

Super Hayashi Quandles

António Lages¹ Pedro Lopes²

Department of Mathematics
 Instituto Superior Técnico
 Universidade de Lisboa
 Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001 Lisbon, Portugal
 {antonio.lages,pedro.f.lopes}@tecnico.ulisboa.pt

Abstract

Quandles are right-invertible, right-self distributive (and idempotent) algebraic structures. Therefore, right translations are quandle automorphisms. It has been interesting to look into finite quandles by way of the cycle structures their right translations may have. For each quandle, the list of these cycle structures is known as the profile of the quandle. For a connected quandle, any two right translations are conjugate so there is essentially one cycle structure per connected quandle - which we thus identify with the profile. Hayashi conjectured that, for a connected quandle, each length of its profile divides the longest length. In the present article we introduce Super Hayashi Quandles (SHQ). An SHQ is a finite connected quandle such that any two lengths in its profile are (i) distinct, and (ii) the shorter one divides the longer one. The SHQ's are latin quandles and we prove that their profiles depend only on the second shortest length and on the number of cycles. Furthermore, we prove that SHQ's have SHQ's alone for subquandles (with the same second shortest length but fewer cycles). Finally, we construct infinitely many SHQ's.

Keywords: quandle; right translation; cycle; profile; Hayashi conjecture; super Hayashi quandle.
MSC2020: 20N99.

1 Introduction

A quandle is a right-invertible, right self-distributive and idempotent algebraic structure ([5], [15]). These axioms constitute an algebraic counterpart to the Reidemeister moves ([8]) of Knot Theory and quandles give rise to efficient ways of telling knots apart (for instance, via counting colorings, [3], [1]). We are thus led to study the structure of quandles.

The right-invertibility and right self-distributivity Axioms for quandles imply that any right translation (multiplication by a fixed element on the right) are quandle automorphisms. It has been interesting to look into finite quandles by way of the cycle structures their right translations may have [13], [4], [6], [7], [10], [12], [11], [18], [19], [20]. For each quandle, the list of these cycle structures is known as the profile of the quandle. For a connected quandle, any two right translations are conjugate so they have essentially one cycle structure - which we identify with its profile. In [4], Hayashi conjectured that, for a finite connected quandle, any length from its profile divides the longest length. In the present article we introduce Super Hayashi quandles (SHQ's) which are quandles that satisfy Hayashi Conjecture by definition. An SHQ is a finite quandle such that the cycle structure of each of its right translations satisfies the following condition: any two distinct disjoint cycles are such that (i) they have distinct lengths, and (ii) the shorter length divides the longer one. By Vojtěchovský's and the authors' previous work (cf. [12]) (i) above implies that such quandles are latin, thus connected. We prove that the profiles of SHQ's are characterized by two positive integer parameters, c (the number of cycles) and ℓ (the length of the second smallest cycle) - this is parts 1. and 2. of the Main Theorem, below. In particular, we prove that the profile of each such quandle is $(1, \ell, \ell(\ell + 1), \dots, \ell(\ell + 1)^{c-2})$, where $\ell + 1$ is a prime power. Furthermore, we prove that SHQ's have SHQ's alone for subquandles (with the same second shortest length but fewer cycles), one per c' , the number of cycles, $2 \leq c' < c$ - see part 3. of the Main Theorem, below. Finally, we construct infinitely many SHQ's.

¹Supported by FCT LisMath fellowship PD/BD/145348/2019.

²Supported by project FCT UIDB/04459/2020; member of CAMGSD.

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we lay out the background material. In Section 3 we define Super Hayashi quandles, we set up the notation and we state the Main Theorem. In Section 4 we prove the Main Theorem. In Section 5 we present infinitely many families of infinitely many Super Hayashi quandles. We also make remarks for further study.

2 Background Material and Results

We first define the algebraic structure known as *quandle*, introduced independently in [5] and [15].

Definition 2.1 (Quandle). *Let X be a set equipped with a binary operation denoted $*$. The pair $(X, *)$ is said to be a quandle if, for each $i, j, k \in X$,*

1. $i * i = i$ (idempotency);
2. $\exists! x \in X : x * j = i$ (right-invertibility);
3. $(i * j) * k = (i * k) * (j * k)$ (right self-distributivity).

Alternatively, a quandle can be regarded as described in Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.2. *Let X be a set of order n and suppose a permutation $R_i \in S_n$ is assigned to each $i \in X$. Then the expression $j * i := R_i(j), \forall j \in X$, yields a quandle structure on X if and only if $R_{R_i(j)} = R_i \circ R_j \circ R_i^{-1}$ and $R_i(i) = i, \forall i, j \in X$. This quandle structure is uniquely determined by the set of n permutations.*

Proof. The proof is straightforward. The details can be found in [2]. □

To each quandle $(X, *)$ of order n we associate a *quandle table*. This is an $n \times n$ table whose element in row i and column j is $i * j$, for every $i, j \in X$. In the sequel, quandle tables will have an extra 0-th column (where we display the i 's) and an extra 0-th row (where we display the j 's) to improve legibility.

Example 2.3. *Table 1 is a quandle table for $Q_{9,4}$, a quandle of order 9, see [17].*

$*$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	1	3	2	7	8	9	4	5	6
2	3	2	1	9	6	5	8	7	4
3	2	1	3	5	4	7	6	9	8
4	5	7	9	4	1	8	2	6	3
5	6	4	8	2	5	1	9	3	7
6	7	9	5	8	3	6	1	4	2
7	8	6	4	3	9	2	7	1	5
8	9	5	7	6	2	4	3	8	1
9	4	8	6	1	7	3	5	2	9

Table 1: Quandle table for $Q_{9,4}$.

For a quandle $(X, *)$ and for $i \in X$, we let $R_i : X \rightarrow X, j \mapsto j * i$ be the *right translation* by i in $(X, *)$. For instance, the right translation by 1 in $Q_{9,4}$ is $R_1 = (1)(2\ 3)(4\ 5\ 6\ 7\ 8\ 9)$. Axioms 2. and 3. in Definition 2.1 ensure that each right translation in a quandle is an automorphism of that quandle.

The group $\langle R_i : i \in X \rangle$ generated by the right translations of a quandle $(X, *)$ is the *right multiplication group*. A quandle $(X, *)$ is *connected* if its right multiplication group acts transitively on X . For instance, $Q_{9,4}$ is a connected quandle, by inspection of its quandle table (Table 1).

For a permutation f on a finite set X , the *cycle structure* of f is the formal sequence $(1^{c_1}, 2^{c_2}, 3^{c_3}, \dots)$, such that c_m is the number of m -cycles in the decomposition of f into disjoint cycles. For convenience, we omit entries with $c_i = 0$ and we drop c_i whenever $c_i = 1$. For example, the right translation by 1 in $Q_{9,4}$ has cycle structure $(1, 2, 6)$. In this article, since we are dealing with SHQ's, the c_i 's will be systematically dropped.

Lemma 2.4. *In a connected quandle $(X, *)$, any two right translations have the same cycle structure.*

Proof. The right multiplication group of $(X, *)$ acts transitively on X and it is contained in the automorphism group of $(X, *)$. \square

Therefore, we define the *profile* of a connected quandle $(X, *)$ to be the cycle structure of any of its right translations, notation:

$$prof(X, *).$$

For instance, by inspection of Table 1 above,

$$prof(Q_{9,4}) = (1, 2, 6).$$

In passing, we remark that we can also define profile for a non-connected quandle. In this case, it will be the list of the cycle structures of the distinct right translations.

3 SHQ's and the Main Theorem

We now introduce the definition of *Super Hayashi Quandles*.

