DaLPSR: Leverage Degradation-Aligned Language Prompt for Real-World Image Super-Resolution

Aiwen Jiang *IEEE Member*, Zhi Wei, Long Peng, Feiqiang Liu, Wenbo Li, Mingwen Wang* *IEEE Member*

Abstract—Image super-resolution pursuits reconstructing high-fidelity high-resolution counterpart for low-resolution (LR) image. In recent years, diffusion-based models have garnered significant attention due to their capabilities with rich prior knowledge. The success of diffusion models based on general text prompts has validated the effectiveness of textual control in the field of text2image. However, given the severe degradation commonly presented in low-resolution images, coupled with the randomness characteristics of diffusion models, current models struggle to adequately discern semantic and degradation information within severely degraded images. This often leads to obstacles such as semantic loss, visual artifacts, and visual hallucinations, which pose substantial challenges for practical use. To address these challenges, this paper proposes to leverage degradation-aligned language prompt for accurate, fine-grained, and high-fidelity image restoration. Complementary priors including semantic content descriptions and degradation prompts are explored. Specifically, on one hand, image-restoration prompt alignment decoder is proposed to automatically discern the degradation degree of LR images, thereby generating beneficial degradation priors for image restoration. On the other hand, much richly tailored descriptions from pretrained multimodal large language model elicit high-level semantic priors closely aligned with human perception, ensuring fidelity control for image restoration. Comprehensive comparisons with state-of-theart methods have been done on several popular synthetic and real-world benchmark datasets. The quantitative and qualitative analysis have demonstrated that the proposed method achieves a new state-of-the-art perceptual quality level, especially in realworld cases based on reference-free metrics. Related source codes and pre-trained parameters will be public in [https://github.com/](https://github.com/puppy210/DaLPSR) [puppy210/DaLPSR.](https://github.com/puppy210/DaLPSR)

Index Terms—Diffusion Model, Image Super-Resolution, Visual-Language Model, Multimodal Large Language Model, Degradation Prompt

I. INTRODUCTION

MAGE super-resolution pursuits improving image clarity
and overall visual quality for low resolution (LR) image and overall visual quality for low resolution (LR) image [\[1\]](#page-10-0)–[\[16\]](#page-10-1). It involves an intricate task of extracting nuanced perceptual details from LR counterpart to reconstruct highfidelity and high-resolution image. In recent years, the popularity of deep learning has promoted profound advancements in this field. However, traditional mainstream methods [\[17\]](#page-10-2)– [\[19\]](#page-10-3) often exhibited a propensity towards training on delimited degradation scenarios, thereby constraining their adaptability

Manuscript received June, XXX, 2024; revised XXXX,XXXX.

to unknown complex conditions. As a consequence, real-world applications were often ensnared by the challenges inherent in navigating through diverse and complex degradation scenarios, encompassing denoising, deblurring, and compression artifact removal among other intricacies [\[20\]](#page-10-4)–[\[27\]](#page-10-5).

To recover realistic high-resolution (HR) images with perceptually clear details, some researchers proposed to employ long-short camera lenses to collect LR-HR image pairs from real world [\[28\]](#page-11-0)–[\[30\]](#page-11-1). Some other researchers employed more economical approach to simulate complex real-world image degradation using random combinations of basic degradation operations [\[31\]](#page-11-2)–[\[35\]](#page-11-3). Representative works in this regard include BSRGAN [\[20\]](#page-10-4), Real-ESRGAN [\[36\]](#page-11-4), and their variants [\[6\]](#page-10-6), [\[37\]](#page-11-5), [\[38\]](#page-11-6).

Recent endeavors have heralded the ascendancy of denoising diffusion probabilistic models [\[39\]](#page-11-7) within the realm of image generation. Establishing works [\[40\]](#page-11-8)–[\[42\]](#page-11-9) have validated that diffusion-based super-resolution models consistently outshone their counterparts relying on generative adversarial networks on various public datasets. More recently, in response to the exigencies posed by the labyrinthine issues of image degradation, particularly in cases where characteristics of degradation remain nebulous, large-scale pre-trained text-toimage models are increasingly inclined to serve as beneficial helpers [\[43\]](#page-11-10). In this routine, ControlNet [\[44\]](#page-11-11) introduced as an innovative adaptor can effectively utilize supplementary conditions to steer the generative capabilities of pretrained models. Prior research such as DiffBIR [\[45\]](#page-11-12) has demonstrated that integrating ControlNet into image super-resolution process yields remarkable fidelity in reproducing realistic details.

Nevertheless, it poses challenges to derive semantic priors in the form of textual prompts into pretrained text-to-image models, given the complex degradation patterns observed in LR images. PASD [\[46\]](#page-11-13) and SeeSR [\[47\]](#page-11-14) proposed to employ existing labeling models to extract object labels as high-level prompts. Unfortunately, these annotation cues often lack intricate details for scene comprehension. Moreover, substantial degradation in LR image, such as disruption of local structures, may lead to semantic ambiguities, causing the reconstructed HR image to potentially exhibit semantic inaccuracies, thereby deteriorating final super-resolution performance.

Multimodal large language models (MLLMs) [\[48\]](#page-11-15)–[\[51\]](#page-11-16) have showcased remarkable proficiency in visual comprehension, and obtained remarkable success across a gamut of downstream tasks. SUPIR [\[52\]](#page-11-17) was one of the few latest pioneering works that empowered the capabilities of MLLMs for superresolution task. It initially integrated robust features from LR images into LDM image decoder to produce a HR reference

Aiwen Jiang, Zhi Wei, Feiqiang Liu and Mingwen Wang are with School of Computer and Information Engineering, Jiangxi Normal University, Nanchang, China. Aiwen Jiang and Mingwen Wang are also with School of Digital Industry, Jiangxi Normal University, Shangrao, China. Long Peng is with Institute of Advanced Technology, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, China. Wenbo Li is with Noah's Ark Lab, Huawei Technologies Ltd., HongKong, China.

image. Subsequently, it leveraged MLLMs to generate precise and detailed textual descriptions based on the HR reference. Finally, the generated descriptions acted as semantic prompt to guide pretrained SDXL [\[53\]](#page-11-18) for image restoration. As it claimed that it was the largest-ever image restoration method, inevitably, it substantially escalated computational resource demands, which were unaffordable for ordinary applications.

We deem that accurate and comprehensive prompts are crucial in T2I based super-resolution schemes. Therefore, in this paper, we have proposed an effective multimodal framework (DaLPSR) that leverages degradation-aligned language prompt for real-world image super-resolution task. In the proposed DaLPSR, two complementary priors are generated to overcome preceding mentioned problems. Specifically, on one hand, image-restoration prompt alignment decoder (IRPAD) is proposed and trained to automatically discern the degradation degree of LR images. To facilitate the generation of imagerestoration prompt, we have constructed an auxiliary dataset with triplet data that signifies the degradation process. We adopt to discretize degradation degree into several intervals, and take the generation process of degradation prompt as fine-grained retrieval process. The generated prompts from IRPAD provide degradation priors for Stable Diffusion. On the other hand, MLLM is utilized to acquire high-level semantic priors, ensuring fidelity control for the generated content. To ensure that the high-level semantic priors generated by MLLM correlate closely with semantic ground-truth, along with finetuning image encoder for feature coherence between LR and HR image, we introduce Recognize Anything Model (RAM) [\[54\]](#page-11-19) to generate object labels as part of prompt instruction for MLLM, guiding it to generate accurate high-level semantic priors aligned with image subjects.

