delta_j G, \Delta_j \tau) + K_1 (\Delta_j F, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) + K_1 (\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} G, \Delta_j u), \\ \tilde{F}_j^2 &= (\Delta_j (v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j u) + (\Delta_j (v \cdot \nabla \tau), \Delta_j \tau) + K_1 [(\Delta_j (v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) + (\Delta_j (v \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau), \Delta_j u)], \\ \tilde{F}_j^3 &= K_1 (\Delta_j \mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau), \Delta_j u). \end{aligned}$$

For a sufficiently small $K_1 > 0$ and for each j_0 , we have

$$f_j^2 \simeq \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \tau\|_{L^2}^2, \quad h_j^2 \simeq \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2 \simeq 2^{2j} f_j^2.$$

Also using (2.2), (2.6) and (2.7), we bound \tilde{F}_j^3 terms

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{F}_j^1| + |\tilde{F}_j^3| &\lesssim c_j 2^{-s_1 j} \left(\|(F, G)\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1}}^l + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1}}^l \right) f_j, \\ |\tilde{F}_j^2| &\lesssim c_j 2^{-s_1 j} \|v\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1, s_2+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1, s_2}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

whenever $j \leq j_0$ and $-\frac{d}{2} - 1 < s_1 \leq \frac{d}{2} + 1$, $-\frac{d}{2} < s_2 \leq \frac{d}{2}$. From these bounds, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_1}}^l + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_1}}^l + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1+2}}^l + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1+2}}^l &\lesssim \|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1}}^l + \|(F, G)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1}}^l \\ &+ \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_1}}^l + \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_1, s_2+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_1, s_2}} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

6.1.2. High frequency part. Also using (6.5), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 - (\Delta_j \Lambda u, \Delta_j \Lambda \operatorname{div} \tau) = (\Delta_j \Lambda F, \Delta_j \Lambda u) - (\Delta_j \Lambda (v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j \Lambda u). \quad (6.10)$$

By computing ν_2 (6.10) + K_2 (6.7) + (6.8), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} f_j^2 + h_j^2 = \tilde{G}_j^1 - \tilde{G}_j^2 - \tilde{G}_j^3, \quad \text{for } j \geq j_0 + 1,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_j^2 &= \nu_2 \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + K_2 \|\Delta_j \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + 2(\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau), \\ h_j^2 &= \nu_2 K_2 \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + K_2 (\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \operatorname{div} \tau), \\ \tilde{G}_j^1 &= \nu_2 (\Delta_j \Lambda F, \Delta_j \Lambda u) + K_2 (\Delta_j G, \Delta_j \tau) + (\Delta_j F, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) + (\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} G, \Delta_j u), \\ \tilde{G}_j^2 &= \nu_2 (\Delta_j \Lambda (v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j \Lambda u) + K_2 (\Delta_j (v \cdot \nabla \tau), \Delta_j \tau) + (\Delta_j (v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) \\ &+ (\Delta_j (v \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau), \Delta_j u), \\ \tilde{G}_j^3 &= (\Delta_j \mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau), \Delta_j u). \end{aligned}$$

By choosing $K_2 = \frac{2C_0^2}{\nu_2}$ and sufficiently large j_0 , we obtain

$$f_j^2 \simeq \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \tau\|_{L^2}^2, \quad h_j^2 \simeq \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2 \gtrsim f_j^2.$$

Using (2.6) and (2.7), we estimate the nonlinear terms as

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \widetilde{G}_j^1 \right| + \left| \widetilde{G}_j^3 \right| &\lesssim c_j 2^{-s_2 j} \left(\|F\|_{\dot{B}^{s_2+1}}^h + \|G\|_{\dot{B}^{s_2}}^h + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{s_2-1}}^h \right) f_j, \\ \left| \widetilde{G}_j^2 \right| &\lesssim c_j 2^{-s_2 j} \|v\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1, s_2+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s_1, s_2}} \right) f_j \end{aligned}$$

whenever $j \geq j_0 + 1$ and $-\frac{d}{2} - 1 < s_1 \leq \frac{d}{2} + 1$, $-\frac{d}{2} < s_2 \leq \frac{d}{2}$. So, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_2+1}}^h + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_2}}^h + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_2+1}}^h + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_2}}^h &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s_2+1}}^h + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s_2}}^h + \|F\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_2+1}}^h \\ &+ \|G\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_2}}^h + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_2-1}}^h + \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_1, s_2+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_1, s_2}} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Also from (6.7), we obtain

$$\|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_2}}^h + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_2+2}}^h \lesssim \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s_2}}^h + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_2+1}}^h + \|G\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_2}}^h + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_1, s_2}} \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}.$$

Combining these two inequalities, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_2+1}}^h + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_2}}^h + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_2+1}}^h + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_2+2}}^h &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s_2+1}}^h + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s_2}}^h + \|F\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_2+1}}^h \\ &+ \|G\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_2}}^h + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s_2-1}}^h + \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_1, s_2+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s_1, s_2}} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

By (6.9) and (6.11), we complete the proof of Lemma 6.1.

