# PATRICK MOSS 25/10/1947-17/3/2024

THOMAS WARD



There can be very few published mathematical researchers with a 39 year gap in their list of publications. Patrick (Pat) Moss, who completed a doctorate with Graham Everest and me in 2003, is one of them. He had a brief early period of activity in ring theory (see [5, 6, 12]). After a long career in teaching he returned to mathematics, where he had a period of particularly creative research in a form of abstract or combinatorial dynamical systems (see [8, 16, 17]).

His contributions during this second period of activity far exceeded what is visible through his publications, in part because he was consistently diffident about the depth of his ideas. While my focus here has been on the mathematical contributions that Pat made, and his great ingenuity, both Graham Everest and I liked him very much and greatly enjoyed the all too short period over which we were able to work closely with him. I miss them both, as Graham himself passed away in 2010 [20].

# 1. Ring theory and Birkbeck College

Pat grew up in Brentwood and attended school in Chelmsford. He went on to study Mathematics at the University of Surrey, graduating with a BSc in 1968. He went on to Birkbeck College as a postgraduate, where he worked with Thomas Lenagan and Stephen Ginn but it seems did not complete his Masters degree. In their first publication [5] Moss and Ginn showed that a finitely generated finitely embedded projective module over a Noetherian ring is Artinian, answering a question of Jategaonkar [9]. They also showed that such a ring is Artinian if its

Date: April 5, 2024.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 37P35, 37C30, 11N32.

right socle is either left or right essential. In later work [6] they used the notion of Artinian radical and earlier work of Lenagan [10] to show that a left and right Noetherian ring with an Artinian classical quotient ring is the direct sum of an Artinian ring and a ring with zero socle. Pat continued this line of enquiry, trying to find necessary and/or sufficient conditions for a Noetherian ring to be an order in an Artinian ring, with Lenagan. In joint work motivated in part by earlier work with Ginn [6] and work of Lenagan [11] showing that several properties of fully bounded Noetherian rings also hold for Noetherian rings under the assumption that they have Krull dimension one, they found simple conditions for the Artinian radical of a Noetherian ring to split off and under some more technical conditions on the rings found criteria for the existence of an Artinian quotient ring along the lines of the results for Krull dimension one [12].

# 2. Palmers Sixth Form College 1970–2011

Pat started teaching at Palmers Sixth Form College in 1970, and this is the affiliation on his joint work with Lenagan [12]. He taught there for more than forty years, inspiring many generations of students with a love of Mathematics, and supporting many students through the Sixth Term Examination Paper (STEP) examinations for access to universities that require it. Pat met his wife Sally in 1994, and she survives him.

# 3. Doctorate at UEA

Pat completed a masters degree in Mathematics through the Open University, graduating in 2000. While studying there he met and befriended Gerard McLaren, who was working at British Telecom. Together they approached the School of Mathematics at the University of East Anglia to enquire about enrolling for PhDs part-time. Gerry worked with Graham Everest and I on properties of elliptic divisibility sequences, leading to some results on effective bounds for the appearance of primitive divisors in certain families of such sequences [4]. In the end Gerry decided not to continue with his doctoral studies.

Pat also had Graham and me as supervisors, and he looked at the notion of 'realizability' for integer sequences. This purely combinatorial property had been studied from different perspectives in multiple contexts, but the starting point for Pat was some work of Yash Puri [18]. A sequence denoted  $a = (a_n)$  of non-negative integers is said to be 'realizable' if there is a map  $T: X \to X$  for which

$$a_n = \operatorname{Fix}_T(n) = |\{x \in X \mid T^n x = x\}|$$

#### PATRICK MOSS

for all  $n \ge 1$ . The sequence a is then said to be 'realized' by the map T. Here X can be taken to simply be a countable set with T a bijection or (via a suitable compactification) to be a compact metric space with T a homeomorphism or (less obviously) T can be taken to be a  $C^{\infty}$  diffeomorphism and X an annulus by work of Alastair Windsor [21].