In summary, the main contributions of this work are as followings:

- 1) We have proposed an effective and computational affordable one-stage degradation aligned multimodal framework for real-world image super-resolution task. Complementary priors including semantic content descriptions and degradation prompts are leveraged for accurate, fine-grained, and high-fidelity image restoration. Much richly tailored prompts for MLLMs elicit highlevel semantic priors closely aligned with human perception.
- 2) We have proposed an effective image-restoration prompt alignment decoder that is capable of automatically identifying degradation level of LR images, thereby generating beneficial degradation priors for image restoration. To the best of my knowledge, we are the first to explicitly concern degradation prompts for image superresolution.
- 3) We have engineered an image-restoration prompt generation pipeline that seamlessly incorporates textual degradation prompts into SR datasets. As a result, we have innovatively contributed an auxiliary triplet dataset for degradation learning.
- 4) We have conducted comprehensive experiments on popular benchmark datasets, including both synthetic and real-world cases. The experiment results according to

reference-based and reference-free metrics have demonstrated that the proposed method achieves new state-ofthe-art perceptual performance on real-world cases.

II. RELATED WORK

A. GAN-based Real-ISR methods

Ever since the emergence of SRCNN [\[55\]](#page-11-20), deep learning based image super-resolution (ISR) has garnered significant attention in computer vision area. Various methods aiming at enhancing the reconstruction precision of ISR have been proposed. Among these methods, most of them generally operated on predetermined degradation that typically employed bicubic downsampling to generate low-resolution image. As a result, when they confronting intricate and unpredictable degradation in real-world scenarios, such straightforward degradation assumption would constrain their efficacy.

Recent studies emphasized the imperative of delving into more intricate degradation models to better emulate realworld conditions. For instance, BSRGAN [\[20\]](#page-10-4) introduced a stochastic degradation modeling strategy. Real-ESRGAN [\[36\]](#page-11-4) adopted sophisticated high-order degradation strategy. By leveraging training datasets featuring more realistic degradation, both BSRGAN and RealESRGAN harness generative adversarial networks (GANs) to reconstruct requisite highresolution images.

Albeit yielding more authentic details, the instability inherent in training GANs often leads to ISR outputs plagued by unnatural visual artifacts. Being aware the fact, subsequent endeavors such as LDL [\[37\]](#page-11-5) and DeSRA [\[56\]](#page-11-21) concentrated on mitigating these artifacts. Specifically, LDL proposed to explicitly discriminate visual artifacts from realistic details, and design locally discriminative learning framework to regularize the adversarial training. DeSRA proposed to locate problematic areas based on a defined relative local variance distance and semantic-aware thresholds. After detecting the artifact regions, they proposed to develop a finetune procedure to improve GAN-based SR models. Nevertheless, they still frequently encountered challenges in generating more naturally plausible details.

B. Diffusion-based Real-ISR methods

The diffusion model is a type of generative model that utilizes diffusion ideas from physical thermodynamics, mainly including forward diffusion and backward diffusion processes. In the realm of image generation, denoising diffusion probabilistic model (DDPM) [\[39\]](#page-11-7) stood as a pioneering effort to employ diffusion models, boasting commendable performance and surpassing GAN-based methods. As following work after DDPM, denoising diffusion implicit model (DDIM) [\[57\]](#page-11-22) introduced a distinct yet effective sampling technique to address the inference inefficiency of traditional diffusion process. Latent diffusion model (LDM) [\[40\]](#page-11-8) from another perspective extended diffusion models into latent space of pretrained autoencoders, enabling training large-scale model with limited computational resources. Concurrently, in the domain of text-to-image field, Stable Diffusion (SD), based on latent diffusion, have garnered significant success.

In recent times, diffusion models have been astutely repurposed in the field of Real-ISR to be leveraged as priors. There are two main categories for diffusion-based SR methods. The first category [\[58\]](#page-11-23)–[\[61\]](#page-11-24) involves training diffusion model from scratch, where models use a combination of LR images and noise as input at each diffusion step. The second category [\[42\]](#page-11-9), [\[45\]](#page-11-12)–[\[47\]](#page-11-14) leverages prior knowledge from pre-trained diffusion models (e.g., Stable Diffusion). Methods of the second category required implementing "relearning" of large models through adaptors such as ControlNet [\[44\]](#page-11-11), LoRA [\[62\]](#page-11-25), etc. Specifically, StableSR [\[42\]](#page-11-9) fine-tuned Stable Diffusion (SD) model and employed feature warping to strike balance between fidelity and perceptual quality. DiffBIR [\[45\]](#page-11-12) adopted a two-stage methodology, which reconstructed an initial HR estimate followed by utilizing SD to refine and augment visual details. Objectively speaking, under the impetus of diffusionbased methods, significant progress has been made on image super-resolution, especially on precise restoration of image details. However, it still presents great challenge when facing restoration of LR images featuring complex distortions and spatially intertwined object locations.

C. Semantic Guidance-based Real-ISR methods

Benefiting from robust generative priors, pretrained textto-image diffusion models are becoming increasingly popular for addressing Real-ISR challenges. Previous ISR works have attempted to leverage existing visual perception models (e.g., ResNet [\[63\]](#page-11-26), BLIP [\[64\]](#page-11-27), or RAM [\[54\]](#page-11-19)) to comprehend image content and provide multimodal semantic priors as prompts. Typically, PASD [\[46\]](#page-11-13) and SeeSR [\[47\]](#page-11-14) introduced high-level semantic information (e.g., object labels) as additional conditions, to harness the potential of pre-trained text-to-image diffusion models. Nevertheless, these prompts were often insufficient since they merely contained basic information from object recognition, lacking details of spatial localization and scene understanding.

Benefiting from scale expanding of multimodal training data and success of large language model (LLMs), multimodal LLM (MLLMs) have demonstrated significant capabilities in semantic understanding. They are empowered to well capture and interpret complex semantic relationships across different modalities, leading to more accurate and contextually relevant outputs. However, in the current usage of MLLMs, it is still not an easy task to determine appropriate instructions to guide MLLMs to generate outputs aligning with human preference, since crafting these instructions involves not only understanding the nuances of human language and preferences but also how to translate these nuances into machine-understandable prompts. This process necessitates sustained practice and indepth research to optimize the design of instructions, enabling them to effectively direct large model to generate high-quality, semantically consistent outputs.

III. PROPOSED METHOD

In text-to-image research field, popular diffusion model primarily leverages semantic textual prompt to generate images that are both more expressive and semantically meaningful. In this study, we deem that it is viable way to enhance model's capability on image super-resolution through utilizing textual prompt as semantic prior during image generation process. Through the incorporation of textual prompt, models can achieve a more precise understanding of semantic content presented in LR image, leading to reconstruction of more clearer super-resolution image with enriching perceptual details.

