

# VectorPainter: A Novel Approach to Stylized Vector Graphics Synthesis with Vectorized Strokes

Juncheng Hu  
Beihang University  
Beijing, China  
hjc020720@buaa.edu.cn


Ximing Xing  
Beihang University  
Beijing, China  
ximingxing@buaa.edu.cn

Zhengqi Zhang  
Beihang University  
Beijing, China  
20374265@buaa.edu.cn


Jing Zhang  
Beihang University  
Beijing, China  
zhang\_jing@buaa.edu.cn

Qian Yu\*  
Beihang University  
Beijing, China  
qianyu@buaa.edu.cn


Reference image



"A painting of a cat."



"A photo of Sydney opera house."




"A snail on a leaf."



"A mushroom growing on a log."


Reference image



"A bouquet of roses in a vase."



"A fire-breathing dragon."



"The Great Pyramid."



"A Torii Gate."

Figure 1: Stylized vector graphics synthesized by our VectorPainter.

## ABSTRACT

We propose a novel method, *VectorPainter*, for the task of stylized vector graphics synthesis. Given a text prompt and a reference style image, *VectorPainter* generates a vector graphic that aligns in content with the text prompt and remains faithful in style to the reference image. We recognize that the key to this task lies in fully leveraging the intrinsic properties of vector graphics. Innovatively, we conceptualize the stylization process as the rearrangement of vectorized strokes extracted from the reference image. *VectorPainter* employs an optimization-based pipeline. It begins by extracting vectorized strokes from the reference image, which are then used to initialize the synthesis process. To ensure fidelity to the reference style, a novel style preservation loss is introduced. Extensive experiments have been conducted to demonstrate that our method is capable of aligning with the text description while remaining faithful to the reference image.

\*Corresponding author.

## CCS CONCEPTS

• **Computing methodologies** → **Computer graphics; Visual inspection; Machine learning algorithms; Parametric curve and surface models; Rasterization.**


## KEYWORDS

Stylized Vector Graphics Synthesis, Vector Line Art Generation, Diffusion Model, Image Vectorization

## 1 INTRODUCTION

*"Every artist dips his brush in his soul and paints his own nature into his paintings."* -Henry Ward Beecher

In recent years, there has been a growing number of studies focused on SVG synthesis [7, 14, 20, 22, 31, 38, 39, 41, 42] due to the superior compatibility of vector graphics with visual design applications compared to raster images. Particularly driven by advancements in text-to-image (T2I) models [29], recent works such



**Figure 2: Motivation of our method. (a) Artists have different stroke preferences. Patches highlighted in the same color exhibit a similar stroke style. (b) A Tangram puzzle can form different objects using a set of basic elements. This motivates us to treat the stylization process as rearranging strokes extracted from the reference image.**

as VectorFusion [14] and SVGdreamer [42] have explored the task of text-guided SVG synthesis by integrating T2I models with a differentiable rasterizer [18]. Despite these advancements, a persistent challenge remains: it is difficult to precisely control the style of generated vector graphics when relying solely on textual descriptions.

Using reference images has shown to be an effective method for achieving precise control over the style of synthesized images. However, research on stylized vector graphics generation remains limited. The sole existing study in this domain, StyleCLIPDraw [31], marks the first attempt to generate stylized vector graphics based on both a text prompt and a reference image. Nevertheless, this approach primarily adheres to the style transfer pipeline used for raster images. During the generation process, the synthesized vector graphics are rendered and their style is compared with reference images in the raster image space. Unfortunately, this method often yields less than satisfactory results.

We recognize that the key to generating high-quality stylized vector graphics lies in fully leveraging the intrinsic properties of vector graphics. **First**, SVGs utilize primitives such as Bézier curves and colors to depict images, aligning perfectly with the nature of artistic paintings which are generally composed of individual strokes. Each stroke in a painting can be effectively represented as a vector primitive. **Second**, artists often employ distinctive stroke styles in their work. For example, as illustrated in Fig. 2(a), Vincent van Gogh favored short strokes, whereas Edvard Munch preferred longer ones. This diversity in stroke styles inspires the use of stroke information to characterize and replicate artistic styles effectively. **Third**, the stylization process can be conceptualized as rearranging the strokes of a style image to create new content. This concept is analogous to the way Tangram puzzles use a fixed set of shapes to form various objects, as depicted in Fig. 2(b). Similarly, if a reference image is deconstructed into a collection of vector strokes, these strokes can serve as foundational elements for crafting new content. However, these properties have not been explored in existing works.

We propose a novel framework, termed *VectorPainter*, for generating stylized vector graphics. Given a text prompt and a style reference image, *VectorPainter* is capable of producing a vector graphic whose content aligns with the text and whose style remains faithful to the reference image. Our model comprises two main components: style stroke extraction and stylized SVG generation. **Firstly**, we introduce a new method to extract a set of vectorized strokes from the reference image. Drawing inspiration from Berger *et al.*[2] and recent advancements in stroke-based rendering[12, 17, 25, 45], our technique identifies local color features and the directionality of strokes to facilitate precise stroke extraction. **Secondly**, we follow the prior works [14, 42] to adopt an optimization-based pipeline by combining a T2I model, *i.e.*, LDM[29], and the differentiable rasterizer [18]. Unlike the aforementioned models, *VectorPainter* utilizes the extracted strokes as the initial step in the generation process. During this process, strokes—including their positions and colors—are rearranged to create new content as specified by the text prompt, entirely within the vector space. **Furthermore**, to preserve the style of the extracted strokes throughout the optimization process, we introduce a style preservation loss. This loss function is designed to maintain the shape integrity of the strokes in the synthesized images. Additionally, we incorporate the Score Distillation Sampling (SDS) [14, 41] and JVSP losses [41] to further enhance the quality of the synthesized images.

