

Solving the Multiobjective Quasi-Clique Problem

Daniela Scherer dos Santos^{a,*}, Kathrin Klamroth^b, Pedro Martins^c, Luís Paquete^a

^a*CISUC, Department of Informatics Engineering, University of Coimbra, 3030-290, Portugal*

^b*School of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, University of Wuppertal, 42119, Germany*

^c*Coimbra Business School - ISCAC, Polytechnic Institute of Coimbra, 3045-601, Portugal*

Abstract

Given a simple undirected graph G , a quasi-clique is a subgraph of G whose density is at least γ ($0 < \gamma \leq 1$). Finding a maximum quasi-clique has been addressed from two different perspectives: *i*) maximizing vertex cardinality for a given edge density; and *ii*) maximizing edge density for a given vertex cardinality. However, when no a priori preference information about cardinality and density is available, a more natural approach is to consider the problem from a multiobjective perspective. We introduce the *Multiobjective Quasi-clique Problem* (MOQC), which aims to find a quasi-clique by simultaneously maximizing both vertex cardinality and edge density. To efficiently address this problem, we explore the relationship among MOQC, its single-objective counterpart problems, and a biobjective optimization problem, along with several properties of the MOQC problem and quasi-cliques. We propose a baseline approach using ε -constraint scalarization and introduce a *Two-phase* strategy, which applies a dichotomic search based on weighted sum scalarization in the first phase and an ε -constraint methodology in the second phase. Additionally, we present a *Three-phase* strategy that combines the dichotomic search used in *Two-phase* with a vertex-degree-based local search employing novel sufficient conditions to assess quasi-clique efficiency, followed by an ε -constraint in a final stage. Experimental results on real-world sparse graphs indicate that the integrated use of dichotomic search and local search, together with mechanisms to assess quasi-clique efficiency, makes the *Three-phase* strategy an effective approach for solving the MOQC problem in terms of running time and ability to produce new efficient quasi-cliques.

Keywords:

Combinatorial Optimization, Multiobjective Quasi-Clique Problem, Multiobjective Subgraph Problem, Maximum Quasi-Clique Problem, Densest k -Subgraph Problem

1. Introduction

Given a simple undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ with a set of vertices V and a set of edges E , a subset of vertices $S \subseteq V$ is called a *quasi-clique* if the density of the subgraph $G(S)$ induced by S is at least a given threshold $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ [1, 2].

*Corresponding author.

Email addresses: dssantos@dei.uc.pt (Daniela Scherer dos Santos), klamroth@uni-wuppertal.de (Kathrin Klamroth), pmartins@iscac.pt (Pedro Martins), paquete@dei.uc.pt (Luís Paquete)

One can define two optimization problem variants for quasi-cliques [1, 3]: (i) given a graph and γ , the objective is to discover a γ -quasi-clique with the maximum number of vertices; (ii) given a graph and an integer constant k , the objective is to identify a subgraph of k vertices with the maximum density (or, equivalently, the maximum edge cardinality). The variant (i) is known as the *Maximum Quasi-Clique* (MQC) Problem [1] while (ii) is commonly referred to under different terms in the literature, such as *Maximum Edge Subgraph Problem* [4], *Densest k -Set Problem* [5], *k -Cluster Problem* [6], *Heaviest Unweighted Subgraph Problem* [7]; in this work, we refer to (ii) as the *Densest k -Subgraph* (DKS) Problem [8]. Both MQC and DKS problems are known to be NP-hard [9, 8, 10] and find applications in many real-world scenarios such as social networks [11], telecommunications [2], and Bioinformatics [12, 13, 14]. Consequently, many exact [12, 15, 16, 5, 17, 18, 10, 19, 20], as well as heuristic approaches [1, 2, 14, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27] have been developed to address these problems. Additionally, approximation algorithms have been proposed for addressing the DKS problem [28, 16, 29, 30, 7, 31].

Solving these problems requires providing a priori information, such as the minimum density threshold (γ) for MQC, and the desired vertex cardinality (k) for DKS. However, when considering these problems in the context of practical applications, specifying this information with a high degree of precision may be challenging and limiting. Fixing a constraint value, whether for cardinality or density leads to the inevitable loss of information about other potential solutions that remain unexplored. For instance, violating the vertex cardinality constraint may be acceptable if the trade-off with respect to the potential improvement in density is favorable. Therefore, a possible way of addressing this problem is to consider a *multiobjective* perspective where density and cardinality are objectives to be maximized simultaneously. We call such a problem a *Multiobjective Quasi-clique* (MOQC) problem.

Up to our knowledge, the MOQC problem has been only briefly mentioned in [32] in the context of social network analysis of bilateral investment treaties among countries. This allows them to understand the formation of highly cohesive subgroups of countries, which are represented as quasi-cliques. However, the authors do not give any implementation details about the solution method. Additionally, we can consider approaches proposed for a related problem called the multiobjective subgraph mining problem [33, 34, 35, 36, 37]. This problem involves mining subgraphs in a given set of graphs according to two or more objectives, such as subgraph frequency, number of vertices, density, connectivity, and diameter. However, the existing approaches to this problem are heuristic methods, whereas our work uniquely focuses on exact strategies.

In this article, we develop solution approaches to the MOQC problem. We explore the fact that an optimal solution to the DKS problem or the MQC problem is always a (weakly) efficient solution to the related MOQC problem, which naturally leads to an ε -constraint-type solution approach [38] to the latter. Moreover, we establish a link between the set of efficient solutions to the MOQC problem and a subset of weakly efficient solutions of a biobjective optimization problem, for which it is possible to find a certain subset of efficient solutions in polynomial time. Additionally, we explore a particular property of quasi-cliques, known as *quasi-heredity* [10, 39], which allows to derive a local search approach to the new biobjective problem with a guarantee of optimality under particular conditions. We investigate the combination of these techniques to develop an effective solution approach to the MOQC problem and report experimental results on a wide set of benchmark instances.

The remainder of this work is organized as follows. Section 2 gives the relevant notations and definitions for the scope of this work. In Section 3 the main properties of the MOQC problem are presented. Section 4 presents our proposed strategies to approach the MOQC problem. Section 5 shows the computational experiments and results. Finally, the conclusions are given in Section 6.

An appendix is included to provide detailed proofs to support the discussions in the main text.

2. Definitions and Notations

In this section, we establish key notations and definitions that are used throughout this paper. We consider an undirected and simple graph $G = (V, E)$, where V and E are the vertex and edge sets of G , respectively. For a set of vertices $S \subseteq V$, we denote by $G_S = (S, E(S))$ the subgraph induced by S in G . The *density* of G_S , denoted by $\text{dens}(G_S)$, is the ratio between the number of edges in G_S and the number of edges in a complete graph with $|S|$ vertices, that is,

$$\text{dens}(G_S) = \frac{2 \cdot |E(S)|}{|S| \cdot (|S| - 1)}$$

The degree of a vertex v in G_S is the number of vertices in G_S adjacent to v , and it is denoted by $\text{deg}_{G_S}(v)$. The minimum and the maximum degree of G_S is denoted by $\delta(G_S)$ and $\Delta(G_S)$, respectively. The induced subgraph G_S is called *clique* if G_S is complete, that is, every two distinct vertices in G_S are adjacent. The largest clique in G is termed the *maximum clique*, and its size is denoted by $\omega(G)$. The problem of finding the maximum clique is known as the *Maximum Clique* problem.

In the following, we introduce the Maximum Quasi-Clique (MQC) problem and the Densest k -subgraph (DKS) problem.

Definition 1 (MQC problem). *Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ and a constant γ , where $0 < \gamma \leq 1$, find a subgraph G_S induced by $S \subseteq V$ such that*

$$S \in \arg \max_{S' \subseteq V} \{|S'| : \text{dens}(G_{S'}) \geq \gamma\}$$

For $\gamma = 1$, the MQC problem becomes the Maximum Clique problem.

Definition 2 (DKS problem). *Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ and a positive integer $2 \leq k \leq |V|$, find a subgraph G_S induced by $S \subseteq V$ such that*

$$S \in \arg \max_{S' \subseteq V} \{\text{dens}(G_{S'}) : |S'| = k\}$$

For a given graph G , we will use $\text{MQC}(G, \gamma)$ and $\text{DKS}(G, k)$ when referring to any state-of-the-art approach that returns the optimal value for the MQC and DKS problems for given parameters γ and k , respectively. We now introduce the Multiobjective Quasi-Clique (MOQC) problem.

Definition 3 (MOQC problem). *Given a graph $G = (V, E)$, find a subgraph G_S induced by $S \subseteq V$ such that*

$$S \in \arg \max_{S' \subseteq V} \{(\text{dens}(G_{S'}), |S'|)\}$$

We will use the following convention throughout the paper: Given that a subgraph of k vertices with density γ^* in the MOQC problem is a feasible γ^* -quasi-clique for the MQC problem with $\gamma = \gamma^*$, we state that any induced subgraph for the MOQC problem is also a quasi-clique.

The MOQC problem, in general, does not have a unique optimal quasi-clique because density and vertex cardinality are conflicting objectives. The largest quasi-clique is not necessarily the

densest, and simultaneously, the densest is not necessarily the largest. This implies that improving one objective may lead to degradation in the other. Consequently, to assess the quality of the quasi-cliques, it is necessary to specify the notion of optimality to be used. In the following, we adopt the usual notion of *efficiency* in multiobjective optimization [40].

A quasi-clique G_S , $S \subseteq V$, is *weakly-efficient* if there exists no other quasi-clique $G_{S'}$, for any $S' \subseteq V$, such that $\text{dens}(G_{S'}) > \text{dens}(G_S)$ and $|S'| > |S|$. If G_S is weakly-efficient, then $z = (\text{dens}(G_S), |S|)$ is a *weakly-nondominated* point. A quasi-clique G_S is called *efficient* if there exists no other quasi-clique $G_{S'}$ such that $\text{dens}(G_{S'}) \geq \text{dens}(G_S)$ and $|S'| \geq |S|$ with at least one strict inequality. If G_S is efficient, then $z = (\text{dens}(G_S), |S|)$ is a *nondominated* point. The set of all weakly-efficient quasi-cliques is the *weakly efficient set*, \mathcal{E}_G^w , and the set of all weakly-nondominated points is the *weakly-nondominated set*, \mathcal{Z}_G^w . The set of all efficient quasi-cliques is the *efficient set*, \mathcal{E}_G , and the set of all nondominated points is the *nondominated set*, \mathcal{Z}_G . Note that $\mathcal{E}_G \subseteq \mathcal{E}_G^w$ and $\mathcal{Z}_G \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_G^w$.

Let $\mathbb{R}_\leq^2 = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^2 : z \leq \mathbf{0}\}$ be the negative orthant (that defines the dominating cone), and let $\mathcal{Z}_G^\leq = \text{conv}\{\mathcal{Z}_G \oplus \mathbb{R}_\leq^2\}$, where \oplus represents the Minkowski sum. The boundary and interior of \mathcal{Z}_G^\leq are denoted by $\text{bd}(\mathcal{Z}_G^\leq)$ and $\text{int}(\mathcal{Z}_G^\leq)$, respectively. A nondominated point $z^* \in \mathcal{Z}_G$ is *supported* if $z^* \in \text{bd}(\mathcal{Z}_G^\leq)$ and *nonsupported* if $z^* \in \text{int}(\mathcal{Z}_G^\leq)$. Moreover, if z^* is supported and an extreme point of \mathcal{Z}_G^\leq , then z^* is an *extreme supported* point. The sets of all supported, all extreme supported, and all nonsupported points are denoted as \mathcal{Z}_G^s , \mathcal{Z}_G^e , and \mathcal{Z}_G^n , respectively. The corresponding sets of quasi-cliques are denoted analogously as \mathcal{E}_G^s , \mathcal{E}_G^e , and \mathcal{E}_G^n , respectively.

We also consider a related multiobjective optimization problem, the *Multiobjective Subgraph* (MOS) problem. This problem consists of finding a quasi-clique of G that maximizes the number of edges and minimizes the number of vertices. Formally, it can be defined as follows:

Definition 4 (MOS problem). *Given a graph $G = (V, E)$, find a subgraph G_S induced by $S \subseteq V$ such that*

$$S \in \arg \max_{S' \subseteq V} \{(E(S'), -|S'|)\}$$

The same notion of efficiency for the MOQC problem also applies to the MOS problem with the required changes. The weakly-efficient set of the MOS problem is denoted as $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_G^w$, the weakly-nondominated set as $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^w$, the efficient set as $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_G$, and the nondominated set as $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G$. The remaining sets of points and solutions are denoted analogously.

Furthermore, similar to the assertion made for the MOQC problem, we state that any induced subgraph in the MOS problem is also a quasi-clique.