In this section, we primary describe our proposed DaLPSR network in details. The overview of the proposed network is provided in Figure [1.](#page-3-0)

A. Preliminary

1) Stable Diffusion: Stable Diffusion is a latent diffusion model comprising an auto-encoder with Encoder $\mathcal E$ and Decoder D, a prompt-conditioning denoising U-Net \mathcal{E}_{θ} and a CLIP text-encoder \mathcal{T}_E . Input image x undergoes perceptual compression by Encoder $\mathcal E$ into a lower-dimensional latent space z. Decoder D is responsible for converting the latent representation back to pixel space. Text-encoder \mathcal{T}_E generates latent text embeddings τ for input text prompts. Denoising Network \mathcal{E}_{θ} is employed to gradually process/diffuse information transformed into latent space.

The training of denoising U-Net \mathcal{E}_{θ} involves minimizing a defined loss function as shown in Equation [1:](#page-2-0)

$$
\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{z,\tau,\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0,I),t}[||\epsilon - \mathcal{E}_{\theta}(z_t,t,\tau)||_2^2],\tag{1}
$$

where t is diffusion timestep, z_t represents a fully noised image or encoded image generated through a gradual addition of Gaussian Noise $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$, and τ is latent text embedding.

Stable diffusion employs cross-attention to incorporate textual prompts into image generation process. This process involves encoding latent image features F_{img} and textual features F_{τ} . It maps respective features to query $Q = W_Q \cdot F_{imq}$, key $K = W_K \cdot F_\tau$, and value $V = W_V \cdot F_\tau$ through linear projection layers, where W_Q , W_K , W_V respectively represent the weight parameters of the query projection layer, key projection layer and value projection layer. The calculation of cross attention is subsequently executed by performing a weighted summation of the value features V . The formula of cross-attention is as shown in Equation [2.](#page-2-1)

$$
Attention(Q, K, V) = Softmax(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d}})V, \qquad (2)
$$

where d represents the output dimension of key and query features. The output of cross-attention block is utilized to update the latent features in Stable-Diffusion model.

2) ControlNet: ControlNet [\[44\]](#page-11-11) is specially developed for efficiently managing pretrained large diffusion models while accommodating additional input conditioning. It involves creating two distinct copies of the weights from pretrained diffusion model. One is a trainable copy and the other is a locked copy. The trainable copy is trained on task-specific datasets to learn some required condition, while the locked copy remains fixed. These two copies are connected through zeroconvolutions, enabling efficient training that is in comparable speed with fine-tuning, while avoiding introducing additional noise to deep features of original pretrained network. The

(a) Image-Restoration Prompt Alignment Decoder(IRPAD)

Fig. 1. Overview of the proposed method. (a) Image-Restoration Prompt Alignment Decoder (IRPAD) is designed to extract image-restoration prompts from LR images. (b) The extracted prompts from IRPAD are integrated into image generation process, enabling the model to leverage semantic priors and restoration priors to enhance the quality and fidelity of the reconstructed HR images.

fundamental architecture of ControlNet is shown in Figure [2.](#page-3-1)

Fig. 2. The fundamental structure of ControlNet. Z_{LR} is the latent representation of LR image.

B. Image-Restoration Prompt Alignment Decoder (IRPAD)

1) Image-Restoration Prompt Generation Pipeline: To facilitate the generation of image-restoration prompt, we construct an auxiliary dataset that signifies the degradation process. In the constructed dataset, each data is a triplet $[I_{HR},$ I_{LR} , p_r], where p_r signifies the textual prompt characterizing degradation process, while I_{HR} and I_{LR} respectively represents HR and LR image in pair.

Specifically, the generation pipeline of image-restoration prompt is outlined as in Figure [3.](#page-4-0) It consists of two primary components. One is the degradation module and the other is the restoration prompt representation module. The former is responsible for producing HR-LR image pairs. It follow the second-order degradation process as in Real-ESRGAN [\[36\]](#page-11-4) to model practical degradations. The latter delineates the degradation process to generate corresponding textual prompts.

Since degradation space is continuous, it is difficult to accurately identify the true degree value for a specific degradation. For simplicity and practical use, in this paper, we adopt a strategy that involves discretizing degradation space into several intervals. As a result, textual descriptions are facilitated

to comprehensively and informatively capture nuanced variations and intricacies in degradation process. As shown in Figure [3,](#page-4-0) we specifically take 4 intervals, e.g. [0,0.25], (0.25,0.5], (0.5,0.75], and (0.75,1] for degradation degree. Consequently, restoration prompts are generated as descriptions "*Deblur with sigma* 0.5 ∼ 0.75*, Denoise with sigma* 0.25 ∼ 0.5*, Dejpeg with sigma* $0 \sim 0.25$ ". We deem that it is essential for illreverse applications like image restoration, helping narrow semantic gap. The adopted strategy can ensure clarity and precision in conveying the intricacies of degradation operations in textual way.

Fig. 3. The image-restoration prompt generation pipeline. Discretization strategy is employed on degradation level representation to delineate highorder degradation process.

2) Image-Restoration Prompt Alignment Decoder: Figure [1\(](#page-3-0)a) delineates the image-prompt alignment process. The alignment decoder comprises two successive blocks, each of which is consist of a cross-attention layer and a feedforward layer. Embeddings of degradation prompts are aligned with image features within the alignment decoder.

Specifically, in cross-attention layer, degradation prompts function as queries, and image features encoded from precede block serve as keys and values. During pretraining stage, we take the alignment process as fine-grained retrieval process. For each degradation type, we select the most relevant degradation prompt from the define four intervals.

Moreover, to avoid combination explosion problem, we respectively construct an image-restoration prompt alignment decoder for each degradation type. And then concatenate respective degradation prompt retrieved to form the final prompt description. Consequently, in this way, we can prioritize efficiency in computational resources, emphasize the role of degradation prompt and preserve good scalability to more degradation types in future.

C. Multi-Modality Semantic Priors Guidance

Benefiting from significantly scaling up the size and complexity of both data and language model, multimodal large language models (MLLMs) have achieved unprecedentedly amazing abilities on many high-level tasks, such as image captioning. In this work, we leverage a state-of-the-art MLLM known as LLaVA [\[50\]](#page-11-28) to enhance the perception of LR images and extract informative semantic priors. LLaVA's robust capabilities enable it to capture intricate relationships and patterns within visual data.

To enhance the coherence between the semantic content of LR images and the descriptions generated by LLaVA, we propose to refine the feature extraction ability of image encoder

 $\mathcal E$ on LR image. We conduct fine-tuning on image encoder E through minimizing the loss $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{E}} = ||\mathcal{E}(I_{LR}) - \mathcal{E}(I_{HR})||_2^2$, thereby reducing the disparity between LR and HR images.

As we know, it is essential to provide clear and comprehensive instructions to MLLM, ensuring that its generated outputs align with human intentions and preferences. Considering the robust capability of RAM [\[54\]](#page-11-19) on image tag recognition, we propose to employ RAM to detect tags for LR images. Subsequently, we leverage the identified tags to formulate tailored instructions corresponding to different LR images. As depicted in Figure [4,](#page-5-0) the tags extracted from LR image serve as part of instructive prompts for LLaVA. Through the application of specific prompt instructions, LLaVA is capable of producing high-level semantic image descriptions that align with human perceptual understanding.

