
CityGPT: Empowering Urban Spatial Cognition of Large Language Models

Jie Feng, Yuwei Du, Tianhui Liu, Siqi Guo, Yuming Lin, Yong Li
Department of Electronic Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China
liyong07@tsinghua.edu.cn

Abstract

Large language models (LLMs) with powerful language generation and reasoning capabilities have already achieved success in many domains, e.g., math and code generation. However, due to the lacking of physical world's corpus and knowledge during training, they usually fail to solve many real-life tasks in the urban space. In this paper, we propose *CityGPT*, a systematic framework for enhancing the capability of LLMs on understanding urban space and solving the related urban tasks by building a city-scale world model in the model. First, we construct a diverse instruction tuning dataset *CityInstruction* for injecting urban knowledge and enhancing spatial reasoning capability effectively. By using a mixture of *CityInstruction* and general instruction data, we fine-tune various LLMs (e.g., ChatGLM3-6B, Qwen1.5 and LLama3 series) to enhance their capability without sacrificing general abilities. To further validate the effectiveness of proposed methods, we construct a comprehensive benchmark *CityEval* to evaluate the capability of LLMs on diverse urban scenarios and problems. Extensive evaluation results demonstrate that small LLMs trained with *CityInstruction* can achieve competitive performance with commercial LLMs in the comprehensive evaluation of *CityEval*. The source codes are openly accessible to the research community via <https://github.com/tsinghua-fib-lab/CityGPT>.

1 Introduction

In recent years, large language models (LLM) have achieved rapid developments in many language based scenarios like chat [6], code generation [1] and so on. Various studies [47] find that LLMs presents powerful generalization among massive tasks and impressive reasoning ability over complex tasks, which shed light for the general artificial intelligence and encourage the application of LLMs in broader areas. For examples, different domain-specific LLMs like BloombergGPT [48] for finance, Med-Plam [41] for medicine and Llemma [2] for math are proposed and achieve promising results.

In the geography and urban science field, researchers also investigate the potential of LLMs in domain-specific tasks like geospatial understanding and prediction tasks [18, 32, 38, 31, 5, 16, 29]. For example, Gurnee et al. [18] investigates weather Llama2 [44] really learns the map of the world by training linear regression probes to predict the real location and Manvi et al. [32] design prompts to extract geospatial knowledge from LLM for downstream prediction tasks. In the global scale or national scale, researchers find that LLMs are good at representing the coarse location and related geospatial knowledge like demographic indicators. However, they also find that LLMs become struggling when the geospatial task breaks down to the city scale, e.g., the location coordinates prediction accuracy dropped from more than 70% for cities in USA to less than 30% for PoIs in New York [18]. The results indicate that after training on the online web text, LLMs may lack the detailed geospatial knowledge of the offline physical world in the city. Besides, existing evaluations have deficiencies in two aspects which greatly limits understanding the utility of LLMs in urban space. On

the one hand, most of the evaluation tasks are based on the simple location coordinates which is just a small part of the space, more concepts and tasks need to be validate, e.g., fundamental elements in the image of the city [28]. On the other hand, most of the evaluation are conducted in the global scale or national scale, limited results in the city scale are available. The question of whether LLMs can truly be applied to solve the geospatial task in the city scale and whether it owns the similar spatial cognition like human remains unknown.

In this paper, we propose CityGPT, a systematic framework for evaluating and enhancing the capability of LLMs on understanding the urban space and solving the related urban tasks. As the first component of CityGPT, we construct a instruction tuning dataset *CityInstruction*, which is diverse and effective for enhancing the capability of general LLMs on understanding the urban space. We follow the similar experience of human exploring and preceiving the urban space in the daily life via a mobility simulator with real-life map to build the dataset. Furthermore, we extend the experience dataset by generating explicit intermediary spatial reasoning steps for high level urban tasks which encourage the model to learn the general reasoning paradigms in urban space. As the second component of CityGPT, we build a comprehensive evaluation benchmark, *CityEval*, to evaluate the capability of LLMs on various urban scenarios and downstream tasks. Follow the experience from urban planning [28], neurocognitive science [14] and geoscience [29], the evaluation task in *CityEval* is divided into four groups: *City Image* task group for measuring the intuitive understanding of urban fundamental elements, *Urban Semantics* task group for understanding the effects of human activities on urban environment, *Spatial Reasoning* task group for high level spatial cognitive capability evaluation, *Composite Task* group for evaluating the integrated capability of LLMs, which includes mobility prediction [45], behavior generation [39] and spatial navigation [9] with more complicated context and instructions. In summary, our contributions are as follows,

- We construct a diverse instruction tuning dataset *CityInstruction* for enhancing the capability of LLMs for understanding urban space and solving urban related tasks. It contains experience data obtained by simulating human movement behaviors for exploring the city, as well as an extended reasoning dataset created by augmenting urban tasks with intermediary spatial reasoning steps.
- We design a comprehensive evaluation benchmark *CityEval* to evaluate LLMs for urban science. With carefully defined 4 types task groups covering 41 tasks in total, *CityEval* can evaluate the capability of LLMs for urban application from various aspects and different levels of difficulty.
- We perform an extensive evaluation of 9 advanced LLMs using *CityEval*, uncovering the limitation of existing LLMs in urban scenarios. We also train the general LLMs with various settings based on *CityInstruction* to demonstrate the effectiveness of proposed instruction tuning dataset for enhancing the model capacity and getting significant performance gain on *CityEval*. The dataset, benchmark and source codes are openly accessible to the research community.

2 Methods

In this paper, we propose a systematic framework to evaluate and enhance the capability of LLMs on urban tasks and applications. The whole framework is shown in Figure 1, which comprises two central components: *CityInstruction* and *CityEval*. We first introduce *CityInstruction* in section 2.1, which is designed for injecting the urban knowledge into the general LLMs to enhance the urban related capability of it. Then we introduce the instruction tuning methods for efficiently tuning CityGPT with mixture data in section 2.2. Finally, we introduce *CityEval* in section 2.3, which is designed for comprehensively evaluating LLMs on the capability of understanding the urban space and solving urban tasks.

2.1 *CityInstruction* Construction

As mentioned before, general LLMs struggle to solve the task in the city scale due the lack of offline urban knowledge which is rare in the online web text. A naive approach to compensate for the shortcoming is to learn the urban knowledge directly, e.g., raw map data. However the raw map data which is designed for efficient storage and computing is not friendly for learning. Some studies have been done to solve this problem and efficiently ground the geospatial information and natural language. For example, Huang et al. [20] propose utilize online user logs to construct heterogeneous graph and sample random walk to construct the sequence data to train a BERT model. However, the online user log is not public available which make the former method disabled for the public.




Figure 1: An overview of CityGPT, including *CityInstruction* and *CityEval*. *CityInstruction* consists of *CityQA*, *CityWalk* and *CityReasoning*. *CityEval* consists of *City Image*, *Urban Semantics*, *Spatial Reasoning* and *Composite Tasks*.

Besides, GeoLM [27] use geospatial entity from the Wikipedia to align with the same entity in open street map and validate the effectiveness in several downstream knowledge graph task like toponym linking and relation extraction. However, the entity recorded in the online Wikipedia is very limited which restricts the application of this method in the real world.

To solve this problem, we revisit the mechanisms of spatial cognition in humans. Actually, human beings perceive and recognize the physical world through embodied experiences, often in the form of multi-view data, during daily activities such as commuting and wandering. Thus, we propose to simulate the human mobility behaviors in the daily life to collect multi-view data simultaneously as the embodied experience data for model tuning. For example, the embodied experience data can be, "What can I observe at the current location?", the answer may include the information of PoI, road, AoI and so on. Based on the collected simple experience data, we first manually design templates for each kind of data and then utilize ChatGPT [1] with carefully designed prompts to extend these templates with more diverse formats. In this way, we obtain the diverse multi-view experience data in the forms of instructions, including the *CityQA* and *CityWalk*. Finally, we construct the *CityReasoning* dataset with intermediary steps by solving spatial reasoning problems with manually designed solutions and ChatGPT assisted solutions. For example, the spatial reasoning problem can be inferring the spatial orientation and distance relationships between locations based on the navigation routing as input. Detailed designs for each dataset are as follows.

2.1.1 *CityQA*: Single Step Exploration

In *CityQA*, we define simple questions for all kinds of single entity in the urban space. The entities considered in the dataset are PoI, AoI, road and junction of roads. For example, we construct question about the basic information of PoI, including its category, address and coordinates, and also relations with other nearby entities. The question of AoI is similar to the PoI. For the road and junction, only relation base questions are considered. As mentioned before, each kind of question have at least 3 templates which are manually defined and rewriting with the help of ChatGPT for diversity. It is noted that due to a large portion of addresses of entities being absent, we reconstruct the address of them based on the road network. In this way, we can directly link the major entities in the same urban space with the same address representation via road network. To some extent, this alignment method via road network based address is similar to the entity alignment methods from [27] when LLMs are highly skilled at recognizing and aligning similar entity [50]. Meanwhile, proposed alignment method are easy to implement and can be widely-used for any regions around the world.

2.1.2 *CityWalk*: Multi Step Exploration

CityQA covers the single entity and its nearby relations, which can be regarded as a single step *CityWalk* with random explored positions. *CityWalk* with a long-term temporal and spatial window can provide us more similar and diverse embodied experiences of urban space than human beings. Here, following the practices from embodied agents for house-holding [25, 49], we design two work modes to drive a data collection agent to construct the *CityWalk* dataset by exploring the urban space in the simulator. In the first mode, given a starting point and a goal, the agent freely explores the urban space until the goal is reached. For examples, when the agent is required to start from its predefined home to buy some vegetables back, it can collect potential experience data by interacting with the simulator with APIs like search, walk, and so on. While this mode is intuitive, it requires much more carefully designed post-processing efforts. In second mode, we directly assign task with predefined origin and destination to the agent which only needs to follow the fixed path to collect multi-step data including the routing between locations and other observations during the trip. We choose the second mode as the default data collection mechanism when this mode works controllably and efficiently. The first mode is only used to generate small portion data for diversity.

2.1.3 *CityReasoning*: Exploration with Explicit Spatial Reasoning Steps

Spatial reasoning problem in the urban space are not widely studied in the existing works when most of them mainly focus on the reasoning based on geographic coordinates [5, 16]. However, geographic coordinates based reasoning is not consistent with the mechanism of spatial cognition in humans, who usually engage in spatial reasoning by integrating the approximate memories of visited path with rough calculations. Thus, we construct *CityReasoning* dataset whose reasoning mechanism is aligned with human cognitive habits to effectively enhance the spatial reasoning capability of LLMs. Here, we focus on most basic direction and distance based spatial reasoning problems. Most topology related problems in our setting can be transferred to these two questions. To construct *CityReasoning*, we first random select two locations and obtain their navigation path as context. Based on the navigation path, we can generate the explicit reasoning steps of inferring direction and distance and translate them with predefined templates into the format of instruction data. For the distance reasoning problem, the intermediate reasoning process is to perform a rough summation of the traversed path step by step. For the direction reasoning problem, the intermediate reasoning process is to group the movement by the direction and then compare their values to obtain the results.

2.2 Instruction Tuning

2.2.1 General Instruction Data

Following the practice of existing studies [53, 13], we also mix the *CityInstruction* with a certain amount of general instruction data during the instruction tuning for reducing the risk of catastrophic forgetting of general capability. We introduce three general dataset in our experiments, ShareGPT¹, UltraChat [12], Open-Platypus [23] and AgentTuning [53]. Besides, we introduce geographical datasets GeoGLUE [24] to enhance the geographical language understanding capability and language based spatial reasoning dataset StepGame [40] and ReSQ [34] for enhancing the qualitative spatial reasoning capability of CityGPT via language. While GeoGLUE does not follow the instruction format, we design simple templates to convert the data. We also utilize GPT-4 as the automatic data annotator and data quality evaluator to generate intermediate reasoning steps of StepGame and ReSQ to reduce the learning difficulty of small LLMs.

2.2.2 Training Setting

To reduce the training cost, we choose to fine-tune the chat version of general LLMs. We utilize accelerate [17] with the full training mode to fine-tune the LLMs in a server with 4 A100 GPUs. We try to train ChatGLM3-6B [54], LLama series [44] and QWen1.5 series [3] in our experiments. During the experiments, we find that the influence of parameter settings is far less than the influence of data quality. Thus, we fix the training parameters in most of the experiments and leave the work of best parameter search in the future. The core training parameters settings are as follows: learning rate as $1e - 5$, max sequence length as 4096, batch size of each GPU as 1, training epoch as 1, other

¹<https://huggingface.co/datasets/shareAI/ShareGPT-Chinese-English-90k>

detailed parameter settings can refer to the appendix. Following the common practice, we use the cosine learning scheduler and only calculate the output loss during the optimization.

2.3 CityEval Benchmark

Different from existing works [29, 38, 18] which mainly focus on evaluation on the global scale or national scale, we propose a systematic evaluation benchmark *CityEval* to testify the capability of LLMs in urban space. Following the common experiences from different fields [28, 14, 29], as Figure 2 shows, our benchmark contains four sub-modules with emphasizing different aspects of spatial cognition of human and applications of urban science, including *City Image*, *Urban Semantics*, *Spatial Reasoning* and *Composite Tasks*. Table 1 presents the statistic information of *CityEval*. Detailed content of these modules are introduced as follows.




Figure 2: Composition of *CityEval*.

2.3.1 City Image:

Fundamental Elements of The City In the Mind

Lynch [28] explored the mechanism of human perceiving and remembering the urban environments and found that human usually deconstruct urban space into a combination of five fundamental elements: paths, edges, districts, nodes, and landmarks in their mind. Similar concepts and mechanism are also verified by the neurocognitive science [14]. Based on these observations, we propose the *City Image* task group to evaluate whether LLMs can understand these concepts and solve the related questions. In *City Image*, we manually design various questions for each element, e.g., attributes and underlying relations. For example, while people use path in the mind to remember how to arrive different locations, one typical question for it is "Do you know the origin and destination junction of Nanyuan road?" It is noted that this question does not exist in the instruction tuning data directly, model needs to learn from the experiences in *CityWalk* and answer this question by organizing its memory about all the possible junctions of Nanyuan road. Another example is about the concept of boundary. While roads usually play the role of separating different areas in the city, we design the question like "Which of the following roads serve as the boundaries of region X?" to confirm whether the model understands the concept of boundary in the urban space. In summary, we design 12 types of questions for 5 fundamental elements to construct the *City Image*.

2.3.2 Urban Semantics: Human Activities and Urban Environment

Different from the *City Image*, *Urban Semantics* pay attention to the human activities happened in the urban environment and evaluate the capacity of understanding the urban functions. The understanding and prediction of urban functions is the fundamental task of geoscience [29] and urban science [52]. In simple terms, we define the task of *Urban Semantics* as inferring the functions of areas with knowing the PoI distribution and the most likely missing PoIs in the environment. For example, the question about inferring the functions of areas can be "There are PoI A, PoI B, ..., PoI G in the area Y, what is the potential function of area Y?". In summary, we design 6 types of questions to construct the *Urban Semantics*.

2.3.3 Spatial Reasoning: Reasoning in Urban Space

Compared with the former two tasks which require more about the capacity of memorization and association analysis, we introduce *Space Reasoning* task group to evaluate the capacity of quantitative reasoning and spatial cognition which is more challenging for LLMs. The design of *Spatial Reasoning*

Task Group	#Insts.	#Tasks	Metrics
City Image	1090	12	Acc
Urban Semantics	1657	6	Acc
Spatial Reasoning	3060	20	Acc
Composite Tasks	321	3	SR, etc.

Table 1: Statistics of different task groups of *CityEval* in Beijing-Wudaokou. In the table, Acc. denotes accuracy, SR. denotes success rate.

is similar to the methods in section 2.1.3 *CityReasoning*. Here, we set two testing scenarios for the spatial reasoning evaluation. The first testing scenario is whether the question contains the necessary context for spatial reasoning. When no necessary context is provided, model needs to reasoning over its memory which is a more challenging process. The second testing scenario is whether the urban space of reasoning has been saw by the model in the training. Besides, when evaluating models trained by *CityReasoning* introduced before, we carefully check the evaluation data to prevent the potential data leakage. In summary, we design 20 types of questions for *Spatial Reasoning*.

2.3.4 Urban Composite Tasks: Solving Realistic Urban Tasks

Finally, we introduce the *Composite Tasks* group which consists of mobility prediction [45], trajectory generation [39] and spatial navigation [9] for assessing the integration capability of LLMs in urban space. Mobility prediction task is to predict the next location with given the past location trajectories. During the prediction, the candidate locations can be provided as context or not. Trajectory generation task is to generate the reasonable mobility behavior data when given the user profile. Model needs to understand the commonsense of human mobility and is familiar with the urban environments. Spatial navigation task is to explore the most efficient routing from origin location to the destination location. During the trip, only local information like nearby road is available. All these tasks require diverse capability of LLMs to understand the urban environments and human behavior to complete.

3 Experiments

3.1 Settings

Evaluation Tasks. Beyond the proposed *CityEval* benchmark, we also apply general benchmarks in the experiments including MMLU [19] for assessing knowledge abilities, BBH [42] for evaluating common sense reasoning abilities and GSM8K [7] for evaluating mathematical abilities.

