

Kestrel: Point Grounding Multimodal LLM for Part-Aware 3D Vision-Language Understanding

Junjie Fei*

Mahmoud Ahmed*

Jian Ding

Eslam Mohamed Bakr

Mohamed Elhoseiny

King Abdullah University of Science and Technology




Figure 1: **Grounded 3D Descriptions with Kestrel.** We introduce Kestrel, a part-aware point grounding MLLM capable of comprehending language and locating the position of the object’s parts and materials. (a) Kestrel responds to user instruction accurately even at the part level, an ability that none of the previous 3D MLLMs possess. (b) Kestrel can generate detailed descriptions and grounding object parts mentioned in the answer. (c) Kestrel enables dialogue and reasoning over part-level information.

Abstract

While 3D multimodal large language models (MLLMs) have achieved significant progress, they are restricted to object and scene understanding and struggle to understand 3D spatial structures at the part level. In this paper, we introduce Kestrel: a part-aware point grounding MLLM, representing a novel approach that empowers 3D MLLMs with part-aware understanding, enabling better interpretation and segmentation grounding of 3D objects at the part level. Despite its significance, the current landscape lacks tasks and datasets that endow and assess the part-aware understanding ability of 3D MLLMs. To address this, we propose two novel

* Equal contribution

tasks: *Part-Aware Point Grounding* and *Part-Aware Point Grounded Captioning*. In Part-Aware Point Grounding, the model is tasked with directly predicting a part-level segmentation mask based on user instructions. In Part-Aware Point Grounded Captioning, the model provides a detailed caption that includes part-level descriptions, where each part-level description in the answer corresponds to a segmentation mask. To support learning and evaluating for the proposed tasks, we introduce two versions of *3DCoMPaT Grounded Instructions Dataset* (3DCoMPaT-GRIN). 3DCoMPaT-GRIN Vanilla, comprising 789k part-aware point cloud-instruction-segmentation mask triplets, is used to evaluate MLLMs’ ability of part-aware segmentation grounding based on user instructions. 3DCoMPaT-GRIN Grounded Caption, containing 107k part-aware point cloud-instruction-grounded caption triplets, assesses both MLLMs’ part-aware language comprehension and segmentation grounding capabilities. Our introduced tasks, dataset, and Kestrel represent a preliminary effort to bridge the gap between human cognition and 3D MLLMs, *i.e.*, the ability to perceive and engage with the environment at both global and part levels. Extensive experiments on the 3DCoMPaT-GRIN show that Kestrel can accurately generate user-specific segmentation masks, a capability not present in any existing 3D MLLMs. Kestrel thus established a benchmark for evaluating the part-aware language comprehension and segmentation grounding of 3D objects. Project page: <https://feielysia.github.io/Kestrel.github.io/>

1 Introduction

An inherent facet of human cognition is our ability to perceive and engage with the world from both global and part levels. Part-level knowledge provides fine-grained features, facilitating precise scene modeling and object referencing within the scene. While transferring this knowledge to artificial intelligence (AI) presents significant challenges, this capability is crucial for AI. For instance, envision an AI agent tasked with retrieving a teapot, necessitating knowledge of the teapot handle’s location and material. If the handle is wooden, the agent could safely grasp it directly; however, a hot teapot with a metal handle may require a cloth to prevent burns. Such nuanced decision-making underscores the necessity of empowering AI with part-aware understanding ability. In this paper, we aim to enhance part-aware understanding, enabling AI to comprehend and interact with the real world better.

Recently, large language models (LLMs) [44, 7, 39, 51, 52] and 2D multimodal LLMs (MLLMs) [18, 28, 59, 11, 35, 33] have achieved significant progress. This advancement spans from basic image-level understanding [59, 35] to detailed object-level recognition [54, 11], including pixel-wise segmentation [27, 45] and compositional understanding [30]. Following the success of these models, there is an increasing trend towards adapting these MLLMs for 3D applications [56, 24, 25, 42], aiming to bridge the gap between human and machine interpretation of intricate environments. Despite these advancements, a significant gap remains: existing 3D MLLMs fall short of grasping the intricacies of an object, *e.g.*, constituent parts and materials. This deficiency highlights the urgent need for further developments in 3D MLLMs to reach a level of detailed recognition akin to human cognition, enhancing machine interaction and the comprehension of complex environments.

In this work, our goal is to empower 3D MLLMs with the ability to understand and ground objects at the part level. To this end, we introduce two novel tasks: (1) part-aware point grounding, and (2) part-aware point grounded captioning, encouraging the model to learn part-level knowledge. As illustrated in Fig. 1 (a), part-aware point grounding predicts part-level segmentation mask based on user instruction. Part-aware point grounded captioning (Fig. 1 (b)) receives both point cloud and text as input, generating a detailed caption with part-level details. Each predicted part in the answer requests a corresponding segmentation mask, enhancing the perceptual granularity of models. Furthermore, we build 3DCoMPaT Grounded Instruction Dataset (3DCoMPaT-GRIN) for the proposed tasks based on 3DCoMPaT [31, 48]. Firstly, we repurpose 3DCoMPaT to build 3DCoMPaT-GRIN Vanilla for part-aware point grounding. Specifically, we design 25 instruction templates using ChatGPT and insert masks’ textual descriptions into templates to construct the input. The corresponding mask serves as the label of 3DCoMPaT-GRIN Vanilla. Overall, we generate a total of 789k part-aware point cloud-instruction-segmentation mask triplets. For part-aware point grounded captioning, we propose a new data annotation pipeline to build 3DCoMPaT-GRIN Grounded Caption, a dataset that helps the model comprehend languages, perceive and ground objects at the part level. In this pipeline,

in addition to the part and material information provided by 3DCoMPaT, we incorporate more visual features generated by InstructBLIP [18] and summarize all information into a comprehensive description with segmentation masks using ChatGPT/GPT-4 [39, 1], referred to as grounded caption. In total, we generate 107k part-aware point cloud-instruction-grounded caption triplets.

To the best of our knowledge, there are no existing 3D MLLMs capable of voxel-wise segmentation grounding. We introduce Kestrel, a 3D MLLM with segmentation grounding ability by incorporating a 3D segmentation grounding module. Specifically, we extend MLLMs’ vocabulary with a segmentation token [SEG], which predicts when the instruction requests for segmentation grounding. Together with point cloud features extracted by the segmentation encoder, the hidden states of [SEG] are fed into the segmentation decoder as queries, facilitating the prediction of part-level segmentation masks.

