# Mixed-Precision Over-The-Air Federated Learning via Approximated Computing

Jinsheng Yuan SATM Cranfield University United Kingdom jinsheng.yuan@cranfield.ac.uk Zhuangkun Wei Department of Computing Imperial College London United Kingdom zhuangkun.wei@imperial.ac.uk Weisi Guo SATM Cranfield University United Kingdom weisi.guo@cranfield.ac.uk

*Abstract*—Over-the-Air Federated Learning (OTA-FL) has been extensively investigated as a privacy-preserving distributed learning mechanism. Realistic systems will see FL clients with diverse size, weight, and power configurations. A critical research gap in existing OTA-FL research is the assumption of homogeneous client computational bit precision. Indeed, many clients may exploit approximate computing (AxC) where bit precisions are adjusted for energy and computational efficiency. The dynamic distribution of bit precision updates amongst FL clients poses an open challenge for OTA-FL, as is is incompatible in the wireless modulation superposition space.

Here, we propose an AxC-based OTA-FL framework of clients with multiple precisions, demonstrating the following innovations: (i) optimize the quantization-performance trade-off for both server and clients within the constraints of varying edge computing capabilities and learning accuracy requirements, and (ii) develop heterogeneous gradient resolution OTA-FL modulation schemes to ensure compatibility with physical layer OTA aggregation. Our findings indicate that we can design modulation schemes that enable AxC based OTA-FL, which can achieve 50% faster and smoother server convergence and a performance enhancement for the lowest precision clients compared to a homogeneous precision approach. This demonstrates the great potential of our AxC-based OTA-FL approach in heterogeneous edge computing environments.

Index Terms—Over-The-Air Computation, Federated Learning, Approximate Computing

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Federated learning (FL), first proposed in [1], has been widely studied and applied as a secured and privacypreserving distributed learning framework via its parameter sharing and aggregation designs instead of raw data sharing in centralized learning. From research perspectives, current literature focuses on (i) privacy and security enhancement, (ii) performance and efficiency improvement.

## A. Trade-offs in Computation and Communication Costs

The interplay between FL performance and computational cost has long been a focal point. Navigating this trade-off is crucial for optimizing system performance within client resource constraints. Approaches to this optimization span a broad spectrum, including hardware-accelerated solutions [2], quantization techniques for precision scaling [3], and

\*Corresponding author.

software-driven network architecture optimizations [4]. Hardware and architecture-based Approximate Computing (AxC) methods prioritize end-to-end efficiency. Within it, quantization stands out as a universally applicable strategy, offering a direct balance between model accuracy and computational overhead [5].

On the communication front, optimizing FL systems involves not only minimizing the number of communication rounds but also reducing the data payload for each interaction. Strategies for managing asynchronous communication between clients and servers often depend on task urgency, with non-critical tasks being scheduled during low-traffic periods to make efficient use of available bandwidth. Moreover, leveraging computation-conserving measures, such as smaller model architectures or lower precision computations, can significantly reduce communication demands. Advanced techniques, such as gradient compression [6], further contribute to minimizing the bandwidth requirements for FL systems.

# B. Over-the-Air Federated Learning (OTA-FL)

Regarding privacy and security, cryptographic methods and differential privacy (DP) mechanisms have been explored, including but not limited to post-quantum cryptography [7], code-based [8], and differential privacy [9]. However, the computational and resource demands of these methods pose challenges, especially on resource-limited edge platforms.

In response, Yang et al. [10] introduced over-the-air federated learning (OTA-FL), leveraging the randomness of physical layer channel states and electromagnetic superposition to protect against information leakage. Recent advancements in OTA-FL encompass transmitting node precoding [11], server beamforming vector optimization [12], and reconfigurable intelligent surface (RIS) phase adjustment [13]. Compared to traditional cryptographic and DP methods, OTA-FL presents a viable, resource-efficient security framework for FL gradientsharing processes.