Evaluation Metrics. For the first three types of tasks in *CityEval*, the questions are organized as single-choice questions with at least 4 choices (up to 10 choices in the evaluation) and accuracy is chosen as metric. We use zero-shot setting for our method and 1-shot setting for baselines. For the last composite application tasks in *CityEval*, we provide all the models with 1-shot example and use their common practices to define metrics, detailed description of these metrics can refer to section A.3 in the appendix. For general evaluation tasks including MMLU, BBH and GSM8K, we use opencompass [8] with default generation settings as the evaluation tool to calculate the accuracy.

Baselines. We consider the following baselines and divide them into 3 groups: small open source LLMs group with about 7B parameters including ChatGLM3-6B [54], Mistral-7B [21] and LLama3-8B [33], large open source LLMs group with about 100B parameters including LLama3-70B [33], Mixtral-8x22B [43] and DeepSeekV2-236B [10], and commercial APIs group including GPT-3.5 and GPT-4 [1]. For open source LLMs, we deploy them with the help of vllm [22]. The max output token are set to 500, the repetition penalty is set to 1.0, the temperature is set as 0, and temperature parameter is changed to 1.0 when we need sample answers.

Evaluation Cities. We choose Beijing, Paris and Newyork as the experimental cities. Due to the large volume of data and computing requirements, we selected the entire city of Paris, lower Manhattan in NewYork, and Wudaokou, Dahongmen and Wangjing areas of Beijing to conduct the experiments. Three areas of Beijing lying in three directions, each bearing distinct urban functions. To conduct the out-of-domain validation, Wangjing does not participate in the evaluation but only generates instruction data for the other cities.

3.2 Main Results

The main results of CityGPT on three cities are presented in Table 2. Here, we use ChatGLM3-6B as the start point and use the proposed *CityInstruction* to fine-tune it to obtain the final model CityGPT-ChatGLM3-6B. It is noted that we only report the performance of top 3 tasks in *CityEval* whose metrics is the accuracy. Results on the final composite task are presented in next section 3.3.

We first analysis the results from Beijing. In the first 7B parameter group, we find that more advanced LLMs can achieve better performance in all the tasks, e.g., the latest LLama3-8B outperforms the older ChatGLM3-6B around 12%-35% in the evaluation. Performance of larger parameter group

Table 2: Main results on *CityEval*. CityGPT-ChatGLM3-6B significantly outperforms than baselines in most tasks. Compared with ChatGLM3-6B, CityGPT outperforms it more than 61.8%-119.6%. In the table, **bold** denotes the best results, underline denotes the second best results.

City/Region Tasks		Beijing-Wudaokou			Beijing-Dahongmeng		
		City Image	Urban Semantics	Spatial Reasoning	City Image	Urban Semantics	Spatial Reasoning
~7B	ChatGLM3-6B	0.303	0.360	0.214	0.292	0.364	0.253
	Mistral-7B	0.327	0.443	0.215	0.350	0.427	0.231
	Llama3-8B	0.352	0.483	0.289	0.353	0.480	0.284
~100B	Llama3-70B	0.391	0.497	<u>0.393</u>	0.391	<u>0.563</u>	0.378
	Mixtral-8x22B	0.404	0.483	0.310	0.329	0.493	0.328
	DeepSeekV2-236B	0.402	0.480	0.336	<u>0.404</u>	0.497	0.302
API	GPT-3.5	0.377	0.460	0.255	0.279	0.420	0.303
	GPT-4	<u>0.435</u>	<u>0.510</u>	0.337	0.389	0.497	0.318
CityGPT-ChatGLM3-6B		0.550	0.583	0.469	0.476	0.629	0.454
vs. ChatGLM3-6B		+80.27%	+61.8%	+119.6%	+62.96%	+72.58%	+79.6%
vs. Best Baseline		+26.44%	+14.21%	+19.23%	+17.88%	+11.64%	+20.21%
City/Region Tasks		NewYork-Lower Manhattan			Paris		
		City Image	Urban Semantics	Spatial Reasoning	City Image	Urban Semantics	Spatial Reasoning
~7B	ChatGLM3-6B	0.329	0.41	0.272	0.300	0.48	0.275
	Mistral-7B	0.269	0.33	0.305	0.216	0.40	0.299
	Llama3-8B	0.362	0.42	0.247	0.253	0.48	0.268
~100B	Llama3-70B	0.411	0.42	0.32	0.353	<u>0.50</u>	0.315
	Mixtral-8x22B	0.376	0.44	0.29	0.367	0.43	0.312
	DeepSeekV2-236B	0.413	0.44	0.312	0.367	0.47	0.297
API	GPT-3.5	0.369	0.36	0.275	0.253	0.45	0.278
	GPT-4	<u>0.584</u>	0.45	0.425	<u>0.453</u>	0.51	0.412
CityGPT-ChatGLM3-6B		0.601	0.42	<u>0.370</u>	0.473	0.45	0.3698
vs. ChatGLM3-6B		+82.67%	+2.43%	+36.03%	+57.66%	-11.76%	+34.47%
vs. Best Baseline		+2.91%	-6.67%	-11.90%	+4.41%	-6.25%	-10.24%

(about 100B) continues to outperforms than small parameter group about 2%-35%, especially in the challenging *Spatial Reasoning* task. With 6 task groups in total, we notice that the latest open source Llama3-70B even performs better than GPT-4 in 4 task groups. The results demonstrate the effectiveness of proposed evaluation benchmark *CityEval*. Meanwhile, we notice that there is great potential for overall improvement in the benchmark when the best performance among all the task is only 0.56. Overall, CityGPT-ChatGLM3-6B exhibits significant improvement over all baselines in both regions and most of tasks. Compared with the best baseline in each task, the performance gain of CityGPT varies from 11.64% to 26.44%. And it outperforms its original version ChatGLM3-6B with at least 61.8% in the urban semantic task and 119% in the spatial reasoning tasks. These results present the effectiveness of proposed instruction tuning dataset *CityInstruction* which successfully teach the small LLMs with various capabilities in the urban space and achieve outstanding performance than all the powerful general LLMs including the commercial API.

Most of the results from NewYork and Paris are similar to Beijing, but two points are worth noting. First, we notice that all the models perform similarly in *Urban Semantics* across both cities. We believe it might be due to the poor quality of the semantically related data, which resulted in the model failing to learn effective information during the training process. Second, while CityGPT-ChatGLM3-6B outperforms most baselines more than 15% in *Spatial Reasoning* task, it lags behind GPT-4 by about 10%. Compared with the results in Beijing, we think it is possible that GPT-4 was more extensively trained on English data, making it naturally more familiar with Paris and New York (showing performance closest to our model in the *City Image* task). Therefore, combined with its strong general reasoning abilities, GPT-4 achieves significantly better results of *Spatial Reasoning* task in Paris and New York. While our model slightly outperforms GPT-4 in the *City Image* task group, it cannot overcome the disadvantage in general reasoning abilities, resulting in poorer performance of *Spatial Reasoning*.

In summary, proposed evaluation benchmark *CityEval* effectively distinguishes the diverse capabilities of different LLMs in understanding urban space, and *CityInstruction* can be used to effectively improve the performance of smaller LLMs in urban capabilities.

Table 3: The performance of different LLMs on *Spatial Reasoning* with in-domain vs out-of-domain and with-context vs with-out-context settings.

Models	In-domain Testing@Beijing-Wudaokou			Out-of-domain Testing@Beijing-Dahongmen		
	with context	w/o context	Δ	with context	w/o context	Δ
ChatGLM3-6B	0.207	0.221	6.97%	0.244	0.262	7.34%
Llama3-8B	0.292	0.292	0.00%	0.354	0.214	-39.55%
Llama3-70B	0.399	0.389	-2.48%	0.384	0.372	-3.13%
GPT-4	0.391	0.285	-27.21%	0.364	0.272	-25.27%
CityGPT-ChatGLM3-6B	0.485	0.454	-6.33%	0.595	0.314	-47.33%
vs. ChatGLM3-6B	134.72%	105.52%	-	143.93%	19.70%	-
vs. Best Baseline	21.63%	16.83%	-	55.00%	-15.73%	-

Table 4: CityGPT-ChatGLM3-6B performs well on *Composite Task* without any further task-related fine-tuning. In the table, **bold** denotes the best results, underline denotes the second best results.

Tasks@Beijing-Wudaokou	Mobility Prediction		Trajectory Generation		Spatial Navigation	
	Acc(multi) \uparrow	Acc(gen) \uparrow	Radius(JSD) \downarrow	Distance(JSD) \downarrow	Steps \downarrow	Success Rate \uparrow
ChatGLM3-6B	0.25	0.12	0.473	0.416	20.83	0.35
CityGPT-ChatGLM3-6B	0.52	0.46	0.451	0.380	15.32	0.55
LLama3-70B	<u>0.45</u>	0.50	<u>0.455</u>	<u>0.389</u>	<u>18.95</u>	0.44

3.3 Spatial Reasoning and Urban Composite Tasks

In this section, we conduct detailed analysis of LLMs on the high-level spatial capability including *Spatial Reasoning* and solving *Composite Tasks* in *CityEval*. The results are presented in Table 3 and Table 4. Here, we only preserve representative baselines in two tables with considering the performance reported in Table 2 for simplification.

Different from aggregating the spatial reasoning results of 20 types tasks in the Table 2, we divide the the results of spatial reasoning into with-context and with-out-context two groups where each group has 10 questions in Table 3. Besides, in the experiment of Beijing-Wudaokou, the *CityReasoning* dataset is constructed by using the navigation path as the context from the same region. As for Beijing-Daohongmen, *CityReasoning* dataset is constructed by using the navigation path as the context from another region Beijing-Wangjing. In both experiments, we carefully check the data to ensure that the evaluation data and the training data do not overlap. For most models, the performance in with-out-context is worse than the with-context settings. Only ChatGLM3-6B with the worst performance among baselines does not follow this which may be account by its lowest performance level. In the with-context settings, the performance of CityGPT in both in-domain and out-of-domain keeps extremely outstanding which outperforms ChatGLM3-6B more than 130% and outperforms the best baseline more than 20%. In the more challenging with-out-context settings, the performance gain of CityGPT compared with ChatGLM3-6B is decreased to 20%-100% and it performs 15% worse than the best baseline LLama3-70B in the most difficult out-of-domain testing.

The result of *Urban Composite Tasks* group is presented in Table 4. *CityGPT*, acquiring urban spatial knowledge and the skill of spatial reasoning after tuning with *CityInstruction*, outperforms ChatGLM3-6B significantly in all three tasks. It is noted that no task related instruction data is collected and used during the experiment. In the prediction task, the performance of LLama3-70B is competitive with CityGPT. Due to the periodical characteristics of human mobility, Llama3-70B with powerful reasoning capability can observe this regularity and give proper location prediction results by only considering the locations exists in the past trajectory. Besides, as Table 5 shows, while CityGPT experience a significant boost in spatial reasoning and other urban capacities performance, it maintained stability in the general capabilities.

In summary, with tuning on *CityInstruction*, CityGPT presents stronger spatial reasoning and urban task solving ability without sacrificing general performance.

3.4 Ablation Study

In this section, we study the influence of the data composition in *CityInstruction* and the effects of model in Figure 3 and Table 6. To study the effects of data size, we split the whole *CityInstruction* into five equal parts and fine-tune ChatGLM3-6B with data from only 1 part to all the 5 parts.

Table 5: Performance of CityGPT on general benchmark keeps stable.

Tasks	ChatGLM3-6B	CityGPT-ChatGLM3-6B	
		@Beijing-Wudaokou	@Beijing-Dahongmen
MMLU	51.20	50.65	51.74
BBH	43.12	41.87	40.36
GSM8K	25.25	24.11	23.05




Figure 3: Results for ablation study in Beijing-Wudaokou. Left: The performance of CityGPT improves with the increase in the parameters of Qwen1.5 (from 0.5B to 14B). Middle: The performance of CityGPT improves with the increase in the instruction dataset volume. Right: The performance of CityGPT decreases when different sub-datasets are removed, where ‘-’ denotes ‘removal’.

As the middle image in Figure 3 shows, the performance of all the tasks increase with more data are utilized. We find that *Urban Semantics* task group requires only a modest amount of data to achieve a relatively high level of performance, whereas the more challenging *Spatial Reasoning* task necessitates significantly larger quantities of data to attain a comparable high level of performance. We select the Qwen1.5 with 0.5B-14B models to investigate the influence of the model size. As the left image in Figure 3 shows, we can observe that the challenging *Spatial Reasoning* task require LLMs with larger parameters while the *Urban Semantics* task group can be handled well with smaller LLMs. Furthermore, CityGPT-Qwen1.5-14B outperforms CityGPT-ChatGLM3-6B with more than 20% in the *Spatial Reasoning* tasks. Besides, we also present the performance of CityGPT with different general LLMs as base model in Table 6. We can observe that our framework can achieve stable performance again on different general LLMs. Finally, we discuss the effects of different types of data in *CityInstruction* and present the results in the right image of Figure 3. Different types of tasks exhibit varying degrees of sensitivity to the data composition of *CityInstruction*. *Urban Semantics* tasks are the least sensitive to data, whereas *Spatial Reasoning* tasks are the most sensitive ones.

Table 6: The performance gain of CityGPT with different LLMs are stable at Beijing-Wudaokou.

Task Group	City Image	Urban Semantics	Spatial Reasoning
CityGPT-Llama3-8B	0.611	0.572	0.496
CityGPT-Qwen1.5-7B	0.561	0.652	0.436
CityGPT-ChatGLM3-6B	0.550	0.583	0.469

4 Related Work

Large Language Models Since the publication of ChatGPT [36], LLMs [55] presents impressive language generation and reasoning capabilities in many challenging tasks [1]. While LLMs [44, 54] are trained on the online web text data, they usually underperforms in many specialized fields. Thus, researchers design various mechanisms to enhance the capability of general LLMs in specific domains, like BloombergGPT [48], Med-Plam [41], and Llemma [2]. In the geospatial field, K2 [11] is designed to answer geographical knowledge questions after learning scientific literature. Similar to K2, Wang et al. [46] finetunes ChatGLM to answer questions about urban renewal. Different from these domain specific QA models for text based literature, our method is designed for understanding the physical urban space whose original format is not text and conducting spatial reasoning to solve real urban tasks.

Language and Urban Space While urban space is usually described by the accurate digital map, researchers have explored various methods [20, 27, 4, 26] to grounding the natural language with the geospatial entity. Huang et al. [20] propose utilize online user searching logs to construct heterogeneous graph and sample random walks to construct the sequence data with geospatial entity. Li et al. [26, 27] use geospatial entity from the Wikipedia to align with the same entity in map. However, the former relies on the large scale private user logs and the latter can only cover limited entities mentioned in the Wikipedia. Recently, Balsebre et al. [4] propose LAMP to injecting PoIs into LLMs with RAG for better PoI recommendation, which is similar to part of the questions about PoIs in our *CityQA*. Unlike LAMP, our method considers a broader range of questions and entities by drawing inspiration from GeoQA [37, 30] and we introduce routing based instruction data and spatial reasoning instructions as new ways to grounding the urban spatial knowledge with language.

Spatial Cognition and Reasoning With explicit function zones in the brain like place cells and border cells, human build a cognitive map [14, 15] in the mind to recognize the physical world and navigate in it. Following this concept, researchers try to evaluate the spatial reasoning and navigation capability of LLMs in abstract environments [51, 35]. However, their experiment are conducted in the idealized and non-realistic environments like grids which is far from the real-life urban space. Besides, many studies [38, 18] propose to evaluate the spatial knowledge of LLMs. However, most of them pay attention to the coordinates based problems and only conduct national scale evaluations. In this paper, we follow the concept of the image of the city [28] and spatial cognitive map to construct the *CityEval* benchmark. As the first systematic evaluation benchmark for urban spatial capability of LLMs, it covers diverse aspects of urban space and sheds light for effectively evaluating the intelligence and utility of LLMs for urban system.

5 Discussion

World Simulator. Here, we use `pycitysim`² as the world simulator to integrate multi-source geospatial data via simulating the embodied experience of human daily life. The modeling capabilities and scalability of simulators are crucial for collecting high-quality data. Therefore, developing higher-quality and more functional urban simulators is essential.

Geospatial Bias. Geospatial bias has been a longstanding key issue in the field. Addressing the bias in the algorithm introduced by the training data is an urgent problem that needs to be resolved, especially as recent work [31] has demonstrated that LLMs indeed exhibit geographic bias. Simulator based data collection may contribute a lot to solve this problem.

Multi-modality. In this paper, we do not consider the image data including remote sensing and street view image which are also important data source in geospatial field. A important future direction is to extend the geospatial foundation model as a multi-modal model to support more diverse applications in the real life.

Hallucination. Hallucination is a common issue of general LLMs, and we have also observed it in our experiments. Addressing the hallucination problem of LLMs in geospatial knowledge is also a very important but highly challenging direction.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a systematic framework for evaluating and enhancing the capability of LLMs on understanding urban space and solving related urban tasks. For effectively evaluating the capability of LLMs, we construct *CityEval* which comprehensively considering various aspects, including the spatial cognition, urban semantics and spatial reasoning. To enhance the capability of smaller LLMs, we propose to construct a instruction tuning dataset with human-like spatial experience data and enhanced spatial reasoning problem data. Extensive experiments demonstrate the effectiveness of proposed framework which shed lights for the future of urban cognition and general intelligence.

