
Prompt Mixing in Diffusion Models using the Black Scholes Algorithm

Divya Kothandaraman, Ming Lin, Dinesh Manocha
Department of Computer Science
University of Maryland College Park, USA

Abstract

We introduce a novel approach for prompt mixing, aiming to generate images at the intersection of multiple text prompts using pre-trained text-to-image diffusion models. At each time step during diffusion denoising, our algorithm forecasts predictions w.r.t. the generated image and makes informed text conditioning decisions. To do so, we leverage the connection between diffusion models (rooted in non-equilibrium thermodynamics) and the Black-Scholes model for pricing options in Finance, and draw analogies between the variables in both contexts to derive an appropriate algorithm for prompt mixing using the Black Scholes model. Specifically, the parallels between diffusion models and the Black-Scholes model enable us to leverage properties related to the dynamics of the Markovian model derived in the Black-Scholes algorithm. Our prompt-mixing algorithm is data-efficient, meaning it does not need additional training. Furthermore, it operates without human intervention or hyperparameter tuning. We highlight the benefits of our approach by comparing it qualitatively and quantitatively to other prompt mixing techniques, including linear interpolation, alternating prompts, step-wise prompt switching, and CLIP-guided prompt selection across various scenarios such as single object per text prompt, multiple objects per text prompt and objects against backgrounds. Code is available at <https://github.com/divyakraman/BlackScholesDiffusion2024>.

1 Introduction

Text-to-image diffusion models [13, 14] such as Imagen [33] by Google, Firefly [2] by Adobe, DALL-E [7] by Microsoft, and Stable Diffusion [31, 11] by Stability AI can produce photorealistic images from textual descriptions and are typically trained on vast amounts of data using substantial computational resources. The latest versions of these models (as of May 2024) have seen significant improvements in comparison to their initial versions, attributed to various factors, such as increased training data, enhanced text understanding networks, improved image generation networks, and optimized strategies. Data efficient solutions [36], leveraging pre-trained diffusion models, can enhance results without requiring additional data collection. Prompt engineering [37] is one effective approach, involving techniques such as rephrasing prompts to improve model generalization. Another method is using large language models (LLMs) [23, 38] to parse complex prompts and determine useful priors for image generation. Attention maps [9] and prompt mixing techniques [27, 5] also contribute to better results.

Prompt mixing becomes particularly valuable when aiming to generate images that blend different text-image concepts i.e. when aiming to generate images at the intersection [27, 30, 28] of different text-image concepts. For instance, consider generating an image resembling a hybrid of a pink cat and a dog. Current diffusion models [2, 33, 7, 11] excel at straightforwardly combining individual text prompts to create visually appealing combinations. However, these capabilities are often restricted to advanced model versions (version 3) and rely on large-data techniques.




Figure 1: Our method’s results (**Black Scholes**, last column) are presented alongside comparisons to prior work. Vanilla stable diffusion (SD) struggles to capture clear characteristics of individual text prompts (notably missing distinct features such as those of the parrot, cat, dog/penguin, and sunset/penguin). Linear interpolation performs poorly due to non-linear manifolds. Alternating sampling and step-wise switching yield low-quality results with artifacts, primarily because they lack intelligent prompt selection during denoising steps (missing characteristics of pizza, artifacts in cat/muffin and dog/penguin mixing, sunset/northern lights not well captured). CLIP-min exhibits bias issues by not modeling diffusion denoising dynamics and prompt selection effectively, which hinders fore-sighted decision making, the generated images are biased towards one of the text prompts. In contrast, our Black-Scholes model generates realistic images that meticulously balance and preserve the characteristics of each individual text prompt. The images are from set 1, set 2, set 3 and set 4 (Refer Section 5.1) respectively.

Data efficient prompt mixing techniques can enhance image generation without additional data. While linear interpolation [17] of text embeddings from individual prompts is a simple approach, it may not be optimal due to the highly non-linear nature of the text-image manifold and potential bias issues. Alternatives include switching between prompts during diffusion denoising, either by alternating [18] or using a step-wise technique [27]. However, these methods often require human involvement and careful prompt engineering for optimal results.

The question arises: what’s the best way to switch between text prompts for prompt-mixing? An automated approach considers the model’s varying capabilities with different prompts. While attention maps [15, 9] and layout guidance![42, 10] methods excel at guiding the model toward distinct scene entities, they may not be as effective for blending concepts within the same entity. To address this, during each step of diffusion denoising, the network should automatically focus on the text prompt that corresponds to the image’s deficiencies.

Main contributions. In this paper, we introduce a novel approach to prompt mixing by integrating concepts from economics and finance. Our objective is to leverage pretrained diffusion models for prompt-mixing to generate images at the intersection of various text-image manifolds. During each step of the diffusion denoising process, our method dynamically conditions on the text prompt that

requires the highest level of attention. To achieve this, our algorithm assesses the ‘cost’ associated with each relevant text prompt and selects the optimal conditions for the subsequent step of image generation based on the ‘cost’ that requires maximum optimization.