#### C. Novelty and Paper Structure

This paper underscores the significant yet under-explored potential of precision scaling in addressing both computational and communication trade-offs in FL. Our research is driven by



Fig. 1. Structure of our proposed Approximate Computing (AxC) based OTA-FL framework of multi-precision clients and unified multi-precision modulation scheme. The intelligent transport validation case study here is a multi-precision federated supervised traffic sign recognizer. (a) Clients operate end-to-end at their designated computation precisions with their own data and labels. (b) Multi-precision OTA aggregation process (uplink). (c) Downlink, re-quantization and client model update.

the development of an OTA-FL system capable of accommodating clients of multiple computation bit precisions, with the long-term aim of optimizing efficiency, privacy, and security. The research is structured around several key questions: (i) what are the potential efficiency benefits of dynamically configuring client model parameters across different precision levels, and (ii) determining the a viable modulation scheme to allow OTA-FL to aggregate multi-precision client updates. In this paper, our contributions are threefold:

- We propose an AxC-based OTA-FL framework for multi-precision clients, aimed at bolstering performance and efficiency.
- 2) We develop heterogeneous gradient resolution modulation schemes to ensure compatibility with physical-layer

OTA aggregation.

3) Through the data-driven case study of intelligent transport, we demonstrate the effectiveness of our approach. Experimental outcomes indicate a notable enhancement in convergence speed and a performance improvement of approximately 5% for the lowest precision clients compared to conventional quantized FL. These findings underscore the potential of our AxC-based OTA-FL framework in resource-constrained, heterogeneous edge computing environments.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: Section II provides a detailed exploration of OTA-FL concepts. Section III delves into the design specifics of our AxC-based OTA-FL framework. Experimental validations and results are presented in Section IV. Finally, Section V concludes the paper, summarizing key findings and contributions.

#### **II. PRELIMINARIES**

### A. Federated EdgE Learning

Federated EdgE Learning (FEEL) represents a pivotal approach in AI applications, notably within the realms of mobile applications and the Internet of Things (IoT). As a distributed learning framework, FEEL enables a multitude of edge devices to collaboratively refine a unified global model, while preserving the privacy of their local data. This process is iterative, involving the training of local models on individual edge devices, followed by the aggregation of these models to update the global model. The refined global model is then disseminated back to the edge devices for subsequent predictions and further local training iterations, thereby progressively enhancing the global model's accuracy.

The model aggregation phase, central to FEEL, can be mathematically represented as follows:

$$\theta^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i \cdot \theta_i^{(k)},$$
(1)

where  $\theta^{(k+1)}$  denotes the parameters of the global model after the (k+1)-th training iteration,  $\theta_i^{(k)}$  represents the parameters of the local model from edge device *i* at the *k*-th iteration, and  $w_i$  signifies the relative contribution (or weight) of edge device *i*, typically proportional to its dataset size.

# B. Over-The-Air Computation

The principle of Over-The-Air (OTA) computation, initially proposed in [14], exploits the natural superposition property inherent to wireless channels. Within the FL framework, this concept finds practical application during the gradient aggregation phase. By utilizing a common uplink bandwidth across all edge devices for gradient transmission, the superposition property of the channel facilitates direct aggregation. Consider a Single-Input Single-Output (SISO) fading channel between the server and an edge device i, characterized by a Rayleigh distributed random variable  $h_{s,i} \in \mathbb{C}$ . The OTA aggregation process can then be modeled as:

$$r_s^{(k)} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_{s,i} \cdot f\left(\theta_i^{(k)}\right) + n_s^{(k)},$$
(2)

where  $f(\cdot)$  embodies the comprehensive process including source coding, constellation design, up-sampling, modulation, and precoding. Here,  $r_s^{(k)}$  represents the aggregated signal received by the server in the k-th upload cycle, and  $n_s^{(k)}$ denotes the additive noise.

In contrast to traditional federated learning aggregation mechanisms, leveraging OTA computation necessitates the careful design of  $f(\cdot)$  to address two critical challenges: (i) mitigating the effects of channel fading, and (ii) ensuring accurate linear summation of gradients from multiple edges. This becomes particularly complex when considering edges with disparate computational capabilities, storage capacities, and operational bit constraints. A notable challenge arises in aggregating gradients quantized at different levels, exemplified by the non-commutative property of quantized modulations:

$$QAM([\theta_i]_{q_i}) + QAM([\theta_j]_{q_j}) \neq QAM([\theta_i]_{q_i} + [\theta_j]_{q_j}), \quad (3)$$

where  $QAM(\cdot)$  represent the quadrature modulation function and  $[\theta_i]_{q_i}$  indicates model parameters of client *i* is at  $q_i$ quantization levels (in terms of bits) utilized for encoding weights and biases of models at different edge devices.