D. Training Strategy

 $\frac{I_{LR}}{I_{LR}}$ in Algorithm 1. During model training, we initially derive The training strategy of the proposed method is delineated the latent representation z_0 of HR image. Subsequently, we incrementally introduce noise to this latent representation, producing a noisy latent z_t , where t represents a randomly sampled diffusion step. Considering various conditions such as the diffusion step t , latent LR input z_{LR} , restoration prompt p_r , and high-level semantic prompt p_s , we train the network ϵ_{θ} to predict the noise introduced into the noisy latent z_t . The optimization objective is:

$$
\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{z_0, z_{LR}, t, p_r, p_s, \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}} [\|\epsilon - \epsilon_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}_t, \mathbf{z}_{LR}, t, p_r, p_s)\|_2^2].
$$
 (3)

Algorithm 1 Training Training datasets: $(I_{HR}, I_{LR}, p_r, p_s \sim MLLM(I_{LR}))$ sample: z_0 , $z_{LR} \sim (I_{HR}, I_{LR})$ while not converged do t ∼ Uniform({1, ..., T}) sample: $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \kappa^2 \eta_t \mathbf{I})$ $z_t = z_0 + \epsilon$ The optimization objective is: $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{E}_{z_0, z_\text{LR}, t, p_r, p_s, \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}}[\|\epsilon - \epsilon_\theta(\mathbf{z}_t, \mathbf{z}_\text{LR}, t, p_r, p_s)\|_2^2]$

IV. EXPERIMENTS

A. Datasets and metrics

end while

1) Training datasets: As similar settings to conventional training strategy, our training data are collected from DIV2K [\[65\]](#page-11-29), DIV8K [\[66\]](#page-11-30), Flickr2K [\[67\]](#page-11-31), OST [\[68\]](#page-11-32), and a subset of top 10K images from FFHQ [\[69\]](#page-11-33). Initially, HR images are randomly cropped to a size of 512×512 . Then, image-restoration prompt generation pipeline is employed to produce training dataset containing HR-LR image pairs and corresponding restoration prompts. Subsequently, the proposed network is trained using the generated training data.

2) Test Datasets: In order to thoroughly and reliably evaluate the proposed model, we conducted experiments on several synthetic and real-world benchmark datasets that widely adopted in super-resolution tasks.

| Instruction: Describe this image and its style in a very detailed manner. | Instruction: Describe this image and its style in a very detailed manner around the following tags: bloom, flower, lotus, pink, pond, water lily. | ⊽ ⊽ |
|--|---|--------|
| The image features a beautiful pink flower, likely a water lily, floating on top of a body of water. The flower is surrounded by green leaves, creating a serene and picturesque scene. The flower is the main focal point of the image, with its vibrant pink color standing out against the greenery. The overall style of the image is artistic and visually appealing, capturing the beauty of nature in a still life setting. | The image features a beautiful pink lotus flower blooming in a pond. The flower is surrounded by green leaves, creating a serene and picturesque scene. The lotus flower is the main focus of the image, with its vibrant pink color and delicate petals. The pond provides a calm and tranquil setting for the flower to thrive, making it an ideal environment for the lotus to bloom. | |

Fig. 4. The example demonstrates LLaVA has the capacity to produce high-level semantic prompts that align with human perceptual comprehension through application of tailored prompt instructions. RAM is employed to detect semantic tags to formulate tailored instructions for LR images.

In case of synthetic dataset, we utilized the validation set from DIV2K [\[65\]](#page-11-29) dataset. Following the same experiment settings as SeeSR [\[47\]](#page-11-14), we utilize the randomly cropped 3K image patches for testing^{[1](#page-5-1)}. The HR images are of size 512×512 . The corresponding LR images of size 128×128 are generated using the same degradation pipeline as training stage.

In case of real-world dataset, we employ three benchmark datasets, DRealSR [\[30\]](#page-11-1), RealSR [\[29\]](#page-11-34) and RealLR200 [\[47\]](#page-11-14) for evaluation. Except for RealLR200, all datasets undergo cropping HR image to dimensions of 512×512 and subsequent LR images of size 128×128 . LR images in RealLR200 dataset are of various sizes for practical use.

3) Compared Methods: We perform benchmark comparisons for our proposed method against several representative cutting-edge Real-ISR methods. The compared methods are categorized into two primary groups. One group contains GAN-based methods, such as BSRGAN [\[20\]](#page-10-4), Real-ESRGAN [\[36\]](#page-11-4), LDL [\[37\]](#page-11-5), FeMaSR [\[2\]](#page-10-7). The other group encompasses diffusion-based methods, like StableSR [\[42\]](#page-11-9), ResShift [\[59\]](#page-11-35), PASD [\[46\]](#page-11-13), DiffBIR [\[45\]](#page-11-12), SeeSR [\[47\]](#page-11-14) and SUPIR [\[52\]](#page-11-17).

4) Evaluation indicators: Both established reference-based and reference-free metrics are utilized for evaluation.

The reference-based perceptual quality assessment is conducted using LPIPS [\[70\]](#page-11-36) and FID [\[71\]](#page-11-37) metrics. LPIPS gauges perceptual similarity between the restored images and their references. FID quantifies the distributional dissimilarity between the restored images and their references, providing insights into the fidelity of image restoration. Notably, traditional pixellevel evaluation metrics such as PSNR and SSIM [\[72\]](#page-12-0) are excluded from our assessment due to their limited efficacy in capturing nuanced aspects of human perceptual quality.

For reference-free evaluation, we leverage MANIQA [\[73\]](#page-12-1), MUSIQ [\[74\]](#page-12-2), and CLIPIQA [\[75\]](#page-12-3) metrics. These metrics offer a holistic assessment of image quality without relying on reference images, thus providing a more robust evaluation framework for assessing the fidelity and perceptual quality of generated images.

B. Implementation details

The SD [2](#page-5-2).1-base model² servers as the foundational text-toimage model in our experiment. Adam with batch size of 4 is employed as optimizer for training. We configure the learning rate to 2e-5 and fine-tune complete model over 100,000 iterations. During inference, we incorporate LR images with initial noise to establish an enhanced starting point for diffusion process. All training experiments are conducted on image patch of 512×512 resolution on NVIDIA 3090 GPU.

C. Comparison with state-of-the-art methods

1) Quantitative Comparisons: The quantitative comparisons across both synthetic and real-world datasets are presented in Table [I.](#page-6-0) From the experiment results, we can observe that the proposed method consistently outperforms competing methods across multiple datasets, demonstrating superior performance in terms of various perceptual metrics. Specifically, on RealLR200 dataset, our MANIQA score exhibits a superiority of 2.27 % over the runner-up method, while our MUSIQ score surpasses the second-best method by 4.69%, and our CLIPIQA score excels by 13.41% compared to the next best method.

Notably, compared with the most closely work such as SUPIR, the proposed DaLPSR has several crucial differences. (1) Overall, DaLPSR is one-stage framework while SUPIR utilized two-stage strategy. (2) The priors that SUPIR utilized are only the generated descriptions by MLLM based on initially estimated HR reference. In contrast, DaLPSR, on one hand, with assistance of RAM, employs MLLM directly on encoded LR images to generate semantic priors. As evidenced in the experiments, the generated semantic priors in DaLPSR are more accurate and comprehensive. On the other hand, DaLPSR accounts for degradation alignment, and learns degradation information as complementary degradation priors. (3) The computation burdens of DaLPSR are much less than SUPIR, which enables DaLPSR be more applicable in practical scenario.

