

An Analysis on Quantizing Diffusion Transformers

Yuewei Yang, Jialiang Wang, Xiaoliang Dai, Peizhao Zhang, Hongbo Zhang
Meta GenAI

yueweiyang, jialiangw, xiaoliangdai, stzpz, hbzhang@meta.com

Abstract

Diffusion Models (DMs) utilize an iterative denoising process to transform random noise into synthetic data. Initially proposed with a UNet structure, DMs excel at producing images that are virtually indistinguishable with or without conditioned text prompts. Later transformer-only structure is composed with DMs to achieve better performance. Though Latent Diffusion Models (LDMs) reduce the computational requirement by denoising in a latent space, it is extremely expensive to inference images for any operating devices due to the shear volume of parameters and feature sizes. Post Training Quantization (PTQ) offers an immediate remedy for a smaller storage size and more memory-efficient computation during inferencing. Prior works address PTQ of DMs on UNet structures have addressed the challenges in calibrating parameters for both activations and weights via moderate optimization. In this work, we pioneer an efficient PTQ on transformer-only structure without any optimization. By analysing challenges in quantizing activations and weights for diffusion transformers, we propose a single-step sampling calibration on activations and adapt group-wise quantization on weights for low-bit quantization. We demonstrate the efficiency and effectiveness of proposed methods with preliminary experiments on conditional image generation.

1. Introduction

Diffusion Models (DMs) [14, 30] represent a powerful class of generative models that have gained significant attention in recent years due to their ability to generate high-quality synthetic data. These models operate by iteratively denoising random noise to generate synthetic data, offering promising applications in various fields, including computer vision [5, 10, 19], natural language processing [15, 21, 33], and image generation [8, 22, 24]. The UNet [25] architecture is a popular variant of diffusion models that incorporates a U-shaped network topology. This architecture is characterized by a contracting path, which captures coarse-grained features, and an expansive path, which fa-

cilitates the reconstruction of detailed structures. Dms with UNet structure have demonstrated efficacy in various image generation tasks [26, 27, 37], offering a balance between efficiency and performance [6, 36]. In contrast, the transformer-only structure eschews convolutional layers in favor of a transformer architecture, which excels in capturing long-range dependencies and global context. Transformer-only diffusion models [4, 23] are a versatile and potent approach to generative modeling, boasting effective long-range dependency modeling, parallelizable computation, adaptability across data modalities and resolutions, alongside inherent interpretability. Nonetheless, the computational demand is inherently high because of the extensive storage space needed for parameters and the opulent memory required for inferencing features. Post-Training Quantization (PTQ) mitigates this demand by compressing model parameters after training, thereby reducing computational operations during inference. Previous studies [12, 16, 28] have tackled the challenge of quantizing UNet-structured DMs concerning both activations and weights. While some calibration techniques can be extended to diffusion transformers, these approaches necessitate moderate optimization efforts and rely on UNet-specific structures, such as shortcut connections. In this research, we pioneer the investigation of quantizing a transformer-only diffusion model without any optimizations. By addressing the activation quantization challenge through calibration with single-step sampling and tackling the weight quantization challenge through group-wise quantization adaptation, we showcase the effectiveness and efficiency of our proposed method in the text-to-image generation task.

2. Related Work

PTQ for Diffusion Models Quantizing DMs involves reducing numerical precision to enhance model efficiency and minimize operational size, with PTQ refining the model post-training using calibration data. While quantization shows promise for Large Language Models (LLMs) [1, 34], adapting it to DMs presents unique challenges due to their iterative and dynamic denoising steps, exacerbating quantization of activations containing dynamic out-

liers through simple linear quantization. Previous works [11, 16, 31] address these challenges by selecting uniformly distributed calibration data across inference timesteps and optimizing quantization parameters for dynamic activation ranges. While all aforementioned works focusing on UNet-structured DMs, in this paper, we present an analysis focusing on transformer-only DMs to propose an efficient and effective quantization strategy without any optimizations.

Quantization of Diffusion Transformers To the best of our knowledge, no prior research has specifically explored the quantization of diffusion transformers. However, existing studies have investigated challenges related to quantizing transformers for vision tasks. For instance, [35] proposes a method that distills knowledge from the parent model to correct query information distortion. Similarly, [16, 17] employ Quantization-Aware Training (QAT) to mitigate information distortion in self-attention maps. These approaches primarily target distortions in the attention mechanism and typically require substantial retraining efforts. Moreover, the dynamic activation ranges observed during multiple sampling steps exacerbate quantization errors cumulatively. In this study, we direct our attention toward addressing the challenge of quantizing dynamic activations and dispersed weights without the need for retraining, using Post-Training Quantization (PTQ).

