

ZERO PRODUCT AND ZERO JORDAN PRODUCT DETERMINED MUNN ALGEBRAS

BO YU, KAIJIA LUO, AND JIANKUI LI

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{D}, m, n, P)$ be the ring of all $m \times n$ matrices over a division ring \mathbb{D} , with the product given by $A \bullet B = APB$, where P is a fixed $n \times m$ matrix over \mathbb{D} . When $2 \leq m, n < \infty$ and $\text{rank } P \geq 2$, we demonstrate that every element in $\mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{D}, m, n, P)$ is a sum of finite products of pairs of commutators. We also estimate the minimal number N such that $\mathcal{A} = \sum^N [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}][\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}]$. Furthermore, if $\text{char } \mathbb{D} \neq 2$, we prove that $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{D}, m, n, P)$ is additively spanned by Jordan products of idempotents. For a field \mathbb{F} with $\text{char } \mathbb{F} \neq 2, 3$, we show that the Munn algebra $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{F}, m, n, P)$ is zero product determined and zero Jordan product determined.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Building on the idea of Rees [14] who presented 0-simple semigroups by matrices over groups, Munn introduced [12] Munn algebras in 1955 for the finite dimensional case. In this article, we generalize some results in matrix algebras to that in Munn algebras.

Let \mathbb{R} be a ring. Recall that an element $e \in \mathbb{R}$ is called an *idempotent* if $e^2 = e$. The *commutator* of two elements $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ is defined as $[x, y] := xy - yx$. In Section 2, we show some results in matrix algebras that $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ can be generated by idempotents or commutators. Then we investigate the generalization of these results to some Munn algebras.

In 2009, Brešar, Grašič, and Ortega [6] introduced the concept of zero product determined algebras. The property of zero product determinacy is widely used to explore derivations, homomorphisms, and preserver problems. Brešar summarized the relevant research of the past 15 years in [3]. In Section 3, we generalize the results of the matrix algebra $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ being zero product determined and zero Jordan product determined to some Munn algebras.

Definition 1.1. Let \mathbb{R} be a ring with identity, I and Λ be nonempty sets, and $P = (P_{mi})_{m \in \Lambda, i \in I}$ be a $\Lambda \times I$ matrix over \mathbb{R} . We denote by $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{R}, I, \Lambda, P)$ the set of all $I \times \Lambda$ -matrices over \mathbb{R} that have a finite number of nonzero entries.

In the set $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{R}, I, \Lambda, P)$, we define the addition as the usual point-wise addition and the multiplication \bullet with $A \bullet B = APB$, where the multiplication on the right-hand side is the usual multiplication of matrices. With these operations, $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{R}, I, \Lambda, P)$ is a ring. We call $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{R}, I, \Lambda, P)$ a *Rees matrix ring over \mathbb{R} with sandwich matrix P* .

Definition 1.2. Let \mathbb{R} be an algebra over a field \mathbb{F} , I and Λ be nonempty sets, $P = (P_{mi})_{m \in \Lambda, i \in I}$ be a $\Lambda \times I$ matrix over R . In $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{R}, I, \Lambda, P)$, we define modular

Date: 2 July 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 16S50. Secondary 15A30,

Key words and phrases. Munn algebra; idempotent; commutator; zero product determined algebra.

This research was partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11871021).

multiplication as the usual point-wise modular multiplication. Then $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{R}, I, \Lambda, P)$ is an \mathbb{F} -algebra. We call $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{R}, I, \Lambda, P)$ a *Munn algebra over \mathbb{R} with sandwich matrix P* .

For any $g \in \mathbb{R}$, $i \in I$ and $s \in \Lambda$, let (g, i, s) denote the matrix in $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{R}, I, \Lambda, P)$ with entry g on (i, s) and 0 otherwise. Thus we have

$$(g, i, s)(h, j, t) = (gp_{sj}h, i, t) \quad \text{for any } g, h \in \mathbb{R}, i, j \in I, s, t \in \Lambda.$$

When studying certain algebraic or ring properties of $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{D}, m, n, P)$, by Theorem 1.3 and Lemma 1.4, we can consider P as $E_r^{n,m}$.

Theorem 1.3. [11, VII. Theorem 2.6] *If P is an $n \times m$ matrix over a division ring \mathbb{D} with $\text{rank } P = r > 0$, then P is equivalent to $E_r^{n,m}$, where $E_r^{n,m} = \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and I_r is the $r \times r$ identity matrix.*

Lemma 1.4. *Let \mathbb{D} be a division ring. If V is an invertible $m \times m$ matrix over \mathbb{D} , W is an invertible $n \times n$ matrix over \mathbb{D} , then $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{D}, m, n, P)$ and $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{D}, m, n, VPW)$ are ring isomorphic. Moreover, if \mathbb{D} is a field, then $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{D}, m, n, P)$ and $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{D}, m, n, VPW)$ are algebra isomorphic.*

Proof. Define the map $\Phi : \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{D}, m, n, P) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{D}, m, n, VPW)$ as $\Phi(A) = W^{-1}AV^{-1}$. It is straightforward to verify that Φ is an isomorphism. \square

2. MUNN ALGEBRAS GENERATED BY IDEMPOTENTS OR COMMUTATORS

Definition 2.1. Let \mathbb{R} be a ring. For any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, define the *Jordan product* by $x \circ y = xy + yx$. If \mathbb{R} is equal to its additive subgroup generated by elements of the form $e \circ f$ where $e, f \in \mathbb{R}$ are idempotents, then we say that \mathbb{R} is *additively spanned by Jordan products of its idempotents*.