¹https://huggingface.co/datasets/Iceclear/StableSR-TestSets

TABLE I QUANTITATIVE EVALUATION AGAINST STATE-OF-THE-ART METHODS ON REAL-WORLD BENCHMARKS. THE BEST PERFORMANCES ACROSS EACH METRIC ARE HIGHLIGHTED IN RED AND BOLD.

| Datasets | Metrics | | BSRGAN Real-ESRGAN | LDL | FeMaSR | StableSR | ResShift | PASD | DiffBIR | SeeSR | SUPIR* | Ours |
|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------------|--------|--------|-----------------|---|-------------|----------------|--------|--------|--------|
| | | ICCV 2021 | ICCV 2021 | | | | CVPR 2022 MM 2022 arxiv 2023 NeurIPS 2023 arxiv 2023 arxiv 2023 CVPR 2024 CVPR 2024 | | | | | |
| | LPIPS \downarrow | 0.4136 | 0.3868 | 0.3995 | 0.3973 | 0.4055 | 0.4284 | 0.4410 | 0.4270 | 0.3876 | 0.3933 | 0.4085 |
| | $FID \downarrow$ | 64.28 | 53.46 | 58.94 | 53.70 | 36.57 | 55.77 | 40.77 | 40.42 | 32.79 | 31.73 | 34.92 |
| | DIV2K-Val MANIOA ↑ | 0.4834 | 0.5251 | 0.5127 | 0.4869 | 0.5914 | 0.5232 | 0.6049 | 0.6205 | 0.6236 | 0.5080 | 0.6146 |
| | MUSIO \uparrow | 59.11 | 58.64 | 57.90 | 58.10 | 62.95 | 58.23 | 66.85 | 65.23 | 68.29 | 59.68 | 70.70 |
| | CLIPIQA ↑ | 0.5183 | 0.5424 | 0.5313 | 0.5597 | 0.6486 | 0.5948 | 0.6799 | 0.6664 | 0.6834 | 0.6737 | 0.7556 |
| | LPIPS \downarrow | 0.2670 | 0.2727 | 0.2766 | 0.2942 | 0.3018 | 0.3460 | 0.3435 | 0.3658 | 0.3133 | 0.3788 | 0.3952 |
| | $FID \downarrow$ | 141.28 | 135.18 | 142.71 | 141.05 | 128.51 | 141.71 | 129.76 | 128.99 | 134.90 | 133.17 | 148.65 |
| RealSR | MANIOA \uparrow | 0.5399 | 0.5487 | 0.5485 | 0.4865 | 0.6221 | 0.5285 | 0.6493 | 0.6253 | 0.6506 | 0.5673 | 0.6303 |
| | MUSIO \uparrow | 63.21 | 60.18 | 60.82 | 58.95 | 65.78 | 58.43 | 68.69 | 64.85 | 69.67 | 65.41 | 71.48 |
| | CLIPIOA ↑ | 0.5001 | 0.4449 | 0.4477 | 0.5270 | 0.6178 | 0.5444 | 0.6590 | 0.6386 | 0.6630 | 0.6896 | 0.7199 |
| | LPIPS \downarrow | 0.2883 | 0.2847 | 0.2815 | 0.3169 | 0.3315 | 0.4006 | 0.3931 | 0.4599 | 0.3299 | 0.4180 | 0.4048 |
| | $FID \downarrow$ | 155.63 | 147.62 | 155.53 | 157.78 | 148.98 | 172.26 | 159.24 | 166.79 | 151.88 | 164.64 | 164.66 |
| | DRealSR MANIOA ↑ | 0.4878 | 0.4907 | 0.4914 | 0.4420 | 0.5591 | 0.4586 | 0.5850 | 0.5923 | 0.6005 | 0.4776 | 0.5733 |
| | MUSIQ \uparrow | 57.14 | 54.18 | 53.85 | 53.74 | 58.42 | 50.60 | 64.81 | 61.19 | 65.11 | 58.70 | 65.88 |
| | CLIPIOA \uparrow | 0.4915 | 0.4422 | 0.4310 | 0.5464 | 0.6206 | 0.5342 | 0.6773 | 0.6346 | 0.6708 | 0.6701 | 0.7245 |
| RealLR200 MUSIO 1 | MANIOA ↑ | 0.5462 | 0.5582 | 0.5519 | 0.5295 | 0.5841 | 0.5417 | 0.6066 | 0.5902 | 0.6198 | 0.4795 | 0.6339 |
| | | 64.87 | 62.94 | 63.11 | 64.14 | 63.30 | 60.18 | 68.20 | 62.06 | 69.52 | 66.44 | 72.78 |
| | CLIPIQA \uparrow | 0.5679 | 0.5389 | 0.5326 | 0.6522 | 0.6068 | 0.6486 | 0.6797 | 0.6509 | 0.6814 | 0.6275 | 0.7728 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

We have also noted that GAN-based methods generally exhibit better performance over diffusion-based approaches in reference-based metrics. We speculate that diffusion model possesses capability to generate more intricate texture details, albeit at the expense of marginal reduction in fidelity. Nevertheless, compared to SOTA diffusion-based methods, the proposed method achieves more superior scores in reference-free metrics. Especially on RealLR200 dataset, it attains highest scores across all reference-free metrics, thereby establishing itself as a valuable asset for practical deployment.

2) Qualitative Comparisons: We further provide visual comparisons of the proposed method against state-of-the-art Real-ISR methods on both synthetic and real-world images, as depicted in Figure [5](#page-7-0) and Figure [6.](#page-7-1)

It is evident that all compared methods are capable of restoring visually high-quality images. Notably, the results produced by SeeSR and SUPIR exhibit finer details compared to those by ResShift, highlighting the significance of textual guidance in diffusion-based image restoration models. In Figure [5,](#page-7-0) our model tends to generate clearer and more regular contours, such as sharper leaves, finer animal fur, and well-defined flower petals. Additionally, in Figure [6,](#page-7-1) our model surpasses SeeSR and SUPIR in generating semantically accurate and detail-rich results, which highlights the advantages of using degradation-alignment prompt conditioning.

In summary, the proposed model demonstrates advantages in detail generation and degradation removal. These examples underscore the benefits of utilizing multimodal semantic priors over just relying on scattered object labels. More visual examples that further illustrate the capabilities of our model can be found in Figure [7.](#page-8-0)

3) User Study: To substantiate the superiority of the proposed DaLPSR in generating precise and realistic LR images, we conducted a user study. This investigation involved 10 realworld LR images randomly selected from established benchmark datasets. We recruited 10 participants to evaluate six representative methods (BSRGAN, Real-ESRGAN, ResShift, SeeSR, SUPIR, and DaLPSR). As a result, each method can be valuated by 100 scores. During evaluation, the names of all method was concealed. Participants are blindly to rate "Which HR image best matches the LR reference and provides the best SR result?". Each HR image was rated on a scale: 2 for very poor, 4 for poor, 6 for fair, 8 for good, and 10 for excellent. As depicted in Figure [9](#page-9-0) and Table [II,](#page-6-1) our DaLPSR demonstrates a higher score compared to other methods when evaluated on real-world data. The user-study has further confirmed the advantages of our proposed DaLPSR.