Definition 3.1. *A Super Hayashi Quandle (SHQ) is a quandle each of whose right translations has cycle structure of the form $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_c)$, where $1 = \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_c$, with $c \geq 2$ and $\ell_{i-1} \mid \ell_i$, for each $i \in \{2, \dots, c\}$.*

Proposition 3.2. *Let $(X, *)$ be an SHQ. Then, $(X, *)$ is latin, thus connected.*

Proof. Since an SHQ has a profile such that any two distinct ℓ_i 's have distinct lengths, then an SHQ is latin (Theorem 3.4. in [12]), hence connected. \square

Remark 3.3. *Hayashi Conjecture ([4]) states that, for any finite connected quandle, each length of the quandles' profile divides the largest length. Thus, SHQ's satisfy the Hayashi Conjecture.*

Remark 3.4. *Let $(X, *)$ be a finite quandle such that one of its left translations is a bijection and the cycle structure of one of its right translations is $(1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_c)$ with $c > 1$, $1 < \ell_2 < \ell_3 < \dots < \ell_c$ and $\ell_{i-1} \mid \ell_i$. Then, $(X, *)$ is an SHQ (cf. [14], Theorem 2.1).*

Remark 3.5. *Let $(X, *)$ be a quandle with $1 \in X$. Suppose that each right translation of $(X, *)$ has a unique fixed point and R_1 has cycle structure $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_c)$, where $1 = \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_c$, with $c \geq 2$ and $\ell_{i-1} \mid \ell_i$, for each $i \in \{2, \dots, c\}$. Then, $(X, *)$ is an SHQ (cf. [12]).*

Example 3.6. *The quandle $Q_{9,4}$, whose quandle table is presented in Table 1, is a quandle each of whose right translations has cycle structure equal to $(1, 2, 6)$. Since $1 \mid 2 \mid 6$, $Q_{9,4}$ is an SHQ. Example 3.6 complies with Remarks 3.4, 3.5.*

We set up notation before stating the main result of this article.

Definition 3.7. Let $(X, *)$ be a quandle of order n with profile $(1 = \ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_c)$. We let $X = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and set

$$\begin{aligned} n_i &:= \sum_{k=1}^i \ell_k, \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, c\}; \\ X_i &:= \{1, \dots, n_i\} \subseteq X, \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, c\}; \\ L_i &:= \{n_i - \ell_i + 1, \dots, n_i\} \subseteq X, \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, c\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} n_1 = \ell_1 = 1 \quad X_1 = L_1 = \{1, 2, \dots, n_1\} = \{1\}, \\ \ell_i = |L_i| \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, c\}, \\ n_c = n \quad X_c = X. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the L_i 's form a partition of $X = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

We keep this notation throughout this article.

Lemma 3.8. Let $(X, *)$ be an SHQ with $\text{prof}(X, *) = (\ell_1 < \ell_2 < \dots < \ell_c)$. Then, $n_{i-1} < \ell_i$, for each $i \in \{2, \dots, c\}$.

Proof. We prove this result by induction. We have $n_1 = \ell_1 < \ell_2$. Assume now that $n_{i-1} < \ell_i$, for a certain $i \in \{2, \dots, c-1\}$. Then $n_i = n_{i-1} + \ell_i < 2 \cdot \ell_i \leq \ell_{i+1}$, where we have used the fact that $\ell_i \mid \ell_{i+1}$ and $\ell_i < \ell_{i+1}$. \square

The main result of this article is the following theorem. Notice that this theorem expresses the profile of any SHQ in terms of just two parameters, the number of cycles, c , and the length of the second smallest cycle, ℓ .

Theorem 3.9 (Main Theorem). For $c \geq 2$, let $(X, *)$ be an SHQ with profile $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_c)$. Then, there are (i) a prime number, p , and (ii) a positive integer, a , such that:

1. $|X| = (\ell + 1)^{c-1}$, where $\ell + 1 = p^a$;
2. $\text{prof}(X, *) = (1, \ell \cdot (\ell + 1)^0, \dots, \ell \cdot (\ell + 1)^{c-2})$;
3. For each $i \in \{2, \dots, c\}$, $(X_i, *)$ is a subquandle of $(X, *)$ with profile $\text{prof}(X_i, *) = (1, \ell \cdot (\ell + 1)^0, \dots, \ell \cdot (\ell + 1)^{i-2})$. Furthermore, these are the only non-trivial subquandles of $(X, *)$, up to isomorphism.

4 Proof of Main Theorem

In order to prove Theorem 3.9, we first prove some auxiliary results. Proposition 4.1 is already known ([13]), it is included here for completeness. Lemma 4.2, Proposition 4.4 and Proposition 4.5 are new results which are applicable to quandles in general.

Proposition 4.1. Modulo isomorphism, the right multiplications of $(X, *)$ satisfy the following conditions:

1. $R_1 = (n_1)(n_2 - \ell_2 + 1 \quad n_2 - \ell_2 + 2 \quad \dots \quad n_2) \dots (n_c - \ell_c + 1 \quad n_c - \ell_c + 2 \quad \dots \quad n_c)$;
2. $R_{n_{i-1}+k} = R_1^k R_{n_i} R_1^{-k}$, for each $k \in \{1, \dots, \ell_i\}$ and $i \in \{2, \dots, c\}$.

Proof. We prove assertions 1. and 2.:

1. We assume $R_1 = (n_1)(n_2 - \ell_2 + 1 \quad n_2 - \ell_2 + 2 \quad \dots \quad n_2) \dots (n_c - \ell_c + 1 \quad n_c - \ell_c + 2 \quad \dots \quad n_c)$ without loss of generality. If necessary, we may relabel indices. **This expression for R_1 will be assumed in the sequel.** Notice that the elements of $(X, *)$ belonging to the cycle $(n_i - \ell_i + 1 \quad \dots \quad n_i)$ are precisely the elements of L_i and the length of the cycle $(n_i - \ell_i + 1 \quad \dots \quad n_i)$ is ℓ_i , for each $i \in \{1, \dots, c\}$.

2. First, by 1. and Theorem 2.2 $R_{n_{i-1}+1} = R_{R_1(n_i)} = R_1 R_{n_i} R_1^{-1}$, for each $i \in \{2, \dots, c\}$. Now, if $R_{n_{i-1}+k} = R_1^k R_{n_i} R_1^{-k}$ for each $i \in \{2, \dots, c\}$ and a certain $k \in \{1, \dots, \ell_i - 1\}$, then

$$R_{n_{i-1}+k+1} = R_{R_1(n_{i-1}+k)} = R_1 R_{n_{i-1}+k} R_1^{-1} = R_1 R_1^k R_{n_i} R_1^{-k} R_1^{-1} = R_1^{k+1} R_{n_i} R_1^{-(k+1)}.$$

Thus, we conclude, by induction, that $R_{n_{i-1}+k} = R_1^k R_{n_i} R_1^{-k}$, for each $k \in \{1, \dots, \ell_i\}$ and $i \in \{2, \dots, c\}$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let $x, y \in (X, *)$. Then $R_1^m(x) * R_1^m(y) = R_1^m(x * y)$, for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.*

Proof. $R_1(x * y) = (x * y) * 1 = (x * 1) * (y * 1) = R_1(x) * R_1(y)$. Suppose $R_1^m(x) * R_1^m(y) = R_1^m(x * y)$. Then, applying R_1 on both sides yields $R_1^{m+1}(x) * R_1^{m+1}(y) = R_1^{m+1}(x * y)$. The proof is complete. \square

Definition 4.3. *Given two integers $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we let $[i, j]$ stand for their least common multiple.*

Proposition 4.4. *Let $t, u, v \in \{1, \dots, c\}$ such that $x_t, x_u \in (X, *)$, with $x_t \in L_t$, $x_u \in L_u$ and $x_t * x_u \in L_v$. Then $\ell_v \mid [\ell_t, \ell_u]$.*

