

---

# TCIG: TWO-STAGE CONTROLLED IMAGE GENERATION WITH QUALITY ENHANCEMENT THROUGH DIFFUSION

**Salaheldin Y. Mohamed**\*

Department of Computer and Systems Engineering  
Alexandria University  
Alexandria, Egypt  
{es-SalahAl-Din2023}@alexu.edu.eg

## ABSTRACT

In recent years, significant progress has been made in the development of text-to-image generation models. However, these models still face limitations when it comes to achieving full controllability during the generation process. Often, specific training or the use of limited models is required, and even then, they have certain restrictions. To address these challenges, A two-stage method that effectively combines controllability and high quality in the generation of images is proposed. This approach leverages the expertise of pre-trained models to achieve precise control over the generated images, while also harnessing the power of diffusion models to achieve state-of-the-art quality. By separating controllability from high quality, This method achieves outstanding results. It is compatible with both latent and image space diffusion models, ensuring versatility and flexibility. Moreover, This approach consistently produces comparable outcomes to the current state-of-the-art methods in the field. Overall, This proposed method represents a significant advancement in text-to-image generation, enabling improved controllability without compromising on the quality of the generated images.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

The current state-of-the-art text-to-image diffusion models (Croitoru et al. (2023), Rombach et al. (2022), Ramesh et al. (2022), Saharia et al. (2022), Yu et al. (2022)) have demonstrated remarkable power in generating a vast array of possible images from a single prompt. However, one limitation of these models is their lack of full controllability. When a user has a rough idea of how the generated image should look, it becomes challenging to convey those preferences through text alone. Specific details such as the exact location and regions of objects often require additional means of control. While some methods have been developed to address this controllability issue, they either involve training the model specifically for this purpose or fine-tuning it (Avrahami et al. (2023), Nichol et al. (2022), Ramesh et al. (2022), Rombach et al. (2022), Wang et al. (2022)). These approaches are constrained by computational power and the availability of training data. Another class of methods achieves controllability without requiring special training (Bar-Tal et al. (2023)), but they also have their limitations.

In this work, A novel method is proposed for generating controlled images that does not necessitate training. The proposed approach involves dividing the generation process into two stages. In the first stage, A pre-trained segmentation model is utilized to generate a highly controlled image based on a reference input segmentation mask. Although this first stage excels in control, it may lack quality and fine details. To address this, the output of the first stage is fed into a diffusion model in the second stage, which produces the final controlled output. By combining the power of a pre-trained segmentation model and a diffusion text-to-image model, TCIG (Two-stage Controlled Image Generation) enables the generation of controlled images from both text and segmentation mask inputs.

---

\*Use footnote for providing further information about author (webpage, alternative address)—*not* for acknowledging funding agencies. Funding acknowledgements go at the end of the paper.

Notably, TCIG achieves results comparable to state-of-the-art models, surpassing previous solutions in terms of controllability and overall performance.




Figure 1: Two stage generation, the first stage generates a perfectly controlled image and second stage for producing high quality final output.



Figure 2: Two stage generation, the first column is the input (text prompt + segmentation masks), second column is two sample outputs of the first stage, and third column is two outputs of the second stage from the two inputs of the second stage respectively.

## 2 RELATED WORK

Diffusion models (Dhariwal & Nichol (2021), Ho et al. (2020), Ramesh et al. (2022), Saharia et al. (2022) ) have emerged as dominant players in various vision tasks, with Latent Diffusion models (LDMs) (Rombach et al. (2022)) in particular revolutionizing the field by performing the diffusion process on a lower-dimensional level. This approach not only saves computational resources but also achieves state-of-the-art results.

In the pursuit of controllability in image generation, several models have introduced an additional form of input in the form of labeled semantic layouts (Ho et al. (2020), Nichol et al. (2022), Ramesh et al. (2022), Rombach et al. (2022), Saharia et al. (2022), Wang et al. (2022)). While these approaches provide some level of control, they often fall short of offering complete control over the generation process. Furthermore, they typically require costly training procedures and specific datasets. Alternatively, some models resort to fine-tuning pretrained models (Kawar et al. (2023), Kim et al. (2022), Meng et al. (2022)), while others manipulate the generation process of a pre-trained model (Bar-Tal et al. (2023), , Choi et al. (2021), Couairon et al. (2022), Hertz et al. (2022), Kong et al. (2022) Kwon & Ye (2023), Meng et al. (2022), Mokady et al. (2022), Tumanyan et al.