To summarize, our contributions are as follows:

- We introduce two novel tasks: part-aware point grounding and part-aware point grounded captioning. These tasks generate part-aware segmentation masks based on instructions and grounded captions, respectively. Our newly proposed tasks aim to assess the capabilities of current 3D MLLMs in part-aware language comprehension and segmentation grounding.
- We have curated the 3DCoMPaT-GRIN specifically for the part-aware 3D segmentation grounding tasks. 3DCoMPaT-GRIN Vanilla comprises 789k point cloud-instruction-segmentation mask triplets, featuring 100k unique point clouds and 789k part segmentation masks. 3DCoMPaT-GRIN Grounded Caption includes 87k point cloud-instruction-grounded caption triplets grounded in 504k parts. This dataset aims to encourage the learning of part-aware language comprehension and segmentation grounding by MLLMs.
- The introduced Kestrel model augments 3D MLLMs with the capability for part-aware language comprehension and segmentation grounding. To the best of our knowledge, Kestrel is the first 3D MLLM capable of part-aware point segmentation grounding. Extensive experimental evaluation on the newly proposed 3DCoMPaT-GRIN showcases that Kestrel excels in the newly proposed tasks and established a benchmark in this field.

2 Related Work

2D Multimodal Large Language Models. Recent advancements in LLMs such as GPT [44, 7, 39], LLaMA [51, 52], Alpaca [50], and Vicuna [16], along with their multi-modal extensions [2, 28, 29, 18, 11, 59, 35, 34], have significantly enhanced text generation and multi-modal reasoning capabilities. Key developments in this area include Flamingo [2], BLIP [29, 28], MiniGPT-4 [59], InstructBLIP [18], and LLaVA [35, 34], which have advanced AI’s ability to interpret and interact using vision and language. Nevertheless, these models are limited to ground regions in the image. Recent endeavors such as VisionLLM [54], Kosmos-2 [41], Shikra [12], Qwen-VL [5], and MiniGPT-v2 [11] have sought to empower MLLMs with grounding capabilities, largely by integrating location tokens into the MLLM vocabulary and predicting bounding boxes, a concept inspired by Pix2Seq [13, 14]. VisionLLM [54] takes a step further by predicting object masks as polygons; however, this approach compromises the efficiency of model inference. To achieve segmentation grounding more effectively, LISA [27] and GlaMM [45] incorporate a segmentation model into MLLM to accurately ground object masks alongside their textual descriptions. There is a progressive shift towards refining MLLMs for more detailed visual reasoning. However, most of the current MLLMs still fail to achieve part-level reasoning. Empowering MLLMs with part-aware understanding is our focus in this study.

3D Multimodal Large Language Models. Following the advances in 2D MLLMs, recent developments in 3D MLLMs [25, 56, 24, 42] focus on understanding and locating tasks either in 3D scenes or objects. Similar to their 2D counterparts, 3D MLLMs leverage a strong feature encoder to map 3D data into the latent space. This is achieved either by utilizing CLIP ViT [43] on 2D views of the 3D scenes or objects, as demonstrated in 3D-LLM [25], or by aligning a 3D encoder with CLIP using ULIP [57, 58], *e.g.*, PointLLM [56]. These models can be divided into two categories, those capable of comprehending scenes and their objects [25], and those focus solely on capturing object information [56, 24, 42]. However, none of them can ground at the part level using voxel-wise segmentation masks, which are necessary for the model to interact with its surroundings. This paper centers on the development of a part-aware point segmentation grounding MLLM, aiming to address the gap that no existing 3D MLLMs are capable of performing segmentation grounding.

Table 1: **Statistics.** #Part, #Material, and #Text denote the number of part masks, material masks, and texts, respectively. \times indicates that the dataset does not possess this attribute.

	#Part	#Material	#Text	#Avg.	Groundings per Text
PointLLM [56]	\times	\times	730K		\times
Cap3D [37]	\times	\times	1,002K		\times
PartNet [38]	283K	\times	\times		\times
3DCoMPaT++ [48]	520K	269K	\times		\times
3DCoMPaT-GRIN Vanilla	520K	269K	789K		1
3DCoMPaT-GRIN Grounded Caption	330K	174K	87K		5.8

3D Vision-Language Datasets. Early 3D datasets primarily focus on vision-only tasks such as classification [9, 55, 53], detection [8], and segmentation [3, 17, 31, 48, 38]. With the increasing interest in multi-modal learning, significant efforts have been directed toward expanding these datasets to encompass vision-language tasks. Most of them concentrate on 3D text generation, such as 3D captioning [21, 20, 37, 49, 15] and 3D question answering [4]. To enhance interaction between humans and 3D MLLMs, PointLLM [56] and GPT4Point [42] develop a data annotation pipeline aiming at collecting instructions for fine-tuning. However, few datasets consider tasks involving the precise localization of user-specific parts within an object. While ScanRefer [10] can locate 3D objects in a scene through natural language descriptions, it lacks the capability for finer-grained localization, *i.e.*, part-aware point segmentation grounding. Therefore, there is an urgent demand for a dataset capable of accurately grounding part-level segmentation masks of an object, based on user instruction. Although several part-aware segmentation datasets are available for utilization (*e.g.*, 3DCoMPaT [31, 48] and PartNet [38]), how to adapt these vision-only datasets to foster part-aware understanding of 3D MLLMs remains to be solved. Recognizing the absence of a 3D multimodal dataset that allows MLLMs to comprehend part-level knowledge and ground segmentation masks accordingly, we introduce a novel dataset, 3DCoMPaT-GRIN, in this work to address the limitation.

3 3DCoMPaT Grounded Instructions Dataset and Benchmark

Currently, few MLLMs can handle part-aware language comprehension and segmentation grounding. We attribute this limitation to the scarcity of comprehensive part-aware multimodal understanding datasets. To bridge this gap, we introduce 3DCoMPaT Grounded Instructions Dataset (3DCoMPaT-GRIN), designed to facilitate and evaluate models’ capabilities in part-aware understanding and segmentation grounding. 3DCoMPaT-GRIN is derived from the compositional 3D dataset, 3DCoMPaT [31, 48], which features two types of part-level annotations: (1) part annotations $\mathbf{p} = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$ that identify the constituent components of objects, such as “handle,” and (2) material annotations $\mathbf{m} = \{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k\}$ that specify the materials that make up an object, such as “metal.” Each annotation corresponds to a segmentation mask in the point cloud. We adapt 3DCoMPaT to facilitate the training of 3D MLLMs to comprehend user instructions and perform grounding based on the instructions, even in part-level grounding. This is achieved through the introduction of our newly developed dataset 3DCoMPaT-GRIN Vanilla, detailed in Sec. 3.1. In contrast to grounding user-specific parts within a point cloud, addressing action-orient tasks, *e.g.*, retrieving a teapot, poses a crucial challenge for AI agents. Achieving this necessitates a comprehensive understanding of the scene, including spatial positions and inter-region relationships expressed by natural language. We propose 3DCoMPaT-GRIN Grounded Caption in Sec. 3.2 to solve this challenge.

3.1 3DCoMPaT-GRIN Vanilla

Existing 3D segmentation datasets, *e.g.*, 3DCoMPaT [31, 48], and PartNet [38], provide valuable resources for part-aware segmentation tasks. However, these datasets lack integration with multi-modality, *e.g.*, language modality. This omission restricts MLLMs’ learning of part-level knowledge from the dataset and segmentation grounding capability, which is crucial for interactive segmentation grounding. We introduce 3DCoMPaT-GRIN Vanilla to bridge the gap between part-aware vision-only segmentation datasets and their multimodal extension. This dataset will empower 3D MLLMs with part-aware language understanding and segmentation grounding capabilities.

