# M<sup>2</sup>IST: Multi-Modal Interactive Side-Tuning for Memory-efficient Referring Expression Comprehension

Xuyang Liu<sup>1\*</sup> Ting Liu<sup>2\*</sup> Siteng Huang<sup>3</sup>

Yue Hu<sup>2</sup> Quanjun Yin<sup>2</sup> Donglin Wang<sup>3</sup> Honggang Chen<sup>1†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Sichuan University <sup>2</sup>National University of Defense Technology <sup>3</sup>Westlake University

liuxuyang@stu.scu.edu.cn {liuting20,huyue11}@nudt.edu.cn yin\_quanjun@163.com

{huangsiteng,wangdonglin}@westlake.edu.cn honggang\_chen@scu.edu.cn

#### Abstract

Referring expression comprehension (REC) is a vision-language task to locate a target object in an image based on a language expression. Fully fine-tuning general-purpose pre-trained models for REC yields impressive performance but becomes increasingly costly. Parameter-efficient transfer learning (PETL) methods have shown strong performance with fewer tunable parameters. However, applying PETL to REC faces two challenges: (1) insufficient interaction between pre-trained vision and language encoders, and (2) high GPU memory usage due to gradients passing through both heavy encoders. To address these issues, we present M<sup>2</sup>IST: Multi-Modal Interactive Side-Tuning with M<sup>3</sup>ISAs: Mixture of Multi-Modal Interactive Side-Adapters. During fine-tuning, we keep the pre-trained vision and language encoders fixed and update M3ISAs on side networks to establish connections between them, thereby achieving parameter- and memoryefficient tuning for REC. Empirical results on three benchmarks show M<sup>2</sup>IST achieves the best performance-parameter-memory trade-off compared to full fine-tuning and other PETL methods, with only 3.14M tunable parameters (2.11% of full fine-tuning) and 15.44GB GPU memory usage (39.61% of full fine-tuning). Source code will soon be publicly available.

### 1 Introduction

Referring expression comprehension (REC) is one of the most challenging vision-language tasks, aiming to locate a specific object in an image based on a given referring expression (Yu et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2019; Deng et al., 2021; Zhu et al., 2022; Wu et al., 2023). Recent studies (Deng et al., 2021; Sun et al., 2022; Huang and Satoh, 2023; Kim et al., 2024) have shown impressive performance by finetuning general-purpose pre-trained models for the

\*Equal contribution. <sup>†</sup>Corresponding author.

task. However, fully fine-tuning these pre-trained models is computationally expensive when adapting to a new REC dataset (see Figure 1 (a)). Additionally, fine-tuning on limited REC data can lead to catastrophic forgetting and overfitting.

Recently, parameter-efficient transfer learning (PETL) methods (Houlsby et al., 2019; Hu et al., 2022; Jia et al., 2022) have been proposed to address similar issues by updating only a small set of parameters to efficiently adapt pre-trained models to downstream tasks. Adapter-tuning (Houlsby et al., 2019), a typical PETL method, has achieved great success across diverse downstream tasks (Yuan et al., 2023; Cao et al., 2024). It typically inserts a tunable lightweight bottleneck-shaped module sequentially into each frozen backbone layer. Most transformer-based REC models (Deng et al., 2021; Sun et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2023) use pretrained Vision Encoder and Language Encoder to separately extract image and text features, which are then integrated to form multi-modality features for reasoning. A straightforward approach to apply adapter-tuning for REC is to insert the adapters into the transformer encoder layers to enhance finetuning efficiency (see Figure 1 (b)). However, this introduces two significant challenges: (1) Updating inserted adapters still requires backpropagation through the large pre-trained encoders, placing a heavy burden on GPU memory (see Figure 1 (b)). (2) The Vision and Language Encoders, pre-trained separately with different structures and data, lack cross-modality interaction in their shallow layers when vanilla adapters are inserted, leading to suboptimal vision-language alignment. This issue is especially problematic for predicting referred objects with complex semantics, such as human actions and spatial relations.

To address these challenges, we propose a novel <u>Multi-Modal</u> Interactive <u>Side-Tuning</u> ( $M^2IST$ ) method that effectively strengthens vision-language alignment and enables parameter-



Figure 1: Comparison of (a) fully fine-tuning, (b) Adapter-tuning, and (c) our M<sup>2</sup>IST for REC. By updating 3.19M encoder parameters (**2.11%** of (a)) and requiring 15.44GB of GPU memory (**39.61%** of (a)), M<sup>2</sup>IST achieves comparable or even superior performance compared to fully fine-tuning (e.g., RefCOCO val (Yu et al., 2016)).

and memory-efficient transfer to REC within the unified interactive side networks (see Figure 1 (c)). Specifically, we introduce Mixture of Multi-Modal Interactive Side-Adapters (M<sup>3</sup>ISAs), which incorporate Vision Expert Adapters (VEA), Language Expert Adapters (LEA), and Interaction Expert Adapters (IEA) into the side networks in parallel with the heavy encoders. VEA and LEA transfer pre-trained single-modality knowledge to the REC domain. IEA utilizes a linear layer for weightsharing between image and text features, enabling progressive interaction between the referring sentence and input image. This interaction aggregates multi-grained information from different modalities at shallow layers of the model, facilitating deep multi-modal fusion in deeper layers for improved reasoning. This elegant design achieves parameterand memory-efficient intra- and inter-modality representation transfer for REC.

We conduct extensive experiments on Ref-COCO (Yu et al., 2016), RefCOCO+ (Yu et al., 2016), and RefCOCOg (Mao et al., 2016; Nagaraja et al., 2016) to demonstrate the effectiveness and efficiency of M<sup>2</sup>IST for REC. Experimental results show that M<sup>2</sup>IST achieves the optimal performance-parameter-memory trade-off compared to most full fine-tuning methods and other PETL methods. Following our M<sup>2</sup>IST method, a standard transformer-based REC model can reduce 97.89% tunable encoder parameters and only require 39.61% of the GPU memory needed for full fine-tuning, while achieving competitive performance (see Figure 1). With the sufficient vision-language interaction strengthened by our

M<sup>3</sup>ISAs, our method can accurately locate the referred objects for various complex cases, such as human actions and spatial relations (see Figure 4).

### 2 Related Work

#### 2.1 Referring Expression Comprehension

Referring expression comprehension (REC) (Yu et al., 2018; Deng et al., 2021; Zhu et al., 2022; Han et al., 2024b) aims to locate specific objects in images based on textual descriptions. Early methods (Yu et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2019) follow a *two-stage* pipeline that first uses a pre-trained object detector (Ren et al., 2015) to generate a set of sparse object proposals, which are then ranked by their similarity to the textual description. However, these two-stage methods heavily rely on the quality of the object proposals and cannot directly predict the referred object region. Recently, one-stage anchor-based methods (Yang et al., 2019; Liao et al., 2020a; Yang et al., 2020; Ye et al., 2021) have been introduced to eliminate the proposal generation step, directly predicting the object bounding box from the pre-defined dense anchors. More recently, transformer-based methods (Deng et al., 2021; Du et al., 2022; Zhu et al., 2022; Sun et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2023) have shown superior performance by implicitly modeling cross-modality relationships in a unified architecture. As REC models continue to scale up, their performance has improved. However, this performance gain comes at the cost of increased computational cost, demanding larger GPU memory for parameter fitting (see Figure 1 (a)).