In summary, the contributions of this work are threefold:

- We introduce a new model, *VectorPainter*, which innovatively conceptualizes the task of stylized vector graphics generation as a process of rearranging reference strokes. This approach allows for greater fidelity in style replication and content generation.
- We propose a novel algorithm for extracting a set of vectorized strokes from the reference image. These strokes serve as the basic elements for forming new content. Additionally, we introduce a style preservation loss to maintain the integrity of stroke shapes throughout the generation process.
- We conducted extensive experiments to assess the effectiveness of our model. The results demonstrate the superiority of *VectorPainter* in producing high-quality stylized SVGs.

## 2 RELATED WORK

### 2.1 Vector Graphics Synthesis

Scalable Vector Graphics (SVGs) comprise essential components such as Bézier curves, lines, shapes, and colors to represent images. The latest technique for generating SVGs involves using a Differentiable Rasterizer called DiffVG [18]. DiffVG bridges the gap between vector graphics and raster image spaces. Unlike traditional vector graphics synthesis methods, which require access to vector graphics datasets, DiffVG allows the generation of vector graphics without the need for any such datasets.

Numerous studies have utilized visual text embedding CLIP [27] (Contrastive Language-Image Pre-training) model to supervise the synthesis of SVGs. Some notable examples of these studies include CLIPDraw [7], StyleCLIPDraw [31], CLIP-CLOP [22], CLIPasso [39], CLIPscene [38]. Other studies have combined the text-to-image (T2I) diffusion model [10] with a differentiable rasterizer for SVG

synthesis, such as VectorFusion [14], DiffSketcher [41] and SVG-Dreamer [42], all of which have achieved impressive results.

## 2.2 Style Transfer

Style transfer [15] is a task in computer vision that involves combining a content image and a style image to create a new image that preserves the former’s content and the latter’s style patterns. Over the years, many researchers have proposed various models [3, 8, 13, 16, 34, 44] to improve the quality and speed of style transfer.

Although style transfer has been extensively studied, research has mainly focused on raster images, with little work on vector graphics. This is because the basic units of vector graphics are primitives like mathematical formulas and geometric descriptions, different from the pixels in raster images. As a result, the style transfer methods used for raster images are not very effective for vector images.

It has been noted that StyleCLIPDraw [31] attempted to add a style loss term to CLIPDraw [7] using VGG [32] network to achieve stylized vector graphics synthesis. However, the result was messy, and the style was poorly represented. On the other hand, Efimova *et al.* [5] attempted to transfer the style of an image to another vector graphic. However, this method requires a vector graphic as a content image, which is impractical for most use cases and does not produce high-quality results.


## 2.3 Diffusion Model

Denosing diffusion probabilistic models [10, 33, 36] (DDPMs), especially improved by Classifier-Free Guidance [11] (CFG), have shown impressive ability of T2I synthesis. As the diffusion model matures [4, 19, 35], large image generation models like Stable Diffusion [29], Imagen [30], DALL-E 2 [28], DeepFloyd IF [37], and GLIDE [24] become more prevalent. Our proposed VectorPainter employs a diffusion model as prior to supervising the stylized vector graphics synthesis process. However, the application of diffusion model to the vector domain has not yet been explored. One potential approach is to employ Score Distillation Sampling (SDS).

Many 3D generative approaches use optimization-based methods to train a Neural Radiance Fields [21] (NeRF) such as DreamFusion [26]. It utilizes a pixel space T2I diffusion model to optimize 3D volumes and proposes Score Distillation Sampling loss, which can be used to optimize an image or a differentiable image parameterization [23] (DIP). Inspired by DreamFusion [26], we can adopt SDS loss in vector graphics synthesis. Vector graphics are composed of parameterized curves and shapes, which makes the synthesis a process of optimizing SVG parameters. To achieve this, we utilize a differentiable rasterizer to render the parameters of vector graphics, which allows the raster image to be represented by the differentiable rasterizer.

## 3 METHODOLOGY

In this section, we introduce VectorPainter, a method based on optimization for generating vector graphics that exhibit consistent stylization while remaining faithful to textual descriptions. As depicted in Figure 3, VectorPainter operates on three inputs: a set of SVG parameters  $\{s_i, c_i, w_i\}_{i=1}^n$ , a text prompt  $\mathcal{P}$  and a reference



**Figure 3: Overview of our method: VectorPainter is built upon an optimization-based vector graphics synthesis pipeline [41], as highlighted in the dashed box. It also accepts a reference image to control the style. The key to our method is the extraction of vectorized strokes from the reference image for SVG initialization. The reference image is also used for loss computation to maintain stroke style during optimization.**

image  $\mathcal{I}_s$ . Specifically, we input the text prompt into a frozen pretrained diffusion model [29] to obtain the latent vector  $\mathbf{z}$ , render the SVG parameters using DiffVG [18] to produce a raster image  $\mathcal{I}$ , and subsequently utilize both  $\mathbf{z}$  and  $\mathcal{I}$  as inputs for SDS [26] to compute the gradient. And we optimize over  $\theta = \{s_i, c_i, w_i\}_{i=1}^n$  such that  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{R}(\theta)$  is close to a sample from the frozen diffusion model. While this represents a generic text-to-SVG pipeline for generating SVGs (as highlighted in the dashed box), it currently lacks the inclusion of an additional reference image for style processing  $\mathcal{I}_s$ .