Proof. Because $[\ell_t, \ell_u]$ is a multiple of ℓ_t and $x_t \in L_t$, $R_1^{[\ell_t, \ell_u]}(x_t) = x_t$. Analogously, $R_1^{[\ell_t, \ell_u]}(x_u) = x_u$. We now apply Lemma 4.2 with $m = [\ell_t, \ell_u]$ to obtain:

$$x_t * x_u = R_1^{[\ell_t, \ell_u]}(x_t) * R_1^{[\ell_t, \ell_u]}(x_u) = R_1^{[\ell_t, \ell_u]}(x_t * x_u).$$

Notice that $(R_1^m(x_t * x_u) : m \in \mathbb{Z}_0^+)$ is a periodic sequence, whose period is ℓ_v , since $x_t * x_u \in L_v$, by hypothesis. The sequence starts at $x_t * x_u$ and is formed by copies of the cycle L_v . So, $x_t * x_u = R_1^{[\ell_t, \ell_u]}(x_t * x_u)$ implies that $\ell_v \mid [\ell_t, \ell_u]$. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 4.5. *Let $(Y, *)$ be a subquandle of $(X, *)$. Then, (the length of) any disjoint cycle of a right translation from $(Y, *)$ is (the length of) a disjoint cycle of a right translation from $(X, *)$. In particular, quandles of cyclic type (i.e., quandles with profile $(1, \ell)$) do not have non-trivial subquandles.*

Proof. Let $y, y' \in Y \subset X$, let $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then, by induction, $R_y^m(y') \in Y$, since $(Y, *)$ is a subquandle. Let $\ell_{y, y'}^{(Y, *)}$ be the length of the disjoint cycle of $R_y|_Y$ containing y' . Then,

$$\ell_{y, y'}^{(Y, *)} = |\{R_y^m(y') : m \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}|.$$

Since $y, y' \in (X, *)$, itself a quandle, then $|\{R_y^m(y') : m \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}|$ is the length of the disjoint cycle of R_y containing y' . The result follows. \square

In the sequel, we let $(X, *)$ stand for an SHQ of order n with profile $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_c)$ and $c \geq 2$, unless otherwise stated. We keep to the notation of Definition 3.7.

Corollary 4.6. *$(X_i, *)$ is a subquandle of $(X, *)$, for each $i \in \{2, \dots, c\}$. Besides, $(X_i, *)$ is an SHQ of order n_i with profile $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_i)$, for each $i \in \{2, \dots, c\}$. Moreover, each $(X_i, *)$ is a subquandle of $(X_{i+1}, *)$.*

Proof. Let $i \in \{2, \dots, c\}$. For $x, y \in X_i$, we have $x \in L_t$ and $y \in L_u$, for certain $t, u \leq i$. By Proposition 4.4, $x * y \in L_v$, for some v such that $\ell_v \mid [\ell_t, \ell_u]$. Because $[\ell_t, \ell_u] \mid \ell_i$, then $v \leq i$, thus $x * y \in X_i$ and $(X_i, *)$ is a subquandle of $(X, *)$ (resp., of $(X_{i+1}, *)$). Moreover, using Proposition 4.5 for the cardinalities of the sets $|\{R_1^m(\dots) : m \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}|$,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \in X_i &\implies |\{R_1^m(1) : m \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}| = \ell_1 (= 1) \text{ is a length in } \text{prof}(X_i) \\ 2 \in X_i &\implies |\{R_1^m(2) : m \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}| = \ell_2 \text{ (ditto)} \\ \dots & \\ n_j - l_j + 1 \in X_i &\implies |\{R_1^m(n_j - l_j + 1) : m \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}| = \ell_j \text{ (ditto)} \\ \dots & \\ n_i - l_i + 1 \in X_i &\implies |\{R_1^m(n_i - l_i + 1) : m \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}| = \ell_i \text{ (ditto)} \end{aligned}$$

and so, each of the ℓ_j 's ($j = 1, \dots, i$) is the length of a disjoint cycle of a right multiplication in $(X_i, *)$. Finally, since

$$|X_i| = n_i < \ell_k \quad \text{for } k > i \quad (\text{Lemma 3.8}),$$

there are no more lengths for disjoint cycles in $(X_i, *)$, according to Proposition 4.5. Then

$$\text{prof}(X_i) = (1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_i).$$

□

In order to prove parts (1) and (2) of the Main Theorem, Theorem 3.9, we prove the following Proposition.

Proposition 4.7. *Consider an integer $c \geq 2$ and an SHQ, $(X, *)$, with*

$$\text{prof}(X, *) = (1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_c).$$

*Then, for any $2 \leq i \leq c$, $(X_i, *)$ is an SHQ with*

$$\text{prof}(X_i, *) = (1, \ell(\ell+1)^0, \ell(\ell+1)^1, \dots, \ell(\ell+1)^{i-2})$$

with

$$\ell_i = \ell(\ell+1)^{i-2} \quad \text{and} \quad |X_i| = n_i = (\ell+1)^{i-1}.$$

Furthermore, there exists a prime p and a positive integer a such that

$$\ell+1 = p^a.$$

Proof. By induction on i .

(Base) For $i = 2$, $(X_2, *)$ has profile $\{1, \ell\}$ for some positive integer ℓ . Then, $(X, *)$ is a quandle of cyclic type ([13]) and so $\ell+1 = p^a$ where p is a prime and a is a positive integer ([16]). So

$$\ell_2 = \ell = \ell(\ell+1)^{2-2} \quad \text{and} \quad |X_2| = n_2 = \ell+1 = (\ell+1)^{2-1}$$

(Induction Step) We assume there is an integer $i \geq 2$ such that:

(*) for any $2 \leq j \leq i$, $(X_j, *)$ is an SHQ with

$$\begin{cases} \text{prof}(X_j, *) = \{1, \ell(\ell+1)^0, \ell(\ell+1)^1, \dots, \ell(\ell+1)^{j-2}\} \\ n_j = (\ell+1)^{j-1} \end{cases}$$

We want to prove that

(**) $(X_{i+1}, *)$ is an SHQ with

$$\begin{cases} \text{prof}(X_{i+1}, *) = \{1, \ell(\ell+1)^0, \ell(\ell+1)^1, \dots, \ell(\ell+1)^{i-2}, \ell(\ell+1)^{i-1}\} \\ n_{i+1} = (\ell+1)^i \end{cases}$$

We remark that what we need to prove is that (leaning on (*)):

$$\ell_{i+1} = \ell(\ell+1)^{i-1}.$$

Once this is established, it follows that:

$$|X_{i+1}| = n_{i+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{i+1} \ell_k = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{i+1} \ell \cdot (\ell+1)^{k-2} = 1 + \ell \cdot \frac{(\ell+1)^i - 1}{(\ell+1) - 1} = 1 + \ell \cdot \frac{(\ell+1)^i - 1}{\ell} = (\ell+1)^i.$$

So, modulo proving that $\ell_{i+1} = \ell(\ell+1)^{i-1}$, the proof of Proposition 4.7 (and so, that of parts (1) and (2) of the Main Theorem) is complete.

The proof of the induction step above has a structure of its own. We, thus, deflect its proof to Subsection 4.1, right below. □

4.1 Proof of the Induction Step for ℓ_{i+1} in Proposition 4.7

We remind the reader that the integer $i \geq 2$ in this Subsection has been fixed and entails $(*)$ above. We further note that this Subsection is devoted to proving that $\ell_{i+1} = \ell(\ell + 1)^{i-1}$ is true, provided $(*)$ is true. This will complete the proof of Proposition 4.7 and thus it will complete the proof of parts (1) and (2) of the Main Theorem (Theorem 3.9).

There are two parts to this proof of the induction step. They correspond to Subsubsections 4.1.1 and 4.1.2, below. In Subsubsection 4.1.1 we prove that there is $\kappa \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ such that $\ell_{i+1} = \kappa\ell(\ell + 1)^{i-1}$ (Corollary 4.14). In Subsubsection 4.1.2 we prove $\kappa = 1$ (Corollary 4.25).