²<https://github.com/tsinghua-fib-lab/pycitysim>

References

- [1] J. Achiam, S. Adler, S. Agarwal, L. Ahmad, I. Akkaya, F. L. Aleman, D. Almeida, J. Altenschmidt, S. Altman, S. Anadkat, et al. Gpt-4 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774*, 2023.
- [2] Z. Azerbayev, H. Schoelkopf, K. Paster, M. D. Santos, S. McAleer, A. Q. Jiang, J. Deng, S. Biderman, and S. Welleck. Llemma: An open language model for mathematics. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.10631*, 2023.
- [3] J. Bai, S. Bai, Y. Chu, Z. Cui, K. Dang, X. Deng, Y. Fan, W. Ge, Y. Han, F. Huang, B. Hui, L. Ji, M. Li, J. Lin, R. Lin, D. Liu, G. Liu, C. Lu, K. Lu, J. Ma, R. Men, X. Ren, X. Ren, C. Tan, S. Tan, J. Tu, P. Wang, S. Wang, W. Wang, S. Wu, B. Xu, J. Xu, A. Yang, H. Yang, J. Yang, S. Yang, Y. Yao, B. Yu, H. Yuan, Z. Yuan, J. Zhang, X. Zhang, Y. Zhang, Z. Zhang, C. Zhou, J. Zhou, X. Zhou, and T. Zhu. Qwen technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.16609*, 2023.
- [4] P. Balsebre, W. Huang, and G. Cong. Lamp: A language model on the map. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.09059*, 2024.
- [5] P. Bhandari, A. Anastasopoulos, and D. Pfoser. Are large language models geospatially knowledgeable? In *Proceedings of the 31st ACM International Conference on Advances in Geographic Information Systems*, pages 1–4, 2023.
- [6] T. Brown, B. Mann, N. Ryder, M. Subbiah, J. D. Kaplan, P. Dhariwal, A. Neelakantan, P. Shyam, G. Sastry, A. Askell, et al. Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:1877–1901, 2020.
- [7] K. Cobbe, V. Kosaraju, M. Bavarian, M. Chen, H. Jun, L. Kaiser, M. Plappert, J. Tworek, J. Hilton, R. Nakano, et al. Training verifiers to solve math word problems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.14168*, 2021.
- [8] O. Contributors. Opencompass: A universal evaluation platform for foundation models. <https://github.com/open-compass/opencompass>, 2023.
- [9] A. Coutrot, E. Manley, S. Goodroe, C. Gahnstrom, G. Filomena, D. Yesiltepe, R. C. Dalton, J. M. Wiener, C. Hölscher, M. Hornberger, et al. Entropy of city street networks linked to future spatial navigation ability. *Nature*, 604(7904):104–110, 2022.
- [10] DeepSeek-AI. Deepseek-v2: A strong, economical, and efficient mixture-of-experts language model, 2024.
- [11] C. Deng, T. Zhang, Z. He, Q. Chen, Y. Shi, Y. Xu, L. Fu, W. Zhang, X. Wang, C. Zhou, et al. K2: A foundation language model for geoscience knowledge understanding and utilization. In *Proceedings of the 17th ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*, pages 161–170, 2024.
- [12] N. Ding, Y. Chen, B. Xu, Y. Qin, Z. Zheng, S. Hu, Z. Liu, M. Sun, and B. Zhou. Enhancing chat language models by scaling high-quality instructional conversations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.14233*, 2023.
- [13] G. Dong, H. Yuan, K. Lu, C. Li, M. Xue, D. Liu, W. Wang, Z. Yuan, C. Zhou, and J. Zhou. How abilities in large language models are affected by supervised fine-tuning data composition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.05492*, 2023.
- [14] R. A. Epstein, E. Z. Patai, J. B. Julian, and H. J. Spiers. The cognitive map in humans: spatial navigation and beyond. *Nature neuroscience*, 20(11):1504–1513, 2017.
- [15] D. Farzanfar, H. J. Spiers, M. Moscovitch, and R. S. Rosenbaum. From cognitive maps to spatial schemas. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 24(2):63–79, 2023.
- [16] N. Godey, É. de la Clergerie, and B. Sagot. On the scaling laws of geographical representation in language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.19406*, 2024.
- [17] S. Gugger, L. Debut, T. Wolf, P. Schmid, Z. Mueller, S. Mangrulkar, M. Sun, and B. Bossan. Accelerate: Training and inference at scale made simple, efficient and adaptable. <https://github.com/huggingface/accelerate>, 2022.
- [18] W. Gurnee and M. Tegmark. Language models represent space and time. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.02207*, 2023.

- [19] D. Hendrycks, C. Burns, S. Basart, A. Zou, M. Mazeika, D. Song, and J. Steinhardt. Measuring massive multitask language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.03300*, 2020.
- [20] J. Huang, H. Wang, Y. Sun, Y. Shi, Z. Huang, A. Zhuo, and S. Feng. Ernie-geol: A geography-and-language pre-trained model and its applications in baidu maps. In *Proceedings of the 28th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, pages 3029–3039, 2022.
- [21] A. Q. Jiang, A. Sablayrolles, A. Mensch, C. Bamford, D. S. Chaplot, D. d. l. Casas, F. Bressand, G. Lengyel, G. Lample, L. Saulnier, et al. Mistral 7b. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.06825*, 2023.
- [22] W. Kwon, Z. Li, S. Zhuang, Y. Sheng, L. Zheng, C. H. Yu, J. Gonzalez, H. Zhang, and I. Stoica. Efficient memory management for large language model serving with pagedattention. In *Proceedings of the 29th Symposium on Operating Systems Principles*, pages 611–626, 2023.
- [23] A. N. Lee, C. J. Hunter, and N. Ruiz. Platypus: Quick, cheap, and powerful refinement of llms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.07317*, 2023.
- [24] D. Li, R. Ding, Q. Zhang, Z. Li, B. Chen, P. Xie, Y. Xu, X. Li, N. Guo, F. Huang, et al. Geogluce: A geographic language understanding evaluation benchmark. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.06545*, 2023.
- [25] S. Li, X. Puig, C. Paxton, Y. Du, C. Wang, L. Fan, T. Chen, D.-A. Huang, E. Akyürek, A. Anandkumar, et al. Pre-trained language models for interactive decision-making. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:31199–31212, 2022.
- [26] Z. Li, J. Kim, Y.-Y. Chiang, and M. Chen. Spabert: A pretrained language model from geographic data for geo-entity representation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.12213*, 2022.
- [27] Z. Li, W. Zhou, Y.-Y. Chiang, and M. Chen. Geolm: Empowering language models for geospatially grounded language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.14478*, 2023.
- [28] K. Lynch. *The image of the city*. MIT press, 1964.
- [29] G. Mai, W. Huang, J. Sun, S. Song, D. Mishra, N. Liu, S. Gao, T. Liu, G. Cong, Y. Hu, et al. On the opportunities and challenges of foundation models for geospatial artificial intelligence. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.06798*, 2023.
- [30] G. Mai, K. Janowicz, R. Zhu, L. Cai, and N. Lao. Geographic question answering: challenges, uniqueness, classification, and future directions. *AGILE: GIScience series*, 2:8, 2021.
- [31] R. Manvi, S. Khanna, M. Burke, D. Lobell, and S. Ermon. Large language models are geographically biased. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.02680*, 2024.
- [32] R. Manvi, S. Khanna, G. Mai, M. Burke, D. Lobell, and S. Ermon. Geollm: Extracting geospatial knowledge from large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.06213*, 2023.
- [33] Meta. Introducing meta llama 3: The most capable openly available llm to date. <https://ai.meta.com/blog/meta-llama-3/>, 2024.
- [34] R. Mirzaee and P. Kordjamshidi. Transfer learning with synthetic corpora for spatial role labeling and reasoning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.16952*, 2022.
- [35] I. Momennejad, H. Hasanbeig, F. Vieira Frujeri, H. Sharma, N. Jovic, H. Palangi, R. Ness, and J. Larson. Evaluating cognitive maps and planning in large language models with cogeval. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024.
- [36] OpenAI. Introducing chatgpt. <https://openai.com/blog/chatgpt/>, 2022.
- [37] D. Punjani, K. Singh, A. Both, M. Koubarakis, I. Angelidis, K. Bereta, T. Beris, D. Bilidas, T. Ioannidis, N. Karalis, et al. Template-based question answering over linked geospatial data. In *Proceedings of the 12th workshop on geographic information retrieval*, pages 1–10, 2018.
- [38] J. Roberts, T. Lüddecke, S. Das, K. Han, and S. Albanie. Gpt4geo: How a language model sees the world’s geography. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.00020*, 2023.
- [39] C. Shao, F. Xu, B. Fan, J. Ding, Y. Yuan, M. Wang, and Y. Li. Beyond imitation: Generating human mobility from context-aware reasoning with large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.09836*, 2024.
- [40] Z. Shi, Q. Zhang, and A. Lipani. Stepgame: A new benchmark for robust multi-hop spatial reasoning in texts. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 36, pages 11321–11329, 2022.

- [41] K. Singhal, S. Azizi, T. Tu, S. S. Mahdavi, J. Wei, H. W. Chung, N. Scales, A. Tanwani, H. Cole-Lewis, S. Pfohl, et al. Large language models encode clinical knowledge. *Nature*, 620(7972):172–180, 2023.
- [42] M. Suzgun, N. Scales, N. Schärli, S. Gehrmann, Y. Tay, H. W. Chung, A. Chowdhery, Q. V. Le, E. H. Chi, D. Zhou, et al. Challenging big-bench tasks and whether chain-of-thought can solve them. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.09261*, 2022.
- [43] M. A. team. Cheaper, better, faster, stronger continuing to push the frontier of ai and making it accessible to all. <https://mistral.ai/news/mixtral-8x22b/>, 2024.
- [44] H. Touvron, L. Martin, K. Stone, P. Albert, A. Almahairi, Y. Babaei, N. Bashlykov, S. Batra, P. Bhargava, S. Bhosale, et al. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288*, 2023.
- [45] X. Wang, M. Fang, Z. Zeng, and T. Cheng. Where would i go next? large language models as human mobility predictors. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.15197*, 2023.
- [46] X. Wang, X. Ling, T. Zhang, X. Li, S. Wang, Z. Li, L. Zhang, and P. Gong. Optimizing and fine-tuning large language model for urban renewal. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.15490*, 2023.
- [47] J. Wei, Y. Tay, R. Bommasani, C. Raffel, B. Zoph, S. Borgeaud, D. Yogatama, M. Bosma, D. Zhou, D. Metzler, et al. Emergent abilities of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.07682*, 2022.
- [48] S. Wu, O. Irsoy, S. Lu, V. Dabrovolski, M. Dredze, S. Gehrmann, P. Kambadur, D. Rosenberg, and G. Mann. Bloomberggpt: A large language model for finance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.17564*, 2023.
- [49] J. Xiang, T. Tao, Y. Gu, T. Shu, Z. Wang, Z. Yang, and Z. Hu. Language models meet world models: Embodied experiences enhance language models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 36, 2024.
- [50] D. Xu, W. Chen, W. Peng, C. Zhang, T. Xu, X. Zhao, X. Wu, Y. Zheng, and E. Chen. Large language models for generative information extraction: A survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.17617*, 2023.
- [51] Y. Yamada, Y. Bao, A. K. Lampinen, J. Kasai, and I. Yildirim. Evaluating spatial understanding of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.14540*, 2023.
- [52] J. Yuan, Y. Zheng, and X. Xie. Discovering regions of different functions in a city using human mobility and pois. In *Proceedings of the 18th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*, pages 186–194, 2012.
- [53] A. Zeng, M. Liu, R. Lu, B. Wang, X. Liu, Y. Dong, and J. Tang. Agenttuning: Enabling generalized agent abilities for llms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.12823*, 2023.
- [54] A. Zeng, X. Liu, Z. Du, Z. Wang, H. Lai, M. Ding, Z. Yang, Y. Xu, W. Zheng, X. Xia, et al. Glm-130b: An open bilingual pre-trained model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.02414*, 2022.
- [55] W. X. Zhao, K. Zhou, J. Li, T. Tang, X. Wang, Y. Hou, Y. Min, B. Zhang, J. Zhang, Z. Dong, et al. A survey of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.18223*, 2023.

A Appendix

A.1 Hyper-parameter Settings

Table 7: Hyper-parameters for fine-tuning.

Hyper-parameter	Value	Hyper-parameter	Value
Learning Rate	1e-5	Max Sequence Length	4096
Batch Size Per Device	1	Epoch	1
Gradient Acc Steps	16	LR Scheduler	cosine

A.2 CityInstruction Dataset

We present the spatial range of four evaluated regions in Figure 4. Table 8 presents the constructed *CityInstruction* data for each city.

Table 8: Basic information of *CityInstruction*, detailed examples can refer to section A.7. *CityReasoning* data for the last three cities are the same which are generated from Beijing-Wangjing.

City/Region	Dataset	Instance	Length/Token	Rounds
General	Spatial	3488	283	1
Instruction Data	Chat	336874	955	3.7
CityInstruction@ Beijing-Wudaokou	CityWalk	1708	5509	10.1
	CityQA	55163	89	1
	CityReasoning	2592	940	1.5
CityInstruction@ Beijing-Dahongmen	CityWalk	11493	7499	11.2
	CityQA	37384	124	1
	CityReasoning	3535	918	1.3
CityInstruction@ Paris	CityWalk	14637	1613	11.8
	CityQA	45550	282	1
	CityReasoning	3535	918	1.3
CityInstruction@ Newyork	CityWalk	25271	1345	11.7
	CityQA	45550	140	1
	CityReasoning	3535	918	1.3

A.3 Detailed Information about Urban Composite Tasks

Here we design three tasks to evaluate the performance of LLMs in harnessing their ability for solving urban composite tasks. Example for each task is presented in the following section.

Mobility Prediction: The model is required to predict the next PoI of a person based on his/her previous trajectory. Information provided include: (1) previous trajectory of the person (a series of trajectory items formatted as [poi name, visiting time]), (2) the visiting time of the PoI that requires prediction, (3) 9 candidate prediction PoIs. Note that the trajectory data used in this experiment are all from real world users. Ground truth of each question is extracted from the trajectory dataset, as the next poi that the user visited in real life.

Trajectory Generation: The model generates a trajectory based on a virtual agenda generated by gpt-3.5-turbo-1106. First, 250 templates of virtual agenda, formatted as a series of [time, action] items, is generated with gpt-3.5. Then, the model is required to assign a possible poi to each [time, action] item, which creates a trajectory with each point in time assigned with a corresponding PoI. The performance of the model is evaluated by comparing the virtually generated trajectories with the real-word ones. Specifically, we use Jensen–Shannon divergence(JSD) to measure the similarity between the mobility pattern distributions of generated trajectory and real trajectory data.

Spatial Navigation: The model is required to make step by step navigation from one AoI to another. At each step, the model is provided with: (1) hint about its current position(denoted by the two PoIs closest to its current position), (2) name of the destination AoI B, (3) candidate choices of navigation




Figure 4: Spatial range of evaluated regions in the experiments.

lanes (extracted from the map simulating platform and formatted as [road name, direction]). The choice of the model among the candidates will lead to a position update towards the next crossroad along the chosen road and its corresponding direction. The task is deemed successful if the model is able to navigate itself to a position that is within a threshold distance(500m in our experiment) to the destination Aol B in 30 steps. For evaluation, the models are tested on 21 navigation tasks designed to be finished within a minimum of 1,3,6 steps and an average of 4.5 steps.

Example for mobility prediction

```
Here is the user's historical data and target time to make a prediction:
<history>:
[2019-10-03 09:00, Aerospace Industry Import and Export Company],
[2019-10-01 10:30, North Park Cafeteria],
```

[2019-10-01 11:30, North Park Cafeteria],
 [2019-10-01 15:00, Green Garden Building 203],
 [2019-10-02 08:30, North Park Cafeteria],
 [2019-10-02 12:00, Green Garden Building 203],
 [2019-10-03 09:00, Aerospace Industry Import and Export Company],
 [2019-10-02 18:00, Green Garden Building 203]
 <target_time>: 2019-10-03 09:00
 <candidate POIs>:
 A.Beijing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics Wushu Gymnasium
 B.Huasheng Jiayuan Building
 C.Maliangwa Zhenghuangqi East Area
 D.Huoying Shounong Longguan Harmony Building
 E.Yongtai Xili Community North Area
 F.Blue Card Innovation Technology
 G.Hongshankou Jia 3rd Courtyard Central Area Building 18
 H.Yufu Xinjing
 I.Jinfeng Chengxiang
 J.Aerospace Industry Import and Export Company
 Note that the user had 1 hour to move from the last POI in history to the predicted one. Predict the next POI from candidates.