In [5], Brešar and Godoy proved that if \mathbb{R} is a unital ring containing $\frac{1}{2}$, then $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ (for $n \geq 2$) is additively spanned by Jordan products of its idempotents. We present the following generalization:

Theorem 2.2. *Let \mathbb{D} be a division ring, P be an $n \times m$ matrix over \mathbb{D} with $\text{rank } P = r$, and $\mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{D}, m, n, P)$, where $2 \leq m, n < \infty$.*

- *If $r \geq 1$, then \mathcal{A} is generated by idempotents as a \mathbb{D} -algebra.*
- *If $r \geq 2$, then \mathcal{A} is generated by idempotents as a ring.*
- *If $r \geq 2$ and $\text{char } \mathbb{D} \neq 2$, then \mathcal{A} is additively spanned by Jordan products of idempotents.*

Proof. If $r \geq 1$, then it is easy to check that $(1, s, s)$, $(1, s, s) + (h, s, t)$ and $(1, s, s) + (h, t, s)$ are idempotents for any $1 \leq s \leq r$, $t \neq s$ and $h \in \mathbb{D}$.

Since $(h, s, t) = h(1, s, 1)(1, 1, t)$ holds for any $1 \leq s \leq m$, $1 \leq t \leq n$ and $h \in \mathbb{D}$, it follows that \mathcal{A} is generated by idempotents as a \mathbb{D} -algebra.

From now on, we assume that $r \geq 2$. Then for any $1 \leq s \leq r$ and $h \in \mathbb{D}$, there exists a $t \neq s$ with $1 \leq t \leq r$ such that $(h, s, s) = (h, s, t)(1, t, s)$. Furthermore, we have $(h, s, t) = (h, s, 1)(1, 1, t)$ for any $1 \leq s \leq m$, $1 \leq t \leq n$ and $h \in \mathbb{D}$. It follows that \mathcal{A} is generated by idempotents as a ring.

It is clear that $(h, s, s) + (h, t, s) + (1 - h, s, t) + (1 - h, t, t)$ is an idempotent for any $1 \leq s \neq t \leq r$ and $h \in \mathbb{D}$.

If $\text{char } \mathbb{D} \neq 2$, then we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} (h, s, s) &= \left(\left(\frac{1}{2}h, s, s \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2}h, t, s \right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}h, s, t \right) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}h, t, t \right) \right) \circ (1, s, s) \\ &\quad - \left((1, s, s) + \left(\frac{1}{4}h, t, s \right) \right) \circ \left((1, s, s) + \left(\frac{1}{4}h, t, s \right) \right) + (1, s, s) \circ (1, s, s) \\ &\quad - \left((1, s, s) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}h, s, t \right) \right) \circ \left((1, s, s) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}h, s, t \right) \right) + (1, s, s) \circ (1, s, s) \end{aligned}$$

for any $1 \leq s \neq t \leq r$ and $h \in \mathbb{D}$. Since $(h, s, t) = ((1, 1, 1) + (h, s, 1)) \circ (1, 1, t) - (1, 1, 1) \circ (1, 1, t)$ for any $r < s \leq m$, $r < t \leq n$ and $h \in \mathbb{D}$, it can be deduced that \mathcal{A} is additively spanned by Jordan products of idempotents. \square

Definition 2.3. Let \mathbb{R} be a ring. For subsets $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, define $[X, Y] := \{[x, y] : x \in X, y \in Y\}$ and $XY := \{xy : x \in X, y \in Y\}$. We also write X^2 for XX .

In [9], Gardella and Thiel studied the rings and C^* -algebras generated by commutators. They proved that a unital ring \mathbb{R} is generated by its commutators as an ideal if and only if there exists a natural number N such that every element in \mathbb{R} is a sum of N products of pairs of commutators.

For a division ring \mathbb{D} , $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{D}, m, n, P)$ is a ring that might not contain an identity. We give the necessary and sufficient conditions for every element in $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{D}, m, n, P)$ to be a sum of finite products of pairs of commutators. Before that, we introduce Baxter's result in [2].

Theorem 2.4. [2] *Let \mathbb{R} be a simple associative ring. Then either \mathbb{R} is a field or every element in \mathbb{R} is a sum of products of pairs of commutators, i.e., $\mathbb{R} = \sum [\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}][\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}]$.*

Theorem 2.5. *Let \mathbb{D} be a division ring, P be an $n \times m$ matrix over \mathbb{D} with $\text{rank } P = r$, and $\mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{D}, m, n, P)$, where $2 \leq m, n < \infty$.*

- If $r \geq 2$, then $\mathcal{A} = \sum [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}][\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}]$.
- If $r = 1$, then $\mathcal{A} = \sum [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}][\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}]$ if and only if \mathbb{D} is not commutative.