#### 2.2 Parameter-efficient Transfer Learning

Parameter-efficient transfer learning (PETL) (Houlsby et al., 2019; Hu et al., 2022; Jia et al., 2022; Chen et al., 2022; Han et al., 2024a) has emerged as a promising alternative to fully fine-tuning pre-trained models for downstream tasks. By updating only a minimal subset of parameters, PETL methods balance performance and computational efficiency. Recent PETL methods can be classified into two types: (1) Updating additional parameters in modules inserted into the model (i.e., Adapters) (Houlsby et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2024b) or appended to the input data (Jia et al., 2022; Huang et al., 2023; Xin et al., 2024); (2) Decomposing weight matrices into two low-rank matrices and updating only the small factorization matrices (e.g., LoRA) (Hu et al., 2022). There is increasing interest in adapter-based PETL methods for vision-language tasks (Jiang et al., 2022; Xu et al., 2023; Yuan et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2024; Liu et al., 2024a; Cao et al., 2024), which aim to achieve effective cross-modality interaction while maintaining parameter efficiency. However, existing PETL methods still face substantial GPU memory consumption during the fine-tuning stage, as gradients must propagate through the heavy pre-trained encoders for REC (see Figure 1 (b)).

#### 2.3 Memory-efficient Transfer Learning

Memory-efficient transfer learning (METL) (Sung et al., 2022; Fu et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2024) aims to reduce memory costs on GPUs during finetuning. Existing METL methods typically employ a *side network* for single-modality knowledge transfer, focusing on either NLP (Sung et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2024) or CV (Fu et al., 2024; Tang et al., 2024) downstream tasks. However, these METL methods lack sufficient cross-modality interaction between vision and language representations, which is crucial for REC. In this work, our M<sup>2</sup>IST bridges the pre-trained vision and language encoders in unified *interactive side networks*, facilitating parameter- and memory-efficient transfer to the REC task (see Figure 1 (c)).

### 3 Methodology

#### 3.1 Base Architecture

We apply a standard *transformer-based* REC model as our base architecture, shown in Figure 1 (a), which comprises: (1) a Vision Encoder, (2) a Language Encoder, and (3) a Vision-language Encoder. Our training objective follows most *transformerbased* REC methods and is detailed in Appendix C.

Vision Encoder. We adopt a DETR-based (Carion et al., 2020) encoder as our Vision Encoder, which comprises a ResNet (He et al., 2016) and a stack of transformer encoder layers to encode the image into high-quality vision embeddings. Specifically, given an input image  $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{H_0 \times W_0 \times \overline{3}}$ , the ResNet is utilized to generate a 2D feature map  $\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times C}$ , where  $H_0$  and  $W_0$  denote the height and width of the input image,  $H = \frac{H_0}{32}$ ,  $W = \frac{W_0}{32}$ , and C = 2048 represents the channel dimension. Then, a  $1 \times 1$  convolutional layer reduces the C to  $C_v = 256$ , producing  $\mathbf{z}' \in \mathbb{R}^{H \times W \times C_v}$ . We flatten the feature map z' into a sequence of 1D vectors (i.e., vision tokens)  $z_v \in \mathbb{R}^{N_v \times C_v}$ , where  $N_v = H \times W$  indicates the number of tokens. Sequentially, these vision tokens added with positional encodings are fed into a stack of 6 transformer encoder layers, which then output the enhanced vision embeddings  $f_v \in \mathbb{R}^{N_v \times C_v}$  incorporating global context of the image.

Language Encoder. We employ an off-the-shelf language model BERT (Devlin et al., 2018), comprising a stack of transformer encoder layers, as our Language Encoder. Specifically, given the input text, each word ID is converted into a one-hot vector, which is then tokenized into a sequence of language tokens. These language tokens, concatenated with a [CLS] token at the beginning and a [SEP] token at the end, are input to 12 transformer encoder layers to sequentially model contextual relationships. Similar to the Vision Encoder, Language Encoder finally outputs the enhanced language embeddings  $f_l \in \mathbb{R}^{N_l \times C_l}$ , where  $N_l$  and  $C_l = 768$  represent the number and channel dimension of language tokens, respectively.

Vision-language Encoder. We use a transformerbased encoder (Vaswani et al., 2017) as our Visionlanguage Encoder (V-L Encoder) to thoroughly fuse the multi-modality embeddings and predict the bounding box of the referred object. Specifically, the enhanced vision embeddings  $f_v \in \mathbb{R}^{N_v \times C_v}$ and language embeddings  $f_l \in \mathbb{R}^{N_l \times C_l}$  are first projected into the joint embeddings  $f'_v \in \mathbb{R}^{N_v \times C_p}$ and  $f'_l \in \mathbb{R}^{N_l \times C_p}$ , sharing the same channel dimension  $C_p = 256$ . The joint embeddings, along with a learnable [REG] token, are then fed into a stack of 6 transformer encoder layers to fuse the cross-modality embeddings and output the [REG]



Figure 2: **Overall architecture of M<sup>2</sup>IST.** M<sup>2</sup>IST freezes the pre-trained Vision Encoder (**blue branch**) and Language Encoder (**green branch**), while updating M<sup>3</sup>ISAs on *side networks* (**pink branch**). M<sup>3</sup>ISAs comprise IEA for bridging the pre-trained dual encoders to enable cross-modality interactions, and VEA/LEA for transferring pre-trained single-modality representations to adapt to the REC domain. By avoiding backpropagation through the heavy encoders (**red dashed arrow**), M<sup>2</sup>IST enables **parameter- and memory-efficient** tuning for REC.

token. Finally, a prediction head, implemented as a Multi-layer Perceptron with two 256-dim hidden layers and a linear output layer, receives the [REG] token and regresses it to the 4-dim box coordinates for the referred object.

### 3.2 Multi-Modal Interactive Side-Tuning

Given that the pre-trained vision and language encoders contain rich knowledge and comprise about 95% of the model's parameters. We first explore two approaches to reduce training overhead:

- Fully freezing the pre-trained encoders. We choose to directly keep the pre-trained parameters fixed and only fine-tune the V-L Encoder. While it effectively saves a significant amount of GPU memory, it also results in significantly inferior performance (see Table 3 (a)).
- Updating a few additional parameters. We explore various mainstream PETL methods. Though most of them achieve relatively satisfactory performance as well as save tunable parameters, updating the additional parameters still necessitates substantial GPU memory rather than effectively mitigating the computational load (see Table 2).

Besides, it is obvious that only the V-L encoder is responsible for cross-modality fusion. However, such fusion does not exist in the shallow layers of the base model, which is insufficient when the given referring sentence contains complex semantic information, such as spatial relations (Huang and Satoh, 2023). To address the above issues, we propose Multi-Modal Interactive Side-Tuning (M<sup>2</sup>IST) that keeps the pre-trained encoders frozen and updates the proposed Mixture of Multi-Modal Interactive Side Adapters (M<sup>3</sup>ISA) on *side networks* to facilitate parameter- and memory-efficient fine-tuning for REC, as shown in Figure 2. Note that we do not show the LayerNorm for simplicity.