Specifically, we categorize part-aware segmentation grounding into three types based on different instructions: (1) Part grounding, which involves identifying the constituent parts of an object (*e.g.*,




Figure 2: **The kestrel framework.** Vision-language module f_{VL} projects the input cloud and text into language hidden states. Decoding these hidden states, we can get a detailed description \hat{y}_{txt} . Each grounded part in the answer can extract a [SEG] token, the projection layer f_P maps the hidden states of [SEG] tokens h_{seg} to the queries of segmentation grounding decoder f_D . Meanwhile, the segmentation grounding decoder also takes the point features h_{mask} , extracted by the segmentation grounding encoder f_E , as input and predicts the corresponding masks \hat{y}_{mask} .

“handle”), (2) **Material** grounding, which refers to locating the constituent materials of an object (e.g., “metal”), and (3) **Composition** grounding, which is the composition of parts and their materials (e.g., “metal handle”). To generate representative instructions, we collected 15 instruction templates for Part and Composition grounding, and 10 instruction templates for Material grounding. Detailed templates can be found in Appendix C.1. Alongside the point cloud, we embed textual descriptions of a 3D object’s constituents into predefined templates to create the input point cloud and instructions. Each instruction corresponds to a part-level segmentation mask. Consequently, each sample in this dataset is represented as a triple: point cloud-instruction-segmentation mask.

We collect 638K, 54K, and 97K point cloud-instruction-segmentation mask triplets for the training, validation, and test sets, respectively, totaling 789K as shown in Tab. 1). 3DCoMPaT-GRIN Vanilla contains the same parts (520K) and materials (269K) segmentation masks as 3DCoMPaT, but it additionally constructs 520K segmentation compositional grounding masks and 789K textual instructions. While both the dataset proposed in PointLLM and ours can both interpret instruction, the former lacks part-level grounding information.

3.2 3DCoMPaT-GRIN Grounded Caption

In action-oriented tasks, language comprehension is necessary for understanding instructions, while executing actions requires the ability to perceive surroundings and identify the locations of objects and their constituents. To address this challenge, we introduce 3DCoMPaT-GRIN Grounded Caption, which provides a detailed caption for each unique point cloud. Each part-level description mentioned in the generated text is accompanied by a segmentation mask indicating its position in the point cloud.

We propose a novel data annotation pipeline to generate part-aware detailed captions with segmentation masks, referred to as grounded captions. For a specific point cloud, we incorporate its part annotations \mathbf{p} and material annotations \mathbf{m} into a grounding prompt template, resulting in the grounded prompt $P_g = \text{Template}_g(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{m})$. This prompt facilitates part-aware understanding and segmentation grounding. Additionally, we employ the map between part and material annotations to create the relationship prompt between different parts and materials, denoted as *i.e.*, $P_r = \text{Template}_r(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{m})$. To comprehensively capture point cloud features (e.g., color and shape), we use InstructBLIP [18] to caption multi-view images rendered from the point cloud. These 2D multi-view image descriptions are integrated into a visual prompt template, producing the visual prompt $P_v = \text{Template}_v(\text{InstructBLIP}(I_1, I_2, \dots))$, where I_* represents the $*th$ view image. By combining P_g , P_r , and P_v as inputs, we leverage ChatGPT/GPT-4 to summarize these diverse visual features into a detailed grounded caption, represented as $\text{Grounded Caption} = \text{GPT}(P_g, P_r, P_v)$.

As shown in Tab 1, using this data annotation pipeline, we have collected a total of 87K point cloud-instruction-grounded caption triplets. which encompass 330K part grounding masks and 174K material grounding masks within the grounded captions. Compared to datasets in PointLLM and Cap3D, 3DCoMPaT-GRIN Grounded Caption provides part-level positional information *i.e.*, part masks and material masks, enabling part-aware point segmentation grounding of 3D MLLMs. Instead of focusing on vision-only part-aware segmentation like PartNet and 3DCoMPaT++, 3DCoMPaT-GRIN Grounded Caption is capable of following human instructions and generating detailed descriptions.

4 Proposed Approach

While current 3D MLLMs excel in text generation tasks like 3D captioning, 3D question answering, and even 3D grounding, they can not predict voxel-wise segmentation masks. In this section, we introduce a general 3D framework that includes both 3D vision-language and segmentation grounding modules. The 3D vision-language module generates text based on the input text and point cloud data. When the input text requests for segmentation grounding, a projection layer will map the predicted text from the vision-language module to segmentation grounding features. Taking these features along with the input point cloud, the segmentation grounding module generates segmentation masks that meet the input text’s requirements. To begin, let’s talk about the definition of our proposed task in Sec. 4.1. Sec. 4.2 introduces Kestrel, a part-aware segmentation grounding 3D MLLM using our proposed framework, followed by a detailed description of our training objective in Sec. 4.3.

4.1 Task Definition

Part-Aware Point Grounding. This task involves predicting the segmentation mask of a part within a 3D object based on user instructions, aiming to evaluate the model’s part-aware vision-language understanding and its capability to follow instructions for accurate segmentation grounding. In a sample triplet of point cloud, instruction, and segmentation mask from 3DCoMPaT Vanilla, the vision-language module is required to predict a segmentation token [SEG], prompting the segmentation grounding module to generate a mask. The input and output format can refer to Appendix A.1.

Part-Aware Point Grounded Captioning. This task integrates 3D captioning with part-aware segmentation grounding, where the model is tasked with generating a detailed description of an input point cloud while simultaneously predicting segmentation masks for parts mentioned in the caption. The objective is to evaluate the model’s capability to comprehend objects from both local and global perspectives, identify relevant parts mentioned in the answer, and accurately ground these parts by predicting their segmentation masks. In a sample triplet of point cloud, instruction, and grounded caption from 3DCoMPaT Grounded Caption, please refer to Appendix A.2 for the input and output.

4.2 Kestrel

3D Vision-Language Module. To learn three additional tokens (*i.e.*, positional tokens $\langle p \rangle$, $\langle /p \rangle$ and segmentation token [SEG]) in the grounded caption, we incorporate these tokens into vision-language module’s vocabulary, inspired by LISA [27] and GLaMM [45] in 2D domain. The vision-language module, denoted as f_{VL} , takes point cloud \mathbf{x}_{pc} and text \mathbf{x}_{txt} as inputs, generating a detailed caption $\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{txt}$ with fine-grained description. This process can be formulated as:

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{txt} = f_{VL}(\mathbf{x}_{pc}, \mathbf{x}_{txt}) \quad (1)$$

When \mathbf{x}_{txt} requests for predicting grounded captions, [SEG] tokens should be included in the answer.