We set up the following notation, valid until Corollary 4.25.

Notation 4.8. For each $x \in X_{i+1}$, we let $R_x := R_x|_{X_{i+1}}$ and let $F_x := \{y \in X_{i+1} : R_x^{\ell_i}(y) = y\}$.

4.1.1 Proof of $\ell_{i+1} = \kappa\ell(\ell + 1)^{i-1}$

Lemma 4.9. For each $x \in X_{i+1}$,

- (i) $x \in F_x$;
- (ii) $|F_x| = n_i = (\ell + 1)^{i-1}$.

Proof. Let $x \in X_{i+1}$.

- (i) Being a fixed point of R_x , $x = R_x^{\ell_i}(x)$. Thus, $x \in F_x$;
- (ii) X_{i+1} is a quandle such that any two lengths from the cycle structure of any of its right translations are distinct (Corollary 4.6). Hence, it is a latin quandle ([12]), and so there is an $x' \in X_{i+1}$ such that

$$x = 1 * x'.$$

Then, for any $y \in F_x$

$$y = R_x^{\ell_i}(y) = R_{1*x'}^{\ell_i}(y) = R_{R_{x'}^{-1}(1)}^{\ell_i}(y) = (R_{x'} R_1 R_{x'}^{-1})^{\ell_i}(y) = R_{x'} R_1^{\ell_i} R_{x'}^{-1}(y) = R_{x'} R_1^{\ell_i} (R_{x'}^{-1}(y))$$

and so

$$R_{x'}^{-1}(y) = R_1^{\ell_i} (R_{x'}^{-1}(y))$$

that is :

$$R_{x'}^{-1}(y) \in F_1.$$

Then, there is an injective map as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F_x &\longrightarrow F_1 \\ y &\longmapsto R_{x'}^{-1}(y) \end{aligned}$$

We will now prove that this injective map is surjective. Let $x_0 \in F_1$ and consider $R_{x'}(x_0)$. Then:

$$R_x^{\ell_i}(R_{x'}(x_0)) = R_{1*x'}^{\ell_i}(R_{x'}(x_0)) = R_{R_{x'}^{-1}(1)}^{\ell_i}(R_{x'}(x_0)) = R_{x'} R_1^{\ell_i} R_{x'}^{-1}(R_{x'}(x_0)) = R_{x'} R_1^{\ell_i} (x_0) = R_{x'}(x_0)$$

Thus, $R_{x'}(x_0) \in F_x$ and the injective map above is a bijection. Thus,

$$|F_x| = |F_1| = |X_i| = n_i \quad \text{for any } x \in X_{i+1}.$$

□

Lemma 4.10. For any $x \in X_{i+1}$, F_x is a subquandle of X_{i+1} with

$$\text{prof}(F_x) = (1, \ell, \ell(\ell+1), \dots, \ell(\ell+1)^{i-2}).$$

Proof. For any $y, z \in F_x$,

$$R_x^{\ell_i}(y * z) = R_x^{\ell_i}(y) * R_x^{\ell_i}(z) = y * z \quad (\text{thanks to Lemma 4.2})$$

so that $y * z \in F_x$ and F_x is a quandle, a subquandle of X_{i+1} . Since $|F_x| = n_i < \ell_{i+1}$ (Lemmas 4.9 and 3.8), then the lengths of the disjoint cycles available are $1, \ell, \ell(\ell+1), \dots, \ell(\ell+1)^{i-2}$. Hence, thanks to Proposition 4.5

$$\text{prof}(F_x) = (1, \ell, \ell(\ell+1), \dots, \ell(\ell+1)^{i-2}).$$

□

Lemma 4.11. Given $x \in X_{i+1}$, let $F_x = \{x, f_x^2, \dots, f_x^{n_i}\}$. Then $F_{f_x^j} = F_x$, for every $j \in \{2, \dots, n_i\}$.

Proof. Let us fix $j \in \{2, \dots, n_i\}$. For each $y \in F_x$, we have

$$R_{f_x^j}(y) = R_{R_x^{\ell_i}(f_x^j)}(y) = R_x^{\ell_i} R_{f_x^j} R_x^{-\ell_i}(y) = R_x^{\ell_i}(R_{f_x^j}(y)),$$

that is, $R_x^{\ell_i}$ fixes $R_{f_x^j}(y)$, whence $R_{f_x^j}(y) \in F_x$. Being an injective map, the restriction of $R_{f_x^j}$ to F_x is a bijection from this set of cardinality n_i to itself. Furthermore, the images of any iterate of this map lie in F_x , since F_x is a quandle (Lemma 4.10). According to Proposition 4.5,

$$|\{R_{f_x^j}^m(y) \mid m \in \mathbf{Z}^+\}| = \ell_k \quad \text{for some } k \in \{1, 2, \dots, c\}, \text{ since } y, f_x^j \in F_x \subset X.$$

Since $n_i < \ell_{i+1}$ by Lemma 3.8, then

$$\ell_k < \ell_{i+1}.$$

Finally, since $\ell_k | \ell_i$, then $R_{f_x^j}^{\ell_i}(y) = y$, for every $y \in F_x$, that is, $F_{f_x^j} = F_x$. □

Corollary 4.12. $(\ell+1)^{i-1} \mid \ell_{i+1}$.

Proof. Let $x, y \in X_{i+1}$. Firstly, we prove either $F_x = F_y$ or $F_x \cap F_y = \emptyset$. Suppose $F_x \neq F_y$ and $z \in F_x \cap F_y$. Then, by Lemma 4.11, $F_x = F_z = F_y$, which is a contradiction. Hence the result follows.

Thus, $\{F_x \mid x \in X_{i+1}\}$ is a partition of X_{i+1} . Since $|F_x| = n_i$ and $|X_{i+1}| = n_{i+1}$, it follows that $n_i \mid n_{i+1}$. Noting that $n_{i+1} = n_i + \ell_{i+1}$, we have, in particular, $n_i \mid \ell_{i+1}$. As $n_i = (\ell+1)^{i-1}$ by (**), the induction hypothesis, the result follows. □

Lemma 4.13. $\{F_x \mid x \in X_{i+1}\}$ is a partition of X_{i+1} .

Proof. See the first three lines of the proof of Corollary 4.12 above. □

Corollary 4.14. $\ell_{i+1} = \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell+1)^{i-1}$, for a given $\kappa \in \mathbf{Z}^+$.

Proof. By hypothesis, $\ell \mid \ell_{i+1}$, and by Corollary 4.12, $(\ell+1)^{i-1} \mid \ell_{i+1}$. As ℓ is coprime to $\ell+1$, we conclude that $\ell_{i+1} = \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell+1)^{i-1}$, for some $\kappa \in \mathbf{Z}^+$. □

4.1.2 Proof of $\kappa = 1$

It remains to prove that $\kappa = 1$. To do so, we introduce new notation and we establish auxiliary results.

Notation 4.15. For each $x \in X_{i+1}$, we let $B_x := \{y \in X_{i+1} : R_x^\ell(y) = y\}$.

Lemma 4.16. For each $x \in X_{i+1}$,

- (i) $x \in B_x$;
- (ii) $|B_x| = \ell + 1$.

Proof. This Lemma is proved reasoning as for the proof of Lemma 4.9. Specifically, the proof of the current Lemma is obtained from the proof of Lemma 4.9, replacing B_x for F_x and ℓ for ℓ_i . \square

Lemma 4.17. For any $x \in X_{i+1}$, B_x is a subquandle of X_{i+1} with $\text{prof}(B_x) = (1, \ell)$.