# C. Approximate Computing

Approximate Computing (AC) encompasses diverse methodologies aimed at balancing computational performance with energy efficiency or computational resource savings. These methodologies span both hardware and software domains, offering versatile solutions for performance optimization. A pivotal inspiration within the domain of AC comes from the utilization of Field Programmable Gate Arrays (FPGAs) as accelerators and the development of synthesizable algorithms that harness FPGAs' reconfigurable nature [15], [16]. In contrast to the fixed computational architectures of Central Processing Units (CPUs) and Graphics Processing Units (GPUs), which operate within conventional pipelines at standard precision levels (e.g., 32-bit or 64-bit), FPGAs offer a paradigm of customizable computation. This unique capability enables FPGAs to perform calculations in a highly efficient manner tailored to specific tasks. Consequently, FPGAs find extensive applications across various fields, including networking, signal processing, multimedia codec operations, and, notably, machine learning tasks [17].

In the context of edge computing, FPGA accelerators becomes particularly advantageous, as they can be dynamically reprogrammed to custom computation precision. This flexibility allows for computations to be executed at lower precision levels (e.g., 8-bit or 4-bit) with minimal overhead, thereby significantly enhancing computational efficiency and energy conservation in resource-constrained environments [2].

# III. APPROXIMATED OTA FEDERATED EDGE LEARNING

A. Low-precision Machine Learning on Edge



Fig. 2. Performance benchmark of various non-federated traffic sign recognizer models, with the GTSRB dataset, at multiple quantization levels, showcasing viability of low precision machine learning.

Machine learning deployment on edge devices presents significant challenges, chiefly due to constrained resources in computation and power. In response, low-precision machine learning has emerged as a viable strategy to mitigate these limitations by reducing computational demands and memory footprint. Unlike traditional approaches that rely on 32-bit or 64-bit floating-point representations, low-precision techniques employ 16-bit, 8-bit, or even 4-bit fixed-point formats for storing, inferring, and training machine learning models directly on edge devices [18], [19]. As illustrated in Fig. 2, empirical benchmarks reveal that the degradation in model performance becomes significant only when quantization is applied below 8-bits. Remarkably, many models retain acceptable levels of accuracy even at 4-bit precision.

Adopting lower bit-depths not only conserves computational and memory resources but also enables the integration of larger and more complex model architectures within the same resource constraints as opposed to utilizing standard precision levels. Beyond this flexibility, the pursuit of lowprecision machine learning unveils a critical trade-off between quantization depth and model performance. This observation underpins the essence of designing AC-based OTA-FL systems; it underscores the necessity of identifying the most suitable quantization level for each edge device, particularly those operating at the lowest feasible bit-depths [20]. This optimization is paramount in maximizing the efficiency and efficacy of machine learning models in resource-limited edge computing environments, highlighting the intricate balance between computational frugality and performance integrity.

# B. Multi-Precision Over-The-Air Aggregation

Over-The-Air Federated Learning (OTA-FL) encompasses a three-step process during each update round, denoted as the *k*-th round. The sequence begins with each client *i* conducting local training to compute its gradient, represented as  $g_i^{(k)}$ . Subsequently, as shown in Fig. 1 (b), the client prepares for transmission by converting binary parameters, which vary in precision and are stored in Random Access Memory (RAM), into their decimal equivalents. These decimal values are then modulated onto carrier waves through amplitude modulation, creating signals ready for bandwidth transmission. Our modulation function can be describe as:

$$M([\theta_i]_{q_i}) = [\theta_i]_{q_i} \cdot \cos 2\pi f_c t \tag{4}$$

where  $M(\cdot)$  represent the modulation function,  $[\theta_i]_{q_i}$  indicates model parameters of client *i* is at  $q_i$  quantization levels, and  $f_c$  is the channel frequency.

In the uploading phase, client *i* undertakes channel estimation to ascertain the communication link, denoted as  $h_{s,i}$ , between itself and the server. This estimated channel information is crucial for implementing transmitting beamforming, a technique employed for channel compensation. Such compensation is integral to facilitating efficient OTA aggregation of the transmitted data. The procedural intricacies of this approach are elucidated in the sections below.