Figure 1 illustrates the (weakly) nondominated sets of problems MOQC and MOS for the graph $G = C_4$ shown in Figure 1a. Note that while the point $(0, -1)$ (corresponding to an induced subgraph with only one node) is always nondominated for problem MOS, a corresponding point does not exist for problem MOQC since the density is only defined for induced subgraphs with at least two nodes.

3. Main properties of the MOQC Problem

In this section, we enumerate some properties of the MOQC problem which allows us to derive the main algorithmic results in later sections.




Figure 1: Illustration of the (weakly) nondominated sets of problems MOQC and MOS, respectively, for the graph G shown in (a).

3.1. Basic properties

We first state the main results in terms of (in)tractability and monotonicity.

Proposition 1. For a given graph $G = (V, E)$, $|\mathcal{Z}_G| \leq |V| - 1$.

Proof. This follows from the fact that the second objective function of problem MOQC can have at most $|V| - 1$ different values, and each such value can occur in at most one nondominated point. \square

The property stated in Proposition 1, which does not hold in general for multiobjective combinatorial optimization problems (see, e.g., the discussion in [41]), makes the MOQC problem particularly appealing to ε -constraint methods [38]. However, despite a tractable number of nondominated points, finding a quasi-clique by ε -constraint scalarization is an NP-hard problem since it implies solving either a DKS or an MQC problem. Note, however, that some nondominated points can be found easily, for instance, graph G is always an efficient quasi-clique.

Proposition 2. For a given graph $G = (V, E)$ and a given parameter k , $2 < k \leq |V|$, let the quasi-cliques $G_{S^{k-1}}$ and G_{S^k} be subgraphs induced by $S^{k-1} \subseteq V$ and $S^k \subseteq V$, respectively, such that

$$S^{k-1} \in \arg \max_{S' \subseteq V} \{ \text{dens}(G_{S'}) : |S'| = k - 1 \}$$

$$S^k \in \arg \max_{S' \subseteq V} \{ \text{dens}(G_{S'}) : |S'| = k \}$$

Then, $\text{dens}(G_{S^k}) \leq \text{dens}(G_{S^{k-1}})$.

Proof. Let $v \in S^k$ be a vertex of minimum degree, $\text{deg}_{G_{S^k}}(v) = \delta(G_{S^k})$ in G_{S^k} , i.e., $\text{deg}_{G_{S^k}}(v) \leq \frac{2|E(S^k)|}{k}$. Now let $G_{S'}$ be a subgraph induced by $S' = S^{k-1} \setminus \{v\}$. Then, $\text{dens}(G_{S^{k-1}}) \geq \text{dens}(G_{S'})$ by definition of $G_{S^{k-1}}$. Moreover, $\text{dens}(G_{S'}) = \frac{2(|E(S^k)| - \text{deg}_{G_{S^k}}(v))}{(k-1)(k-2)} \geq \frac{2(|E(S^k)| - 2|E(S^k)|/k)}{(k-1)(k-2)} = \frac{2|E(S^k)|(k-2)/k}{(k-1)(k-2)} = \text{dens}(G_{S^k})$, which completes the proof. \square

From the monotonicity property in Proposition 2, we can derive that the quasi-clique with maximum density for a given fixed cardinality is always weakly efficient. This will be analyzed in more detail in Section 3.2 below. Furthermore, this implies that the largest quasi-clique with a density larger than a given γ is also weakly efficient.

Finally, note that, for a given graph $G = (V, E)$, the set \mathcal{E}_G contains the following two (lexicographically optimal) quasi-cliques: (i) the maximum clique of G , and (ii) the graph G .

3.2. Relation between DKS, MQC, and MOQC

Addressing a constrained optimization problem as a multiobjective optimization problem has been discussed in the literature. For instance, [42] establishes interrelations between both problems, which allow to develop general methods that can solve the multiobjective optimization problem by solving associated constrained optimization problems and vice versa. In fact, ε -constraint methods [38] explore this intertwining between the two types of problems. In the following, we enumerate some properties that relate MOQC with the constrained versions, DKS and MQC.

Proposition 3. *For a given graph $G = (V, E)$, and given parameters k , $1 < k \leq |V|$, and $\gamma \in (0, 1]$, let the quasi-cliques G_{S^k} and G_{S^γ} be subgraphs induced by $S^k \subseteq V$ and $S^\gamma \subseteq V$, respectively, such that*

$$\begin{aligned} S^k &\in \arg \max_{S' \subseteq V} \{ \text{dens}(G_{S'}) : |S'| = k \} \\ S^\gamma &\in \arg \max_{S' \subseteq V} \{ |S'| : \text{dens}(G_{S'}) \geq \gamma \} \end{aligned}$$

Then, $\{G_{S^k}, G_{S^\gamma}\} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_G^w$.

Proof. By Proposition 2 and the definition of G_{S^k} , there is no subgraph $G_{S^{k+i}}$ with $k+i$ vertices such that $\text{dens}(G_{S^{k+i}}) > \text{dens}(G_{S^k})$, for $i = 1, \dots, |V| - k$. Moreover, the definition of G_{S^γ} implies that there is no subgraph $G_{S'}$ with $\text{dens}(G_{S'}) > \gamma$ and $|S'| > |S^\gamma|$. \square

Proposition 3 states that an optimal quasi-clique for both the MQC problem and the DKS problem is weakly-efficient for the related MOQC problem. Therefore, solving the MQC problem for a range of γ values enables the identification of the weakly-efficient set for the MOQC problem. The same principle applies to the DKS problem for $k = 1, \dots, |V|$. This forms the basis for developing the following two ε -constraint methods for MOQC.

Algorithm 1 shows the pseudo-code of the first ε -constraint method to obtain the nondominated set \mathcal{Z}_G of a MOQC problem, by an alternating sequence of MQC and DKS problems (see Lines 4 and 5, in Algorithm 1, respectively), where at each iteration of the main loop, a nondominated point is found. The first nondominated point corresponds to the density and number of vertices of graph G (Line 1, Algorithm 1). If G is a complete graph, the algorithm terminates; otherwise, it enters the main loop. Subsequently, an MQC problem is solved for a given $\gamma + e$. Here, e is a sufficiently small positive constant, i.e., $e = \frac{\gamma(k^2-k)-2}{k^2-3k+2} - \gamma$, where γ and k are the density and vertex cardinality, respectively, of the current weakly-efficient quasi-clique G_S and $\frac{\gamma(k^2-k)-2}{k^2-3k+2}$ anticipates the density of a potential subsequent quasi-clique $G_{S'}$ with one fewer vertex ($|S'| = |S| - 1$) and one fewer edge ($|E(S')| = |E(S)| - 1$). Next, for each weakly-efficient quasi-clique of cardinality k identified by solving an MQC problem, it is needed to solve a related DKS problem with the cardinality constraint set to k . This is essential for obtaining a nondominated

Algorithm 1: MQC-DKS-based ε -constraint method for problem MOQC

Data: $G = (V, E), e > 0$
Result: \mathcal{Z}_G
1 $\mathcal{Z}_G \leftarrow \{(dens(G), |V|)\}$
2 $\gamma \leftarrow dens(G)$
3 **while** $\gamma < 1$ **do**
4 $k \leftarrow MQC(\gamma + e)$
5 $\gamma \leftarrow DKS(k)$
6 $\mathcal{Z}_G \leftarrow \mathcal{Z}_G \cup \{(\gamma, k)\}$
7 **end**

point corresponding to the densest efficient quasi-clique with k vertices. The algorithm terminates when a maximum clique of G is found. Note that this approach requires at most $|\mathcal{Z}_G|$ MQC problems and $|\mathcal{Z}_G|$ DKS problems to be solved.

It is also possible to exchange the ordering of the problems to be solved within the main loop (a *DKS-MQC* variant), allowing the DKS problem to be solved with an inequality cardinality constraint and the MQC problem to be solved with an equality constraint. In that case, the ε -constraint method would start from the maximum clique in G . However, this would in general not change the maximum number of problems to be solved.

Algorithm 2 shows the pseudo-code of an ε -constraint method based only on solving a sequence of DKS problems. Given the equality constraint in the DKS problem, it is necessary to consider all possible cardinality values, ranging from $|V|$ to the size of the maximum clique. We recall from Proposition 3 that every optimal quasi-clique for the DKS problem is also weakly efficient for MOQC. Therefore, using the main result from Proposition 2, for each quasi-clique of size k that maximizes density, the algorithm verifies if the previously found efficient quasi-clique has a smaller density (Line 7, Algorithm 2). If so, the quasi-clique is efficient, and the corresponding nondominated point is stored. Note that this approach requires solving at most $|V|$ DKS problems.

It is worth mentioning that a similar strategy could be employed using the MQC problem with an equality constraint on the density value (an *MQC-based* variant). However, this would imply a discretization of all possible density values.

3.3. Relation between MOQC and MOS problems

An equivalent formulation of the DKS problem, as given in Definition 2, is to consider the maximization of the number of edges in the quasi-clique instead of its density. In this article, we name the latter the *edge-based* DKS problem (*e-DKS* problem).

Definition 5 (*e-DKS* problem). *Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ and a positive integer $2 \leq k \leq |V|$, find a subgraph G_S induced by $S \subseteq V$ such that*

$$S \in \arg \max_{S' \subseteq V} \{|E(S')| : |S'| = k\}$$

For a given graph G , $e\text{-DKS}(G, k)$ refers to any state-of-the-art approach providing the optimal value for the *e-DKS* problem with parameter k .

The *e-DKS* problem is closely related to the MOS problem (see Definition 4), where the goal is to find a quasi-clique that maximizes the number of edges and minimizes the number of

Algorithm 2: DKS-based ε -constraint method for problem MOQC

Data: $G = (V, E)$
Result: \mathcal{Z}_G

```
1  $\mathcal{Z}_G \leftarrow \{(dens(G), |V|)\}$ 
2  $k \leftarrow |V|$ 
3  $\gamma \leftarrow dens(G)$ 
4 while  $\gamma < 1$  do
5    $k \leftarrow k - 1$ 
6    $\gamma' \leftarrow DKS(k)$ 
7   if  $\gamma' > \gamma$  then
8      $\gamma \leftarrow \gamma'$ 
9      $\mathcal{Z}_G \leftarrow \mathcal{Z}_G \cup \{(\gamma, k)\}$ 
10  end
11 end
```

vertices. Indeed, analogous to Proposition 3 we immediately have that an optimal solution of the e -DKS problem is at least weakly efficient for problem MOS. In the following, we investigate the relation between the MOS problem and the MOQC problem.

Proposition 4. *For a given graph $G = (V, E)$,*

- i) Let G_S be an efficient quasi-clique for the MOQC problem. Then, G_S is a weakly-efficient quasi-clique for the MOS problem;*
- ii) Let G_S be an efficient quasi-clique for the MOS problem with $|S| \geq 2$. Then, G_S is a weakly-efficient quasi-clique for the MOQC problem.*

Proof. For a given cardinality k , $2 \leq k \leq |V|$, G_S is maximal in terms of density and number of edges. \square

Proposition 4 suggests that it is possible to find the efficient set for the MOQC problem by enumerating all weakly-efficient quasi-cliques for the MOS problem. In the following, we show that collecting only efficient quasi-cliques for the MOS problem is not enough.

Proposition 5. *For a given graph $G = (V, E)$, let G_S be an efficient quasi-clique for the MOS problem. Then, there is no guarantee for G_S to be efficient for the MOQC problem.*

Proof. We prove it with an example, see Figure 1 for an illustration. Let G contain four vertices, $V = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$, and the following four edges, $E = \{\{v_1, v_2\}, \{v_2, v_3\}, \{v_3, v_4\}, \{v_4, v_1\}\}$. Let $S = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$, then $dens(G_S) = 2/3$ and $|E(S)| = 2$. Quasi-clique G_S is efficient for the MOS problem, but it is not efficient for the MOQC problem, since for the case of $S' = V$, $dens(G_{S'}) = 2/3$ and $|E(S')| = 4$. \square

In fact, not all weakly-efficient quasi-cliques need to be considered for the MOS problem, as shown in the following proposition.