 $M^3$ ISA architecture. The core component of  $M^{2}IST$  is  $M^{3}ISA$  (see Figure 2 (right)), which consists of two distinct adapters (intra- and intermodality adapters) to effectively and efficiently bridge the Vision Encoder and Language Encoder. The intra-modality adapters follow the basic design of Adapter (Houlsby et al., 2019) in NLP, and include Vision Expert Adapter (VEA) and Language Expert Adapter (LEA), shown as separate blue branch and green branch in Figure 2 (right). Both of them consist of a down-projection layer  $W_{down}$ , ReLU non-linear activation, and an up-projection layer  $W_{up}$  in sequence. They are responsible for transferring the pre-trained single-modality representations to more fine-grained ones for the REC domain. Specifically, taking the VEA as an example, given the vision tokens  $x_v \in \mathbb{R}^{N_v \times C_v}$ , the function of VEA can be formally expressed as:

$$VEA(x_v) = x_v + s \cdot ReLU(x_v W_{down}) W_{up}, (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{W}_{\text{down}} \in \mathbb{R}^{C_v \times C_d}$ ,  $\mathbf{W}_{\text{up}} \in \mathbb{R}^{C_d \times C_v}$  and *s* is the scaling factor of the adapter.

The inter-modality adapters, *Interaction Expert* Adapters (IEA) are designed to enhance crossmodality interactions by progressively bridging the pre-trained dual encoders, inspired by existing efforts (Zhou and Long, 2023a; Xu et al., 2023). As depicted by the entire **pink section** in Figure 2 (right), IEA include a unique down-projection layer for vision  $\mathbf{W}_{\text{down}} \in \mathbb{R}^{C_v \times C_d}$  and language  $\mathbf{W}_{\text{down}}$  $\in \mathbb{R}^{C_l \times C_d}$ , ReLU activation, an interactive upprojection layer  $\mathbf{W}_{up} \in \mathbb{R}^{C_d \times C_i}$ , and a unique upprojection layer for vision  $\mathbf{W}_{up} \in \mathbb{R}^{C_d \times (C_v - C_i)}$ and language  $\mathbf{W}_{up} \in \mathbb{R}^{C_d \times (\tilde{C}_l - C_i)}$ , where  $C_v$ ,  $C_l$ , and  $C_i$  represent the vision, language, and interaction channels, respectively. Given the vision tokens  $\boldsymbol{x}_v \in \mathbb{R}^{N_v imes C_v}$  and language tokens  $\boldsymbol{x}_l \in \mathbb{R}^{N_l \times C_l}$ , the corresponding down-projection layers first down-sample them to the bottleneck features  $\boldsymbol{z}_v \in \mathbb{R}^{N_v \times C_d}$  and  $\boldsymbol{z}_l \in \mathbb{R}^{N_l \times C_d}$ . Then, the corresponding up-projection layers and interactive up-projection layer up-sample these bottleneck features and concatenate them within the same modality to obtain the cross-modality features  $f_v \in \mathbb{R}^{N_v \times C_v}$  and  $f_l \in \mathbb{R}^{N_l \times C_l}$  as:

$$f_v = \text{Concat}[z_v \mathbf{W}_{up}, z_v \mathbf{W}_{up}], \qquad (2)$$

$$f_l = \text{Concat}[z_l \mathbf{W}_{up}, z_l \mathbf{W}_{up}]. \tag{3}$$

The outputs of the IEA can be written as:

$$\text{IEA}(x_v) = x_v + s \cdot f_v, \tag{4}$$

$$IEA(x_l) = x_l + s \cdot f_l, \tag{5}$$

where  $x_v$  and  $x_l$  indicate input as vision tokens and language tokens, respectively.

As depicted in Figure 2 (left), we incorporate a stack of M<sup>3</sup>ISAs into two side networks that operate in parallel with the pre-trained dual encoders. Specifically, in one encoder layer (both for vision and language), the IEA first receives processed vision/language tokens from the Multihead Attention (MHA) layers as input and produces adapted, interacted tokens for the vision/language side network. Subsequently, the VEA/LEA take the processed vision/language tokens from the Feed Forward Networks (FFN) as input and generate adapted single-modality tokens for the corresponding side networks. The outputs of the IEA and VEA/LEA are added within the vision/language side networks, along with the original vision/language tokens through skip-connections. After passing through the side networks, the outputs of the

vision/language side networks are added to the outputs of the vision/language encoders. During finetuning, we keep the pre-trained vision and language encoders fixed and update the M<sup>3</sup>ISAs in the *side networks*, allowing the pre-trained encoders to act as *standalone feature extractors*. Pseudocode is presented in Appendix G.

### **3.3** Discussion: Advantages of M<sup>2</sup>IST

The proposed M<sup>2</sup>IST offers several advantages over fully fine-tuning and other PETL methods, summarized as follows:

**Parameter Efficiency.** Fully fine-tuning pretrained encoders is computationally expensive due to their large size and complexity (Liu et al., 2024c). Furthermore, it often leads to forgetting valuable pre-trained knowledge and increases the risk of overfitting, as the encoders are fine-tuned on limited data. M<sup>2</sup>IST mitigates these issues by freezing the pre-trained encoders and updating only the lightweight M<sup>3</sup>ISAs, achieving effective intra- and inter-modality representation adaptation and enhanced performance (see Table 3 (f)).

Memory Efficiency. Both full fine-tuning and other PETL methods require backpropagation through large pre-trained encoders, leading to high GPU memory usage. M<sup>2</sup>IST reduces this by separating tunable parameters from the pretrained encoders and placing them in parallel side interactive networks. These networks facilitate single-modality knowledge transfer and enable progressive cross-modality interaction, enhancing deep vision-language alignment by the V-L Encoder. Since gradients backpropagate through the lightweight M<sup>3</sup>ISAs instead of the heavy encoders, GPU memory requirements are significantly reduced. Additionally, M<sup>2</sup>IST maintains the baseline model's architecture, simplifying its implementation compared to other PETL methods.

#### **4** Experiments

#### 4.1 Experimental Setup

**Datasets and Evaluation Metrics.** We conduct experiments on the widely-used REC benchmarks: RefCOCO (Yu et al., 2016), RefCOCO+ (Yu et al., 2016), and RefCOCOg (Mao et al., 2016; Nagaraja et al., 2016). More dataset details are provided in Appendix A. We use Precision@0.5 as the evaluation metric. In addition to accuracy, we also report the number of tunable parameters in the pre-trained encoders and the training memory consumption

