Bring Adaptive Binding Prototypes to Generalized Referring Expression Segmentation

Weize Li, Zhicheng Zhao, Haochen Bai, Fei Su

Abstract-Referring Expression Segmentation (RES) has attracted rising attention, aiming to identify and segment objects based on natural language expressions. While substantial progress has been made in RES, the emergence of Generalized Referring Expression Segmentation (GRES) introduces new challenges by allowing expressions to describe multiple objects or lack specific object references. Existing RES methods, usually rely on sophisticated encoder-decoder and feature fusion modules, and are difficult to generate class prototypes that match each instance individually when confronted with the complex referent and binary labels of GRES. In this paper, reevaluating the differences between RES and GRES, we propose a novel Model with Adaptive Binding Prototypes (MABP) that adaptively binds queries to object features in the corresponding region. It enables different query vectors to match instances of different categories or different parts of the same instance, significantly expanding the decoder's flexibility, dispersing global pressure across all queries, and easing the demands on the encoder. Experimental results demonstrate that MABP significantly outperforms state-of-theart methods in all three splits on gRefCOCO dataset. Meanwhile, MABP also surpasses state-of-the-art methods on RefCOCO+ and G-Ref datasets, and achieves very competitive results on RefCOCO. Code is available at https://github.com/buptLwz/MABP.

Index Terms—Generalized referring expression segmentation, prototype learning, vision-language transformer, adaptive system.

I. INTRODUCTION

Referring Expression Segmentation (RES) is one of the most challenging tasks in multimodal information processing. Given an image and a natural language expression describing an instance in the image, RES aims to identify the corresponding object and generate a segmentation mask[1, 2]. RES demonstrates significant application potential in various fields, such as human-robot interaction[3] and image editing[4]. In recent years, substantial progress has been achieved, particularly on well-established datasets like ReferIt[5] and RefCOCO[6, 7]. These studies adhere to the classical rules of RES, in which expressions only describe a unique instance. To further expand the application range of RES, an extension beyond the classical rules has led to the introduction of the multi-targets RES dataset gRefCOCO and its corresponding benchmark, known as Generalized Referring Expression Segmentation (GRES)[8]. In contrast to RES, expressions within GRES may



Fig. 1. RES vs. GRES. Classic RES is designed to handle expressions that specify a single target object. In contrast, GRES extends this capability by supporting expressions that indicate an arbitrary number of target objects. For instance, GRES accommodates multi-target expressions like (b) and (c), as well as expressions indicating no target, as shown in (d). Notably, some multi-target expressions in GRES may even describe instances belonging to different classes like (c).

describe multiple objects or lack object references, presenting a new challenge to the RES.

Existed RES methods often use complex encoder-decoder systems and various feature fusion modules[9–14] to build the classical segmentation paradigm. Recently, the improvement of RES has been primarily driven by Transformers[1, 15–17], where a set of learnable query vectors are generated for each expression to serve as class prototypes for mask prediction. These methods emphasize a greedy approach, aiming to generate unique feature prototypes for all potential categories. However, they have achieved very limited success in GRES[8]. An intuitive issue is that although the expression describes multiple targets, GRES only provides binary labels for foreground and background without distinguishing between

The authors are with the Beijing Key Laboratory of Network System and Network Culture, Key Laboratory of Interactive Technology and Experience System Ministry of Culture and Tourism, School of Artificial Intelligence, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Beijing 100876, China (email: bupt_lwz@bupt.edu.cn; zhaozc@bupt.edu.cn; baihuplehpy@163.com; sufei@bupt.edu.cn)



Fig. 2. Comparison between the proposed adaptive binding prototypes and previous methods. (a) illustrates the naive pixel-wise classification approach commonly used in segmentation, exemplified by a linear layer serving as the classification head. (b) illustrates ReLA's[8] mask head, which utilizes downsampled ground truth (GT) as weights to aggregate the prediction masks generated by multiple queries. (c) introduces the proposed adaptive binding prototypes method. We divide the feature map into various regions and compute the loss separately, thereby constraining the queries to become more learnable class prototypes compared with the above two approaches.

different instances. The various combinations of instances greatly expand the potential number of classes in the dataset. Besides different target quantities, as illustrated in Fig. 1, a deeper distinction between RES and GRES is that some instances in an expression are of the same category (Fig. 1 (b)), while others belong to different categories (Fig. 1 (c)). When faced with samples containing instances of multiple categories, RES methods are easily influenced by the prior knowledge of pre-trained models that distinguish features of instances from different categories, while the loss function expects the encoder to encode them into similar features. This contradiction obviously increases the learning burden on the model.

As shown in Fig. 2, taking a single sample as an example, most RES methods resemble the naive scenario described in Fig. 2 (a), relying solely on a single class prototype (query in Transformer or convolution kernel) to summarize all foreground targets. The ReLA[8] (Fig. 2 (b)) utilizes a downsampled version of the ground truth to control the proportion of each query's mask in the final output, allowing for a certain tolerance to the inherent bias in pretrained model. However, from the loss perspective, different queries in ReLA only receive gradients of different scales, yet are still required to summarize all targets. As a result, the multi-modal features and queries will all exhibit pronounced spatial consistency to ensure the recognition of every target. Designing distinct feature prototypes for different instances should address this issue well. However, applying these to GRES is non-trivial, as it only provides labels for the entire expression, so that all references within the expression will be classified as foreground[8]. So it is challenging to guide queries to care different objects without the annotation supervision of every individual instances mentioned in the referent.

To address these issues, as illustrated in Fig. 2 (c), instead of excavating queries corresponding to different targets, we divide the feature map into various regions, making queries adaptively bind to the corresponding region's target features. We fully take advantage of the prior knowledge of pre-trained models, and facilitate the assignment of unique feature prototypes to different classes' instances or various regions within the same instance. From this prototype-based perspective, we propose a Model with Adaptive Binding Prototypes (MABP) for GRES, which mainly consists of a Query Generator, a Multi Modal Decoder (MMD), and a Regional Supervision Head (RSH). Our tight binding with regions enables the adaptive binding between different query vectors and instances of different categories, significantly expanding the decoder's flexibility, dispersing global pressure across all queries, and easing the heavy demands on the encoder.