Proposition 6. *For a given graph $G = (V, E)$, let the subgraphs G_S and $G_{S'}$ induced by $S \subseteq V$ and $S' \subseteq V$, respectively, be weakly-efficient quasi-cliques for the MOS problem, such that $E(S) > E(S')$ and $S = S'$. Then, $G_{S'}$ is not efficient for the MOQC problem.*

Algorithm 3: e -DKS-based ε -constraint method to find the set $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^V$ for MOS

Data: $G = (V, E)$
Result: $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^V$

- 1 $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^V \leftarrow \{(|E|, -|V|)\}$
- 2 $k \leftarrow |V|$
- 3 $m \leftarrow |E|$
- 4 **while** $2 \cdot m / (k^2 - k) < 1$ **and** $k > 1$ **do**
- 5 $k \leftarrow k - 1$
- 6 $m \leftarrow e\text{-DKS}(G, k)$
- 7 $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^V \leftarrow \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^V \cup \{(m, -k)\}$
- 8 **end**

Proof. This follows immediately from the fact that $E(S) > E(S')$ and $S = S'$ imply $\text{dens}(G_S) > \text{dens}(G_{S'})$. \square

Therefore, it is enough to consider the quasi-cliques that maximize the number of edges, considering a fixed cardinality constraint on the number of vertices, from $\omega(G)$ to $|V|$, i.e., solutions of problem e -DKS for $\omega(G) \leq k \leq |V|$. We denote the set of points of all such weakly-efficient quasi-cliques as $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^V$.

Algorithm 3 shows the pseudo-code of an ε -constraint approach that allows finding the set $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^V$ for the MOS problem for a given graph $G = (V, E)$. Similar to Algorithm 2, it collects the lexicographical optimal point with the number of edges and vertices of the graph G and, by decreasing values of cardinality, it solves e -DKS problems, until a maximum clique is found. From this set, it is possible to extract the efficient set for the MOQC problem by computing the density at each point and removing the resulting dominated points.

3.4. Weighted-sum scalarization of the MOS problem

In this section, we show that extreme supported points of the MOS problem can be computed in polynomial time. In particular, the following linear programming relaxation of the weighted-sum scalarization of the MOS problem (WS-MOS) for a given graph $G = (V, E)$ is integral.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \max \quad & w_1 \cdot \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} y_{ij} - w_2 \cdot \sum_{i \in V} x_i \\
 \text{s. t.} \quad & y_{ij} \leq x_i & \forall \{i, j\} \in E & \quad (1a) \\
 & y_{ij} \leq x_j & \forall \{i, j\} \in E & \quad (1b) \\
 & y_{ij} \geq 0 & \forall \{i, j\} \in E & \quad (1c) \\
 & x_i \geq 0 & \forall i \in V & \quad (1d) \\
 & x_i \leq 1 & \forall i \in V & \quad (1e)
 \end{aligned}$$

The variables x_i and y_{ij} are defined for each vertex $i \in V$ and for each edge $\{i, j\} \in E$, respectively. Variable $x_i = 1$ indicates that vertex $i \in V$ is chosen, and 0 otherwise, and variable $y_{ij} = 1$

Algorithm 4: dichotomicSearch

Input: $z^r, z^s, \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_G^e, \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^e, G$

- 1 $w_1 \leftarrow z_2^r - z_2^s$
- 2 $w_2 \leftarrow z_1^s - z_1^r$
- 3 $G_S \leftarrow \text{WS-MOS}(G, w_1, w_2)$
- 4 $z^t \leftarrow (|E(S)|, -|S|)$
- 5 **if** $z^t \neq z^r$ **and** $z^t \neq z^s$ **then**
- 6 $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_G^e \leftarrow \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_G^e \cup \{G_S\}$
- 7 $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^e \leftarrow \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^e \cup \{z^t\}$
- 8 $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_G^e, \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^e \leftarrow \text{dichotomicSearch}(z^r, z^t, \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_G^e, \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^e, G)$
- 9 $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_G^e, \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^e \leftarrow \text{dichotomicSearch}(z^t, z^s, \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_G^e, \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^e, G)$
- 10 **return** $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_G^e, \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^e$

indicates that the edge connecting vertices i and j is chosen, 0 otherwise, and $w_1, w_2 > 0$ are the weights assigned to optimizing the number of edges and vertices, respectively. Constraints (1a) and (1b) state that if an edge $\{i, j\}$ is in the quasi-clique, then both vertices i and j must be chosen. For a given graph G , we will use $\text{WS-MOS}(G, w_1, w_2)$ when referring to a linear programming solver that returns the optimal value for the WS-MOS problem for parameters w_1 and w_2 .

Proposition 7. *The WS-MOS formulation is integral (see Appendix A for the proof).*

Optimal quasi-cliques to the WS-MOS formulation are also extreme supported points for the MOS problem [40]. The set of extreme supported points, $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^e$, can be obtained by bisection methods, such as the dichotomic search based on weighted sum scalarization proposed by [43]. Algorithm 4 shows the pseudo-code of a recursive dichotomic search to find the set $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^e$, where z^r and z^s are two lexicographical optimal points with $z_1^r \leq z_1^s$ and $z_2^r \geq z_2^s$. The dichotomic search is initially triggered with $z^r = (0, -1)$, $z^s = (|E|, -|V|)$, and $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^e = \{z^r, z^s\}$. Note that $z_2^r > z_2^s$ and $z_1^r < z_1^s$ always holds during its run. Next, it computes an optimal point z^t by solving WS-MOS (Line 3) with weights that are defined orthogonally to the vector between z^r and z^s (Lines 1 and 2). If z^t is a new extreme supported point found between y^r and y^s (see condition in Line 5), it is added to the set $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^e$ and the resolution of two additional problems is triggered: one with weights defined by z^r and z^t , and another with weights defined by z^t and z^s (Lines 8 and 9, respectively). Otherwise, no new point is found, and there is no need to further bisect that region. The procedure naturally terminates when no new extreme supported point is found, which means that the set $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^e$ has been found.

Since the number of extreme supported points is bounded by the number of vertices, then, set $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^e$ can be found in a polynomial amount of time. Therefore, a subset of the weakly nondominated points for MOQC problem can also be found in a polynomial amount of time.

3.5. Additional quasi-clique properties

Proposition 8. *For a given graph $G = (V, E)$, let the subgraph G_S induced by $S \subseteq V$ be a weakly-efficient quasi-clique for the MOS problem, and let $v \in S$ be a vertex such that $\text{deg}_{G_S}(v) = 0$. Let $S' = S \setminus \{v\}$. Then, $G_{S'}$ is a weakly-efficient quasi-clique.*

Proof. If there exists a quasi-clique $G_{S''}$ with $|S''| < |S'|$ and $|E(S'')| > |E(S')|$, then also $|S''| < |S|$ and $|E(S'')| > |E(S)| = |E(S')|$, contradicting the assumption. \square

Proposition 9. For a given graph $G = (V, E)$, let the subgraph G_S induced by $S \subseteq V$ be an efficient quasi-clique for the MOS problem. Let $v \in \arg \max_{v^* \in V \setminus S} \{deg_{G_{S \cup \{v^*}}}(v^*)\}$ and $deg_{G_{S \cup \{v\}}}(v) = \Delta(G)$, with $\Delta(G) > 0$. Let $S' = S \cup \{v\}$. Then, the subgraph $G_{S'}$ induced by S' is an efficient quasi-clique.

Proof. The increment in terms of the number of edges from G_S to $G_{S'}$ is the largest possible. \square

Proposition 10. For a given graph $G = (V, E)$, let the subgraph G_S induced by $S \subseteq V$ be a quasi-clique. If $dens(G_S) = 1$, then G_S is an efficient quasi-clique for the MOS problem.

Proof. This follows directly from the fact that, if $dens(G_S) = 1$, then there can not be a graph with fewer nodes and the same number of edges, or with the same number of nodes and more edges. \square

Proposition 11. Let $\widehat{Z}_G^e = \{z^1, z^2, \dots, z^p\}$ such that $z_2^1 > z_2^2 > \dots > z_2^p$ and let z^i and z^{i+1} , with $1 \leq i < p$, be two adjacent extreme supported points. Let $w_1 = -z_2^{i+1} + z_2^i$ and $w_2 = z_1^{i+1} - z_1^i$. Let $\tilde{z} = (\tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2)$ be a point that corresponds to a feasible quasi-clique G_S . Then, G_S is weakly-efficient for the MOS problem if

- i) $w_1 \cdot (\tilde{z}_1 - z_1^i) + w_2 \cdot (\tilde{z}_2 - z_2^i) = 0$ (\tilde{z} is a non-extreme supported point).
- ii) $w_1 \cdot (\tilde{z}_1 + 1 - z_1^i) + w_2 \cdot (\tilde{z}_2 - z_2^i) > 0$ (\tilde{z} is a non-supported point).

Proof. First note that if z^i and z^{i+1} are two adjacent extreme supported points then z^1 and z^2 both correspond to optimal solutions of WS-MOS(G, w_1, w_2) with the same weighted-sum objective value of $\bar{c} := w_1 \cdot z_1^i + w_2 \cdot z_2^i = w_1 \cdot z_1^{i+1} + w_2 \cdot z_2^{i+1}$, where $w_1 > 0$ and $w_2 > 0$. Then, condition (i) implies that $w_1 \cdot \tilde{z}_1 + w_2 \cdot \tilde{z}_2 = w_1 \cdot z_1^i + w_2 \cdot z_2^i = \bar{c}$. In this case, \tilde{z} is also optimal for WS-MOS(G, w_1, w_2), and hence it corresponds to an efficient solution of the MOS problem. Similarly, condition (ii) implies that $w_1 \cdot (\tilde{z}_1 + 1) + w_2 \cdot \tilde{z}_2 > \bar{c}$. By the optimality of the objective value of \bar{c} for WS-MOS(G, w_1, w_2), this implies that there can not exist a quasi-clique $G_{S'}$ with $|S'| \geq \tilde{z}_2$ and $|E(S')| > \tilde{z}_1$ that could potentially dominate G_S . It follows that \tilde{z} corresponds to a weakly-efficient solution for the MOS problem. \square

Note that to ensure a quasi-clique G_S is efficient for the MOS problem, the Proposition 11 must be revised. In such a case, G_S must satisfy condition (ii) and an additional condition stating that $w_1 \cdot (\tilde{z}_1 - z_1^i) + w_2 \cdot (\tilde{z}_2 + 1 - z_2^i) > 0$.

Proposition 12. For a given graph $G=(V,E)$ and a given γ , let the subgraph G_S induced by $S \subseteq V$ be a quasi-clique with $dens(G_S) = \gamma$. Let v be a vertex in S with the smallest degree in G_S . Let $S' = S \setminus \{v\}$. Then, $dens(G_{S'}) \geq \gamma$.

Proof. This is the quasi-heredity property defined in [39] and [10]. \square

Proposition 13. For a given graph $G = (V, E)$, let the subgraph G_S induced by $S \subseteq V$ be a quasi-clique. Let v be a vertex in S with the smallest degree in G_S . Let $S' = S \cup \{v\}$. Then, $dens(G_S) \leq dens(G_{S'})$.

Proof. This follows directly from Proposition 12. \square

These propositions motivate a heuristic that, starting from an efficient quasi-clique for the MOS Problem, removes the vertex with the smallest degree. Although this selection represents the best local choice available, the quasi-clique obtained through this process may not necessarily be weakly-efficient for MOS. However, its (weak) efficiency can be evaluated using Propositions 8, 10, and 11, which are sufficient conditions.

4. Proposed Approaches

Given the relations between the MOQC and MOS problems (see Section 3.3 and the propositions therein), as well as the tractability of MOS in terms of computing extreme supported points (as indicated in Proposition 7), we focus on addressing the MOS problem, in order to solve MOQC more efficiently. The approaches proposed to achieve this goal are described in this section.

To begin, we introduce a basic ε -constraint approach, establishing it as a baseline for comparing subsequent strategies. Next, we present a *Two-phase* strategy designed to address the MOS problem efficiently. This approach employs a dichotomic search based on weighted sum scalarizations to discover a set of extreme supported points, followed by an ε -constraint method to identify the remaining weakly-nondominated points. Following this, we propose a *Three-phase* strategy. In addition to a dichotomic search phase and an ε -constraint method, this strategy incorporates a local search technique grounded in vertex degree information. This local search aims to identify new quasi-cliques that are guaranteed to be efficient under specific conditions. Finally, we outline a procedure for mapping weakly-nondominated points in MOS to nondominated points in the MOQC problem.