6.2. Uniqueness. We assume that (u_1, τ_1) and (u_2, τ_2) are two solutions of (6.1) with the same initial data. Let $(u, \tau) = (u_1 - u_2, \tau_1 - \tau_2)$. Then (u, τ) satisfies $\operatorname{div} u_2 = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + \mathbb{P}(u_2 \cdot \nabla u) - \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau &= -\mathbb{P}(u \cdot \nabla u_1) = \delta F, \\ \tau_t + u_2 \cdot \nabla \tau - \nu_2 \Delta \tau - D(u) &= -u \cdot \nabla \tau_1 - [\mathcal{Q}(\tau_1, \nabla u_1) - \mathcal{Q}(\tau_2, \nabla u_2)] = \delta G. \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

We now show the uniqueness of solutions by estimating (u, τ) in

$$\mathcal{F}_T = L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}} \cap L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1, \frac{d}{2}} \times L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \cap L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}.$$

Lemma 6.1 with $(s_1, s_2) = (\frac{d}{2} - 1, \frac{d}{2} - 1)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}_T} &\lesssim \|\delta F\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}}} + \|\delta G\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla u_2, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}-2}} \\ &+ \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} \right) \|u_2\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

Using (2.2) and (2.5) repeatedly, we also estimate the following terms

$$\begin{aligned} \|u \cdot \nabla u_1\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}}} &\lesssim \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}}} \|u_1\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}, \quad \|u \cdot \nabla \tau_1\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} \lesssim \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} \|\tau_1\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}, \\ \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla u_2, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}-2}} &\lesssim \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla u_2, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} \lesssim \|u_2\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{Q}(\tau_1, \nabla u_1) - \mathcal{Q}(\tau_2, \nabla u_2) \simeq \tau \nabla u_1 + \tau_2 \nabla u + (\nabla u_1 + \nabla u_2) \nabla u$, we finally have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{Q}(\tau_1, \nabla u_1) - \mathcal{Q}(\tau_2, \nabla u_2)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} &\lesssim \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} \|u_1\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau_2\|_{L_T^2 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \|u\|_{L_T^2 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \\ &+ \left(\|u_1\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|u_2\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \lesssim \left(\|(u_1, \tau_1)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} + \|(u_2, \tau_2)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \right) \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}_T}. \end{aligned}$$

So, (6.13) and these estimates imply

$$\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}_T} \lesssim \left(\|(u_1, \tau_1)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} + \|(u_2, \tau_2)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \right) \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}_T}.$$

Since $\|(u_1, \tau_1)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} + \|(u_2, \tau_2)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \lesssim \epsilon$, $(u, \tau) = 0$ in \mathcal{F}_T . This completes the uniqueness part.

6.3. Proof of Theorem 6.2. If we do not take time integrations in the proof of Lemma 6.1 with $(s_1, s_2) = (s, \frac{d}{2})$, $v = u$, $F = 0$, $-G = \mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) \simeq \tau \nabla u + (\nabla u)^2$, we can obtain the following

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}}} \right) + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+2}} \\ & \lesssim \|\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u)\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}}} + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla u, \nabla \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

From (2.2) and (2.5), for $-\frac{d}{2} < s \leq \frac{d}{2} + 1$, the nonlinear terms are bounded as

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u)\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}}} & \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}}} \right) + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}}, \\ \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla u, \nabla \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}-1}} & \lesssim \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla u, \nabla \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{\min(s, \frac{d}{2}), \frac{d}{2}-1}} \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\min(s, \frac{d}{2})+1, \frac{d}{2}}} \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}}} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using (2.2), we can rewrite (6.14) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}}} \right) + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+2}} \\ & \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}}} \right) + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \\ & \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^h \right) + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l \\ & \quad + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1}}^l. \end{aligned} \quad (6.15)$$