In summary, our contributions are as follows:

- We propose a Regional Supervision Head that effectively achieves adaptive alignment between prototypes and various class instances, leading to improved performance in complex task scenarios involving multiple class instances.
- We introduce a Mixed Modal Decoder that facilitates the interaction of multi-modal features and context learning at a lower computational cost. This design exhibits notable advantages, particularly in handling no-target samples in GRES.
- We present a novel strategy of region-based queries via utilizing an end-to-end architecture that enables queries to bind with regions while maintaining the knowledge in pre-trained model. Our approach outperforms state-of-the-art (SOTA) models in three datasets on GRES and RES.

II. RELATED WORKS

RES and GRES aim to segment objects in images based on natural language references. Early works, such as [2] initially follows classical segmentation paradigms by concatenating text features and visual features to obtain segmentation masks. The success on REC [18–24]then inspires a series of two-stage methods [14, 25, 26], where candidate boxes are extracted and text features are used to select the target instances. Recently, Transformer-based approaches [1, 15, 17, 27–29] have been proposed and made significant progress. For example, [15, 30] utilize the Swin Transformer as the visual encoder, aggregating text and visual features through attention modules, enhancing the localization capability. However, they still follow the perpixel classification until MaskFormer[31], leads to the emergence of new mask classification-based methods [8, 32]. They predict a mask for each potential instance in the image and then perform classification at the mask level. Based on mask classification, CGFormer [32] stands out by incorporating contrastive learning.

However, the current RES only focus on "one expression, one instance" scenario, limiting the extension of RES to more generalized real-world situations. Therefore, new datasets such as gRefCOCO[8] and group RES[33] have been proposed and triggered a new task, GRES. Research on GRES is still in early stages, but continues to draw inspiration from the achievements of classical RES. ReLA[8] utilizes a weight matrix to aggregate masks produced by a normal mask classification model and achieves competitive results on gRefCOCO. The weight matrix is supervised by downsampled ground truth and selectively aggregate masks from the foreground region. Therefore, for all queries from the foreground region, they are considered equivalent global class prototypes, which reduces flexibility. In addition, the success of large language models has also brought new opportunities to RES and GRES. [34, 35] collect extensive datasets, and by pretraining and fine-tuning large language models, they have achieved better results than conventional approaches.

Semantic and Instance segmentation. The general semantic segmentation task can be summarized as the task of classifying each pixel in an image based on its visual semantics. One of the pioneering works is FCN[36], which constructs a symmetric encoding-decoding network by stacking convolutional modules. Further developments include U-Nets[37–39] and Deeplabs[40–43], which aggregate multiscale feature maps, significantly improving the performance. Beyond the pixel level, [44] proposes a human-like paradigm named StructToken, which progressively decodes fine-grained segmentation masks from initially coarse structured tokens and achieves significant advancements.

Unlike semantic segmentation, instance segmentation demands not only distinguishing between various categories in an image but also discerning different instances within the same category. Its strong correlation with object detection has inspired a series of two-stage methods[45-47], which segment object instances from detection boxes and have multitasking capabilities. After that, inspired by Deformable DETR[48] in object detection, MaskFormer[31] extends it into the segmentation. Built upon mask classification, MaskFormer utilizes a Transformer decoder to facilitate interactions between a set of learnable queries and visual features, thereby predicting masks and classifying them. While Max-deeplab[49] shares remarkable similarity with MaskFormer, it applies Softmax and argmax to the output, ensuring no overlap between masks. While they have good performance, both require Hungarian matching to bind prototypes to targets and discard queries with lower IoU, thus suppressing model efficiency.

Segmentation from Prototype View. In contrast to mainstream segmentation strategie, [50] have proposed a segmentation framework based on prototype view. Specifically, drawing inspiration from prototype learning, [50] posits that the role of the encoder and decoder is to pulling features of the same class closer while pushing features of different classes farther. The segmentation head only measures the distance between features and prototypes to category each pixel. Similarly, [51] also shifts the focus of segmentation onto prototypes, utilizing contrastive learning to update predefined class prototypes and accomplishing segmentation. From prototype view, the success of MaskFormer[31] can further substantiate the advancement of [50, 51]. The queries initialized in MaskFormer essentially serve as various feature prototypes, which engage in matching with the feature maps after decoding. Notably, MaskFormer allows overlapping output results, implying that the same instance can have multiple sets of prototypes and aligning with [50]. However, constrained by labels, [50] and [51] cannot effectively supervise the generation of prototypes; they can only be predefined using clustering methods, resulting in fixed prototypes without adaptive capabilities.

III. METHOD

The whole framework of our proposed MABP is shown in Fig 3 (a). First, we adapt the Feature Extractor to encode both images and reference expressions. The linguistic features are then fed into the Query Generator to combine with learnable embeddings, generating region-text-specific queries. Subsequently, the queries and linguistic features engage in multi-level interactions with visual features at various scales through MMD. Each decoding layer consists of three sets of MMD and one RSH to obtain intermediate results at each scale for deep supervision. Unlike prior Transformer-based methods like [1, 8, 15, 32], where queries typically maintain a fixed size and treat each feature map equally, we apply nearest upsampling to progressively increase the number of queries with the improvement of mask features resolution. Our framework emphasizes the relative stability of feature prototypes during scale changes while also bring flexibility to queries in learning local details, and allows queries to inherit knowledge learned at coarse granularity into the learning of fine-grained knowledge.