In this work, VectorPainter aims to generate a vector graphic  $\mathcal{S}$  while upholding fidelity to  $\mathcal{P}$  and preserving the stylistic characteristics of  $\mathcal{I}_s$ . To precisely maintain style consistency within the vector space, we propose a method to extract vector strokes from the reference image and utilize them as the initial SVG parameters. In order to prevent the style from being overshadowed by text content, we have introduced an additional style preservation loss. This loss function supervises SVG synthesis from two perspectives: local stroke-level deformation constraint and global painting awareness-level style constraint. Finally, we jointly optimize the aforementioned weighted losses. Experimental results demonstrate that our approach effectively aligns with both the textual description and the stylistic characteristics of the reference image.

### 3.1 Style Stroke Extraction

We define a stylized vector graphic as a collection of strokes  $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^n$  accompanied by corresponding color and width attributes. To represent each stroke, a two-dimensional quadratic Bézier curve is employed, defined by three control points denoted as  $P_i = \{p_i^j\}_{j=1}^3 = \{(x_i, y_i)^j\}_{j=1}^3$ , where  $x$  and  $y$  represent the coordinates within the canvas. Additionally, each stroke is defined by an RGBA vector representing color  $c_i = \{r, g, b, a\}$  and a value indicating width  $w_i$ .

We extract and learn the painting style of the reference image from the perspective of brush strokes, enabling an accurate representation of the reference image’s style in vector graphics. In






**Figure 4: The workflow of our VectorPainter: Starting with a text prompt  $\mathcal{P}$  and a raster reference image  $I_s$ , VectorPainter optimizes the parameters of the vector graphic  $\mathcal{S}$ . Initially, it extracts vectorized strokes from  $I_s$ , which are then used to initialize the synthesis process. During this process, a novel style preservation loss is computed to ensure fidelity to the original style.**

certain painting styles, intriguing and concise brushwork is indispensable. Artists utilize strokes of varying lengths and thicknesses throughout different regions of the painting. Accurate brushstroke representation in vector space is critical for synthesizing vector drawings in a style consistent with the reference image.

Similar strokes within a piece of artwork exhibit analogous attributes like texture, color, and brightness, consequently forming irregular pixel clusters with visual significance. Leveraging this observation, we employ a super-pixel method to delineate these pixel clusters, facilitating the representation of strokes in groups. As depicted in Figure 5, when given a style image, we employ the SLIC method [1] to partition strokes exhibiting similar features within the image into distinct regions. We vectorize these stroke groups, with each stroke group corresponding to an SVG path group. And these SVG path groups serve as the basic representation units of the vectorized reference image. In addition, we propose a novel stroke extraction algorithm for representing the extracted region as vectorized strokes. As shown in Algorithm 12, in order to extract strokes within each segmented region, we propose employing the pair of points most distant from each other as the initial and terminal control points, calculating the mean color of the region to serve as the stroke color, and computing the average distance between border points and the connections between control points.

### 3.2 Vector Stroke Initialization

As depicted in Figure 6, the extracted vector strokes enable the accurate reconstruction of the original reference image, effectively preserving its intricate details. These vector strokes contain the style of reference image, and can be used to provide style prior



**Figure 5: The process of style stroke extraction. We excavate and extract strokes with similar characteristics, and vectorize these strokes as a capture of the style of the reference image.**

information for the generation of vector graphics. Specifically, we propose using vector strokes obtained from the reference image as the initial SVG for text-to-SVG generation. Compared to the random initialization of vector stroke shapes, our method excels in preserving the style of the reference image with maximum detail. Figure 10 illustrates a qualitative comparison of the two initialization methods. Our method demonstrates a higher fidelity to the reference image at stroke level.

### 3.3 SVG Synthesis with Style Supervision

To preserve style consistency without significantly altering the overall optimization process—thus retaining the final style while minimizing changes to individual strokes—we introduce the Style Preservation loss. This loss function monitors SVG synthesis from two perspectives: local stroke-level deformation constraints and



---


**Algorithm 1** Stroke extraction algorithm
 

---

**Require:** Distinct regions  $T$  of segmented reference image  $I_s$   
**Ensure:** List of initialized strokes  $S = \{s_i\}_{i=1}^n$

- 1: **Initialize:** An empty set  $S$
- 2: **for each**  $region \in T$  **do**
- 3:   Calculate the border points  $border$  of  $region$
- 4:   Calculate distance  $dist$  of each point pair
- 5:   Find the point pair  $\langle p_1, p_3 \rangle$  where  $dist(\langle p_1, p_3 \rangle) = \max(dist)$
- 6:    $p_2 \leftarrow \frac{p_1 + p_3}{2}$
- 7:   Stroke control points  $s_i \leftarrow \{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$
- 8:   Stroke width  $w_i \leftarrow \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M \|border[j], p_1 \vec{p}_3\|$ , where  $M$  indicates the number of border points
- 9:   Stroke color  $c_i \leftarrow \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N region.point[k].color$ , where  $N$  represents the number of points in  $region$
- 10:    $S.append(\{s_i, c_i, w_i\})$
- 11: **end for**
- 12: **return**  $S$

---



**Figure 6: VectorPainter is capable of reconstructing paintings in vector format.**

global paint-conscious style constraints. The Style Preservation loss comprises two components: one involves stroke-to-stroke level constraints implemented through control points, while the other pertains to global-level style constraints determined by the perceived similarity between the rendered and the reference image.