Example for spatial navigation

Your navigation destination is Zhongguancun Dongsheng Technology Park.
 You are now on Xueqing Road, with two nearby POIs: China Construction Bank 24-Hour Self-Service Bank (Qinghua East Road Branch) and China Construction Bank (Qinghua East Road Branch).
 Given the available options of road and their corresponding directions, please directly choose the option that will help lead you to the destination.
 The options are:
 A.(Qinghua East Road, Northwest)
 B.(Xueyuan Road, Northwest)
 C.(Xueqing Road, Northwest)
 Please make a choice directly.

Example for trajectory generation

You are a person and your basic information is as follows:
 Gender: man
 Education: High school diploma
 Consumption level: medium
 Occupation or Job Content: IT Engineer
 I will give you a schedule for the day and hope you can choose a suitable POI location for each schedule.I'm going to ask you step by step, giving you all the previous generated trajectory results at each step. I hope you can choose the appropriate POI places according to the specific planning of each step.
 The POI information I provide includes two aspects: name and id. And the format is as follows: [(POI_name1, POI_id1), (POI_name2, POI_id2)...]
 The trajectory results that have been generated are as follows: [['go to sleep', '(00:00, 07:28)', 'National Building Steel Quality Supervision and Inspection Center'], ['go to work', '(07:44, 15:02)', 'Haifeng Aquarium']]. You are now at Haifeng Aquarium and your next schedule is eat.
 The POIs provided are as follows: [('Shounong Sanyuan Mei Garden', 700189826), ('Zhongguancun South Street 12-Nanmen', 700317662), ('Haidian District People's Congress Beixiaguan Street Working Committee', 700603588), ('Jiaoda High School Fitness', 700190214), ('Beijing Zongyi Hui Network Technology Co., Ltd.', 700923292), ('China Agricultural Science and Technology Press', 700567032), ('Yirida Automation Technology Company', 700517926), ('CoCo Di Ke Tea (Dazhongdian)', 700554463), ('Hong Kong Desserts', 700854235), ('Tianying Jiuzhou Network Technology Co., Ltd. (Phoenix New Media)', 700547779), ('Chinese Agricultural Science'Editing Department', 700794522), ('Huanfa Administrative Building', 700603897), ('Juhe Xiang Xiaoxiaochangcai (Dazhongsi Branch)', 700800353), ('

Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences Parking Lot', 700589790), ('Daliushu Community Parent School', 700078527), ('Beijing Yingxiaotong Company (Dazhongsi Headquarters)', 700730537), ('Ma Ji Lobster Fried Chicken Shop', 700523054), ('Beijing Dazhongsi Outpatient Department', 700246996), ('Beijing Wangxin Longxiang Automobile Maintenance Center', 700536208), ('Tieyan Convenience Market', 700601966), ('Bist French Red Wine World', 700128538), ('Zhongnan Hengtong Security and Defense Technology Research Institute Co., Ltd.', 700486215), ('Beijing Shan Ge Car Transport Co., Ltd.', 700097580), ('Central University of Finance and Law-Faculty of Law', 700434513), ('Xiao Taikē (Shuang An Shopping Mall Store)', 700455041), ('Fuhai Center-East Gate', 700771864), ('News Stand', 700486196), ('Beijing Easytreasure Technology Co., Ltd.', 700205917), ('Beijing Kunxing Shunfa Trading Co., Ltd.', 700114525), ('Tieke Institute (Beijing) Engineering Consulting Co., Ltd.', 700132294)]

Please choose one appropriate POI for the schedule.
Just answer the poi_id is enough. No extra explanation is required.

The metrics for evaluating each task are listed as follows.

- **Acc(multi)**: prediction accuracy when N candidate POIs are provided.
- **Acc(gen)**: prediction accuracy when no candidate POIs are given.
- **Radius(JSD)**: radius of gyration, which represents the spatial range of the user's daily activities. We use Jensen-Shannon divergence (JSD) to measure the similarity between the mobility pattern(e.g., Radius, DailyLoc) distributions of generated trajectory and real trajectory data.
- **DailyLoc(JSD)**: daily visited locations, which is calculated as the number of visited locations per day for each user.
- **Steps**: average step that the model took to finish the navigation task. Note that the step is counted as 30 (maximum rounds of navigation) for cases where the model failed to navigate to the destination.
- **Success Rate**: probability that the model successfully navigates to the specified location.

A.4 Discussion and Limitation

Due to limitation on computational resources, we conducted experiments only in a few cities, without testing whether there are some general patterns across a wider range of cities around the world. With the same reason, the largest model fine-tuned in the work is only 14B, larger model cannot be trained with full parameters updated which may generate better results and enable the opportunity to explore the scaling law in a wide range of LLMs. While the data mixture strategy has a significant impact on performance, we can only explore its effects in a small LLM with limited search space.

During the training and evaluations, we do not pay attention to the hallucination issues of different LLMs and other issues like fairness is also ignored in the experiment. We indeed observe some results about these issue and leave these questions as the future work to research. With using open street map as the underlying data source, the quality of these data may greatly influence the performance and utility.

A.5 Case Study in *CityEval*

City Image

Question

The following is a multiple-choice question about the urban space. Please choose the most suitable one among A, B, C and D as the answer to this question. Please output the option and then give an explanation.

Question: Which road is the boundary of AOI Manhattan Bridge nearby

- A. Park Avenue South
- B. Manhattan Bridge lower level
- C. Washington Avenue
- D. Manhattan Bridge

CityGPT-ChatGLM3-6B

Answer: C. Washington Avenue

Explanation: The most suitable answer is C. Washington Avenue, as it is the boundary of the area known as "Manhattan Bridge" and it runs along the west side of the East River, connecting the Financial District and the Lower East Side.

DeepSeekV2-236B

Answer: D. Manhattan Bridge

Explanation:

Step 1: Understand the question - The question is asking for the road that serves as the boundary of the Area of Interest (AOI) near the Manhattan Bridge.

Step 2: Analyze the options -

A. Park Avenue South - This is a major thoroughfare in Manhattan but it does not directly serve as the boundary of the Manhattan Bridge AOI.

B. Manhattan Bridge lower level - This refers to a part of the bridge structure itself, not a road that serves as a boundary.

C. Washington Avenue - This is a street in Brooklyn, not directly related to the boundary of the Manhattan Bridge AOI.

D. Manhattan Bridge - This is the actual bridge structure that connects Manhattan and Brooklyn, and it would naturally serve as the boundary of an AOI related to the bridge itself.

Step 3: Choose the most suitable answer - Option D, Manhattan Bridge, is the most suitable answer because it is the physical structure that defines the boundary of an AOI related to the Manhattan Bridge. The bridge itself is the boundary between Manhattan and Brooklyn, and any AOI related to the bridge would be bounded by the bridge structure.

Mixtral-8x22B

The most suitable answer to this question is B. Manhattan Bridge lower level. This is because the Manhattan Bridge lower level is a road that runs along the length of the bridge and serves as a boundary for the area of interest (AOI) surrounding the bridge. The other options listed, Park Avenue South, Washington Avenue, and Manhattan Bridge, do not serve as boundaries for the AOI in the same way that the Manhattan Bridge lower level does.

Llama3-8B

The correct answer is B. Manhattan Bridge lower level.

Explanation: The Manhattan Bridge is a suspension bridge that spans the East River, connecting Brooklyn and Manhattan in New York City. The bridge has multiple levels, including a lower level that is a road. Therefore, the Manhattan Bridge lower level is the road that is the boundary of the Area of Interest (AOI) nearby.

Llama3-70B

The correct answer is A. Park Avenue South.

Explanation:

The question is asking about the boundary of the Area of Interest (AOI) near the Manhattan Bridge. The Manhattan Bridge is a bridge that connects Brooklyn and Manhattan in New York City.

After analyzing the options, we can see that Park Avenue South is a road in Manhattan, and it is a boundary of the AOI near the Manhattan Bridge.

Option B, Manhattan Bridge lower level, is not a road and cannot be a boundary.

Option C, Washington Avenue, is a road in Brooklyn, not in Manhattan, so it's not the correct answer. Option D, Manhattan Bridge, is the bridge itself, not a road that can be a boundary.

GPT-3.5

Your explanation for option B is spot on! The Manhattan Bridge's lower level is indeed a distinctive boundary in the urban space, given its physical presence and functional role as part of the bridge structure. It effectively delineates areas nearby, making it a suitable answer for the question. Well done!

GPT-4

Option: B. Manhattan Bridge lower level

Explanation: The Manhattan Bridge is a suspension bridge that crosses the East River in New York City, connecting Manhattan and Brooklyn. The bridge itself serves as a clear boundary line due to its significant physical presence and role in traffic flow. Specifically, the "Manhattan Bridge lower level" directly refers to a part of the bridge structure, making it a distinct boundary for any area of interest (AOI) nearby, such as a designated zone or region that includes the bridge. Park Avenue South and Washington Avenue, while significant streets in New York City, do not directly relate to the Manhattan Bridge's boundaries as explicitly as the bridge's own lower level.

ChatGLM3-6B

The most suitable answer is C. Washington Avenue.

The Manhattan Bridge (also known as the Brooklyn Bridge) is a major bridge in New York City that connects Manhattan and Brooklyn. It is located in the center of the East River and spans across it from lower Manhattan to Brooklyn.

Option A, Park Avenue South, is a street in Manhattan that runs along the west side of the East River, but it is not a boundary of the AOI Manhattan Bridge.

Option B, Manhattan Bridge lower level, refers to a level of the bridge that is below the roadway, but it is not a boundary of the bridge.

Option D, Manhattan Bridge, simply refers to the bridge itself, and it does not indicate a boundary.

Option C, Washington Avenue, is a street that runs along the east side of the East River and connects to Brooklyn, and it is the boundary of the AOI Manhattan Bridge. Therefore, option C is the most suitable answer.

Urban Semantics

Question

The following is a multiple-choice question about the urban space. Please choose the most suitable one among A, B, C and D as the answer to this question. Please output the option and then give an explanation.

Question: What is the land use type of Holiday Inn?

- A. Hospital
- B. TrafficStation&Park
- C. Residential
- D. Education

CityGPT-ChatGLM3-6B

The answer is C. Residential.

Explanation: The Holiday Inn is located in a residential area, which means it is primarily used for residential purposes such as housing and community services.

DeepSeekV2-236B

Answer: C. Residential

Explanation:

Step 1: Identify the subject of the question, which is "Holiday Inn."

Step 2: Recognize that Holiday Inn is a brand of hotels and accommodations.

Step 3: Evaluate each option in relation to the subject:

A. Hospital - This is incorrect because Holiday Inn is not a medical facility.

B. Traffic Station & Park - This is incorrect because Holiday Inn is not related to transportation hubs or parks.

C. Residential - This is correct because hotels, including Holiday Inn, provide temporary living accommodations, which can be considered a form of residential use.

D. Education - This is incorrect because Holiday Inn is not an educational institution.

Therefore, the most suitable answer is C. Residential, as Holiday Inn provides temporary living spaces for guests, which aligns with the concept of residential land use.

Mixtral-8x22B

D. Hotel

Explanation: Holiday Inn is a well-known international hotel chain. Therefore, the land use type of Holiday Inn would be classified as a Hotel (D), as it is primarily used for lodging and accommodation purposes.

Llama3-8B

The correct answer is B. TrafficStation&Park.

Explanation: Holiday Inn is a hotel chain, and it is typically located near traffic stations and parks, as it is designed to accommodate travelers and provide convenient access to transportation and recreational areas.

Llama3-70B

The correct answer is B. TrafficStation&Park.

Explanation: Holiday Inn is a hotel chain, and hotels are typically classified under the land use type of "Traffic Station & Park" or "Commercial" as they provide accommodation and other services to travelers. They are not hospitals (A), residential areas (C), or educational institutions (D).

GPT-3.5

Option: C. Residential

Explanation: The most suitable land use type for a Holiday Inn hotel would be residential. Hotels like Holiday Inn are primarily designed for temporary accommodation for travelers and tourists. While they are not permanent residences like homes or apartments, they serve a residential function by providing lodging for short periods. The other options such as Hospital, Traffic Station & Park, and Education do not accurately reflect the primary purpose and function of a hotel like Holiday Inn.

GPT-4

Option: C. Residential

Explanation: The most appropriate land use type for a Holiday Inn, which is a hotel, is "Residential." This classification is because hotels provide accommodations for people to reside temporarily, similar to how residential areas provide spaces for living. They are primarily designed for lodging purposes, which aligns them more with residential uses than with other categories like hospitals, educational institutions, or traffic and park facilities. Thus, while not residential in the traditional sense of permanent homes, hotels functionally serve a residential purpose in urban planning contexts.

ChatGLM3-6B

The most suitable answer is C. Residential.

Answer: C. Residential

Explanation:

A Holiday Inn is typically a hotel or inn that is used for residential purposes, such as providing temporary housing for travelers or guests. It is not a hospital, traffic station and park, or educational facility.

Spatial Reasoning With Context

Question

The following is a multiple-choice question about the urban space. Please choose the most suitable one among A, B, C and D as the answer to this question. Please output the option and then give an explanation.

Question: After starting from Chatham Square Library (on the south side of East Broadway, 300m from the west corner of the junction of Pike Street and East Broadway), you walk along East Broadway for 400 meters from east to west, then go southwest towards the junction of East Broadway and Oliver Street, walk along Oliver Street for 100 meters from northeast to southwest, then go southwest towards the junction of Oliver Street and Pearl Street, walk along Pearl Street for 100 meters from northeast to southwest, then go southwest towards the junction of Pearl Street and Pearl Street, walk along Pearl Street for 400 meters from northeast to southwest, then go southwest towards the junction of Pearl Street and Water Street, walk along Water Street for 600 meters from northeast to southwest, then go northwest towards the junction of Water Street and State Street, walk along State Street for 300 meters from southeast to northwest, then go northeast towards the junction of State Street and Greenwich Street, walk along Greenwich Street for 800 meters from southwest to northeast. Finally you arrive at Barclay Tower (on the east side of Church Street, 50m from the east corner of the junction of Greenwich Street and Church Street). How many meters do I need to walk from Chatham Square Library (on the south side of East Broadway, 300m from the west corner of the junction of Pike Street and East Broadway) to Barclay Tower (on the east side of Church Street, 50m from the east corner of the junction of Greenwich Street and Church Street) along the road?

- A. 1700.0
- B. 5400.0
- C. 2700.0
- D. 1350.0

CityGPT-ChatGLM3-6B

Answer: C. 2700.0

Explanation: To solve this problem, we need to add up the distances of each segment of the journey.

Starting from Chatham Square Library, walk along East Broadway for 400 meters from east to west. Then, walk along Oliver Street for 100 meters from northeast to southwest. Next, walk along Oliver Street for 100 meters from northeast to southwest. Then, walk along Oliver Street for 400 meters from northeast to southwest. Then, walk along Pearl Street for 100 meters from northeast to southwest. Then, walk along Pearl Street for 400 meters from northeast to southwest. Then, walk along Water Street for 600 meters from northeast to southwest. Then, walk along State Street for 300 meters from southeast to northwest. Then, walk along State Street for 300 meters from southeast to northwest. Finally, walk along Greenwich Street for 800 meters from southwest to northeast.

Adding up the distances: $400 + 100 + 100 + 400 + 100 + 400 + 600 + 300 + 300 + 800 = 3700$ meters.

Therefore, the correct answer is C. 2700.0 meters.

DeepSeekV2-236B

Answer: A. 1700.0

Explanation:

Step 1: Find the distance traveled for each segment of the road: 400m, 100m, 100m, 400m, 600m, 300m, 800m

Step 2: Add all distances above together to get the total distance: $400 + 100 + 100 + 400 + 600 + 300 + 800 = 2700.0$

However, there is a mistake in the question. The junction of Pearl Street and Pearl Street is mentioned twice, which is not possible as it's the same street. Assuming this is a typo and the second mention of Pearl Street should be a different street, let's correct the distances:

Step 3: Correct the distances by removing the duplicate Pearl Street segment: $400 + 100 + 100 + 600 + 300 + 800 = 2300.0$

Step 4: Since the question asks for the distance from Chatham Square Library to Barclay Tower, we need to subtract the initial 300m from the library's location to the junction of Pike Street and East Broadway: $2300 - 300 = 2000.0$

Step 5: Finally, we need to add the last 50m from the junction of Greenwich Street and Church Street to Barclay Tower: $2000 + 50 = 2050.0$

The correct answer should be 2050.0, but since it's not an option, the closest answer is A. 1700.0, which is incorrect due to the typo in the question.

Mixtral-8x22B

To find the total distance traveled from Chatham Square Library to Barclay Tower, we need to add up the distances for each segment of the road:

1. Walk along East Broadway for 400 meters from east to west.
2. Walk along Oliver Street for 100 meters from northeast to southwest.
3. Walk along Pearl Street for 100 meters from northeast to southwest.
4. Walk along Pearl Street for 400 meters from northeast to southwest.
5. Walk along Water Street for 600 meters from northeast to southwest.
6. Walk along State Street for 300 meters from southeast to northwest.
7. Walk along Greenwich Street for 800 meters from southwest to northeast.

Now, let's add all these distances together:

$400\text{m (East Broadway)} + 100\text{m (Oliver Street)} + 100\text{m (Pearl Street)} + 400\text{m (Pearl Street)} + 600\text{m (Water Street)} + 300\text{m (State Street)} + 800\text{m (Greenwich Street)} = 2700\text{m}$

Therefore, the total distance traveled from Chatham Square Library to Barclay Tower along the road is 2700 meters.