Key Insight: The inspiration for our approach lies in non-equilibrium thermodynamics [35], which is at the core of the development of diffusion models. These models share a conceptual foundation with the Black Scholes model[26], a Nobel Prize-winning mathematical framework extensively employed in financial markets for pricing European call options[8]. The denoising steps within a diffusion model, aimed at image generation, constitute a Markovian time-series. In our analogy, the image itself represents a valuable ‘stock’ or ‘asset’ that we aim to ‘purchase’ (or generate) at the most favorable ‘cost’ (or alignment with the text prompts). During each step of the diffusion denoising process, we extrapolate the generate latents to compute the ‘stock’ prices at the corresponding time-step, and use the properties of diffusion models to compute the various variables involved in the Black Scholes algorithm. Consequently, we leverage the Black Scholes model to predict a score for each text prompt. This score serves as an indicator of how the image should be conditioned in the subsequent timestep. By adopting this approach, our model dynamically focuses on aspects that require *attention*, ultimately generating an image that optimally satisfies all relevant text prompts.

We perform experiments on prompts with varying complexities to assess our method’s ability to seamlessly blend different objects and backgrounds across scenarios. Through qualitative and quantitative comparisons against several baselines-such as linear interpolation, prompt switching, and CLIP score-we highlight the superiority of our approach.

2 Related work

Prompt mixing. Prompt mixing is a technique where different text prompts are used at various steps during the diffusion denoising process. Patashnik et al. [27] follow a step-wise approach, while Aerial Diffusion [18] alternates between prompts to create semantically consistent aerial-view images. Tools such as Image Mixer Diffusion [28] and CLIP Guided Image Mixing [30] follow similar techniques of prompt switching to blend multiple text prompts. However, these methods often require complex hyperparameter tuning.

Mixing step. The mixing time or mixing step [22] of a Markov chain refers to the duration it takes for the chain to reach its steady-state distribution. Mixing time has found applications in image editing [46] and synthesizing out-of-distribution (OOD) images [45]. When switching between prompts using mixing time, a step-wise approach is followed, akin to the work by Patashnik et al. [27]. The advantage of mixing-time approaches over the method proposed by Patashnik et al. [27] is that it mathematically determines the optimal switching time without requiring complex hyperparameter tuning. To approximate the mixing time, it estimates the radius of the latent space. However, there are a few limitations to these methods. Firstly, most of these approaches are applied to diffusion models trained on relatively small, problem-specific datasets. Secondly, identifying clear boundaries on large-scale foundation models remains an unsolved challenge. Additionally, these methods lack the flexibility to choose the most optimal prompt at each timestep.

Understanding the latent space. Tangential to our work, there has been substantial work [16, 1, 12] on exploring the latent space. These investigations have yielded valuable insights and practical solutions for downstream tasks such as image editing and manipulation applications [44, 34, 21, 43, 29]. Furthermore, a deeper understanding of the latent space within diffusion models has led to advancements in various methods [31, 21, 40].

3 Background

3.1 The Black Scholes Model

In this section, we provide a brief overview of the Black Scholes pricing model [26] used to determine the price of European call options of assets. which is used to determine the price of European call options on assets. In simple terms, a European call option allows an investor to lock in the price of an asset at any time but permits stock purchase (if desired) only upon expiration. Regardless of whether the stock price moves favorably or unfavorably over time, this option structure remains

consistent. Investors rely on the Black-Scholes model to predict stock prices over time and make informed decisions about the optimal timing for stock purchases. The Black Scholes formula involves 5 key variables:

1. Underlying stock price or spot price S : This represents the current price of the asset.
2. Strike price K : The strike price is the cost of the asset at the time of expiry.
3. Time to expiration t : It measures the time difference between the current moment and the expiry time.
4. Volatility σ : Volatility reflects the variation in prices of the asset.
5. Risk free rate r : The risk-free rate is the minimum return on an investment when the investor faces zero risks.

To obtain the **Black Scholes score** of purchasing an asset, the spot price S is first multiplied by the standard normal probability distribution function. From this result, to obtain the final cost C , the strike price K multiplied by the cumulative standard distribution function is subtracted. Mathematically,

$$SN(d_1) - Ke^{-rt}N(d_2), \quad (1)$$

where

$$d_1 = \frac{\log \frac{S}{K} + (r + \frac{\sigma^2}{2})(t)}{\sigma\sqrt{t}}, d_2 = d_1 - \sigma\sqrt{t}. \quad (2)$$

Assumptions made by the Black Scholes Model. The Black Scholes model makes five assumptions: (i) No dividends are paid out during the life of an option, (ii) **Market movements are somewhat random**, (iii) There are no transaction costs in buying the asset, (iv) **The volatility and risk free rate of the underlying asset are known and constant**, (v) **The returns of the underlying asset are normally distributed.**