Specifically, we record a collection of vector strokes extracted from the reference image and use this as Ground-truth (GT) for the stroke-level constraint. During the optimization process, each updated stroke will be calculated one-to-one with the GT changes,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{stroke}}(s', s) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \|d(s'_i) - d(s_i)\|_2^2 \quad (1)$$

$$\text{where } d(s) = \|p_1 \vec{p}_2\| + \|p_2 \vec{p}_3\| + \cos \langle p_1 \vec{p}_2, p_2 \vec{p}_3 \rangle$$

where  $s' \in \{s'_i\}_{i=1}^N$  indicates a stroke from stroke collection, and  $s_i$  indicates the updated stroke.  $p_i$  indicates  $i$ -th control points of  $s$  and  $s'$ . The  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{stroke}}$  loss discourages excessive stroke variation in synthesized vector graphics, preserving the style of the reference image on a micro-scale.

The stroke-level loss ensures that vector graphics in optimization maintain strokes consistent with the reference image. However, there remains a lack of global style consistency restrictions. Additionally, we incorporate the LPIPS [43] loss in our Style Preservation loss. The LPIPS loss helps to make the generated stylized vector graphics similar to the reference image  $I_s$  in terms of perceptual similarity level.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{style}} = \lambda_s \mathcal{L}_{\text{stroke}}(s', s) + \lambda_g \mathcal{L}_{\text{LPIPS}}(I, I_s) \quad (2)$$

where  $\lambda_s$  and  $\lambda_g$  are the weights of the Style Preservation loss. And  $I = \mathcal{R}(\theta)$  is rendering result. The  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{LPIPS}}$  loss encourages the synthesized stylized vector graphics to look more similar from a macro perspective, for example, making the overall color of the two images closer. Experimental results demonstrate that our generated vector graphics match the style of the reference image at both micro and macro levels.

### 3.4 Total Loss Objectives

We incorporate our proposed Style Preservation loss with the JVSP and ASDS suggested in DiffSketcher [41] to produce SVG content that is in line with the text and maintains the style of the reference image. Specifically, we utilize the VAE [6] decoder  $\mathcal{D}$  to obtain the pixel representation of  $\hat{\epsilon}_\phi(z_t|y; t)$ . At the same time, we render the parameters  $\theta$  of the SVG using the differentiable rasterizer  $\mathcal{R}$ , which provides the raster image  $I = \mathcal{R}(\theta)$ . And, we augment the two images and apply the CLIP visual encoders [27] as visual semantic similarity metrics. Specifically, we use the following loss function:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{JVSP}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{CLIP}}(I, I') + \mathcal{L}_{\text{Perceptual}}(I, I') \quad (3)$$

where  $I' = \mathcal{D}(\hat{\epsilon}_\phi(z_t|y; t))$ , where  $\hat{\epsilon}_\phi$  indicates the frozen pre-trained diffusion model [29]. The  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{JVSP}}$  loss encourages vector graphics synthesis to match underlying semantic details of samples from LDM, leading to more realistic and visually appealing results. Although vectorizing a rasterized diffusion sample can lead to loss, the input augmentation version of the SDS (ASDS) loss can be utilized to either fine-tune vector results or optimize vector graphics from scratch.

We optimize vector graphics parameters  $\theta$  directly and use a differentiable rasterizer  $\mathcal{R}$  to acquire the raster image  $\hat{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times 3}$ . Then, we apply data augmentation techniques to obtain the augmented version  $\tilde{S}_a \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times 3}$ . The LDM employs a VAE encoder [6] to encode  $\tilde{S}_a$  into a latent representation  $z = \mathcal{E}(\tilde{S}_a) \in \mathbb{R}^{(H/f) \times (W/f) \times 4}$ , where  $f$  denotes the downsample factor. Finally, we use the ASDS loss function given below:

$$\nabla_\theta \mathcal{L}_{\text{ASDS}}(\phi, S = \mathcal{R}(\theta)) \triangleq \mathbb{E}_{t, \epsilon, a} \left[ w(t) (\hat{\epsilon}_\phi(z_t; y, t) - \epsilon) \frac{\partial z}{\partial \tilde{S}_a} \frac{\partial \tilde{S}_a}{\partial \theta} \right] \quad (4)$$

where  $w(t)$  is the weighting function. And noised to form  $z_t = \alpha_t x_a + \sigma_t \epsilon$  where  $t \sim \mathcal{U}(0.05, 0.95)$  preventing excessive noise. In practice, the gradients of the loss are used instead of the scalar loss. The  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ASDS}}$  loss encourages the synthesis process to match the given text prompt.