Answer: C. 2700.0

Llama3-8B

Step 1: Find the distance traveled for each segment of the road:

- * 400m (East Broadway from east to west)
- * 100m (Oliver Street from northeast to southwest)
- * 100m (Pearl Street from northeast to southwest)
- * 400m (Pearl Street from northeast to southwest)
- * 600m (Water Street from northeast to southwest)
- * 300m (State Street from southeast to northwest)
- * 800m (Greenwich Street from southwest to northeast)

Step 2: Add all distances above together to get the total distance:

$400 + 100 + 100 + 400 + 600 + 300 + 800 = 2700$

So the answer is 2700.

Answer: C.

Llama3-70B

Step 1: Find the distance traveled for each segment of the road:

400m, 100m, 100m, 400m, 600m, 300m, 800m

Step 2: Add all distances above together to get the total distance:

$400 + 100 + 100 + 400 + 600 + 300 + 800 = 2700$.

So the answer is 2700.

Answer: C.

GPT-3.5

Based on the provided directions and distances, the total distance you need to walk from Chatham Square Library to Barclay Tower is 2700 meters, so the correct answer is:

A. 2700.0

Explanation:

Walk along East Broadway for 400 meters.

Walk along Oliver Street for 100 meters.

Walk along Pearl Street for 100 meters.
Walk along Pearl Street for another 400 meters.
Walk along Water Street for 600 meters.
Walk along State Street for 300 meters.
Walk along Greenwich Street for 800 meters.
Total: $400 + 100 + 100 + 400 + 600 + 300 + 800 = 2700$ meters.

GPT-4

Explanation: To calculate the total distance walked from Chatham Square Library to Barclay Tower, we need to sum up the individual segments of the journey as described:

Walk along East Broadway: 400 meters
Walk along Oliver Street: 100 meters
Walk along Pearl Street (first segment): 100 meters
Walk along Pearl Street (second segment): 400 meters
Walk along Water Street: 600 meters
Walk along State Street: 300 meters
Walk along Greenwich Street: 800 meters
Adding these distances together:
 $400 + 100 + 100 + 400 + 600 + 300 + 800 = 2700$ meters
Thus, the total distance walked is 2700 meters, making option C the correct answer.

ChatGLM3-6B

The most suitable answer is C. 2700.0 meters.

Explanation:

- From Chatham Square Library to the junction of East Broadway and Oliver Street, you walk 400 meters west.
- From the junction of East Broadway and Oliver Street to the junction of Oliver Street and Pearl Street, you walk 100 meters southwest.
- From the junction of Oliver Street and Pearl Street to the junction of Pearl Street and Water Street, you walk 100 meters southwest.
- From the junction of Pearl Street and Water Street to the junction of Water Street and State Street, you walk 100 meters southwest.
- From the junction of Water Street and State Street to the junction of State Street and Greenwich Street, you walk 400 meters southwest.
- From the junction of Greenwich Street and State Street to the junction of State Street and Church Street, you walk 800 meters southwest.
- From the junction of Church Street and State Street to the east corner of the junction of Greenwich Street and Church Street, you walk 300 meters northeast.
- From the east corner of the junction of Greenwich Street and Church Street to the east side of Church Street, you walk 50 meters.

The total distance you need to walk is the sum of the distances in each segment:
 $400 + 100 + 100 + 100 + 400 + 800 + 300 + 50 = 2700$ meters.

Spatial Reasoning Without Context

User

Question: In which direction is Russian Orthodox Cathedral of the Transfiguration of Our Lord (on the north side of North 11th Street, 450m from the southeast corner of the junction of North 14th Street and North 11th Street) from Metropolitan Avenue?

- A. east
- B. north
- C. west
- D. south

To answer the question, please think about the navigation instruction about two locations.

Assistant

<Answer 1>

User

Please consider the above context and answer the following question. Question: In which direction is Russian Orthodox Cathedral of the Transfiguration of Our Lord(on the north side of North 11th Street, 450m from the southeast corner of the junction of North 14th Street and North 11th Street) from Metropolitan Avenue?

- A. east
- B. north
- C. west
- D. south

Assistant

<Answer 2>

CityGPT-ChatGLM3-6B

Answer 1

The navigation instruction:

Answer: B. north

Reasoning:

According to the navigation instruction, "head north on North 11th Street from the southeast corner of the junction of North 14th Street and North 11th Street." This indicates that the Russian Orthodox Cathedral of the Transfiguration of Our Lord is to the north of the southeast corner of the junction.

Answer 2

Answer: B

Explanation: From the given instructions, we can follow the path of the journey to reach the Russian Orthodox Cathedral of the Transfiguration of Our Lord from the Bottle Shop. After walking along Metropolitan Avenue for 500 meters from northwest to southeast, we reach the junction of Metropolitan Avenue and Metropolitan Avenue. From there, we walk along Metropolitan Avenue for 600 meters from southeast to northwest. After that, we walk along Kent Avenue for 600 meters from southwest to northeast. Then, we walk along Kent Avenue for 200 meters from southwest to northeast. After that, we walk along North 14th Street for 300 meters from north to south. Then, we walk along North 14th Street for 300 meters from north to south. Finally, we walk along North 11th Street for 500 meters from northwest to southeast. Therefore, the Russian Orthodox Cathedral of the Transfiguration of Our Lord is on the north side of North 11th Street, 450m from the southeast corner of the junction of North 14th Street and North 11th Street.

DeepSeekV2-236B

Answer 1

The navigation instruction: To answer the question, we need to determine the direction from Metropolitan Avenue to the Russian Orthodox Cathedral of the Transfiguration of Our Lord.

Step 1: Identify the locations.

- The cathedral is located on the north side of North 11th Street, 450m from the southeast corner of the junction of North 14th Street and North 11th Street.
- Metropolitan Avenue is the reference point.

Step 2: Determine the cardinal direction between the two locations.

- The cathedral is on the north side of North 11th Street.
- To reach the cathedral from Metropolitan Avenue, we need to go north on North 11th Street.

Conclusion: The Russian Orthodox Cathedral of the Transfiguration of Our Lord is in the north direction from Metropolitan Avenue. Therefore, the answer is B. north.

Answer 2

Step 1: Identify the locations.

- The cathedral is located on the north side of North 11th Street, 450m from the southeast corner of the junction of North 14th Street and North 11th Street.
- Metropolitan Avenue is the reference point.

Step 2: Determine the cardinal direction between the two locations.

- The cathedral is on the north side of North 11th Street.
- To reach the cathedral from Metropolitan Avenue, we need to go north on North 11th Street.

Conclusion: The Russian Orthodox Cathedral of the Transfiguration of Our Lord is in the north direction from Metropolitan Avenue. Therefore, the answer is B. north.

Mixtral-8x22B

Answer 1

The navigation instruction: To answer this question, we need to determine the direction of the Russian Orthodox Cathedral of the Transfiguration of Our Lord from Metropolitan Avenue. Since the cathedral is on the north side of North 11th Street and the question mentions a junction of North 14th Street and North 11th Street, we can infer that the cathedral is located north of Metropolitan Avenue. Therefore, the correct answer is:

B. north

Answer 2

The Russian Orthodox Cathedral of the Transfiguration of Our Lord is located on the north side of North 11th Street, which means it is situated to the north of Metropolitan Avenue. Therefore, the correct answer is:

B. north

Llama3-8B

Answer 1

The navigation instruction: A nice navigation question!

According to the text, the Russian Orthodox Cathedral is located on the north side of North 11th Street, which means it is on the same street as the junction of North 14th Street and North 11th Street.

Metropolitan Avenue is not mentioned as being adjacent to North 11th Street, but we can infer that it is a separate street that intersects with North 14th Street and North 11th Street.

To find the direction from the cathedral to Metropolitan Avenue, we need to think about the navigation instruction: "450m from the southeast corner of the junction of North 14th Street and North 11th Street".

This means that the cathedral is 450 meters away from the southeast corner of the junction. Since the cathedral is on the north side of North 11th Street, it is actually on the opposite side of the junction from Metropolitan Avenue.

Therefore, the direction from the Russian Orthodox Cathedral to Metropolitan Avenue is:

C. west

Answer 2

Let's break it down step by step:

1. The Russian Orthodox Cathedral is located on the north side of North 11th Street.
2. It is 450m from the southeast corner of the junction of North 14th Street and North 11th Street.
3. To find the direction from the cathedral to Metropolitan Avenue, we need to think about the relationship between North 11th Street, North 14th Street, and Metropolitan Avenue.

Since the cathedral is on the north side of North 11th Street, it means that Metropolitan Avenue must be either north, south, east, or west of the cathedral.

4. Since the cathedral is 450m from the southeast corner of the junction, it means that Metropolitan Avenue is not adjacent to the junction. This implies that Metropolitan Avenue is either north or south of the junction.

5. Since the cathedral is on the north side of North 11th Street, it means that Metropolitan Avenue cannot be north of the junction. This leaves us with one option: Metropolitan Avenue must be south of the junction.

6. Therefore, the direction from the Russian Orthodox Cathedral to Metropolitan Avenue is:

D. south

Llama3-70B

Answer 1

The navigation instruction: Let's break down the navigation instruction:

"...on the north side of North 11th Street, 450m from the southeast corner of the junction of North 14th Street and North 11th Street"

This means that the Russian Orthodox Cathedral is located on North 11th Street, 450m away from the intersection of North 14th Street and North 11th Street. Since it's 450m away from the southeast corner of the intersection, it must be in the opposite direction, which is northwest.

Since Metropolitan Avenue is likely to be near the intersection of North 14th Street and North 11th Street, the Russian Orthodox Cathedral would be northwest of Metropolitan Avenue.

Out of the options, the closest direction is:

B. north

Answer 2

Let's break it down step by step:

1. The cathedral is on the north side of North 11th Street.

2. It's 450m from the southeast corner of the junction of North 14th Street and North 11th Street.

3. Since it's 450m from the southeast corner, it means the cathedral is northwest of the junction.

4. Metropolitan Avenue is likely to be near the junction of North 14th Street and North 11th Street.

5. Since the cathedral is northwest of the junction, it means it's south of Metropolitan Avenue (because northwest is opposite to southeast).

So, the correct answer is:

D. south

GPT-3.5

Answer 1

To determine the direction of the Russian Orthodox Cathedral of the Transfiguration of Our Lord from Metropolitan Avenue, we need to understand the relative positions of these locations based on the given information.

The Cathedral is described as being on the north side of North 11th Street, 450 meters from the southeast corner of the junction of North 14th Street and North 11th Street. Metropolitan Avenue's specific location is not provided, so we'll consider it as a starting point without a defined direction.

Given the information about the Cathedral's position, it is south of Metropolitan Avenue. Therefore, the correct answer is:

D. south

Explanation:

If the Cathedral is on the north side of North 11th Street, and Metropolitan Avenue's direction is not specified but considered as a starting point, then the Cathedral is south of Metropolitan Avenue by logical deduction.

Answer 2

Based on the provided context, the Russian Orthodox Cathedral of the Transfiguration of Our Lord is on the north side of North 11th Street, 450 meters from the southeast corner of the junction of North 14th Street and North 11th Street. Since Metropolitan Avenue's specific location is not given, we can't determine the exact direction from Metropolitan Avenue to the cathedral. Without additional information about Metropolitan Avenue's position relative to the junction of North 14th Street and North 11th Street, we can't accurately determine the direction. Therefore, the answer should be left as "indeterminate" or "uncertain" unless more specific information about Metropolitan Avenue's location is provided.

GPT-4

Answer 1

To answer this question accurately, we need to assume a typical grid layout for the streets and infer the most logical orientation based on the details provided: The Russian Orthodox Cathedral of the Transfiguration of Our Lord is on the north side of North 11th Street.

It is 450 meters from the southeast corner of the junction of North 14th Street and North 11th Street.

Given this information and assuming that streets run typically (North 14th Street and North 11th Street are parallel), and Metropolitan Avenue intersects or runs parallel to these streets, the orientation position from Metropolitan Avenue to the cathedral would depend on the relative position of Metropolitan Avenue to North 11th Street.

If Metropolitan Avenue runs east-west and is situated south of North 11th Street (a common urban layout), then to reach the cathedral located north of this junction, you would travel:

B. north

This is under the assumption that Metropolitan Avenue lies parallel and to the south of North 11th Street, and you would need to head northward to reach the cathedral.

Answer 2

Based on the given information and typical urban street layouts, let's make some assumptions:

The Russian Orthodox Cathedral of the Transfiguration of Our Lord is located on the north side of North 11th Street.

It is 450 meters from the southeast corner of the junction of North 14th Street and North 11th Street.

To determine the direction from Metropolitan Avenue to the cathedral, we need to know the relative positions of Metropolitan Avenue and North 11th Street. Since Metropolitan Avenue's position is not specified, let's consider a typical scenario where Metropolitan Avenue runs east-west and intersects North 11th Street.

If Metropolitan Avenue is indeed running east-west and the cathedral is located north of North 11th Street, then the direction from Metropolitan Avenue to the cathedral would be:

B. north

This is because you would need to travel northward from Metropolitan Avenue to reach the cathedral on the north side of North 11th Street.

ChatGLM3-6B

Answer 1

The navigation instruction:

Based on the given information, the Russian Orthodox Cathedral of the Transfiguration of Our Lord is located on the north side of North 11th Street, 450 m from the southeast corner of the junction of North 14th Street and North 11th Street.

To determine the direction from Metropolitan Avenue, we need to consider the compass directions.

Metropolitan Avenue is generally oriented in the east-west direction. Looking at the given location, the Russian Orthodox Cathedral is located to the north of Metropolitan Avenue.

Therefore, the correct answer is:

A. north

Answer 2

To determine the direction from Metropolitan Avenue, we can use the given information that the Russian Orthodox Cathedral of the Transfiguration of Our Lord is located on the north side of North 11th Street, 450m from the southeast corner of the junction of North 14th Street and North 11th Street.

Since Metropolitan Avenue is generally oriented in the east-west direction, we can conclude that the Russian Orthodox Cathedral is located to the north of Metropolitan Avenue.

Therefore, the correct answer is:
B. north

A.6 Data Processing Prompts

Templates for augmenting POI related questions

Give me 3 variations of this template of "Tell me where is [poi_name] located?", with different styles, tones, in python list format.
Answer:

Augmentation prompts for generating reasoning steps of StepGame

{story and question} Please select the correct answer from the provided option: { candidate options}. If there are multiple correct answers, please select all of them. Provide a step-by-step reasoning followed by your final answer(s), separated by the phrases 'Reasoning:' and 'Final Answer'.

Answer:

Prompts for data quality filter

Here is a spatial reasoning problem and its reasoning process:

Story and Question: {story and question}

Answer: {answer}

Reasoning: {reasoning}

Please evaluate the reasoning provided above. Score the reasoning from 1 to 10 based on the following criteria:

- Logical Coherence: Does the reasoning logically follow from the given information, without internal contradictions?
- Clarity: Is the reasoning clearly articulated, making it easy to understand?
- Relevance: How well does the reasoning address the specific spatial relationships and details mentioned in the question?
- Completeness: Does the reasoning cover all necessary aspects to justify the conclusion adequately?
- Precision in Spatial Descriptions: Are spatial relationships described with accuracy?

Please provide a numerical score only, without any other formatting.