3D Segmentation Grounding Module. The 3D segmentation grounding module’s feature encoder f_E is employed to encode the input point cloud \mathbf{x}_{pc} into point features \mathbf{h}_{mask} . Subsequently, the module utilizes a Transformer-based decoder, denoted as f_D , capable of receiving queries and predicting segmentation masks. We extract all hidden states \mathbf{h}_{seg} corresponding to the [SEG] tokens within the generated grounded caption and map them into the latent space of the 3D feature decoder using a projection layer f_P , resulting in \mathbf{q}_{init} . Here, \mathbf{h}_{mask} and \mathbf{q}_{init} are defined as follow:

$$\mathbf{h}_{mask} = f_E(\mathbf{x}_{pc}), \mathbf{q}_{init} = f_P(\mathbf{h}_{seg}) \quad (2)$$

Table 2: **Part-Aware Point Grounding Results (IoU)**. Validation and Test represent the results on the 3DCoMPaT-GRIN validation and test sets, respectively.

Model	Validation				Test			
	Part	Mat.	Comp.	Overall	Part	Mat.	Comp.	Overall
PointLLM-SG-7B	16.6	29.3	16.7	19.1	17.8	31.2	17.8	20.5
PointLLM-SG-13B	17.8	31.3	17.8	20.4	18.6	33.2	18.6	21.6
Kestrel-7B	56.1	50.1	56.2	54.9	57.2	53.5	57.3	56.5
Kestrel-13B	52.3	47.9	52.4	51.5	53.1	50.8	53.3	52.7

This 3D feature decoder enables queries to cross-attend to point features \mathbf{h}_{mask} and iteratively refine them to generate corresponding segmentation masks. To guide the 3D decoder in generating part-aware segmentation masks, we initialize queries with \mathbf{q}_{init} derived from [SEG] tokens and projected by the projection layer. The mask generation process is then formulated as:

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{mask} = f_D(\mathbf{q}_{init}, \mathbf{h}_{mask}) \quad (3)$$

Using this framework, we introduce 3D MLLM, Kestrel, to demonstrate improved performance in part-aware language comprehension and segmentation grounding.

4.3 Training Objective

Our objective is to train an end-to-end MLLM capable of generating diverse texts while simultaneously predicting voxel-wise segmentation masks at the part level. To this end, we employ auto-regressive cross-entropy loss L_{CE} for text generation, and binary cross-entropy loss L_{BCE} along with Dice loss L_{Dice} [22] for segmentation. The comprehensive loss function for Kestrel’s training is defined as

$$L = w_{CE} \cdot L_{CE}(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{txt}, \mathbf{y}_{txt}) + w_{BCE} \cdot L_{BCE}(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{mask}, \mathbf{y}_{mask}) + w_{Dice} \cdot L_{Dice}(\hat{\mathbf{y}}_{mask}, \mathbf{y}_{mask}) \quad (4)$$

Here, $w_{CE}, w_{BCE}, w_{Dice}$ denote the weights assigned to different types of losses. Following LISA [27] and GLaMM [45], we set them to 1.0, 2.0, and 0.5 respectively in our paper.

5 Experiments

We conduct extensive experiments to evaluate the effectiveness of our proposed dataset, task, and method in enhancing 3D MLLMs with part-aware language comprehension and segmentation grounding, including (1) part-aware point grounding, and (2) part-aware point grounded caption. In Sec. 5.1, we assess the capability of Kestrel to ground the user-specific segmentation mask at the part level. Sec. 5.2 studies a more challenging task where the model is requested to predict a grounded caption. Various ablation experiments on Kestrel are performed in Sec 5.3.

Implementation Details. We employ PointLLM [56] as our 3D vision-language module in this paper, with the PointLLM_7B_v1.2 and PointLLM_13B_v1.2 checkpoint as the default settings. For the 3D segmentation grounding module, we choose Transformer-based Mask3D [47]. Unless otherwise stated, the projection layer f_p is implemented as a multi-layer perceptron (MLP). We employ LoRA [26] for efficient fine-tuning. with the rank of LoRA set to 8 by default. Additionally, we utilize AdamW [36] optimizer with the learning rate and weight decay set to 0.00009 and 0.0 respectively. We adopt a cosine learning rate scheduler, with the warmup iteration ratio set to 0.03. All attentions in PointLLM are replaced by flash-attention [19] during training. The training is done on 2 A100 GPUs for 10 epochs for the main experiments and 3 epochs for the ablation study with a batch size of 24 for the 7B model and 4 for the 13B model.

Baselines and Metrics. As we are the first to develop a 3D MLLM capable of part-aware point segmentation grounding, there are no existing results for direct comparison. However, we have designed a baseline to compare against. Specifically, we adapt PointLLM to a segmentation grounding version (PointLLM-SG) by integrating a single linear layer that projects the hidden states of the [SEG]

Table 3: **Part-Aware Point Grounded Captioning Results.** Part-Aware S.G. refers to part-aware segmentation grounding. f.t. denotes fine-tuning Kestrel on the pre-trained part-aware point grounding checkpoint. \times here represents 3D MLLM can not evaluate this metric or training divergence.

Model	Part-Aware Language Comprehension				Part-Aware S.G.		
	BLEU-1	METEOR	Sentence-BERT	SimCSE	AP50	mIoU	Recall
PointLLM-7B	20.5	17.5	80.5	79.7	\times	\times	\times
PointLLM-13B	19.1	16.9	79.5	78.2	\times	\times	\times
PointLLM-SG-7B	20.1	16.4	79.7	78.3	\times	\times	\times
PointLLM-SG-13B	19.4	17.2	79.2	78.6	\times	\times	\times
Kestrel-7B	21.5	17.7	80.4	79.7	24.4	58.5	42.6
Kestrel-7B (f.t.)	20.6	17.4	80.8	80.0	29.2	64.0	48.1
Kestrel-13B	20.5	17.4	80.2	79.3	23.0	58.7	41.5
Kestrel-13B (f.t.)	19.9	17.0	79.6	78.5	21.6	56.8	38.7

token predicted by PointLLM to the predicted segmentation mask. For assessing text generation quality, we employ traditional metrics that measure n-grams overlap or their variations, *i.e.*, BLEU-1 [40], ROUGE-L [32], and METEOR [6]. Besides, Sentence-BERT [46] and SimCSE [23] similarity are used to assess sentence similarity at the embedding level. Following GLaMM [45], we report our part-aware point segmentation grounding results using AP50, mIoU, and Recall. The difference is that we adapt this 2D segmentation grounding metrics from GLaMM to our 3D application.

5.1 Part-Aware Point Grounding

We train Kestrel-7B and Kestrel-13B on 3DCoMPaT-GRIN Vanilla for 3 epochs, encompassing around 638K samples. We report IoU on the validation and test sets of 3DCoMPaT-GRIN Vanilla to assess how well a model can ground parts and materials of a 3D object using segmentation masks.

As shown in Tab 2, Kestrel consistently outperforms the baseline PointLLM-SG by a large margin in both Part grounding, Material grounding, and Compositional grounding across different model sizes. The overall IoU for three grounding types demonstrates an improvement of approximately +30.0. When scaling up the LLM from 7B to 13B, Kestrel’s performance slightly drops from 54.9 to 51.5 in validation Overall IoU, and from 56.6 to 52.7 in test Overall IoU. We speculate that this decline may be attributed to the larger model size making more converge more challenging. Overall, Kestrel demonstrates the ability to accurately locate an object’s parts and materials, as well as their composition. This highlights how the proposed dataset and method empower 3D MLLM with the capability to understand and ground objects at the part level. Visualized results in Fig. 1 and Fig. 3 also showcase Kestrel’s strength in part-aware point grounding.