1) Channel Estimation at Clients: OTA-FL aggregation requires the channel estimation between the server and each client. This is done by broadcasting predefined pilot sequences, denoted as u, from the server. Then, the client i can estimate the channel between i and the server  $h_{s,i}$  as:

$$\hat{h}_{s,i} = y_s \cdot \frac{u^*}{|u|^2} \approx h_{s,i},\tag{5}$$

where  $y_s = h_{s,i} \cdot u + n_s$  is the received signals at server with receiving noise  $n_s$ .

2) Uplink Design: After channel estimation at each client *i*, the client directly modulates its gradients to carrier frequency and compensates for channel distortion via its estimated channel. The base-band transmitted signal is designed as:

$$f\left(\theta_{i}^{(k)}\right) = \hat{h}_{s,i}^{-1} \cdot \theta_{i}^{(k)}.$$
(6)

Hence, aggregation can be done via the natural superposition of the electromagnetic wave, i.e.,  $r_s^{(k)} \approx \sum_{i=1}^N \theta_i^{(k)}$ .

3) Downlink Design: After the OTA aggregation at the server, the server broadcasts the aggregated gradient, i.e.,  $r_s^{(k)}/N \approx \sum_{i=1}^N \theta_i^{(k)}/N$  back to clients. The received signal at each client *i* is:

$$r_i^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{N} h_{s,i} \cdot r_s^{(k)} + n_i^{(k)}.$$
(7)

The client i then recovers the aggregated gradient via the estimated channel, as follows,

$$\tilde{\theta}_{i}^{(k+1)} = h_{s,i}^{-1} \cdot r_{n}^{(k+1)} \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \theta_{n}^{(k)}, \tag{8}$$

where  $\tilde{\theta}_i^{(k+1)}$  is the updated gradient of client *i*, and will be local trained for the (k+1)th round.

## IV. EXPERIMENTS

This section details the experiment setup and results addressing the research questions posited earlier, focusing on (i) the efficacy of multi-precision over-the-air (OTA) aggregation, and (ii) the formulation of client quantization schemes to optimize performance across both server and client dimensions.

# A. Settings

Our experimental framework emulates an OTA FL system of 15 traffic sign recognizer clients, distributed evenly across three quantization levels, including repeated elements. All models are of ResNet152 structure and initialized with ImageNet [21] pre-trained weights, operating over 100 communication rounds with 5-30dB of emulated Gaussian noise.

1) Data: The German Traffic Sign Recognition Benchmark (GTSRB) [22] serves as the dataset for our experiments, comprising 39,209 training and 12,630 testing samples across 43 traffic sign classes. Each client receives an equal subset of data per round, working at their respective quantization levels. Given the uniform data distribution, the weighting factor  $w_i$  in Equation 1 is consistent across clients, simplifying the aggregation equation by excluding it.

2) Quantization Schemes: Quantization levels are set at [32, 24, 16, 12, 8, 6, 4], bifurcated into higher [32, 24, 16, 12] and lower [8, 6, 4] sub-lists for two reasons: (i) model performance remains stable at 8-bit and above as evidenced by Fig. 2, and (ii) the GTSRB dataset images are in 8-bit RGB format. Each quantization scheme comprises a three-element permutation from these lists, with a focus on lower quantization levels. Specifically, permutations include one element from the higher and two from the lower sub-lists. The round-to-nearest (RTN) method is employed for quantization, with fixed-point and floating-point formats applicable to 8-bit and above. Below 8-bit, only fixed-point format is used due to the reduced dynamic range of floating-point representations.

*3) Baselines:* For comparative analysis, uni-precision FL systems operating at 16-bit, 8-bit, and 4-bit serve as baselines against our multi-precision approach. The 16-bit setup is considered standard precision for edge devices, while 8-bit and 4-bit setups represent uniform quantization baselines.

4) *Metrics:* Evaluation metrics for server include convergence speed, measured by the number of communication rounds required for convergence, and the performance of the aggregated model post-convergence. For clients, we assess their performances after aggregation and re-quantization.

#### B. Results

This section presents the empirical findings from our experiments, focusing on federated training convergence, server model accuracy, and client performance enhancements through re-quantization strategies.