TABLE II STATISTICAL COMPARISONS OF USER STUDY ON REAL-WORLD DATA FOR SIX REPRESENTATIVE STATE-OF-THE-ART METHODS.

| Methods | Average Score | Best rates |
|-------------------|---------------|------------|
| BSRGAN | 4.94 | 1% |
| RealESRGAN | 4.74 | 3% |
| ResShift | 5.54 | 4% |
| SeeSR | 6.96 | 17% |
| SUPIR | 7.60 | 30% |
| DaLPSR | 8.46 | 45% |

D. Ablation Studies

To validate the effectiveness of our proposed key components, we have performed ablation studies on representative RealSR and RealLR200 datasets for practical use.

1) Efficacy of Image-Restoration Prompt Alignment Decoder: As demonstrated in Table [III,](#page-9-1) the Image-Restoration Prompt Alignment Decoder (IRPAD) significantly enhances the perceptual quality of restored LR images. This improvement is evident across various evaluation metrics. It underscores the decoder's effectiveness in producing visually superior results.

For more intuitive observation, we provide visual comparisons for ablation experiments. As illustrated in Figure [8,](#page-9-2) the implementation of IRPAD markedly diminishes image noise and mitigates aliasing artifacts. The resulted image displays

Fig. 5. Visual comparisons between the proposed model and other state-of-the-art methods on DIV2K-Val dataset. For a clearer and more detailed view, please zoom in on the images.

Fig. 6. Visual comparisons between the proposed model and other state-of-the-art methods on Real-world datasets. For a clearer and more detailed view, please zoom in on the images.

Fig. 7. Qualitative comparisons with state-of-the-art methods on real-world examples are presented. For a closer examination of the finer details and to better appreciate the improvements, please zoom in on the images.

Zoomed LR

GT W/ w/o IRPAD & w/o MLLM (LPIPS: 0.2782, MUSIQ: 60.58, CLIPIQA: 0.6252)

w/o IRPAD (LPIPS: 0.2623, MUSIQ: 69.66, CLIPIQA: 0.6636) (LPIPS: 0.2506, MUSIQ: 70.90, CLIPIQA: 0.7721) (LPIPS: **0.1759**, MUSIQ: **74.32**, CLIPIQA: **0.8525**)

w/o. MLLM

Fig. 8. Visual comparison for ablation study. "w/o IRPAD & w/o MLLM" represents model directly applying ControlNet on SD without degradation prompt and MLLM-generated semantic prompt. "w/o IRPAD" represents model utilizing MLLMs to generate semantic prompt, while without degradation prompt. "w/o MLLM" employs IRPAD to generate restoration prompts, while without MLLM-generated semantic prompt. "Ours" is final full model with both MLLM and IRPAD, resulting much clearer and more semantically accurate outcome.

Fig. 9. Comparisons about score distributions of user-study on real-world data for six representative state-of-the-art methods. The scores are divided into five levels. 2 for very poor, 4 for poor, 6 for fair, 8 for good, and 10 for excellent.

textures with well-defined edges. The improvements explicitly demonstrate the decoder's capability to produce visually appealing and high-fidelity restorations.

TABLE III ABLATION STUDIES ON REALSR AND REALLR200 BENCHMARKS.

| Datasets | | | RealSR | RealLR200 | | |
|-----------------|------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| IRPAD | MLLM | MUSIO [↑] | CLIPIOA ⁺ | MUSIO ⁺ | CLIPIOA ⁺ | |
| \times | × | 66.72 | 0.6109 | 64.35 | 0.5916 | |
| × | | 68.54 | 0.6355 | 66.82 | 0.6495 | |
| | × | 69.69 | 0.6671 | 69.25 | 0.6750 | |
| v | | 71.48 | 0.7199 | 72.78 | 0.7728 | |

2) Efficacy of Multi-Modality Semantic Priors: As the results presented in Table [III,](#page-9-1) it is evident that the exclusion of high-level semantic priors results in a significant reduction in fidelity metrics. This decrease underscores the critical role that high-level priors play in preserving the perceptual quality and structural integrity of restored images.

Moreover, as illustrated in Figure [8,](#page-9-2) the effectiveness of utilizing multi-modality semantic priors is further validated. The incorporation of multi-modality semantic priors leads to images with clearer details and more refined textures, resulting in higher level of realism and overall image quality.

TABLE IV CLASSIFICATION ACCURACY FOR DIFFERENT DEGRADATION TYPES.

| Degradation | Blur | Noise | JPEG |
|-------------|------|-------|------|
| Accuracy | 0.63 | 0.65 | 0.69 |

Both ablation studies have demonstrated the significant advantage of leveraging multi-modality prompts for superior image restoration outcomes.