Part of the interest in this notion comes from the congruence constraints that realizability imposes—for example, if  $a_1$  is odd then the fact that  $a_2 - a_1$  counts the number of closed T-orbits of length 2 forces  $a_2$  to also be odd. In fact it is straightforward to see that the condition amounts to the following: A sequence  $(a_n)$  is realizable if and only if

•  $\sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) a_d \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ —the 'Dold' condition (D) and •  $\sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) a_d \ge 0$ —the 'Sign' condition (S)

for all  $n \ge 1$ , where  $\mu$  denotes the classical Möbius function. A recent overview of this property and settings in which it arises may be found in the survey by Byszewski, Graff, and the author [3].

Yash Puri and I had, for example, used the congruence (D) to study Fibonacci-like sequences, namely those of the form

$$(a_n) = (1, c, 1 + c, 1 + 2c, 2 + 3c, 3 + 5c, \dots)$$

satisfying the Fibonacci recurrence  $a_{n+2} = a_{n+1} + a_n$  for  $n \ge 1$ . Our first result was that such a sequence is realizable if and only if the parameter c = 3, meaning that the classical Lucas sequence is the only solution of the Fibonacci recurrence that is realizable [19]. The argument uses well-known congruences of the form  $F_{p-1} \equiv 1 \mod p$ for primes  $p \equiv \pm 2 \mod 5$ . Much later Gregory Minton [14] showed in essence that a linear recurrence sequence satisfying the congruence (D) must be a combination of traces of powers of algebraic numbers, hugely generalizing our observation.

Pat took these simple ideas and produced interesting new insights in several different directions, many of them pointing to further work.

3.1. Local and Algebraic Realizability. Pat looked at the *p*-part of a sequence for each prime p, giving rise to the notion of 'local' realizability: A sequence is realizable locally at a prime p if the sequence of p-parts is itself a realizable sequence. It is easy to see that a sequence that is locally realizable at every prime is realizable, but Pat showed that the converse also holds if the sequence is realized by an endomorphism of a locally nilpotent group ('nilpotently realizable').

This raised the question of understanding the property of being realized by a group automorphism more generally. If a is realized by

a group automorphism then in addition to the purely combinatorial congruence conditions it must be a divisibility sequence. Pat quickly found simple examples to show that far more is needed: Indeed, the sequence

$$(1, 1, 1, 1, 6, 1, 1, 1, 1, 6, \dots) \tag{1}$$

which is realized by the permutation (12345)(6) on the set  $\{1, 2, \ldots, 6\}$  is both a divisibility sequence and a linear recurrence sequence, but it cannot be realized by a group automorphism: There is no group of order 6 with an automorphism that cycles its non-identity elements. What is clear about the sequence (1) is that both its 2-part and its 3part are not realizable. Pat's result [16, Th. 3.2.11] that nilpotent realizability (realizability by an automorphism of a nilpotent group) is equivalent to everywhere locally nilpotent realizability gives an explanation for this example, but the problem of characterising algebraic realizability combinatorially remains open, even for the restricted class of linear recurrent divisibility sequences. These have been classified by Bézivin, Pethő, and van der Poorten [2] but which of them are algebraically realizable is unclear.

3.2. Realizability along Subsequences. Pat developed an extraordinary insight into the congruence conditions (D) for realizability, and this contributed greatly to a series of conversations between Graham Everest, Pat, and me about how sampling along a subsequence of times might preserve or not preserve the realizability property. Pat quickly established a fundamental 'powers' result: If  $(a_n)$  is realizable then  $(a_{n^k})$  is realizable for any  $k \ge 1$  [16, Th. 2.2.2]. This raised the question of understanding what kind of time-changing maps  $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  in place of the map  $n \mapsto n^k$  also have this property, but it was some years before any of us were able to return to it.

In 2018 Sawian Jaidee visited me in Leeds, and we realised that no polynomials apart from the monomials already identified could have this realizability along subsequences property. We contacted Pat, and pieced together the following way of thinking about these 'time changes' that preserve realizability—or, equivalently, act as symmetries of the space of dynamical zeta functions.

**Definition** (From Jaidee, Moss, and Ward [8]). For a dynamical system  $T: X \to X$  with  $\operatorname{Fix}_T(n) < \infty$  for all  $n \ge 1$ , define

 $\mathscr{P}(X,T) = \{h \colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \mid (\operatorname{Fix}_T(h(n))) \text{ is a realizable sequence}\}$ 

to be the set of *realizability-preserving time-changes for* (X, T). Also define

$$\mathscr{P} = \bigcap_{\{(X,T)\}} \mathscr{P}(X,T)$$

to be the monoid of universally realizability-preserving time-changes, where the intersection is taken over all systems (X, T) for which

$$\operatorname{Fix}_T(n) < \infty$$

for all  $n \ge 1$ .