1) Federated Training and Server Performance: The convergence velocity, as depicted in Fig. 3, indicates that setups of uniform 4-bit clients, or a mixed-precision schema of [12, 4, 4] bits, exhibit slower and more erratic initial convergence. In contrast, setups incorporating clients with 16-bit precision



Fig. 3. Training accuracy in 100 communication rounds, with ImageNet pre-trained weights initialization, scheme [4, 4, 4] (denoted by brown 'X'), and scheme [12, 4, 4] (denoted by red upright triangle) converge significantly slower than other schemes.

or higher demonstrate more rapid and stable convergence, achieving approximately 95% accuracy within 20 communication rounds. Notably, the incremental convergence benefit diminishes when client precision exceeds 16-bits, suggesting that, for high-capacity clients, opting for 32-bit or 24-bit precision offers marginal gains compared to the computational and storage efficiencies achievable at 16-bit precision.

Server model performance analysis reveals that all quantization schemes surpass 97% top-1 accuracy within 100 communication rounds. The performance differential across schemes remains within a tight 0.3% margin, underscoring the effectiveness of the federated learning framework in achieving high accuracy irrespective of client precision variations.



Fig. 4. Client accuracy after aggregation and re-quantisation, schemes with clients at 16-bit or higher, [32, 4, 4], [24, 4, 4], and [16, 4, 4] (denoted by blue circle, orange square and green diamond respectively), have more than 3.5% higher in accuracy than other scheme when re-quantized back to 4-bit.

2) Client Performance: Following 100 communication rounds, the global model,  $\theta^{100}$ , is re-quantized to the designated precision levels and distributed to update client models.

As illustrated in Fig. 4, client models under schemes incorporating 16-bit precision or higher, when re-quantized for 4bit clients, attain a minimum of 3% higher top-1 accuracy. The performance boost for lower precision clients from higher precision counterparts shows diminishing returns beyond 16bit precision. This phenomenon highlights the 'free lunch' performance uplift, from higher precision clients, to their lower precision peers up to a certain threshold.

### C. Future Work

Future investigations will focus on three primary areas to enhance the proposed AxC-based OTA-FL framework:

1) *High-Precision Client Advantages:* Explore the mechanism of benefits induced by higher precision clients in terms of their impact on convergence and accuracy.

2) Adversarial Attack Resilience: Assess the framework's resilience to adversarial attacks and develop defence methods.

3) *OTA Aggregation Optimization:* Creating a more flexible and efficient OTA aggregation mechanism.

## V. CONCLUSION

In this study, we introduce a framework for Over-The-Air Federated Learning (OTA-FL) that incorporates Approximate Computing (AxC) principles to accommodate clients of varying precisions. This framework aims to enhance overall performance, computational efficiency, and privacy preservation within federated learning systems. A novel OTA aggregation mechanism is developed to ensure the integration of model parameters from clients at multiple precision levels. Our approach is empirically evaluated through a traffic sign recognition task, showcasing the framework's efficacy.

Experimental outcomes indicate that clients operating with precision levels above 16-bit significantly expedite and stabilize convergence on the server side. Moreover, these higher precision clients contribute to an improvement of near 5% 'free lunch' performance boost for the lowest precision clients when compared to a uniform precision federated learning setup maintained at this minimum precision level. Additionally, the employment of a mixed precision quantization scheme, which remains opaque to potential adversaries, inherently bolsters the security posture of the OTA-FL system against inference attacks during the aggregation process.

The preliminary findings presented herein underscore the potential of leveraging multi-precision client configurations in OTA-FL systems, particularly within the constraints of resource-diverse and heterogeneous edge computing environments. These insights may serve as a foundational guideline for the architectural design and operational optimization of future multi-precision OTA-FL systems.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The work is supported by EPSRC CHEDDAR: Communications Hub For Empowering Distributed ClouD Computing Applications And Research (EP/X040518/1) (EP/Y037421/1). We acknowledge Dr. Yun Wu at QUB for his inspiration.