Proof. This Lemma is proved reasoning as for the proof of Lemma 4.10. Specifically, the proof of the current Lemma is obtained from the proof of Lemma 4.10, replacing B_x for F_x and ℓ for ℓ_i . \square

Lemma 4.18. Given $x \in X_{i+1}$, let $B_x = \{x, b_x^1, \dots, b_x^\ell\}$. Then $B_{b_x^j} = B_x$, for every $j \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$.

Proof. This Lemma is proved reasoning as for the proof of Lemma 4.11. Specifically, the proof of the current Lemma is obtained from the proof of Lemma 4.11, replacing B_x for F_x and ℓ for ℓ_i . \square

Lemma 4.19. For any $x \in X_{i+1}$, $B_x \subset F_x$.

Proof. If $y \in X_{i+1}$ is such that $R_x^\ell(y) = y$ (i.e., $y \in B_x$) then, since $\ell \mid \ell_i$,

$$R_x^{\ell_i}(y) = \underbrace{R_x^\ell \circ \dots \circ R_x^\ell}_{\ell_i/\ell}(y) = \underbrace{R_x^\ell \circ \dots \circ R_x^\ell}_{\ell_i/\ell-1}(y) = \dots = R_x^\ell(y) = y,$$

i.e. $y \in F_x$. \square

Proposition 4.20. If $x, y \in X_{i+1}$ are such that $y \in B_x$, then $R_y R_x^\ell = R_x^\ell R_y$.

Proof. If $x, y \in X_{i+1}$ are such that $y \in B_x$, then $R_y = R_{R_x^\ell(y)} = R_x^\ell R_y R_x^{-\ell} \Leftrightarrow R_y R_x^\ell = R_x^\ell R_y$. \square

Proposition 4.21. If $x, y \in X_{i+1}$ are such that $y \in B_x$, then $R_y^\ell = R_x^\ell$.

Proof. Given $x \in X_{i+1}$, let $y \in B_x \setminus \{x\}$ and consider $x * y$. Thanks to Lemma 4.17, $x * y \in B_x$. Moreover, via idempotency and left-invertibility (X_{i+1} is a latin quandle)

$$x \neq x * y \neq x * y' \quad \text{for any other } y' \in B_x \setminus \{x, y\}$$

so that

$$\{x * z \mid z \in B_x\} = B_x.$$

Finally,

$$R_{x*y}^\ell = (R_{R_y(x)})^\ell = (R_y R_x R_y^{-1})^\ell = R_y R_x^\ell R_y^{-1} = R_x^\ell$$

where the last equality holds thanks to Proposition 4.20. The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 4.22. $B_{n_{i+1}} \subset F_{n_{i+1}} \subset \{n_i + 1, n_i + 2, \dots, n_{i+1}\}$.

Proof. $B_{n_{i+1}} \subset F_{n_{i+1}}$ follows from Lemma 4.19.

For the other inclusion we note that

$$F_1 = \{y \in X_{i+1} \mid R_1^{\ell_i}(y) = y\} = \{1, 2, \dots, n_i\}.$$

Also

$$F_{n_{i+1}} \ni n_{i+1} \notin F_1,$$

so that $F_1 \cap F_{n_{i+1}} = \emptyset$, since the F_x 's partition X_{i+1} (Lemma 4.13). Thus,

$$B_{n_{i+1}} \subset F_{n_{i+1}} \subset \{n_i + 1, n_i + 2, \dots, n_{i+1}\}$$

□

Lemma 4.23. $B_{n_{i+1}} = \{n_i + j \cdot \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell + 1)^{i-2} : 1 \leq j \leq \ell + 1\}$.

Proof. According to Lemma 4.22, any element of $B_{n_{i+1}}$ is of the form $n_i + x$ for some $x \in \{1, 2, \dots, l_{i+1}\}$. We first prove the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.24. *If $n_i + x, n_i + y \in B_{n_{i+1}}$, then $n_i + y - x \in B_{n_{i+1}}$, where we read $y - x$ mod l_{i+1} .*

Proof. As $n_i + x, n_i + y \in B_{n_{i+1}}$, then, using Lemma 4.18, $R_{n_i+x}^\ell(n_i + y) = n_i + y$. Therefore, using assertion 2. in Proposition 4.1, we have

$$n_i + y = R_{n_i+x}^\ell(n_i + y) = R_1^x R_{n_{i+1}}^\ell R_1^{-x}(n_i + y) = R_1^x R_{n_{i+1}}^\ell(n_i + y - x) \Leftrightarrow n_i + y - x = R_{n_{i+1}}^\ell(n_i + y - x),$$

i.e., $R_{n_{i+1}}^\ell$ fixes $n_i + y - x$, so $n_i + y - x \in B_{n_{i+1}}$ (with $y - x \in \{1, 2, \dots, l_{i+1}\}$ mod l_{i+1} so, for instance $n_i + x - x = n_i + l_{i+1}$). □

Resuming the proof of Lemma 4.23, let $B_{n_{i+1}} = \{n_i + b'_1, \dots, n_i + b'_{\ell+1}\}$ where $b'_k < b'_{k+1}$, for all $k \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$. In particular, $b'_{\ell+1} = l_{i+1}$. Suppose that the b'_k 's are not equally spaced mod l_{i+1} . Hence, there are indices $u, v \in \{1, \dots, \ell + 1\}$ such that $b'_u - b'_{u-1} > b'_v - b'_{v-1}$, where $b'_0 := 0$. By Lemma 4.24, above, not only $n_i + b'_v - b'_{v-1} \in B_{n_{i+1}}$, but also $n_i + b'_u - (b'_v - b'_{v-1}) \in B_{n_{i+1}}$. However, $n_i + b'_{u-1} < n_i + b'_u - (b'_v - b'_{v-1}) < n_i + b'_u$, which is a contradiction, because $n_i + b'_{u-1}$ and $n_i + b'_u$ are consecutive indices in $B_{n_{i+1}}$. Hence, the b'_k 's are equally spaced mod l_{i+1} . Furthermore, since by Lemma 4.16, $|B_{n_{i+1}}| = l + 1$ and $n_i + l_{i+1} = n_{i+1} \in B_{n_{i+1}}$, then:

$$B_{n_{i+1}} = \{n_i + j \cdot (l_{i+1}/(\ell + 1)) : 1 \leq j \leq \ell + 1\} = \{n_i + j \cdot \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell + 1)^{i-2} : 1 \leq j \leq \ell + 1\},$$

where we have used the fact that $l_{i+1} = \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell + 1)^{i-1}$ (cf. Corollary 4.14), for some $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. □

Finally, we prove that $\kappa = 1$ in Corollary 4.14, that is, we prove that $l_{i+1} = \ell \cdot (\ell + 1)^{i-1}$.

Corollary 4.25. $l_{i+1} = \ell \cdot (\ell + 1)^{i-1}$.