Pat had shown that the map  $n \mapsto n^k$  lies in  $\mathscr{P}$  for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and our argument complemented this. We also used the construction of certain families of non-polynomial time changes to show that the monoid  $\mathscr{P}$  has the following properties (see [8]):

- the only polynomials in  $\mathscr{P}$  are the monomials, and
- the monoid  $\mathscr{P}$  is nonetheless uncountable.

The first result says that algebraically  $\mathscr{P}$  is very small; the second that when viewed as a set  $\mathscr{P}$  is very large.

This circle of questions is still actively studied, and recent work includes a complete description of a set of (topological) generators for  $\mathscr{P}$ by Jaidee, Byszewski, and the author [7] and a geometric-combinatorial construction of the map realizing  $(a_{n^2})$  by Grzegorz Graff and Jacek Gulgowski (in progress).

3.3. **Polynomial Powers.** Pat also showed in [16, Cor. 2.1.6] that the terms of a realizable sequence may themselves be raised to polynomial powers in the following sense: If  $h \in \mathbb{N}[x]$  and  $(a_n)$  is realizable, then  $(a_n^{h(n)})$  is also realizable. This is unexpected, and its full ramifications have not been explored further. What is expected is that polynomials are essentially the only functions with this property.

3.4. The Fibonacci Sequence. Yash Puri and I had started our work by noticing that the classical Fibonacci sequence

$$(F_n) = (1, 1, 2, 3, 5, \dots)$$

is not realizable. After the work on the monoid  $\mathscr{P}$  with Sawian Jaidee, Pat and I continued to correspond and he pointed out that the sequence  $(5F_{n^2})$  is realizable. This was an extraordinary thing to notice, particularly as Pat did not use computers to test ideas numerically, and of course it is a very rapidly growing sequence. The observation once again proved to be a productive one. First, this led naturally to the notion of *almost realizability*: A sequence  $(a_n)$  is almost realizable if

there is a constant C such that  $(Ca_n)$  is realizable. This notion became important later in work of Miska [15] on the Stirling numbers.

**Definition** (From Miska and Ward [15]). Write  $S^{(1)}(n, k)$  for the Stirling numbers of the first kind, defined for any  $n \ge 1$  and  $0 \le k \le n$  to be the number of permutations of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  with exactly k cycles. Write  $S^{(2)}(n, k)$  for the Stirling numbers of the second kind, defined for  $n \ge 1$  and  $1 \le k \le n$  to be the number of ways to partition a set comprising n elements into k non-empty subsets. For each  $k \ge 1$  define positive integer sequences

$$S_k^{(1)} = \left(S^{(1)}(n+k-1,k)\right)_{n \ge 1}$$

and

$$S_k^{(2)} = \left(S^{(2)}(n+k-1,k)\right)_{n \ge 1}$$

**Theorem** (From Miska and Ward [15]). For  $k \ge 1$  the sequence  $S_k^{(1)}$ is not almost realizable. For  $k \le 2$  the sequence  $S_k^{(2)}$  is realizable. For  $k \ge 3$  the sequence  $S_k^{(2)}$  is not realizable, but is almost realizable and the minimal constant multiplier  $C_k$  needed is a divisor of (k-1)!for each  $k \ge 1$ .

The multiplier needed for a given  $k \ge 1$  in this result is somewhat mysterious, as it is a priori impossible to compute. It is by definition the least common multiple of the denominators appearing in an infinite sequence of rational numbers of the form  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) S^{(2)}(d+k-1,k)$ . If in calculating the first few terms (or indeed the first few thousand terms) this least common multiple reaches (k-1)! then this certainly is the minimal multiplier needed. If—as is usually the case—this does not happen, then it is not clear at any stage if the minimal value has really been computed. While some suggestions and speculations were included in [15], there is at this stage very little knowledge about the general term of the sequence of multipliers  $(C_k)$ , which begins

 $(1, 1, 2, 6, 12, 60, 30, 210, 840, 2520, 1260, 13860, 13860, 180180, \ldots).$ 

Going back to the Fibonacci sequence, Pat and I were able to show that  $(F_{n^k})$  is almost realizable if k is even, and is not almost realizable if k is odd. Moreover, the constant needed for any even power is 5, the discriminant of the Fibonacci sequence [17].