Proof. Case 1. $r \geq 2$.

Let i, j be arbitrary natural numbers with $1 \leq i \leq m$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $a, b, c \in \mathbb{D}$.

If $i \neq 1$ and $j \neq 1$, then $(a, i, 1) = [(a, i, 1), (1, 1, 1)]$ and $(b, 1, j) = [(1, 1, 1), (b, 1, j)]$. Thus

$$(a, i, j) = (a, i, 1)(1, 1, j) \in [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}][\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}]$$

for any $i \neq 1$, $j \neq 1$ and $a \in \mathbb{D}$. Similarly, we have

$$(a, i, j) = (a, i, 2)(1, 2, j) \in [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}][\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}]$$

for any $i \neq 2$, $j \neq 2$ and $a \in \mathbb{D}$. Thus for any $b, c \in \mathbb{D}$, we have

$$(b, 1, 2) + (c, 2, 1) = [(1, 1, 2), (1, 2, 1)][(1, 1, 1), ((b, 1, 1) + (c, 2, 1))] \in [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}][\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}].$$

It follows that for any $A = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n} (a_{ij}, i, j) \in \mathcal{A}$, A can be written as the sum of the above three elements, which means that $\mathcal{A} = \sum [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}][\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}]$.

Case 2.1. $r = 1$ and \mathbb{D} is not commutative.

By Theorem 2.4, for any $x \in \mathbb{D}$, there exist $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{a_k\}_{i=k}^s, \{b_k\}_{k=1}^s, \{c_k\}_{k=1}^s, \{d_k\}_{k=1}^s \subseteq \mathbb{D}$ such that $x = \sum_{k=1}^s [a_k, b_k][c_k, d_k]$. Thus, we have

$$(x, 1, 1) = \sum_{k=1}^s [(a_k, 1, 1), (b_k, 1, 1)][(c_k, 1, 1), (d_k, 1, 1)] \in [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}][\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}].$$

Since \mathbb{D} is not commutative, there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $[a, b]$ is invertible. Thus for any $i \neq 1$ and $j \neq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(x, 1, j) &= [(a, 1, 1), (b, 1, 1)][(1, 1, 1), ([a, b]^{-1}x, 1, j)], \\ (x, i, 1) &= [x[a, b]^{-1}, i, 1), (1, 1, 1)][(a, 1, 1), (b, 1, 1)], \\ (x, i, j) &= [(x, i, 1), (1, 1, 1)][(1, 1, 1), (1, 1, j)].\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\mathcal{A} = \sum[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}][\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}]$.

Case 2.2. $r = 1$ and \mathbb{D} is a field.

In this case, for any matrix $T \in [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}]$, the element at position $(1, 1)$ in T is zero, which means that $\mathcal{A} \neq \sum[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}][\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}]$. \square

In [13], Pop proved that elements in unital C^* -algebras without tracial states can be represented as finite sums of commutators and he defined the invariant $\nu(\mathcal{A})$ as the least positive integer N such that $\mathcal{A} = \sum^N[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}]$. Inspired by Pop's work, Gardella and Thiel [9] introduced the invariant $\xi(\mathbb{R})$.

Definition 2.6. For a ring \mathbb{R} , if there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathbb{R} = \sum^N[\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}][\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}]$, define

$$\xi(\mathbb{R}) := \min \left\{ N \in \mathbb{N} : \mathbb{R} = \sum^N[\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}][\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}] \right\}.$$

In [9, Theorem 5.5], Gardella and Thiel proved that for a unital ring \mathbb{R} and $n \geq 2$, $\xi(M_n(\mathbb{R})) \leq 2$. For $\mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{D}, m, n, P)$, we estimate $\xi(\mathcal{A})$ based on the rank of P .

Theorem 2.7. Let \mathbb{D} be a division ring, P be an $n \times m$ matrix over \mathbb{D} with $\text{rank } P = r$, and $\mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{D}, m, n, P)$, where $2 \leq m, n < \infty$. If $r = \min\{m, n\}$, then $\xi(\mathcal{A}) \leq 2$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $r = m \leq n$.

Case 1. $m = 2$.

For any given $a_{1,p}, 2 \leq p \leq n$ and $a_{2,1}$ in \mathbb{D} , we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{1,2} & \cdots & a_{1,n} \\ a_{2,1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{1,2} & \cdots & a_{1,n} \\ -a_{2,1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \in [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}].$$

Further, we have

$$(1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \in [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}].$$

Thus, for any $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{D}, 1 \leq i \leq 2$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & a_{1,3} & \cdots & a_{1,n} \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & a_{2,3} & \cdots & a_{2,n} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{1,2} & a_{1,3} & \cdots & a_{1,n} \\ -a_{2,1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{2,2} & a_{2,3} & \cdots & a_{2,n} \\ a_{1,1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \sum^2[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}]^2.\end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $m \geq 3$.