3.2 Relation to Diffusion Models

The main concept behind diffusion models [13] involves iteratively adding small amounts of random Gaussian noise to transform an initial photorealistic image x_0 to noise $x_T \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ in T steps. This process is known as the *forward process*. The converse of the theorem states that by starting from random noise $x_T \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$ and refining it iteratively for T steps, we can generate a photorealistic image x_0 . Since diffusion is a gradual process, the value of T is typically large. At each intermediate timestep, $t \in \{0 \dots T\}$, x_t satisfies $x_t = \sqrt{\alpha_t}x_0 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t}\epsilon_t$. $0 = \alpha_T < \alpha_{T-1} \dots < \alpha_1 < \alpha_0 = 1$ are the hyperparameters of the diffusion schedule [13] and $\epsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$. To obtain x_{t-1} at each refinement step, the neural network $f_\theta(x_t, t)$ is applied along with the corresponding random Gaussian noise perturbation. In other words, during each step of diffusion denoising, the known variance in added noise follows a Gaussian distribution [13]. In finance, the volatility refers to the standard deviation in the way stock prices change from one time instant to the next. **The mathematical description of diffusion models, derived from non-equilibrium thermodynamics [35], shares similarities with the derivation of the Black-Scholes model [26] used in pricing European call options within financial markets. Both models emerge from similar assumptions and conditions, underpinned by a shared mathematical structure.** Assumptions (i) and (iii) made by the Black Scholes model, which talk about dividends and transaction costs, are irrelevant to diffusion models.

4 Prompt Mixing using Black Scholes Model

4.1 Problem Formulation

Consider a set of N text prompts denoted as P_1, \dots, P_N and T diffusion denoising steps. Note that text-to-image diffusion architectures often include a text encoder that maps the text prompt to a joint text-image space. Starting from random noise and conditioned on the embedding in the text-image space, the neural network generates the final image.

Our objective is to generate an image that aligns with multiple text prompts simultaneously i.e. at the intersection of the various text-image manifolds of the diffusion models. We pose this task as a




Figure 2: We present more results of our method along with comparisons. Vanilla SD fails to capture clear characteristics of individual text prompts, omitting distinct features such as those related to avocado/raccoon, muffin, parrot, and oil painting style. Linear interpolation generates images not consistent with the prompts, due to issues with non-linear manifolds. CLIP Min. generates images biased towards one of the prompts. Alt. and Step prompt selection methods suffer from artifacts and are not very successful in blending the characteristics of objects corresponding to the individual text prompts - the avocado/raccoon, muffin/dog are not blended well. In the parrot/dog image, the characteristics of the parrot are missing. Alt. generates artifacts in the Times Square/ oil painting image, while Times Square is not characterized well in the image generated by Step. In contrast, the Black-Scholes model adeptly overcomes these limitations, generating realistic images that meticulously balance and preserve the unique characteristics of each individual text prompt. The images are from set 1, set 2, set 3 and set 4 (Refer Section 5.1) respectively.

prompt mixing [27] problem. During each step of diffusion denoising, our aim is to select the most relevant text prompt (or the text prompt with respect to which the image requires further refining) for conditioning the model, ensuring the generation of an optimal image satisfying all individual text prompts.

4.2 Method

Selecting the most effective text prompt to condition a text-to-image diffusion model can be approached in various ways. Naively switching [18, 27] between prompts is sub-optimal due to the significant human effort involved. Moreover, it fails to address the critical issue of prioritizing the most relevant prompt in an automatic manner. Alternatively, one can compute the CLIP score at each step and move toward the text prompt with the lowest CLIP score. While this approach is reasonable, it overlooks essential factors related to the dynamics [13] of the diffusion denoising process, which significantly impact the final image generation. Leveraging the Black Scholes model allows us to model these dynamics effectively.

We utilize the CLIP score to quantify the “price” of the generated image, treating it as analogous to a stock. The CLIP score has been widely used to measure text-image alignment, making it a suitable metric for evaluating how well our generated image aligns with each text prompt. Consider diffusion denoising at step i out of total steps T . We derive the relevant variables for Black Scholes formula as follows:

1. Underlying stock price S : The underlying stock price corresponds to the current value of the asset. Let z_t represent the predicted latents at timestep t . We denote $z_{0,t}$ as the latents of the final predicted image extrapolated from z_t . In other words, if the denoising process were to proceed in a straightforward manner following the same direction as computed for z_t , the latents of the final predicted image would be $z_{0,t}$. The underlying stock price, denoted as S , is determined by the CLIP score with respect to text prompt P_p . This CLIP score can be computed using the image decoded from $z_{0,t}$. To maintain values within the range of 0 to 100, we multiply the CLIP score by 100.
2. Strike price K : This represents the estimated asset price at expiry, following the last step of diffusion denoising, which predicts the final image. Based on our experience with diffusion models, where we found that a CLIP score of approximately 0.25 indicates reasonable text-image alignment, we opted for a constant value of 0.25.
3. Time to expiration t : $t = T - i$.
4. Volatility σ : We compute the volatility as the square root of the variance used by the diffusion denoising scheduler at timestep i .
5. Risk-free rate r : $r = 1/T$.

During each iteration i of diffusion denoising, we calculate the Black-Scholes score $b_{i,p}$ for each text prompt P_p , $p = 1 \dots N$ using the given variables and Equation 1 and Equation 2. Subsequently, during the next step of diffusion denoising, we condition on the text prompt with the lowest Black-Scholes score. This approach ensures that the denoising process prioritizes the text prompt associated with the minimum Black-Scholes score for generating an optimal image consistent with all prompts. Please refer to Algorithm 1 for a step-wise description of the method.