3. Method

3.1. Post Training Quantization

Post-training quantization (PTQ) reduces numerical representations by rounding elements v to a discrete set of values, where the quantization and de-quantization can be formulated as:

$$\hat{v} = s \cdot \text{clip}(\text{round}(v/s), c_{min}, c_{max}) \quad (1)$$

where s denotes the quantization scale parameters. $\text{round}(\cdot)$ represents a rounding function [2, 32]. c_{min} and c_{max} are the lower and upper bounds for the clipping function $\text{clip}(\cdot)$. Calibrating parameters through weight and activation distribution estimation is crucial. The quantization error can be measured as the L2 norm of the Euclidean distance between quantized and unquantized elements:

$$\text{Error} = \|\hat{v} - v\|_2^2$$

3.2. Diffusion Models

DMs [14, 30] involve two processes: forward diffusion and reverse diffusion. Forward diffusion process adds noise to input image, \mathbf{x}_0 to \mathbf{x}_T iteratively and reverse diffusion process denoises the corrupted data, \mathbf{x}_T to \mathbf{x}_0 iteratively. The forward process adds a Gaussian noise, $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}; \sqrt{1 - \beta_t}\mathbf{x}_{t-1}, \beta_t\mathbb{I})$, to the example at the previous time step, \mathbf{x}_{t-1} . The noise-adding process is controlled by

a noise scheduler, β_t . The reverse process aims to learn a model to align the data distributions between denoised examples and uncorrupted examples at time step $t-1$ with the knowledge of corrupted examples at time step t . To simplify the optimization, [14] proposes only approximate the mean noise, $\theta(\mathbf{x}_t, t) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}; \sqrt{1 - \beta_t}\mathbf{x}_t, \beta_t\mathbb{I})$, to be denoised at time step t by assuming that the variance is fixed. So the reverse process or the inference process is modeled as:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{unconditional} : p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t) &= \theta(\mathbf{x}_t, t) \\ \text{conditional} : p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t) &= \theta(\mathbf{x}_t, t, \tau(y)) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where y is a conditional context (i.e. class labels or text prompts).

Initially, UNet was a popular choice for θ , but later studies [4, 23] replaced it with a transformer-only structure. Diffusion transformers differ from UNet models by having fewer convolutional layers, heavily utilizing linear layers, and lacking shortcut connections between downsampling and upsampling stages. Because of these differences, prior research on quantizing diffusion UNet may not adapt well to diffusion transformers, particularly when quantizing weights to lower bits.

3.3. PTQ for Diffusion Transformers

We identify challenges in quantizing activations in a diffusion process and quantizing weights in a transformer-only diffusion model by examine the data ranges for activations across sampling steps and weights across individual output channels for a linear layer in a diffusion transformer.

3.3.1 Calibrate Activation with 1-step Calibration

Fig 1 highlights the substantial variation in activations across sampling steps, a common issue also acknowledged in prior UNet DM quantization studies. Without optimization, calibrated parameters (c_{min} and c_{max}) display significant fluctuation between initial and final sampling steps, leading to inconsistent stability throughout different stages. This phenomenon results from incrementally introduced noise during forward diffusion, which triggers estimated noise to adapt to gradual changes. We assess the robustness of DiT parameterized components against an 8A4W low bit quantization setting (8-bit activations and 4-bit weights). Fig 2 displays the SQNR (3) based quantization error between unquantized and quantized features, showing better resilience for calibrated parameters at the initial reverse diffusion step where noise is highest. Thus, we recommend using a single sampling step with the noise scheduler for quantization parameter calibration due to less varying activations and maximized noise, leading to more robust parameters overall. See Fig 3 for examples contrasting 1-step and 50-step calibrations.




Figure 1. Without optimizations, data ranges pose challenges to quantize both activations and weights especially at a lower bit-width. **(Above)** Activation range varies dynamically across sampling steps and significant outliers persist. **(Below)** Weights are quantized channel-wise, but dispersed outliers for each channel introduces high quantization loss when compressed to a lower bit.

$$\text{SQNR}_{\theta,t} = 10 \log \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{\|\theta_{fp}(\mathbf{x}_t)\|_2^2}{\|\theta_q(\hat{\mathbf{x}}_t) - \theta_{fp}(\mathbf{x}_t)\|_2^2} \quad (3)$$

where θ_{fp} is the full-precision model and θ_q is the quantized model, $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ and \mathbf{x} are quantized and unquantized output features.