Since $\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{E}} \lesssim \epsilon$ and (3.22), for $s \geq \frac{d}{2} - 1$ the first three terms of the right-hand side of (6.15) can be absorbed into the left-hand side of (6.15). As (3.22), we also bound $\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l & = \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^l \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l \\ & \lesssim \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^l \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2}}^l \right)^{1-\theta} \left(\|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^l \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2}}^l \right)^\theta + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l \\ & \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^l \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2}}^l + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^l \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2}}^l + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l \\ & \lesssim \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^l + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^l \right) \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}}^l + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2}}^l \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \theta = \frac{2}{s+3-d/2} \leq 1$. Similarly, we can bound $\|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1}}^l$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1}}^l & = \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^l \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1}}^l + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^h \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1}}^l \\ & \lesssim \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^l \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2}}^l \right)^\gamma \left(\|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^l \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2}}^l \right)^{1-\gamma} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^h \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1}}^l \\ & \lesssim \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^l \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2}}^l + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^l \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2}}^l + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}}^h \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1}}^l \\ & \lesssim \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^l + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^l \right) \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2}}^l + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}}}^l \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \gamma = \frac{1}{s+3-d/2} < 1$. Since all the terms of right-hand side of (6.15) can be absorbed into the left-hand side of (6.15), we derive

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}}} \right) + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+2}} \leq 0 \quad (6.16)$$

for $\frac{d}{2} - 1 \leq s \leq \frac{d}{2} + 1$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.2, using (2.3) and letting $s = \frac{d}{2} - 1 + s_0$, $s_0 \in (0, 2]$, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1+s_0, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1+s_0, \frac{d}{2}}} \right) + \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1+s_0, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1+s_0, \frac{d}{2}}} \right)^{1+\frac{2}{s_0}} \leq 0.$$

from which we prove Theorem 6.2.

6.4. **Proof of Corollary 6.1.** We follow the same argument in the proof of Corollary 3.1, so we present a brief proof here.

• If $(u_0, \tau_0) \in L^2$, then using the fact $\|(u, \tau)\| \lesssim \epsilon$, it is easy to show $(u, \tau) \in L_T^\infty L^2 \times L_T^\infty L^2 \cap L_T^2 \dot{H}^1$ with $\|(u, \tau)(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(\epsilon, \|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{L^2})$ for all t . Thus, (6.16) with (2.4) gives us

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}}} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{s}{2}}, \quad s \in \left(\frac{d}{2} - 1, \frac{d}{2} + 1\right],$$

which implies (6.2) using (2.4) again.

• When $(u_0, \tau_0) \in \dot{B}_{2, \infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}}$, we use the following two estimates arising in low frequency part:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} f_j + 2^{2j} f_j &\leq X_j, \quad j \leq j_0, \\ f_j &\simeq \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta_j \tau\|_{L^2}, \quad X_j \lesssim \|\Delta_j(u \cdot \nabla u)\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta_j(u \cdot \nabla \tau)\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta_j \mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u)\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.17)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|(u, \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^0}^l + \|(u, \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^2}^l \lesssim \|\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u)\|_{\dot{B}^0}^l + \|(u, \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^0} \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{5}{2}}}. \quad (6.18)$$

Let $r(t)$ and $t_1 \geq 0$ as in proof of Corollary 3.1, then for $t \geq t_1$ we have

$$-\|(u, \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^2}^l \leq -(1+t)^{-1} \|(u, \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^0}^l + (1+t)^{-1} \sum_{j \leq r(t)} \|(\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \tau)\|_{L^2}.$$

The inequality (6.18) arrives at

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|(u, \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^0}^l + (1+t)^{-1} \|(u, \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^0}^l \lesssim (1+t)^{-1} \sum_{j \leq r(t)} \|(\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \tau)\|_{L^2} + \|(u, \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^0} \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{5}{2}}}.$$

Using Duhamel's formula for (6.17), we obtain

$$\sum_{j \leq r(t)} \|(\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \tau)(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{j \leq r(t)} f_j(t) \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\dot{B}_{2, \infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}}}^l + (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}} \int_0^t \sup_{j \leq j_0} 2^{-\frac{3}{2}j} X_j(t') dt'.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{j \leq j_0} 2^{-\frac{3}{2}j} X_j(t) &\lesssim \|(u \cdot \nabla u)(t)\|_{L^1} + \|(u \cdot \nabla \tau)(t)\|_{L^1} + \|\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u)(t)\|_{L^1} \\ &\lesssim \|(u, \tau)(t)\|_{L^2} \|(\nabla u, \nabla \tau)(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\sum_{j \leq r(t)} \|(\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \tau)(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[(1+t) \|(u, \tau)(t)\|_{\dot{B}^0}^l \right] \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}},$$

and then

$$\|(u, \tau)(t)\|_{\dot{B}^0}^l \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}}.$$

By repeating the above process from this, we end up obtaining

$$\|(u, \tau)(t)\|_{\dot{B}^0} \lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}}.$$

From (6.16) and (2.3), we derive (6.3).