Proof. Since $|X_{i+1}| = n_{i+1} = n_i + l_{i+1}$, $|F_{n_{i+1}}| = n_i$ (Lemma 4.9) and $F_{n_{i+1}} \subset X_{i+1}$, then $|X_{i+1} \setminus F_{n_{i+1}}| = l_{i+1}$. Let $X_{i+1} \setminus F_{n_{i+1}} = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{l_{i+1}}\}$. Via Lemma 4.10 and without loss of generality,

$$R_{n_{i+1}}|_{X_{i+1} \setminus F_{n_{i+1}}} = (g_1 \quad g_2 \quad \dots \quad g_{l_{i+1}}).$$

By assertion 2. in Proposition 4.1, $R_{n_i+j \cdot \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell+1)^{i-2}} = R_1^{j \cdot \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell+1)^{i-2}} R_{n_{i+1}} R_1^{-j \cdot \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell+1)^{i-2}}$, $\forall j \in \{1, \dots, \ell + 1\}$, so,

$$R_{n_i+j \cdot \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell+1)^{i-2}}|_{X_{i+1} \setminus F_{n_{i+1}}} = (R_1^{j \cdot \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell+1)^{i-2}}(g_1) \quad R_1^{j \cdot \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell+1)^{i-2}}(g_2) \quad \dots \quad R_1^{j \cdot \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell+1)^{i-2}}(g_{l_{i+1}})),$$

and also, its l -th iterate,

$$R_{n_i+j \cdot \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell+1)^{i-2}}^\ell|_{X_{i+1} \setminus F_{n_{i+1}}} = (R_1^{j \cdot \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell+1)^{i-2}}(g_1) \quad R_1^{j \cdot \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell+1)^{i-2}}(g_{\ell+1}) \quad \dots \quad R_1^{j \cdot \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell+1)^{i-2}}(g_{\ell_{i+1}-\ell+1}))$$

$$(R_1^{j \cdot \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell+1)^{i-2}}(g_2) \ R_1^{j \cdot \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell+1)^{i-2}}(g_{\ell+2}) \ \cdots \ R_1^{j \cdot \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell+1)^{i-2}}(g_{\ell_{i+1}-\ell+2})) \cdots \\ \cdots (R_1^{j \cdot \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell+1)^{i-2}}(g_\ell) \ R_1^{j \cdot \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell+1)^{i-2}}(g_{2 \cdot \ell}) \ \cdots \ R_1^{j \cdot \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell+1)^{i-2}}(g_{\ell_{i+1}})).$$

Since, for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \ell + 1\}$,

$$n_i + j \cdot \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell + 1)^{i-2} \in B_{n_{i+1}} \quad (\text{Lemma 4.23}),$$

then

$$R_{n_i + j \cdot \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell+1)^{i-2}}^\ell$$

does not depend on j (Proposition 4.21). Thanks to Lemma 4.22, $1 \in X_{i+1} \setminus F_{n_{i+1}}$ and we may assume that $g_\ell = 1$. Thus,

$$(R_1^{j \cdot \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell+1)^{i-2}}(g_\ell) \ R_1^{j \cdot \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell+1)^{i-2}}(g_{2 \cdot \ell}) \ \cdots \ R_1^{j \cdot \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell+1)^{i-2}}(g_{\ell_{i+1}})) = \\ = (1 \ R_1^{j \cdot \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell+1)^{i-2}}(g_{2 \cdot \ell}) \ \cdots \ R_1^{j \cdot \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell+1)^{i-2}}(g_{\ell_{i+1}}))$$

does not depend on j .

Lemma 4.26.

$$\{g_\ell, g_{2\ell}, g_{3\ell}, \dots, g_{\ell_{i+1}}\} = \{1, g_{2\ell}, g_{3\ell}, \dots, g_{\lfloor \ell_{i+1}/(\ell+1) \rfloor (\ell+1)}\} \subset F_1.$$

Proof. Assume to the contrary and suppose the inclusion is not true. Since $1 \in F_1$ (Lemma 4.9), there exists $s \in \{2, \dots, \ell_{i+1}/(\ell + 1)\}$ such that

$$g_{s\ell} \notin F_1 = \{y \in X_{i+1} \mid R_1^{\ell_i}(y) = y\}.$$

Then, $g_{s\ell} \in X_{i+1} \setminus F_1$. More specifically, $g_{s\ell}$ belongs to a disjoint cycle of R_1 of length greater than ℓ_i (since the elements in F_1 belong to cycles whose length divide ℓ_i). Since we are working within the restriction to X_{i+1} , there is only one such cycle. It is the cycle of length $\ell_{i+1} = \kappa \ell (\ell + 1)^{i-1}$ (Corollary 4.14). Since $j \kappa \ell (\ell + 1)^{i-2} < \kappa \ell (\ell + 1)^{i-1}$, for $1 \leq j \leq \ell$,

$$|\{R_1^{j \kappa \ell (\ell+1)^{i-2}}(g_{s\ell}) \mid 1 \leq j \leq \ell\}| = \ell.$$

Therefore, the sequence of permutations

$$\left(\left(1 \ R_1^{j \cdot \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell+1)^{i-2}}(g_{2 \cdot \ell}) \ \cdots \ R_1^{j \kappa \ell (\ell+1)^{i-2}}(g_{s\ell}) \ \cdots \ R_1^{j \cdot \kappa \cdot \ell \cdot (\ell+1)^{i-2}}(g_{\ell_{i+1}}) \right) \mid 1 \leq j \leq \ell + 1 \right)$$

is not constant which contradicts Proposition 4.21. The proof of Lemma 4.26 is complete. \square

We now resume the proof of Corollary 4.25:

$$\{1, g_{2 \cdot \ell}, g_{3 \cdot \ell}, \dots, g_{\lfloor \ell_{i+1}/\ell \rfloor \ell}\} \subseteq F_1 \implies |\{1, g_{2 \cdot \ell}, g_{3 \cdot \ell}, \dots, g_{\lfloor \ell_{i+1}/\ell \rfloor \ell}\}| \leq |F_1| \implies \ell_{i+1}/\ell \leq n_i \iff \\ \kappa \ell (\ell + 1)^{i-1}/\ell \leq (\ell + 1)^{i-1} \implies \kappa = 1$$

where the equivalence above follows from Corollary 4.14 and from the induction hypothesis. The proof of Corollary 4.25 is complete. \square

4.2 The Subquandles of SHQ's are SHQ's.

We have already proved that the $(X_i, *)$ are SHQ's and subquandles of the SHQ $(X, *)$ (Corollary 4.6). We now prove that these are the only subquandles of $(X, *)$ and that their profiles have the same second smallest length.

Proposition 4.27. *Let $(X, *)$ be an SHQ i.e., there exist $c, \ell \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ such that*

$$\text{prof}(X, *) = (1, \ell, \ell(\ell + 1), \dots, \ell(\ell + 1)^i, \dots, \ell(\ell + 1)^{c-2}).$$

*If $(X', *)$ is a subquandle of $(X, *)$ then its profile is*

$$\text{prof}(X', *) = (1, \ell(\ell + 1), \dots, \ell(\ell + 1)^{c'-2}) \quad \text{where} \quad 2 \leq c' < c.$$

*Furthermore, $(X', *)$ is isomorphic with $(X_{c'}, *)$.*

Proof. We recall that, thanks to Proposition 4.5, the lengths of the profile of the subquandle are lengths of the profile of the quandle. We also know that the $(X_i, *)$'s are subquandles of $(X, *)$. We now prove that there cannot be a subquandle whose profile has an $l_i = l(l + 1)^{i-2}$ but does not have an $l_{i'} = l(l + 1)^{i'-2}$ with $i' < i$. We proceed as follows. We deal with (I) the case of only one non-trivial length, then we deal with (II) the case of at least two non-trivial lengths.

(I) Suppose there is only one non-trivial length in the profile of $(X', *)$,

$$\text{prof}(X', *) = (1, \ell(\ell + 1)^k) \quad \text{where} \quad 0 \leq k \leq c - 2.$$

If $k = 0$ then $(X', *)$'s second smallest length is ℓ , so we assume $k > 0$.