Let $a \in \mathcal{A}$. As the proof in [9, Theorem 5.5], we set

$$x := \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (1, j+1, j), \quad y := \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (1, j, j+1), \quad c := a + \sum_{k=2}^m x^{k-1} a y^{k-1}.$$

Thus we have $yx = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (1, j, j)$, and $xcy = c - a$. Therefore $[y, xc] = a - (1, m, m)c$, which implies that the entries of the matrix $[y, xc]$ match those of a in the first $(n-1)$ rows.

Depending on the parity of m , we construct the following:

$$d := \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^{(m-1)/2} ((1, 2j-1, 2j-1) + (-1, 2j, 2j)), & m \text{ is odd,} \\ \sum_{j=1}^{(m-2)/2} ((1, 2j-1, 2j-1) + (-1, 2j, 2j)), & m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore by (1), we have

$$d = \begin{cases} [\sum_{j=1}^{(m-1)/2} (1, 2j-1, 2j), \sum_{j=1}^{(m-1)/2} (1, 2j, 2j-1)], & m \text{ is odd,} \\ [\sum_{j=1}^{(m-2)/2} (1, 2j-1, 2j), \sum_{j=1}^{(m-2)/2} (1, 2j, 2j-1)], & m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

It follows that $da = d[y, xc] \in [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}]^2$ for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$ where da is an arbitrary matrix in \mathcal{A} whose last p_m rows are zero, and $p_m = 2 - (m \bmod 2)$. Similarly, every matrix in \mathcal{A} whose first p_m rows are zero can also be expressed as a product of two commutators. Thus for any $m \geq 3$, every matrix in \mathcal{A} belongs to $\sum^2 [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}]^2$. \square

When P is not of full rank, we have the following estimate for $\xi(\mathcal{A})$.

Theorem 2.8. *Let \mathbb{D} be a division ring, P be an $n \times m$ matrix over \mathbb{D} with $\text{rank } P = r \geq 2$, and $\mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{D}, m, n, P)$, where $2 \leq m, n < \infty$. Then $\lceil \min\{m, n\}/r \rceil \leq \xi(\mathcal{A}) \leq \lceil \min\{m, n\}/r \rceil + 3$, where $\lceil x \rceil$ denotes the smallest integer $\geq x$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $m \leq n$.

For any $A = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} (x_{i,j}, i, j)$, by Theorem 2.7, we have $A \in \sum^2 [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}]^2$.

For any $B_k = \sum_{\substack{kr+1 \leq i \leq (k+1)r \\ r+1 \leq j \leq n}} (x_{i,j}, i, j)$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$ satisfies $kr \leq m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_k &= \left(\sum_{i=kr+1}^{(k+1)r} (1, i, i-kr) \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ r+1 \leq j \leq n}} (x_{i+kr,j}, i, j) \right) \\ &= \left[\sum_{i=kr+1}^{(k+1)r} (1, i, i-kr), \sum_{i=1}^r (1, i, i) \right] \cdot \left[\sum_{i=1}^r (1, i, i), \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ r+1 \leq j \leq n}} (x_{i+kr,j}, i, j) \right] \in [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}]^2. \end{aligned}$$

For any $C = \sum_{\substack{gr+1 \leq i \leq m \\ r+1 \leq j \leq n}} (x_{i,j}, i, j)$, where $g \in \mathbb{N}_+$ satisfies $gr < m < (g+1)r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \left(\sum_{i=gr+1}^m (1, i, i-gr) \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m-gr \\ r+1 \leq j \leq n}} (x_{i+gr,j}, i, j) \right) \\ &= \left[\sum_{i=gr+1}^m (1, i, i-gr), \sum_{i=1}^r (1, i, i) \right] \cdot \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m-gr} (1, i, i), \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m-gr \\ r+1 \leq j \leq n}} (x_{i+gr,j}, i, j) \right] \in [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}]^2. \end{aligned}$$

For any $D = \sum_{\substack{r+1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq r}} (x_{i,j}, i, j)$, by Theorem 2.7, we have $D \in \sum^2 [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}]^2$.

Indeed, A, B_k, C, D are as follows:

A	
D	B_1
	B_2
	\vdots
	C

Therefore, for any $T \in \mathcal{A}$, there exist A, B_k, C, D of the above form such that

$$T = A + \sum_{k=1}^{\lceil m/r \rceil - 2} B_k + C + D \in \sum_{k=1}^{\lceil m/r \rceil + 3} [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}]^2,$$

which means that $\xi(\mathcal{A}) \leq \lceil \min\{m, n\}/r \rceil + 3$. It is evident that $\lceil \min\{m, n\}/r \rceil \leq \xi(\mathcal{A})$. \square

In [4], Brešar, Gardella and Thiel proved that for some special matrix rings, every element in these matrix rings is a product of two commutators. Based on their proof, we can derive the following theorem.