To summarize, we combine Style Preservation loss linearly with text-guided content losses. Our optimization objectives are as follows:

$$\min_\theta \mathcal{L}_{\text{style}} + \lambda_{\text{JVSP}} \mathcal{L}_{\text{JVSP}} + \lambda_{\text{ASDS}} \nabla_\theta \mathcal{L}_{\text{ASDS}} \quad (5)$$

where  $\lambda_{\text{JVSP}}$  is weights of the corresponding loss functions, and  $\lambda_{\text{ASDS}}$  is the gradient scale.

## 4 EXPERIMENTS

In this section, we employ our VectorPainter model to synthesize various stylized vector graphics. We compared our VectorPainter




Figure 7: Various results synthesized by VectorPainter from identical inputs.




Figure 8: Results of the qualitative evaluation. The format of the generated results is indicated in parentheses.

to recent vector graphics synthesis methods [5, 31, 41], stroke-based rendering methods [17], and style transfer methods on raster images [16]. Finally, we conducted some ablation studies to demonstrate the effectiveness of each part of our approach.

#### 4.1 Qualitative Evaluation

As shown in Fig. 1, our proposed VectorPainter can produce stylized vector graphics considering both the prompt’s semantics and the reference image’s style representation. Besides, given the same


inputs, our model is also capable of generating various stylized vector images, as illustrated in Fig. 7.

We compare our VectorPainter with several baseline methods. To achieve a stylized vector graphic from a text prompt and a reference image, there are some possible solutions: i) **Directly stylized vector graphics synthesis**: Generate stylized vector graphics directly from text and reference images, such as our VectorPainter and StyleCLIPDraw [31]. ii) **Vector graphics synthesis + Raster style transfer methods**: Use a general text-guided vector




**Table 1: Results of the quantitative evaluation. The format of the generated results is indicated in parentheses.**

	Ours (vector)	StyleCLIPDraw <sup>1</sup> (vector)	DiffSketcher + STROSS (vector)	VectorNST (vector)	STROTSS + LIVE (vector)	Kotovenko <i>et al.</i> (raster)	STROTSS (raster)
ArtFID↓	<b>38.807</b>	-	45.298	57.126	56.525	51.828	40.352
FID↓	<b>24.069</b>	45.112	27.933	30.851	33.902	28.075	22.893
LPIPS↓	<b>0.548</b>	-	0.566	0.794	0.667	0.783	0.689
CLIP score(×100)↑	<b>30.533</b>	28.312	28.780	26.130	26.864	29.450	28.445



**Figure 9: Comparison of results with style control solely through text prompts.**



**Figure 10: Effect of stroke initialization strategy.**

graphics synthesis process and adopt a raster image style transfer method. For example, DiffSketcher [41] + STROTSS [16]. iii) **Vectorized T2I model sample + Vector style transfer method:** First generate a raster image using the T2I model providing text prompt, and then transfer the style of reference image into vectorized T2I model sample. For instance, generate a raster image by the LDM [29], then use LIVE [20] to vectorize it, and finally employ VectorNST [5]. iv) **Raster image style transfer method + Vectorization:** First generate a raster image using the T2I model providing text prompt, then utilize a raster style transfer method to obtain a stylized raster image, and finally vectorize it. For example, STROTSS [16] + LIVE [20]. v) **Stroke-based rendering:** Use parameterized brushstrokes to mimic the human painting style, which is similar to the idea of placing strokes. However, this method can only generate raster outputs instead of vector graphics. We choose

<sup>1</sup>If text-guided synthesis uses the samples of the LDM, we employ it as the content image. Since StyleCLIPDraw does not utilize LDM, we do not calculate its LPIPS score.


Kotovenko *et al.* [17] proposed method, where we select the outputs before its pixel optimization process for fairness. The results of the comparison, differentiated by whether the output is raster or vector, are presented in Figure 8. **StyleCLIPDraw** [31] may be unable to accurately express the meaning of the prompt because it uses the CLIP model to supervise the semantics, and the subject in the image may become challenging to identify. **DiffSketcher** [41] + **STROTSS** [16] struggles with capturing the unique characteristics of vector graphics very well, leading to distorted curves and untidy sketches on the canvas, which loses the feeling of smearing strokes in the painting. **VectorNST** [5] results are too messy and abstract, with only the colors being close. **Kotovenko et al.** [17] falls short in processing strokes effectively. This results in strokes that do not cover the entire canvas, blurring the content of the output image. **STROTSS** [16] performs effectively in transferring style within raster space. However, its effectiveness diminishes when vectorized, and loses its capability to transfer texture styles from raster space. In contrast, our **VectorPainter** handles the stroke information of the reference image precisely by style stroke extraction and our style supervision method, and incorporates LDM [29] to supervise the semantics of the generated vector images, achieving the best results in the generation of stylized vector graphics.

We further compare the results of ours with SVGDreamer [42], which synthesizes vector graphics with text control only. As illustrated in Fig. 9(a), using prompts alone to control the style of an image cannot match the accuracy of using a reference image. We also show the results of vectorizing an LDM sample, as shown in Fig. 9(b). We can observe that both methods can generate correct content, but the style is not aligned with that indicated in the text prompt. In comparison, using a reference image can realize more precise control, thus our results are better.