Lemma 4.28. *Suppose $(X', *)$ is a subquandle of $(X, *)$ whose profile is*

$$\text{prof}(X', *) = (1, \ell(\ell + 1)^k).$$

*Then, it is isomorphic with a subquandle of $(X_{k+2}, *)$ whose underlying set is*

$$\{1\} \cup L_{k+2}.$$

Proof. Let $y_0 \neq t \in (X', *)$. Then,

$$\{R_{y_0}^m(y) \mid m \in \mathbf{Z}\}$$

is the cycle of length $\ell(\ell + 1)^k$ in $(X', *)$. Since $(X', *)$ is latin, then there is $x \in X$ such that $1 = y_0 * x$ and so

$$\{R_{y_0 * x}^m(y * x) \mid m \in \mathbf{Z}\} = \{R_1^m(y * x) \mid m \in \mathbf{Z}\} = L_{k+2}$$

since R_x is an automorphism of $(X', *)$. Since $\{1\} \cup L_{k+2} \in X_{k+2}$, the proof is complete. \square

Resuming the proof of Proposition 4.27, we henceforth assume the underlying set of $(X', *)$ to be $\{1\} \cup L_{k+2}$. Since the profile of $(X', *)$ is made up of two distinct lengths, then it is a latin quandle (cf. [12]). Consider also the subquandle $(X_{k+2}, *)$ which is also latin and for which $(X', *)$ is a subquandle. Then, for each $x' \in X'$, $R_{x'}(X') = X'$ which implies that $R_{x'}(X_{k+2} \setminus X') = X_{k+2} \setminus X'$ which further implies that for each $x \in X_{k+2} \setminus X'$, $L_x(X') \subset X_{k+2} \setminus X'$ and so $|L_x(X')| \leq |X_{k+2} \setminus X'|$. Note that $|X_{k+2}| = (\ell + 1)^{k+1}$ (Proposition 4.7) whereas $|X'| = \ell(\ell + 1)^k + 1$ so that

$$|X_{k+2} \setminus X'| = (\ell + 1)^{k+1} - \ell(\ell + 1)^k - 1 = (\ell + 1)^k - 1 < \ell(\ell + 1)^k + 1 = |X'|,$$

but

$$|X'| = |L_x(X')| \leq |X_{k+2} \setminus X'|.$$

Therefore, $k = 0$.

(II) Now for a profile with more than one non-trivial length. Let

$$\text{prof}(X', *) = (1, \ell(\ell+1)^{k_1}, \ell(\ell+1)^{k_2}, \dots) \quad \text{with} \quad 0 \leq k_1 < k_2.$$

Since the shorter lengths divide the longer lengths in the profile of the subquandle, then the subquandle is itself an SHQ. Therefore there exists $\ell' \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{cases} \ell' & = \ell(\ell+1)^{k_1} \\ \ell'(\ell'+1) & = \ell(\ell+1)^{k_2} \end{cases} & \iff \begin{cases} \ell' & = \ell(\ell+1)^{k_1} \\ \ell'+1 & = (\ell+1)^{k_2-k_1} \end{cases} & \iff \begin{cases} \dots \\ \ell(\ell+1)^{k_1} + 1 & = (\ell+1)^{k_2-k_1} \end{cases} \\ & \iff \begin{cases} \dots \\ 1 & = (\ell+1)^{k_2-k_1} - \ell(\ell+1)^{k_1} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We next cover the three different possibilities, (i) $k_2 - k_1 = k_1$; (ii) $k_2 - k_1 > k_1$; (iii) $k_2 - k_1 < k_1$:

(i) If $k_2 - k_1 = k_1$ then

$$1 = (\ell+1)^{k_2-k_1} - \ell(\ell+1)^{k_1} = (\ell+1)^{k_1} - \ell(\ell+1)^{k_1} = (\ell+1)^{k_1}(1-\ell) \implies 1-\ell > 0 \implies 1 > \ell$$

which is impossible.

(ii) If $k_2 - k_1 > k_1$ then

$$1 = (\ell+1)^{k_1} [(\ell+1)^{k_2-2k_1} - \ell]$$

(a) if $k_1 = 0$ then, from the definition of ℓ' , above,

$$\ell' = \ell(\ell+1)^{k_1} = \ell$$

and the subquandle has the same second smallest length as the quandle.

(b) If $k_1 > 0$ then

$$1 = (\ell+1)^{k_1} [(\ell+1)^{k_2-2k_1} - \ell]$$

which is impossible because $(\ell+1)^{k_1} > 1$ and $(\ell+1)^{k_2-2k_1} - \ell \geq 1$.

(iii) If $k_2 - k_1 < k_1$ then

$$1 = (\ell+1)^{k_2-k_1} [1 - \ell(\ell+1)^{2k_1-k_2}]$$

which is impossible because $(\ell+1)^{k_2-k_1} > 0$ while $1 - \ell(\ell+1)^{2k_1-k_2} < 0$.

The proof is complete. □

5 Remarks, Examples, and Questions for Future Work.

5.1 Remarks

We remark that the Main Theorem (Theorem 3.9) asserts obstructions to the existence of quandles with certain profiles. For instance, $(1, 5)$ cannot be the profile of any quandle because $1 + 5 = 2 \cdot 3$ which is not a prime power. Thus, by the Main Theorem,

$$(1, 5, 5k) \quad (1, 5, 5k, 5kk') \quad (1, 5, 5k, 5kk', 5kk'k'') \quad \dots \quad \text{for } k \leq k' \leq k'' \leq \dots \in \mathbf{Z}^+$$

cannot be profiles of quandles for they would have a quandle with profile $(1, 5)$ for subquandle and such a quandle cannot exist. On the other hand, a quandle with profile $(1, 6)$ exists but quandles with profiles

$$(1, 6, 12k) \quad (1, 6, 12k, 12kk') \quad (1, 6, 12k, 12kk', 12kk'k'') \quad \dots \quad \text{for } k \leq k' \leq k'' \leq \dots \in \mathbf{Z}^+$$

cannot exist because the ℓ_i 's do not comply with $\ell_i = \ell(\ell+1)^{i-2}$.

5.2 Examples from tables in the literature.

We now provide a few examples of SHQ's by inspection of the tables available in the literature.

Example 5.1. *Quandles $Q_{3,i}$, $Q_{9,j}$, $Q_{27,k}$ have profiles $(1, 2)$, $(1, 2, 6)$, $(1, 2, 6, 18)$, respectively, for $i \in \{1\}$, $j \in \{4, 5, 6\}$ and $k \in \{37, \dots, 40, 47 \dots, 52, 60, 61\}$, cf. [12]. Since $1 \mid 2 \mid 6 \mid 18$, all of them are SHQ's. Indeed, taking $\ell = 2$ and $c = 2, 3, 4$, respectively, in Theorem 3.9, we obtain the same profiles.*

Example 5.2. *Quandles $Q_{5,i}$, $Q_{25,j}$, $i \in \{2, 3\}$, $j \in \{21, \dots, 30\}$, have profiles $(1, 4)$, $(1, 4, 20)$, respectively, cf. [12]. Since $1 \mid 4 \mid 20$, all these quandles are SHQ's. Indeed, taking $\ell = 4$ and $c = 2, 3$, respectively, in Theorem 3.9, we obtain the same profiles.*

5.3 Further Examples.

Theorem 5.3. *Quandles of cyclic type constitute an infinite family of SHQ's.*

Proof. Quandles of cyclic type ([13]) i.e., connected quandles whose profile is $(1, \ell)$, exist if $\ell + 1 = p^a$, where p is a prime and $a \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ ([16]). The proof is complete. \square

Theorem 5.4. *There are infinitely many families of SHQ's, each family with infinitely many quandles.*

Proof. Our proof is constructive and is based on the notion of primitive root mod n i.e., the generator(s) of the multiplicative group of integers modulo n ([9]). Let p be an odd prime. It is known that there is a primitive root mod p , call it g ; either g or $g + p$ is a primitive root mod p^2 and so it is a primitive root mod p^a for each $a > 2$ (Theorem 7.2.10 in [9]).

Definition 5.5. *Let*

$$h_p = \begin{cases} g & \text{if } g \text{ is a primitive root mod } p^a, \text{ for any } a \in \mathbf{Z}^+; \\ g + p & \text{if } g + p \text{ is a primitive root mod } p^a, \text{ for any } a \in \mathbf{Z}^+ \end{cases}$$

We prove the following Lemma, regarding h_p .