7. CASE V: $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \alpha) = (0, 0, +)$

We finally deal with (1.10) with $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \alpha) = (0, 0, +)$:

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p &= \operatorname{div} \tau, \\ \tau_t + u \cdot \nabla \tau + \alpha \tau + \mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) &= D(u), \\ \operatorname{div} u &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

with $\mathcal{Q}(\nabla u, \tau) \simeq \tau^2$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0} &= \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}+1}}, \quad \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} = \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}_T} + \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{H}_T}, \\ \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}_T} &= \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}+1}}, \quad \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{H}_T} = \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 7.1. There exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $(u_0, \tau_0) \in \mathcal{E}_0$ with $\|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0} \leq \epsilon$, there exists a unique solution (u, τ) in \mathcal{E}_T of (7.1) such that $\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \lesssim \|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}$ for all $T > 0$.

Theorem 7.2. The solutions of Theorem 7.1 have the following decay rates:

$$\|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1+s_0, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_0, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \leq C(\|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}, s_0)(1+t)^{-\frac{s_0}{2}}, \quad s_0 \in (0, 2].$$

We also derive the further decay rates of the solution of Theorem 7.1, but we omit the proof since it is exactly same with that of Corollary 3.1.

Corollary 7.1. Let $(u, \tau) \in \mathcal{E}_T$ be the solution of Theorem 7.1 with $(u_0, \tau_0) \in \mathcal{E}_0$.

• Assume that $(u_0, \tau_0) \in L^2$. Then, (u, τ) is in $L_T^\infty L^2 \times L_T^\infty L^2 \cap L_T^2 L^2$ and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^s} &\lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{s}{2}}, \quad s \in (0, \frac{d}{2} + 1], \\ \|\tau(t)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^s} \lesssim \begin{cases} (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{s}{2}}, & s \in (0, \frac{d}{2}], \\ (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{d}{4}}, & s \in (\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2} + 1]. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

• Let $d = 3$ and assume that $(u_0, \tau_0) \in \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \times \dot{B}_{2,\infty}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Then, (u, τ) has the further decay rates:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{B}^s} &\lesssim (1+t)^{-\frac{3}{4}-\frac{s}{2}}, \quad s \in [0, \frac{5}{2}], \\ \|\tau(t)\|_{\dot{B}^s} &\lesssim \begin{cases} (1+t)^{-\frac{5}{4}-\frac{s}{2}}, & s \in [0, \frac{3}{2}], \\ (1+t)^{-2}, & s \in (\frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}]. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

To prove Theorem 7.1 and Theorem 7.2, just as in the previous cases, we use the linearized equations with a divergence-free vector field v :

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + \mathbb{P}(v \cdot \nabla u) - \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau &= F, \\ \tau_t + v \cdot \nabla \tau + \alpha \tau - D(u) &= G, \\ (\mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau)_t + \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div}(v \cdot \nabla \tau) + \alpha \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau - \frac{1}{2} \Delta u &= \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} G. \end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

Lemma 7.1. Let $-\frac{d}{2} - 1 < s \leq \frac{d}{2} + 1$, $u_0 \in \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}$, $\tau_0 \in \dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}$, and $v \in L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}$. Then, a solution (u, τ) of (7.2) satisfies the following bound

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \\ &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|F\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|G\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}-1}} \\ &+ \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\min(s, \frac{d}{2})+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $s = \frac{d}{2} - 1$, $v = u$, $F = 0$ and $-G = \mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) \simeq \tau^2$. Since

$$\|\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla u, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}} \lesssim \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}^T} \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{H}^T},$$

we see that Lemma 7.1 implies $\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{E}^T} \lesssim \|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}$ for all $T > 0$ when $\|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}$ is sufficiently small.

7.1. Proof of Lemma 7.1.

7.1.1. **Low frequency part.** From (7.2), we first obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2 - (\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \operatorname{div} \tau) = (\Delta_j F, \Delta_j u) - (\Delta_j(v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j u), \quad (7.3)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + \alpha \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + (\Delta_j \Lambda u, \Delta_j \Lambda \operatorname{div} \tau) = (\Delta_j \Lambda G, \Delta_j \Lambda \tau) - (\Delta_j \Lambda(v \cdot \nabla \tau), \Delta_j \Lambda \tau), \quad (7.4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} (\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) - \|\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + \alpha (\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \operatorname{div} \tau) \\ &= (\Delta_j F, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) + (\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} G, \Delta_j u) - (\Delta_j(v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) - (\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div}(v \cdot \nabla \tau), \Delta_j u). \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

Then, $\alpha(7.3) + K_1(7.4) + (7.5)$ gives us

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} f_j^2 + h_j^2 = \tilde{F}_j^1 - \tilde{F}_j^2 - \tilde{F}_j^3 - \tilde{F}_j^4, \quad \text{for } j \leq j_0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_j^2 &= \alpha \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2 + K_1 \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + 2(\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau), \\ h_j^2 &= \alpha K_1 \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + K_1 (\Delta_j \Lambda u, \Delta_j \Lambda \operatorname{div} \tau), \\ \tilde{F}_j^1 &= \alpha (\Delta_j F, \Delta_j u) + K_1 (\Delta_j \Lambda G, \Delta_j \Lambda \tau) + (\Delta_j F, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) + (\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} G, \Delta_j u), \\ \tilde{F}_j^2 &= \alpha (\Delta_j(v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j u) + [(\Delta_j(v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) + (\Delta_j(v \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau), \Delta_j u)], \\ \tilde{F}_j^3 &= K_1 (\Delta_j \Lambda(v \cdot \nabla \tau), \Delta_j \Lambda \tau), \\ \tilde{F}_j^4 &= (\Delta_j \mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau), \Delta_j u). \end{aligned}$$

By choosing $K_1 = \frac{2C_0^2}{\alpha}$ and sufficiently small j_0 , we obtain

$$f_j^2 \simeq \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2, \quad h_j^2 \simeq \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2 \gtrsim 2^{2j} f_j^2.$$

By using (2.6) and (2.7), we next estimate the nonlinear terms:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \tilde{F}_j^1 \right| + \left| \tilde{F}_j^4 \right| &\lesssim c_j 2^{-sj} \left(\|F\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l + \|G\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1}}^l + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l \right) f_j, \\ \left| \tilde{F}_j^2 \right| &\lesssim c_j 2^{-sj} \|v\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) f_j, \\ \left| \tilde{F}_j^3 \right| &\lesssim c_j 2^{-\min(s, \frac{d}{2})j} \|v\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\min(s, \frac{d}{2})+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2} \lesssim c_j 2^{-sj} \|v\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\min(s, \frac{d}{2})+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} f_j \end{aligned}$$

whenever $j \leq j_0$ and $-\frac{d}{2} - 1 < s \leq \frac{d}{2} + 1$. From these bounds, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^s}^l + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s+1}}^l + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s+2}}^l + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s+3}}^l \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1}}^l + \|F\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^s}^l \\ & \quad + \|G\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s+1}}^l + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^s}^l + \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\min(s, \frac{d}{2})+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

From (7.4), we also bound τ as follows

$$\|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s+1}}^l + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s+1}}^l \lesssim \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1}}^l + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2}}^l + \|G\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s+1}}^l + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\min(s, \frac{d}{2})+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}.$$

These two inequalities and (2.2) allow us to derive

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^s}^l + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s+1}}^l + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s+2}}^l + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s+1}}^l &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l + \|\tau_0\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1}}^l + \|F\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^s}^l \\ &+ \|G\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{s+1}}^l + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^s}^l + \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\min(s, \frac{d}{2})+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.6)$$

7.1.2. High frequency part. From (7.2), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 - (\Delta_j \Lambda u, \Delta_j \Lambda \operatorname{div} \tau) = (\Delta_j \Lambda F, \Delta_j \Lambda u) - (\Delta_j \Lambda (v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j \Lambda u). \quad (7.7)$$

By using (7.7) + (7.4) + $K_2(7.5)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} f_j^2 + h_j^2 = \tilde{G}_j^1 - \tilde{G}_j^2 - \tilde{G}_j^3, \quad \text{for } j \geq j_0 + 1,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f_j^2 &= \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + 2K_2(\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau), \\ h_j^2 &= \alpha \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2 - K_2 \|\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{K_2}{2} \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + \alpha K_2(\Delta_j u, \Delta_j \operatorname{div} \tau), \\ \tilde{G}_j^1 &= (\Delta_j \Lambda F, \Delta_j \Lambda u) + (\Delta_j \Lambda G, \Delta_j \Lambda \tau) + K_2(\Delta_j F, \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) + K_2(\Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} G, \Delta_j u), \\ \tilde{G}_j^2 &= (\Delta_j \Lambda (v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j \Lambda u) + (\Delta_j \Lambda (v \cdot \nabla \tau), \Delta_j \Lambda \tau) + K_2(\Delta_j (v \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau) \\ &\quad + K_2(\Delta_j (v \cdot \nabla \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau), \Delta_j u), \\ \tilde{G}_j^3 &= K_2(\Delta_j \mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau), \Delta_j u). \end{aligned}$$

For a sufficiently small $K_2 > 0$ and j_0 fixed above, we deduce that

$$f_j^2 \simeq \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2, \quad h_j^2 \simeq \|\Delta_j \Lambda u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \Lambda \tau\|_{L^2}^2 \simeq f_j^2.$$