4.1. Baseline approach

The e -DKS-based ε -constraint method (Algorithm 3) is proposed as a baseline approach to find the set \widehat{Z}_G^V of weakly-nondominated points for the MOS problem. For a given graph G , the algorithm iteratively solves each e -DKS scalarized problem (Definition 5). Here, e -DKS(G, k) (Line 6) denotes the Mixed Integer Linear Programming (MILP) M1 model [15], as detailed below.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \max \quad & \sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} y_{ij} \\
 \text{s. t.} \quad & \sum_{i \in V} x_i = k & (2a) \\
 & y_{ij} \leq x_i & \forall \{i, j\} \in E & (2b) \\
 & y_{ij} \leq x_j & \forall \{i, j\} \in E & (2c) \\
 & y_{ij} \geq 0 & \forall \{i, j\} \in E & (2d) \\
 & x_i \in \{0, 1\} & \forall i \in V & (2e)
 \end{aligned}$$

The variables x_i and y_{ij} are defined as in the model WS-MOS (in Section 3.4), for each vertex $i \in V$ and for each edge $\{i, j\} \in E$, respectively. The objective function maximizes the number of edges of the quasi-clique. Constraint (2a) ensures that the cardinality of the quasi-clique is equal

Algorithm 5: Two-phase strategy for MOS

Data: $G = (V, E)$
Result: $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^V$

- 1 // First phase
- 2 $z^r \leftarrow (0, -1)$
- 3 $z^s \leftarrow (|E|, -|V|)$
- 4 $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^e \leftarrow \{z^r, z^s\}$
- 5 $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_G^e \leftarrow \{G_{S^r, G}\}$
- 6 $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_G^e, \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^e \leftarrow \text{dichotomicSearch}(z^r, z^s, \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_G^e, \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^e, G)$
- 7 // Second phase
- 8 $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Z} \leftarrow \text{epsilonConstraint}(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_G^e, \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^e, G)$
- 9 $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_G^w, \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^V \leftarrow \text{removeNonMaximumCliques}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Z})$

to k . Constraints (2b) and (2c) state that if an edge $\{i, j\}$ is in the quasi-clique, then both vertices i and j must be chosen.

This approach requires solving $|V| - \omega(G) + 1$ instances of the e -DKS problem, implying e -DKS(G, k) to be executed $|V| - \omega(G) + 1$ times as well.

With the aim of reducing the number of runs of e -DKS(G, k) and, as a result, decreasing the overall time required to solve the MOS problem, we introduce the *Two-phase* and *Three-phase* strategies, which are presented in the following sections.

4.2. The two-phase strategy

The *Two-phase* strategy is designed to efficiently address the MOS problem by exploring the polynomial-time solution for finding the extreme supported points of MOS (see Proposition 7).

Algorithm 5 presents the pseudo-code for this strategy, which determines the set $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^V$ for a given graph G through the execution of two distinct phases. In the initial phase, a dichotomic search based on weighted sum scalarizations computes the extreme-supported points (Line 6). Subsequently, in the second phase, an ε -constraint approach is applied to identify the remaining weakly-nondominated points (Line 8).

The following sections provide a detailed explanation of both phases.

4.2.1. Dichotomic weighted sum scalarization

In the first phase, the set of extreme supported points $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^e$ is computed using a dichotomic search based on weighted sum scalarizations, as shown in Algorithm 4.

To initiate this process, the lexicographical optimal points, z^r and z^s , are initialized in the main Algorithm 5 (Lines 2 and 3). These points are initialized with values representing two known extreme supported points: $z^r = (0, -1)$ corresponding to a quasi-clique with only one vertex, which is the optimal solution concerning minimizing the number of vertices; and $z^s = (|E|, -|V|)$ representing the entire graph G , which is the optimal solution concerning maximizing the number of edges. Subsequently, the sets $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^e$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_G^e$ are initialized with these two points and their corresponding weakly-efficient quasi-cliques, respectively (see Lines 4 and 5 in Algorithm 5). Then, the dichotomic search procedure (Algorithm 4) is invoked to recursively find all the extreme supported points for MOS.

Algorithm 6: epsilonConstraint

input : $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_G^e, \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^e, G$
 1 $\mathcal{Z} \leftarrow \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^e$
 2 $\mathcal{E} \leftarrow \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_G^e$
 3 Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{z^1, \dots, z^p\}$ such that $z_2^1 < z_2^2 < \dots < z_2^p$
 4 Let $z^i \in \arg \max_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \{z_1 : z_2^j \neq z_2^i + 1, \forall j > i, \text{ with } i, j = 1, \dots, p\}$
 5 $k \leftarrow -z_2^i$
 6 Let $G_{S^k} \in \{G_S : G_S \in \mathcal{E}, |S| = k\}$
 7 **while** $\text{dens}(G_{S^k}) < 1$ **do**
 8 $k \leftarrow -z_2^i - 1$
 9 $G_{S^k} \leftarrow e\text{-DKS}(G, k)$
 10 $\mathcal{E} \leftarrow \mathcal{E} \cup \{G_{S^k}\}$
 11 $\mathcal{Z} \leftarrow \mathcal{Z} \cup \{(E(S^k), -|S^k|)\}$
 12 Let $z^i \in \arg \max_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \{z_1 : -z_2 \leq k, z_2^j \neq z_2^i + 1, \forall j > i, \text{ with } i, j = 1, \dots, |\mathcal{Z}|\}$
 13 **end**
 14 **return** \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Z}

Unlike the baseline approach, which identifies exactly $|V| - \omega(G) + 1$ weakly-nondominated points, in the *Two-phase* strategy, the dichotomic search may find extreme-supported points corresponding to cliques that are not necessarily the maximum clique. One such example is the point $(0, -1)$. Therefore, to ensure that the set $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^V$ contains exactly $|V| - \omega(G) + 1$ weakly-nondominated points, the procedure *removeNonMaximumCliques* is invoked in the main Algorithm 5 (Line 9) to remove those points representing non-maximum cliques.

In the *Two-phase* method, the runs of $e\text{-DKS}(G, k)$ are reduced in proportion to the number of extreme supported points discovered during this first phase.

4.2.2. ε -constraint approach

In the second phase, an ε -constraint strategy is applied to identify all the remaining weakly-nondominated points not discovered in the first phase. To accomplish this, we employ an ε -constraint approach, executing $e\text{-DKS}(G, k)$, similar to the baseline approach presented in Algorithm 3.

Algorithm 6 shows the pseudo-code for this method that uses two temporary sets, \mathcal{Z} and \mathcal{E} , to store the discovered weakly-nondominated points and their corresponding weakly-efficient quasi-cliques. Both \mathcal{Z} and \mathcal{E} are initialized with the set of extreme supported points found in the first phase and its corresponding set of quasi-cliques, respectively (Lines 1 and 2, respectively). This algorithm assumes that the points in \mathcal{Z} are sorted in decreasing order of the number of vertices (i.e., in increasing order of z_2 -values), with $p = |\mathcal{Z}|$ (Line 3). Next, the algorithm collects the maximum point $z^i \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that the subsequent point, whose cardinality coordinate is $z_2^i + 1$, was not yet identified (Line 4). Following this, the algorithm iteratively finds the weakly-nondominated point for each k not yet discovered (Lines 7 to 13). As in our baseline approach, for $e\text{-DKS}(G, k)$ in Line 9, we adopt the M1 model (see formulation 2 in Section 4.1).

Algorithm 6 terminates once a maximum clique for G is identified (see condition in Line 7).

Algorithm 7: Three-phase strategy for MOS

Data: $G = (V, E)$
Result: $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^V$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_G^w$

- 1 // First phase
- 2 $z^r \leftarrow (0, -1)$
- 3 $z^s \leftarrow (|E|, -|V|)$
- 4 $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^e \leftarrow \{z^r, z^s\}$
- 5 $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_G^e \leftarrow \{G_{z^r}, G\}$
- 6 $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_G^e, \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^e \leftarrow \text{dichotomicSearch}(z^r, z^s, \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_G^e, \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^e, G)$
- 7 // Second phase
- 8 $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Z} \leftarrow \text{minD}(\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_G^e, \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^e)$
- 9 // Third phase
- 10 $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Z} \leftarrow \text{maxD}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Z}, G)$
- 11 $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_G^w, \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^V \leftarrow \text{removeNonMaximumCliques}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Z})$

4.3. The three-phase strategy

The *Three-phase* strategy is proposed aiming to further reduce the runs of the ε -constraint problems $e\text{-DKS}(G, k)$. To accomplish this, the strategy incorporates two straightforward local search methods for generating new candidates for weakly-efficient quasi-cliques. The first method leverages the quasi-heredity property (Proposition 12) and is grounded in removing a minimum degree vertex, while the second method is based on adding a maximum degree vertex.

Algorithm 7 outlines the pseudo-code of the *Three-phase* strategy, comprising three distinct phases. In the initial phase, it employs the same dichotomic search based on weighted sum scalarizations as in the *Two-phase* method (refer to Section 4.2.1 and Algorithm 4). Subsequently, in the second phase, a minimum-degree vertex-based local search is applied to generate new candidates for weakly-efficient quasi-cliques (Line 8, Procedure *minD*). Finally, the third phase combines a maximum-degree vertex-based local search with an ε -constraint approach executing $e\text{-DKS}(G, k)$ to identify the remaining weakly-efficient quasi-cliques (Line 10, Procedure *maxD*).

In the following, we present both local search methods and explain how they are employed to generate new weakly-efficient quasi-cliques.

4.3.1. Minimum degree vertex-based local search

Motivated by the quasi-clique properties outlined in Section 3.5, we introduce the *minD* approach, a local search method designed to build new candidates for weakly-efficient quasi-cliques for the MOS problem. Leveraging Propositions 12 and 13, this method explores and discovers nested quasi-cliques within existing ones using as a starting point the weakly-efficient quasi-cliques corresponding to the extreme supported points identified in the previous phase. The efficiency of the discovered quasi-cliques is evaluated by applying the sufficient conditions presented in Propositions 8, 10, and 11.

Algorithm 8 presents the pseudo-code for this method that uses two temporary sets, \mathcal{Z} and \mathcal{E} , containing the extreme supported points and their corresponding weakly-efficient quasi-cliques, respectively, identified in the first phase (Lines 1 and 2, respectively). The points in \mathcal{Z} and quasi-cliques in \mathcal{E} are assumed to be sorted in decreasing order of the number of vertices (i.e., in increasing order of z_2 -values).

The method initiates with the weakly-efficient quasi-clique $G_{S'} \in \mathcal{E}$ corresponding to the first extreme supported point $z^1 \in \mathcal{Z}$ (Line 6). Subsequently, a new quasi-clique is iteratively generated by systematically removing from $G_{S'}$ the vertex with the minimum degree in $G_{S'}$ (Lines 10 and 11). This quasi-clique generation process is applied to yield new quasi-cliques across the entire interval from z^i to z^{i+1} , with $1 \leq i < p$, for each pair of extreme supported points, using the quasi-clique $G_{S'}$ corresponding to z^i as a starting point. To this end, in the external loop (Lines 7 to 22) the algorithm iterates over the extreme supported points, while in the internal loop (Lines 9 to 17) the algorithm iterates over each k between z^i and z^{i+1} , with k representing the vertex cardinality of the quasi-clique to be generated. When the extreme supported point z^{i+1} is obtained (see the first condition in Line 9), then the algorithm restarts the generation process from its corresponding weakly-efficient quasi-clique $G_{S^{i+1}}$ (Line 20). In the vertex selection step (Line 10), ties are resolved by choosing the vertex with neighbors of smaller degrees. This strategic choice ensures that each iteration sets the stage for the next, guaranteeing that $G_{S'}$ will feature a more suitable vertex for removal in the subsequent step.

The *weffTest* procedure (Line 12) evaluates the efficiency of each newly generated quasi-clique of size k . The resulting quasi-clique $G_{S'}$ is guaranteed to be weakly-efficient if one of the following conditions is met:

- i) The quasi-clique from which $G_{S'}$ was generated is weakly-efficient and the degree of the removed vertex is zero (Proposition 8), meaning that $G_{S'}$ is the best possible quasi-clique of cardinality k .
- ii) $G_{S'}$ is a clique (Proposition 10).
- iii) $G_{S'}$ is a supported or nonsupported quasi-clique that satisfies the condition of Proposition 11.

If the generated quasi-clique is proven to be weakly-efficient, it is added to the set \mathcal{E} (Line 13) and its corresponding weakly-nondominated point is added to the set \mathcal{Z} (Line 14).

In this approach, a new weakly-efficient quasi-clique may be generated from a weakly-efficient quasi-clique corresponding to an extreme-supported point, a weakly-efficient quasi-clique generated in the preceding iteration, or a quasi-clique generated in the preceding iteration and whose efficiency was not confirmed by the available sufficient conditions.

Algorithm 8 terminates upon finding a clique. It is worth noticing that the clique identified by *minD* does not necessarily correspond to the maximum clique. This is because in *minD* not all weakly-efficient quasi-cliques are generated from a weakly-efficient one.

At the end of *minD* both temporary sets, \mathcal{Z} storing the weakly-nondominated points identified so far and \mathcal{E} containing the respective weakly-efficient quasi-cliques, are returned to the main Algorithm 7 for use in the subsequent phase.