Algorithm 1 Black Scholes prompt mixing in backward diffusion enables the diffusion model generate an optimal image at the intersection of multiple text-image manifolds.

- 1: Initialize latents to random Gaussian noise. $z_T \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$; T is the total number of diffusion denoising steps.
 - 2: Use the text encoder ϵ to compute the CLIP embeddings of a (linguistic) combination of the text prompts $\{P\}$. $e \leftarrow \epsilon_p(\cup\{P\})$.
 - 3: Initialize Black Scholes variables, strike price $K = 0.25 \times 100$, $rater = 1/T$.
 - 4: // Diffusion denoising - image prediction/generation loop
 - 5: **for** $t \leftarrow T$ to 0 **do**
 - 6: $z_{t-1} = z_t - f(z_t, t, e)$; f is the diffusion UNet.
 - 7: Use z_{t-1} to compute $z_{0,t-1}$.
 - 8: Variance σ at step $t - 1 \leftarrow$ computed using the scheduler of the diffusion model.
 - 9: **for** $i \leftarrow 1$ to N **do**
 - 10: Spot price $S \leftarrow$ CLIP score With respect to text prompt P_i
 - 11: Time to expiration $\leftarrow t$
 - 12: Black Scholes score $b_{t,i}$ with respect to prompt P_i , at timestep t , \leftarrow use Equation 1,2.
 - 13: **end for**
 - 14: $P_{min} \leftarrow$ Text prompt corresponding to $\min\{B_{t,i}\}$, $i = 1 \dots N$
 - 15: $e \leftarrow \epsilon_p(P_{min})$
 - 16: **end for**
-

5 Experiments and Results

Metrics. In line with previous research [9], we assess performance using the CLIP Score. We use two variants of the CLIP Score: (i) CLIP-combined, which computes the score by comparing the generated image against a combination of individual text prompts; and (ii) CLIP-add, which averages

the CLIP scores for the generated image across each individual text prompt. To compute our metrics, we generate five images for each text prompt and use them all in the computation of our metrics.

Baselines. Our study examines several baselines: (i) **Vanilla SD:** Prompt engineering, we use the vanilla stable diffusion model and condition it on a single text prompt effectively describing all individual text prompts, (ii) **Lin. Interp.:** Direct combination of text embeddings, achieved through linear interpolation between text embeddings [17]. This method equally weights the text embeddings associated with each text prompt. (iii) Switching between text prompts. We consider two variations here: (iii-a) **Step:** Following Patashnik et. al. [27], we use one text prompt for the initial 7th to 17th denoising steps and then switch to the other text prompt for the remaining steps, (iii-b) **Alt.:** Following Kothandaraman et. al. [18], we alternate between the two text prompts. (iv) **CLIP Min.:** Score-based combination, denoted as CLIP-min, where we select the text prompt corresponding to the lowest CLIP score from the previous denoising iteration.

Backbone architecture. We use the Stable Diffusion 2.1 backbone model in all our experiments. There is no training involved, we use the pretrained model to directly perform inference.

5.1 Experimental Settings

We consider the following experimental settings:

Set 1: Simple text prompts with single objects. In this experiment, we validate our approach using straightforward scenarios, we use two text prompts, each describing one class. Specifically, we consider 17 classes: [‘a rock’, ‘a coffee mug’, ‘a cute dog’, ‘a pink cat’, ‘a teddy bear’, ‘a robot’, ‘an alien’, ‘an avocado’, ‘a cute raccoon’, ‘a corgi dog’, ‘a parrot’, ‘a car’, ‘a squirrel’, ‘a cute rabbit’, ‘pizza’, ‘muffin’, ‘icecream’], and construct $17c_2 = 136$ text prompts.

Set 2: Multiple objects per text prompt. To add complexity, blend multiple objects, in the presence of additional objects in the scene. The goal of this experiment is to assess the models’ capabilities in blending the right objects in the scene, and preserving the characteristics of the other objects. We work with three classes of objects: [‘a basket’, ‘a teapot’], [‘apples’, ‘bananas’, ‘a cute cat’, ‘a cute dog’, ‘muffins’], [‘a table’, ‘a carpet’, ‘a bed’] and construct combinations using the first and second set and the second and third set. Additionally, we include the data point “a cat in a bathtub” and “a corgi dog in a bathtub,” resulting in a total of 71 prompts.

Set 3: Object actions against backgrounds (prompt mixing w.r.t. object). We investigate the model’s ability to morph objects while considering object-level action information and scene or background context. We consider the following backgrounds, [‘walking in Times Square’, ‘skiing in Times Square’, ‘walking in a beautiful garden’, ‘surfing on the beach’, ‘eating watermelon on the beach’, ‘sitting on a sofa on the beach’, ‘watching northern lights’, ‘watching sunset at a beach’, ‘admiring the opera house in Sydney’, ‘sleeping in a cozy bedroom’, ‘admiring a beautiful waterfall in a forest’, ‘walking in a cherry blossom garden’, ‘walking in a colorful autumn forest’, ‘flying in the sky at sunset’]. The objects are [‘a kangaroo’, ‘a cute cat’, ‘a corgi dog’, ‘a parrot’, ‘a teddy bear’, ‘a penguin’]. In total, we create 210 prompts.