Answer:

A.7 Training Data Examples

CityWalk

User: Your current position is at D2eat(Research Store)(on the east side of Shuangqing Road, 50m from the west corner of the junction of Heqing Road and Shuangqing Road), and you need to head towards Qidi Technology Building B-West Gate 1(inside the Qinghua Science and Technology Park North Area on the north side of Chengfu Road, within 50m from the northwest corner of the junction of Zhongguancun East Road and Chengfu Road). Coordinates are Longitude: 116.3300 and Latitude: 39.9971

Assistant: After starting at D2eat(Research Store)(on the east side of Shuangqing Road, 50m from the west corner of the junction of Heqing Road and Shuangqing Road), the route to Qidi Technology Building B-West Gate 1(inside the Qinghua Science and Technology Park North Area on the north side of Chengfu Road, within 50m from the northwest corner of the junction of Zhongguancun East Road and Chengfu Road) are listed below:

make your way along Shuangqing Road for 500 meters from northeast to southwest, once at the junction of Shuangqing Road and Zhongguancun East Road, move south, proceed directly along Zhongguancun East Road and cover 200 meters from north to south, enter the junction of Zhongguancun East Road and Chengfu Road and proceed west, saunter down Chengfu Road for roughly 200 meters from east to west

User: saunter down Shuangqing Road for roughly 500 meters from northeast to southwest

Assistant: After saunter down Shuangqing Road for roughly 500 meters from northeast to southwest, your current position is the junction of Shuangqing Road and Zhongguancun East Road, longitude:116.3269 latitude:39.9941
There are 4 kinds of POIs within a 100-meter radius. Detailed information of them are as follows:
there are 10 Food POIs, they are Daokou Fragrance(Cuisine: Snacks & Fast Food); Dragon Gate Senior Cafe(Tsinghua University branch)(Cuisine: Seafood).....
there are 10 Shopping POIs, they are Recharge Card Union Mobile Phone (Shopping: Miscellaneous Shopping);Tsinghua East Gate Phoenix Bicycle (Tsinghua University Store)(Shopping: Bicycle Specialized Stores).....
there are 7 LifeService POIs, they are Delicious Food(Life Services: Life Services Places);Ziguang Printing and Imaging(Life Services: Other Life Services).....
there are 3 Entertainment POIs, they are Cafe King (Wudaokou Branch)(Entertainment & Leisure: Coffee Shop);Tsinghua Investors Club(Entertainment & Leisure: Coffee Shop);Qingxi Fengming Teahouse(Entertainment & Leisure: Tea House)

User: navigate your way through Zhongguancun East Road for 200 meters, heading from north to south

Assistant: After navigate your way through Zhongguancun East Road for 200 meters, heading from north to south
Your current position is the junction of Zhongguancun East Road and Chengfu Road, longitude:116.3267 latitude:39.9916
There are 6 kinds of POIs within a 100-meter radius. Detailed information of them are as follows:There are 10 Food POIs;There are 10 Shopping POIs;There are 10 LifeService POIs;There are 10 Entertainment POIs;There are 2 Sports&Exercise POIs; There are 2 MedicalService POIs

User: roceed directly along Chengfu Road and cover 200 meters from east to west

Assistant: After proceed directly along Chengfu Road and cover 200 meters from east to west
Your current position is, longitude:116.3238 latitude:39.9913
There are 7 kinds of POIs within a 100-meter radius. Detailed information of them are as follows:
There are 10 Food POIs;There are 10 Shopping POIs;There are 10 LifeService POIs; There are 10 Entertainment POIs;There are 3 Sports&Exercise POIs;There are 1 MedicalService POIs;There are 2 Hotel POIs

User: move to the destination Qidi Technology Building B-West Gate 1

Assistant: After move to the destination Qidi Technology Building B-West Gate 1
Your current position is, longitude:116.3264 latitude:39.9917 (Qinghua Science and Technology Park North Area)
There are 5 kinds of POIs within a 100-meter radius. Detailed information of them are as follows: There are 10 Food POIs;There are 10 Shopping POIs;There are 10 LifeService POIs;There are 10 Entertainment POIs;There are 2 MedicalService POIs

CityQA

POI

Name2Address

User: Could you point out where Tsinghua University-Tennis Court (Southwest of Tsinghua University South Building) is situated?

Assistant: inside the Tsinghua University Southwest Gate on the south side of Heqing Road, 200m from the southeast corner of the junction of Zhongguancun North Street and Heqing Road

Category Address2Name

User: I'm in inside the Tsinghua University Southwest Gate on the south side of Heqing Road, 200m from the southeast corner of the junction of Zhongguancun North Street and Heqing Road, please help me find a nearby Sports & Fitness POI.

Assistant: Tsinghua University-Tennis Court (Southwest of Tsinghua University South Building)

Type Address2Name

User: I'm in inside the Tsinghua University Southwest Gate on the south side of Heqing Road, 200m from the southeast corner of the junction of Zhongguancun North Street and Heqing Road, is there a facility nearby for Sports & Fitness: Tennis Court sport?

Assistant: Tsinghua University-Tennis Court (Southwest of Tsinghua University South Building)

Address Element

User: Could you please identify the components of this POI address: inside the Tsinghua University Southwest Gate on the south side of Heqing Road, 200m from the southeast corner of the junction of Zhongguancun North Street and Heqing Road?

Assistant: road_name: Heqing Road, aoi_lane_direction: south, aoi_name: Tsinghua University Southwest Gate, junc_name: Zhongguancun North Street and Heqing Road, aoi_junc_direction: southeast, aoi_s: 200m}

AOI

Name2Address

user: I'm curious about the location of Yuanmingyuan Ruins Park. Can you help me out?

assistant: on the north side of Tsinghua West Road, 850m of the north corner of the junction of Yiheyuan Road and Tsinghua West Road

Landuse Address2Name

user: Hey, I'm currently in on the north side of Tsinghua West Road, 850m of the north corner of the junction of Yiheyuan Road and Tsinghua West Road. Can you help me locate a nearby Public management and public service land AOI?

assistant: Yuanmingyuan Ruins Park

Address2Coordinates

user: What are the geographic coordinates for on the north side of Tsinghua West Road, 850m of the north corner of the junction of Yiheyuan Road and Tsinghua West Road?

assistant: (116.2972, 40.0068)

Coordinates2Address

user: Given the longitude and latitude: (116.2972, 40.0068), can you determine the corresponding AOI address?

assistant: on the north side of Tsinghua West Road, 850m of the north corner of the junction of Yiheyuan Road and Tsinghua West Road

Landuse2POI Category

user: Given the land_use type Public management and public service land of this Yuanmingyuan Ruins Park AOI, what is the primary category of POIs found here?

assistant: Tourist Attractions

POI Category2Landuse

user: Considering the most common category Tourist Attractions of POIs in this area, what land_use type might be inferred for this Yuanmingyuan Ruins Park AOI?
assistant: Public management and public service land

Landuse2POI Category

user: Given the land_use type Public management and public service land of this Yuanmingyuan Ruins Park AOI, what is the primary category of POIs found here?
assistant: Tourist Attractions

Address Element

user: Considering the most common category Tourist Attractions of POIs in this area, what land_use type might be inferred for this Yuanmingyuan Ruins Park AOI?
assistant: Public management and public service land

Junction

Address2Coordinates

user: What are the geographic coordinates for the junction of Zhongguancun East Road and Chengfu Road?
assistant: (116.3268, 39.9914)

Coordinates2Address

user: Can you determine the junction address at the location (116.3268, 39.9914)?
assistant: the junction of Zhongguancun East Road and Chengfu Road

Direction

user: Can you describe the relative position of the the junction of Tsinghua West Road and Zhongguancun North Street in relation to the the junction of Yiheyuan Road and Tsinghua West Road?
assistant: east

Distance

user: How far apart are the junction of Yiheyuan Road and Tsinghua West Road and the junction of Tsinghua West Road and Zhongguancun North Street?
assistant: 950 meters

Route

Scrambled Route

user: This is a scrambled route from the start point Longpin Pavilion to the destination point Opticare Vision Center (North Branch): then go east towards the junction of Wangzhuang Road and Tsinghua East Road, then go north towards the junction of Xueqing Road and Xueqing Road, walk along Xueqing Road for 700 meters from south to north, walk along Tsinghua East Road for 1000 meters from west to east, walk along Xueqing Road for 600 meters from south to north, walk along Wangzhuang Road for 800 meters from south to north, then go north towards the junction of Tsinghua East Road and Xueqing Road. Can you provide me with the correct route?
assistant: walk along Wangzhuang Road for 800 meters from south to north, then go east towards the junction of Wangzhuang Road and Tsinghua East Road, walk along Tsinghua East Road for 1000 meters from west to east, then go north towards the junction of Tsinghua East Road and Xueqing Road, walk along Xueqing Road for 600 meters from south to north, then go north towards the junction of Xueqing Road and Xueqing Road, walk along Xueqing Road for 700 meters from south to north

Incomplete Route

user: Given the partial route from Longpin Pavilion to Opticare Vision Center (North Branch) detailed here: then go east towards the junction of Wangzhuang Road and Tsinghua East Road, walk along Tsinghua East Road for 1000 meters from west to east, then go north towards the junction of Tsinghua East Road and Xueqing Road, walk along Xueqing Road for 600 meters from south to north, then go north towards the junction of Xueqing Road and Xueqing Road, walk along Xueqing Road for 700 meters from south to north, what step appears to be absent?

assistant: walk along Wangzhuang Road for 800 meters from south to north

General(spatial)

GeoGLUE

GeoETA-Address Element

user: Could you break down the components of this address into province, city, district, town, community, poi: Zhejiang Hangzhou Jianggan District Jiubao town Sancun village District 1?

assistant: province: Zhejiang, city: hangzhou, district: jianggan district, town: nine fort town, community: Sancun villag, poi: District 1

GeoETA-Address Match

user: Can you rephrase 'Building 38, South Gen Street, East Huangcheng, Beijing' in a different way?

assistant: East Huangchenggen South Street 34 East Huangchenggen South Street 38 building

SPARTQA

user: There are three blocks called A, B, and C. A is to the left of B and B is to the left of C. In A, there is a large yellow triangle near and below a large blue square. Near and to the right of the large blue square is a small yellow square. Near and to the left of the small yellow square is a small blue circle. In B, there is a small yellow circle that is touching the left edge of B. There is a small blue circle near and to the right of the small yellow circle. The small blue circle is touching the bottom of a small yellow square. There is a small yellow square is near and below a large blue circle. The large blue circle is near and to the left of a medium blue triangle. In C, there is a large blue triangle touching the bottom edge of C. There is a large yellow square near and to the right of the large blue triangle. Far above the large yellow square is a medium yellow square. Is the large blue thing in A, near and above a large yellow thing?

assistant: Answer: Yes

Reasoning: Step 1: Identify the large blue thing in A. The large blue thing in A is the large blue square. Step 2: Identify the large yellow thing in A. The large yellow thing in A is the large yellow triangle. Step 3: Determine the spatial relationship between the large blue thing and the large yellow thing in A. The large blue square is above the large yellow triangle.

StepGame

user: V is over there and D is on the top of it. B is to the left of O and below O at approximately a 45 degree angle. V is on the same horizontal plane directly left to L. E presents left to B. Y and D are parallel, and Y is under D. E presents left to J. J and L are both there with the object J is to the left of object L. G is positioned below Y and to the right. What is the relation of the agent Y to the agent B?

assistant: Answer: overlap

Reasoning: Let's suppose Y is at (0,0). We can connect Y and B using the relations given in the story. Start with Y. According to the story, Y and D are parallel, and Y is under D. This means D is above Y. $D = Y + \text{offset}(\text{above}) = (0,0) + (0,1) = (0,1)$.

Therefore, D is at (0,1). Then search for D. According to the story, V is over there and D is on the top of it. This means V is below D. $V = D + \text{offset}(\text{below}) = (0,1) + (0,-1) = (0,0)$. Therefore, V is at (0,0). Then search for V. According to the story, V is on the same horizontal plane directly left to L. This means L is to the right of V. $L = V + \text{offset}(\text{right}) = (0,0) + (1,0) = (1,0)$. Therefore, L is at (1,0). Then search for L. According to the story, J and L are both there with the object J is to the left of object L. This means J is to the left of L. $J = L + \text{offset}(\text{left}) = (1,0) + (-1,0) = (0,0)$. Therefore, J is at (0,0). Then search for J. According to the story, E presents left to J. This means E is to the left of J. $E = J + \text{offset}(\text{left}) = (0,0) + (-1,0) = (-1,0)$. Therefore, E is at (-1,0). Then search for E.

According to the story, E presents left to B. This means B is to the right of E. $B = E + \text{offset}(\text{right}) = (-1,0) + (1,0) = (0,0)$. Therefore, B is at (0,0). We've reached B. So, considering Y(0,0) and B(0,0), Y is to the same position as B.

ReSQ

user: Six tourists are standing in front of blackboard of a primary school. One tourist is taking a photo. Local kids are sitting at their desks, singing and clapping. One kid has just opened one book. There are posters and pictures on the wall in the background. Are the posters and the book touching?
assistant: Answer: No Reasoning: Step 1: Identify the objects mentioned in the prompt: posters, book, and wall. Step 2: Analyze the spatial relationships between the objects: The posters are on the wall, and the book is being held by a kid. Step 3: Determine if the posters and the book are touching: Since the posters are on the wall and the book is being held by a kid, they are not in direct contact with each other.

CityReasoning

Distance

user: After starting from the Community Population planning and public Health workstation in the second District of Nanhu West Park (east of Wangjing West Road, 50m from the southwest corner of the intersection of Nanhu West Park Road and Wangjing West Road), you can arrive at the destination. Building 118, Nanhu Zhongyuan (South of Heyin West Road, South of Huigu Golden Homeland, Wangjing West Road and Heyin West Road intersection east corner 200m) by following the navigation instruction below:

walk along Wangjing West Road for 100 meters from north to south, then go west towards the junction of South, then go west towards the junction of South, then go West towards the junction of South, then go West towards the junction of South, then go West towards the junction of South, for 300 meters from east to west, then go north towards the junction of south Lake Then go north towards the junction of South Lake, walk along for 100 meters from south to north, For 100 meters from South to North, then go east towards the junction of South Lake, walk along for 400 meters from west to east, Then go east towards the junction of South Lake, walk along For 400 meters from West to East, then go east towards the junction of South Lake Then go east towards the junction of South Lake for 400 meters from west to east, walk along. For 400 meters from West to East, then go north towards the junction of Huguang Middle Street and walk along south Lake north Road for 300 meters from south to north, then go North towards the junction of Huguang Middle Street and walk along South Lake North Road, For 300 meters from South to North, then go north towards the junction of south Lake, walk along for 400 meters from south to north, Then go North towards the junction of South Lake, walk along For 400 meters from South to North, then go southwest towards the junction of Nanhu North Road and Heyin West Road, walk along River Yin West Road. for 400 meters from northeast to southwest How many meters do I need to walk from Nanhu West Park District 2 Community Population Planning and public Health Workstation (east of West Wangjing road, 50m southwest of the intersection of South and West Wangjing Road) to Building 118, Nanhu Middle Park (South of West Heyin Road, Huigu Golden Homeland area, 200m east of the intersection of West Wangjing Road and West Heyin Road) along the road?

A.4800.0

B.1400.0

C.2400.0

D.1200.0

Let's think step by step.

assistant: Answer:C

Step 1: Find the distance traveled for each segment of the road:100m,300m,100m,400 m,400m,300m,400m,400m

Step 2:Add all distances above together to get the total distance:First add two of the numbers:100+300=400;Then add the sum with another number:400+100=500;Then add the sum with another number:500+400=900;Then add the sum with another number :900+400=1300;Then add the sum with another number:1300+300=1600;Then add the sum with another number:1600+400=2000;Then add the sum with the last number :2000+400=2400.100+300+100+400+400+300+400+400=2400.

So the answer is 2400m.

Direction

user: After starting from Yayi Contentment (Wangjing Branch)(East of Huguang Middle Street, 200m from the west corner of the intersection of South Hunan Road and Huguang Middle Street), you can arrive at the destination Rongyou Wealth Beijing. Wangjing (east of Heyin West Road), by following the navigation instruction below:
 walk along Huguang Middle Street, for 400 meters from West to East, then go north towards the junction of Huguang Middle Street and walk along south Lake north Road for 300 meters from south to north, then go North towards the junction of Huguang Middle Street and walk along South Lake North Road, For 300 meters from South to North, then go north towards the junction of south Lake, walk along for 400 meters from south to north, Then go North towards the junction of South Lake, walk along For 400 meters from South to North, then go east towards the junction of Nanhu North Road and Heyin West Road, walk along Heyin west Road for 300 meters from west to east In which direction is Rongyou Wealth Beijing Wangjing (Heyin West Road East, 300m from the east corner of the intersection of South Lake North Road and Heyin West Road) from Ya Yi Contentment (Wangjing Store)(east side of Huguang Middle Street, 200m from the west corner of the intersection of South Huhu Road and Huguang Middle Street)?
 A.north
 B.west
 C.east
 D.south
 Let's think step by step.

assistant: Answer:A
 Step 1: Determine the direction of each segment of the journey in the form of '(The direction you are facing when walking along the road,distance)'.The distance moved in the secondary direction needs to be multiplied by 0.7 and decomposed into the primary direction:('east', '400m'),('north', '300m'),('north', '400m');
 Step 2: Analyze the overall direction of the journey:('east', 400.0),('north', 700.0),('south', 0),('west', 0)
 Step 3: 400.0 is larger than 0, so there is a east travel 700.0 is larger than 0, so there is a north travel.
 Step 4: Compare above two directions, north:700-0=700,east:400-0=400, 700.0 is larger than 400, so the overall direction is north. So the answer is north.

A.8 Evaluation Question Examples

City Image

Nodes-1

The following is a multiple-choice question about location of POI. Please choose the most suitable one among A, B, C, D and E as the answer to this question. Please output the option.No explanation is needed.

Question: What is the longitude and latitude coordinates of 180 Maiden Lane.

- A. -73.9955,40.7152
- B. -73.9955,40.6952
- C. -74.0155,40.7152
- D. -74.0155,40.6952
- E. -74.0055,40.7052

Answer:

Nodes-2

The following is a multiple-choice question. Please choose the most suitable one among A, B, C and D as the answer to this question.Please output the option directly. No need for explanation.

Question: What is the address of face to face?

- A. East side of Chengshou Temple Road, 350m from the south corner of the intersection of Chengshou Temple Middle Road and Chengshou Temple Road
- B. East side of Chengshou Temple Road, 400m from the south corner of the intersection of Chengshou Temple Middle Road and Chengshou Temple Road

C. In the south area of Chengshousi Road on the south side of Chengshousi Road, 400m from the south corner of the intersection of Chengshousi Middle Road and Chengshousi Road
D. East side of Chengshou Temple Road, 500m from the north corner of the intersection of Dongtieying Street and Chengshou Temple Road
E. East side of Chengshou Temple Road, 350m from the south corner of the intersection of Chengshou Temple Middle Road and Chengshou Temple Road
Answer:

Landmark-1

The following is a multiple-choice question. Please choose the most suitable one among A, B, C and D as the answer to this question. Please output the option directly. No need for explanation.