3) Discussion and Future Work: In this work, we have innovatively simplified the generation of degradation prompt, and converted generation problem into isolate classification/retrieval problem for respective degradation type. As illustrated in ablation study, it plays significant role on model's performance improvement. Nevertheless, as detailed in Table [IV,](#page-10-8) the accuracy of four-class classification is less than 70%, still unsatisfactory. We believe more accurate degradation prompt will be more benefit for restoration. In the future, we will further optimize the way of degradation prompt generation, and provide accurate controls to balance the generation quality and fidelity of HR image.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have proposed an effective and innovative multimodal framework that leverages degradation aligned language prompts for real-world image super-resolution. In the proposed framework, two complementary priors including semantic content priors and image degradation priors are produced as textual prompts to guide stable diffusion for high-fidelity high-resolution image generation. Comprehensive experiments on several popular synthetic and realworld benchmark datasets have demonstrated that the proposed method achieves significant superior performance, and obtains new state-of-the-art perceptual quality level, especially on realworld cases based on reference-free metrics. The proposed method offers a viable solution to overcome existing challenges with acceptable computation burdens.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Sun, W. Li, J. Liu, H. Chen, R. Pei, X. Zou, Y. Yan, and Y. Yang, "Coser: Bridging image and language for cognitive super-resolution," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, June 2024, pp. 25 868–25 878.
- [2] C. Chen, X. Shi, Y. Qin, X. Li, X. Han, T. Yang, and S. Guo, "Real-world blind super-resolution via feature matching with implicit high-resolution priors," in *Proceedings of the 30th ACM international conference on multimedia*, 2022, pp. 1329–1338.
- [3] Y. Liu, H. Zhao, J. Gu, Y. Qiao, and C. Dong, "Evaluating the generalization ability of super-resolution networks," *IEEE Transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 2023.
- [4] W. Zhang, X. Li, X. Chen, X. Zhang, Y. Qiao, X.-M. Wu, and C. Dong, "SEAL: A framework for systematic evaluation of real-world super-resolution," in *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2024.
- [5] L. Peng, Y. Cao, R. Pei, W. Li, J. Guo, X. Fu, Y. Wang, and Z.-J. Zha, "Efficient real-world image super-resolution via adaptive directional gradient convolution," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.07023*, 2024.
- [6] D. Chen, J. Liang, X. Zhang, M. Liu, H. Zeng, and L. Zhang, "Human guided ground-truth generation for realistic image super-resolution," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2023, pp. 14 082–14 091.
- [7] Y. Huang, S. Li, L. Wang, T. Tan *et al.*, "Unfolding the alternating optimization for blind super resolution," *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 33, pp. 5632–5643, 2020.
- [8] W. Li, K. Zhou, L. Qi, L. Lu, and J. Lu, "Best-buddy gans for highly detailed image super-resolution," in *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 36, no. 2, 2022, pp. 1412–1420.
- [9] A. Lugmayr, M. Danelljan, and R. Timofte, "Unsupervised learning for real-world super-resolution," in *2019 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision Workshop (ICCVW)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 3408– 3416.
- [10] X. Ji, Y. Cao, Y. Tai, C. Wang, J. Li, and F. Huang, "Real-world superresolution via kernel estimation and noise injection," in *proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition workshops*, 2020, pp. 466–467.
- [11] C. Mou, Y. Wu, X. Wang, C. Dong, J. Zhang, and Y. Shan, "Metric learning based interactive modulation for real-world super-resolution," in *European Conference on Computer Vision*. Springer, 2022, pp. 723– 740.
- [12] A. Liu, Y. Liu, J. Gu, Y. Qiao, and C. Dong, "Blind image superresolution: A survey and beyond," *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, vol. 45, no. 5, pp. 5461–5480, 2022.
- [13] M. Fritsche, S. Gu, and R. Timofte, "Frequency separation for realworld super-resolution," in *2019 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision Workshop (ICCVW)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 3599–3608.
- [14] Y. Zhou, W. Deng, T. Tong, and Q. Gao, "Guided frequency separation network for real-world super-resolution," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops*, 2020, pp. 428–429.
- [15] L. Wang, Y. Wang, X. Dong, Q. Xu, J. Yang, W. An, and Y. Guo, "Unsupervised degradation representation learning for blind super-resolution," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2021, pp. 10 581–10 590.
- [16] Y. Li, B. Sixou, and F. Peyrin, "A review of the deep learning methods for medical images super resolution problems," *Irbm*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 120–133, 2021.
- [17] C. Dong, C. C. Loy, K. He, and X. Tang, "Image super-resolution using deep convolutional networks," *IEEE Transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 295–307, 2015.
- [18] J. Kim, J. K. Lee, and K. M. Lee, "Accurate image super-resolution using very deep convolutional networks," in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2016, pp. 1646– 1654.
- [19] C. Ledig, L. Theis, F. Huszár, J. Caballero, A. Cunningham, A. Acosta, A. Aitken, A. Tejani, J. Totz, Z. Wang *et al.*, "Photo-realistic single image super-resolution using a generative adversarial network," in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2017, pp. 4681–4690.
- [20] K. Zhang, J. Liang, L. Van Gool, and R. Timofte, "Designing a practical degradation model for deep blind image super-resolution," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, 2021, pp. 4791–4800.
- [21] R. Zhang, J. Gu, H. Chen, C. Dong, Y. Zhang, and W. Yang, "Crafting training degradation distribution for the accuracy-generalization trade-off in real-world super-resolution," in *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 2023, pp. 41 078–41 091.
- [22] S. Park, D. Kim, S. Baik, and T. H. Kim, "Learning controllable degradation for real-world super-resolution via constrained flows," in *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 2023, pp. 27 188–27 203.
- [23] X. Li, C. Chen, X. Lin, W. Zuo, and L. Zhang, "From face to natural image: Learning real degradation for blind image super-resolution," in *European Conference on Computer Vision*. Springer, 2022, pp. 376– 392.
- [24] J. Xiao, H. Yong, and L. Zhang, "Degradation model learning for real-world single image super-resolution," in *Proceedings of the Asian Conference on Computer Vision*, 2020.
- [25] V. Wolf, A. Lugmayr, M. Danelljan, L. Van Gool, and R. Timofte, "Deflow: Learning complex image degradations from unpaired data with conditional flows," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2021, pp. 94–103.
- [26] M. Elad and A. Feuer, "Restoration of a single superresolution image from several blurred, noisy, and undersampled measured images," *IEEE transactions on image processing*, vol. 6, no. 12, pp. 1646–1658, 1997.
- [27] L. Peng, Y. Cao, Y. Sun, and Y. Wang, "Lightweight adaptive feature de-drifting for compressed image classification," *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*, 2024.
- [28] C. Chen, Z. Xiong, X. Tian, Z.-J. Zha, and F. Wu, "Camera lens superresolution," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2019, pp. 1652–1660.
- [29] J. Cai, H. Zeng, H. Yong, Z. Cao, and L. Zhang, "Toward real-world single image super-resolution: A new benchmark and a new model," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, 2019, pp. 3086–3095.
- [30] P. Wei, Z. Xie, H. Lu, Z. Zhan, Q. Ye, W. Zuo, and L. Lin, "Component divide-and-conquer for real-world image super-resolution," in *Proceedings of European conference computer vision*, 2020, pp. 101–117.
- [31] T. Yang, P. Ren, L. Zhang *et al.*, "Synthesizing realistic image restoration training pairs: A diffusion approach," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.06994*, 2023.
- [32] A. Bulat, J. Yang, and G. Tzimiropoulos, "To learn image superresolution, use a gan to learn how to do image degradation first," in *Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV)*, 2018, pp. 185–200.
- [33] W. Wu, Y. Zhao, H. Chen, Y. Gu, R. Zhao, Y. He, H. Zhou, M. Z. Shou, and C. Shen, "Datasetdm: Synthesizing data with perception annotations using diffusion models," *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 36, pp. 54 683–54 695, 2023.
- [34] V. Sehwag, C. Hazirbas, A. Gordo, F. Ozgenel, and C. Canton, "Generating high fidelity data from low-density regions using diffusion models," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2022, pp. 11 492–11 501.
- [35] S. Maeda, "Unpaired image super-resolution using pseudo-supervision," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2020, pp. 291–300.
- [36] X. Wang, L. Xie, C. Dong, and Y. Shan, "Real-esrgan: Training realworld blind super-resolution with pure synthetic data," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, 2021, pp. 1905–1914.
- [37] J. Liang, H. Zeng, and L. Zhang, "Details or artifacts: A locally discriminative learning approach to realistic image super-resolution," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2022, pp. 5657–5666.
- [38] -, "Efficient and degradation-adaptive network for real-world image super-resolution," in *Proceedings of European conference on computer vision*, 2022, pp. 574–591.
- [39] J. Ho, A. Jain, and P. Abbeel, "Denoising diffusion probabilistic models," *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 33, pp. 6840– 6851, 2020.
- [40] R. Rombach, A. Blattmann, D. Lorenz, P. Esser, and B. Ommer, "Highresolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2022, pp. 10 684–10 695.
- [41] C. Saharia, W. Chan, S. Saxena, L. Li, J. Whang, E. L. Denton, K. Ghasemipour, R. Gontijo Lopes, B. Karagol Ayan, T. Salimans *et al.*, "Photorealistic text-to-image diffusion models with deep language understanding," *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 35, pp. 36 479–36 494, 2022.
- [42] J. Wang, Z. Yue, S. Zhou, K. C. Chan, and C. C. Loy, "Exploiting diffusion prior for real-world image super-resolution," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.07015*, 2023.
- [43] C. Mou, X. Wang, L. Xie, Y. Wu, J. Zhang, Z. Qi, and Y. Shan, "T2iadapter: Learning adapters to dig out more controllable ability for textto-image diffusion models," in *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, vol. 38, no. 5, 2024, pp. 4296–4304.
- [44] L. Zhang, A. Rao, and M. Agrawala, "Adding conditional control to text-to-image diffusion models," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, 2023, pp. 3836–3847.
- [45] X. Lin, J. He, Z. Chen, Z. Lyu, B. Fei, B. Dai, W. Ouyang, Y. Qiao, and C. Dong, "Diffbir: Towards blind image restoration with generative diffusion prior," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.15070*, 2023.
- [46] T. Yang, P. Ren, X. Xie, and L. Zhang, "Pixel-aware stable diffusion for realistic image super-resolution and personalized stylization," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.14469*, 2023.
- [47] R. Wu, T. Yang, L. Sun, Z. Zhang, S. Li, and L. Zhang, "Seesr: Towards semantics-aware real-world image super-resolution," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2024, pp. 25 456–25 467.
- [48] R. Zhang, J. Han, C. Liu, P. Gao, A. Zhou, X. Hu, S. Yan, P. Lu, H. Li, and Y. Qiao, "Llama-adapter: Efficient fine-tuning of language models with zero-init attention," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.16199*, 2023.
- [49] J. Li, D. Li, S. Savarese, and S. Hoi, "Blip-2: Bootstrapping languageimage pre-training with frozen image encoders and large language