Similar to the *Two-phase*, in the *Three-phase* strategy, the dichotomic search may also identify extreme supported points corresponding to cliques that are not maximum cliques. This is observed in the *minD* local search as well, concerning the weakly-nondominated points found. Consequently, to guarantee that the set $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^V$ contains precisely $|V| - \omega(G) + 1$ weakly-nondominated points for a given graph $G = (V, E)$, the *removeNonMaximumCliques* procedure is employed within the main Algorithm 7 (Line 11) to eliminate those points representing non-maximum cliques.

Algorithm 8: minD

```
input :  $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_G^e, \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^e$ 
1  $\mathcal{Z} \leftarrow \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^e$ 
2  $\mathcal{E} \leftarrow \widehat{\mathcal{E}}_G^e$ 
3 Let  $\mathcal{Z} = \{z^1, \dots, z^p\}$  such that  $z_2^1 < z_2^2 < \dots < z_2^p$ 
4 Let  $\mathcal{E} = \{G_{S^1}, \dots, G_{S^p}\}$  such that  $z^i \in \mathcal{Z}$  with  $|E(S^i)| = z_1^i$  and  $|S^i| = -z_2^i, \forall i = 1, \dots, p$ 
5  $i \leftarrow 1$ 
6  $G_{S'} \leftarrow G_{S^i}$ 
7 while  $i < p$  and  $\text{dens}(G_{S'}) < 1$  do
8    $k \leftarrow -z_2^i - 1$ 
9   while  $k > -z_2^{i+1}$  and  $\text{dens}(G_{S'}) < 1$  do
10    Let  $v \in \arg \min_{v^* \in S'} \{deg_{G_{S'}}(v^*)\}$ 
11     $S' \leftarrow S' \setminus \{v\}$ 
12    if  $\text{weffTest}(G_{S'}) = \text{True}$  then
13       $\mathcal{E} \leftarrow \mathcal{E} \cup \{G_{S'}\}$ 
14       $\mathcal{Z} \leftarrow \mathcal{Z} \cup \{|E(S')|, -|S'|\}$ 
15    end
16     $k \leftarrow k - 1$ 
17  end
18  if  $\text{dens}(G_{S'}) < 1$  then
19     $i \leftarrow i + 1$ 
20     $G_{S'} \leftarrow G_{S^i}$ 
21  end
22 end
23 return  $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Z}$ 
```

4.3.2. Maximum degree vertex-based local search

In this section, we introduce the procedure *maxD*, a strategy that integrates local search with an ε -constraint approach to identify the remaining weakly-nondominated points not identified in the previous phases. Like *minD*, the *maxD* strategy generates new quasi-cliques from existing ones. However, this strategy consistently builds new quasi-cliques by adding a new vertex to a weakly-efficient quasi-clique, rather than removing one.

Algorithm 9 outlines the pseudo-code for *maxD*, which takes as input the temporary sets \mathcal{Z} and \mathcal{E} , as well as the graph $G = (V, E)$. At this point, these sets contain all the weakly-nondominated points and weakly-efficient quasi-cliques, respectively, discovered during the first and second phases. The points in \mathcal{Z} and quasi-cliques in \mathcal{E} are assumed to be sorted in increasing order of the number of vertices (i.e., in decreasing order of z_2 -values). The algorithm begins by collecting the minimum point $z^i \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that the subsequent point, with a cardinality coordinate of $z_2^i - 1$, has not been identified yet (Lines 3 and 5). Next, starting with the weakly-efficient quasi-clique $G_{S'}$ corresponding to the collected point z^i (Line 6), the algorithm iteratively generates a new quasi-clique by systematically selecting the vertex v from $V \setminus S'$, where v is the vertex with the maximum degree with respect to $G_{S'}$, and adding it to $G_{S'}$. In the vertex selection step (Line 7), ties are broken by choosing the vertex with the maximum degree in the entire graph G .

This step allows the algorithm to explore and extend quasi-cliques in the subsequent iterations, as vertices with higher degrees tend to contribute to the formation of denser quasi-cliques. The generation process is executed to produce new quasi-cliques for each value of k for which a weakly-efficient quasi-clique has not been discovered yet (Lines 4 to 16).

Similar to *minD* (Algorithm 8), in procedure *weffTest* (Line 9), the efficiency of each new quasi-clique is evaluated. The resulting quasi-clique $G_{S'}$ is guaranteed to be weakly-efficient if one of the following conditions is met:

- i) The degree of the added vertex v is equal to the largest degree in G (Proposition 9). This means that the best possible quasi-clique of cardinality k was generated.
- ii) $G_{S'}$ is a clique (Proposition 10).
- iii) $G_{S'}$ is a supported or nonsupported quasi-clique that satisfies the condition of Proposition 11.

If a quasi-clique is not proven to be weakly efficient, then the algorithm turns to an ε -constraint approach, executing e -DKS(G, k) for the current value of k (Line 11). This ensures that each iteration consistently produces a weakly-efficient quasi-clique, enhancing the likelihood of newly generated quasi-cliques by local search being weakly efficient. Similar to previous strategies (Algorithms 3 and 5), this method employs the M1 model (see formulation 2 in Section 4.1) for e -DKS(G, k).

Algorithm 9 concludes its execution once it generates new weakly-efficient quasi-cliques for every k value for which quasi-cliques have not been discovered in earlier phases (see condition in Line 4). Upon finalisation, the sets \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{Z} containing the weakly-efficient quasi-cliques and weakly-nondominated points, respectively, identified throughout all three phases are returned to the main Algorithm 7 (Line 17).

In the *Three-phase* strategy, the frequency of e -DKS(G, k) runs is reduced in proportion to the number of weakly-nondominated points discovered during the first and the second phases, as well as the number of weakly-efficient quasi-cliques generated by the *maxD* local search.

In our efforts to refine the *Three-phase* strategy for addressing the MOS problem, we explored two alternative configurations. Initially, we experimented with the interchange of *minD* and *maxD* within the main Algorithm 7. This adjustment allowed *maxD* to operate in the second phase and *minD* in the third. Subsequently, we considered a *Four-phase* strategy. This strategy involved dividing the third phase of the *Three-phase* method into two distinct phases. The first employs a *maxD* local search, followed by the fourth phase applying the ε -constraint method to discover points not identified in the preceding phases. Nevertheless, preliminary experiments with these variants did not achieve the performance levels of the original *Three-phase* strategy outlined in this paper.

4.4. Mapping process

Considering Proposition 4, which states that an efficient quasi-clique for MOQC is weakly efficient for MOS, and vice versa, our proposed approaches compute the set of weakly-nondominated points $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^V$ for the MOS problem. In order to obtain the set of nondominated points for MOQC from $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^V$ we use a mapping process, described in this section.

This process consists of mapping the points in $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^V$ to points in \mathcal{Z}_G . To this end, we compute the density of each point in $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^V$ and select all resulting nondominated points to the set \mathcal{Z}_G . Recall

Algorithm 9: maxD

input : \mathcal{E} , \mathcal{Z} , and G

- 1 Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{z^1, \dots, z^p\}$ such that $z_2^1 > z_2^2 > \dots > z_2^p$
- 2 Let $\mathcal{E} = \{G_{S^1}, \dots, G_{S^p}\}$ such that $z^i \in \mathcal{Z}$ with $|E(S^i)| = z_1^i$ and $|S^i| = -z_2^i, \forall i = 1, \dots, p$
- 3 Let $Z = \arg \min_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \{z_1 : z_2^j \neq z_2^i - 1, \forall j > i, \text{ with } i, j = 1, \dots, p\}$
- 4 **while** $Z \neq \emptyset$ **do**
- 5 Let $z^i \in Z$
- 6 $G_{S'} \leftarrow G_{S^i}$
- 7 Let $v \in \arg \max_{v^* \in V \setminus S'} \{deg_{G_{S' \cup \{v^*\}}}(v^*)\}$
- 8 $S' \leftarrow S' \cup \{v\}$
- 9 **if** $weffTest(G_{S'}) = False$ **then**
- 10 $k \leftarrow |S'|$
- 11 $G_{S'} \leftarrow e-DKS(G, k)$
- 12 **end**
- 13 $\mathcal{Z} \leftarrow \mathcal{Z} \cup \{|E(S')|, -|S'|\}$
- 14 $\mathcal{E} \leftarrow \mathcal{E} \cup \{G_{S'}\}$
- 15 Let $Z = \arg \min_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} \{z_1 : -z_2 \geq k, z_2^j \neq z_2^i - 1, \forall j > i, \text{ with } i, j = 1, \dots, |\mathcal{Z}|\}$
- 16 **end**
- 17 **return** \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{Z}

that, according to Proposition 2, the density of a quasi-clique G_S of size k is always smaller than or equal to the density of a quasi-clique of size $k - 1$. Therefore, in the selecting step, weakly-nondominated points for MOQC in $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^V$ only arise for sequential values of k with the same density value. In such cases, we select only the points with the highest value of k , ensuring that only nondominated points are included in the set \mathcal{Z}_G . Additionally, the weakly-efficient quasi-cliques in $\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_G^V$ corresponding to the selected points are added to the set of efficient quasi-cliques \mathcal{E}_G of MOQC.

5. Computational Experiments

In order to assess the performance of our proposed approaches, we used a set of 15 real-life sparse graph instances obtained from the University of Florida Sparse Matrix Collection [44], along with the graph *Homer* from [45]. The number of vertices, number of edges, and edge density of these graphs are presented in Table 1. All the graphs have been made undirected and simple by ignoring the direction of the arcs and removing self-loops and multiple edges.

For comparison purposes, we use the e -DKS-based ε -constraint method (Algorithm 3) as a baseline against which we evaluate the performance of the *Two-phase* and the *Three-phase* strategies (Algorithms 5 and 7, respectively). Throughout this section, we will refer to the e -DKS-based ε -constraint method approach as the *baseline* method.

The proposed algorithms were implemented in Python 3.8, and the models WS-MOS (formulation 1 in Section 3.4) and M1 (formulation 2 in Section 4.1) were solved using the Gurobi Optimizer version 10.0.2 with the Python interface. Multithreading was disabled in the MILP solver

Table 1: Characterization of the tested instances

Graph	$ V $	$ E $	$dens$
EVA	7253	6711	<0.01
as-735	6474	12572	<0.01
geom	6158	11898	<0.01
erdos02	5534	8472	<0.01
ca-GrQc	5241	14484	<0.01
yeast	2284	6646	<0.01
netscience	1461	2742	<0.01
email	1133	5451	<0.01
homer	556	1628	0.01
harvard500	500	2043	0.02
celegans-metabolic	453	2025	0.02
erdos971	433	1314	0.01
USAir97	332	2126	0.04
smallW	233	994	0.04
polbooks	105	441	0.08

(thread count limit set to 1) and a running time limit of 3 600 seconds was considered. The computational experiments were conducted on a computer cluster with two Intel Xeon Silver 4210R 2.4G processors with 10 cores each and 251GB of memory running under DebianGNU/Linux 12 (Bookworm).

Our goal with the *Two-phase* and *Three-phase* methods is to reduce the number of ε -constraint runs, that is, to identify a large percentage of weakly-nondominated points without having to invoke e -DKS(G, k) to solve the problem. Therefore, to assess our proposed approaches, we employ two metrics. Firstly, we consider the number of weakly-nondominated points found in each phase of the algorithms. This number is expected to be higher in phases not executing ε -constraint and relatively lower when this method is applied. The second metric involves evaluating the CPU time spent in solving the MOS problem by the proposed methods.

Given the relative simplicity of the mapping process (Section 4.4), which involves merely computing the density for each point in $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^V$ and selecting the nondominated points, the time spent on this process is considered insignificant and thus not included in the reported results.

In the following sections, we discuss the results obtained from our experiments.

5.1. Experimental results for MOS problem

This section presents the results of using the *baseline* method, as well as the *Two-phase* and *Three-phase* strategies, to compute the set $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^V$ of weakly-nondominated points for the MOS problem. As previously established in Propositions 4 and 6, this set serves as a foundation for obtaining the set \mathcal{Z}_G of nondominated points for problem MOQC, using the mapping process outlined in Section 4.4.

Table 2 presents the running time and the number of points identified for the several approaches on the instances in Table 1. Column *#points* indicates the total number of weakly-nondominated points of problem MOS discovered by each approach. The columns *%DS*, *% ε* , *%minD*, and *%maxD* express the percentage of the total weakly-nondominated points identified

by specific methods. They correspond to the results from the dichotomic search based on the weighted sum, ε -constraint, and $minD$ and $maxD$ local search, respectively, in their respective phases and approaches. Column t provides the running time in seconds required to solve the problem. Column $\%t(\varepsilon)$ presents the proportion of time dedicated to the ε -constraint method within the *Three-phase* approach, expressed as a percentage of the total time in column t . Finally, columns $|\widehat{Z}_G^V|$ and $|Z_G|$ indicate the final number of weakly-nondominated points of problem MOS and the number of nondominated points of problem MOQC for each tested instance.