Mathada	Vision	Language	Params.↓	R	efCOC	0	RefCOCO+		C+C	RefCOCOg		
Methods	Encoder	Encoder	(M)	val	testA	testB	val	testA	testB	val-g	val-u	test-u
Two-stage:												
VC (Zhang et al., 2018)	VGG16	LSTM	<u>17</u>	-	73.33	67.44	-	58.40	53.18	62.30	-	-
ParalAttn (Zhuang et al., 2018)	VGG16	LSTM	<u>17</u>	-	75.31	65.52	-	61.34	50.86	58.03	-	-
MAttNet (Yu et al., 2018)	RN101	LSTM	47	76.65	81.14	69.99	<u>65.33</u>	71.62	56.00	-	66.58	67.27
RvG-Tree (Hong et al., 2019)	RN101	LSTM	47	75.06	78.61	69.85	63.51	67.45	56.66	-	66.95	66.51
One-stage:												
FAOA (Yang et al., 2019)	DN53	LSTM	43	72.54	74.35	68.50	56.81	60.23	49.60	56.12	61.33	60.26
RCCF (Liao et al., 2020b)	DLA34	LSTM	18	-	81.06	71.85	-	<u>70.35</u>	<u>56.32</u>	-	-	65.73
ReSC (Yang et al., 2020)	DN53	BERT	152	76.59	78.22	73.25	63.23	66.64	55.53	63.12	67.30	67.20
RealGIN (Zhou et al., 2021)	DN53	GRU	41	77.25	78.70	72.10	62.78	67.17	54.21	-	62.75	62.33
TransVG (Deng et al., 2021)	RN50*	BERT	151	80.49	83.28	<u>75.24</u>	63.50	68.15	55.63	<u>66.56</u>	67.66	67.44
VGTR (Du et al., 2022)	$RN50^{\dagger}$	LSTM	52	78.70	82.09	73.31	63.57	69.65	55.33	62.88	65.62	65.30
PFOS (Sun et al., 2022)	DN53	BERT	152	77.37	80.43	72.87	63.74	68.54	55.84	61.46	67.08	66.35
SeqTR (Zhu et al., 2022)	DN53	GRU	41	78.22	81.47	73.80	66.01	70.23	55.68	-	68.26	-
DMRNet (Zhang et al., 2023)	DN53	BERT	152	76.99	79.71	72.67	61.58	66.60	54.00	-	66.03	66.70
M <sup>2</sup> IST (Ours)	RN50*	BERT	3.19	81.35	82.29	77.98	63.15	67.11	55.52	67.50	<u>67.67</u>	<u>67.41</u>

Table 1: **Comparison with full fine-tuning on RefCOCO, RefCOCO+, and RefCOCOg.** "RN50", "RN101", and "DN53" represent ResNet-50, ResNet-101, and DarkNet-53 respectively. \* and <sup>†</sup> denote RN50 followed by 6 and 2 transformer encoder layers respectively. "Param." shows the number of tunable encoder parameters.

Methods	$\begin{array}{ } Params.\downarrow\\ (M) \end{array}$	Mem.↓ (GB)	R val	lefCOC testA	O testB	R R R	efCOCO testA	)+ testB	Ro val-g	efCOCO val-u	)g test-u
Fully fine-tuning	151	38.95	80.49	83.28	75.24	63.50	68.15	55.63	66.56	67.66	67.44
Adapter (Houlsby et al., 2019) LoRA (Hu et al., 2022) AdaptFormer (Chen et al., 2022) CM Adapter (Jiang et al., 2022) MRS-Adapter (Yuan et al., 2023)	3.27 2.37 2.38 3.27 <b>1.58</b>	28.52 20.37 20.37 27.19 20.07	78.02 77.57 76.32 77.37 77.14	79.89 78.22 77.16 78.81 77.80	75.23 73.37 73.94 74.07 74.80	61.35 61.24 60.96 61.34 61.13	66.34 66.53 65.19 66.10 66.38	54.21 53.95 53.88 53.31 53.13	63.18 64.27 61.81 63.93 63.07	65.26 67.36 65.44 65.75 66.46	66.65 66.43 64.37 64.72 65.16
M <sup>2</sup> IST (Ours)	3.19	15.44	81.35	82.29	77.98	63.15	67.11	55.52	67.50	67.67	67.41

Table 2: Comparison with PETL methods using the same base architecture on RefCOCO, RefCOCO+ and RefCOCOg. "Param." indicates the number of tunable parameters in the pre-trained encoders. "Mem." denotes the peak GPU memory footprint with batch size 64 during fine-tuning.

in Gigabytes (GB) to compare the fine-tuning efficiency with other PETL methods.

**Implementation Details.** The Vision Encoder is initialized with ResNet-50 (He et al., 2016) and the DETR encoder (Carion et al., 2020), while the Language Encoder is initialized with BERT-base (Devlin et al., 2018). The bottleneck dimension  $C_d$ for VEA/LEA is 128, and the interaction dimension  $C_i$  for IEA is 256. For fair comparisons, all PETL methods use the same base architecture, keeping the Vision and Language Encoders fixed while updating only the V-L Encoder during fine-tuning. More details are provided in Appendix B.

#### 4.2 Main Results

Table 1 demonstrates that M<sup>2</sup>IST achieves competitive performance across three benchmarks compared to full fine-tuning methods. Specifically, on the three sets of RefCOCOg (Mao et al., 2016; Nagaraja et al., 2016), M<sup>2</sup>IST outperforms the majority of other baseline methods. *Two-stage* REC methods achieve outstanding performance in RefCOCO+ (Yu et al., 2016) because the referring sentences in the RefCOCO+ dataset only describe the appearance and attributes of objects. *Two-stage* REC methods can more explicitly locate referred objects by directly computing the similarity scores between region proposals and sentences. Even so, Table 1 illustrates that M<sup>2</sup>IST achieves an optimal performance-parameter trade-off compared to full fine-tuning methods, underscoring its advantage in **parameter efficiency**, as discussed in Section 3.3.

Table 2 illustrates that M<sup>2</sup>IST outperforms other PETL methods on all three benchmarks. This highlights the effectiveness of M<sup>3</sup>ISAs in adapting pretrained knowledge for the REC domain. Furthermore, through the facilitation of cross-modality interaction between the encoders, M<sup>3</sup>ISAs enhance the modeling of complex spatial relationships, leading to improved performance on RefCOCOg (Mao et al., 2016; Nagaraja et al., 2016). Regarding finetuning efficiency, M<sup>2</sup>IST requires the least training

#	LEA	VEA	IEA	Params.↓ (M)	Mem.↓ (GB)	R   val	efCOC testA	O testB
(a)				0	14.32	72.72	73.33	71.27
(b) (c) (d) (e)	✓ ✓	√ √	<b>√</b>	0.59 1.02 1.61 1.58	14.90 14.52 15.09 14.84	77.08 78.30 79.39 78.85	77.82 78.95 79.18 79.01	73.38 73.58 74.41 73.87
(f)	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	3.19	15.44	81.35	82.29	77.98

Table 3: Ablation on different components in  $M^3$ ISA. Without adding any component of  $M^3$ ISA, it can be viewed as freezing the pre-trained encoder parameters and only training the V-L Encoder.

memory among PETL methods. This results from the fact that gradients backpropagate through the lightweight  $M^3$ ISAs rather than the heavy encoders, highlighting  $M^2$ IST's advantage in **memory efficiency**, as mentioned in Section 3.3.

In summary, M<sup>2</sup>IST is Pareto-optimal in terms of accuracy, parameter efficiency, and memory efficiency. By tuning only 3.19M encoder parameters (**2.11%** of fully fine-tuning) and requiring 15.44GB of GPU memory (**39.61%** of fully finetuning), M<sup>2</sup>IST makes fine-tuning a strong REC model on a single NVIDIA 3060 GPU (16GB).