**Theorem** (From Moss and Ward [17]). If j is odd, then the set of primes dividing denominators of  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{d \mid n} \mu(\frac{n}{d}) F_{d^j}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is infinite. If j is even, then the sequence  $(F_{n^j})$  is not realizable, but the sequence  $(5F_{n^j})$  is.

6

## PATRICK MOSS

The second natural direction of travel starting with Pat's result about the Fibonacci sequence sampled along the squares is to ask how prevalent this phenomenon is, and this has more or less been worked out in joint work with Florian Luca [13]. The details of this result are cumbersome, but it is in essence a direct strengthening of Pat's result on the Fibonacci sequence, and the proof uses Binet's formula for the terms of a linear recurrence sequence directly to replace the detailed knowledge of congruence properties for the Fibonacci sequence.

**Theorem** (From Luca and Ward [13]). Let  $u = (u_n)$  be a minimal linear recurrence sequence satisfying

$$u_{n+k} = a_1 u_{n+k-1} + \dots + a_k u_n$$

with  $a_k \neq 0$ , and assume that the minimal polynomial F of u has only simple zeros. Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be the splitting field of F and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$  be its ring of integers. Let  $\Delta(\mathbb{K})$  be the discriminant of  $\mathbb{K}$  and let  $\Delta(F)$  be the discriminant of F. Let G be the Galois group of  $\mathbb{K}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , let e(G) be the exponent of G, and let N be the order of G.

- (i) The sequence (Mu<sub>n<sup>s</sup></sub>)<sub>n≥1</sub> satisfies condition (D) if M is a positive integer which is a multiple of lcm(Δ(K), Δ(F)) and s ≥ N is a multiple of e(G).
- (ii) Assume also that  $a_i \ge 0$  for i in  $\{1, \ldots, k\}$  and  $a_k \ne 0$ , that

$$(a_1,\ldots,a_k)\neq(0,0,\ldots,1),$$

and that  $u_i \ge 1$  for all i in  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . Then the sequence

 $(Mu_{n^s})_{n \ge 1}$ 

satisfies condition (S) whenever  $s = \ell e(G)$  where  $\ell \ge \ell_0$  is a sufficiently large number which can be computed in terms of the sequence  $(u_n)_{n\ge 1}$ .

3.5. Euler and Bernoulli Numbers. Pat also showed realizability for several sequences arising in arithmetic, two of which seemed particularly interesting. The 'Euler numbers'  $(E_n)$  are defined by the formal relation

$$\frac{2}{\mathrm{e}^t + \mathrm{e}^{-t}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

By extending the classical Kummer congruences for the Euler numbers, Pat was able to show the following, recovering a result of Juan Arias de Reyna [1].

**Theorem** (From Moss [16] & Arias de Reyna [1]). The sequence  $(|E_{2n}|)$  is realizable.

The 'Bernoulli numbers'  $(B_n)$  are similarly defined by the formal relation

$$\frac{t}{\mathrm{e}^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

By making ingenious use of classical congruences due to Adams, von Staudt-Clausen, and Kummer, Pat was able to show something quite unexpected. Write

$$\left|\frac{B_{2n}}{2n}\right| = \frac{\tau_n}{\beta_n}$$

with  $gcd(\tau_n, \beta_n) = 1$  and  $\tau_n, \beta_n \ge 1$  for  $n \ge 1$ .

**Theorem** (From Moss [16]). The sequence  $(\beta_n)$  is algebraically realizable.

Pat also showed that the numerator sequence is realizable (but cannot be algebraically realizable).

**Theorem** (From Moss [16]). The sequence  $(\tau_n)$  is realizable.

Indeed, he was able to show precisely how the failure of algebraic realizability occurs. Recall that a prime p is called irregular if it divides the class number of the pth cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$  where  $\zeta_p$  denotes a primitive pth root of unity.

**Theorem** (From Moss [16]). The sequence  $(\tau_n)$  is not locally realizable precisely at the set of irregular primes.