5.1.1. Number of weakly-nondominated points

Recall that throughout its execution, the *baseline* algorithm identifies consistently $|V| - \omega(G) + 1$ weakly-nondominated points for problem MOS. This corresponds precisely to the number of weakly-nondominated points in \widehat{Z}_G^V , as evidenced by the matching values in Columns *#points* and $|\widehat{Z}_G^V|$ in Table 2. This is because this algorithm stops identifying points once a maximum clique is discovered. In contrast, the *Two-phase* strategy may find more than $|V| - \omega(G) + 1$ weakly-nondominated points, as it depends on the number of extreme-supported points identified in the first phase by the dichotomic search algorithm. This observation is reflected in the values reported in Column *#points* for this approach. Across all tested graphs, the number of weakly-nondominated points identified by the *Two-phase* strategy exceeds $|\widehat{Z}_G^V|$ by only one. This slight difference can be attributed to the presence of the extreme-supported point $(0, -1)$.

In the context of the *Three-phase* approach, the number of weakly-nondominated points identified for problem MOS may also exceed $|V| - \omega(G) + 1$, as it relies on the discovery of weakly-nondominated points by dichotomic search and the $minD$ local search. However, our findings indicate that, for most graphs, this strategy produces results comparable to those of the *baseline* and *Two-phase* approaches. Apart from the difference related to the presence of the extreme-supported point $(0, -1)$, minor discrepancies are observed only for the graphs *EVA*, *yeast*, *erdos971*, and *USAir97*. This indicates that despite the $minD$ local search not always identifying the maximum quasi-clique for every graph G , this does not significantly affect the *Three-phase* strategy by creating too many unnecessary quasi-cliques.

Although both the *Two-phase* and *Three-phase* strategies may initially identify more weakly-nondominated points than the expected $|V| - \omega(G) + 1$ discovered by the *baseline* approach, it is important to recall that both strategies include a post-identification filtering mechanism to ensure that the set \widehat{Z}_G^V contains exactly $|V| - \omega(G) + 1$ weakly-nondominated points (refer to Lines 9 and 11 in Algorithm 5 and 7, respectively).

Extreme-supported points

The results in Table 2 indicate the presence of supported points in all tested graphs. With respect to the extreme-supported ones, reported in column *%DS*, the results show that graphs such as *EVA*, *erdos02*, and *as-735* exhibit lower percentages (0.7%, 0.9%, and 1.0%, respectively), while graphs like *celegans-metabolic*, *USAir97*, and *polbooks* present higher percentages, reaching up to 10.5%, 15.1%, and 15.8%, respectively.

When dealing with graphs lacking supported points, both the *Two-phase* and *Three-phase* strategies are expected to face certain limitations. The *Two-phase* is anticipated to perform as the *baseline* approach, identifying the weakly-efficient quasi-cliques for each cardinality value k ranging from $|V|$ to $\omega(G)$. The *Three-phase* strategy is limited in this case to generating new quasi-cliques only between the two points $(|E|, -|V|)$ and $(0, -1)$, and does not leverage Proposition 11 to assess the efficiency of the produced quasi-cliques. Consequently, it may identify only a

limited number of weakly-nondominated points using the local search algorithms. However, it is noteworthy that our experiments conducted on real-life sparse graphs, as detailed in the results presented in Table 2, revealed the presence of supported points in all tested graphs. Therefore, the occurrence of graphs lacking such points may not be common in practical scenarios. However, further investigations are necessary to confirm this observation.

5.1.2. Frequency of ε -constraint runs

Concerning the frequency of runs of the ε -constraint problem, we analysed the results in columns $\% \varepsilon$. In the *baseline* approach, this percentage is 100% since all points in \widehat{Z}_G^V are identified by applying the ε -constraint method. However, for *Two-phase*, this result depends on the number of extreme-supported points found by the dichotomic search. Table 2 shows a slight reduction in ε -constraint runs for graphs with fewer extreme-supported points (*EVA*, *erdos02*, *as-735*) and a more significant decrease for those with more (*celegans-metabolic*, *USAir97*, *polbooks*).

For the *Three-phase* strategy, the frequency of ε -constraint runs depends on the ability of both *minD* and *maxD* local searches to generate new *good* quasi-cliques, along with an effective mechanism to evaluate if the generated quasi-cliques are weakly-efficient. The results in columns $\%minD$ and $\%maxD$ indicate that generating new quasi-cliques from existing ones, using an extreme-supported point as a starting point, and applying Propositions 8, 9, 10, and 11 to assess the efficiency of the produced quasi-cliques is a quite effective strategy for identifying weakly-nondominated points for the MOS problem. The *minD* method yields noteworthy outcomes, building quasi-cliques that are weakly-efficient and accounting for as much as 95.6% of the weakly-nondominated points for the graph *erdos02*. Even in less favorable cases, e.g., the graphs *polbooks* and *netscience*, the algorithm builds 42.6% and 43.0%, respectively, of the weakly-efficient quasi-cliques. The *maxD* local search complements the successful outcomes achieved by *minD* for all tested graphs. Noteworthy, in graphs such as *netscience*, *geom*, and *ca-GrQc* this approach generates 38.4%, 23.8%, and 22.4%, respectively, of the weakly-efficient quasi-cliques. This contribution raises the percentage of the total number of weakly-efficient quasi-cliques produced for these graphs to 81.4%, 91.2%, and 84.7%, respectively.

Table 2: Results for ϵ -DKS-based ϵ -constraint, *Two-phase* and *Three-phase* approaches.

Graph	ϵ -DKS-based ϵ -constraint		Two-phase				Three-phase							$ \widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^V $	$ \mathcal{Z}_G $
	#points	t	#points	%DS	% ϵ	t	#points	%DS	%minD	%maxD	% ϵ	t	% $t(\epsilon)$		
EVA	7 250	3 815.0	7 251	0.7	99.3	3 771.2	7 252	0.7	86.9	9.2	3.2	218.4	40.3	7 250	7 250
as-735	6 465	3 152.4	6 466	1.0	99.0	3 105.9	6 466	1.0	93.8	3.6	1.6	204.7	59.8	6 465	6 465
geom	6 137	5 140.2	6 138	2.2	97.8	4 997.1	6 138	2.2	67.4	23.8	6.6	732.2	67.5	6 137	6 137
erdos02	5 528	1 214.1	5 529	0.9	99.1	1 212.1	5 529	0.9	95.6	1.5	2.0	342.9	87.9	5 528	5 528
ca-GrQc	5 198	20 416.6	5 199	2.6	97.4	20 222.6	5 199	2.6	62.3	22.4	12.7	16 289.1	98.5	5 198	5 198
yeast	2 276	1 334.2	2 277	2.7	97.3	1 308.2	2 280	2.7	78.0	5.6	13.7	878.6	96.2	2 276	2 276
netscience	1 442	381.5	1 443	3.6	96.4	374.5	1 443	3.6	43.0	38.4	15.0	253.6	92.6	1 442	1 442
e-mail	1 122	17 613.1	1 123	4.7	95.3	17 585.9	1 123	4.7	63.3	5.0	27.0	17 436.3	99.9	1 122	1 122
homer	544	42.8	545	7.3	92.7	41.9	545	7.3	78.2	5.7	8.8	30.8	87.7	544	544
harvard500	480	442.2	481	7.3	92.7	440.4	481	7.3	66.1	2.7	23.9	428.2	98.9	480	480
celegans-metabolic	445	53.8	446	10.5	89.5	52.1	446	10.5	74.9	6.5	8.1	31.8	84.6	445	445
erdos971	427	53.0	428	8.2	91.8	52.4	430	8.1	76.3	3.3	12.3	45.3	94.0	427	427
USAir97	311	58.7	312	15.1	84.9	57.3	313	15.0	72.8	3.2	8.9	44.0	90.9	311	311
smallW	227	23.0	228	8.8	91.2	22.7	228	8.8	68.4	3.5	19.3	18.8	94.7	227	227
polbooks	100	7.1	101	15.8	84.2	7.0	101	15.8	42.6	4.0	37.6	6.4	93.8	100	100

Considering the higher percentage of weakly-nondominated points found by both *minD* and *maxD* local search methods, the *Three-phase* strategy demonstrates significant reductions in the frequency of ε -constraint runs across all tested graphs. Notably, instances such as *as-735*, *erdos02*, and *EVA* account for the highest reductions, with only 1.6%, 2.0%, and 3.2% of the weakly-nondominated points being identified through the ε -constraint approach, respectively. In less favorable scenarios, such as the graphs *polbooks*, *e-mail*, and *harvard500*, the ε -constraint approach is needed to identify 37.6%, 27.0%, and 23.9%, respectively, of the weakly-nondominated points.

Figure 2 displays the results from the *Three-phase* strategy on the *Harvard500* graph, showing the set \widehat{Z}_G^V of weakly-nondominated points. The figure is divided into parts for clarity. Figure 2a shows all weakly-nondominated points found by the strategy. Figure 2b zooms into the subset of weakly-nondominated points on the right side of Figure 2a. This subset spans from the point (210, -21), representing the maximum clique, to (821, -95). Here, extreme-supported points, identified by the dichotomic search based on weighted sum scalarization, are denoted by solid circles. Weakly-nondominated points generated by the *minD* and *maxD* local search methods are marked with open circles, while those discovered through the ε -constraint runs are indicated with crossed circles. Figure 2c focuses on the points located on the left side of Figure 2a, covering the range from the point (1945, -410) to the point (2043, -500), which corresponds to the entire graph. Similar to Figure 2b, solid circles mark extreme-supported points and open circles mark weakly-nondominated points obtained from the *minD* and *maxD* local search methods. Notice that all points in this segment were discovered via dichotomic search or local searches, eliminating the need for the ε -constraint runs.

This pattern, in which the ε -constraint is more frequently invoked for identifying points situated towards the direction of the maximum clique (on the left side of Figure 2a), and rarely for points situated towards the representation of the entire graph (on the right side of Figure 2a) is consistently observed across all tested graphs. This observed pattern can be attributed to the inherent structure of the tested graphs, where non-supported points, whose efficiency of their corresponding quasi-clique cannot be confirmed by Proposition 11.ii, are more prevalent closer to the maximum clique. The outcomes related to the points validated by Propositions 8, 9, 10, and 11 are further discussed in Section 5.1.4.

5.1.3. Running time

The results related to the running time in seconds spent by each approach are presented in column *t* (Table 2).

For both the *baseline* and *Two-phase* methods, the reported run time corresponds to the total elapsed time recorded by the Gurobi solver for identifying the set of weakly-nondominated points \widehat{Z}_G^V . Specifically, for *Two-phase*, this duration includes the time spent on finding extreme-supported points during the first phase, as well as the time dedicated to discovering the remaining points in the second phase. The *Two-phase* strategy demonstrates a minor reduction in running time compared to the baseline approach. This slight reduction may be attributed to Gurobi's strategy of initially solving the relaxation of model M1 (referenced in formulation 2) when addressing the *e*-DKS problems in the baseline method. Consequently, it can identify the extreme-supported points within a timeframe comparable to employing the linear programming relaxation of the weighted-sum scalarization, WS-MOS (referenced in formulation 1), during the dichotomic search of the *Two-phase* strategy.

For the *Three-phase* strategy, the reported running time corresponds to the total time spent




Figure 2: Weakly-nondominated points in $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^V$ identified by the *Three-phase* strategy for *Harvard500*. (a) Entire weakly-nondominated set. (b) Subset of points ranging from (210, -21) to (821, -95), and (c) from (1945, -410) to (2043, -500), highlighting extreme-supported points (solid circles), and weakly-nondominated points identified by *minD* and *maxD* (open circles) and by ε -constraint (crossed circles).

across the three phases to identify set $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^V$. The results reported in Table 2 indicate that for graphs such as *EVA*, *as-735*, *geom*, and *erdos02*, the total time spent by this approach exhibits a significant reduction with respect to the *Two-phase* method, 94.2%, 93.4%, 93.4%, 85.3%, and 71.7%, respectively. For the remaining tested graphs, the observed reduction in percentage ranges from 0.9% to 39.0%.