A. Feature extractors

Visual Features extractor. Following the previous work[8, 30, 32], we employ Swin Transformer [52] as the visual encoder. When given an input image $I \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times 3}$ with the size of $H \times W$, the encoder extracts its visual feature map at three stages, where each stage corresponds to an encoding block of the Swin Transformer with resolution 1/32, 1/16, and 1/8 of the original image. We then feed them into the pixel decoder for pixel-level decoding, which is constructed using the advanced Multi-Scale Deformable Attention Transformer Decoder[48].

Language Encoder. We employ BERT [53] as the language encoder following [8, 30, 32]. For an expression containing L words, we extract its linguistic feature, denoted as $e \in \mathbb{R}^{C^L}$, where C_L is the channel dimension. Additionally, we acquire word representations by excluding the last pooling layer, represented as $\mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times C_L}$.

B. Query generator

In previous work [1, 15, 32], influenced by achievements in object detection and semantic segmentation tasks, queries



Fig. 3. The overall architecture of proposed MABP. Initially, we utilize a feature extractor to get linguistic features and visual features respectively. The linguistic features are then combined with learnable region embeddings to generate region-text-specific queries via Query Generator. Then a set of Mixed Modal Decoders (MMD) are employed for these queries to interact gradually with visual features for reasoning. Finally, the decoded queries, along with visual and linguistic features, are fed into the Regional Supervision Head (RSH) to obtain prediction masks and No-Target indicator. (b) and (c) respectively illustrate the two branches of RSH, while d shows the detailed structure of MMD.

are often random initialized and repeated along the batch dimension. But unlike conventional tasks, the prior knowledge carried by expressions means GRES does not seek nor can it achieve greedy, universal feature prototypes. This makes the practice of sharing initial queries in mini-batch meaningless. Therefore, we construct a query generator that generate textregion-specific initialization queries.

Specifically, the model initializes a set of learnable embeddings $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_0 \times C}$ corresponding to various regions to learn prior knowledge about instances in different regions. Here, N_i represents the number of queries in decoding layer i, which is also the number of regions, and N_0 denotes the initial total number of regions. Given text features $\mathbf{l} \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times C_L}$ obtained by BERT encoding, where L is the length, we use \mathbf{r} as a query to generate specific region-level features from text features across word dimensions. It collects word features of interest from different regions, forming region-text-specific initialization queries \mathbf{Q}_0 as illustrated in Fig. 4.



Fig. 4. The structure of proposed Query Generator.

Here, ω_q , ω_k , ω_v , and ω_o represent projection functions. The Query Generator sets our model apart from typical DETRbased approaches[8, 48]. No longer pursuing greedy solutions for class prototypes, we extract unique prior information from each sample to generate region-text-specific initialization queries for subsequent prototype construction. We also extract the projected linguistic features $l_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{L \times C}$ and feed them into subsequent decoders to provide expression's information.

C. Mixed modal decoder

Our MMD consist of three modules processed in the following order: a Masked Cross-Attention module, a Self-Attention module, and a Feed Forward network (FFN). Due to the non-target samples in GRES, when the query interacts solely with visual features and there are no positive instances in the sample, the attention map have to exhibit the most unstable uniform distribution state [54]. Therefore, we extract the language features from previous stage and incorporated them into the decoding stage. As illustrated in Fig. 3 (d), it concatenates with visual features in Masked Cross-Attention module and with the query in Self-Attention module. Serving not only as positive instance placeholders but also facilitating context learning in Self-Attention. Specifically, given the visual features \mathbf{V} , queries \mathbf{Q}_i , attention mask M and linguistic feature \mathbf{l}_i , we obtain \mathbf{Q}_{i+1} using the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S}_{i} &= \mathbf{V} \oplus \mathbf{l}_{i} \\ \mathbf{Q}_{i+1} &= \operatorname{Softmax}(\mathcal{M} + \mathbf{Q}_{i}\mathbf{S}_{i}^{T})\mathbf{S}_{i} + \mathbf{Q}_{i} \\ \mathbf{X}_{i} &= \mathbf{Q}_{i+1} \oplus \mathbf{l}_{i} \\ \mathbf{X}_{i+1} &= \operatorname{Softmax}(\mathbf{X}_{i}\mathbf{X}_{i}^{T})\mathbf{X}_{i} + \mathbf{X}_{i} \\ \mathbf{Q}_{i+1}, \mathbf{l}_{i+1}) &= \operatorname{Split}(\operatorname{FFN}(\mathbf{X}_{i+1})) \end{aligned}$$
(1)

where \oplus means concatenating along the first dimension. The "Split" implies slicing the fusion features X_{i+1} along the first dimension based on the shapes of \mathbf{Q}_{i+1} and \mathbf{l}_{i+1} . The attention mask $\mathcal{M} \in \{-\infty, 0\}$ is used to control the receptive field of the cross-attention module, enabling queries to ignore unnecessary regions and improve computational efficiency.

D. Regional supervision head

1) Main Branch: As discussed above, we aim to extract the feature prototypes corresponding to the instance categories in the regions where the instances are located. The carriers of these prototypes are the queries of the corresponding regions. In DETR[48]-Based methods, queries are typically specialized into class prototypes through Hungarian matching, achieved by separately propagating gradients generated from different categories back to their corresponding queries, which is not feasible in GRES with only binary labels. To achieve a similar effect in GRES with only implicit instance differentiation, we propose to divide the visual features and their corresponding ground truths into multiple patches based on region size, ensuring that each patch contains instances of only a single category as much as possible, which is simple but efficient. We establish strong bindings between queries and each patch, so that gradients generated by each patch can only propagate to the corresponding queries, specializing queries into prototypes of a single category. Furthermore, the proposed Query Generator and MMD extract prior category information from linguistic features, assisting RSH in adaptive binding queries to class feature prototypes mentioned in expression.

