arXiv:2403.17531v1 [cs.RO] 26 Mar 2024

Design and Preliminary Evaluation of a Torso Stabiliser for Individuals with Spinal Cord Injury

Rejin John Varghese*, Man-Yan Tong*, Isabella Szczech, Peter Bryan, Dario Farina, and Etienne Burdet

Abstract-Spinal cord injuries (SCIs) generally result in sensory and mobility impairments, with torso instability being particularly debilitating. Existing torso stabilisers are often rigid and restrictive. This paper presents an early investigation into a non-restrictive 1 degree-of-freedom (DoF) mechanical torso stabiliser inspired by devices such as centrifugal clutches and seat-belt mechanisms. Firstly, the paper presents a motion-capture (MoCap) and OpenSim-based kinematic analysis of the cable-based system to understand requisite device characteristics. The simulated evaluation resulted in the cable-based device to require 55-60 cm of unrestricted travel, and to lock at a threshold cable velocity of 80-100 cm/sec. Next, the developed 1-DoF device is introduced. The proposed mechanical device is transparent during activities of daily living, and transitions to compliant blocking when incipient fall is detected. Prototype behaviour was then validated using a MoCap-based kinematic analysis to verify non-restrictive movement, reliable transition to blocking, and compliance of the blocking.

I. INTRODUCTION

Spinal cord injury (SCI) has been increasingly recognised as a global health priority, with statistics varying between 12.1 and 57.8 cases per million in high-income countries, and between 12.7 and 29.7 in low-income countries [1]. Injuries, especially to the cervical and thoracic regions, compromise torso stability which can severely affect an individual's ability to perform activities of daily living (ADL), especially reaching tasks that require extending one's torso either forwards or sidewards. Additionally, torso stability also affects the ability to perform bimanual tasks as one hand needs to be constantly used to support the torso [2]. Furthermore, an inability to maintain a balanced sitting posture also increases the risk of developing pressure sores, which can lead to complications such as autonomic dysreflexia [3]. An assistive device designed to provide on-demand support across various seated postures would fill an important open medical need and substantially improve quality of life of patients.

Several studies have highlighted the importance of external support for individuals with spinal cord injuries to maintain proper sitting posture and prevent abnormal spinal curvature [4,5]. Common solutions include passive devices such as lateral trunk supports (LTS), thoracolumbosacral orthoses (TLSO), and specially equipped wheelchairs. Lateral trunk support is often used clinically to improve seated posture in



Fig. 1. The proposed torso stabiliser system (i). incorporated as a device attached to the wheelchair, and the cable from the device anchored to a back-support vest. (ii). Section view of the developed prototype.

individuals with SCI who have difficulty in maintaining proper alignment [6]. However, its rigid design can be restrictive, can cause discomfort if not positioned correctly, and may shift out of place. TLSO support the mid-to-lower back but can limit bending and twisting, and are not always suitable for SCI or patients with osteoporosis due to risks like pressure sores. Wheelchair modifications like seat-tilting offer stability but lack support for forward/lateral movement and activities.

To overcome the above limitation in state-of-the-art devices, this paper presents an early investigation into a novel torso stabiliser concept (Fig. 1). The proposed device aims to provide a purely mechanical solution that can simultaneously offer both transparency during ADL and responsive but compliant on-demand blocking on detecting a fall. The choice for pursuing a purely mechanical solution was to ensure robustness, simplicity, cost-effectiveness, and, thereby, adoption in low- and middle-income countries. The developed prototype is capable of blocking only a single degree-of-freedom (DoF) but can in the future be extended to two DoFs. In this paper, we identify device design parameters, present an early version of the concept, and perform a preliminary validation of the system.

II. SIMULATION-BASED PARAMETER IDENTIFICATION

To develop the cable-based device capable of being transparent/non-restrictive during ADL, but promptly blocking a fall, it is critical to identify parameters such as unrestricted cable travel, and a kinematic signature that can be used to trigger the blocking mechanism. For this purpose, MoCap experiments of typical ADL scenarios were simulated with a subject having a T1-T4 level SCI. The data was used to

^{*}equal contribution

This research is supported in part by the UK EPSRC EP/T020970/1 NISNEM and by the EU H2020 REHYB (ICT 871767) grants.