In less favorable cases, specifically with the *e-mail* and *Harvard500* graphs, the *Three-phase* strategy achieves only a slight reduction of 0.9% and 2.8% in running time, respectively. This small reduction is somewhat unexpected given that the strategy identifies over 65% of the weakly-nondominated points through local search algorithms for these graphs, as indicated in the *%minD* and *%maxD* columns, suggesting a more marked decrease in running time. This minimal time savings is due to the high time demand of ε -constraint runs, which account for 99.9% and 98.9% of the total time, respectively (see column *%t(ε)*). For most other tested graphs, a similar trend is observed, where the ε -constraint consumes over 87.7% of the total running time. However, graphs such as *EVA*, *as-735*, and *geom* present 40.3%, 59.8%, and 67.5%, respectively, of the running time attributed to the ε -constraint. This observation suggests that the proportion of time

spent on finding weakly-nondominated points through the ε -constraint within the *Three-phase* strategy can vary, depending on the characteristics of the graphs and the nature of the e -DKS problems they present.

5.1.4. Analyzing the Strength of Optimality Conditions

This section presents the results of applying Propositions 8, 9, 10, and 11 as sufficient conditions to evaluate the (weak) efficiency of quasi-cliques generated by the local search approaches within the *Three-phase* strategy. In Table 3, the columns %P8, %P9, %P10, %P11.i, and %P11.ii indicate the proportion of generated quasi-cliques successfully validated by each proposition (8, 9, 10, 11.i, and 11.ii, respectively) for each tested graph. This is expressed as a percentage of the total number of weakly-efficient quasi-cliques identified by the *minD* and *maxD* local search methods. Column %Unproven shows the percentage of quasi-cliques generated by the local search methods that, despite being weakly-efficient, could not have their efficiency proven by the propositions.

Our findings indicate that Proposition 8 was effective in confirming weak efficiency for 46.7% of the tested graphs. The most significant results are observed for the *geom* and *netscience* graphs, with 9.16% and 9.03% of the weakly-efficient quasi-cliques validated by this proposition, respectively.

No weakly-efficient quasi-clique was validated by Proposition 9 because, among the tested graphs, the largest number of vertices whose degree corresponds to the maximum degree of the graph is only two, as observed in the *yeast* and *polbooks* graphs. Consequently, when the *maxD* local search adds a vertex to an existing weakly-efficient quasi-clique, the degree of the added vertex is unlikely to match the maximum degree of the graph.

Given that the *minD* local search stops the quasi-cliques generation upon identifying a clique, as expected, the percentage of validations by Proposition 10 across all tested graphs is minimal, not exceeding 2 validations. Specifically, for the *netscience* graph, no validation occurred under this proposition because the point representing the maximum clique was already identified as an extreme-supported point in the first phase through dichotomic search (Algorithm 4).

Concerning Proposition 11.i, validation percentages are 25.53% and 48.89% for the *polbooks* and *netscience* graphs, respectively. For the remaining graphs, validation rates range from 56.11% to 94.38%. The results for this proposition primarily depend on two factors: the structure of the graphs, which affects the existence of supported points, and the effectiveness of the *minD* and *maxD* local search methods in generating weakly-efficient quasi-cliques.

The percentages of weakly-efficient quasi-cliques validated by Proposition 11.ii are below 50% for most of the graphs, with rates ranging from 5.61% to 42.08%. An exception is observed in the graph *polbooks*, where 72.34% of the weakly-efficient quasi-cliques are validated by this proposition. It is crucial to note that Proposition 11.ii targets those non-supported points located very close to the boundary of \mathcal{Z}_G^{\leq} . Such proximity is significant enough that their corresponding quasi-cliques can be conclusively identified as weakly-efficient. Consequently, the percentage of weakly-efficient quasi-cliques validated by this proposition relies on the inherent structure of the graphs, which influences the occurrence of these particular non-supported points, and on the efficacy of the local search methods.

The results in the %Unproven column show a significant portion of quasi-cliques generated by *minD* and *maxD* and not confirmed by any proposition. These outcomes suggest that, by excluding the ε -constraint from its third phase, the *Three-phase* strategy emerges as a promising heuristic approach for addressing the MOQC problem. For instance, in the cases of the *e-mail* and *Harvard500* graphs, 81.85% and 84.35%, respectively, of the weakly-efficient quasi-cliques

Table 3: Percentage of weakly-efficient quasi-cliques validated by Propositions 8, 10, 9, 11.i, and 11.ii in the *Three-phase* strategy

Graph	%P8	%P9	%P10	%P11.i	%P11.ii	%Unproven
EVA	7.22	0.00	0.01	61.82	30.95	2.15
as-735	0.00	0.00	0.02	93.06	6.92	30.10
geom	9.16	0.00	0.02	56.11	34.71	10.89
erdos02	0.00	0.00	0.02	94.38	5.61	65.74
ca-GrQc	3.99	0.00	0.02	61.39	34.59	50.91
yeast	0.84	0.00	0.10	80.85	18.21	73.48
netscience	9.03	0.00	0.00	48.89	42.08	32.26
e-mail	0.00	0.00	0.13	78.36	21.51	81.85
homer	0.66	0.00	0.22	78.77	20.35	68.75
harvard500	0.00	0.00	0.30	79.15	20.54	84.35
celegans-metabolic	0.00	0.00	0.28	60.61	39.12	75.00
erdos971	0.29	0.00	0.29	71.93	27.49	64.15
USAir97	0.00	0.00	0.84	76.89	22.27	35.71
smallW	0.00	0.00	0.61	84.76	14.63	86.36
polbooks	0.00	0.00	2.13	25.53	72.34	89.47

generated through the local search were not validated. Notably, these are the graphs for which runs of the ε -constraint are accountable for 99.9% and 98.9%, respectively, of the total execution time within the *Three-phase* strategy.

5.2. Results for MOQC problem

The number of nondominated points for the MOQC problem as obtained by the mapping process outlined in Section 4.4 are presented in column $|\mathcal{Z}_G|$ in Table 2.

These findings indicate that although Proposition 5 states that an efficient quasi-clique for the MOS problem might not necessarily be efficient for the MOQC problem, such instances did not arise in the tested graphs. In every tested graph, the weakly-nondominated points in $\widehat{\mathcal{Z}}_G^V$ identified for the MOS problem directly correspond to the nondominated points for the MOQC problem.

An interesting result from the analysis of all tested graphs is the absence of supported points for the MOQC problem, except for those corresponding to the entire graph ($\text{dens}(G), |V|$) and the maximum clique. This lack of supported points reinforces the value of addressing MOQC through the MOS problem.

6. Conclusion

In this paper, we introduced and systematically investigated the MOQC problem, which is a novel perspective on identifying quasi-cliques in simple undirected graphs by simultaneously maximizing vertex cardinality and edge density. This perspective addresses the limitations inherent in single-objective variants of quasi-clique problems, such as the MQC and DKS problems, by eliminating the need for prior or precise preference information about cardinality and density. To efficiently tackle this problem, our methodology is based on exploring the relations among

MOQC, its single-objective counterparts (MQC and DKS), and a pivotal biobjective optimization problem, the MOS problem. This exploration elucidates several key properties of both the MOQC and MOS problems and, alongside analysis of inherent properties of quasi-cliques, provides a comprehensive solution strategy for identifying efficient quasi-cliques for the MOQC problem.

We introduced and detailed three exact solution strategies: a baseline approach based on the ε -constraint method; a *Two-phase* strategy that employs dichotomic search to identify the extreme-supported points and ε -constraint scalarization to identify the remaining weakly-nondominated points; and a *Three-phase* method that combines the aforementioned dichotomic search with local search based on vertex degree information, together with ε -constraint scalarization. While all three strategies proved effective for the MOQC problem, notably, the *Three-phase* method distinguished itself by its superior performance in terms of running time and its efficiency in reducing the frequency of calls to execute the ε -constraint. The ability of the *Three-phase* strategy to discover new weakly-efficient quasi-cliques, supported by an application of local search techniques and a mechanism for quasi-clique efficiency assessment, represents a significant step forward in addressing the MOQC problem.

Looking ahead, our work opens several avenues for future research. One direction could involve approaching the MOQC problem with implicit enumeration methods or other exact strategies. Further exploration might focus on developing more sophisticated local search algorithms, specifically designed to generate weakly-efficient quasi-cliques during the second and third phases of the *Three-phase* strategy. Investigating additional conditions to ensure the efficiency of the generated quasi-cliques also represents another promising area of exploration. Exploring ways to address graphs lacking supported points for the MOS problem also emerges as an important consideration. Additionally, there is potential for developing heuristic methods suited for larger-scale graphs.

Another opportunity for future work involves adapting our approaches to guarantee that the discovered quasi-cliques are connected. For the baseline and *Two-phase* approaches, this could be easily achieved by leveraging our recent contribution [46], which proposes adding constraints to well-known MILP formulations for DKS and MQC problems, such as the M1 model (see formulation 2) used in our current work, to ensure the connectedness property of quasi-cliques. For the *Three-phase* strategy, further investigation is required to ensure that the *minD* and *maxD* local search methods produce weakly-efficient quasi-cliques that are guaranteed to be connected. Ensuring connectedness could potentially broaden the applicability of our strategies to a wide range of real-world scenarios.

Incorporating other relevant objectives into the MOQC problem, such as connectivity, is also a compelling direction for future work. In such a case, the MOQC problem would maximize density, cardinality, and connectivity simultaneously. This allows the identification of quasi-cliques that are not only dense and large but also robust in terms of connectivity, that is, not easy to disconnect.

In conclusion, this work not only introduces the MOQC problem to the academic community but also contributes by offering theoretical insights into both the MOQC and MOS problems and providing practical solutions for solving them. This effort represents a significant milestone in the field of quasi-clique optimization problems, opening new avenues for further research and application opportunities.

Acknowledgements

Daniela Scherer dos Santos acknowledges the Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT) for the Ph.D. fellowship 2022.12082.BD. This work is partially funded by the FCT, I.P./MCTES through national funds (PIDDAC), within the scope of CISUC R&D Unit - UID/CEC/00326/2020.

Appendix A.

Proof of Proposition 7. We prove this proposition by showing that A , the incidence matrix corresponding to constraints (1a), (1b), and (1e), is a totally unimodular (TU) matrix.

Let A be represented by the block-upper-triangular matrix:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ 0 & I_{|V|} \end{bmatrix}$$

Let block B be a $(2|E| \times |E|)$ matrix of $\{0, 1\}$ values with only two elements equal to 1 in each column, and where each column is associated with each variable y_{ij} in the model. Let block C be a $(2|E| \times |V|)$ matrix of $\{-1, 0\}$ values; where in column j the number of non-zero elements is equal to the degree of j in G ; having a single non-zero element in each row; and where each column is associated with each variable x_i in the model. Let block 0 be a $(|V| \times |E|)$ null-matrix. Let block $I_{|V|}$ be the identity matrix of size $|V|$.

The submatrix $A' = [B \ C]$ is TU (see [47], page 162). The submatrix $A'' = [0 \ I_{|V|}]$ is also TU , (see [48], page 221 and given that the null matrix is naturally TU)

It is also well-known that if A is TU , then any change of rows or columns of A does not affect this property. Therefore, we may discuss the total unimodularity of A considering its structure the way it is, ignoring any change of rows or columns. Moreover, if A' and A'' are TU , then the determinant of any submatrix of A within A' or A'' is in $\{-1, 0, 1\}$. This implies that relevant cases only involve submatrices combining rows from A' and A'' , leading to the following three scenarios:

- i) a square submatrix exclusively with rows from blocks B and 0 .
- ii) a square submatrix exclusively with rows from blocks C and $I_{|V|}$.
- iii) a square submatrix with rows and columns spanning all four blocks.

All submatrices in case *i*) have determinant zero. Cases *ii*) and *iii*) are proved at the same time in the following.