### 4.3 Ablation Study and Analysis

Effects of Different Components of M<sup>3</sup>ISA. Table 3 presents the performance of using different components of  $M^3$ ISA. We can see that: (1) Freezing the encoders and only training the V-L Encoder leads to much greater performance degradation (Table 3 (a)), indicating a significant domain gap between the pre-trained domains of the two encoders and the REC domain. (2) Fine-tuning single-modality adapters (LEA/VEA) significantly enhances performance compared to using frozen encoders (Table 3 (b,c)). Specifically, VEA provides greater performance improvement compared to LEA, suggesting that adapting visual representation plays a more crucial role in object perception and localization than language representation. (3) Combining LEA and VEA yields similar performance to using IEA alone (Table 3 (d,e)). This indicates that using either can bring around 6% accuracy improvement compared to freezing the encoders. (4) Incorporating LEA, VEA, and IEA into M<sup>3</sup>ISA results in an average improvement of 8.10% across the three sets of RefCOCO, achieving the best performance among these ablation variants (Table 3 (f)). It is worth noting that fine-tuning each ablation variant of M<sup>3</sup>ISA incurs at most an additional 1.12GB of GPU memory compared to

#	Multi-head Attention	Multi-layer Perceptron	Params.↓ (M)	Mem.↓ (GB)	R val	efCOC testA	CO testB
		Same a	dapters n	nixing			
(a)	LEA+VEA	LEA+VEA	3.22	15.65	79.87	80.52	76.33
(b)	IEA+IEA	IEA+IEA	3.17	14.84	78.72	280.05	76.01
		Different	adapters	mixing			
(c)	LEA+VEA	IEA+IEA	3.19	15.38	80.58	881.26	76.65
(d)	IEA+IEA	LEA+VEA	3.19	15.44	81.09	82.29	77.98

Table 4: Effects of different mixing strategies of  $M^{3}ISA$ . "VEA+LEA" and "IEA+IEA" refer to adopting the intra-modality adapters and the inter-modality adapters, respectively.

freezing the encoder, demonstrating the **memory** efficiency of  $M^2$ IST (see Section 3.3).

Effects of Different Mixing Strategies of M<sup>3</sup>ISA. Table 4 demonstrates the impact of various adapter combination forms (i.e., mixing strategies). The findings are as follows: (1) Transferring pre-trained single-modality knowledge to the REC domain (e.g., LEA+VEA) is more effective in accurately locating the referred object than merely achieving cross-modality interaction (e.g., IEA+IEA) (Table 4 (a,b)). (2) Combining intra-modality adapters and inter-modality adapters enhances performance, indicating that joint transfer of pre-trained singlemodality knowledge and cross-modality interaction aids in accurately localizing referred objects by text descriptions (Table 4 (a,b,c,d)). This observation aligns with findings from other challenging vision-language tasks (Xu et al., 2023; Zhou and Long, 2023b), suggesting that combining deep inter-modality fusion with intra-modality adaptation improves performance. (3) The best performance among the M<sup>3</sup>ISA variants is achieved by first connecting the vision and language encoders with IEAs, and then adapting the interacted features and single-modality features to the REC domain with VEA and LEA (Table 4 (a,b,c,d)).

Effects of Different Insertion Forms of M<sup>3</sup>ISA. As depicted in Figure 3 and Table 5, we evaluate the impact of integrating M<sup>3</sup>ISAs with different insertion forms on performance and GPU memory usage. (1) Side insertion yields the best performance. We suppose that implementing M<sup>3</sup>ISAs on *side networks* enhances the alignment between the referring sentence and the referred object, resulting in improved localization performance. (2) All three insertion forms reduce GPU memory usage to varying degrees. Incorporating M<sup>3</sup>ISAs into the *side networks* consumes the least amount of GPU memory. This is because the gradients backpropagate



Figure 3: **Different adapter insertion forms.** During fine-tuning, gradients in (a) and (b) backpropagate through the heavy encoders, while gradients in (c) only backpropagate through the lightweight adapters, achieving memory-efficient tuning for REC. Note that (b) and (c) only illustrate the vision branch for simplicity.



Figure 4: Visualizations of attention maps from the V-L Encoder with different mixing strategies. Cases include object appearance attributes (**blue words**), human actions (**green words**), and spatial relations (**red words**).

щ	Insertion	Params.↓	Mem.↓	RefCOCO			
#	forms	(M)	(GB)	val	testA	testB	
(a)	Sequential	3.19	27.19	78.76	80.25	74.90	
(b)	Parallel	3.19	20.37	78.29	78.71	75.30	
(c)	Side	3.19	15.44	81.35	82.29	77.98	

Table 5: **Effects of different insertion forms of M**<sup>3</sup>**ISA**. "Sequential" and "Parallel", and "Side" correspond to (a), (b), and (c) in Figure 3, respectively.

through the lightweight M<sup>3</sup>ISAs instead of heavy encoders. This aligns with the **memory efficiency** advantage mentioned in Section 3.3.

#### 4.4 Qualitative Results

To investigate the impact of cross-modality interaction facilitated by  $M^3ISAs$ , we visualize the attention maps from the V-L Encoder. We compare  $M^3ISA$  with its variant presented in Table 4 (a) under various scenarios, shown in Figure 4. It is evident that  $M^3ISA$  can handle diverse REC cases, indicating that the enhanced cross-modality interaction enabled by the IEA allows for effective comprehension of complex semantic information.

# 5 Conclusion

In this paper, we present Multi-Modal Interactive Side-Tuning (M<sup>2</sup>IST), a parameter- and memoryefficient tuning method for REC. We introduce Mixture of Multi-Modal Interactive Side Adapters  $(M^3ISA)$  to efficiently transfer pre-trained singlemodality knowledge and facilitate cross-modality interaction between vision and language encoders. During fine-tuning, we freeze the pre-trained vision and language encoders and update M<sup>3</sup>ISAs on side networks, achieving efficient tuning for REC. By updating only 3.14M encoder parameters (2.11% of full fine-tuning) and using 15.44GB of GPU memory (39.61% of full fine-tuning), M<sup>2</sup>IST achieves competitive performance compared to full fine-tuning methods and outperforms other PETL methods across three benchmarks.

### 6 Limitations

In this work, we implement our M<sup>2</sup>IST on the mainstream transformer-based architecture for referring expression comprehension, comprising a pre-trained Vision Encoder and Language Encoder. With the rapid development of multi-modal large language models (MLLMs), applying M<sup>2</sup>IST to MLLMs (e.g., LLaVA (Liu et al., 2023) and InstructBLIP (Dai et al., 2023)) could potentially further enhance their reasoning capabilities in complex scenarios (Pan et al., 2024a,b). Due to limited computational resources, our experiments were conducted only using ResNet-50 with the DETR encoder. Future work will involve more extensive experiments with ViT-L (Dosovitskiy et al., 2021) and Swin-L (Liu et al., 2021) backbones to fully explore the scalability and potential of M<sup>2</sup>IST.