All authors are with the Department of Bioengineering, Imperial College of Science, Technology and Medicine, London W12 0BZ, UK (email: {r.varghese15,e.burdet}@imperial.ac.uk)



Fig. 2. Overview of the virtual prototyping setup and results: (i). Setup for MoCap experiments, (ii). Musculoskeletal model in OpenSim with path actuators, (iii). Torso stabiliser cable displacement and velocity (filtered) v/s time during a trial (blue line - left device, and orange line - right device).

generate a subject-specific musculoskeletal model in OpenSim [7]. Signed informed consent was obtained from the subject before the study.

A. MoCap Experiments

A multi-camera MoCap system (Smart DX, BTS Bioengineering, Italy) was used for capturing movement. A total of 65 markers were placed both on anatomical features as well as over the back in a grid pattern (Fig. 2i). This was done to capture both kinematic movements and the shape of the back (to quantitatively optimise device anchor placement in the future). The entire spine movement could not be tracked as the wheelchair backrest obscured markers on the lower spine from the cameras. Data was captured at 250 Hz.

Adequate safety protocols were put in place before commencing experiments. A total of eight trials were conducted. Two trials were static data capture trials that would be used for scaling the musculoskeletal model. The remaining six trials captured forward and sideward leaning experienced while simulating ADL reaching activities. These trials were used to quantify both range of motion and identify a kinematic signature observed on incipient fall.

B. OpenSim-based Musculoskeletal Modelling

For our analysis, we used an open-source personalisable full-body model with a detailed thoracolumbar spine (link) developed by Schmid *et al.* [8]. Marker locations on the model corresponded to those of the MoCap experiments. Markers on anatomical features from the static trials were used to scale the model to the subject, with RMSE obtained being well inside the acceptable limits in the field. Two path actuators were added to the MS model to mimic the proposed device's behaviour, with a very small tension force applied to ensure the actuators remained taut and followed the subject's movements. The resulting model is shown in Fig. 2ii. Simulations using the MoCap data from the kinematic trials were performed using the OpenSim-MATLAB API.

C. Simulated Evaluation Results

MoCap trials of the movement data were used as input to the model developed in OpenSim. While performing inverse kinematics simulations in OpenSim, as markers could not be placed all down the spine, the kinematics of the spine were not accurately reconstructed. However, this was not a concern as the device (path actuator) was anchored across the shoulder (Fig. 2ii), the movement of which was accurately tracked. Fig. 2iii shows the results from a trial with both sideward and forward reaching movements, to simulate picking up objects from the ground. The orange and blue lines depict the cable length and cable velocity of each simulated device (path actuator). Cable length data was filtered using the Savitzky-Golay filter in the Signal Analyzer app in MATLAB to obtain smoothed cable velocity data. Parts of the trial that depict both the actuators moving in unison represent forward and backward movements, whereas individual actuators moving out of sync represent movements with a sideward component. The simulated evaluation resulted in the device to require 55-60 cm of unrestricted travel.

Between 16-18 s, the subject experienced an incipient fall and reflexively stabilised himself by holding onto the wheelchair frame. In this time window, we observed a particular pattern in the kinematics, consistent with observations reported in literature. Luvstrek *et al.* [9] and Cao *et al.* [10] have both reported that at the onset of the fall, acceleration data (measured using IMUs) have a significant drop below the baseline of 1g (9.8 m/s²), followed by a spike which culminates in the fall. Extrapolating the same to velocity and displacement data (and removing the effect of gravity), we note the kinematic pattern of a rapid forward movement followed by a sharp backward movement, consistent with a



Fig. 3. An exploded view and list of components of the developed device that enables both non-restrictive ADL movement and responsive compliant blocking. The mechanism that triggers compliant blocking is presented in the inset figure.

reflex and compensation to prevent falling. Based on the above, we identified a cable linear velocity threshold of 80-100 cm/s to be translated into equivalent capstan angular velocity as required to trigger the blocking mechanism.