Figure 3: A comparison between this method (TCIG) and others (Avrahami et al. (2022), Bar-Tal et al. (2023), Rombach et al. (2022)). First row contains the input segmentation maps and it's text description prompt, last two rows are two samples of TCIG. figure was adapted from Bar-Tal et al. (2023).

(2022) ). However, these methods heavily rely on the underlying architectural structure and internal details of the pretrained model, making them less versatile and requiring training or fine-tuning.

In contrast to existing methods, this work takes a different approach that does not rely on the specific architecture or internal details of the pretrained model, eliminating the need for training or fine-tuning. Instead, A novel method is proposed that harnesses the power of a pre-trained segmentation model and a diffusion text-to-image model to achieve controllability in image generation. By dividing the generation process into two stages. This two-stage approach combines the strengths of both models, providing a powerful and controllable image generation method that rivals state-of-the-art models in terms of performance.

By avoiding the constraints of architecture dependency and costly training procedures, this method opens up new possibilities for generating controlled images without sacrificing quality or controllability.

### 3 METHOD

The model consists of two distinct stages. In the first stage, the objective is to generate an image that closely aligns with the input sketch (segmentation masks) and text, prioritizing the overall resemblance rather than focusing on the specific size and quality of the output image. The second stage, on the other hand, focuses on enhancing the image by increasing its resolution and refining the details, thereby improving its overall quality, see Figure 1 and Figure 2.




Figure 4: First stage, through the guidance of segmentation models and the CLIP network (Radford et al. (2021)), a controlled image is produced

#### 3.1 FIRST STAGE

The VQGAN+CLIP model (Crowson et al. (2022)) enables the generation of images from text prompts by leveraging a pretrained VQGAN. This process involves multiple forward and backpropagation iterations, guided by a CLIP network (Radford et al. (2021)), until it converges to a solution. To enhance this method, expert pretrained segmentation models are utilized to provide additional guidance. This aids in steering the embedding vector of the VQGAN towards an image that closely matches the target masks and achieving a higher level of control as in Figure 4.




Figure 5: sample outputs of TCIG, First row contains the input segmentation maps and its text description prompt, second row contains the final output

$$\mathcal{L}_{seg} = MSE(m_p, m_t) \quad (1)$$

Equation 1 is the loss calculated for the segmentation model guidance ( $\mathcal{L}_{seg}$ ) where MSE is the mean-squared-error loss function,  $m_p$  are the predicted masks and  $m_t$  are the target masks. Moreover, the aforementioned approach can be extended to incorporate multiple guiding segmentation models, each specializing in a specific subset of classes. By implementing a straightforward logic based on the input classes, the appropriate guiding models can be selected. This effectively resolves the challenge of employing a cumbersome mask encoder (Gafni et al. (2022)) or expert model, offering a scalable solution that supports unlimited classes. Additionally, to consolidate all components, the loss from the CLIP network (Radford et al. (2021)) is combined with the other losses Equation 2.

$$\mathcal{L}_t = \alpha_c \mathcal{L}_{clip} + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{s_i} \mathcal{L}_{seg_i} \quad (2)$$

Where  $\mathcal{L}_t$  is the total loss,  $\alpha_c$  is the loss factor for the loss from clip  $\mathcal{L}_{clip}$ ,  $\alpha_{s_i}$  is the factor for the loss from segmentation model  $i$ .

### 3.2 SECOND STAGE

The second stage of this image generation pipeline uses a pre-trained diffusion model to improve the quality of the generated image, specifically an Img-to-Img pipeline. This is possible because the image is not pure noise, which allows us to separate the controlling process from the refinement process. This is beneficial because it allows us to use state-of-the-art diffusion models to achieve high quality, more details, and a more realistic look, while still maintaining control over the image generation process. Another advantage of this approach is that it is very flexible, as any diffusion method of this type can be used. Additionally, fewer diffusion steps is needed than when generating an image from pure noise. To address the problem of imperfect masks, the parameters are adjusted of the diffusion model to allow for more flexibility. This is necessary because the output from the first stage can overfit the input masks, which are often generated by non-expert users and therefore imperfect.

Table 1: the IoU metric comparison done on the COCO dataset (Lin et al. (2015)) for this method and Avrahami et al. (2022), Rombach et al. (2022) and Bar-Tal et al. (2023). Table was adapted from Bar-Tal et al. (2023)

IoU	
SI	$0.16 \pm 0.10$
BLD	$0.17 \pm 0.11$
multidiffusion	$0.26 \pm 0.12$
TCIG	<b><math>0.30 \pm 0.26</math></b>

## 4 EXPERIMENTS

This method allows users to flexibly and controllably generate images (see Figure 5). It generates highly diverse samples because starting with a random vector  $Z$  in the first stage converges to a different output, and every first stage output can generate multiple second stage outputs (see Figure 2). Additionally, the generated images comply with both the text and input masks.

First, this method is quantitatively compared with (Avrahami et al. (2022), Bar-Tal et al. (2023), and Rombach et al. (2022)) (see Table 1). To do this, the COCO dataset (Lin et al. (2015)) is used, specifically the validation portion of the data. the DeepLabv3 model (Chen et al. (2017)) is used as the guiding segmentation model and the stable diffusion model (Rombach et al. (2022)) Img-to-Img pipeline. Pascal VOC (Everingham et al. (2010)) classes were only considered, and like multidiffusion (Ramesh et al. (2022)), the final filtered set consists of images with 2 to 4 foreground objects excluding people and masks that occupy less than 5% of the image. the Intersection over Union (IoU) metric is also used with respect to the ground-truth segmentation (Table 1).

Second, the method is compared qualitatively with (Avrahami et al. (2022), Bar-Tal et al. (2023), Rombach et al. (2022)) noting that not all of these models are public, and it’s noticeable that TCIG produce better fitting images with the input masks (see Figure 3).

## 5 CONCLUSION

Controllable image generation has been one of the major challenges in AI, and it remains so today. Despite the computational power limitations of GPUs faced in developing this method, it has managed to achieve a unique methodology for generating controlled images. This method has the advantages of being very flexible and easily integrated with state-of-the-art diffusion models for text-to-image generation. Additionally, it provides more control over the generation process while still allowing for some flexibility to overcome imperfect masks. TCIG’s results are comparable to state-of-the-art models, if not setting new state-of-the-art results. This work will trigger further research into separating control from high-quality image generation.

## REFERENCES

- Omri Avrahami, Ohad Fried, and Dani Lischinski. Blended latent diffusion. 2022. doi: 10.1145/3592450.
- Omri Avrahami, Thomas Hayes, Oran Gafni, Sonal Gupta, Yaniv Taigman, Devi Parikh, Dani Lischinski, Ohad Fried, and Xi Yin. SpaText: Spatio-textual representation for controllable image generation. In *2023 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*. IEEE, jun 2023. doi: 10.1109/cvpr52729.2023.01762. URL <https://doi.org/10.1109%2Fcvpr52729.2023.01762>.
- Omer Bar-Tal, Lior Yariv, Yaron Lipman, and Tali Dekel. Multidiffusion: Fusing diffusion paths for controlled image generation, 2023.
- Liang-Chieh Chen, George Papandreou, Florian Schroff, and Hartwig Adam. Rethinking atrous convolution for semantic image segmentation, 2017.