Figure 2. The quantization error is measured in SQNR. There is a discrepancy between the first and the last sampling process. The calibrated parameters are most robust when the added noise is the strongest.

3.3.2 Calibrate Weights with Group Quantization

In Fig 1, we also examine the ranges for weights of a linear layer in all output channels. Although weight quantization is performed per channel, many channels depicted in Figure 1 display a substantial number of outliers scattered across them. This spread creates difficulties when attempting to represent all these weights using a reduced bit width. Indeed, directly applying 4-bit weights leads to severely distorted image outputs. Prior works have addressed this



Figure 3. Calibrating through 50 steps produces visible image noise. 1-step calibration generates quality closer to the full precision output. Outputs from conditional DiT 8A8W.

problem with QAT[35] and finetuning[20], but significant retraining and amount of data are required. Since we identified the dispersion of weight as the root cause of the problem, we propose to remedy the quantization difficulty with group-wise quantization. Previous works demonstrate that group-wise quantization is adaptable to transformers and is valid for hardware [7, 29]. In each channel, the weights can be further divided into groups. Each group will be calibrated individually. In this manner, the dispersed weights are divided into smaller ranges and therefore reduce the quantization difficulty for each group. It is simple to implement this group-wise quantization with just two lines. See Algorithm 1 for detailed implementation.

4. Experiments and Results

Dataset and Quantization Setting We evaluate our quantization techniques for text-to-image generation under conditional settings using 1k captions. The evaluation set contains 30k randomly chosen captions from MS-COCO vali-




Figure 4. Qualitative examples of two quantization settings: 8A8W and 8A4W. Proposed improvements restore the original image quality.

Algorithm 1 Group-wise Quantization

Require: Weight: $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{R}^{C_{out} \times C_{in}}$, Group Size: g .

Ensure: $C_{in} \% g = 0$

if $g < C_{in}$ **then**

$shape = \mathbf{w}.shape$

$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}.reshape(-1, g)$

end if

$Calibrate(\mathbf{w})$

if $g < C_{in}$ **then**

$\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}.reshape(shape)$

end if

dataset generations following prior methodologies [3, 9]. Classifier-free guidance is fixed at 3.0, and quantization is only applied to parameterized components (e.g., convolutional and fully connected layers). The default group size is 128 as in [29].

Evaluation Metrics For each experiment, two key metrics are provided: FID [13] and $SQNR_{\theta}$ averaged over sampling steps at the output to assess the generation of 512x512 images using Pixart Alpha. In addition to FID to COCO, we also include FID to full-precision model.

Diffusion Setting We experiment on Pixart Alpha and with the sampling configuration listed in Table 1.

| Image Size | Inference Steps | Sampler |
|------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| 512, 512 | 50 | DPMSolverMultistepScheduler |

Table 1. Sampling configuration.

| Bits(A/W) | FID to COCO↓ | FID to FP↓ | $SQNR_{\theta}(db)↑$ |
|----------------------|--------------|------------|----------------------|
| 32fp/32fp | 23.88 | 0.00 | - |
| 8/8 | 23.86 | 7.75 | 17.78 |
| + 1 step calibration | 24.32 | 2.60 | 18.65 |
| 8/4 | 225.09 | 209.81 | 4.15 |
| + 1 step calibration | 215.59 | 199.56 | 4.28 |
| + group quantization | 22.13 | 5.16 | 12.36 |

Table 2. 1 step calibration significantly improves quality of generated images while maintaining a small size and fewer operations.

4.1. Discussions

Table 2 shows that our proposed method significantly surpasses baselines in both FID to FP and $SQNR_{\theta}$ improvements. While FID is commonly used to assess generative models, its reliability has been doubted due to potential biases and limitations. Since the COCO datasets are photo-realistic and generated images diverge from this distribution, FID to COCO differences do not convey meaningful difference. Additional examples in Fig 4 reveal that the enhanced models produce more realistic images with reduced quantization noise compared to baseline models.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, this work represents a pioneering effort in exploring the quantization of transformer-only diffusion models without relying on any optimizations. By addressing activation quantization challenges via 1-step sampling calibration and overcoming weight quantization hurdles through group-wise adaptation, the proposed approach demonstrates both effectiveness and efficiency in the text-to-image generation task, providing valuable insights for further advancements in this area.