### References

- Meng Cao, Haoran Tang, Jinfa Huang, Peng Jin, Can Zhang, Ruyang Liu, Long Chen, Xiaodan Liang, Li Yuan, and Ge Li. 2024. Rap: Efficient text-video retrieval with sparse-and-correlated adapter. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2024*.
- Nicolas Carion, Francisco Massa, Gabriel Synnaeve, Nicolas Usunier, Alexander Kirillov, and Sergey Zagoruyko. 2020. End-to-end object detection with transformers. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision*.
- Shoufa Chen, Chongjian Ge, Zhan Tong, Jiangliu Wang, Yibing Song, Jue Wang, and Ping Luo. 2022. Adaptformer: Adapting vision transformers for scalable visual recognition. In *Proceedings of the Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*.
- Yi Wen Chen, Yi Hsuan Tsai, Tiantian Wang, Yen Yu Lin, and Ming Hsuan Yang. 2019. Referring expression object segmentation with caption-aware consistency. In *Proceedings of the British Machine Vision Conference*.
- Wenliang Dai, Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Anthony Meng Huat Tiong, Junqi Zhao, Weisheng Wang, Boyang Li, Pascale Fung, and Steven Hoi. 2023. Instructblip: Towards general-purpose vision-language models with instruction tuning. In *Proceedings of the Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*.
- Jiajun Deng, Zhengyuan Yang, Tianlang Chen, Wengang Zhou, and Houqiang Li. 2021. Transvg: Endto-end visual grounding with transformers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2018. Bert: Pre-training of deep

bidirectional transformers for language understanding. arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805.

- Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, et al. 2021.
  An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. In *Proceedings* of the International Conference on Learning Representations.
- Ye Du, Zehua Fu, Qingjie Liu, and Yunhong Wang. 2022. Visual grounding with transformers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Multimedia and Expo*.
- Minghao Fu, Ke Zhu, and Jianxin Wu. 2024. DTL: Disentangled transfer learning for visual recognition. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence.*
- Zeyu Han, Chao Gao, Jinyang Liu, Sai Qian Zhang, et al. 2024a. Parameter-efficient fine-tuning for large models: A comprehensive survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.14608*.
- Zeyu Han, Fangrui Zhu, Qianru Lao, and Huaizu Jiang. 2024b. Zero-shot referring expression comprehension via structural similarity between images and captions. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference* on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.
- Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. 2016. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.*
- Richang Hong, Daqing Liu, Xiaoyu Mo, Xiangnan He, and Hanwang Zhang. 2019. Learning to compose and reason with language tree structures for visual grounding. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis* and Machine Intelligence.
- Neil Houlsby, Andrei Giurgiu, Stanislaw Jastrzebski, Bruna Morrone, Quentin De Laroussilhe, Andrea Gesmundo, Mona Attariyan, and Sylvain Gelly. 2019. Parameter-efficient transfer learning for nlp. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Machine Learning*.
- Edward J Hu, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang, Weizhu Chen, et al. 2022. LoRA: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Siteng Huang, Biao Gong, Yulin Pan, Jianwen Jiang, Yiliang Lv, Yuyuan Li, and Donglin Wang. 2023. VoP: Text-video co-operative prompt tuning for crossmodal retrieval. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*.

- Ziling Huang and Shin'ichi Satoh. 2023. Referring image segmentation via joint mask contextual embedding learning and progressive alignment network. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*.
- Menglin Jia, Luming Tang, Bor-Chun Chen, Claire Cardie, Serge Belongie, Bharath Hariharan, and Ser-Nam Lim. 2022. Visual prompt tuning. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision.*
- Haojun Jiang, Jianke Zhang, Rui Huang, Chunjiang Ge, Zanlin Ni, Jiwen Lu, Jie Zhou, Shiji Song, and Gao Huang. 2022. Cross-modal adapter for text-video retrieval. arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.09623.
- Seoyeon Kim, Minguk Kang, Dongwon Kim, Jaesik Park, and Suha Kwak. 2024. Extending clip's imagetext alignment to referring image segmentation. In Proceedings of the Annual Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yue Liao, Si Liu, Guanbin Li, Fei Wang, Yanjie Chen, Chen Qian, and Bo Li. 2020a. A real-time crossmodality correlation filtering method for referring expression comprehension. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.*
- Yue Liao, Si Liu, Guanbin Li, Fei Wang, Yanjie Chen, Chen Qian, and Bo Li. 2020b. A real-time crossmodality correlation filtering method for referring expression comprehension. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.*
- Tsung-Yi Lin, Michael Maire, Serge Belongie, James Hays, Pietro Perona, Deva Ramanan, Piotr Dollár, and C Lawrence Zitnick. 2014. Microsoft coco: Common objects in context. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision*.
- Daqing Liu, Hanwang Zhang, Feng Wu, et al. 2019. Learning to assemble neural module tree networks for visual grounding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*.
- Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Qingyang Wu, and Yong Jae Lee. 2023. Visual instruction tuning. In *Proceedings* of the Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems.
- Ting Liu, Xuyang Liu, Siteng Huang, Honggang Chen, Quanjun Yin, Long Qin, Donglin Wang, and Yue Hu. 2024a. DARA: Domain- and relation-aware adapters make parameter-efficient tuning for visual grounding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference* on Multimedia and Expo.
- Ting Liu, Xuyang Liu, Liangtao Shi, Zunnan Xu, Siteng Huang, Yi Xin, and Quanjun Yin. 2024b. Sparse-Tuning: Adapting vision transformers with efficient fine-tuning and inference. *arXiv preprint arXiv*:2405.14700.

- Xuyang Liu, Siteng Huang, Yachen Kang, Honggang Chen, and Donglin Wang. 2024c. VGDiffZero: Textto-image diffusion models can be zero-shot visual grounders. In *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing*.
- Ze Liu, Yutong Lin, Yue Cao, Han Hu, Yixuan Wei, Zheng Zhang, Stephen Lin, and Baining Guo. 2021. Swin transformer: Hierarchical vision transformer using shifted windows. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*.
- Ilya Loshchilov and Frank Hutter. 2019. Decoupled weight decay regularization. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Junhua Mao, Jonathan Huang, Alexander Toshev, Oana Camburu, Alan L Yuille, and Kevin Murphy. 2016. Generation and comprehension of unambiguous object descriptions. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*.
- Varun K Nagaraja, Vlad I Morariu, and Larry S Davis. 2016. Modeling context between objects for referring expression understanding. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision*.
- Zhenyu Pan, Haozheng Luo, Manling Li, and Han Liu. 2024a. Chain-of-action: Faithful and multimodal question answering through large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.17359*.
- Zhenyu Pan, Haozheng Luo, Manling Li, and Han Liu. 2024b. Conv-coa: Improving open-domain question answering in large language models via conversational chain-of-action. *arXiv preprint arXiv*:2405.17822.
- Shaoqing Ren, Kaiming He, Ross Girshick, and Jian Sun. 2015. Faster R-CNN: Towards real-time object detection with region proposal networks. In Proceedings of the Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems.
- Wei Su, Peihan Miao, Huanzhang Dou, Gaoang Wang, Liang Qiao, Zheyang Li, and Xi Li. 2023. Language adaptive weight generation for multi-task visual grounding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.*
- Mengyang Sun, Wei Suo, Peng Wang, Yanning Zhang, and Qi Wu. 2022. A proposal-free one-stage framework for referring expression comprehension and generation via dense cross-attention. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*.
- Yi-Lin Sung, Jaemin Cho, and Mohit Bansal. 2022. LST: Ladder side-tuning for parameter and memory efficient transfer learning. In *Proceedings of the Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*.