5.2 Part-Aware Point Grounded Captioning

We train Kestrel-7B and Kestrel-13B on the 3DCoMPaT-GRIN Grounded Caption for 10 epochs, with around 81K point cloud-instruction-grounded caption triplets. Kestrel (f.t.) refers to load checkpoint trained on part-aware point grounding task.

The results on 3DCoMPaT-GRIN Grounded Caption validation set are displayed in Tab. 3. As can be seen, training on our proposed dataset and task, PointLLM can generate detailed captions with part-level descriptions. Kestrel slightly outperforms PointLLM in part-aware language comprehension. However, PointLLM cannot ground objects at the part level. A similar conclusion can be derived from the comparison between Kestrel and PointLLM-SG. To our surprise, while PointLLM-SG performs part-aware point grounding, it falls short in generating grounded caption. We suppose the representative capability of a single linear may not be adequate for the model to learn how to locate multiple parts within an object. This underscores the necessity of integrating a 3D segmentation grounding module in our proposed method. Fine-tuning Kestrel-7B using point-aware point grounding checkpoint remains comparable performance in language comprehension, while outperforming other Kestrel variants in part-aware segmentation grounding, evidenced by AP50 (29.2), mIoU (64.0), and Recall (48.1). This improvement is attributed to Kestrel’s prior learning of part-aware understanding and segmentation grounding during point-aware point grounding training. When scaling up Kestrel to 13B, the observed performance decline may result from overfitting due to the increased number of parameters, a phenomenon also noted in PointLLM. Overall, Kestrel exhibits excellent capability in

Table 4: **Ablation Study on Different Model Implementations.** To save computing resources, all experiments in this table use PointLLM-7B as the vision-language module with 3 training epochs.

Model	Part-Aware Language Comprehension				Part-Aware S.G.		
	BLEU-1	METEOR	Sentence-BERT	SimCSE	AP50	mIoU	Recall
Kestrel	20.6	17.4	80.8	80.0	29.2	64.0	48.1
Kestrel-r16	19.8	17.0	79.5	78.6	17.4	55.1	35.9
Kestrel-r32	19.6	16.9	79.1	78.3	17.8	54.8	35.9
Kestrel-r64	20.1	17.0	79.2	78.4	17.1	54.4	35.2
Kestrel-avg	21.2	17.7	80.9	79.9	23.9	59.8	42.8

part-aware language comprehension and segmentation grounding (see Fig. 1 and Fig. 4). Our proposed dataset and method establish a benchmark in evaluating point-aware point grounded captioning.

5.3 Ablation Studies

We conduct various ablation studies to assess the impact of different model implementations on Kestrel’s performance, including LoRA rank, query initialization, and projection layer. For the ablation study on the projection layer, please refer to Appendix B for more details.

LoRA Rank. We investigate the impact of increasing trainable parameters on Kestrel’s performance by adjusting the LoRA rank. Specifically, we set the LoRA rank to 8, 16, 32, and 64 (*i.e.*, Kestrel, Kestrel-r16, Kestrel-r32, Kestrel-r64) to assess the effect of different trainable parameters. The results in Tab. 4 show that Kestrel achieves the best performance with a LoRA rank of 8. Increasing trainable parameters does not improve Kestrel’s performance. We speculate that this is because the additional parameters may interfere with the knowledge inherent in the vision-language module.

Query Initialization As mentioned earlier, we use position tokens <p>, </p>, and segmentation token [SEG] to determine the parts that should be grounded in the answer, *e.g.*, <p> handle </p> [SEG]. We introduce a variant, Kestrel-avg, to enhance the query features from [SEG] token by averaging the hidden states of both grounded texts (*e.g.*, handle) and [SEG] token. Tab. 4 shows that this method does not benefit model performance, as the [SEG] token already aggregates the features of the grounded parts, serving a similar function to [CLS] tokens in the Transformer architecture.

Limitation and Future Works. While our proposed tasks, dataset, and Kestrel perform well in learning and evaluating part-aware language comprehension and segmentation grounding, there are still some limitations: (1) 3DCoMPaT-GRIN offers part-level segmentation grounding annotations, *e.g.*, part and material masks. However, we can still expand the annotation scope to include more part-level attributes such as describing geometry. (2) The proposed 3DCoMPaT-GRIN takes a step forward in part-aware segmentation grounding for 3D objects. Our subsequent aim is to extend this capability beyond single objects and thereby enhance interaction between AI and the 3D world.

6 Conclusion

In summary, we introduce two novel tasks: part-aware point grounding and part-aware point grounded captioning, aiming to assess the capabilities of current 3D MLLMs in part-aware language comprehension and segmentation grounding. We curate the 3DCoMPaT-GRIN to facilitate evaluating these tasks, providing extensive training data for the learning of part-aware language comprehension and segmentation grounding. Building upon our introduced general 3D segmentation grounding frameworks, Kestrel, trained on 3DCoMPaT-GRIN, establishes a benchmark as the first 3D MLLM capable of part-aware point segmentation grounding and text generation. Our contributions advance the field of 3D MLLMs by enhancing their capability of part-aware language comprehension and segmentation grounding, taking a step forward for future research in this domain.