Lemma 5.6. *$h_p - 1$ is invertible mod p^a , for any $a \in \mathbf{Z}^+$.*

Proof. We take $g \in \{2, 3, \dots, p - 1\}$.

Suppose $h_p = g$ is the primitive root mod p which is also a primitive root mod p^2 . Then

$$0 < g - 1 < p - 2$$

and so $h_p - 1 = g - 1$ is invertible mod p^a , for each $a \in \mathbf{Z}^+$.

Now assume $h_p = g + p$. Then $p < g + p < 2p$ and so $p \leq g + p - 1 < 2p - 1$. If $p = g + p - 1$ then $g = 1$ and so g is not a primitive root.

So, in either case, $h_p - 1$ is invertible mod p^a , for any $a \in \mathbf{Z}^+$. The proof of Lemma 5.6 is complete. \square

Resuming the proof of Theorem 5.4, we will construct a family of infinitely many SHQ's for each h_p . Let $c \geq 2$. We let $(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{c-1}}, *)$ be the affine quandle ([6], [11]) over the integers modulo p^{c-1} , with $a * b \equiv h_p \cdot a - (h_p - 1) \cdot b \pmod{p^{c-1}}$, for all $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. We prove that the profile of $(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{c-1}}, *)$ is equal to $(1, (p - 1) \cdot p^0, \dots, (p - 1) \cdot p^{c-2})$, for every $c \geq 2$.

Because $h_p - 1$ is invertible, $(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{c-1}}, *)$ is latin, hence connected. Then its profile is equal to the cycle structure of any of its right translations, say R_0 . Note $R_0^t(1) = h_p^t$, for each $t \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Indeed, $R_0(1) = h_p \cdot 1 = h_p$, and if $R_0^t(1) = h_p^t$, for a given $t \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then $R_0^{t+1}(1) = R_0(R_0^t(1)) = h_p \cdot h_p^t = h_p^{t+1}$. Now, we check the profile of $(\mathbb{Z}_p, *)$ is $(1, p - 1)$. Indeed $R_0(0) = 0$ and as h_p is a primitive root modulo p , the least $t \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $R_0^t(1) \equiv 1 \pmod{p} \Leftrightarrow h_p^t \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ is $t = |\mathbb{Z}_p^\times| = p - 1$. Lastly, assuming the profile of $(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{c-1}}, *)$ is

$(1, (p-1) \cdot p^0, \dots, (p-1) \cdot p^{c-2})$, we prove the profile of $(\mathbb{Z}_{p^c}, *)$ is $(1, (p-1) \cdot p^0, \dots, (p-1) \cdot p^{c-1})$, for each $c \geq 2$. We regard $(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{c-1}}, *)$ as a subquandle of $(\mathbb{Z}_{p^c}, *)$ via the embedding and $*$ preserving map induced by

$$\begin{aligned} T : \mathbf{Z} &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Z} \\ z &\mapsto pz \end{aligned} .$$

On one hand, given $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$R_0(x) \equiv y \pmod{p^{c-1}} \Rightarrow R_0(x) \cdot p \equiv y \cdot p \pmod{p^c} \Leftrightarrow h_p \cdot x \cdot p \equiv y \cdot p \pmod{p^c} \Leftrightarrow R_0(x \cdot p) \equiv y \cdot p \pmod{p^c},$$

i.e., $T(z) = pz$ maps cycles of $R_0|_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^{c-1}}}$ onto cycles of $R_0|_{\mathbb{Z}_{p^c}}$. On the other hand, as h_p is a primitive root modulo p^c , the least $t \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $R_0^t(1) \equiv 1 \pmod{p^c} \Leftrightarrow h_p^t \equiv 1 \pmod{p^c}$ is $t = (p-1) \cdot p^{c-1}$, as $|\mathbb{Z}_{p^c}^\times| = (p-1) \cdot p^{c-1}$. Thus we have proved that the profile of $(\mathbb{Z}_{p^c}, *)$ is $(1, (p-1) \cdot p^0, \dots, (p-1) \cdot p^{c-1})$, for each $c \geq 2$. □

5.4 Questions for Future Work.

1. Are there other SHQ's besides those from the families of linear Alexander quandles above (Theorems 5.3 and 5.4)?
2. How many (non-isomorphic) SHQ's are there per cardinality of the underlying set?
3. Generalize SHQ's to quandles with the same sort of profile (i.e., the shorter lengths divide the longer ones) but repeats are allowed. What can be said about such quandles? Are they connected?
4. Let $(X, *)$, be an SHQ whose profile is $prof(X, *) = (1, \ell, \ell(\ell+1), \dots, \ell(\ell+1)^{c-2})$. Is there a way of extending $(X, *)$ to a quandle $(Y, *)$ whose profile is $prof(Y, *) = (1, \ell, \ell(\ell+1), \dots, \ell(\ell+1)^{c-2}, \ell(\ell+1)^{c-1})$ and $(X, *)$ is a subquandle of $(Y, *)$?

References

- [1] J. Bojarczuk, P. Lopes, *Quandles at finite temperatures III*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications, **14(3)** (2005), 275–373.
- [2] E. Brieskorn, *Automorphic sets and braids and singularities*, Contemp. Math. **78** (1988), 45–115.
- [3] F. M. Dionísio, P. Lopes, *Quandles at finite temperatures II*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications, **12(2)** (2003), 1041–1092.
- [4] C. Hayashi, *Canonical forms for operation tables of finite connected quandles*, Comm. Algebra **41 (9)** (2013), 3340–3349.
- [5] D. Joyce, *A classifying invariant of knots, the knot quandle*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **23** (1982), 37–65.
- [6] T. Kajiwara, C. Nakayama, *A large orbit in a finite affine quandle*, Yokohama Math. J. **62** (2016), 25–29.
- [7] Kamada, S., Tamaru, H., Wada, K., *On classification of quandles of cyclic type*, Tokyo J. Math. **39(1)** (2016), 157–171.
- [8] L. H. Kauffman, *Knots and physics*, 4th edition, Series on Knots and Everything **53** (2013), World Scientific Publishing Co.
- [9] R. Kumanduri, C. Romero, *Number Theory with Computer Applications*, Prentice Hall, New Jersey (1998).

- [10] A. Lages and P. Lopes, Quandles of cyclic type with several fixed points, *Electron. J. Combin.* **26(3)** (2019), P3.42.
- [11] A. Lages, P. Lopes, *A proof of Euler's theorem via quandles*, INTEGERS, **22** (2022), #A44.
- [12] A. Lages, P. Lopes, and P. Vojtěchovský, *A sufficient condition for a quandle to be Latin*, J. Combin. Des., **30 (4)** (2022), 251–259.
- [13] P. Lopes, D. Roseman, *On finite racks and quandles*, Comm. Algebra **34** (2006), 371–406.
- [14] P. Lopes, M. Singh, *Constructing an infinite family of quandles from a quandle*, arXiv:2211.13360, submitted
- [15] S. V. Matveev, *Distributive groupoids in knot theory*, Sb. Math. **47(1)** (1984), 73–83.
- [16] L. Vendramin, *Doubly transitive groups and cyclic quandles*, J. Math. Soc. **69(3)** (2017), 1051- 1057.
- [17] L. Vendramin, Rig, a GAP package for racks, quandles, knots, virtual knots, Nichols algebras. Available at <https://github.com/gap-packages/rig/>.
- [18] T. Watanabe. On connected quandles with the profile $\{1, l, l\}$ or $\{1, l, l, l\}$. *Yokohama Mathematical Journal*, 61:29–39, 2015.
- [19] T. Watanabe. The structure of connected quandles with the profile $\{1, l, l\}$ or $\{1, l, l, l\}$ and its inner group. *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, 26 1750001, 2017.
- [20] T. Watanabe. On the structure of the profile of finite connected quandles. *Math. J. Okayama Univ.*, 61: 85–98, 2019.