Theorem 2.9. *Let \mathbb{D} be a division ring, P be an $n \times m$ matrix over \mathbb{D} with $\text{rank } P = r \geq 2$, and $\mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{D}, m, n, P)$, where $2 \leq m, n < \infty$. If one of the following statements holds:*

- $\min\{m, n\} = 2$,
- \mathbb{D} is a division ring with infinite center,

then $\xi(\mathcal{A}) = 1$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $m \leq n$ and $P = \begin{pmatrix} I_m \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. For any $A \in \mathcal{A}$, denote $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \end{pmatrix}$. By the proof of [4, Theorem 4.4], if (2.9) or (2.9) holds, then for any A_{11} in $M_n(\mathbb{D})$, there exist $B_{11}, C_{11}, D_{11}, E_{11} \in M_n(\mathbb{D})$ such that $A_{11} = [B_{11}, C_{11}][D_{11}, E_{11}]$, and such that $[B_{11}, C_{11}]$ and D_{11} are invertible. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (A_{11} \ A_{12}) &= [(B_{11} \ 0), (C_{11} \ 0)][(D_{11} \ 0), (E_{11} \ D_{11}^{-1}[B_{11}, C_{11}]^{-1}A_{12})] \\ &\in [\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}]^2, \end{aligned}$$

which means that $\xi(\mathcal{A}) = 1$. \square

3. ZPD AND ZJPD MUNN ALGEBRA

Definition 3.1. An algebra \mathcal{A} over a field \mathbb{F} is said to be *zero product determined* if for every bilinear functional $\phi : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}$ with the property that

$$(2) \quad xy = 0 \implies \phi(x, y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathcal{A},$$

there exists a linear functional τ on \mathcal{A} such that

$$\phi(x, y) = \tau(xy) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathcal{A}.$$

We use *zpd* as an abbreviation for zero product determined.

If \mathcal{A} is a Banach algebra and ϕ and τ are continuous, then we call \mathcal{A} a *zpd Banach algebra*. For more information, see [3].

Lemma 3.2. *Let \mathbb{D} be a division ring with $\text{char } \mathbb{D} \neq 2, 3$ and $C \in M_n(\mathbb{D})$. Denote the space consists of all column vectors $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T$ with n components in \mathbb{D} by \mathbb{D}^n . If for any $a, b \in \mathbb{D}^n$, $a^T b = 0$ implies $a^T C b = 0$, then $C = \lambda I$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$.*

Proof. Denote $C = (C_{i,j})_{n \times n}$. Let $a = (1, 0, \dots, 0, y)^T, b = (1, 0, \dots, 0, -y^{-1})^T$ for any $y \neq 0 \in \mathbb{D}$. Thus we have $a^T C b = C_{1,1} - C_{1,n}y^{-1} + yC_{n,1} - yC_{n,n}y^{-1} = 0$.

Replacing y by $1, -1, 2$ respectively, we can obtain that

$$C_{1,1} = C_{n,n} \quad \text{and} \quad C_{1,n} = C_{n,1} = 0.$$

Similarly, we can obtain $C_{i,i} = C_{j,j}$ and $C_{i,j} = 0$ for any $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ which means that $C = \lambda I$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let \mathbb{F} be a field with $\text{char } \mathbb{F} \neq 2, 3$. If $2 \leq m, n < \infty$, and $\text{rank } P = r \geq 2$, then $\mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{F}, m, n, P)$ is zpd.*

Proof. Take a bilinear functional $\phi : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ satisfying (2). For any $1 \leq i, p \leq m$ and $1 \leq j, q \leq n$, we denote $\phi((1, i, j), (1, p, q)) = \lambda_{jp}^{iq}$.

If $r < j \leq n$ or $r < p \leq m$, then $(1, i, j)(1, p, q) = 0$. Thus by the assumption of ϕ , we have $\lambda_{jp}^{iq} = 0$ when $r < j \leq n$ or $r < p \leq m$.

For any given $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq q \leq n$, let

$$C = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} (c_{ij}, i, j) \quad \text{and} \quad D = \sum_{1 \leq p \leq m} (d_{pq}, p, q),$$

where c_{ij} and d_{pq} are arbitrary elements in \mathbb{F} .

Thus we have

$$CD = \left(\sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} c_{ik} d_{kq}, i, q \right)$$

and

$$\phi(C, D) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\ 1 \leq p \leq m}} \phi((c_{ij}, i, j), (d_{pq}, p, q)) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq r \\ 1 \leq p \leq r}} c_{ij} d_{pq} \lambda_{jp}^{iq}.$$

It follows from (2) that $\sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} c_{ik} d_{kq} = 0$ implies $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq r, 1 \leq p \leq r} c_{ij} d_{pq} \lambda_{jp}^{iq} = 0$.

Regard $(\lambda_{jp}^{iq})_{1 \leq j, p \leq r}$ as an $r \times r$ matrix. Thus by Lemma 3.2, we have $(\lambda_{jp}^{iq})_{1 \leq j, p \leq r} = \lambda^{iq} I$ for some $\lambda^{iq} \in \mathbb{F}$, which means that $\lambda_{jj}^{iq} = \lambda^{iq}$ and $\lambda_{jp}^{iq} = 0$ for any $1 \leq j \neq p \leq r$.