## 4.2 Quantitative Evaluation

To illustrate the effectiveness of our method, we employ the CLIP score [27] to measure the alignment of prompt and synthesized SVG and a recently introduced style transfer metric, ArtFID [40], to evaluate the effectiveness by considering the preservation of both content and style. ArtFID is acknowledged for its strong alignment with human judgment. Specifically, the ArtFID value is computed as  $(\text{ArtFID} = (1 + \text{LPIPS}) \cdot (1 + \text{FID}))$ , where LPIPS [43] quantifies the content fidelity between the stylized image and its corresponding content image. Concurrently, FID [9] measures the style fidelity between the stylized image and its respective style image. Table 1 presents a comparison of the methods above. Our VectorPainter performs the best in the vector domain for ArtFID and CLIP score.





**Figure 11: The effect of different loss terms. The top row illustrates the results of the VectorPainter full model, and without the  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{stroke}}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{LPIPS}}$ , and  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{JVSP}}$ , respectively. The bottom row illustrates the effect of ASDS grad scale on the results.**

### 4.3 Implementation

Our method is based on an optimization-based vector graphics synthesis pipeline [41] and accepts a reference image to control the style. Specifically, we define the synthesized stylized vector graphic as  $\mathcal{S} = \{s_i, c_i, w_i\}_{i=1}^n$ , where  $s_i$ ,  $c_i$ , and  $w_i$  refer to stroke path, stroke color, and stroke width, respectively. When provided with a reference image, we utilize a stroke extraction algorithm to derive the corresponding collection of strokes, consisting of  $n$  extracted strokes. The number of strokes  $n$  is determined by the user. If the strokes in the style image are short, users may choose to define a greater number of strokes; conversely, fewer strokes may be defined if the strokes are longer. For paintings by Van Gogh, we typically set this number to approximately 4,000.

Furthermore, given a text prompt, we employ the Stable Diffusion [29] V1.5 as a pre-trained diffusion model, along with a DDIM solver [35], to sample a raster image. The parameters of this model are kept frozen during the optimization process. During the optimization process, we typically assign the following values for most cases:  $\lambda_s = 1.0$ ,  $\lambda_g = 0.5$ ,  $\lambda_{\text{JVSP}} = 1.0$ , and  $\lambda_{\text{ASDS}} = 5 \times 10^{-6}$ . We configure the other hyper-parameters as utilized in DiffSketcher [41], setting the DDIM sample steps to 1,000, the CFG weight  $\omega = 7.5$ , and the noise level  $t \sim \mathcal{U}(0.05, 0.95)$ . Additionally, we set the learning rate for the control point at 1.0, for color at 0.1, and for width at 0.01. In the initial 600 steps, we exclusively apply the JVSP loss to ensure that the extracted strokes accurately represent the content described in the textual input. Subsequently, we introduce the Style Preservation Loss into the optimization process. In the final 800 steps, we integrate the ASDS loss to fine-tune the synthesized results.

Throughout the optimization process, VectorPainter requires a minimum of 16 GB of memory on a Tesla V100 GPU to generate a stylized vector graphic consisting of 4,000 strokes. This process takes approximately 2.5 hours to complete.

### 4.4 Ablation Studies

**4.4.1 Stroke Initialization.** As depicted in Figure 10, randomly initialized strokes result in a disorganized aggregation where the delineations between different objects are obscured, and the primary subject of the image becomes indistinct, because it cannot discover the style information hidden in the strokes. Conversely, applying our stroke initialization method enhances the clarity of strokes in the synthesized vector graphics, imbuing them with an artistic smearing effect reminiscent of traditional painting techniques.

**4.4.2 Style Preservation Loss Ablation.** Based on the observations in Fig. 11, we can infer that not using stroke-level loss to generate vector graphics can result in messy strokes in the synthesized vector graphics. Although the colors may appear similar at a glance, a closer examination reveals that the smearing effect of using short strokes in the reference image is not considered. Instead, it uses long curves to approximate the overall color for a more accurate representation.

Similarly, if we do not contain the LPIPS loss, the synthesized vector graphics might have the appearance of short smearing strokes. However, from a broader perspective, there is a significant difference in color between the synthesized and reference images. This makes it difficult for observers to quickly distinguish whether the style information of the reference image has been included in the synthesized vector graphics.

Based on these observations, we can summarize the key characteristics of Stroke-level loss and LPIPS loss as follows: **Stroke-level loss:** operates at the micro level, meaning it applies to each primitive of vector graphics. By imposing constraints on each stroke of the vector image, we can ensure that the final generated strokes do not deviate significantly from the strokes extracted from the reference image. This supports our hypothesis that strokes can be a means of expressing style. **LPIPS loss:** operates on a macro level.

Its purpose is to regulate the overall color of the generated vector graphics to resemble the reference image. This ensures that the visual perception of the generated vector graphics is similar to that of the reference image.

**4.4.3 JVSP and ASDS Ablation.** As illustrated in Fig. 11, the JVSP loss depends on the sample of LDM, which takes advantage of the capability of the T2I model for comprehending text prompts, providing control over the synthesized vector graphics. While the ASDS loss function does not depend on the outcomes of the LDM and facilitates for one-stage optimization process. This approach enhances the diversity of the synthesized vector graphics. However, it is critical to maintain the gradient scale within an optimal range. A gradient scale that is too low may result in imagery characterized by faint colors and indistinct content, whereas an excessively high scale can cause colors to become overly saturated, leading to a disparity in the hues of the reference image. These two losses can be used simultaneously to generate more diverse and detailed outcomes.