models," in *International conference on machine learning*, 2023, pp. 19 730–19 742.

- [50] H. Liu, C. Li, Q. Wu, and Y. J. Lee, "Visual instruction tuning," *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 36, 2024.
- [51] D. Zhu, J. Chen, X. Shen, X. Li, and M. Elhoseiny, "Minigpt-4: Enhancing vision-language understanding with advanced large language models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.10592*, 2023.
- [52] F. Yu, J. Gu, Z. Li, J. Hu, X. Kong, X. Wang, J. He, Y. Qiao, and C. Dong, "Scaling up to excellence: practicing model scaling for photorealistic image restoration in the wild," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, June 2024, pp. 25 669–25 680.
- [53] D. Podell, Z. English, K. Lacey, A. Blattmann, T. Dockhorn, J. Müller, J. Penna, and R. Rombach, "SDXL: Improving latent diffusion models for high-resolution image synthesis," in *The Twelfth International conference on learning representations*, 2024.
- [54] Y. Zhang, X. Huang, J. Ma, Z. Li, Z. Luo, Y. Xie, Y. Qin, T. Luo, Y. Li, S. Liu *et al.*, "Recognize anything: A strong image tagging model," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.03514*, 2023.
- [55] C. Dong, C. C. Loy, K. He, and X. Tang, "Learning a deep convolutional network for image super-resolution," in *Proceedings of European conference on computer vision*, 2014, pp. 184–199.
- [56] L. Xie, X. Wang, X. Chen, G. Li, Y. Shan, J. Zhou, and C. Dong, "Desra: detect and delete the artifacts of gan-based real-world super-resolution models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.02457*, 2023.
- [57] J. Song, C. Meng, and S. Ermon, "Denoising diffusion implicit models," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.02502*, 2020.
- [58] B. Xia, Y. Zhang, S. Wang, Y. Wang, X. Wu, Y. Tian, W. Yang, and L. Van Gool, "Diffir: Efficient diffusion model for image restoration," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, 2023, pp. 13 095–13 105.
- [59] Z. Yue, J. Wang, and C. C. Loy, "Resshift: Efficient diffusion model for image super-resolution by residual shifting," *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 36, 2024.
- [60] Y. Wang, W. Yang, X. Chen, Y. Wang, L. Guo, L.-P. Chau, Z. Liu, Y. Qiao, A. C. Kot, and B. Wen, "Sinsr: Diffusion-based image superresolution in a single step," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.14760*, 2023.
- [61] L. Peng, W. Li, R. Pei, J. Ren, Y. Wang, Y. Cao, and Z.-J. Zha, "Towards realistic data generation for real-world super-resolution," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.07255*, 2024.
- [62] E. J. Hu, Y. Shen, P. Wallis, Z. Allen-Zhu, Y. Li, S. Wang, L. Wang, and W. Chen, "LoRA: Low-rank adaptation of large language models," in *International conference on learning representations*, 2022.
- [63] K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, and J. Sun, "Deep residual learning for image recognition," in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2016, pp. 770–778.
- [64] J. Li, D. Li, C. Xiong, and S. Hoi, "Blip: Bootstrapping language-image pre-training for unified vision-language understanding and generation, in *Proceedings of International conference on machine learning*, 2022, pp. 12 888–12 900.
- [65] E. Agustsson and R. Timofte, "Ntire 2017 challenge on single image super-resolution: Dataset and study," in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition workshops*, 2017, pp. 126–135.
- [66] S. Gu, A. Lugmayr, M. Danelljan, M. Fritsche, J. Lamour, and R. Timofte, "Div8k: Diverse 8k resolution image dataset," in *Proceedings of IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision workshops*, 2019, pp. 3512–3516.
- [67] R. Timofte, E. Agustsson, L. Van Gool, M.-H. Yang, and L. Zhang, "Ntire 2017 challenge on single image super-resolution: Methods and results," in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition workshops*, 2017, pp. 114–125.
- [68] X. Wang, K. Yu, C. Dong, and C. C. Loy, "Recovering realistic texture in image super-resolution by deep spatial feature transform," in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2018, pp. 606–615.
- [69] T. Karras, S. Laine, and T. Aila, "A style-based generator architecture for generative adversarial networks," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2019, pp. 4401– 4410.
- [70] R. Zhang, P. Isola, A. A. Efros, E. Shechtman, and O. Wang, "The unreasonable effectiveness of deep features as a perceptual metric," in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2018, pp. 586–595.
- [71] M. Heusel, H. Ramsauer, T. Unterthiner, B. Nessler, and S. Hochreiter, "Gans trained by a two time-scale update rule converge to a local

nash equilibrium," *Advances in neural information processing systems* , vol. 30, 2017.

- [72] Z. Wang, A. C. Bovik, H. R. Sheikh, and E. P. Simoncelli, "Image quality assessment: from error visibility to structural similarity," *IEEE Transactions on image processing*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 600–612, 2004.
- [73] S. Yang, T. Wu, S. Shi, S. Lao, Y. Gong, M. Cao, J. Wang, and Y. Yang, "Maniqa: Multi-dimension attention network for no-reference image quality assessment," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2022, pp. 1191–1200.
- [74] J. Ke, Q. Wang, Y. Wang, P. Milanfar, and F. Yang, "Musiq: Multiscale image quality transformer," in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, 2021, pp. 5148–5157.
- [75] J. Wang, K. C. Chan, and C. C. Loy, "Exploring clip for assessing the look and feel of images," in *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, 2023, pp. 2555–2563.