## References

- J. Arias de Reyna, 'Dynamical zeta functions and Kummer congruences', Acta Arith. 119 (2005), no. 1, 39–52. https://doi.org/10.4064/aa119-1-3.
- [2] J.-P. Bézivin, A. Pethő, and A. J. van der Poorten, 'A full characterisation of divisibility sequences', Amer. J. Math. 112 (1990), no. 6, 985–1001. https://doi.org/10.2307/2374733.
- [3] J. Byszewski, G. Graff, and T. Ward, 'Dold sequences, periodic points, and dynamics', Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 53 (2021), no. 5, 1263–1298. https://doi.org/10.1112/blms.12531.
- [4] G. Everest, G. Mclaren, and T. Ward, 'Primitive divisors of elliptic divisibility sequences', J. Number Theory 118 (2006), no. 1, 71–89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2005.08.002.
- [5] S. M. Ginn and P. B. Moss, 'Finitely embedded modules over Noetherian rings', Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 81 (1975), 709-710. https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1975-13831-6.
- [6] S. M. Ginn and P. B. Moss, 'A decomposition theorem for Noetherian orders in Artinian rings', Bull. London Math. Soc. 9 (1977), no. 2, 177–181. https://doi.org/10.1112/blms/9.2.177.

8

#### PATRICK MOSS

- [7] S. Jaidee, J. Byszewski, and T. Ward, 'Generating all time-changes preserving dynamical zeta functions', (2024). http://arxiv.org/abs/2403.13932.
- [8] S. Jaidee, P. Moss, and T. Ward, 'Time-changes preserving zeta functions', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 147 (2019), no. 10, 4425–4438. https://doi.org/10.1090/proc/14574.
- [9] A. V. Jategaonkar, 'Jacobson's conjecture and modules over fully bounded Noetherian rings', J. Algebra 30 (1974), 103–121. https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(74)90195-1.
- [10] T. H. Lenagan, 'Artinian ideals in Noetherian rings', Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1975), 499–500. https://doi.org/10.2307/2040348.
- [11] T. Η. Lenagan, 'Noetherian rings with Krull dimension J. LondonMath.Soc. 15(1977),41 - 47.one'. (2)no. 1. https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s2-15.1.41.
- T. H. Lenagan and P. B. Moss, 'K-symmetric rings', J. London Math. Soc. (2) 21 (1980), no. 1, 45–52. https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s2-21.1.45.
- [13] F. Luca and T. Ward, 'On (almost) realizable subsequences of linearly recurrent sequences', J. Integer Seq. 26 (2023), no. 4, Art. 23.4.6, 11. https://doi.org/10.1007/jhep04(2023)080.
- [14] G. T. Minton, 'Linear recurrence sequences satisfying congruence conditions', Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 142 (2014), no. 7, 2337-2352. https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-2014-12168-X.
- [15] P. Miska and T. Ward, 'Stirling numbers and periodic points', Acta Arith. 201 (2021), no. 4, 421–435. https://doi.org/10.4064/aa210309-9-8.
- [16] P. Moss, The arithmetic of realizable sequences (Ph.D. thesis, University of East Anglia, 2003).
- [17] P. Moss and T. Ward, 'Fibonacci along even powers is (almost) realizable', Fibonacci Quart. 60 (2022), no. 1, 40–47. https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.13068.
- [18] Y. Puri and T. Ward, 'Arithmetic and growth of periodic orbits', J. Integer Seq. 4 (2001), no. 2, Article 01.2.1, 18. https://cs.uwaterloo.ca/journals/JIS/VOL4/WARD/short.html.
- [19] Y. Puri and T. Ward, 'A dynamical property unique to the Lucas sequence', *Fibonacci Quart.* **39** (2001), no. 5, 398-402. https://arxiv.org/abs/math/9907015.
- [20] T. Ward, 'Graham Everest 1957–2010', Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 45 (2013), no. 5, 1110–1118. https://doi.org/10.1112/blms/bdt053.
- [21] A. J. Windsor, 'Smoothness is not an obstruction to realizability', Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 28 (2008), no. 3, 1037–1041. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143385707000715.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, DURHAM UNIVERSITY, DURHAM, ENGLAND

Email address: tbward@gmail.com