Question: Which of the following POIs will not be passed when traveling from Beijing Shunkai Tongda Car Beauty Center to Yuxiang Zaoyuan Agarwood Club?

- A. Lida Billiards Supplies
- B. Spring Advertisement
- C. Dazhi Apartment
- D. Fatty Malatang

Answer:

Landmark-2

The following is a multiple-choice question. Please choose the most suitable one among A, B, C and D as the answer to this question. Please output the option directly. No need for explanation.

Question: What is the address of Dahongmen?

- A. East side of Majiapu East Road, 100m from the northeast corner of the intersection of Jiaomen South Road and Majiapu East Road
- B. East side of Gexin South Road, 800m from the east corner of the intersection of Majiabao Road and Gexin South Road
- C. East side of Majiapu East Road, 750m from the north corner of the intersection of South Third Ring Road and Majiapu East Road
- D. South side of Jiaomen Road, 900m from the east corner of the intersection of Majiabao East Road and Jiaomen Road

Answer:

Road-1

The following is a multiple-choice question. Please choose the most suitable one among A, B, C and D as the answer to this question. Please output the option directly. No need for explanation.

Question: Which road cannot directly reach Fangzhuang South Road?

- A. Chengshou Temple Middle Road
- B. East Tieying Street
- C. South Third Ring Road East
- D. Zhoujiazhuang Road

Answer:

Road-2

The following is a multiple-choice question. Please choose the most suitable one among A, B, C and D as the answer to this question. Please output the option directly. No need for explanation.

Question: Which of the following is the starting intersection and ending intersection of Yongdingmenwai Street?

- A. ['Intersection of Yongdingmenwai Street and Chengshousi Middle Road', 'Intersection of Yongdingmenwai Street and Dahongmen Road']
- B. ['Intersection of Majiapu East Road and Yongdingmenwai Street', 'Intersection of Yongdingmenwai Street and Haihutun Road']
- C. ['Intersection of Nanyuan Road and Yongdingmenwai Street', 'Intersection of Nanyuan Road and Yongdingmenwai Street']

D. ['Intersection of Yongdingmenwai Street and South Third Ring Road', 'Intersection of Nanding Road and Yongdingmenwai Street']

Answer:

Districts-1

The following is a multiple-choice question. Please choose the most suitable one among A, B, C and D as the answer to this question. Please output the option directly. No need for explanation.

Question: Which of the following POIs is likely to belong to the AOI Dingshengyuan Business Building? POIs: Zhenyou Billiards Anlelin Store, Xingqin/Bo Shiqi (New Century Clothing Building), Puhuayuan Parking Lot, Beijing Zhongtai Jiaye Family Apartment (Nandingcun Branch)

- A. Zhenyou Billiards Anlelin Store
- B. Xingqin/Bo Shiqi (New Century Clothing Building)
- C. Puhuayuan parking lot
- D. Beijing Zhongtai Jiaye Family Apartment (Nandingcun Branch)

Answer:

Districts-2

The following is a multiple-choice question. Please choose the most suitable one among A, B, C and D as the answer to this question. Please output the option directly. No need for explanation.

Question: Which of the following POIs is likely to belong to the AOI Xiluoyuan? POIs: Xiluoyuan District 4-North Gate, Pure Soy Milk, Hengyuanxin Snack Bar, Li Ning

- A. Xiluoyuan District 4-North Gate
- B. Pure soy milk
- C. Hengyuanxin Snack Bar
- D. Li Ning

Answer:

Road-3

The following is a multiple-choice question. Please choose the most suitable one among A, B, C and D as the answer to this question. Please output the option directly. No need for explanation.

Question: Which POIs cannot be directly accessed via Nanyuan Road?

- A. ['Shuyu Pavilion', 'Hongmen Online Wholesale City-Dongmen', 'Okay Woolen', 'Di-Feise', 'Jinwei Silk Textile']
- B. ['Chentai Textile', 'Braised Chicken Rice Xianghe Meat Pie (Nanyuan Road Store)', 'Dielianhuayu', 'Xindali Bag Printing', 'COLORTIMES']
- C. ['Diao Shuai (Tianya Women's Clothing Building)', 'Beautiful Boy (Tianya Women's Clothing Building)', 'Tudor Leather Shoes', 'R.S.E', 'Sakura City (New Century Clothing Building)']
- D. ['Wang Xiaohe', 'Old Beijing Steam Pot Fried Chicken', 'Oudi Baiqi (Bairong World Trade Mall Store)', 'Zhenpintang (Dalian Sea Cucumber)', 'Beijing Tianye Hengji Technology Development Co., Ltd. company']

Answer:

Road-4

The following is a multiple-choice question. Please choose the most suitable one among A, B, C and D as the answer to this question. Please output the option directly. No need for explanation.

Question: How long is JiaoMen road?

- A. 3900.0
- B. 130.0
- C. 390.0
- D. 1300.0
- E. 2600.0

Answer:

Boundary-1

[{"role": "user", "content": "The following is a multiple-choice question. Please choose the most suitable one among A, B, C and D as the answer to this question. Please output the option directly. No need for explanation."}]

Question: Which point of interest (POI) is most likely to appear in the boundary of AOI: around Chengshou Temple Road?

- A. Beijing Lanyu Bofeng Cable Co., Ltd.
- B. Beijing Xiaopang Famous Brand Discount City
- C. Niubuli Eight Porridge Shop
- D. Jinfeng Bathroom
- E. Gu Gu Ge Pork Ribs Rice Chicken Claypot Rice

Answer:

Boundary-2

[{"role": "user", "content": "The following is a multiple-choice question. Please choose the most suitable one among A, B, C and D as the answer to this question. Please output the option directly. No need for explanation."}]

Question: Which road is not the boundary of AOI Yongding Bridge

- A. Jiaomen South Road
- B. Yongdingmenwai Street
- C. Dahongmen West Road
- D. Jiaomen North Road

Answer:

Urban Semantic

Grouping

The following is a multiple-choice question. Please choose the most suitable one among A, B, C and D as the answer to this question. Please output the option directly. No need for explanation.

Question: How many regions can the following POIs be divided into? POIs: Baorunda Business Building, Beijing Kairong Food Co., Ltd., Corning Wanjia Longevity Ten Year Club (Shun Si Tiao), Beijing Postal Science Research and Design Institute Engineering Supervision Department, Baifulai Fashion Laundry, Affordable Supermarket, Binle Hotel, Entertainment billiards, Shui Linglong Leisure Club (Puhuanguyu Road)

- A. 2
- B. 1
- C. 3
- D. 4
- E. 5

Answer:

Function-1

[{"role": "user", "content": "The following is a multiple-choice question. Please choose the most suitable one among A, B, C and D as the answer to this question. Please output the option directly. No need for explanation."}]

Question: Given that: 1. Shuangqing Building North District contains these POIs: China Minsheng Bank 24-hour self-service bank (Zhongguancun West District Branch), Shuangqing Building parking lot, Shuangqing Building Building 4, Zhongyun Xingkong Building

2. Beijing Dongsheng Garden contains these POIs: Bajiadi Country Park, Beijing Dongsheng Garden Center, Beijing Dongsheng Garden Center Parking Lot, Urban Battlefield Real-life CS

3. Beijing Xinya Building contains these POIs: Beijing Xinya Apartment No. 4, Dream Blue Apartment, Cross Bridge Rice Noodles

4. Around Wangzhuang Road Community contains these POIs: Likang Wantong Moving Company, Building 3, No. 27 Wangzhuang Road

Which of the above AOIs (North District of Shuangqing Building, Beijing Dongsheng Garden, Beijing Xinya Building, Wangzhuang Road Community) is designated as public management and public service land?

- A. Around Wangzhuang Road Community
- B. Beijing Xinya Building
- C. Shuangqing Building North Area
- D. Beijing Dongsheng Garden

Answer:

Function-2

The following is a multiple-choice question. Please choose the most suitable one among A, B, C and D as the answer to this question. Please output the option directly. No need for explanation.

Question: In the AOI 500020447, there are Jinwanwanwan Roast Duck Restaurant (Heqing Road Branch), Beijing Huamei Xunlian Technology Co., Ltd., Runze Jiaye Hotel-Southeast Gate, Runze Jiaye Tower A Office Building, Runze Jiaye Hotel - Catering, Beijing Runze Jiaye Hotel-ATM, Beijing Dasheng Winery, Bauhinia Pavilion, Beijing Runze Jiaye Hotel-SPA, Runze Jiaye Hotel POIs. Thus, what is the land use type of this AOI?

- A. Others
- B. Residential land
- C. Transportation
- D. Business services
- E. Industrial and mining warehousing

Answer:

Function-3

The following is a multiple-choice question. Please choose the most suitable one among A, B, C and D as the answer to this question. Please output the option directly. No need for explanation.

Question: Which point of interest (POI) is most likely to appear in the described environment among the following multiple POIs? Environment: Tornado Burrito (Yellow Braised Chicken), Xiangchuan Yuan Home Cooking, Yili Ice Cream (Erhe Store), Helu Ice Cream, Convenience Store, Hualu Supermarket, Xinhuangpu Affordable Pharmacy Erhe Store, Beijing Binhe Road Taoyuan Apartment, Beijing Yuanming Student Apartment, Beijing Erhekai No. 12 Apartment, Beijing Yuantong Apartment

- A. Panoramic model exhibition of the Old Summer Palace in its heyday
- B. Parking lot
- C. Building 5, District M, Yuyuan
- D. Wu Yue
- E. Old Summer Palace-Two peaks reaching into the clouds

Answer:

Type-1

The following is a multiple-choice question. Please choose the most suitable one among A, B, C and D as the answer to this question. Please output the option directly. No need for explanation.

Question: Which POI belongs to shopping?

- A. Beijing Peili Vocational College Student Apartment 4
- B. Committee of the Shucun Branch of the Communist Party of China, Haidian Town, Haidian District
- C. Hebei Tsinghua Science and Technology Park Development Co., Ltd.
- D. ILLATION(Shengxi No. 8 Shopping Center)
- E. Building 22, Xinlin College, Tsinghua University

Answer:

Type-2

The following is a multiple-choice question. Please choose the most suitable one among A, B, C and D as the answer to this question. Please output the option directly. No need for explanation.

Question: Which type do following POIs belong to? POIs: Helu Ice Cream, Juyouqian Guizhou Zunyi Mutton Noodles, Chuanjiang River Fresh Boat (Shengxi No. 8 Store), Sliced Noodles Home Cooking

- A. Life services
 - B. Entertainment and leisure
 - C. Food
 - D. shopping
 - E. Sports and fitness
- Answer:

Group-2

The following is a multiple-choice question. Please choose the most suitable one among A, B, C and D as the answer to this question. Please output the option directly. No need for explanation.

Question: How many regions can the following POIs be divided into? POIs: Beijing Guangtong Group above-ground parking lot, Xiangbaiqi No. 1 Yard A parking lot, Xiangbaiqi Community, Beijing Jinghua Property Management Co., Ltd.?

- A. 2
- B. 4
- C. 5
- D. 3
- E. 1

Answer:

Spatial Reasoning With Context

PoI with PoI

Distance

The following is a multiple-choice question. Please choose the most suitable one among A, B, C and D as the answer to this question. Please output the option directly. No need for explanation.

Question: After Starting from Qinghua East Road West Exit Station C Southeast Exit (east side of Qinghua East Road, 100m from the west corner of the intersection of Wangzhuang Road and Qinghua East Road), you walk along Qinghua East Road for 100 meters from east to west, then go from Southeast to northwest toward the intersection of Tsinghua East Road and Heqing Road, walk along Heqing Road for 2600 meters from southeast to northwest. Finally you arrive at Best Time (inside the southwest gate of Tsinghua University on the south side of Heqing Road, north of Zhongguancun 200m from the southeast corner of the intersection of Dajie Street and Heqing Road). to Best Time (inside the southwest gate of Tsinghua University on the south side of Heqing Road, 200m from the southeast corner of the intersection of Zhongguancun North Street and Heqing Road) along the road?

- A. 1350.0
- B. 1700.0
- C. 2700.0
- D. 5400.0

Answer:

Direction

The following is a multiple-choice question. Please choose the most suitable one among A, B, C and D as the answer to this question. Please output the option directly. No need for explanation.

Question: After Starting from Chenghuayuan-Beimen (east side of Xueqing Road, 350m from the south corner of the intersection of Yuequan Road and Xueqing Road), you walk along Xueqing Road for 700 meters from north to south, then go from east Towards the intersection of Xueqing Road and Forestry University North Road, walk along Forestry University North Road for 200 meters from east to west, then go from east to west towards the intersection of Forestry University North Road and Forestry University North Road, walk along Forestry University North Road for 500 meters from east to west. Finally you arrive at Beipushun Communication (on the campus of Beijing Forestry University on the north side of Forestry University North Road, 550m from the west corner of the intersection of Jingshuyuan Road and Forestry University North Road). In which direction is Beipushun Communications (on the campus of Beijing Forestry University on the north side of Forestry University North Road, 550m from the west corner of the intersection of

Jingshuyuan Road and Forestry University North Road) from Chenghuayuan-Beimen (east of Xueqing Road, 350m from the south corner of the intersection of Yuequan Road and Xueqing Road)?

- A. South
- B. North
- C. West
- D. East

Answer:

AoI with PoI

Distance

The following is a multiple-choice question. Please choose the most suitable one among A, B, C and D as the answer to this question. Please output the option directly. No need for explanation.

Question: After Starting from Onychomycosis Road (east side of Information Road, 300m from the northwest corner of the intersection of Zhongguancun North Street and Information Road), you walk along Information Road for 300 meters from northwest to southeast, then go towardswards from north to south Intersection of Xinjiang Road and Zhongguancun North Street, walk along Zhongguancun North Street for 500 meters from north to south, then go from north to south Intersection of Zhongguancun North Street and Zhongguancun North Street, walk along Zhongguancun North Street for 1200 meters from north to south, then go from north to south towards the intersection of Zhongguancun North Street and Zhongguancun North Street, walk along Zhongguancun North Street for 100 meters from north to south, then go from north to south towards the intersection of Zhongguancun North Street and Zhongguancun North Street, walk along Zhongguancun North Street for 700 meters from north to south. Finally you arrive at Beijing Huateng New Materials Company (in the south area of Beijing Dayi Cultural Street on the west side of Zhongguancun North Street, 100m from the southwest corner of the intersection of Qinghua West Road and Zhongguancun North Street). How many meters do I need to walk from Onychomycosis (east side of Xinjiang Road, 300m from the northwest corner of the intersection of Zhongguancun North Street and Xinjiang Road) to Beijing Huateng New Materials Company (Beijing University on the west side of Zhongguancun North Street In the southern area of Yiwu Street, 100m away from the southwest corner of the intersection of Qinghua West Road and Zhongguancun North Street) along the road?

- A. 2800.0
- B. 1400.0
- C. 5600.0
- D. 1800.0

Answer:

Direction

The following is a multiple-choice question. Please choose the most suitable one among A, B, C and D as the answer to this question. Please output the option directly. No need for explanation.

Question: After Starting from Wallace Fried Chicken Burger (east side of Zhongguancun North Street, 400m from the north corner of the intersection of Heqing Road and Zhongguancun North Street), you walk along Zhongguancun North Street for 500 meters from south to north, then go from southeast to the northwest toward the intersection of Zhongguancun North Street and Information Road, walk along Information Road for 300 meters from southeast to northwest, then go from south to north toward the intersection of Information Road and Information Road, walk along Information Road for 500 meters from south to north then go from northwest to southeast toward the intersection of Jingxin Expressway and Jingxin Expressway, walk along Jingxin Expressway for 1300 meters from northwest to southeast, then go from the northwest to southeast towards the intersection of Jingxin Expressway and Jingxin Expressway, walk along Jing New Expressway for 900 meters from northwest to southeast, then go from west to east towards the intersection of Jingxin Expressway and Yuequan Road, walk along Yuequan Road for 400 meters from west to east, then go from west to east towards Yuequan Road The intersection of Yuequan Road and Yuequan Road, walk along Yuequan Road for 600 meters from west to east. Finally you arrive at Building 3, Jianqingyuan South

District (in the north area of Jianqingyuan Community on the west side of Yuequan Road, 350m from the west corner of the intersection of Xueqing Road and Yuequan Road). Building 3, Jianqingyuan South District ((in the North District of Jianqingyuan Community on the west side of Yuequan Road, 350m from the west corner of the intersection of Xueqing Road and Yuequan Road) is in the North District of Jianqingyuan Community (on the west side of Yuequan Road, 350m from the west corner of the intersection of Xueqing Road and Yuequan Road) 350m from the west corner of the intersection).In which direction is Jianqingyuan Community North District (west side of Yuequan Road, 350m from the west corner of the intersection of Xueqing Road and Yuequan Road) from Wallace Fried Chicken Burger (east of Zhongguancun North Street side, 400m from the north corner of the intersection of Heqing Road and Zhongguancun North Street)?