Specifically, as shown in Fig. 3 (b), we partition the feature map V of the current layer into N_i patches using a simple sliding window operation, where N_i represents the total number of regions in the current layer. Next, we perform a matrix multiplication separately between the each query and its corresponding patch to obtain a set of binary prediction masks. The resulting masks have a shape of $H_{win} \times W_{win} \times N_i \times C$. Here, H_{win} and W_{win} denote the height and width of each sliding window, and they can be computed as $(H_{win}, W_{win}) = \lfloor (H, W)/\sqrt{N_i} \rfloor + 1$. Subsequently, after applying a sigmoid activation, the output region mask is used to compute the loss L_{mask} with the ground truth.

2) No-Target(NT) Branch: Designing a separate No-Target Indicator for no-target samples is crucial. For example, in [8], an MLP with two hidden layers is used to directly map the queries to the indicator. However, relying solely on queries is clearly insufficient to determine the presence or absence of targets, especially when lacking MMD to provide linguistic information for the queries. Unlike [8], we construct a novel triplet-based approach that involves queries, linguistic features, and visual features. We consider that, as the carriers of class prototypes, to avoid matching positive instances in the feature map, queries should evidently be closer to linguistic features than to visual features.

Therefore, as shown in Fig. 3 (c), given the visual feature map V at the current scale, the NT Branch first applies average pooling to obtain feature centroids for each region, with a shape of $N_i \times C$. Then, for linguistic features l_i , we use an MLP to aggregate word-level features into sentencelevel linguistic embeddings, which are transformed to the same size as queries. The queries are dot-producted separately with the pooled visual features and linguistic embeddings to obtain two sets of similarity matrices. After concatenating these two similarity matrices, a final No-Target Indicator is obtained through an MLP with two hidden layers and utilized to calculate L_{NT} . Notably, in this process, we still apply the same L_{mask} to no-traget samples, where the ground truth is an all-zero sample.

E. Loss Compute

For the L_{mask} , we simultaneously calculate its cross-entropy loss and dice loss [55] with the ground truth Y via

$$\mathbf{L}_{CE}^{i} = -[\mathbf{Y}_{i} \cdot log\sigma(\mathbf{O}_{i}) + (1 - \mathbf{Y}_{i}) \cdot log(1 - \sigma(\mathbf{O}_{i})] \quad (2)$$

$$\mathbf{L}_{DE}^{i} = 1 - \left[\frac{2\mathbf{Y}_{i} \cdot \sigma(\mathbf{O}_{i}) + \epsilon}{\mathbf{Y}_{i} + \sigma(\mathbf{O}_{i}) + \epsilon}\right]$$
(3)

where, σ represents the activation function, typically the sigmoid function, and ϵ is a smoothing factor. \mathbf{Y}_i is obtained from the ground truth \mathbf{Y} through nearest downsampling, ensuring its shape is consistent with the output \mathbf{O}_i .

The final L_{mask} can be represented as:

$$L_{mask} = \sum_{i} (\omega_{ce} \mathbf{L}_{CE}^{i} + \omega_{de} \mathbf{L}_{DE}^{i}), \qquad (4)$$

where, ω_{ce} and ω_{de} are used to adjust the proportions of the cross-entropy loss and dice loss respectively. For the L_{NT} , we only applied cross-entropy loss for optimization.

IV. RESULTS

In this section, we conduct experimental evaluation and performance comparison of MABP. We first introduce the datasets, experimental details and metrics and compare MABP with seven methods. Then, we validate the effectiveness of different strategies through ablation experiments.

 TABLE I

 COMPARISON ON GREFCOCO DATASET.

Methods	Val		Tes	stA	TestB		
Wiethous	cIoU	gIoU	cIoU	gIoU	cIoU	gIoU	
MattNet[1/1]	47.51	18 24	58 66	59 30	15 33	46.14	
ITS[56]	52 30	52 70	61.87	62.64	10.05	50.42	
VIT[15]	52.50	52.00	62.10	62.04	50.52	50.92	
	55.24	56.07	62.02	62.42	51.04	51 70	
	55.54	50.27	03.82	03.42	51.04	51.79	
LAVI[30]	57.64	58.40	65.32	65.90	55.04	55.83	
CGFormer[32]	62.28	63.01	68.15	70.13	60.18	61.09	
ReLA[8]	64.20	65.50	70.78	70.89	60.97	61.05	
MABP	65.72	68.86	71.59	72.81	62.76	64.04	

A. Datasets and Implementation Details

Experiment Details We conduct experiments on the gRefCOCO [8] dataset and classic RES datasets, including Ref-COCO [7], RefCOCO+ [7], and G-Ref [6, 57]. All these datasets are based on MSCOCO [58] but annotated according to different rules. gRefCOCO comprises 278,232 expressions, including 80,022 multi-object and 32,202 no-target samples. It utilize 19,994 images, containing 60,287 unique instances. Other single-object datasets, RefCOCO and RefCOCO+, are smaller in scale, with only 120K references. The average text length in RefCOCO is 3.5 words, while in G-Ref, it is 8.4 words. RefCOCO+ restricts the use of absolute positional information for referencing targets. The datasets are split into

	Methods	Visual Encoder	Textual Encoder	val	RefCOCC testA) testB	F val	RefCOCO testA	+ testB	val-U	G-Ref test-U	val-G
RESMethods	MCN [62] VLT[15] ReSTR[16] CRIS[17] PKS[26] LAVT[30] VLT[1] CGFormer[32]	Darknet53 Darknet53 ViT-B CLIP-R 101 R-101 Swin-B Swin-B Swin-B	bi-GRU bi-GRU Transformer CLIP bi-GRU BERT BERT BERT	62.44 65.65 67.22 70.47 70.87 72.73 72.96 74.75	64.20 68.29 69.30 73.18 74.23 75.82 75.96 77.30	59.71 62.73 64.45 66.10 65.07 68.79 69.60 70.64	50.62 55.50 55.78 62.27 60.90 62.14 63.53 64.54	54.99 59.20 60.44 68.08 66.21 68.38 68.43 71.00	44.69 49.36 48.27 53.68 51.35 55.10 56.92 57.14	49.22 52.99 59.87 60.38 61.24 63.49 64.68	49.40 56.65 60.36 60.98 62.09 66.22 65.09	49.76 54.48 56.97 60.50 62.80 62.51
GRES Methods	ReLA [†] [8] Our MABP	Swin-B Swin-B	BERT BERT	73.47 74.48	76.60 <u>76.73</u>	70.04 71.07	64.41 65.99	69.18 71.76	54.97 57.22	65.00 65.38	65.97 66.64	62.70 62.84

TABLE II Results on classic RES in terms of cIoU.

training, validation, testA, and testB sets, following previous work.