References

- [1] Achiam, J., Adler, S., Agarwal, S., Ahmad, L., Akkaya, I., Aleman, F.L., Almeida, D., Altenschmidt, J., Altman, S., Anadkat, S., et al.: Gpt-4 technical report. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774 (2023)
- [2] Alayrac, J.B., Donahue, J., Luc, P., Miech, A., Barr, I., Hasson, Y., Lenc, K., Mensch, A., Millican, K., Reynolds, M., et al.: Flamingo: a visual language model for few-shot learning. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* **35**, 23716–23736 (2022)
- [3] Armeni, I., Sener, O., Zamir, A.R., Jiang, H., Brilakis, I., Fischer, M., Savarese, S.: 3d semantic parsing of large-scale indoor spaces. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. pp. 1534–1543 (2016)
- [4] Azuma, D., Miyanishi, T., Kurita, S., Kawanabe, M.: Scanqa: 3d question answering for spatial scene understanding. In: *proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. pp. 19129–19139 (2022)
- [5] Bai, J., Bai, S., Yang, S., Wang, S., Tan, S., Wang, P., Lin, J., Zhou, C., Zhou, J.: Qwen-vl: A versatile vision-language model for understanding, localization, text reading, and beyond (2023)
- [6] Banerjee, S., Lavie, A.: Meteor: An automatic metric for mt evaluation with improved correlation with human judgments. In: *Proceedings of the acl workshop on intrinsic and extrinsic evaluation measures for machine translation and/or summarization*. pp. 65–72 (2005)
- [7] Brown, T., Mann, B., Ryder, N., Subbiah, M., Kaplan, J.D., Dhariwal, P., Neelakantan, A., Shyam, P., Sastry, G., Askell, A., et al.: Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems* **33**, 1877–1901 (2020)
- [8] Caesar, H., Bankiti, V., Lang, A.H., Vora, S., Liong, V.E., Xu, Q., Krishnan, A., Pan, Y., Baldan, G., Beijbom, O.: nuscenes: A multimodal dataset for autonomous driving. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. pp. 11621–11631 (2020)
- [9] Chang, A.X., Funkhouser, T., Guibas, L., Hanrahan, P., Huang, Q., Li, Z., Savarese, S., Savva, M., Song, S., Su, H., et al.: Shapenet: An information-rich 3d model repository. arXiv preprint arXiv:1512.03012 (2015)
- [10] Chen, D.Z., Chang, A.X., Nießner, M.: Scanrefer: 3d object localization in rgb-d scans using natural language. In: *European conference on computer vision*. pp. 202–221. Springer (2020)
- [11] Chen, J., Zhu, D., Shen, X., Li, X., Liu, Z., Zhang, P., Krishnamoorthi, R., Chandra, V., Xiong, Y., Elhoseiny, M.: Minigpt-v2: large language model as a unified interface for vision-language multi-task learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.09478 (2023)
- [12] Chen, K., Zhang, Z., Zeng, W., Zhang, R., Zhu, F., Zhao, R.: Shikra: Unleashing multimodal llm’s referential dialogue magic. arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.15195 (2023)
- [13] Chen, T., Saxena, S., Li, L., Fleet, D.J., Hinton, G.: Pix2seq: A language modeling framework for object detection. arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.10852 (2021)
- [14] Chen, T., Saxena, S., Li, L., Lin, T.Y., Fleet, D.J., Hinton, G.E.: A unified sequence interface for vision tasks. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* **35**, 31333–31346 (2022)
- [15] Chen, Z., Gholami, A., Nießner, M., Chang, A.X.: Scan2cap: Context-aware dense captioning in rgb-d scans. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. pp. 3193–3203 (2021)
- [16] Chiang, W.L., Li, Z., Lin, Z., Sheng, Y., Wu, Z., Zhang, H., Zheng, L., Zhuang, S., Zhuang, Y., Gonzalez, J.E., Stoica, I., Xing, E.P.: Vicuna: An open-source chatbot impressing gpt-4 with 90%* chatgpt quality (March 2023), <https://lmsys.org/blog/2023-03-30-vicuna/>
- [17] Dai, A., Chang, A.X., Savva, M., Halber, M., Funkhouser, T., Nießner, M.: Scannet: Richly-annotated 3d reconstructions of indoor scenes. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. pp. 5828–5839 (2017)

- [18] Dai, W., Li, J., Li, D., Tiong, A.M.H., Zhao, J., Wang, W., Li, B., Fung, P.N., Hoi, S.: Instruct-
blip: Towards general-purpose vision-language models with instruction tuning. *Advances in
Neural Information Processing Systems* **36** (2024)
- [19] Dao, T., Fu, D., Ermon, S., Rudra, A., Ré, C.: Flashattention: Fast and memory-efficient
exact attention with io-awareness. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* **35**,
16344–16359 (2022)
- [20] Deitke, M., Liu, R., Wallingford, M., Ngo, H., Michel, O., Kusupati, A., Fan, A., Laforte, C.,
Voleti, V., Gadre, S.Y., et al.: Objaverse-xl: A universe of 10m+ 3d objects. *Advances in Neural
Information Processing Systems* **36** (2024)
- [21] Deitke, M., Schwenk, D., Salvador, J., Weihs, L., Michel, O., VanderBilt, E., Schmidt, L.,
Ehsani, K., Kembhavi, A., Farhadi, A.: Objaverse: A universe of annotated 3d objects. In:
Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition. pp.
13142–13153 (2023)
- [22] Deng, R., Shen, C., Liu, S., Wang, H., Liu, X.: Learning to predict crisp boundaries. In:
Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV). pp. 562–578 (2018)
- [23] Gao, T., Yao, X., Chen, D.: Simcse: Simple contrastive learning of sentence embeddings. *arXiv
preprint arXiv:2104.08821* (2021)
- [24] Guo, Z., Zhang, R., Zhu, X., Tang, Y., Ma, X., Han, J., Chen, K., Gao, P., Li, X., Li, H.,
et al.: Point-bind & point-llm: Aligning point cloud with multi-modality for 3d understanding,
generation, and instruction following. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.00615* (2023)
- [25] Hong, Y., Zhen, H., Chen, P., Zheng, S., Du, Y., Chen, Z., Gan, C.: 3d-llm: Injecting the 3d
world into large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.12981* (2023)
- [26] Hu, E.J., Shen, Y., Wallis, P., Allen-Zhu, Z., Li, Y., Wang, S., Wang, L., Chen, W.: Lora:
Low-rank adaptation of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.09685* (2021)
- [27] Lai, X., Tian, Z., Chen, Y., Li, Y., Yuan, Y., Liu, S., Jia, J.: Lisa: Reasoning segmentation via
large language model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.00692* (2023)
- [28] Li, J., Li, D., Savarese, S., Hoi, S.: Blip-2: Bootstrapping language-image pre-training with
frozen image encoders and large language models. In: *International conference on machine
learning*. pp. 19730–19742. PMLR (2023)
- [29] Li, J., Li, D., Xiong, C., Hoi, S.: Blip: Bootstrapping language-image pre-training for unified
vision-language understanding and generation. In: *International conference on machine learning*.
pp. 12888–12900. PMLR (2022)
- [30] Li, J., Chen, D., Hong, Y., Chen, Z., Chen, P., Shen, Y., Gan, C.: Covlm: Composing visual
entities and relationships in large language models via communicative decoding. *arXiv preprint
arXiv:2311.03354* (2023)
- [31] Li, Y., Upadhyay, U., Slim, H., Abdelreheem, A., Prajapati, A., Pothigara, S., Wonka, P.,
Elhoseiny, M.: 3d compat: Composition of materials on parts of 3d things. In: *European
Conference on Computer Vision*. pp. 110–127. Springer (2022)
- [32] Lin, C.Y.: Rouge: A package for automatic evaluation of summaries. In: *Text summarization
branches out*. pp. 74–81 (2004)
- [33] Liu, H., Li, C., Li, Y., Lee, Y.J.: Improved baselines with visual instruction tuning. *arXiv
preprint arXiv:2310.03744* (2023)
- [34] Liu, H., Li, C., Li, Y., Lee, Y.J.: Improved baselines with visual instruction tuning. *arXiv
preprint arXiv:2310.03744* (2023)
- [35] Liu, H., Li, C., Wu, Q., Lee, Y.J.: Visual instruction tuning. *Advances in neural information
processing systems* **36** (2024)