Set 4: Object performing action against a background (prompt mixing w.r.t. background). In this experiment, we explore how the model blends global information and backgrounds while considering objects in various scenarios. We consider the objects [‘a kangaroo’, ‘a cute cat’, ‘a corgi dog’, ‘a parrot’, ‘a teddy bear’, ‘a penguin’]. The backgrounds and style prompts are [‘walking in Times Square’, ‘sunset’, ‘van gogh style’, ‘oil painting’, ‘a garden with tulips’, ‘northern lights’]. Overall, we have 90 prompts in this experiment.

Method	CLIP-combined	CLIP-add
Vanilla	0.3463	0.3012
Linear Int.	0.2885	0.2778
Alt. Samp.	0.3445	0.3098
CLIP Min.	0.3195	0.2955
Step	0.322	0.2997
Black Scholes	0.3469	0.3112

Table 1: We follow prior work [9, 3] and analyze using CLIP Scores. The Black Scholes algorithm for prompt mixing in diffusion models achieves superior results, compared to other prompt-mixing techniques, as also evidenced by the qualitative results.

5.2 Analysis and Comparisons

We show qualitative results in Figure 1 and Figure 2. More qualitative results can be found in the appendix. The quantitative comparisons are in Figure 1. Our quantitative results are averaged across the metrics for each of the four sets, with 680, 355, 1050, 450 images respectively. A detailed analysis of our method and benefits over prior art is as follows:

- **Vanilla stable diffusion (SD):** Vanilla stable diffusion v2.1 can create plausible combinations of the provided text prompts. However, due to hallucination issues, it is constrained by the distributions it learned during training. As a result, it struggles to capture clear characteristics of individual text prompts. For example, in Figure 1, the distinct features of the parrot, cat, dog/penguin, and sunset/penguin are missing. Similarly, in Figure 2, the avocado/raccoon, muffin, parrot, and oil painting style lack distinct characteristics. Despite this, the generated images align with the broad text prompt descriptions, resulting in a considerable CLIP score.
- **Linear interpolation [17]:** Linear interpolation is ill-suited for generating an image that combines two text prompts. This limitation arises from the non-linear nature of the text-image space. While linear interpolation assumes a simple linear relationship, the actual mapping between textual descriptions and image features is intricate. Furthermore, linear interpolation can only traverse a straight path between two points in the latent space, failing to capture nuanced variations or produce novel features beyond the endpoints.
- **Alternating Sampling [18]:** Alternating sampling generates features related to both text prompts in the final output image. However, the objects produced suffer from poor definition, unrealistic appearance, and low quality in many instances. Artifacts are prevalent, and several generated images appear implausible. The underlying issue lies in the algorithm’s routine alternation between the two text prompts during diffusion denoising, without adequately emphasizing the most relevant prompt at each step. Despite these limitations, the model achieves a reasonable CLIP Score by broadly aligning with the overall image description, akin to vanilla denoising.
- **Step-wise switching [18]:** Step-wise switching is similarly ineffective for the same reasons as Alternating sampling.
- **CLIP-min:** The CLIP-min results exhibit bias toward one of the text prompts in most cases, preventing the model from generating an image that aligns with the intersection of both prompts. This bias arises because the model overlooks the dynamics of diffusion denoising and instead selects the text prompt with the lowest CLIP score at each step, resulting in bias-related issues.
- **Black Scholes algorithm:** Our approach based on the Black-Scholes algorithm effectively generates realistic images that align with the intersection of the two text prompts. These images exhibit minimal unrealistic artifacts. Additionally, our algorithm successfully preserves individual characteristics corresponding to each text prompt. By modeling the dynamics of diffusion denoising, the algorithm strategically selects the optimal text prompt at each step, achieving a balanced synthesis of both prompts. Notably, our model attains superior quantitative results.

In summary, the Black-Scholes model outperforms all previous methods for prompt mixing by generating realistic images that meticulously preserve and balance the characteristics of each individual text prompt.

6 Conclusions, Limitations, and Future Work

In this paper, we introduce a novel perspective on prompt mixing, inspired by a probabilistic prediction model and its theoretical framework from the domain of Finance. We draw parallels between the Black-Scholes algorithm used in financial markets and diffusion models. From this comparison, we derive an algorithm for prompt mixing, aiming to generate images that align with multiple text prompts. Our extensive analysis showcase both qualitative and quantitative advantages of our approach. Our study has a few limitations, which opens avenues for future work: (i) We follow prior work [9, 3] by using CLIP scores for quantitative evaluation. However, CLIP scores may not be the optimal evaluation strategy, as they can yield similar scores for images with significant quality




Figure 3: Image variations from our method using the Black Scholes model for a single prompt, starting from different random Gaussian noise initializations.

differences. Due to its learned nature, CLIP is vulnerable to hallucination and bias issues related to its training data. Consequently, it may assign similar scores to images that exhibit general characteristics of the text prompt, without focusing on specific details and features of the scene entities described in the text prompt. To address this, future research could explore more advanced image-language models [25] for evaluation; the development of good metrics for the evaluation of generative models is a widespread issue, and could help better quantify the performance of our method. (ii) Our study focuses on prompt mixing with two prompts. Investigating the impact of using more than two prompts could be a promising direction for future work. (iii) While our method leverages diffusion models, it may not be applicable to non-Gaussian [6] diffusion models or one-step diffusion models [41], as demonstrated in recent research.

Moreover, future work on integrating our approach with recent advancements in attention guidance, layout modeling, and related areas could extend its applicability to critical downstream tasks such as image editing [17, 39, 4], compositionality [24, 3], handling complex prompts [23], text-based view synthesis [18, 19] and personalized image generation [32, 20]. While recognizing the success of recent text-to-image models like Dalle-3, Stable Diffusion 3, Firefly 3, and Imagen 3 in generating images from diverse and complex text prompts, we introduce a data-efficient approach to prompt mixing. Our method offers advantages over previous techniques for prompt-mixing, and future extension could explore integrating our model’s benefits into state-of-the-art text-to-image architectures for data efficient prompt mixing and downstream applications.

Societal impact. While our method offers valuable tools for generative AI-based content creation, its potential misuse underscores the need for research in watermarking and deepfake detection to mitigate risks.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported in part by ARO Grants W911NF2110026, W911NF2310046, W911NF2310352 and Army Cooperative Agreement W911NF2120076.

References

- [1] Rameen Abdal, Yipeng Qin, and Peter Wonka. Image2stylegan: How to embed images into the stylegan latent space? In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 4432–4441, 2019.
- [2] Adobe. Adobe firefly. <https://firefly.adobe.com/>, 2024.

- [3] Aishwarya Agarwal, Srikrishna Karanam, KJ Joseph, Apoorv Saxena, Koustava Goswami, and Balaji Vasan Srinivasan. A-star: Test-time attention segregation and retention for text-to-image synthesis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 2283–2293, 2023.
- [4] Omri Avrahami, Dani Lischinski, and Ohad Fried. Blended diffusion for text-driven editing of natural images. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 18208–18218, 2022.
- [5] Yogesh Balaji, Seungjun Nah, Xun Huang, Arash Vahdat, Jiaming Song, Qinsheng Zhang, Karsten Kreis, Miika Aittala, Timo Aila, Samuli Laine, et al. ediff-i: Text-to-image diffusion models with an ensemble of expert denoisers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.01324*, 2022.
- [6] Arpit Bansal, Eitan Borgnia, Hong-Min Chu, Jie Li, Hamid Kazemi, Furong Huang, Micah Goldblum, Jonas Geiping, and Tom Goldstein. Cold diffusion: Inverting arbitrary image transforms without noise. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024.
- [7] James Betker, Gabriel Goh, Li Jing, Tim Brooks, Jianfeng Wang, Linjie Li, Long Ouyang, Juntang Zhuang, Joyce Lee, Yufei Guo, et al. Improving image generation with better captions. *Computer Science. https://cdn.openai.com/papers/dall-e-3.pdf*, 2(3):8, 2023.
- [8] Fischer Black and Myron Scholes. The pricing of options and corporate liabilities. In *World Scientific Reference on Contingent Claims Analysis in Corporate Finance: Volume 1: Foundations of CCA and Equity Valuation*, pages 3–21. World Scientific, 2019.
- [9] Hila Chefer, Yuval Alaluf, Yael Vinker, Lior Wolf, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Attend-and-excite: Attention-based semantic guidance for text-to-image diffusion models. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 42(4):1–10, 2023.
- [10] Jiaxin Cheng, Xiao Liang, Xingjian Shi, Tong He, Tianjun Xiao, and Mu Li. Layoutdiffuse: Adapting foundational diffusion models for layout-to-image generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.08908*, 2023.
- [11] Patrick Esser, Sumith Kulal, Andreas Blattmann, Rahim Entezari, Jonas Müller, Harry Saini, Yam Levi, Dominik Lorenz, Axel Sauer, Frederic Boesel, et al. Scaling rectified flow transformers for high-resolution image synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.03206*, 2024.
- [12] Rinon Gal, Or Patashnik, Haggai Maron, Amit H Bermano, Gal Chechik, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Stylegan-nada: Clip-guided domain adaptation of image generators. *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, 41(4):1–13, 2022.
- [13] Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:6840–6851, 2020.
- [14] Jonathan Ho, Chitwan Saharia, William Chan, David J Fleet, Mohammad Norouzi, and Tim Salimans. Cascaded diffusion models for high fidelity image generation. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 23(47):1–33, 2022.
- [15] Susung Hong, Gyuseong Lee, Wooseok Jang, and Seungryong Kim. Improving sample quality of diffusion models using self-attention guidance. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 7462–7471, 2023.
- [16] Tero Karras, Timo Aila, Samuli Laine, and Jaakko Lehtinen. Progressive growing of gans for improved quality, stability, and variation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.10196*, 2017.
- [17] Bahjat Kawar, Shiran Zada, Oran Lang, Omer Tov, Huiwen Chang, Tali Dekel, Inbar Mosseri, and Michal Irani. Imagic: Text-based real image editing with diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 6007–6017, 2023.
- [18] Divya Kothandaraman, Tianyi Zhou, Ming Lin, and Dinesh Manocha. Aerial diffusion: Text guided ground-to-aerial view translation from a single image using diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.11444*, 2023.
- [19] Divya Kothandaraman, Tianyi Zhou, Ming Lin, and Dinesh Manocha. Aerialbooth: Mutual information guidance for text controlled aerial view synthesis from a single image. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.15478*, 2023.
- [20] Nupur Kumari, Bingliang Zhang, Richard Zhang, Eli Shechtman, and Jun-Yan Zhu. Multi-concept customization of text-to-image diffusion. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1931–1941, 2023.
- [21] Mingi Kwon, Jaeseok Jeong, and Youngjung Uh. Diffusion models already have a semantic latent space. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.10960*, 2022.
- [22] David A Levin and Yuval Peres. *Markov chains and mixing times*, volume 107. American Mathematical Soc., 2017.
- [23] Long Lian, Boyi Li, Adam Yala, and Trevor Darrell. Llm-grounded diffusion: Enhancing prompt understanding of text-to-image diffusion models with large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.13655*, 2023.
- [24] Nan Liu, Shuang Li, Yilun Du, Antonio Torralba, and Joshua B Tenenbaum. Compositional visual generation with composable diffusion models. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 423–439. Springer, 2022.

- [25] Yujie Lu, Xianjun Yang, Xiujun Li, Xin Eric Wang, and William Yang Wang. Llm-score: Unveiling the power of large language models in text-to-image synthesis evaluation. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024.
- [26] Robert C Merton. Option pricing when underlying stock returns are discontinuous. *Journal of financial economics*, 3(1-2):125–144, 1976.
- [27] Or Patashnik, Daniel Garibi, Idan Azuri, Hadar Averbuch-Elor, and Daniel Cohen-Or. Localizing object-level shape variations with text-to-image diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 23051–23061, 2023.
- [28] Justin Pinkney. Image mixer diffusion. <https://www.justinpinkney.com/blog/2024/image-mixer-diffusion/>, 2024.
- [29] Konpat Preechakul, Nattanat Chatthee, Suttisak Wizadwongsa, and Supasorn Suwajanakorn. Diffusion autoencoders: Toward a meaningful and decodable representation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 10619–10629, 2022.
- [30] GitHub Repo. Clip guided images mixing with stable diffusion. https://github.com/TheDenk/images_mixing, 2023.
- [31] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 10684–10695, 2022.
- [32] Nataniel Ruiz, Yuanzhen Li, Varun Jampani, Yael Pritch, Michael Rubinstein, and Kfir Aberman. Dreambooth: Fine tuning text-to-image diffusion models for subject-driven generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 22500–22510, 2023.
- [33] Chitwan Saharia, William Chan, Saurabh Saxena, Lala Li, Jay Whang, Emily L Denton, Kamyar Ghasemipour, Raphael Gontijo Lopes, Burcu Karagol Ayan, Tim Salimans, et al. Photorealistic text-to-image diffusion models with deep language understanding. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:36479–36494, 2022.
- [34] Yujun Shen, Jinjin Gu, Xiaoou Tang, and Bolei Zhou. Interpreting the latent space of gans for semantic face editing. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 9243–9252, 2020.
- [35] Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Eric Weiss, Niru Maheswaranathan, and Surya Ganguli. Deep unsupervised learning using nonequilibrium thermodynamics. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 2256–2265. PMLR, 2015.
- [36] Zhendong Wang, Yifan Jiang, Huangjie Zheng, Peihao Wang, Pengcheng He, Zhangyang Wang, Weizhu Chen, Mingyuan Zhou, et al. Patch diffusion: Faster and more data-efficient training of diffusion models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024.
- [37] Sam Witteveen and Martin Andrews. Investigating prompt engineering in diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.15462*, 2022.
- [38] Tsung-Han Wu, Long Lian, Joseph E Gonzalez, Boyi Li, and Trevor Darrell. Self-correcting llm-controlled diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.16090*, 2023.
- [39] Binxin Yang, Shuyang Gu, Bo Zhang, Ting Zhang, Xuejin Chen, Xiaoyan Sun, Dong Chen, and Fang Wen. Paint by example: Exemplar-based image editing with diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 18381–18391, 2023.
- [40] Yongqi Yang, Ruoyu Wang, Zhihao Qian, Ye Zhu, and Yu Wu. Diffusion in diffusion: Cyclic one-way diffusion for text-vision-conditioned generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.08247*, 2023.
- [41] Tianwei Yin, Michaël Gharbi, Richard Zhang, Eli Shechtman, Fredo Durand, William T Freeman, and Taesung Park. One-step diffusion with distribution matching distillation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.18828*, 2023.
- [42] Guangcong Zheng, Xianpan Zhou, Xuewei Li, Zhongang Qi, Ying Shan, and Xi Li. Layoutdiffusion: Controllable diffusion model for layout-to-image generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 22490–22499, 2023.
- [43] Jiapeng Zhu, Yujun Shen, Deli Zhao, and Bolei Zhou. In-domain gan inversion for real image editing. In *European conference on computer vision*, pages 592–608. Springer, 2020.
- [44] Jun-Yan Zhu, Philipp Krähenbühl, Eli Shechtman, and Alexei A Efros. Generative visual manipulation on the natural image manifold. In *Computer Vision—ECCV 2016: 14th European Conference, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, October 11–14, 2016, Proceedings, Part V 14*, pages 597–613. Springer, 2016.
- [45] Ye Zhu, Yu Wu, Zhiwei Deng, Olga Russakovsky, and Yan Yan. Unseen image synthesis with diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.09213*, 2023.
- [46] Ye Zhu, Yu Wu, Zhiwei Deng, Olga Russakovsky, and Yan Yan. Boundary guided learning-free semantic control with diffusion models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024.