Implementation Details. Following [8], our visual encoder is pretrained on ImageNet22K [59], and the text encoder is initialized with HuggingFace weights [60]. Images are resized to 480x480. We employ AdamW [61] with an initial learning rate of 2e-5 as the optimizer and train for 30 epochs with a batch size of 42. All experiments are conducted on 6 NVIDIA A5000 GPU. Evaluation metrics included gIoU, cIoU, and precision at IoU thresholds of 0.7, 0.8, and 0.9 following [8]. The initial number of regions is set to $N_0 = 16$. Each time the visual feature scale doubles, the number of regions is quadrupled to ensure consistency.

B. Comparison with State-of-the-Art Methods

Comparison on GRES In Table I, we present a comparative analysis of MABP against SOTA methods on the GRES, as well as a comparison with SOTA methods on classic RES. We re-implement CGFormer and trained it on gRefCOCO. To enhance no-target identification, output masks with fewer than 50 positive pixels are reset to all-negative.

Our MABP surpasses SOTA methods on all splits of gRefCOCO, showcasing substantial improvement compared to single-object models. In comparison to ReLA[8], MABP also achieves significant improvements with a margin of 2% on cIoU and 3% on gIoU across the three splits of gRefCOCO. This indicates that MABP can effectively adapt to scenarios with multiple instances in GRES, demonstrating the effective-ness of our proposed adaptive binding strategy. Furthermore, we evaluate performance in the no-target samples. As shown in Table III, in terms of N-acc, our MABP outperforms SOTA models by 4.67%, emphasizing the No-Target Branch's efficacy on capturing no-target samples.

Table IV provides a comparison of MABP with ReLA and CGFormer on Pr@0.9, 0.8, and 0.7 metrics, showing that MABP surpasses ReLA by 2.61%, 1.91%, and 1.3% respectively. The most notable improvement is observed in Pr@0.9, indicating that MABP excels in segmenting target details and small objects. It validates that our region-based framework can better perceive local information while retaining global context, showcasing heightened flexibility.

Comparison on Classic RES To assess the generalization capabilities of MABP in handling single-object task, we

TABLE III NO-TARGET RESULTS COMPARISON ON GRES.

	Methods	N-acc.	T-acc.
RES Methods	MattNet[14] VLT[15] LAVT[30] CGFormer[32]	41.15 47.17 49.32 51.01	96.13 95.72 96.18 96.23
GRES Methods	ReLA[8] Our MABP	57.51 62.18	96.97 97.68

TABLE IV PR RESULTS COMPARISON ON GRES.

Methods	Pr@0.9	Pr@0.8	Pr@0.7	cIoU	gIoU
CGFormer[32]	22.43	56.57	68.93	62.28	63.01
ReLA[8]	23.56	57.01	69.15	64.20	65.50
Our MABP	26.17	58.92	70.45	65.72	68.86

show a comparison with the SOTA methods on classic RES in Table II. [†] indicates that the results are reproduced in our environment according to the original configuration. Our MABP surpasses SOTA methods on RefCOCOp and G-Ref, and demonstrates performance close to SOTA methods on RefCOCO. It suggests that our MABP holds a significant advantage in dealing with complex expressions and exhibits superior generalization on single-object datasets. It also indicates that, in addition to employing different prototypes for multiple object categories, adaptively using distinct prototypes for different parts of the same object contributes to improving segmentation performance.

C. Ablation Study

TABLE V Ablation study of MABP.

No.	Methods	cIoU	gIoU	Pr@0.9	Pr@0.8	Pr@0.7
1	Naive	63.07	64.23	23.22	56.89	69.01
2	1+RSH	64.87	67.06	24.87	57.92	69.89
3	2+MMD	65.21	67.94	25.98	58.15	70.13
4	3+QG (full)	65.72	68.86	26.17	58.92	70.45

In Table V, for better comparison, we construct a naive model in No. 1, where only the main components of the ar-



Fig. 5. Visualizations of the attention maps for the third-layer cross-attention module in the decoder. We input the same no-target sample into both the No.2 model in table V and our model, visualizing the cross-attention matrices of the decoder's third layer, i.e., the decoding module before the first Mask Head. As our Mixed Modal Decoder incorporates linguistic features as placeholders, our model can learn a more easily interpretable non-uniform attention map, achieving better recognition for no-target samples.

chitecture are retained, including randomly initialized queries, a transformer decoder, and a naive mask head. The transformer decoder uses the RIA and RLA modules from ReLA[8], and the naive mask head simply aggregates the prediction masks generated by the N queries into a final result. As shown in Table V No. 1, thanks to the progressive decoding framework and a powerful pre-trained model, the naive model still achieves decent results despite the subpar performance of the mask head. For No. 2 in Table V, we replace the naive mask head with the proposed RSH, achieving a significant improvement in the experimental results, especially in the gIoU and Pr metrics, which are more sensitive to small targets. These results in an average improvement of about 1.5%, demonstrating the effectiveness of the adaptive binding prototypes. In No. 3, we further replace RIA and RLA with the proposed MMD, achieving a further improvement in cIoU and gIoU. Finally, in No. 4 of Table V, we incorporate the query generator after random initialization of queries to enhance the flexibility of the initial queries. Compared to random initialization, our method achieves better performance, indicating that our region-text-specific queries are a more favorable choice than randomly initialized queries.

In addition, as depicted in the Fig. 5, we visualize the masked cross-attention maps before the first output head for both the model in No.2 of Table V and our MABP when facing a no-target sample. And the result at "Pred" has not undergone judgment from the No-Target Branch. Since there are no positive instances in no-target samples, queries should be distanced from all features to predict an empty result. In Fig. 5 (a), which utilizes "RIA&RLA" as the transformer decoder, to achieve this, the attention map tends to have a uniform distribution. However, such a distribution is unstable for attention matrices [54], leading to inevitable high values in some regions, resulting in incorrect patches in the predicted output. In contrast, in our MMD (Fig. 5 (b)), as linguistic features are involved in attention computation, even though there are no positive instances in visual features, queries can

treat linguistic features as positives for learning. It ensures a more manageable non-uniform distribution, maintaining an empty predicted result.

D. Visualization



(b) Cat on left and black cat right

Fig. 6. Visualization of the distribution of queries. We separately extract the Mask Embeddings used by ReLA and our model in the final mask head, perform KMeans clustering, and visualize the results. Our model effectively achieves adaptive binding of different prototypes to different categories and the same prototype to the same category.

Fig. 7 visualizes some segmentation results. Fig. 7 (a) and d represent single-target samples, while others are multi-target samples. Figure Fig. 7 (f) illustrates more challenging samples, featuring expressions with multiple category targets and small targets. As depicted in the Fig. 7, compared to ReLA[8], our approach performs better in capturing object details, understanding textual information and identifying the corresponding referent. Additionally, our method has more accurate spatial awareness, demonstrating better comprehension of expressions involving order and orientation. For multi-category targets in Fig. 7 (f), our method accurately distinguishes between different classes of targets (person and phone), while ReLA confuses the two targets on the right side of the image. Moreover, for small targets in the image, our regional supervision enables



(c) sandwich closest to you and ffarthest snd. on front plte



the generation of unique class prototypes for each small block,

allowing our method to finely delineate the referent's outline. In addition, we visualize the queries of both ReLA[8] and our model in the final stage of the mask head. We apply the KMeans algorithm to the queries with the same number of clusters and visualize the clustered categories on the source image. As shown in Fig. 6, we visualize two multi-target samples, where Fig. 6 (a) represents a multi-category sample, and Fig. 6 (b) represents a single-category one. On both samples, the categories of our clustered queries show clear regional correspondences. In Fig. 6 (a), the queries for the two categories, cow and person, are handled by two different clusters of queries. In Fig. 6 (b), the two instances belonging to the same category (cat) are handled by queries of the same cluster, indicating that our model achieves adaptive matching of queries. In both (a) and (b), the queries decoded by ReLA do not exhibit clear patterns, showing that our regional supervision strategy effectively assigns corresponding class prototypes to different category instances, reducing the task complexity.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we reevaluate the distinctions between RES and GRES, and emphasize the heightened difficulty introduced by the scenario in GRES where multiple instances of different categories are collectively treated as foreground. To address this challenge, we propose a model capable of adaptively binding prototypes. By partitioning the feature map into multiple sub-regions and supervising them separately, our model can dynamically bind prototypes to instances of various categories or different parts of the same instance. Additionally, we design a Mixed Modal Decoder to better adapt to the no-target samples and extract class prototypes in GRES. During query initialization, our Query Generator effectively combines linguistic features to generate region-text-specific initial queries, providing high flexibility. Our proposed model surpasses current SOTA methods on all three splits of the gRefCOCO dataset and the classical RES dataset RefCOCO+

and G-Ref. It also achieves very competitive results on the classical RES dataset RefCOCO.

REFERENCES

- H. Ding, C. Liu, S. Wang, and X. Jiang, "Visionlanguage transformer and query generation for referring segmentation," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2021, pp. 16321– 16330.
- [2] R. Hu, M. Rohrbach, and T. Darrell, "Segmentation from natural language expressions," in *Computer Vision– ECCV 2016: 14th European Conference, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, October 11–14, 2016, Proceedings, Part I* 14. Springer, 2016, pp. 108–124.
- [3] X. Wang, Q. Huang, A. Celikyilmaz, J. Gao, D. Shen, Y.-F. Wang, W. Y. Wang, and L. Zhang, "Reinforced crossmodal matching and self-supervised imitation learning for vision-language navigation," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2019, pp. 6629–6638.
- [4] J. Chen, Y. Shen, J. Gao, J. Liu, and X. Liu, "Languagebased image editing with recurrent attentive models," in *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision* and Pattern Recognition, 2018, pp. 8721–8729.
- [5] S. Kazemzadeh, V. Ordonez, M. Matten, and T. Berg, "Referitgame: Referring to objects in photographs of natural scenes," in *Proceedings of the 2014 conference* on empirical methods in natural language processing (EMNLP), 2014, pp. 787–798.
- [6] J. Mao, J. Huang, A. Toshev, O. Camburu, A. L. Yuille, and K. Murphy, "Generation and comprehension of unambiguous object descriptions," in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2016, pp. 11–20.
- [7] L. Yu, P. Poirson, S. Yang, A. C. Berg, and T. L. Berg, "Modeling context in referring expressions," in Computer Vision–ECCV 2016: 14th European Conference, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, October 11-14, 2016, Proceedings, Part II 14. Springer, 2016, pp. 69–85.
- [8] C. Liu, H. Ding, and X. Jiang, "Gres: Generalized referring expression segmentation," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2023, pp. 23 592–23 601.
- [9] S. Huang, T. Hui, S. Liu, G. Li, Y. Wei, J. Han, L. Liu, and B. Li, "Referring image segmentation via crossmodal progressive comprehension," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2020, pp. 10488–10497.
- [10] T. Hui, S. Liu, S. Huang, G. Li, S. Yu, F. Zhang, and J. Han, "Linguistic structure guided context modeling for referring image segmentation," in *Computer Vision– ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part X 16.* Springer, 2020, pp. 59–75.
- [11] R. Li, K. Li, Y.-C. Kuo, M. Shu, X. Qi, X. Shen, and J. Jia, "Referring image segmentation via recurrent refinement networks," in *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2018, pp. 5745–5753.
- [12] C. Liu, Z. Lin, X. Shen, J. Yang, X. Lu, and A. Yuille,

"Recurrent multimodal interaction for referring image segmentation," in *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, 2017, pp. 1271–1280.

- [13] H. Shi, H. Li, F. Meng, and Q. Wu, "Key-word-aware network for referring expression image segmentation," in *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV)*, 2018, pp. 38–54.
- [14] L. Yu, Z. Lin, X. Shen, J. Yang, X. Lu, M. Bansal, and T. L. Berg, "Mattnet: Modular attention network for referring expression comprehension," in *Proceedings* of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, 2018, pp. 1307–1315.
- [15] H. Ding, C. Liu, S. Wang, and X. Jiang, "Vlt: Visionlanguage transformer and query generation for referring segmentation," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis* and Machine Intelligence, 2022.
- [16] N. Kim, D. Kim, C. Lan, W. Zeng, and S. Kwak, "Restr: Convolution-free referring image segmentation using transformers," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2022, pp. 18145–18154.
- [17] Z. Wang, Y. Lu, Q. Li, X. Tao, Y. Guo, M. Gong, and T. Liu, "Cris: Clip-driven referring image segmentation," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer* vision and pattern recognition, 2022, pp. 11686–11695.
- [18] R. Hu, H. Xu, M. Rohrbach, J. Feng, K. Saenko, and T. Darrell, "Natural language object retrieval," in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2016, pp. 4555–4564.
- [19] Y. Liao, S. Liu, G. Li, F. Wang, Y. Chen, C. Qian, and B. Li, "A real-time cross-modality correlation filtering method for referring expression comprehension," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2020, pp. 10880–10889.
- [20] D. Liu, H. Zhang, F. Wu, and Z.-J. Zha, "Learning to assemble neural module tree networks for visual grounding," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2019, pp. 4673–4682.
- [21] P. Wang, Q. Wu, J. Cao, C. Shen, L. Gao, and A. v. d. Hengel, "Neighbourhood watch: Referring expression comprehension via language-guided graph attention networks," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference* on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2019, pp. 1960–1968.
- [22] Z. Yang, B. Gong, L. Wang, W. Huang, D. Yu, and J. Luo, "A fast and accurate one-stage approach to visual grounding," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2019, pp. 4683– 4693.
- [23] Z. Yang, T. Chen, L. Wang, and J. Luo, "Improving onestage visual grounding by recursive sub-query construction," in *Computer Vision–ECCV 2020: 16th European Conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, Proceedings, Part XIV 16.* Springer, 2020, pp. 387–404.
- [24] B. Zhuang, Q. Wu, C. Shen, I. Reid, and A. Van Den Hengel, "Parallel attention: A unified framework for visual object discovery through dialogs and queries," in *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision*

and Pattern Recognition, 2018, pp. 4252-4261.

- [25] C. Liu, X. Jiang, and H. Ding, "Instance-specific feature propagation for referring segmentation," *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 2022.
- [26] H. Li, M. Sun, J. Xiao, E. G. Lim, and Y. Zhao, "Fully and weakly supervised referring expression segmentation with end-to-end learning," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits* and Systems for Video Technology, vol. 33, no. 10, pp. 5999–6012, 2023.
- [27] H. Ding, C. Liu, S. He, X. Jiang, P. H. Torr, and S. Bai, "Mose: A new dataset for video object segmentation in complex scenes," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.01872*, 2023.
- [28] X. Li, H. Ding, W. Zhang, H. Yuan, J. Pang, G. Cheng, K. Chen, Z. Liu, and C. C. Loy, "Transformerbased visual segmentation: A survey," *arXiv preprint arXiv*:2304.09854, 2023.
- [29] C. Liu, H. Ding, Y. Zhang, and X. Jiang, "Multi-modal mutual attention and iterative interaction for referring image segmentation," *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 2023.
- [30] Z. Yang, J. Wang, Y. Tang, K. Chen, H. Zhao, and P. H. Torr, "Lavt: Language-aware vision transformer for referring image segmentation," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2022, pp. 18155–18165.
- [31] B. Cheng, A. Schwing, and A. Kirillov, "Per-pixel classification is not all you need for semantic segmentation," *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 34, pp. 17864–17875, 2021.
- [32] W. Quan, P. Deng, K. Wang, and D.-M. Yan, "Cgformer: Vit-based network for identifying computer-generated images with token labeling," *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security*, 2023.
- [33] Y. Wu, Z. Zhang, C. Xie, F. Zhu, and R. Zhao, "Advancing referring expression segmentation beyond single image," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2023, pp. 2628–2638.
- [34] Z. Xia, D. Han, Y. Han, X. Pan, S. Song, and G. Huang, "Gsva: Generalized segmentation via multimodal large language models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.10103*, 2023.
- [35] S. Cheng, G.-P. Ji, P. Qin, D.-P. Fan, B. Zhou, and P. Xu, "Large model based referring camouflaged object detection," arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.17122, 2023.
- [36] J. Long, E. Shelhamer, and T. Darrell, "Fully convolutional networks for semantic segmentation," in *Proceed*ings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, 2015, pp. 3431–3440.
- [37] O. Ronneberger, P. Fischer, and T. Brox, "U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation," in Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention-MICCAI 2015: 18th International Conference, Munich, Germany, October 5-9, 2015, Proceedings, Part III 18. Springer, 2015, pp. 234–241.
- [38] H. Huang, L. Lin, R. Tong, H. Hu, Q. Zhang, Y. Iwamoto, X. Han, Y.-W. Chen, and J. Wu, "Unet 3+: A fullscale connected unet for medical image segmentation,"

in ICASSP 2020-2020 IEEE international conference on acoustics, speech and signal processing (ICASSP). IEEE, 2020, pp. 1055–1059.

- [39] Z. Zhou, M. Siddiquee, N. Tajbakhsh, and J. U. Liang, "A nested u-net architecture for medical image segmentation (2018)," arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.10165.
- [40] L.-C. Chen, G. Papandreou, I. Kokkinos, K. Murphy, and A. L. Yuille, "Semantic image segmentation with deep convolutional nets and fully connected crfs," *arXiv* preprint arXiv:1412.7062, 2014.
- [41] —, "Deeplab: Semantic image segmentation with deep convolutional nets, atrous convolution, and fully connected crfs," *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 834–848, 2017.
- [42] L.-C. Chen, G. Papandreou, F. Schroff, and H. Adam, "Rethinking atrous convolution for semantic image segmentation," arXiv preprint arXiv:1706.05587, 2017.
- [43] L.-C. Chen, Y. Zhu, G. Papandreou, F. Schroff, and H. Adam, "Encoder-decoder with atrous separable convolution for semantic image segmentation," in *Proceedings* of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV), 2018, pp. 801–818.
- [44] F. Lin, Z. Liang, S. Wu, J. He, K. Chen, and S. Tian, "Structtoken: Rethinking semantic segmentation with structural prior," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, vol. 33, no. 10, pp. 5655– 5663, 2023.
- [45] K. He, G. Gkioxari, P. Dollár, and R. Girshick, "Mask r-cnn," in *Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision*, 2017, pp. 2961–2969.
- [46] C. Wang, Y. Fang, J. Fang, P. Guo, R. Wu, H. Huang, X. Wang, C. Huang, and W. Liu, "Efficient task-specific feature re-fusion for more accurate object detection and instance segmentation," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits* and Systems for Video Technology, pp. 1–1, 2023.
- [47] B. Wang, R. Ji, L. Zhang, and Y. Wu, "Bridging multiscale context-aware representation for object detection," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 2317–2329, 2023.
- [48] X. Zhu, W. Su, L. Lu, B. Li, X. Wang, and J. Dai, "Deformable detr: Deformable transformers for end-toend object detection," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.04159*, 2020.
- [49] H. Wang, Y. Zhu, H. Adam, A. Yuille, and L.-C. Chen, "Max-deeplab: End-to-end panoptic segmentation with mask transformers," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF* conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, 2021, pp. 5463–5474.
- [50] T. Zhou, W. Wang, E. Konukoglu, and L. Van Gool, "Rethinking semantic segmentation: A prototype view," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2022, pp. 2582–2593.
- [51] Q. Ren, S. Lu, Q. Mao, and M. Dong, "Exploring prototype-anchor contrast for semantic segmentation," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, pp. 1–1, 2024.
- [52] Z. Liu, Y. Lin, Y. Cao, H. Hu, Y. Wei, Z. Zhang, S. Lin, and B. Guo, "Swin transformer: Hierarchical vi-

sion transformer using shifted windows," in *Proceedings* of the *IEEE/CVF* international conference on computer vision, 2021, pp. 10012–10022.

- [53] J. Devlin, M.-W. Chang, K. Lee, and K. Toutanova, "Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding," *arXiv preprint arXiv*:1810.04805, 2018.
- [54] N. Hyeon-Woo, K. Yu-Ji, B. Heo, D. Han, S. J. Oh, and T.-H. Oh, "Scratching visual transformer's back with uniform attention," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 2023, pp. 5807–5818.
- [55] X. Li, X. Sun, Y. Meng, J. Liang, F. Wu, and J. Li, "Dice loss for data-imbalanced nlp tasks," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.02855*, 2019.
- [56] Y. Jing, T. Kong, W. Wang, L. Wang, L. Li, and T. Tan, "Locate then segment: A strong pipeline for referring image segmentation," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2021, pp. 9858–9867.
- [57] V. K. Nagaraja, V. I. Morariu, and L. S. Davis, "Modeling context between objects for referring expression understanding," in *Computer Vision–ECCV 2016: 14th European Conference, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, October* 11–14, 2016, Proceedings, Part IV 14. Springer, 2016, pp. 792–807.
- [58] T.-Y. Lin, M. Maire, S. Belongie, J. Hays, P. Perona, D. Ramanan, P. Dollár, and C. L. Zitnick, "Microsoft coco: Common objects in context," in *Computer Vision– ECCV 2014: 13th European Conference, Zurich, Switzerland, September 6-12, 2014, Proceedings, Part V 13.* Springer, 2014, pp. 740–755.
- [59] J. Deng, W. Dong, R. Socher, L.-J. Li, K. Li, and L. Fei-Fei, "Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database," in 2009 IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. Ieee, 2009, pp. 248–255.
- [60] T. Wolf, L. Debut, V. Sanh, J. Chaumond, C. Delangue, A. Moi, P. Cistac, T. Rault, R. Louf, M. Funtowicz et al., "Transformers: State-of-the-art natural language processing," in *Proceedings of the 2020 conference on* empirical methods in natural language processing: system demonstrations, 2020, pp. 38–45.
- [61] I. Loshchilov and F. Hutter, "Decoupled weight decay regularization," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.05101*, 2017.
- [62] G. Luo, Y. Zhou, X. Sun, L. Cao, C. Wu, C. Deng, and R. Ji, "Multi-task collaborative network for joint referring expression comprehension and segmentation," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on computer* vision and pattern recognition, 2020, pp. 10034–10043.