- [36] Loshchilov, I., Hutter, F.: Decoupled weight decay regularization. arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.05101 (2017)
- [37] Luo, T., Rockwell, C., Lee, H., Johnson, J.: Scalable 3d captioning with pretrained models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* **36** (2024)
- [38] Mo, K., Zhu, S., Chang, A.X., Yi, L., Tripathi, S., Guibas, L.J., Su, H.: Partnet: A large-scale benchmark for fine-grained and hierarchical part-level 3d object understanding. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. pp. 909–918 (2019)
- [39] Ouyang, L., Wu, J., Jiang, X., Almeida, D., Wainwright, C., Mishkin, P., Zhang, C., Agarwal, S., Slama, K., Ray, A., et al.: Training language models to follow instructions with human feedback. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* **35**, 27730–27744 (2022)
- [40] Papineni, K., Roukos, S., Ward, T., Zhu, W.J.: Bleu: a method for automatic evaluation of machine translation. In: *Proceedings of the 40th annual meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*. pp. 311–318 (2002)
- [41] Peng, Z., Wang, W., Dong, L., Hao, Y., Huang, S., Ma, S., Wei, F.: Kosmos-2: Grounding multimodal large language models to the world. arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.14824 (2023)
- [42] Qi, Z., Fang, Y., Sun, Z., Wu, X., Wu, T., Wang, J., Lin, D., Zhao, H.: Gpt4point: A unified framework for point-language understanding and generation (2023)
- [43] Radford, A., Kim, J.W., Hallacy, C., Ramesh, A., Goh, G., Agarwal, S., Sastry, G., Askell, A., Mishkin, P., Clark, J., et al.: Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In: *International conference on machine learning*. pp. 8748–8763. PMLR (2021)
- [44] Radford, A., Wu, J., Child, R., Luan, D., Amodei, D., Sutskever, I., et al.: Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. *OpenAI blog* **1**(8), 9 (2019)
- [45] Rasheed, H., Maaz, M., Shaji, S., Shaker, A., Khan, S., Cholakkal, H., Anwer, R.M., Xing, E., Yang, M.H., Khan, F.S.: Glamm: Pixel grounding large multimodal model. arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.03356 (2023)
- [46] Reimers, N., Gurevych, I.: Sentence-bert: Sentence embeddings using siamese bert-networks. arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.10084 (2019)
- [47] Schult, J., Engelmann, F., Hermans, A., Litany, O., Tang, S., Leibe, B.: Mask3D: Mask Transformer for 3D Semantic Instance Segmentation (2023)
- [48] Slim, H., Li, X., Li, Y., Ahmed, M., Ayman, M., Upadhyay, U., Abdelreheem, A., Prajapati, A., Pothigara, S., Wonka, P., et al.: 3dcompat⁺⁺: An improved large-scale 3d vision dataset for compositional recognition. arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.18511 (2023)
- [49] Sun, Q., Li, Y., Liu, Z., Huang, X., Liu, F., Liu, X., Ouyang, W., Shao, J.: Unig3d: A unified 3d object generation dataset. arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.10730 (2023)
- [50] Taori, R., Gulrajani, I., Zhang, T., Dubois, Y., Li, X., Guestrin, C., Liang, P., Hashimoto, T.B.: Stanford alpaca: An instruction-following llama model. https://github.com/tatsu-lab/stanford_alpaca (2023)
- [51] Touvron, H., Lavril, T., Izacard, G., Martinet, X., Lachaux, M.A., Lacroix, T., Rozière, B., Goyal, N., Hambro, E., Azhar, F., et al.: Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971 (2023)
- [52] Touvron, H., Martin, L., Stone, K., Albert, P., Almahairi, A., Babaei, Y., Bashlykov, N., Batra, S., Bhargava, P., Bhosale, S., et al.: Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288 (2023)
- [53] Uy, M.A., Pham, Q.H., Hua, B.S., Nguyen, T., Yeung, S.K.: Revisiting point cloud classification: A new benchmark dataset and classification model on real-world data. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*. pp. 1588–1597 (2019)

- [54] Wang, W., Chen, Z., Chen, X., Wu, J., Zhu, X., Zeng, G., Luo, P., Lu, T., Zhou, J., Qiao, Y., et al.: Visionllm: Large language model is also an open-ended decoder for vision-centric tasks. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems* **36** (2024)
- [55] Wu, Z., Song, S., Khosla, A., Yu, F., Zhang, L., Tang, X., Xiao, J.: 3d shapenets: A deep representation for volumetric shapes. In: *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. pp. 1912–1920 (2015)
- [56] Xu, R., Wang, X., Wang, T., Chen, Y., Pang, J., Lin, D.: Pointllm: Empowering large language models to understand point clouds. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.16911* (2023)
- [57] Xue, L., Gao, M., Xing, C., Martín-Martín, R., Wu, J., Xiong, C., Xu, R., Niebles, J.C., Savarese, S.: Ulip: Learning unified representation of language, image and point cloud for 3d understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.05171* (2022)
- [58] Xue, L., Yu, N., Zhang, S., Li, J., Martín-Martín, R., Wu, J., Xiong, C., Xu, R., Niebles, J.C., Savarese, S.: Ulip-2: Towards scalable multimodal pre-training for 3d understanding (2023)
- [59] Zhu, D., Chen, J., Shen, X., Li, X., Elhoseiny, M.: Minigt-4: Enhancing vision-language understanding with advanced large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.10592* (2023)

A Format of Input and Output Demos

A.1 Part-Aware Point Grounding

Example of the input and output for part-aware point grounding:

- User: <PC> Please segment the {Part} in the 3D model. Kestrel: It is [SEG].
- User: <PC> Which parts are made of {Mat.} in this 3D model? Kestrel: It is [SEG].
- User: <PC> Locate and isolate the {Comp.} in the given 3D model. Kestrel: It is [SEG].

Here, {Part}, {Mat.}, and {Comp.} refers to the textual description of different types of masks, *i.e.*, part mask, material mask, and compositional mask. <PC> in the user instruction denotes the point cloud features extracted by a point encoder, while [SEG] token in the response indicates the request for an instruction-following part-aware segmentation mask.




Figure 3: **Visualization Results on Part-Aware Point Grounding.** Showcasing the Part and Material Grounding Capabilities of Kestrel.

A.2 Part-Aware Point Grounded Captioning Demos

Example of the input and output for part-aware point grounded captioning:

- User: <PC> Please give me a complete breakdown of the 3D model, with each part.
- Kestrel: The 3D chair features a unique upside-down bowl shape with a green fabric <p> seat </p> [SEG] and wooden <p> legs </p> [SEG], all supported by a black base.

The position tokens `<p>` and `</p>` indicate parts that need to be grounded in the answer. For example, `<p> seat </p>` [SEG] represents the prediction of the seat’s segmentation mask. In this response, Kestrel will predict the segmentation masks of seats and legs.




Figure 4: **Visualization Results on Part-Aware Point Grounded Captioning.** Qualitative results for the Compositional understanding and Part Segmentation skills of Kestrel.