- Ningyuan Tang, Minghao Fu, Ke Zhu, and Jianxin Wu. 2024. Low-rank attention side-tuning for parameter-efficient fine-tuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.04009*.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. In *Proceedings of the Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*.
- Yaoming Wang, Jin Li, Xiaopeng Zhang, Bowen Shi, Chenglin Li, Wenrui Dai, Hongkai Xiong, and Qi Tian. 2024. BarLeRIa: An efficient tuning framework for referring image segmentation. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- Cantao Wu, Yi Cai, Liuwu Li, and Jiexin Wang. 2023. Scene graph enhanced pseudo-labeling for referring expression comprehension. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP* 2023.
- Yi Xin, Junlong Du, Qiang Wang, Ke Yan, and Shouhong Ding. 2024. MmAP: Multi-modal alignment prompt for cross-domain multi-task learning. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence.*
- Zunnan Xu, Zhihong Chen, Yong Zhang, Yibing Song, Xiang Wan, and Guanbin Li. 2023. Bridging vision and language encoders: Parameter-efficient tuning for referring image segmentation. In *Proceedings* of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision.
- Li Yang, Yan Xu, Chunfeng Yuan, Wei Liu, Bing Li, and Weiming Hu. 2022. Improving visual grounding with visual-linguistic verification and iterative reasoning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference* on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.
- Zhengyuan Yang, Tianlang Chen, Liwei Wang, and Jiebo Luo. 2020. Improving one-stage visual grounding by recursive sub-query construction. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision*.
- Zhengyuan Yang, Boqing Gong, Liwei Wang, Wenbing Huang, Dong Yu, and Jiebo Luo. 2019. A fast and accurate one-stage approach to visual grounding. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision.
- Jiabo Ye, Xin Lin, Liang He, Dingbang Li, and Qin Chen. 2021. One-stage visual grounding via semantic-aware feature filter. In *Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Multimedia*.
- Licheng Yu, Zhe Lin, Xiaohui Shen, et al. 2018. MAttNet: Modular attention network for referring expression comprehension. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.*

- Licheng Yu, Patrick Poirson, Shan Yang, Alexander C Berg, and Tamara L Berg. 2016. Modeling context in referring expressions. In *Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision*.
- Yuan Yuan, Yang Zhan, and Zhitong Xiong. 2023. Parameter-efficient transfer learning for remote sensing image-text retrieval. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*.
- Hanwang Zhang, Yulei Niu, and Shih-Fu Chang. 2018. Grounding referring expressions in images by variational context. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*.
- Zhengxin Zhang, Dan Zhao, Xupeng Miao, Gabriele Oliaro, Qing Li, Yong Jiang, and Zhihao Jia. 2024. Quantized side tuning: Fast and memory-efficient tuning of quantized large language models. In *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics.*
- Zhipeng Zhang, Zhimin Wei, Zhongzhen Huang, Rui Niu, and Peng Wang. 2023. One for all: One-stage referring expression comprehension with dynamic reasoning. *Neurocomputing*.
- Yiyi Zhou, Rongrong Ji, Gen Luo, Xiaoshuai Sun, Jinsong Su, Xinghao Ding, Chia-Wen Lin, and Qi Tian. 2021. A real-time global inference network for onestage referring expression comprehension. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Sys*tems.
- Yucheng Zhou and Guodong Long. 2023a. Improving cross-modal alignment for text-guided image inpainting. In Proceedings of the 17th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yucheng Zhou and Guodong Long. 2023b. Multimodal event transformer for image-guided story ending generation. In Proceedings of the 17th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Chaoyang Zhu, Yiyi Zhou, Yunhang Shen, Gen Luo, Xingjia Pan, Mingbao Lin, Chao Chen, Liujuan Cao, Xiaoshuai Sun, and Rongrong Ji. 2022. SeqTR: A simple yet universal network for visual grounding. In Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision.
- Yukun Zhu, Ryan Kiros, Rich Zemel, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, Raquel Urtasun, Antonio Torralba, and Sanja Fidler. 2015. Aligning books and movies: Towards story-like visual explanations by watching movies and reading books. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*.
- Bohan Zhuang, Qi Wu, Chunhua Shen, Ian Reid, and Anton Van Den Hengel. 2018. Parallel attention: A unified framework for visual object discovery through dialogs and queries. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition.*

In the appendix, we provide a detailed introduction of the used datasets (Section A), more implementation details (Section B), training objective (Section C), details of baseline PETL methods (Section D), additional ablation study (Section E), more visualization results (Section F), and pseudocode of  $M^2$ IST (Section G).

# A Details of REC Datasets

To verify the effectiveness and efficiency of our method, we conduct experiments on the following REC benchmarks as follows:

- **RefCOCO** (Yu et al., 2016) consists of 19,994 images with 142,210 referring expressions for 50,000 objects. The RefCOCO dataset is officially split into train, validation, testA, and testB sets containing 120,624, 10,834, 5,657, and 5,095 expressions, respectively.
- **RefCOCO+** (Yu et al., 2016) includes 19,922 images with 141,564 referring expressions for 49,856 objects. Compared to RefCOCO, the referring expressions in RefCOCO+ focus more on attributes of the referred objects, such as color and shape, without including any positional words.
- **RefCOCOg** (Mao et al., 2016; Nagaraja et al., 2016) contains 25,799 images with 95,010 referring expressions for 49,822 objects. Compared to RefCOCO and RefCOCO+, the referring expressions in RefCOCOg are typically longer, averaging almost twice the length of those in the other two datasets. RefCOCOg has two commonly used split strategies: the *google* split (Mao et al., 2016) (-g) and the *umd* split (Nagaraja et al., 2016) (-g) and the *umd* split (Nagaraja et al., 2016) (-u). Following previous work (Deng et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2022; Zhu et al., 2022), we conduct experiments on both RefCOCOg-g (val-g) and RefCOCOg-u (val-u and test-u).

### **B** More Implementation Details

**Model Weights.** The Vision Encoder is initialized with the backbone (i.e., ResNet-50 (He et al., 2016)) and encoder weights from DETR (Carion et al., 2020), which is pre-trained on the MS-COCO dataset (Lin et al., 2014). Specifically, during the pre-training of the Vision Encoder, images from the validation and test sets of RefCOCO/+/g that overlap with MS-COCO (Lin et al., 2014) are excluded. The Language Encoder is initialized with BERTbase (Devlin et al., 2018), pre-trained on the Book-Corpus (Zhu et al., 2015) and English Wikipedia (Devlin et al., 2018). The Vision-Language (V-L) Encoder is initialized using Xavier initialization. The proposed M<sup>3</sup>ISAs are initialized with Kaiming normal initialization.

Hyper-parameters Settings.  $M^3$ ISAs are inserted into the transformer encoder layers at the same indices as those in the Vision Encoder and Language Encoder, and relevant ablation study is conducted in Table 6. The bottleneck dimensions of the Visual Embedding Adapter (VEA) and Language Embedding Adapter (LEA) are set to 128, while the interaction dimension  $C_i$  of the Interaction Embedding Adapter (IEA) is 256. A relevant ablation study on these hyperparameters is presented in Table 7. The scaling factor *s* for all adapters is set to 0.1.