Also using (2.2), (2.6) and (2.7), we estimate \tilde{G}_j as

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \tilde{G}_j^1 \right| + \left| \tilde{G}_j^3 \right| &\lesssim c_j 2^{-\frac{d}{2}j} \left(\|(F, G)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^h \right) f_j, \\ \left| \tilde{G}_j^2 \right| &\lesssim c_j 2^{-\frac{d}{2}j} \|v\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) f_j \end{aligned}$$

whenever $j \geq j_0 + 1$ and $-\frac{d}{2} - 1 < s \leq \frac{d}{2} + 1$. From these bounds, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \|u\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \|\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h &\lesssim \|(u_0, \tau_0)\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \|(F, G)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h \\ &+ \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla v, \nabla \tau)\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1}}^h + \left(\|u\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) \|v\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.8)$$

By (7.6) and (7.8), we finish the proof of Lemma 7.1.

7.2. Uniqueness. We assume that (u_1, τ_1) and (u_2, τ_2) are two solutions of (7.1) with the same initial data. Let $(u, \tau) = (u_1 - u_2, \tau_1 - \tau_2)$. Then (u, τ) satisfies $\operatorname{div} u_2 = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + \mathbb{P}(u_2 \cdot \nabla u) - \mathbb{P} \operatorname{div} \tau &= -\mathbb{P}(u \cdot \nabla u_1) = \delta F, \\ \tau_t + u_2 \cdot \nabla \tau + \alpha \tau - D(u) &= -u \cdot \nabla \tau_1 - [\mathcal{Q}(\tau_1, \nabla u_1) - \mathcal{Q}(\tau_2, \nabla u_2)] = \delta G, \end{aligned} \quad (7.9)$$

where $\mathcal{Q}(\tau_1, \nabla u_1) - \mathcal{Q}(\tau_2, \nabla u_2) \simeq (\tau_1 + \tau_2)\tau$. We now show the uniqueness in $\mathcal{F}_T = L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}} \times L_T^\infty \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}$. From (7.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2 - (\Delta_j \operatorname{div} \tau, \Delta_j u) &= (\Delta_j \delta F, \Delta_j u) - (\Delta_j (u_2 \cdot \nabla u), \Delta_j u), \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\Delta_j \tau\|_{L^2}^2 + \alpha \|\Delta_j \tau\|_{L^2}^2 - (\Delta_j D(u), \Delta_j \tau) &= (\Delta_j \delta G, \Delta_j \tau) - (\Delta_j (u_2 \cdot \nabla \tau), \Delta_j \tau). \end{aligned}$$

By bounding the last terms using (2.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta_j \tau\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + \alpha \|\Delta_j \tau\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \lesssim \left[\|\Delta_j \delta F\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta_j \delta G\|_{L^2} + c_j 2^{-\frac{d}{2}j} \|u_2\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \right) \right] \left(\|\Delta_j u\|_{L^2} + \|\Delta_j \tau\|_{L^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

From this, we derive the following bound

$$\begin{aligned} \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}_T} & \lesssim \|\delta F\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} + \|\delta G\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} + \|u_2\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}_T} \\ & \lesssim \|u \cdot \nabla u_1\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} + \|u \cdot \nabla \tau_1\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} + \|(\tau_1 + \tau_2)\tau\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} + \|u_2\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}_T} \\ & \lesssim \left(\|u_1\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|u_2\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau_1\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau_1\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} + \|\tau_2\|_{L_T^1 \dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \right) \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}_T} \\ & \lesssim \left(\|(u_1, \tau_1)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} + \|(u_2, \tau_2)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \right) \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{F}_T}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|(u_1, \tau_1)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} + \|(u_2, \tau_2)\|_{\mathcal{E}_T} \lesssim \epsilon$, $(u, \tau) = 0$ in \mathcal{F}_T which completes the uniqueness part.

7.3. Proof of Theorem 7.2. If we do not take time integrations in the proof of Lemma 7.1 with $v = u$, $F = 0$, $-G = \mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u) \simeq \tau^2$, we can obtain the following

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \\ & \lesssim \|\mathcal{Q}(\tau, \nabla u)\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\mathbb{B}(\nabla u, \nabla \tau)\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}-1}} + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\min(s, \frac{d}{2})+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) \\ & \lesssim \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\min(s, \frac{d}{2})+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) \\ & \lesssim \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \right) \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^h + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|u\|_{\dot{B}^s}^l + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}} \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}^l. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.22) and (3.23), we have for $\frac{d}{2} - 1 \leq s \leq \frac{d}{2} + 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \\ & \lesssim \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}}} \right) \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) \lesssim \|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{E}} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|(u, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{E}} \lesssim \epsilon$, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) + \|u\|_{\dot{B}^{s+2, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{s+1, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \leq 0.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 3.2, using (2.3) and letting $s = \frac{d}{2} - 1 + s_0$, $s_0 \in (0, 2]$, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1+s_0, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_0, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right) + \left(\|u\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}-1+s_0, \frac{d}{2}+1}} + \|\tau\|_{\dot{B}^{\frac{d}{2}+s_0, \frac{d}{2}+1}} \right)^{1+\frac{2}{s_0}} \leq 0,$$

which implies Theorem 7.2.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

H. Bae and J. Shin were supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) grant funded by the Korea government(MSIT) (grant No. 2022R1A4A1032094).