III. DEVICE DEVELOPMENT AND VALIDATION

A. Working Principle and Prototyping

For our prototype (Fig. 1), the device was conceptualised to be fixed to the wheelchair with a cable extending from it and anchoring to a fabric-based back-support-like vest worn by the user ((Figs. 1b,3)). An exploded view of the components of the developed prototype and bill of materials used is presented in Fig. 3. As introduced previously, the proposed torso stabiliser system needs to be non-restrictive during regular torso movements while engaging and locking as soon as a fall is detected. This resulted in the device requiring three distinct phases/modes:

1) Transparent Mode: In this mode, the cable connecting the device to the wheelchair maintains low tension to ensure the cable is taut at all times to track the user's movements while allowing for the user to feel unconstrained. This is achieved through a coil spring connected to the capstan around which the cable is wound (Part#2 and Part#11 in Fig. 3).

2) Transparent-to-Blocking Mode Transition: The aim of this part of the device is to switch into the blocking mode when a fall event is detected, and reset afterwards. The developed mechanism (inset in Fig. 3) is based on the working principle used in speed governors, centrifugal clutches and seat-belts. In the developed mechanism, there are two pivoting flyweights (Part#8) that move in and out as the capstan's angular velocity changes. The flyweights have Neodymium magnets (Part#9), behaving analogously to springs or gravity in systems like centrifugal clutches or speed governors. The magnetic attraction force pulls the two flyweights inward, while increased angular velocity cause them to move outward. At the threshold angular velocity, the flyweights overcome the magnetic attraction completely and lock into the locking plate (Part#6 and Part#7), coupling the capstan to the blocking mode passive actuator and, by extension, creating a blocking force on the cable and the user. The choice of magnets and flyweight weights need to be identified iteratively based on experiments.

3) Blocking Mode: This mode is triggered when the flyweights are engaged to the locking plate. The locking plate is connected via another cable to a nonlinear spring (Part#4 and Part#5). Once the flyweights lock into the locking plate, the nonlinear spring couples with the main capstan, thereby, generating the blocking force. The nonlinear spring prevents abrupt blocking, and results in a compliant but definite stop.

The off-the-shelf vest weighs $\approx 300 \text{ g}$, and the developed device weighs $\approx 130 \text{ g}$. The back-support vest was chosen as it has two strong plastic runner plates, that provide structural integrity to anchor the cable from the device. The cables were intended to be anchored as away from the torso as possible, to maximise tracking sensitivity. Most parts were designed and 3D-printed using FormLabs Form3+ printer with Tough 2000 resin, except for Locking Plate A (Part#7) which was fabricated out of Aluminium using waterjet cutting. Even though they are load-bearing, the flyweights (Part#8) continued to be in resin for this prototype, as the magnets inset within them provide additional structural integrity.

B. MoCap-based Validation of Device Behaviour

1) Experimental Setup: Preliminary validation for the prototyped device was conducted by pulling on the cable from the device with different velocities, while capturing data using the MoCap setup described previously. Fig. 4i shows the placement of markers on both the device and the two hands of the person performing this validation study. Four markers were placed on each body (the two hands, main body of the device and nonlinear spring plunger) to obtain each body's centroid and pose throughout the validation experiments, while ensuring redundancy.

2) Results: Results of the MoCap experiments are presented in Fig. 4ii. The blue and dashed orange lines represent cable velocity and displacement, respectively. At low-to-medium velocities (green shaded region), it can be clearly seen that the device cable promptly tracks extension and retraction, and is able to achieve non-restrictive travel of 55-60 cm. Additionally, as the velocity goes above a threshold of ≈ 62.8 cm/s (red shaded region), the blocking mechanism activates, and this results in a compliant but positive stop, as has been annotated in Fig. 4ii. The blocking mechanism resets



Fig. 4. An overview of the (i). MoCap setup and (ii). results of preliminary validation of the prototype. The results show device transparency during low-to-medium cable velocities (green shaded regions), and the transition to blocking, and compliant blocking above a certain threshold (red shaded regions).

as the tension in the cable is relieved. Two sets of blocking and reset operations are presented and annotated in the above trial. This MoCap study validates the concept's ability in having both non-restrictive motion as well as compliant blocking triggered above a certain cable velocity.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we addressed the challenge of torso stabilisation faced by individuals with SCI through the design, fabrication and validation of a purely mechanical device. As part of the problem statement, the device is required to be non-restrictive during ADL, as well as capable of compliant blocking in a prompt and responsive manner on detecting incipient fall. Through this work, we initially identified device design requirements such as unrestricted cable travel and blocking velocity. This was achieved through virtual prototyping of the potential device in OpenSim using MoCap data from experiments obtained from an individual with SCI performing leaning movements experienced during ADL.