References

- [1] Haoli Bai, Lu Hou, Lifeng Shang, Xin Jiang, Irwin King, and Michael R Lyu. Towards efficient post-training quantization of pre-trained language models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:1405–1418, 2022. 1
- [2] Yaohui Cai, Zhewei Yao, Zhen Dong, Amir Gholami, Michael W Mahoney, and Kurt Keutzer. Zeroq: A novel zero shot quantization framework. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 13169–13178, 2020. 2
- [3] Yue Cao, Bin Liu, Mingsheng Long, and Jianmin Wang. Hashgan: Deep learning to hash with pair conditional wasserstein gan. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 1287–1296, 2018. 4
- [4] Junsong Chen, Jincheng Yu, Chongjian Ge, Lewei Yao, Enze Xie, Yue Wu, Zhongdao Wang, James Kwok, Ping Luo, Huchuan Lu, and Zhenguo Li. Pixart- α : Fast training of diffusion transformer for photorealistic text-to-image synthesis, 2023. 1, 2
- [5] Shoufa Chen, Peize Sun, Yibing Song, and Ping Luo. Diffusiondet: Diffusion model for object detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 19830–19843, 2023. 1
- [6] Florinel-Alin Croitoru, Vlad Hondru, Radu Tudor Ionescu, and Mubarak Shah. Diffusion models in vision: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 2023. 1
- [7] Tim Dettmers and Luke Zettlemoyer. The case for 4-bit precision: k-bit inference scaling laws. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 7750–7774. PMLR, 2023. 3
- [8] Prafulla Dhariwal and Alexander Nichol. Diffusion models beat gans on image synthesis. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 34:8780–8794, 2021. 1
- [9] Shuyang Gu, Dong Chen, Jianmin Bao, Fang Wen, Bo Zhang, Dongdong Chen, Lu Yuan, and Baining Guo. Vector quantized diffusion model for text-to-image synthesis. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 10696–10706, 2022. 4
- [10] Xizewen Han, Huangjie Zheng, and Mingyuan Zhou. Card: Classification and regression diffusion models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:18100–18115, 2022. 1
- [11] Yefei He, Luping Liu, Jing Liu, Weijia Wu, Hong Zhou, and Bohan Zhuang. Ptqd: Accurate post-training quantization for diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.10657*, 2023. 2
- [12] Yefei He, Luping Liu, Jing Liu, Weijia Wu, Hong Zhou, and Bohan Zhuang. Ptqd: Accurate post-training quantization for diffusion models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024. 1
- [13] Martin Heusel, Hubert Ramsauer, Thomas Unterthiner, Bernhard Nessler, and Sepp Hochreiter. Gans trained by a two time-scale update rule converge to a local nash equilibrium. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017. 4
- [14] Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:6840–6851, 2020. 1, 2
- [15] Xiang Li, John Thickstun, Ishaan Gulrajani, Percy S Liang, and Tatsunori B Hashimoto. Diffusion-lm improves controllable text generation. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:4328–4343, 2022. 1
- [16] Xiuyu Li, Yijiang Liu, Long Lian, Huanrui Yang, Zhen Dong, Daniel Kang, Shanghang Zhang, and Kurt Keutzer. Q-diffusion: Quantizing diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 17535–17545, 2023. 1, 2
- [17] Yanjing Li, Sheng Xu, Mingbao Lin, Xianbin Cao, Chuanjian Liu, Xiao Sun, and Baochang Zhang. Bi-vit: Pushing the limit of vision transformer quantization. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 3243–3251, 2024. 2
- [18] Tsung-Yi Lin, Michael Maire, Serge Belongie, James Hays, Pietro Perona, Deva Ramanan, Piotr Dollár, and C Lawrence Zitnick. Microsoft coco: Common objects in context. In *Computer Vision—ECCV 2014: 13th European Conference, Zurich, Switzerland, September 6–12, 2014, Proceedings, Part V 13*, pages 740–755. Springer, 2014. 4
- [19] Daochang Liu, Qiyue Li, Anh-Dung Dinh, Tingting Jiang, Mubarak Shah, and Chang Xu. Diffusion action segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 10139–10149, 2023. 1
- [20] Jiawei Liu, Lin Niu, Zhihang Yuan, Dawei Yang, Xinggong Wang, and Wenyu Liu. Pd-quant: Post-training quantization based on prediction difference metric. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 24427–24437, 2023. 3
- [21] Justin Lovelace, Varsha Kishore, Chao Wan, Eliot Shekhtman, and Kilian Q Weinberger. Latent diffusion for language generation. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024. 1
- [22] Alex Nichol, Prafulla Dhariwal, Aditya Ramesh, Pranav Shyam, Pamela Mishkin, Bob McGrew, Ilya Sutskever, and Mark Chen. Glide: Towards photorealistic image generation and editing with text-guided diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.10741*, 2021. 1
- [23] William Peebles and Saining Xie. Scalable diffusion models with transformers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 4195–4205, 2023. 1, 2
- [24] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 10684–10695, 2022. 1
- [25] Olaf Ronneberger, Philipp Fischer, and Thomas Brox. U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. In *Medical image computing and computer-assisted intervention—MICCAI 2015: 18th international conference, Munich, Germany, October 5–9, 2015, proceedings, part III 18*, pages 234–241. Springer, 2015. 1
- [26] Nataniel Ruiz, Yuanzhen Li, Varun Jampani, Yael Pritch, Michael Rubinstein, and Kfir Aberman. Dreambooth: Fine