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bahouri, J.-Y. Chemin, R. Danchin. Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations. *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, 343. Springer, 2011. xvi+523 pp.
- [2] O. Bejaoui, M. Majdoub. Global weak solutions for some Oldroyd models. *J. Differential Equations* **254** (2013), no. 2, 660–685.
- [3] J.Y. Chemin, N. Masmoudi. About lifespan of regular solutions of equations related to viscoelastic fluids. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **33** (2001), no. 1, 84–112.

- [4] Q. Chen, X. Hao. Global well-posedness in the critical Besov spaces for the incompressible Oldroyd-B model without damping mechanism. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **21** (2019), no. 3, Paper No. 42, 23 pp.
- [5] Z. Chen, L. Liu, D. Qin, W. Ye. Global Regularity for the Incompressible Oldroyd-B Model with Only Stress Tensor Dissipation in Critical L^p Framework. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **24** (2022), no. 2, Paper No. 54, 25 pp.
- [6] Y. Chen, W. Luo, Z. Yao. Blow up and global existence for the periodic Phan-Thein-Tanner model. *J. Differential Equations* **267** (2019), no. 11, 6758–6782.
- [7] Y. Chen, W. Luo, X. Zhai. Global well-posedness for the Phan-Thein-Tanner model in critical Besov spaces without damping. *J. Math. Phys.* **60** 061503 (2019).
- [8] Q. Chen, C. Miao. Global well-posedness of viscoelastic fluids of Oldroyd type in Besov spaces. *Nonlinear Analysis* **68** (2008), 1928–1939.
- [9] L. Chupin. Global Strong Solutions for Some Differential Viscoelastic Models. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **78** (2018) 2919–2949.
- [10] P. Constantin. Remarks on complex fluid models. *Mathematical aspects of fluid mechanics*, 70–87, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 402, *Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge*, 2012.
- [11] P. Constantin. Complex fluids and Lagrangian particles. *Topics in mathematical fluid mechanics*, 1–21, Lecture Notes in Math., 2073, Fond. CIME/CIME Found. Subser., *Springer, Heidelberg*, 2013.
- [12] P. Constantin. Analysis of hydrodynamic models. CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, 90. *Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA* 2017. ix+63 pp.
- [13] P. Constantin, M. Kliegl. Note on global regularity for two-dimensional Oldroyd-B fluids with diffusive stress. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **206** (2012), no. 3, 725–740.
- [14] P. Constantin, W. Sun. Remarks on Oldroyd-B and related complex fluid models. *Commun. Math. Sci.* **10** (2012), no. 1, 33–73.
- [15] P. Constantin, J. Wu, J. Zhao, Y. Zhu. High Reynolds number and high Weissenberg number Oldroyd-B model with dissipation. *J. Evol. Equ.* **21** (2021), no. 3, 2787–2806.
- [16] R. Danchin. Global existence in critical spaces for compressible Navier-Stokes equations. *Invent. Math.* **141** (2000), no. 3, 579–614.
- [17] R. Danchin. Global existence in critical spaces for flows of compressible viscous and heat-conductive gases. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **160** (2001), no. 1, 1–39.
- [18] F. De Anna, M. Paicu. The Fujita-Kato theorem for some Oldroyd-B model. *J. Funct. Anal.* **279** (2020), no. 11, 108761, 64 pp.
- [19] T. M. Elgindi, J. Liu. Global wellposedness to the generalized Oldroyd type models in \mathbb{R}^3 . *J. Differential Equations* **259** (2015), no. 5, 1958–1966.
- [20] T. M. Elgindi, F. Rousset. Global regularity for some Oldroyd-B type models. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **68** (2015), no. 11, 2005–2021.
- [21] D. Fang, M. Hieber, R. Zi. Global existence results for Oldroyd-B fluids in exterior domains: the case of non-small coupling parameters. *Math. Ann.* **357** (2013) 687–709.
- [22] D. Fang, R. Zi. Global solutions to the Oldroyd-B model with a class of large initial data. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **48** (2016) 1054–1084.
- [23] E. Fernández-Cara, F. Guillén, R.R. Ortega. Some theoretical results concerning non-Newtonian fluids of the Oldroyd kind. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* **26** (1998), no. 1, 1–29.
- [24] H. Giesekus. A unified approach to a variety of constitutive models for polymer fluids based on the concept of configuration-dependent molecular mobility. *Rheol. Acta* **21** (1982), 366–375.
- [25] C. Guillopé, J.-C. Saut. Existence results for the flow of viscoelastic fluids with a differential constitutive law. *Nonlinear Anal.* **15** (1990), no. 9, 849–869.
- [26] M. Hieber, H. Wen, R. Zi. Optimal decay rates for solutions to the incompressible Oldroyd-B model in \mathbb{R}^3 . *Nonlinearity* **32** (2019) 833–852.
- [27] D. Hu, T. Lelievre. New entropy estimates for Oldroyd-B and related models. *Commun. Math. Sci.* **5** (2007) 909–916.
- [28] J. Huang, Y. Wang, H. Wen, R. Zi. Optimal time-decay estimates for an Oldroyd-B model with zero viscosity *J. Differential Equations* **306** (2022) 456–491.
- [29] M. W. Johnson, D. Segalman. A model for viscoelastic fluid behavior which allows nonaffine deformation. *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* **4** (1977), 255–270.
- [30] W. M. Lai, D. Rubin, E. Krempl. Introduction to continuum mechanics. *Butterworth-Heinemann*, 4th. 2009.
- [31] Z. Lei. Global Existence of Classical Solutions for Some Oldroyd-B Model via the Incompressible Limit. *Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B* **27** (2006), 565–580.
- [32] Z. Lei, N. Masmoudi, Y. Zhou. Remarks on the blowup criteria for Oldroyd models. *J. Differential Equations* **248** (2010), 328–341.
- [33] P.L. Lions, N. Masmoudi. Global solutions for some Oldroyd models of non-Newtonian flows. *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B* **21** (2000), no. 2, 131–146.
- [34] N. Masmoudi. Global existence of weak solutions to macroscopic models of polymeric flows. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **96** (2011), 502–520.