B Projection Layer

Table 5: **Ablation Study on Projection Layer.**

Model	Part-Aware Language Comprehension				Part-Aware S.G.		
	BLEU-1	METEOR	Sentence-BERT	SimCSE	AP50	mIoU	Recall
Kestrel	20.6	17.4	80.8	80.0	29.2	64.0	48.1
Kestrel-linear	20.1	16.4	79.7	78.3	✗	✗	✗

In our paper, we utilize an MLP as the projection layer to map [SEG] tokens to queries for the segmentation decoder. Inspired by MiniGPT-4 [59], we replace the MLP with a linear layer (*i.e.*, Kestrel-linear) to see how well it aligns [SEG] tokens with queries in the segmentation decoder. As shown in Tab 5, a single linear projection layer fails to converge in segmentation grounding. This may be caused by its limited representative capability for dense prediction tasks.

C Instruction Templates

C.1 3DCoMPaT-GRIN Vanilla Template

The templates for Part grounding and Composition grounding are as follows:

- `<PC>` Where is the `<placeholder>` in this 3D model?
- `<PC>` Please segment the `<placeholder>` in the 3D model.
- `<PC>` Can you segment the `<placeholder>` in this 3D model?
- `<PC>` Identify and highlight the `<placeholder>` within the 3D model.
- `<PC>` Locate and isolate the `<placeholder>` in the given 3D model.
- `<PC>` Please find and segment the `<placeholder>` in the 3D model.

- <PC> Highlight the region corresponding to the <placeholder> in the 3D model.
- <PC> Could you segment the part described as <placeholder> within this 3D model?
- <PC> Segment the part of the 3D model corresponding to the <placeholder>.
- <PC> Show me where the <placeholder> is located within the 3D model.
- <PC> Segment the part referred to as <placeholder> in the 3D model.
- <PC> Can you identify and segment the <placeholder> in this 3D model for me?
- <PC> Please pinpoint the area indicated by the <placeholder> within the 3D model.
- <PC> Segment the part specified by the <placeholder> from the 3D model.
- <PC> Please segment the region indicated by the <placeholder> in the 3D model.

The templates for Material grounding are as follows:

- <PC> Which parts are made of <placeholder> in this 3D model?
- <PC> Please segment the parts made by <placeholder> in the 3D model.
- <PC> Can you segment the parts made by <placeholder> in this 3D model?
- <PC> Identify and highlight all the parts composed of <placeholder> in this 3D model.
- <PC> Highlight any parts formed from <placeholder> in this 3D model.
- <PC> Could you pinpoint the parts crafted from <placeholder> in this 3D model?
- <PC> Locate the parts constructed from <placeholder> in the given 3D model.
- <PC> Please find and segment the parts constructed from <placeholder> in the 3D model.
- <PC> Show me which parts are crafted from <placeholder> within the 3D model.
- <PC> Can you identify and segment the parts composed of <placeholder> in this 3D model?

C.2 3DCoMPaT-GRIN Grounded Caption Template

The templates for 3DCoMPaT-GRIN Grounded Caption are as follows:

- <PC> Provide a comprehensive overview of the 3D model, including part compositional description.
- <PC> Describe the 3D model in detail.
- <PC> Please offer a thorough explanation of the 3D model.
- <PC> Can you give me an in-depth description of the 3D model?
- <PC> I would like a complete description of the 3D model.
- <PC> Kindly provide a detailed account of the 3D model.
- <PC> Please present a comprehensive description of the 3D model
- <PC> I require a thorough depiction of the 3D model.
- <PC> Describe the 3D model in detail.
- <PC> Please give me a complete overview of the 3D model.
- <PC> Generate a detailed description of the 3D model.
- <PC> Please provide an in-depth analysis of the 3D model, along with details the various components.
- <PC> Describe the 3D model comprehensively, and the relevant parts information throughout your answer.
- <PC> I need a thorough explanation of the 3D model.
- <PC> Please give me a complete breakdown of the 3D model, with each part.
- <PC> Kindly provide a comprehensive description of the 3D model, and the individual parts composition.

- <PC> I would like a detailed overview of the 3D model. Please include part-specific description in your explanation.
- <PC> Please provide a thorough representation of the 3D model.
- <PC> Describe the 3D model in detail, and include details for each part, distributed throughout your answer.
- <PC> Please give me an extensive description of the 3D model.
- <PC> I require a comprehensive analysis of the 3D model.
- <PC> Provide a thorough depiction of the 3D model.
- <PC> Please deliver an in-depth explanation of the 3D model.
- <PC> I would like a complete portrayal of the 3D model.
- <PC> Kindly provide a detailed representation of the 3D model.
- <PC> Please present a comprehensive analysis of the 3D model, and include part-specific information.
- <PC> I need a thorough description of the 3D model.
- <PC> Describe the 3D model comprehensively, and provide detailed explanation of each part.
- <PC> Please give me a complete overview of the 3D model.
- <PC> Generate a detailed depiction of the 3D model."

D 3DCoMPaT-GRIN Grounded Caption Example





	
<p>Parts: "knob", "curtain", "curtain hook", "curtain pole" Materials: "leather", "metal", "plastic"</p>	<p>Parts: "door", "handle", "shelf", "drawer", "vertical back panel", "vertical divider panel", "leg", "horizontal top panel", "horizontal bottom panel" Materials: "wood", "metal"</p>
<p>Caption: The elegant 3D curtain, notable for its sleek and modern design, incorporates several black metal rods laid out in a distinct zig-zag pattern. These rods, which vary in height, contribute to the curtain's visually captivating appeal. Complementing the design, a metal knob enhances the curtain's sophistication. Additionally, other elements such as a leather curtain, a metal curtain hook, and a plastic curtain pole are integral to the assembly, each adding their unique character to the overall aesthetic.</p>	<p>Caption: This 3D cabinet integrates various materials to create a functional and aesthetic piece. It features a wooden door complemented by metal handles, enhancing its modern look. The cabinet includes a metal shelf and a metal drawer, which is partially open to reveal the interior. Additionally, it has a wooden vertical back panel and a metal vertical divider panel, which aid in organizing the space. The structure is supported by wooden legs and framed by a wooden horizontal top panel and a metal horizontal bottom panel, all designed to ensure durability while maintaining a sleek, minimalist style.</p>
	
<p>Parts: "backrest", "vertical back panel", "armrest", "seat", "leg", "seat support" Materials: "leather", "wood", "fabric"</p>	<p>Parts: "support", "candle holder connector", "pedestal", "candle" Materials: "leather", "wood", "fabric"</p>
<p>Caption: The 3D love seat features a sophisticated blend of materials and design elements. It includes a seat and backrest made of brown and black leather, complemented by a vertical back panel and armrests crafted from fabric. Additionally, the love seat is supported by legs and a seat support made of wood, with added comfort provided by armrest cushions also made of fabric.</p>	<p>Caption: The 3D candle holder has a modern and sleek cylindrical design, crafted predominantly in white ceramic with red accents. It includes a support made of ceramic, which complements the overall aesthetic. Additionally, the candle holder features a candle holder connector and a pedestal, both of which are made of metal, ensuring stability and durability. Completing the ensemble is a candle made of wax, which sits securely within the holder.</p>

Figure 5: 3DComPaT Grounded Instructions Dataset Examples of the 3D shape collected from 3D Compat and their associated grounded parts and materials.