- tuning text-to-image diffusion models for subject-driven generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 22500–22510, 2023. [1](#)
- [27] Chitwan Saharia, William Chan, Saurabh Saxena, Lala Li, Jay Whang, Emily L Denton, Kamyar Ghasemipour, Raphael Gontijo Lopes, Burcu Karagol Ayan, Tim Salimans, et al. Photorealistic text-to-image diffusion models with deep language understanding. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:36479–36494, 2022. [1](#)
- [28] Yuzhang Shang, Zhihang Yuan, Bin Xie, Bingzhe Wu, and Yan Yan. Post-training quantization on diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1972–1981, 2023. [1](#)
- [29] Sheng Shen, Zhen Dong, Jiayu Ye, Linjian Ma, Zhewei Yao, Amir Gholami, Michael W Mahoney, and Kurt Keutzer. Q-bert: Hessian based ultra low precision quantization of bert. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 8815–8821, 2020. [3](#), [4](#)
- [30] Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Eric Weiss, Niru Maheswaranathan, and Surya Ganguli. Deep unsupervised learning using nonequilibrium thermodynamics. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 2256–2265. PMLR, 2015. [1](#), [2](#)
- [31] Changyuan Wang, Ziwei Wang, Xiuwei Xu, Yansong Tang, Jie Zhou, and Jiwen Lu. Towards accurate data-free quantization for diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.18723*, 2023. [2](#)
- [32] Di Wu, Qi Tang, Yongle Zhao, Ming Zhang, Ying Fu, and Debing Zhang. Easyquant: Post-training quantization via scale optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.16669*, 2020. [2](#)
- [33] Tong Wu, Zhihao Fan, Xiao Liu, Hai-Tao Zheng, Yeyun Gong, Jian Jiao, Juntao Li, Jian Guo, Nan Duan, Weizhu Chen, et al. Ar-diffusion: Auto-regressive diffusion model for text generation. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024. [1](#)
- [34] Guangxuan Xiao, Ji Lin, Mickael Seznec, Hao Wu, Julien Demouth, and Song Han. Smoothquant: Accurate and efficient post-training quantization for large language models. In *International Conference on Machine Learning*, pages 38087–38099. PMLR, 2023. [1](#)
- [35] Sheng Xu, Yanjing Li, Mingbao Lin, Peng Gao, Guodong Guo, Jinhu Lü, and Baochang Zhang. Q-detr: An efficient low-bit quantized detection transformer. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 3842–3851, 2023. [2](#), [3](#)
- [36] Ling Yang, Zhilong Zhang, Yang Song, Shenda Hong, Runsheng Xu, Yue Zhao, Wentao Zhang, Bin Cui, and Ming-Hsuan Yang. Diffusion models: A comprehensive survey of methods and applications. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 56(4): 1–39, 2023. [1](#)
- [37] Lvmin Zhang, Anyi Rao, and Maneesh Agrawala. Adding conditional control to text-to-image diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 3836–3847, 2023. [1](#)