Then we can define a linear functional τ on \mathcal{A} by

$$\tau \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq q \leq n}} (a_{iq}, i, q) \right) := \sum_{\substack{r+1 \leq i \leq m \\ r+1 \leq q \leq n}} a_{iq} \lambda^{iq}.$$

Hence for any $A = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} (a_{ij}, i, j), B = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq m \\ 1 \leq q \leq n}} (b_{pq}, p, q) \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(A, B) &= \phi \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} (a_{ij}, i, j), \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq m \\ 1 \leq q \leq n}} (b_{pq}, p, q) \right) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq m \\ 1 \leq q \leq n}} \phi((a_{ij}, i, j), (b_{pq}, p, q)) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq m \\ 1 \leq q \leq n}} a_{ij} b_{pq} \lambda_{jp}^{iq} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq q \leq n}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq r \\ 1 \leq p \leq r}} a_{ik} b_{kp} \lambda^{iq} \\ &= \tau \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq q \leq n}} \left(\sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} a_{ik} b_{kp}, i, q \right) \right) = \tau(AB). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that \mathcal{A} is zero product determined. \square

Every associative algebra \mathcal{A} becomes a *Jordan algebra*, denoted \mathcal{A}^+ , if we replace the original product by the Jordan product. An associative algebra \mathcal{A} is said to be *zero Jordan product determined*, if the Jordan algebra \mathcal{A}^+ is zero product determined. We use *zJpd* as an abbreviation for zero Jordan product determined.

In [1], An, Li, and He proved the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. [1, Theorem 2.1] *Let \mathcal{A} be an (associative) unital algebra over a field \mathbb{F} of characteristic not 2. If \mathcal{A} is generated by idempotents, then \mathcal{A} is zJpd.*

Theorem 3.5. *Let \mathbb{F} be a field with $\text{char } \mathbb{F} \neq 2, 3$ and P be an $n \times m$ matrix over \mathbb{F} with $\text{rank } P = r$. If $2 \leq m, n < \infty$ and $r \geq 2$, then $\mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{F}, m, n, P)$ is zero Jordan product determined.*

Proof. Let $\phi : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ be a bilinear functional satisfying

$$(3) \quad X \circ Y = 0 \implies \phi(X, Y) = 0.$$

For any $1 \leq i, p \leq m$ and $1 \leq j, q \leq n$, denote $\phi((1, i, j), (1, p, q)) = \lambda_{jp}^{iq}$.

If $(r < j \leq n$ or $r < p \leq m)$ and $(r < q \leq n$ or $r < i \leq m)$, then $(1, i, j) \circ (1, p, q) = 0$ and

$$(4) \quad \lambda_{jp}^{iq} = 0.$$

For any $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq q \leq n$, let

$$C = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} (c_{ij}, i, j) \quad \text{and} \quad D = \sum_{1 \leq q \leq m} (d_{pq}, p, q),$$

where c_{ij} and d_{pq} are arbitrary elements in \mathbb{F} .

When $r < i \leq m$ or $r < q \leq n$, we have

$$CD = \left(\sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} c_{ik} d_{kq}, i, q \right) \quad \text{and} \quad C \circ D = \left(\sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} c_{ik} d_{kq}, i, q \right).$$

Using (4), we can obtain that

$$\phi(C, D) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq n \\ 1 \leq p \leq m}} \phi((c_{ij}, i, j), (d_{pq}, p, q)) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq r \\ 1 \leq p \leq r}} c_{ij} d_{pq} \lambda_{jp}^{iq}.$$

Since $C \circ D = 0$ implies $\phi(C, D) = 0$, it follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$(5) \quad (\lambda_{jp}^{iq})_{1 \leq j, p \leq r} = \lambda^{iq} I_r$$

for some $\lambda^{iq} \in \mathbb{F}$.

Similarly, when $r < p \leq m$ or $r < j \leq n$, we can obtain that

$$(6) \quad (\lambda_{jp}^{iq})_{1 \leq i, q \leq r} = \lambda_{jp} I_r$$

for some $\lambda_{jp} \in \mathbb{F}$.

Let \mathcal{B} be a subalgebra of \mathcal{A} consisting of all elements of the form $A = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq r} (a_{ij}, i, j)$, where $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{F}$. Then the projection $\eta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ given by

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} (a_{ij}, i, j) \mapsto \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ 1 \leq j \leq r}} (a_{ij}, i, j)$$

is an algebraic epimorphism. And the injection $\iota : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ given by $X \mapsto X$ is an algebraic monomorphism.

It is easy to see that \mathcal{B} is algebraically isomorphic to $M_r(\mathbb{F})$. It follows from Theorem 3.4 that \mathcal{B} is zJpd. Define a bilinear mapping $\phi_1 : \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ by

$$\phi_1(X, Y) := \phi(\iota(X), \iota(Y))$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}$. Since ι is a homomorphism, ϕ_1 satisfies the condition (3). Therefore, there exists a linear mapping $\tau_1 : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ such that $\phi_1(X, Y) = \tau_1(X \circ Y)$ for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}$.