## 5 CONCLUSION

In this study, we introduce *VectorPainter*, a novel and effective methodology for synthesizing stylized vector graphics using text prompts and reference images. *VectorPainter* posits that the style of brush strokes uniquely characterizes the overall style of a painting. This study is the first to conceptualize the stylization process as the reorganization of vectorized strokes extracted from the reference image. Comprehensive experimental results validate the effectiveness of each component within our proposed model. We believe our method not only advances the field but also provides a novel perspective on understanding and addressing the broader task of style transfer.

**Limitations.** Our method has a few limitations that need to be considered. Firstly, since our model is based on rendering, it can take considerable time to synthesize a vector graphic, which also exists in other optimization-based methods. Secondly, because we need to extract brush strokes, generating non-painting styles whose brush strokes are not clearly defined leads to unsatisfactory results.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Radhakrishna Achanta, Appu Shaji, Kevin Smith, Aurélien Lucchi, Pascal Fua, and Sabine Süsstrunk. 2010. SLIC Superpixels. (2010), 15. <http://infoscience.epfl.ch/record/149300>
- [2] Itamar Berger, Ariel Shamir, Moshe Mahler, Elizabeth Carter, and Jessica Hodgins. 2013. Style and abstraction in portrait sketching. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)* 32, 4 (2013), 1–12.
- [3] Jiwoo Chung, Sangeek Hyun, and Jae-Pil Heo. 2023. Style Injection in Diffusion: A Training-free Approach for Adapting Large-scale Diffusion Models for Style Transfer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.09008* (2023).
- [4] Prafulla Dhariwal and Alexander Nichol. 2021. Diffusion Models Beat GANs on Image Synthesis. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS)*, Vol. 34. 8780–8794.
- [5] Valeria Efimova, Artyom Chebykin, Ivan Jarsky, Evgenii Prosvirnin, and Andrey Filchenkov. 2023. Neural Style Transfer for Vector Graphics. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.03405* (2023).
- [6] Patrick Esser, Robin Rombach, and Bjorn Ommer. 2021. Taming transformers for high-resolution image synthesis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 12873–12883.
- [7] Kevin Frans, Lisa Soros, and Olaf Witkowski. 2022. Clipdraw: Exploring text-to-drawing synthesis through language-image encoders. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 35 (2022), 5207–5218.
- [8] Leon A Gatys, Alexander S Ecker, and Matthias Bethge. 2016. Image style transfer using convolutional neural networks. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 2414–2423.
- [9] Martin Heusel, Hubert Ramsauer, Thomas Unterthiner, Bernhard Nessler, and Sepp Hochreiter. 2017. Gans trained by a two time-scale update rule converge to a local nash equilibrium. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 30 (2017).
- [10] Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. 2020. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *Advances in neural information processing systems* 33 (2020), 6840–6851.
- [11] Jonathan Ho and Tim Salimans. 2022. Classifier-free diffusion guidance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.12598* (2022).
- [12] Teng Hu, Ran Yi, Haokun Zhu, Liang Liu, Jinlong Peng, Yabiao Wang, Chengjie Wang, and Lizhuang Ma. 2023. Stroke-based Neural Painting and Stylization with Dynamically Predicted Painting Region. In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Multimedia*. 7470–7480.
- [13] Xun Huang and Serge Belongie. 2017. Arbitrary style transfer in real-time with adaptive instance normalization. In *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*. 1501–1510.
- [14] Ajay Jain, Amber Xie, and Pieter Abbeel. 2023. Vectorfusion: Text-to-svg by abstracting pixel-based diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 1911–1920.
- [15] Yongcheng Jing, Yezhou Yang, Zunlei Feng, Jingwen Ye, Yizhou Yu, and Mingli Song. 2019. Neural style transfer: A review. *IEEE transactions on visualization and computer graphics* 26, 11 (2019), 3365–3385.
- [16] Nicholas Kolkin, Jason Salavon, and Gregory Shakhnarovich. 2019. Style transfer by relaxed optimal transport and self-similarity. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 10051–10060.
- [17] Dmytro Kotovenko, Matthias Wright, Arthur Heimbrecht, and Bjorn Ommer. 2021. Rethinking style transfer: From pixels to parameterized brushstrokes. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 12196–12205.
- [18] Tzu-Mao Li, Michal Lukáč, Michaël Gharbi, and Jonathan Ragan-Kelley. 2020. Differentiable vector graphics rasterization for editing and learning. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)* 39, 6 (2020), 1–15.
- [19] Cheng Lu, Yuhao Zhou, Fan Bao, Jianfei Chen, Chongxuan Li, and Jun Zhu. 2022. Dpm-solver: A fast ode solver for diffusion probabilistic model sampling in around 10 steps. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS)* 35 (2022), 5775–5787.
- [20] Xu Ma, Yuqian Zhou, Xingqian Xu, Bin Sun, Valerii Filev, Nikita Orlov, Yun Fu, and Humphrey Shi. 2022. Towards layer-wise image vectorization. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 16314–16323.
- [21] Ben Mildenhall, Pratul P Srinivasan, Matthew Tancik, Jonathan T Barron, Ravi Ramamoorthi, and Ren Ng. 2021. Nerf: Representing scenes as neural radiance fields for view synthesis. *Commun. ACM* 65, 1 (2021), 99–106.
- [22] Piotr Mirowski, Dylan Banarse, Mateusz Malinowski, Simon Osindero, and Chrisantha Fernando. 2022. Clip-clop: Clip-guided collage and photomontage. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.03146* (2022).
- [23] Alexander Mordvintsev, Nicola Pezzotti, Ludwig Schubert, and Chris Olah. 2018. Differentiable Image Parameterizations. *Distill* (2018). <https://doi.org/10.23915/distill.00012> <https://distill.pub/2018/differentiable-parameterizations>.
- [24] Alexander Quinn Nichol, Prafulla Dhariwal, Aditya Ramesh, Pranav Shyam, Pamela Mishkin, Bob McGrew, Ilya Sutskever, and Mark Chen. 2022. GLIDE: Towards Photorealistic Image Generation and Editing with Text-Guided Diffusion Models. In *Proceedings of the 39th International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)*, Vol. 162. 16784–16804.
- [25] Florian Nolte, Andrew Melnik, and Helge Ritter. 2022. Stroke-based Rendering: From Heuristics to Deep Learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.00595* (2022).
- [26] Ben Poole, Ajay Jain, Jonathan T. Barron, and Ben Mildenhall. 2023. DreamFusion: Text-to-3D using 2D Diffusion. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*.
- [27] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. 2021. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 8748–8763.
- [28] Aditya Ramesh, Prafulla Dhariwal, Alex Nichol, Casey Chu, and Mark Chen. 2022. Hierarchical text-conditional image generation with clip latents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.06125* (2022).
- [29] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. 2022. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 10684–10695.
- [30] Chitwan Saharia, William Chan, Saurabh Saxena, Lala Li, Jay Whang, Emily L Denton, Kamyar Ghasemipour, Raphael Gontijo Lopes, Burcu Karagol Ayan, Tim Salimans, et al. 2022. Photorealistic text-to-image diffusion models with deep language understanding. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS)*, Vol. 35. 36479–36494.