Let $H = \begin{bmatrix} H' \\ H'' \end{bmatrix}$ be a square submatrix, with H' and H'' being submatrices of A' and A'' , respectively, that is, H' involves rows in A' and H'' involves rows in A'' . Furthermore, the columns in H always include columns in the submatrix $\begin{bmatrix} C \\ I_{|V|} \end{bmatrix}$, in addition to possible columns from $\begin{bmatrix} B \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. This implies that all rows in H'' have a single non-zero element, being equal to 1. Let h be the size of H , and let H''_1 be the first row in H'' . H''_1 has a single non-zero element, say in column j . Using the *Laplace* expansion, let H_{h-1} be the minor obtained from H by removing H''_1 and column j . Then, $\det(H) = \pm \det(H_{h-1})$. If H_{h-1} has no more rows from H'' , the procedure stops. Otherwise, take the first row H''_1 in H_{h-1} and build the associated minor obtained from

H_{h-1} by removing H''_1 and the column with the single element 1, leading to submatrix H_{h-2} . Thus, $\det(H_{h-1}) = \pm \det(H_{h-2})$. Once again, if H_{h-2} has no more rows from H'' , the procedure stops. Otherwise, take the first row H''_1 in H_{h-2} and proceed as before. Suppose the procedure executes t iterations, leading to submatrix H_{h-t} . Then, $\det(H) = \pm \det(H_{h-1}) = \dots = \pm \det(H_{h-t})$. If H_{h-t} has a row or a column of zeros, then $\det(H_{h-t}) = 0$. Otherwise, the procedure stops because H_{h-t} has no rows of H'' , meaning that H_{h-t} is a submatrix of H' and a submatrix of A' . As A' is TU, then H_{h-t} is also TU, and $\det(H_{h-t}) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. Therefore, $\det(H)$ follows the same set, implying that H is TU. Note that H_{h-t} cannot be empty; otherwise, the initial matrix would only involve rows in $I_{|V|}$, which does not belong to cases *ii*) and *iii*). □

References

- [1] J. Abello, P. M. Pardalos, M. G. C. Resende, On Maximum Clique Problems in Very Large Graphs, American Mathematical Society, USA, 1999, p. 119–130. doi:10.1090/dimacs/050.
- [2] J. Abello, M. G. C. Resende, S. Sudarsky, Massive quasi-clique detection, in: S. Rajsbaum (Ed.), LATIN 2002: Theoretical Informatics, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2002, pp. 598–612. doi:10.1007/3-540-45995-2_51.
- [3] B. Balasundaram, F. M. Pajouh, Graph theoretic clique relaxations and applications, in: P. M. Pardalos, D.-Z. Du, R. L. Graham (Eds.), Handbook of Combinatorial Optimization, Springer New York, New York, NY, 2013, pp. 1559–1598. doi:10.1007/978-1-4419-7997-1_9.
- [4] Y. Asahiro, K. Iwama, H. Tamaki, T. Tokuyama, Greedily finding a dense subgraph, Journal of Algorithms 34 (2) (2000) 203–221. doi:10.1006/jagm.1999.1062.
- [5] M.-S. Chang, L.-H. Chen, L.-J. Hung, P. Rossmanith, G.-H. Wu, Exact algorithms for problems related to the densest k -set problem, Information Processing Letters 114 (9) (2014) 510–513. doi:10.1016/j.ipl.2014.04.009.
- [6] D. G. Corneil, Y. Perl, Clustering and domination in perfect graphs, Discrete Applied Mathematics 9 (1) (1984) 27–39. doi:10.1016/0166-218X(84)90088-X.
- [7] G. Kortsarz, D. Peleg, On choosing a dense subgraph, in: Proceedings of 1993 IEEE 34th Annual Foundations of Computer Science, 1993, pp. 692–701. doi:10.1109/SFCS.1993.366818.
- [8] U. Feige, M. Seltser, On the densest k -subgraph problems, Tech. Rep. CS97-16, Weizmann Institute, Department of Applied Math and Computer Science, Rehovot, Israel (1997).
- [9] Y. Asahiro, K. Iwama, Finding dense subgraphs, in: J. Staples, P. Eades, N. Katoh, A. Moffat (Eds.), Algorithms and Computations, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1995, pp. 102–111. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0015413.
- [10] J. Pattillo, A. Veremyev, S. Butenko, V. Boginski, On the maximum quasi-clique problem, Discrete Applied Mathematics 161 (1) (2013) 244–257. doi:10.1016/j.dam.2012.07.019.
- [11] M. Brunato, H. H. Hoos, R. Battiti, On effectively finding maximal quasi-cliques in graphs, in: V. Maniezzo, R. Battiti, J.-P. Watson (Eds.), Learning and Intelligent Optimization, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2008, pp. 41–55. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-92695-5_4.
- [12] E. Althaus, M. Blumenstock, A. Disterhoft, A. Hildebrandt, M. Krupp, Algorithms for the maximum weight connected k -induced subgraph problem, in: Z. Zhang, L. Wu, W. Xu, D.-Z. Du (Eds.), Combinatorial Optimization and Applications, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2014, pp. 268–282. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-12691-3_21.
- [13] C. Backes, A. Rurainski, G. W. Klau, O. Müller, D. Stöckel, A. Gerasch, J. Küntzer, D. Maisel, N. Ludwig, M. Hein, A. Keller, H. Burtscher, M. Kaufmann, E. Meese, H.-P. Lenhof, An integer linear programming approach for finding deregulated subgraphs in regulatory networks, Nucleic Acids Research 40 (6) (2011) e43–e43. doi:10.1093/nar/gkr1227.
- [14] M. Bhattacharyya, S. Bandyopadhyay, Mining the largest quasi-clique in human protein interactome, in: 2009 International Conference on Adaptive and Intelligent Systems, 2009, pp. 194–199. doi:10.1109/ICAIS.2009.39.
- [15] A. Billionnet, Different formulations for solving the heaviest k -subgraph problem, INFOR: Information Systems and Operational Research 43 (3) (2005) 171 – 186. doi:10.1080/03155986.2005.11732724.
- [16] N. Bourgeois, A. Giannakos, G. Lucarelli, I. Milis, V. T. Paschos, Exact and approximation algorithms for densest k -subgraph, in: S. K. Ghosh, T. Tokuyama (Eds.), WALCOM: Algorithms and Computation, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013, pp. 114–125. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-36065-7_12.

- [17] F. Marinelli, A. Pizzuti, F. Rossi, Lp-based dual bounds for the maximum quasi-clique problem, *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 296 (2021) 118–140, 16th Cologne–Twente Workshop on Graphs and Combinatorial Optimization (CTW 2018). doi:10.1016/j.dam.2020.02.003.
- [18] F. M. Pajouh, Z. Miao, B. Balasundaram, A branch-and-bound approach for maximum quasi-cliques, *Annals of Operations Research* 216 (1) (2014) 145–161. doi:10.1007/s10479-012-1242-y.
- [19] C. C. Ribeiro, J. A. Riveaux, An exact algorithm for the maximum quasi-clique problem, *International Transactions in Operational Research* 26 (6) (2019) 2199–2229. doi:10.1111/itor.12637.
- [20] A. Veremyev, O. A. Prokopyev, S. Butenko, E. L. Pasilliao, Exact MIP-based approaches for finding maximum quasi-cliques and dense subgraphs, *Computational Optimization and Applications* 64 (1) (2016) 177–214. doi:10.1007/s10589-015-9804-y.
- [21] J. Chen, S. Cai, S. Pan, Y. Wang, Q. Lin, M. Zhao, M. Yin, NuQClq: An effective local search algorithm for maximum quasi-clique problem, *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence* 35 (14) (2021) 12258–12266. doi:10.1609/aaai.v35i14.17455. URL <https://ojs.aaai.org/index.php/AAAI/article/view/17455>
- [22] Y. Djeddi, H. A. Haddadene, N. Belacel, An extension of adaptive multi-start tabu search for the maximum quasi-clique problem, *Computers & Industrial Engineering* 132 (2019) 280–292. doi:10.1016/j.cie.2019.04.040.
- [23] E. M. Macambira, An application of tabu search heuristic for the maximum edge-weighted subgraph problem, *Annals of Operations Research* 117 (1-4) (2002) 175–190. doi:10.1023/A:1021525624027.
- [24] B. Peng, L. Wu, Y. Wang, Q. Wu, Solving maximum quasi-clique problem by a hybrid artificial bee colony approach, *Information Sciences* 578 (2021) 214–235. doi:10.1016/j.ins.2021.06.094.
- [25] B. Q. Pinto, C. C. Ribeiro, J. A. Riveaux, I. Rosseti, A brkga-based matheuristic for the maximum quasi-clique problem with an exact local search strategy, *RAIRO-Operations Research* 55 (2021) S741–S763. doi:10.1051/ro/2020003.
- [26] B. Q. Pinto, C. C. Ribeiro, I. Rosseti, A. Plastino, A biased random-key genetic algorithm for the maximum quasi-clique problem, *European Journal of Operational Research* 271 (3) (2018) 849–865. doi:10.1016/j.ejor.2018.05.071.
- [27] Q. Zhou, U. Benlic, Q. Wu, An opposition-based memetic algorithm for the maximum quasi-clique problem, *European Journal of Operational Research* 286 (1) (2020) 63–83. doi:10.1016/j.ejor.2020.03.019.
- [28] A. Bhaskara, M. Charikar, E. Chlamtac, U. Feige, A. Vijayaraghavan, Detecting high log-densities: An $O(n^{1/4})$ approximation for densest k-subgraph, in: *Proceedings of the Forty-Second ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC '10*, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2010, p. 201–210. doi:10.1145/1806689.1806719.
- [29] X. Chen, X. Hu, C. Wang, Finding connected k-subgraphs with high density, *Information and Computation* 256 (2017) 160–173. doi:10.1016/j.ic.2017.07.003.
- [30] U. Feige, G. Kortsarz, D. Peleg, The dense k-subgraph problem, *Algorithmica* 29 (3) (2001) 410–421. doi:10.1007/s004530010050.
- [31] M. Liazi, I. Milis, V. Zissimopoulos, A constant approximation algorithm for the densest k-subgraph problem on chordal graphs, *Information Processing Letters* 108 (1) (2008) 29–32. doi:10.1016/j.ipl.2008.03.016.
- [32] D. Saban, F. Bonomo, N. E. Stier-Moses, Analysis and models of bilateral investment treaties using a social networks approach, *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications* 389 (17) (2010) 3661–3673. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2010.04.001>. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0378437110002979>
- [33] P. Shelokar, A. Quirin, Ó. Cerdón, A multiobjective variant of the Subdue graph mining algorithm based on the NSGA-II selection mechanism, in: *IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation, IEEE, 2010*, pp. 1–8. doi:10.1109/CEC.2010.5586400.
- [34] P. Shelokar, A. Quirin, Ó. Cerdón, Subgraph mining in graph-based data using multiobjective evolutionary programming, in: *Proceedings of the IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation,(CEC), IEEE, 2011*, pp. 1730–1737. doi:10.1109/CEC.2011.5949824.
- [35] P. Shelokar, A. Quirin, Ó. Cerdón, Mosubdue: a Pareto dominance-based multiobjective Subdue algorithm for frequent subgraph mining, *Knowledge and Information Systems* 34 (1) (2013) 75–108. doi:10.1007/S10115-011-0452-Y.
- [36] P. Shelokar, A. Quirin, Ó. Cerdón, A multiobjective evolutionary programming framework for graph-based data mining, *Information Sciences* 237 (2013) 118–136. doi:10.1016/J.INS.2013.02.014.
- [37] P. Shelokar, A. Quirin, Óscar Cerdón, Three-objective subgraph mining using multiobjective evolutionary programming, *Journal of Computer and System Sciences* 80 (1) (2014) 16–26. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcss.2013.03.005>. URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S00220001300069X>
- [38] Y. Y. Haimes, L. S. Lasdon, D. A. Wismer, On a bicriterion formulation of the problems of integrated system identification and system optimization, *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics SMC-1* (3) (1971) 296–297. doi:10.1109/TSMC.1971.4308298.

- [39] S. Kosub, *Local Density*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2005, pp. 112–142. doi:10.1007/978-3-540-31955-9_6.
- [40] M. Ehrgott, *Multicriteria Optimization*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2005. doi:10.1007/3-540-27659-9.
- [41] J. R. Figueira, C. M. Fonseca, P. Halffmann, K. Klamroth, L. Paquete, S. Ruzika, B. Schulze, M. Stiglmayr, D. Willems, Easy to say they are hard, but hard to see they are easy— towards a categorization of tractable multi-objective combinatorial optimization problems, *Journal of Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis* 24 (1-2) (2017) 82–98. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/mcda.1574.
- [42] K. Klamroth, J. Tind, Constrained optimization using multiple objective programming, *Journal of Global Optimization* 37 (3) (2007) 325–355. doi:10.1007/s10898-006-9052-x.
- [43] Y. P. Aneja, K. Nair, Bicriteria transportation problem, *Management Science* 25 (1979) 73–78. doi:https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.25.1.73.
- [44] T. A. Davis, Y. Hu, The university of florida sparse matrix collection, *ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software* 38 (1) (dec 2011). doi:10.1145/2049662.2049663.
- [45] M. Trick, Graph coloring instances, <https://mat.tepper.cmu.edu/COLOR/instances.html>, accessed: 2022-12-02 (2002).
- [46] D. S. dos Santos, K. Klamroth, P. Martins, L. Paquete, Ensuring connectedness for the maximum quasi-clique and densest k -subgraph problems (2024). arXiv:2403.08534, doi:10.48550/arXiv.2403.08534.
- [47] M. W. Padberg, The boolean quadric polytope: Some characteristics, facets and relatives, *Mathematical Programming* 45 (1989) 139–172. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01589101.
- [48] W. J. Cook, W. H. Cunningham, W. R. Pulleyblank, A. Schrijver, *Combinatorial optimization*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., USA, 1998. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118033142.