Now we define a linear functional $\tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ by

$$\tau \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n}} (a_{ij}, i, j) \right) := \tau_1 \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ 1 \leq j \leq r}} (a_{ij}, i, j) \right) + \sum_{\substack{r < i \leq m \\ \text{or } r < j \leq n}} a_{ij} \lambda^{ij}.$$

For any $A = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n} (a_{ij}, i, j)$ and $B = \sum_{1 \leq p \leq m, 1 \leq q \leq n} (b_{pq}, p, q)$ in \mathcal{A} , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A \circ B &= \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ 1 \leq j \leq r}} (a_{ij}, i, j) + \sum_{\substack{r < i \leq m \\ \text{or } r < j \leq n}} (a_{ij}, i, j) \right) \circ \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq r \\ 1 \leq q \leq r}} (b_{pq}, p, q) + \sum_{\substack{r < p \leq m \\ \text{or } r < q \leq n}} (b_{pq}, p, q) \right) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ 1 \leq j \leq r}} (a_{ij}, i, j) \circ \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq r \\ 1 \leq q \leq r}} (b_{pq}, p, q) + \sum_{\substack{r < i \leq m \\ \text{or } r < j \leq n \\ \text{or } r < p \leq m \\ \text{or } r < q \leq n}} (a_{ij}, i, j) \circ (b_{pq}, p, q) \\
&= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ 1 \leq j \leq r}} (a_{ij}, i, j) \circ \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq r \\ 1 \leq q \leq r}} (b_{pq}, p, q) + \sum_{\substack{r < i \leq m \\ \text{or } r < q \leq n}} \left(\sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} a_{ik} b_{kq}, i, q \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{r < p \leq m \\ \text{or } r < j \leq n}} \left(\sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} b_{pk} a_{kj}, p, j \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(A \circ B) &= \tau_1 \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ 1 \leq j \leq r}} (a_{ij}, i, j) \circ \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq r \\ 1 \leq q \leq r}} (b_{pq}, p, q) \right) + \sum_{\substack{r < i \leq m \\ \text{or } r < q \leq n}} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} a_{ik} b_{kq} \lambda^{iq} \\
(7) \quad &\quad + \sum_{\substack{r < p \leq m \\ \text{or } r < j \leq n}} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} b_{pk} a_{kj} \lambda^{pj},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(A, B) &= \phi \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ 1 \leq j \leq r}} (a_{ij}, i, j) + \sum_{\substack{r < i \leq m \\ \text{or } r < j \leq n}} (a_{ij}, i, j), \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq r \\ 1 \leq q \leq r}} (b_{pq}, p, q) + \sum_{\substack{r < p \leq m \\ \text{or } r < q \leq n}} (b_{pq}, p, q) \right) \\
(8) \quad &= \phi_1 \left(\eta \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ 1 \leq j \leq r}} (a_{ij}, i, j) \right), \eta \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq r \\ 1 \leq q \leq r}} (b_{pq}, p, q) \right) \right) + \sum_{\substack{r < i \leq m \\ \text{or } r < j \leq n \\ \text{or } r < p \leq m \\ \text{or } r < q \leq n}} \phi \left((a_{ij}, i, j), (b_{pq}, p, q) \right) \\
&= \tau_1 \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ 1 \leq j \leq r}} (a_{ij}, i, j) \circ \sum_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq r \\ 1 \leq q \leq r}} (b_{pq}, p, q) \right) + \sum_{\substack{r < i \leq m \\ \text{or } r < j \leq n \\ \text{or } r < p \leq m \\ \text{or } r < q \leq n}} a_{ij} b_{pq} \lambda_{jp}^{iq}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (4) and (5) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{r < i \leq m \\ \text{or } r < j \leq n \\ \text{or } r < p \leq m \\ \text{or } r < q \leq n}} a_{ij} b_{pq} \lambda_{jp}^{iq} &= \sum_{\substack{r < j \leq n \\ \text{or } r < p \leq m}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ 1 \leq q \leq r}} a_{ij} b_{pq} \lambda_{jp}^{iq} + \sum_{\substack{r < q \leq n \\ \text{or } r < i \leq m}} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j \leq r \\ 1 \leq p \leq r}} a_{ij} b_{pq} \lambda_{jp}^{iq} \\
(9) \quad &= \sum_{\substack{r < j \leq n \\ \text{or } r < p \leq m}} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} a_{kj} b_{pk} \lambda_{jp} + \sum_{\substack{r < q \leq n \\ \text{or } r < i \leq m}} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} a_{ik} b_{kq} \lambda^{iq}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combing (7), (8) and (9), it is sufficient for us to prove that $\lambda^{ij} = \lambda_{ji}$ when $r < i \leq m$ or $r < j \leq n$.