An early prototype of the proposed device was presented in the second part of this paper. A mechanical solution was designed to ensure robustness, simplicity, and cost-effectiveness, potentially facilitating adoption in low- and middle-income countries. Blocking is triggered by a mechanism inspired by centrifugal clutches and speed governors. Preliminary validation was conducted to understand the kinematic behaviour of the device and clearly demonstrate the prototype's ability to achieve non-restrictive travel, prompt transition to blocking mode, and ensure compliant but definite blocking.

While we clearly identified device parameters, and early results with the developed prototype are promising, further work is required to tune velocity at which the blocking mode is triggered. The developed prototype currently blocks at a lower velocity than was obtained from our simulation, causing false-positives. Further investigation is required to identify whether velocity should continue as the parameter of choice, or whether acceleration of the torso would be more apt. Finally, future prototypes need to be more robust, and validated with individuals without SCI, before a comprehensive trial can be conducted with individuals with SCI.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work utilised expertise and prototyping equipment at the Imperial College Advanced Hackspace. The authors would like to thank the mentors at the hackspace for their support. The authors would also like to thank Magnus Aronson-Aminoff for his insightful advice on the project.

References

- C. Barbiellini Amidei, L. Salmaso, S. Bellio, and M. Saia, "Epidemiology of traumatic spinal cord injury: a large population-based study," *Spinal Cord*, vol. 60, no. 9, pp. 812–819, 2022.
- [2] L. Britten, R. Coats, R. Ichiyama, W. Raza, F. Jamil, and S. Astill, "The effect of task symmetry on bimanual reach-to-grasp movements after cervical spinal cord injury," *Experimental Brain Research*, vol. 236, no. 11, pp. 3101–3111, 2018.
- [3] N. Sezer, S. Akkuş, and F. G. Uğurlu, "Chronic complications of spinal cord injury," World J Orthop, vol. 6, no. 6, pp. 24–33, 2015.
- [4] Z. D., "Essential wheelchair modifications for proper sitting posture," Wheelchair posture and pressure sores, vol. 6, no. 6, pp. 18–38, 1984.
- [5] R. K. Shields and T. M. Cook, "Effect on seated buttock pressure in individuals with and without spinal cord injury," *Lumbar support thickness*, vol. 72, no. 3, pp. 218–26, 1992.
- [6] A. Veldhuizen, J. Cheung, G. Bulthuis, and G. Nijenbanning, "A new orthotic device in the non-operative treatment of idiopathic scoliosis," *Medical Engineering & Physics*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 209–218, 2002.
- [7] S. L. Delp, F. C. Anderson, A. S. Arnold, P. Loan, A. Habib, C. T. John, E. Guendelman, and D. G. Thelen, "Opensim: open-source software to create and analyze dynamic simulations of movement," *IEEE transactions on biomedical engineering*, vol. 54, no. 11, pp. 1940–1950, 2007.
- [8] S. Schmid, L. Connolly, G. Moschini, M. L. Meier, and M. Senteler, "Skin marker-based subject-specific spinal alignment modeling: A feasibility study," *Journal of Biomechanics*, vol. 137, p. 111102, 2022.
- [9] M. Luštrek, H. Gjoreski, S. Kozina, B. Cvetkovic, V. Mirchevska, and M. Gams, "Detecting falls with location sensors and accelerometers," in *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 25, no. 2, 2011, pp. 1662–1667.
- [10] H. Cao, S. Wu, Z. Zhou, C.-C. Lin, C.-Y. Yang, S.-T. Lee, and C.-T. Wu, "A fall detection method based on acceleration data and hidden markov model," in 2016 IEEE International Conference on Signal and Image Processing (ICSIP). IEEE, 2016, pp. 684–689.