- [31] Peter Schaldenbrand, Zhixuan Liu, and Jean Oh. 2022. Styleclipdraw: Coupling content and style in text-to-drawing translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.12362* (2022).
- [32] Karen Simonyan and Andrew Zisserman. 2014. Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556* (2014).
- [33] Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Eric Weiss, Niru Maheswaranathan, and Surya Ganguli. 2015. Deep unsupervised learning using nonequilibrium thermodynamics. In *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 2256–2265.
- [34] Kihyuk Sohn, Lu Jiang, Jarred Barber, Kimin Lee, Nataniel Ruiz, Dilip Krishnan, Huiwen Chang, Yuanzhen Li, Irfan Essa, Michael Rubinstein, et al. 2024. Styledrop: Text-to-image synthesis of any style. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 36 (2024).
- [35] Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng, and Stefano Ermon. 2021. Denoising Diffusion Implicit Models. In *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*.
- [36] Yang Song, Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Diederik P Kingma, Abhishek Kumar, Stefano Ermon, and Ben Poole. 2021. Score-Based Generative Modeling through Stochastic Differential Equations. In *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*.
- [37] StabilityAI. 2023. IF by DeepFloyd Lab at StabilityAI. <https://github.com/deep-floyd/IF>.
- [38] Yael Vinker, Yuval Alaluf, Daniel Cohen-Or, and Ariel Shamir. 2023. Clipascene: Scene sketching with different types and levels of abstraction. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*. 4146–4156.
- [39] Yael Vinker, Ehsan Pajouheshgar, Jessica Y Bo, Roman Christian Bachmann, Amit Haim Bermano, Daniel Cohen-Or, Amir Zamir, and Ariel Shamir. 2022. Clipasso: Semantically-aware object sketching. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)* 41, 4 (2022), 1–11.
- [40] Matthias Wright and Björn Ommer. 2022. Artfid: Quantitative evaluation of neural style transfer. In *DAGM German Conference on Pattern Recognition*. Springer, 560–576.
- [41] Ximing Xing, Chuang Wang, Haitao Zhou, Jing Zhang, Qian Yu, and Dong Xu. 2024. Diffsketcher: Text guided vector sketch synthesis through latent diffusion models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* 36 (2024).
- [42] Ximing Xing, Haitao Zhou, Chuang Wang, Jing Zhang, Dong Xu, and Qian Yu. 2023. SVGDreamer: Text Guided SVG Generation with Diffusion Model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.16476* (2023).
- [43] Richard Zhang, Phillip Isola, Alexei A Efros, Eli Shechtman, and Oliver Wang. 2018. The unreasonable effectiveness of deep features as a perceptual metric. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 586–595.
- [44] Yuxin Zhang, Nisha Huang, Fan Tang, Haibin Huang, Chongyang Ma, Weiming Dong, and Changsheng Xu. 2023. Inversion-based style transfer with diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 10146–10156.
- [45] Zhengxia Zou, Tianyang Shi, Shuang Qiu, Yi Yuan, and Zhenwei Shi. 2021. Stylized neural painting. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*. 15689–15698.