- [35] J. G. Oldroyd. On the formulation of rheological equations of state. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A* **200** (1950), 523–541.
- [36] J. G. Oldroyd. Non-Newtonian effects in steady motion of some idealized elastico-viscous liquids. *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A* **245** (1958), 278–297.
- [37] N. Phan-Thien, R. I. Tanner. A new constitutive equation derived from network theory. *J. Non-Newton. Fluid Mech.* **2** (1977), 353–365.
- [38] M. Renardy. An existence theorem for model equations resulting from kinetic theories of polymer solutions. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **11** (2009), no. 1, 91–99.
- [39] M. Renardy. Global existence of solutions for shear flow of certain viscoelastic fluids.. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **22** (1991), 313–327.
- [40] M. Renardy. Mathematical analysis of viscoelastic flows. CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, 73. *Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM)*, 2000. x+104 pp.
- [41] M. E. Schonbek. L^2 decay for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **88** (1985), no. 3, 209–222.
- [42] R. Wan. Some new global results to the incompressible Oldroyd-B model. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **70** (2019), no. 1, Paper No. 28, 29 pp.
- [43] W. Wang, H. Wen. The Cauchy problem for an Oldroyd-B model in three dimensions. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **30** (2020), no. 1, 139–179.
- [44] P. Wang, J. Wu, X. Xu, Y. Zhong. Sharp decay estimates for Oldroyd-B model with only fractional stress tensor diffusion. *J. Funct. Anal.* **282** (2022), no. 4, Paper No. 109332, 55 pp.
- [45] J. Wu, F. Zhao. Global regularity for the generalized incompressible Oldroyd-B model with only stress tensor dissipation in critical Besov spaces. *J. Differential Equations* **316** (2022), 641–686.
- [46] Z. Ye. On the global regularity of the 2D Oldroyd-B-type model. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **198** (2019), 465–489.
- [47] Z. Ye, X. Xu. Global regularity for the 2D Oldroyd-B model in the corotational case. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **39** (2016), 3866–3879.
- [48] Y. Zhu. Global small solutions of 3D incompressible Oldroyd-B model without damping mechanism. *J. Funct. Anal.* **274** (2018), no. 7, 2039–2060.
- [49] R. Zi. Vanishing viscosity limit of the 3D incompressible Oldroyd-B model. *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré C, Analyse Non Linéaire* (2021), 1841–1867.
- [50] R. Zi, D. Fang, T. Zhang. Global solution to the incompressible Oldroyd-B model in the critical L^p framework: the case of the non-small coupling parameter. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **213** (2014), no. 2, 651–687.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ULSAN NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Email address: hantaek@unist.ac.kr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ULSAN NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Email address: sinjaey@unist.ac.kr