- 
- Jooyoung Choi, Sungwon Kim, Yonghyun Jeong, Youngjune Gwon, and Sungroh Yoon. Ilvr: Conditioning method for denoising diffusion probabilistic models, 2021.
- Guillaume Couairon, Jakob Verbeek, Holger Schwenk, and Matthieu Cord. Diffedit: Diffusion-based semantic image editing with mask guidance, 2022.
- Florinel-Alin Croitoru, Vlad Hondru, Radu Tudor Ionescu, and Mubarak Shah. Diffusion models in vision: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 45(9): 10850–10869, sep 2023. doi: 10.1109/tpami.2023.3261988. URL <https://doi.org/10.1109%2Ftpami.2023.3261988>.
- Katherine Crowson, Stella Biderman, Daniel Kornis, Dashiell Stander, Eric Hallahan, Louis Casciatto, and Edward Raff. Vqgan-clip: Open domain image generation and editing with natural language guidance, 2022.
- Prafulla Dhariwal and Alex Nichol. Diffusion models beat gans on image synthesis, 2021.
- Mark Everingham, Luc Van Gool, Christopher K. I. Williams, John M. Winn, and Andrew Zisserman. The pascal visual object classes (voc) challenge. *Int. J. Comput. Vis.*, 88(2): 303–338, 2010. URL <http://dblp.uni-trier.de/db/journals/ijcv/ijcv88.html#EveringhamGWWZ10>.
- Oran Gafni, Adam Polyak, Oron Ashual, Shelly Sheynin, Devi Parikh, and Yaniv Taigman. Make-a-scene: Scene-based text-to-image generation with human priors, 2022.
- Amir Hertz, Ron Mokady, Jay Tenenbaum, Kfir Aberman, Yael Pritch, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Prompt-to-prompt image editing with cross attention control, 2022.
- Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models, 2020.
- Bahjat Kawar, Shiran Zada, Oran Lang, Omer Tov, Huiwen Chang, Tali Dekel, Inbar Mosseri, and Michal Irani. Imagic: Text-based real image editing with diffusion models, 2023.
- Gwanghyun Kim, Taesung Kwon, and Jong Chul Ye. Diffusionclip: Text-guided diffusion models for robust image manipulation, 2022.
- Chaerin Kong, DongHyeon Jeon, Ohjoon Kwon, and Nojun Kwak. Leveraging off-the-shelf diffusion model for multi-attribute fashion image manipulation, 2022.
- Gihyun Kwon and Jong Chul Ye. Diffusion-based image translation using disentangled style and content representation, 2023.
- Tsung-Yi Lin, Michael Maire, Serge Belongie, Lubomir Bourdev, Ross Girshick, James Hays, Pietro Perona, Deva Ramanan, C. Lawrence Zitnick, and Piotr Dollár. Microsoft coco: Common objects in context, 2015.
- Chenlin Meng, Yutong He, Yang Song, Jiaming Song, Jiajun Wu, Jun-Yan Zhu, and Stefano Ermon. Sedit: Guided image synthesis and editing with stochastic differential equations, 2022.
- Ron Mokady, Amir Hertz, Kfir Aberman, Yael Pritch, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Null-text inversion for editing real images using guided diffusion models, 2022.
- Alex Nichol, Prafulla Dhariwal, Aditya Ramesh, Pranav Shyam, Pamela Mishkin, Bob McGrew, Ilya Sutskever, and Mark Chen. Glide: Towards photorealistic image generation and editing with text-guided diffusion models, 2022.
- Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, Gretchen Krueger, and Ilya Sutskever. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision, 2021.
- Aditya Ramesh, Prafulla Dhariwal, Alex Nichol, Casey Chu, and Mark Chen. Hierarchical text-conditional image generation with clip latents, 2022.
- Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models, 2022.

---

Chitwan Saharia, William Chan, Saurabh Saxena, Lala Li, Jay Whang, Emily Denton, Seyed Kamyar Seyed Ghasemipour, Burcu Karagol Ayan, S. Sara Mahdavi, Rapha Gontijo Lopes, Tim Salimans, Jonathan Ho, David J Fleet, and Mohammad Norouzi. Photorealistic text-to-image diffusion models with deep language understanding, 2022.

Narek Tumanyan, Michal Geyer, Shai Bagon, and Tali Dekel. Plug-and-play diffusion features for text-driven image-to-image translation, 2022.

Tengfei Wang, Ting Zhang, Bo Zhang, Hao Ouyang, Dong Chen, Qifeng Chen, and Fang Wen. Pretraining is all you need for image-to-image translation, 2022.

Jiahui Yu, Yuanzhong Xu, Jing Yu Koh, Thang Luong, Gunjan Baid, Zirui Wang, Vijay Vasudevan, Alexander Ku, Yinfei Yang, Burcu Karagol Ayan, Ben Hutchinson, Wei Han, Zarana Parekh, Xin Li, Han Zhang, Jason Baldridge, and Yonghui Wu. Scaling autoregressive models for content-rich text-to-image generation, 2022.