#### REFERENCES

- B. McMahan, E. Moore, D. Ramage, S. Hampson, and B. A. y. Arcas, "Communication-Efficient Learning of Deep Networks from Decentralized Data," in *Proceedings of the 20th International Conference* on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics, ser. Proceedings of Machine Learning Research, vol. 54, 2017, pp. 1273–1282.
- [2] C. Zhang, P. Li, G. Sun, Y. Guan, B. Xiao, and J. Cong, "Optimizing fpga-based accelerator design for deep convolutional neural networks," in *Proceedings of the 2015 ACM/SIGDA International Symposium on Field-Programmable Gate Arrays*, ser. FPGA '15. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, 2015, p. 161–170.
- [3] A. Zhou, A. Yao, Y. Guo, L. Xu, and Y. Chen, "Incremental network quantization: Towards lossless cnns with low-precision weights," 2017.
- [4] T. Elsken, J. H. Metzen, and F. Hutter, "Neural architecture search: A survey," *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 20, no. 55, 2019.
- [5] F. Li, B. Zhang, T. Liu, B. Xu, X. Wang, X. Liu, X. Dai, J. Wang, and D. Xu, "Ternary weight networks," arXiv preprint:1605.04711, 2016.
- [6] F. Haddadpour, M. M. Kamani, A. Mokhtari, and M. Mahdavi, "Federated learning with compression: Unified analysis and sharp guarantees," in *International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*. PMLR, 2021, pp. 2350–2358.
- [7] Z. Liu, K.-K. R. Choo, and J. Grossschadl, "Securing edge devices in the post-quantum internet of things using lattice-based cryptography," *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 56, no. 2, pp. 158–162, 2018.
- [8] M. Baldi, P. Santini, and G. Cancellieri, "Post-quantum cryptography based on codes: State of the art and open challenges," in 2017 AEIT International Annual Conference. IEEE, 2017, pp. 1–6.
- [9] A. El Ouadrhiri and A. Abdelhadi, "Differential privacy for deep and federated learning: A survey," *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, 2022.
- [10] K. Yang, T. Jiang, Y. Shi, and Z. Ding, "Federated learning via overthe-air computation," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 2022–2035, 2020.
- [11] T. Sery, N. Shlezinger, K. Cohen, and Y. C. Eldar, "Over-the-air federated learning from heterogeneous data," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 69, pp. 3796–3811, 2021.
- [12] M. Kim, A. L. Swindlehurst, and D. Park, "Beamforming vector design and device selection in over-the-air federated learning," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2023.
- [13] J. Zheng, H. Tian, W. Ni, W. Ni, and P. Zhang, "Balancing accuracy and integrity for reconfigurable intelligent surface-aided over-the-air federated learning," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 21, no. 12, pp. 10964–10980, 2022.
- [14] B. Nazer and M. Gastpar, "Computation over multiple-access channels," *IEEE Transactions on information theory*, vol. 53, no. 10, 2007.
- [15] G. Flegar, F. Scheidegger, V. Novaković, G. Mariani, A. E. Tomás, A. C. I. Malossi, and E. S. Quintana-Ortí, "Floatx: A c++ library for customized floating-point arithmetic," ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, vol. 45, no. 4, dec 2019.
- [16] AMD, "UG902 (v2024.1) Vivado Design Suite User Guide: High-Level Synthesis," https://docs.amd.com/v/u/en-US/ ug902-vivado-high-level-synthesis, 2024, [Online; accessed 30-March-2024].
- [17] S. Mittal, "A survey of techniques for architecting and managing asymmetric multicore processors," ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR), vol. 48, no. 3, pp. 1–38, 2016.
- [18] S. Gupta, A. Agrawal, K. Gopalakrishnan, and P. Narayanan, "Deep learning with limited numerical precision," in *International conference* on machine learning. PMLR, 2015, pp. 1737–1746.
- [19] I. Hubara, M. Courbariaux, D. Soudry, R. El-Yaniv, and Y. Bengio, "Binarized neural networks," in Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, D. Lee, M. Sugiyama, U. Luxburg, I. Guyon, and R. Garnett, Eds., vol. 29. Curran Associates, Inc., 2016.
- [20] V. Sze, Y.-H. Chen, T.-J. Yang, and J. S. Emer, "Efficient processing of deep neural networks: A tutorial and survey," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 105, no. 12, pp. 2295–2329, 2017.
- [21] J. Deng, W. Dong, R. Socher, L.-J. Li, K. Li, and L. Fei-Fei, "Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database," in 2009 IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. Ieee, 2009, pp. 248–255.
- [22] J. Stallkamp, M. Schlipsing, J. Salmen, and C. Igel, "Man vs. computer: Benchmarking machine learning algorithms for traffic sign recognition," *Neural Networks*, no. 0, pp. –, 2012.