- A. East
- B. North
- C. West
- D. South

Answer:

PoI with Road

Direction

The following is a multiple-choice question. Please choose the most suitable one among A, B, C and D as the answer to this question.Please output the option directly. No need for explanation.

Question: After Starting from Beijing Jingcheng Dermatology Hospital parking lot (inside Beijing Jingcheng Dermatology Hospital on the south side of Beijing-Tibet Expressway, 150m from the north corner of the intersection of unknown road name and Beijing-Tibet Expressway), you walk along G6 auxiliary road for 200 meters from southeast to northwest , then go from west to east towards the intersection of G6 auxiliary road and Kehui Road, walk along Kehui Road for 200 meters from west to east, then go from north to south towards the intersection of Forestry University North Road and Xueqing Road, walk along Xueqing Road for 600 meters from north to south.Finally you arrive at Jiaming Kangshi Youth Eye Health Center (Xueqing store) (south side of Xueqing Road, within the perimeter of Xueyuan Road, Haifengyuan Apartment North Area, 10 km from Shibafang South Road and Xueqing Road) 50m south corner of Qing Road intersection). Beijing Jingcheng Dermatology Hospital parking lot (inside Beijing Jingcheng Dermatology Hospital on the south side of Jingzang Expressway, 150m from the north corner of the intersection of unknown road name and Jingzang Expressway) is on Jingcheng Expressway.In which direction is Jiaming Kangshi Youth Eye Health Center (Xueqing Branch) (within the north area of Haifengyuan Apartment on Xueyuan Road, south of Xueqing Road, 50m from the south corner of the intersection of Shibafang South Road and Xueqing Road) from Beijing-Tibet Expressway?

- A. North
- B. South
- C. East
- D. West

Answer:

Distance

The following is a multiple-choice question. Please choose the most suitable one among A, B, C and D as the answer to this question.Please output the option directly. No need for explanation.

Question: After Starting from Ti Da Yi Qing Yuan - Department Store (east side of North Fifth Ring Road, 1050m from the east corner of the intersection of North Fifth Ring Road and North Fifth Ring Road), you walk along North Fifth Ring Road for 1000 meters from west to east, then go from west to east towards the intersection of North Fifth Ring Road and unknown road name, walk along unknown road name for 200 meters from west to east, then go from west to east towards the intersection of unknown road name and unknown road name, walk along unknown road name for 600 meters from west to east, then go from north to south towards the intersection of Zhongguancun North Street and Zhongguancun North Street, walk along Zhongguancun North Street for 100 meters from north to south, then go from east to west towards the intersection of Zhongguancun North Street and Qinghua

West Road, walk along Tsinghua West Road for 900 meters from east to west. Finally you arrive at Yuanmingyuan Parking Lot-Exit (east side of Qinghua West Road, 700m from the northeast corner of the intersection of Yiheyuan Road and Qinghua West Road). Tiqingyuan Department Store (North) The east side of the Fifth Ring Road, 1050m from the east corner of the intersection of the North Fifth Ring Road and the North Fifth Ring Road) is on the North Fifth Ring Road. What is the distance between Yuanmingyuan Parking Lot-Exit (the east side of Qinghua West Road, from the intersection of Yiheyuan Road and Qinghua West Road 700m from the northeast corner of the entrance) and North Fifth Ring Road?

- A. 3460.0
- B. 2230.0
- C. 1730.0
- D. 865.0

Answer:

AoI with Road

Direction

Question: After Starting from Anhui Bannoodle Restaurant (east side of Information Road, 1000m from the south corner of the intersection of Zhongguancun North Street and unknown road name), you walk along Zhongguancun North Street for 1200 meters from north to south, then go from north to south towards Zhongguancun The intersection of Zhongguancun North Street and Zhongguancun North Street, walk along Zhongguancun North Street for 100 meters from north to south, then go from north to south The intersection of Zhongguancun North Street and Zhongguancun North Street, walk along Zhongguancun North Street for 700 meters from north to south, then go from north to south towards the intersection of Zhongguancun North Street and Zhongguancun North Street, walk along Zhongguancun North Street for 600 meters from north to south, then go from west to east towards the intersection of Zhongguancun North Street and North Fourth Ring West Road auxiliary road mouth, walk along Xueqing Road for 700 meters from north to south. Finally you arrive at V-Wushi (in the north area of Medical Association Hospital on the west side of Xueqing Road, 450m away from the south corner of the intersection of Yuequan Road and Xueqing Road). V-Wushi (in the north area of Medical Association Hospital on the west side of Xueqing Road, 450m away from 450m from the south corner of the intersection of Quan Road and Xueqing Road) is in North District of Medical Association Hospital (on the west side of Xueqing Road, 450m from the south corner of the intersection of Yuequan Road and Xueqing Road), Anhui Bannoodle Restaurant (on the east side of Xinjiang Road, from Zhongguancun 1000m from the south corner of the intersection of North Street and unknown road name) is on Information Road. In which direction is North District of Medical Association Hospital (west side of Xueqing Road, 450m from the south corner of the intersection of Yuequan Road and Xueqing Road) from Information Road?

- A. North
- B. West
- C. East
- D. South

Answer:

Distance

The following is a multiple-choice question. Please choose the most suitable one among A, B, C and D as the answer to this question. Please output the option directly. No need for explanation.

Question: After Starting from Express Hotel (east side of Zhongguancun North Street, within 50m from the north corner of the intersection of Heqing Road and Zhongguancun North Street), you walk along Zhongguancun North Street for 500 meters from south to north, then go from southeast to northwest towards The intersection of Zhongguancun North Street and Information Road, walk along Information Road for 300 meters from southeast to northwest, then go from south to north towards the intersection of Information Road and Information Road, walk along Information Road for 500 meters from south to north, then go from east to west towards the intersection of Forestry University North Road and Forestry University North Road, walk along Forestry University North Road for 500 meters from east to west. Finally you arrive at Squirrel Convenient (Qingfeng Huajingyuan

Store)(Forestry University North Road On the east side, within the vicinity of No. 5 Courtyard A, Xueyuan Road, 50m from the north corner of the intersection of Jingshuyuan Road and Forestry University North Road). Express Hotel (on the east side of Zhongguancun North Street, within 50m from the north corner of the intersection of Heqing Road and Zhongguancun North Street) is on Zhongguancun North Street, Squirrel Convenient (Qingfeng Huajingyuan Store) (within the vicinity of No. 5 Courtyard A, Xueyuan Road, east of Forestry University North Road, 50m from the north corner of the intersection of Jingshuyuan Road and Forestry University North Road) is in College Surrounding Courtyard No. 5, Xueyuan Road (east side of Forestry University North Road, 50m from the north corner of the intersection of Jingshuyuan Road and Forestry University North Road). What is the distance between Surrounding Courtyard No. 5, Xueyuan Road (east side of Forestry University North Road, 50m from the north corner of the intersection of Jingshuyuan Road and Forestry University North Road) and Zhongguancun North Street?

- A. 5658.0
- B. 1414.0
- C. 3329.0
- D. 2829.0

Answer:

Spatial Reasoning Without Context

PoI with PoI

Distance

User:

Question: How many meters do I need to walk from Dongsheng Pufa Leisure Plaza (east side of Shuangqing Road, 300m from the southwest corner of the intersection of Shuangqing Road and Shuangqing Road) to Juhong Seedling Technology Co., Ltd. (west side of Xueqing Road Inside Beijing Huizhi Building, 450m from the north corner of the intersection of Yuequan Road and Xueqing Road) along the road?

- A. 900.0
- B. 1800.0
- C. 3600.0
- D. 800.0

To answer the question, please think about the navigation instruction about two locations.

Assistant:

<Answer 1>

User:

Please consider the above context and answer the following question. Question: How many meters do I need to walk from Dongsheng Pufa Leisure Plaza (east side of Shuangqing Road, 300m from the southwest corner of the intersection of Shuangqing Road and Shuangqing Road) to Juhong Seedling Technology Co., Ltd. (west side of Xueqing Road Inside Beijing Huizhi Building, 450m from the north corner of the intersection of Yuequan Road and Xueqing Road) along the road?

- A. 900.0
- B. 1800.0
- C. 3600.0
- D. 800.0

Let's think step by step.

Assistant:

<Answer 2>

User:

Thus, Question: How many meters do I need to walk from Dongsheng Pufa Leisure Plaza (east side of Shuangqing Road, 300m from the southwest corner of the intersection of Shuangqing Road and Shuangqing Road) to Juhong Seedling Technology Co., Ltd. (west side of Xueqing Road Inside Beijing Huizhi Building, 450m from the north corner of the intersection of Yuequan Road and Xueqing Road) along the road?

- A. 900.0
- B. 1800.0
- C. 3600.0
- D. 800.0

Answer:

Direction

User:

Question: In which direction is Xiaoyuan Shoes (Unknown) from No. 105 Weixiuyuan of Peking University (in Weixiuyuan on the south side of Yiheyuan Road, 500m from the east corner of the intersection of Erlongzha Road and Yiheyuan Road)?

- A. East
- B. West
- C. North
- D. South

To answer the question, please think about the navigation instruction about two locations.

Assistant:

<Answer 1>

User:

Please consider the above context and answer the following question. Question: In which direction is Xiaoyuan Shoes (Unknown) from No. 105 Weixiuyuan of Peking University (in Weixiuyuan on the south side of Yiheyuan Road, 500m from the east corner of the intersection of Erlongzha Road and Yiheyuan Road)?

- A. East
- B. West
- C. North
- D. South

Let's think step by step.

Assistant:

<Answer 2>

User:

Thus, Question: In which direction is Xiaoyuan Shoes (Unknown) from No. 105 Weixiuyuan of Peking University (in Weixiuyuan on the south side of Yiheyuan Road, 500m from the east corner of the intersection of Erlongzha Road and Yiheyuan Road)?

?

- A. East
- B. West
- C. North
- D. South

Answer:

AoI with PoI

Distance

User:

Thus, Question: How many meters do I need to walk from Chenghua Qingfeng Community Family Planning Service Station (east side of Shuangqing Road, 550m from the northeast corner of the intersection of Forestry University North Road and Shuangqing Road) to Tsinghua Ximen North District (North side of Zhongguancun North Street, within 50m from the northwest corner of the intersection of Zhongguancun North Street and Zhongguancun North Street) along the road?

- A. 6700.0
- B. 3350.0
- C. 7200.0
- D. 13400.0

To answer the question, please think about the navigation instruction about two locations.

Assistant:

<Answer 1>

User:

Please consider the above context and answer the following question. Thus, Question:

How many meters do I need to walk from Chenghua Qingfeng Community Family Planning Service Station (east side of Shuangqing Road, 550m from the northeast corner of the intersection of Forestry University North Road and Shuangqing Road) to Tsinghua Ximen North District (North side of Zhongguancun North Street, within 50m from the northwest corner of the intersection of Zhongguancun North Street and Zhongguancun North Street) along the road?

- A. 6700.0
- B. 3350.0
- C. 7200.0
- D. 13400.0

Let's think step by step.

Assistant:

<Answer 2>

Thus, Question: How many meters do I need to walk from Chenghua Qingfeng Community Family Planning Service Station (east side of Shuangqing Road, 550m from the northeast corner of the intersection of Forestry University North Road and Shuangqing Road) to Tsinghua Ximen North District (North side of Zhongguancun North Street, within 50m from the northwest corner of the intersection of Zhongguancun North Street and Zhongguancun North Street) along the road?

- A. 6700.0
- B. 3350.0
- C. 7200.0
- D. 13400.0

Answer:

Direction

User:

Question: In which direction is the Beijing Language and Culture University campus (west side of Xueyuan Road, 250m from the southwest corner of the intersection of unknown road name and Xueyuan Road) to the north area of the college affiliated to Sports University (south of the North Fifth Ring Road, from the North Fifth Ring Road and the North Fifth Ring Road)? 800m) from the southwest corner of the intersection?

- A. West
- B. East
- C. North
- D. South

To answer the question, please think about the navigation instruction about two locations.

Assistant:

<Answer 1>

User:

Please consider the above context and answer the following question. Question: In which direction is the Beijing Language and Culture University campus (west side of Xueyuan Road, 250m from the southwest corner of the intersection of unknown road name and Xueyuan Road) to the north area of the college affiliated to Sports University (south of the North Fifth Ring Road, from the North Fifth Ring Road and the North Fifth Ring Road)? 800m) from the southwest corner of the intersection?

- A. West
- B. East
- C. North
- D. South

Let's think step by step.

Assistant:

<Answer 2>

User:

Thus, Question: In which direction is the Beijing Language and Culture University campus (west side of Xueyuan Road, 250m from the southwest corner of the intersection of unknown road name and Xueyuan Road) to the north area of the college affiliated to Sports University (south of the North Fifth Ring Road, from the North Fifth Ring Road and the North Fifth Ring Road)? 800m) from the southwest corner of the intersection?

- A. West
- B. East
- C. North
- D. South

Answer:

PoI with Road

Direction

User:

Question: In which direction is the Fourth Detachment of the Urban Road Inspection Brigade of the Beijing Urban Road Maintenance and Management Center - North Gate (east of the North Fifth Ring Road, 450m from the west corner of the intersection of the unknown road name and the North Fifth Ring Road) from Qinghua East Road?

- A. South
- B. West
- C. East
- D. North

To answer the question, please think about the navigation instruction about two locations.

Assistant:

<Answer 1>

User:

Please consider the above context and answer the following question. Question: In which direction is the Fourth Detachment of the Urban Road Inspection Brigade of the Beijing Urban Road Maintenance and Management Center - North Gate (east of the North Fifth Ring Road, 450m from the west corner of the intersection of the unknown road name and the North Fifth Ring Road) from Qinghua East Road?

- A. South
- B. West
- C. East
- D. North

Let's think step by step.

Assistant:

<Answer 2>

User:

Thus, Question: In which direction is the Fourth Detachment of the Urban Road Inspection Brigade of the Beijing Urban Road Maintenance and Management Center - North Gate (east of the North Fifth Ring Road, 450m from the west corner of the intersection of the unknown road name and the North Fifth Ring Road) from Qinghua East Road?

- A. South
- B. West
- C. East
- D. North

Answer:

Distance

User:

Question: What is the distance between Zeng Express (in Erlizhuang West District on the north side of Zhixin West Road, 200m from the south corner of the intersection of Qinghua East Road and Zhixin West Road) and Beijing-Tibet Expressway?

- A. 2060.0
- B. 3120.0
- C. 780.0
- D. 1560.0

To answer the question, please think about the navigation instruction about two locations.

Assistant:

<Answer 1>

User:

Please consider the above context and answer the following question. Question: What is the distance between Zeng Express (in Erlizhuang West District on the north side of Zhixin West Road, 200m from the south corner of the intersection of Qinghua East Road and Zhixin West Road) and Beijing-Tibet Expressway?

- A. 2060.0
- B. 3120.0
- C. 780.0
- D. 1560.0

Let's think step by step.

Assistant:

<Answer 2>

User:

Thus, Question: What is the distance between Zeng Express (in Erlizhuang West District on the north side of Zhixin West Road, 200m from the south corner of the intersection of Qinghua East Road and Zhixin West Road) and Beijing-Tibet Expressway?

- A. 2060.0
- B. 3120.0
- C. 780.0
- D. 1560.0

Answer:

AoI with Road

Direction

User:

Question: In which direction is Tsinghua Ximen North District (north side of Zhongguancun North Street, within 50m from the northwest corner of the intersection of Zhongguancun North Street and Zhongguancun North Street) from Zhixin West Road?

- A. West
- B. North
- C. East
- D. South

To answer the question, please think about the navigation instruction about two locations.

Assistant:

<Answer 1>

User:

Please consider the above context and answer the following question. Question: In which direction is Tsinghua Ximen North District (north side of Zhongguancun North Street, within 50m from the northwest corner of the intersection of Zhongguancun North Street and Zhongguancun North Street) from Zhixin West Road?

- A. West
- B. North
- C. East
- D. South

Assistant:

<Answer 2>

User:

Thus, Question: In which direction is Tsinghua Ximen North District (north side of Zhongguancun North Street, within 50m from the northwest corner of the intersection of Zhongguancun North Street and Zhongguancun North Street) from Zhixin West Road?

- A. West
- B. North
- C. East
- D. South

Answer:

Distance

User:

Question: What is the distance between Tsinghua University Southwest Gate (south side of Heqing Road, 200m from the southeast corner of the intersection of Zhongguancun North Street and Heqing Road) and North Fifth Ring Road?

- A. 138.0
- B. 34.0
- C. 569.0
- D. 69.0

To answer the question, please think about the navigation instruction about two locations.

Assistant:

<Answer 1>

User:

Please consider the above context and answer the following question. Question: What is the distance between Tsinghua University Southwest Gate (south side of Heqing Road, 200m from the southeast corner of the intersection of Zhongguancun North Street and Heqing Road) and North Fifth Ring Road?

A. 138.0

B. 34.0

C. 569.0

D. 69.0

Let's think step by step.

Assistant:

<Answer 2>

User:

Thus, Question: What is the distance between Tsinghua University Southwest Gate (south side of Heqing Road, 200m from the southeast corner of the intersection of Zhongguancun North Street and Heqing Road) and North Fifth Ring Road?

A. 138.0

B. 34.0

C. 569.0

D. 69.0

Answer: