

# Fast-PGM: Fast Probabilistic Graphical Model Learning and Inference

Jiantong Jiang<sup>1</sup>

JIANTONG.JIANG@RESEARCH.UWA.EDU.AU

Zeyi Wen<sup>2,3</sup>

WENZEYI@UST.HK

Peiyu Yang<sup>1</sup>

PEIYU.YANG@RESEARCH.UWA.EDU.AU

Atif Mansoor<sup>1</sup>

ATIF.MASOOR@UWA.EDU.AU

Ajmal Mian<sup>1</sup>

AJMAL.MIAN@UWA.EDU.AU

<sup>1</sup>*Department of CSSE, The University of Western Australia, Australia*

<sup>2</sup>*Hong Kong University of Science and Technology (Guangzhou),* <sup>3</sup>*HKUST, China*

**Editor:** TBD

## Abstract

Probabilistic graphical models (PGMs) serve as a powerful framework for modeling complex systems with uncertainty and extracting valuable insights from data. However, users face challenges when applying PGMs to their problems in terms of efficiency and usability. This paper presents *Fast-PGM*, an efficient and open-source library for PGM learning and inference. Fast-PGM supports comprehensive tasks on PGMs, including structure and parameter learning, as well as exact and approximate inference, and enhances efficiency of the tasks through computational and memory optimizations and parallelization techniques. Concurrently, Fast-PGM furnishes developers with flexible building blocks, furnishes learners with detailed documentation, and affords non-experts user-friendly interfaces, thereby ameliorating the usability of PGMs to users across a spectrum of expertise levels. The source code of Fast-PGM is available at <https://github.com/jjiantong/FastPGM>.

**Keywords:** Probabilistic Graphical Models, Learning, Inference, Efficiency, Usability

## 1 Introduction

Probabilistic graphical models (PGMs) (Koller and Friedman, 2009) employ a transparent and intuitive representation to compactly encode random variables and their interactions, while offering a mathematically sound framework grounded in probability theory (Hacking et al., 2006). PGMs have found widespread application across domains such as biomedical informatics (Alfalahi et al., 2023; Briganti et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2023), computer vision (Lu et al., 2021; Bayouhd et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2024), environmental monitoring (Garzon et al., 2023; Li et al., 2023), risk management (Amin et al., 2021; Nayak et al., 2022) and transportation (de Waal and Joubert, 2022; Cui et al., 2020). However, realizing the full potential of PGMs in practical scenarios requires addressing notable challenges related to efficiency and usability. On the efficiency front, both learning and inference processes in PGMs are expensive due to their high computational complexity (Chickering et al., 2004; Cooper, 1990; Dagum and Luby, 1993) and the irregular nature of the graphical structures, thereby impeding their application in large or complex problems. As for the usability challenges, many existing libraries are constrained by their limited support of various PGM




Figure 1: The overview of PGM learning and inference in Fast-PGM.

tasks and algorithms. Additionally, the support for complete open-source availability, user-friendly interfaces, and comprehensive documentation is demanding, especially for domain experts who may lack sufficient expertise in machine learning techniques.

This paper proposes Fast-PGM as a solution to address the challenges above. Fast-PGM has the following crucial features: (i) **Broad Task Support.** Fast-PGM supports all the key tasks on PGMs, encompassing structure and parameter learning, as well as exact and approximate inference, capable of handling various problems with diverse requirements. (ii) **High Efficiency.** We exploit different parallelization techniques tailored to different tasks to improve the efficiency of Fast-PGM. Meanwhile, we develop memory management and computation simplification optimizations to enhance data locality and alleviate the computational burden. (iii) **Ease of Use.** Fast-PGM offers a modular design with various functionalities to allow quick and easy customization across all modules. Fast-PGM also provides user-friendly interfaces and documentation to ensure accessibility. The Python interfaces further facilitate integration within the enormous Python ecosystem, including seamless compatibility with tasks like hyperparameter optimization (Salinas et al., 2022).

## 2 Functionality Design Overview

Figure 1 shows the overview of Fast-PGM with supported tasks, algorithms, and optimizations. Fast-PGM supports all the fundamental tasks associated with PGMs. For *structure learning*, Fast-PGM implements the renowned PC-stable algorithm (Spirites and Glymour, 1991; Spirites et al., 2000) to find the underlying graph that best matches the training instances. Following structure acquisition, *parameter learning* is performed to quantify the probabilistic relationships encoded by the structure through maximum likelihood estimation. The above learning process yields a refined PGM that matches the training instances. Subsequently, PGM *inference* aims to calculate the posterior distribution of all the unknown variables, which is categorized into *exact* and *approximate* methods. For exact inference, Fast-PGM implements junction tree (Lauritzen and Spiegelhalter, 1988) and

variable elimination (Zhang and Poole, 1994). Alternatively, for approximate inference, Fast-PGM supports an array of methods including loopy belief propagation (Murphy et al., 1999), probabilistic logic sampling (Henrion, 1988), likelihood weighting (Fung and Chang, 1990), self-importance sampling (Shachter and Peot, 1990; Cousins et al., 1993), adaptive importance sampling (AIS-BN) (Cheng and Druzdzel, 2000), and evidence pre-propagation importance sampling (EPIS-BN) (Yuan and Druzdzel, 2003, 2006). The integration of these key tasks also results in a complete process of classification.

Beyond the primary functionalities, Fast-PGM extends its utility with a range of auxiliary features vital for the utilization of PGMs. For instance, Fast-PGM offers tools for generating sample sets from a PGM, facilitating format transformation across network representations, and provides metrics like structural Hamming distance (Acid and de Campos, 2003) for learning and Hellinger’s distance for inference (Kokolakis and Nanopoulos, 2001), streamlining the evaluation process.

### 3 Technical Details

To ensure high efficiency and modular design, Fast-PGM is implemented and accelerated in C/C++. On the one hand, Fast-PGM provides well-designed modular building blocks, various functionalities, and rich interfaces, enabling developers to quickly and easily optimize, extend, and customize across all PGM learning and inference modules. On the other hand, Fast-PGM offers user-friendly interfaces, documentation, and visualization, ensuring accessibility of the PGM system for learners and non-specialists. Furthermore, in line with the growing preference for Python in the machine learning community, Fast-PGM offers Python access to every learning and inference task on PGMs. The Python APIs facilitate integration within the extensive Python ecosystem. For example, Fast-PGM can be compatible with hyperparameter optimization techniques (Salinas et al., 2022; Jiang et al., 2024a) to provide automatic decisions on the proper algorithms and hyperparameters for different scenarios.

Regarding efficiency, we adopt and extend the novel optimization techniques in our previous work (Jiang et al., 2022, 2023, 2024b) to accelerate Fast-PGM, as illustrated in Figure 1. The key optimizations are outlined as follows: (i) We explore parallelism at the conditional independence level for structure learning to address the efficiency issues in current parallelization schemes. A dynamic work pool is also introduced to monitor processing and schedule workloads. (ii) We employ a cache-friendly data storage scheme for structure and parameter learning to improve memory efficiency. (iii) We identify and group the similar and dependent computations within structure learning to reduce redundant operations and optimize computational resources. (iv) We implement a hybrid inter- and intra-clique parallelism for exact inference. This involves a tree traversal method and a root selection strategy to maximize parallelization opportunities. (v) We carefully reorganize the potential tables, a crucial underlying data structure in PGMs, before inference to optimize memory storage and reduce computational complexity. (vi) We implement sample-level parallelism for approximate inference to improve overall efficiency. (vii) We design the data fusion and data reordering techniques for approximate inference to enhance data locality. These techniques mitigate irregular memory accesses caused by the stochastic nature of sampling and the graphical structure of PGMs.

Table 1: Feature comparison of various PGM-related libraries.

Library	Structure learn.	Param. learn.	Ex. inf.	Appr. inf.	Open-source	Parallel.	Update	Language
causal-learn	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	Python
Tetrad	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	Java
ParallelPC	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	R
bnlearn	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	R
pcalg	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	R
FastInf	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	C/C++
libDAI	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	C/C++
UnBBayes	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	Java
Factorie	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	Scala
BNJ	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	Java
BNT	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	Matlab
SMILE	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	C/C++
PNL	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	C/C++
<b>Fast-PGM</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	<b>C/C++</b>

## 4 Comparison to Existing Libraries

Existing libraries supporting learning or inference on PGMs include causal-learn (Zheng et al., 2024), Tetrad (Ramsey et al., 2018), ParallelPC (Le et al., 2016), bnlearn (Scutari, 2014), pcalg (Kalisch et al., 2012), FastInf (Jaimovich et al., 2010), libDAI (Mooij, 2010), UnBBayes (Carvalho et al., 2010), Factorie (McCallum et al., 2009), BNJ (Hsu et al., 2003), BNT (Murphy et al., 2001), SMILE<sup>1</sup>, and PNL<sup>2</sup>. We provide a feature comparison of our Fast-PGM with these libraries in Table 1.

## 5 Conclusion

This paper introduces *Fast-PGM*, a fast and parallel system designed for PGM learning and inference. Fast-PGM supports the fundamental PGM tasks of structure learning, parameter learning, exact inference and approximate inference with enhanced efficiency. Meanwhile, Fast-PGM provides modular building blocks, various functionalities, user-friendly interfaces, and comprehensive documentation to allow developers quick optimizations and extensions and ensure accessibility for non-experts.

## Acknowledgments

This research was funded by ARC Grant number DP190102443. Ajmal Mian is the recipient of an Australian Research Council Future Fellowship Award (project number FT210100268) funded by the Australian Government. Zeyi Wen is the corresponding author.

1. <https://www.bayesfusion.com/smile/>

2. <https://sourceforge.net/projects/openpnl/>

## References

- Silvia Acid and Luis M de Campos. Searching for Bayesian network structures in the space of restricted acyclic partially directed graphs. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 18:445–490, 2003.
- Hessa Alfalahi, Sofia B Dias, Ahsan H Khandoker, Kallol Ray Chaudhuri, and Leontios J Hadjileontiadis. A scoping review of neurodegenerative manifestations in explainable digital phenotyping. *npj Parkinson’s Disease*, 9(1):49, 2023.
- Md Tanjin Amin, Faisal Khan, Salim Ahmed, and Syed Imtiaz. A data-driven Bayesian network learning method for process fault diagnosis. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, 150:110–122, 2021.
- Khaled Bayoudh, Raja Knani, Fayçal Hamdaoui, and Abdellatif Mtibaa. A survey on deep multimodal learning for computer vision: advances, trends, applications, and datasets. *The Visual Computer*, pages 1–32, 2021.
- Giovanni Briganti, Marco Scutari, and Richard J McNally. A tutorial on Bayesian networks for psychopathology researchers. *Psychological methods*, 2022.
- Rommel Carvalho, KB Laskey, Paulo Costa, Marcelo Ladeira, Laécio Santos, and Shou Matsumoto. UnBBayes: modeling uncertainty for plausible reasoning in the semantic web. *Semantic Web*, pages 953–978, 2010.
- Jian Cheng and Marek J Druzdzel. AIS-BN: An adaptive importance sampling algorithm for evidential reasoning in large Bayesian networks. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 13:155–188, 2000.
- Max Chickering, David Heckerman, and Chris Meek. Large-sample learning of Bayesian networks is NP-hard. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 5, 2004.
- Gregory F Cooper. The computational complexity of probabilistic inference using Bayesian belief networks. *Artificial intelligence*, 42(2-3):393–405, 1990.
- Steve B Cousins, William Chen, and Mark E Frisse. A tutorial introduction to stochastic simulation algorithms for belief networks. *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*, 5(4):315–340, 1993.
- Zhiyong Cui, Longfei Lin, Ziyuan Pu, and Yinhai Wang. Graph Markov network for traffic forecasting with missing data. *Transportation Research Part C: Emerging Technologies*, 117:102671, 2020.
- Paul Dagum and Michael Luby. Approximating probabilistic inference in Bayesian belief networks is NP-hard. *Artificial intelligence*, 60(1):141–153, 1993.
- Alta de Waal and Johan W Joubert. Explainable Bayesian networks applied to transport vulnerability. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 209:118348, 2022.

- Robert Fung and Kuo-Chu Chang. Weighing and integrating evidence for stochastic simulation in bayesian networks. In *Machine Intelligence and Pattern Recognition*, volume 10, pages 209–219. Elsevier, 1990.
- Juan L Garzon, Óscar Ferreira, AC Zózimo, CJEM Fortes, AM Ferreira, LV Pinheiro, and MT Reis. Development of a Bayesian networks-based early warning system for wave-induced flooding. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 96:103931, 2023.
- Ian Hacking et al. *The emergence of probability: A philosophical study of early ideas about probability, induction and statistical inference*. Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- Max Henrion. Propagating uncertainty in Bayesian networks by probabilistic logic sampling. In *Machine Intelligence and Pattern Recognition*, volume 5, pages 149–163. Elsevier, 1988.
- William H Hsu, R Joehannes, JA Thornton, Benjamin B Perry, LM Haverkamp, ND Gettings, and H Guo. Bayesian network tools in Java (BNJ) v2. 0. *Kansas State University Laboratory for Knowledge Discovery in Databases*, 2003.
- Ariel Jaimovich, Ofer Meshi, Ian McGraw, and Gal Elidan. FastInf: An efficient approximate inference library. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 11:1733–1736, 2010.
- Jiantong Jiang, Zeyi Wen, and Ajmal Mian. Fast parallel Bayesian network structure learning. In *International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium*, pages 617–627. IEEE, 2022.
- Jiantong Jiang, Zeyi Wen, Atif Mansoor, and Ajmal Mian. Fast parallel exact inference on Bayesian networks. In *ACM SIGPLAN Annual Symposium on Principles and Practice of Parallel Programming*, pages 425–426, 2023.
- Jiantong Jiang, Zeyi Wen, Atif Mansoor, and Ajmal Mian. Efficient hyperparameter optimization with adaptive fidelity identification. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2024a.
- Jiantong Jiang, Zeyi Wen, Atif Mansoor, and Ajmal Mian. Fast inference for probabilistic graphical models. In *2024 USENIX Annual Technical Conference (USENIX ATC 24)*, 2024b.
- Markus Kalisch, Martin Mächler, Diego Colombo, Marloes H Maathuis, and Peter Bühlmann. Causal inference using graphical models with the R package pcalg. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 47(11):1–26, 2012.
- George Kokolakis and Photis Nanopoulos. Bayesian multivariate micro-aggregation under the Hellinger’s distance criterion. *Research in Official Statistics*, 4(1):117–126, 2001.
- Daphne Koller and Nir Friedman. *Probabilistic graphical models: principles and techniques*. MIT press, 2009.
- Steffen L Lauritzen and David J Spiegelhalter. Local computations with probabilities on graphical structures and their application to expert systems. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological)*, 50(2):157–194, 1988.

- Thuc Duy Le, Tao Hoang, Jiuyong Li, Lin Liu, Huawen Liu, and Shu Hu. A fast PC algorithm for high dimensional causal discovery with multi-core pcs. *Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics*, 16(5):1483–1495, 2016.
- Huanhuan Li, Xujie Ren, and Zaili Yang. Data-driven Bayesian network for risk analysis of global maritime accidents. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, 230:108938, 2023.
- Yi Lu, Yaran Chen, Dongbin Zhao, and Dong Li. MGRL: Graph neural network based inference in a markov network with reinforcement learning for visual navigation. *Neurocomputing*, 421:140–150, 2021.
- Andrew McCallum, Karl Schultz, and Sameer Singh. FACTORIE: Probabilistic programming via imperatively defined factor graphs. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 22, 2009.
- Joris M Mooij. libDAI: A free and open source C++ library for discrete approximate inference in graphical models. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 11:2169–2173, 2010.
- Kevin Murphy et al. The Bayes net toolbox for Matlab. *Computing Science and Statistics*, 33(2):1024–1034, 2001.
- Kevin P. Murphy, Yair Weiss, and Michael I. Jordan. Loopy belief propagation for approximate inference: An empirical study. In *Conference in Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence*, 1999.
- Nihar Ranjan Nayak, Sumit Kumar, Deepak Gupta, Ashish Suri, Mohd Naved, and Mukesh Soni. Network mining techniques to analyze the risk of the occupational accident via Bayesian network. *International Journal of System Assurance Engineering and Management*, 13(1):633–641, 2022.
- Joseph D Ramsey, Kun Zhang, Madelyn Glymour, Ruben Sanchez Romero, Biwei Huang, Imme Ebert-Uphoff, Savini Samarasinghe, Elizabeth A Barnes, and Clark Glymour. TETRAD—a toolbox for causal discovery. In *International Workshop on Climate Informatics*, 2018.
- David Salinas, Matthias Seeger, Aaron Klein, Valerio Perrone, Martin Wistuba, and Cedric Archambeau. Syne tune: A library for large scale hyperparameter tuning and reproducible research. In *International Conference on Automated Machine Learning*, pages 16–1. PMLR, 2022.
- Marco Scutari. Bayesian network constraint-based structure learning algorithms: Parallel and optimised implementations in the bnlearn R package. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1406.7648*, 2014.
- Ross D Shachter and Mark A Peot. Simulation approaches to general probabilistic inference on belief networks. In *Machine Intelligence and Pattern Recognition*, volume 10, pages 221–231. Elsevier, 1990.

- Peter Spirtes and Clark Glymour. An algorithm for fast recovery of sparse causal graphs. *Social Science Computer Review*, 9(1):62–72, 1991.
- Peter Spirtes, Clark N Glymour, Richard Scheines, and David Heckerman. *Causation, prediction, and search*. MIT press, 2000.
- Chuanyuan Wang, Shiyu Xu, Duanchen Sun, and Zhi-Ping Liu. ActivePPI: quantifying protein–protein interaction network activity with Markov random fields. *Bioinformatics*, 39(9):btad567, 2023.
- Yangyang Xu, Zengmao Wang, and Xiaoping Zhang. Leveraging spatial residual attention and temporal Markov networks for video action understanding. *Neural Networks*, 169: 378–387, 2024.
- Changhe Yuan and Marek J. Druzdzel. An importance sampling algorithm based on evidence pre-propagation. In *Conference in Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence*, pages 624–631, 2003.
- Changhe Yuan and Marek J. Druzdzel. Importance sampling algorithms for Bayesian networks: Principles and performance. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, 43(9-10): 1189–1207, 2006.
- Nevin L Zhang and David Poole. A simple approach to Bayesian network computations. In *Canadian Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 1994.
- Yujia Zheng, Biwei Huang, Wei Chen, Joseph Ramsey, Mingming Gong, Ruichu Cai, Shohei Shimizu, Peter Spirtes, and Kun Zhang. Causal-learn: Causal discovery in python. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 25(60):1–8, 2024.