For any $1 \leq i \neq k \leq r$ and $r < j \leq n$, let

$$\begin{aligned}
E &= (1, k, i) + (1, i, k) + (1, k, j), & F &= (-1, k, i) + (1, i, k) + (-1, k, j), \\
E' &= (1, k, i) + (1, i, k), & F' &= (-1, k, i) + (1, i, k).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $E \circ F = 0$ and $E' \circ F' = 0$. Then by (3), we have $\phi(E, F) = \phi(E', F') = 0$. It follows that

$$(10) \quad -\lambda_{jk}^{ki} + \lambda_{ji}^{kk} - \lambda_{jk}^{kj} - \lambda_{ik}^{kj} - \lambda_{kk}^{ij} = 0.$$

By (5), (6) and (10), we can obtain $\lambda^{ij} = \lambda_{ji}$ when $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $r < j \leq n$.

Similarly, we have $\lambda^{ij} = \lambda_{ji}$ when $1 \leq j \leq r$ and $r < i \leq n$.

For any $r < i \leq m$, $r < j \leq n$ and $1 \leq k \leq r$, let

$$G = (1, i, k) + (-1, k, j) \quad \text{and} \quad H = (1, i, k) + (1, k, j).$$

Thus $G \circ H = 0$ and $\phi(G, H) = 0$. It follows that

$$(11) \quad \lambda_{ki}^{ik} - \lambda_{ji}^{kk} + \lambda_{kk}^{ij} - \lambda_{jk}^{kj} = 0.$$

By (5), (6) and (11), we can obtain $\lambda^{ij} = \lambda_{ji}$ when $r < i \leq m$ and $r < j \leq n$. In conclusion, $\lambda^{ij} = \lambda_{ji}$ when $r < i \leq m$ or $r < j \leq n$. Thus we have $\phi(A, B) = \tau(A \circ B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$, which means that \mathcal{A} is zJpd. \square

Remark 1. Let R be a unital Banach algebra, I and Λ be arbitrary index sets, and the sandwich matrix P be a non zero $\Lambda \times I$ matrix over R such that $\|P\|_\infty = \sup \{\|p_{mi}\| : m \in \Lambda, i \in I\} \leq 1$. The vector space $\mathfrak{M}(R, P, I, \Lambda)$ of all $I \times \Lambda$ matrices over R with ℓ_1 -norm $\|(a_{im})\| = \sum_{i \in I, m \in \Lambda} \|a_{im}\| < \infty$ and product $A \bullet B = APB$ is a Banach algebra that called the ℓ_1 -Munn Banach algebra, see [8, 7].

Using [10, Theorem 4.1] and [3, Theorem 5.14], it is easy to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6. *Let \mathbb{F} be a field of real or complex numbers, I and Λ be arbitrary index sets, P be a non zero $\Lambda \times I$ matrix over \mathbb{F} such that $\|P\|_\infty = \sup \{\|p_{mi}\| : m \in \Lambda, i \in I\} \leq 1$. If I or Λ is finite and P is invertible over the group of all invertible elements of R , then $\mathfrak{M}(R, P, I, \Lambda)$ is a zpd Banach algebra.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was partly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11871021).

REFERENCES

- [1] G. An, J. Li, and J. He, Zero Jordan product determined algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **475** (2015) 90–93.
- [2] W. E. Baxter, Concerning the commutator subgroup of a ring, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16** (1965) 803–805.
- [3] M. Brešar, *Zero product determined algebras* (Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2021).
- [4] M. Brešar, E. Gardella, and H. Thiel, Products of commutators in matrix rings, *preprint (arXiv:2404.18116)*.
- [5] M. Brešar and M. Godoy, Weighted Jordan homomorphisms, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **71** (8) (2023) 1265–1279.
- [6] M. Brešar, M. Grašič, and J. S. Ortega, Zero product determined matrix algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **430** (5-6) (2009) 1486–1498.
- [7] H. G. Dales, A. T.-M. Lau, and D. Strauss, Banach algebras on semigroups and on their compactifications, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **205** (966) (2010), vi+165.
- [8] G. H. Esslamzadeh, Banach algebra structure and amenability of a class of matrix algebras with applications, *J. Funct. Anal.* **161** (2) (1999) 364–383.
- [9] E. Gardella and H. Thiel, Rings and C^* -algebras generated by commutators, *preprint (arXiv:2301.05958)*.
- [10] F. Habibian, N. Mirzasani, and R. Nasr-Isfahani, Homological properties of ℓ^1 -Munn Banach algebras, *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat.* **115** (2) (2021) 7–12.
- [11] T. W. Hungerford, *Algebra* (Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1980).
- [12] W. D. Munn, On semigroup algebras, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **51** (1955) 1–15.
- [13] C. Pop, Finite sums of commutators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **130** (10) (2002) 3039–3041.
- [14] D. Rees, On semi-groups. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **36** (1940) 387–400.

BO YU, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, EAST CHINA UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,
SHANGHAI 200237, P. R. CHINA,
Email address: modeace@163.com

KAIJIA LUO, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, EAST CHINA UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOL-
OGY, SHANGHAI 200237, P. R. CHINA,
Email address: kaijia_luo@163.com

JIANKUI LI, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, EAST CHINA UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOL-
OGY, SHANGHAI 200237, P. R. CHINA,
Email address: jkli@ecust.edu.cn