Figure 4: Vanilla stable diffusion (SD) is able to generate images satisfying both text prompts in many cases, however it misses out on the fine-grained characteristics of the individual text prompts. For instance, it misses out on the characteristics of the dog, parrot and ice-cream. Linear interpolation generates images that are not consistent with the text prompts. CLIP Min. generates images biased towards one of the text prompts. Alternating sampling and Step wise inference strategies generate images with a lot of artifacts, and the generated images are perceptually implausible in many cases. For instance, in order, the issues are: missing characteristics of dog, missing characteristics of coffee mug and artifacts in teddy bear, artifacts and missing characteristics of parrot, missing characteristics of teddy bear and artifacts in teddy bear, missing characteristics of parrot, missing characteristics of rabbit. Our Black Scholes method is able to generate images that capture the fine-grained characteristics of objects corresponding to both text prompts, and the generated images look realistic with minimal artifacts. The text prompts are from set 1.




Figure 5: Vanilla stable diffusion (SD) is able to generate images satisfying both text prompts in many cases, however it misses out on the fine-grained characteristics of the individual text prompts. For instance, it misses out on the characteristics of the dog, cat, corgi dog and basket/ teapot mixing. Linear interpolation generates images that are not consistent with the text prompts. CLIP Min. is biased towards one of the text prompts. Alternating sampling and Step wise inference strategies generate images with a lot of artifacts, and the generated images are perceptually implausible in many cases. For instance, in order, the issues are: artifacts in dog/banana and missing characteristics of dog, missing characteristics of cat, the corgi dog in the dog/apple image does not describe the dog as well as our Black Scholes method does, the dog is not well described in the fourth example, the teapot is not well described in the final image. Our method is able to generate images that capture the fine-grained characteristics of objects corresponding to both text prompts, and the generated images look realistic with minimal artifacts. The text prompts are from set 2.




Figure 6: Vanilla stable diffusion (SD) is able to generate images satisfying both text prompts in many cases, however it misses out on the fine-grained characteristics of the individual text prompts. For instance, in the first and fifth image, the characteristics of the teddy bear are missing, the second, third and fourth image do not describe the cat well, Linear interpolation generates images that are not consistent with the text prompts. CLIP Min is again biased towards one of the text prompts. Alternating sampling and Step wise inference strategies generate images with a lot of artifacts, and the generated images are perceptually implausible in many cases. Specifically, the first image does not describe the subjects well, the second and third images are reasonably generated but our Black Scholes method generates a clearer image of the penguin blended cat, and teddy bear blended cat, the results of Alt. for the fourth case has artifacts and Step does not describe the cat well, the teddy bear is not well described in the fifth image. Our Black Scholes method is able to generate images that capture the fine-grained characteristics of objects corresponding to both text prompts, and the generated images look realistic with minimal artifacts. The text prompts are from set 3.




Figure 7: Vanilla stable diffusion (SD) is able to generate images satisfying both text prompts in many cases, however it misses out on the fine-grained characteristics of the individual text prompts. The first two images are cartoon-ish, and Northern lights is not clearly depicted. The third image also misses a clear description of Northern lights. In the fourth image, some of the billboards in Times Square are green, but there is no sign of Northern lights. In the fifth image, the sunset is missing. Linear interpolation generates images that are not consistent with the text prompts. CLIP Min results are biased towards one of the prompts for all images, except the second one. Alternating sampling and Step wise inference strategies generate images with a lot of artifacts, and the generated images are perceptually implausible in many cases. In the first image, the kangaroo is not generated well (almost flying in Alt’s result, and the characteristics of the kangaroo are not well generated by Step). In the second image, the characteristics of the tulip garden are not well represented. In the third image, notice how our model generates the characteristics of the sunset and Northern lights better, while ensuring that they are blended well to form a realistic image. In the fourth image, Alt and Step miss out on the characteristics of Times Square and Northern lights respectively. In the fifth image, Alt and Step miss out on the characteristics of Times Square and the sunset respectively. Our Black Scholes method is able to generate images that capture the fine-grained characteristics of objects corresponding to both text prompts, and the generated images look realistic with minimal artifacts. The text prompts are from set 4.