**Training Details.** For RefCOCO (Yu et al., 2016) and RefCOCOg (Mao et al., 2016; Nagaraja et al., 2016) datasets, the entire network is trained for 90 epochs using the AdamW optimizer (Loshchilov and Hutter, 2019), with a learning rate of  $10^{-4}$  for the V-L Encoder and  $10^{-5}$  for the M<sup>3</sup>ISAs. The weight decay is  $10^{-4}$ , and the learning rate is reduced by a factor of 10 after 60 epochs. While for RefCOCO+ (Yu et al., 2016) dataset, the network is trained for 180 epochs with the same learning rates and weight decay, but the learning rate is decreased by a factor of 10 after 120 epochs. We conduct all experiments on one A800 GPU.

### **C** Training Objective

Following most *transformer-based* REC methods (Deng et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2022; Su et al., 2023), the training loss function is a combination of the widely used smooth L1 loss and GIoU loss. Specifically, the prediction is donated as  $\mathbf{b} = (x, y, w, h)$ , and the normalized ground-truth box as  $\hat{\mathbf{b}} = (\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{w}, \hat{h})$ . The training objective is:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{smooth-ll}}(\mathbf{b}, \hat{\mathbf{b}}) + \lambda \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\text{giou}}(\mathbf{b}, \hat{\mathbf{b}}), \quad (6)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_{smooth-11}(\cdot)$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{giou}(\cdot)$  are the smooth L1 loss and GIoU loss.  $\lambda$  is the weight coefficient of GIoU loss to balance these two losses.

### **D** Details of Baseline PETL Methods

This section furnishes additional details of the PETL baselines employed in our primary manuscript. Notably, all these baselines follow the same base architecture, wherein the Vision Encoder and Language Encoder remain fixed, while the V-L Encoder and the newly added parameters are updated during fine-tuning.

- Adapter (Houlsby et al., 2019): We incorporate standard adapters behind the Multi-head Attention (MHA) layers and Feed-Forward Networks (FFN) in both Vision Encoder and Language Encoder. Consistent with our M<sup>3</sup>ISAs, we set the bottleneck dimensions of these adapters to 128.
- LoRA (Hu et al., 2022): We incorporate trainable matrices in parallel to the weight matrices in MHA and FFN in both Vision Encoder and Language Encoder. Consistent with our  $M^3$ ISAs for a fair comparison, we employ a LoRA rank of r = 128 for both vision and language branch.
- AdaptFormer (Chen et al., 2022): We add adapters in parallel to MHA and FFN in both Vision Encoder and Language Encoder. Similar to Adapter (Houlsby et al., 2019), we set bottleneck dimensions of AdaptFormer to 128 for both vision and language branch.
- CM Adapter (Jiang et al., 2022): We sequentially insert CM Adapters after the MHA and FFN layers of the encoder layers with the same indices as in Vision Encoder and Language Encoder. Consistent with our M<sup>3</sup>ISAs, we set the bottleneck dimensions of CM Adapter to 128, and the weight-sharing dimensions of CM Adapter to 256.
- MRS-Adapter (Yuan et al., 2023): We add MRS-Adapters in parallel to FFN in both Vision Encoder and Language Encoder, according to their basic designs. Similar to CM Adapter (Jiang et al., 2022), we set the bottleneck dimensions of MRS-Adapter to 128, and the weight-sharing dimensions of MRS-Adapter to 256.

### **E** Additional Ablation Study

In this section, we conduct more ablative experiments to further explore the impact of various factors in  $M^2$ IST. All experiments are performed on three sets of RefCOCO (Yu et al., 2016) dataset. Effects of Different Insertion Positions of  $M^3$ ISA. As illustrated in Table 6, we further investigate the impact of introducing M<sup>3</sup>ISAs at different positions within the pre-trained Vision Encoder and Language Encoder. The Vision Encoder and Language Encoder consist of 6 and 12 transformer encoder layers, respectively, and the IEA needs to be inserted into the encoder layers at the same indices. We explore three common insertion forms, as shown in Table 6 (a-c). It is evident that inserting M<sup>3</sup>ISAs in parallel to the deeper encoder layers of the pre-trained Language Encoder results in better performance. We suggest that deeper encoder layers contain richer semantic features, and establishing cross-modality interaction on this basis helps the model learn finer region-text alignment, thereby achieving better localization performance.

#	Vision	Language	H	RefCOCO	)
	Encoder	Encoder	val	testA	testB
(a)	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \rightarrow 6 \\ 1 \rightarrow 6 \end{array}$	$1 \to 6$	80.65	81.86	77.39
(b)		[1,3,5,7,9,11]	80.83	81.76	77.54
(c)	$1 \rightarrow 6$	$7 \rightarrow 12$	81.35	82.29	77.98

Table 6: Effects of Different Insertion Positions of  $M^3ISA$ . The Vision Encoder and Language Encoder consist of 6 and 12 transformer encoder layers, respectively. "1  $\rightarrow$  6" denotes the addition of  $M^3ISA$ s in the 1st through 6th transformer encoder layers.

**Effects of Different Hyper-parameter Settings** of  $M^3$ ISA. We first ablate the bottleneck dimensions  $C_d$  of the intra-modality adapters (see Table 7 (a,b,c)), and follow the design shown in Table 4 (a).  $C_d$  determine the number of tunable parameters introduced by M<sup>3</sup>ISA. As shown in Table 7, higher  $C_d$  introduces more parameters, and the performance consistently increases when  $C_d$  increases up to 128. Thus, we select the  $C_d$  as 128. We further ablate the impact of changing the interaction dimensions  $C_i$  of inter-modality adapters (i.e., IEA), and follow the paradigm of Table 4 (d). As depicted in Table 7 (e, f, g), deeper cross-modality interaction results in an increase in tunable parameters and performance. Thus,  $C_d$  and  $C_i$  are set to 128 and 256, respectively, to achieve the optimal trade-off among accuracy, number of tunable parameters, and GPU memory consumption. It is worth noting that all ablative variants exhibit a remarkable level of memory efficiency, as they consume less than 16GB of GPU memory. This observation is consistent with the memory efficiency advantage highlighted in Section 3.3.

#	$C_d/C_i$	Params.↓ (M)	Mem.↓ (GB)	F val	RefCOC	) testB
(a)	32	0.85	15.53	77.46	77.91	73.96
(b)	64	1.64	15.53	79.37	80.13	75.96
(c)	128	3.21	15.64	<b>80.69</b>	<b>81.76</b>	<b>76.43</b>
(e)	64	2.00	15.34	77.31	77.87	73.27
(f)	128	2.40	15.35	79.26	79.58	74.60
(g)	256	3.19	15.44	<b>81.35</b>	<b>82.29</b>	<b>77.98</b>

Table 7: Effects of different hyper-parameter settings of  $M^3$ ISA. " $C_d$ " denotes the bottleneck dimensions of VEA and LEA, while " $C_i$ " represents the interaction dimensions of IEA. In (a)-(c), we simply use intra-modality adapters (see Table 4 (a)) to find the most suitable  $C_d$ . Subsequently, in (d)-(f), we keep the  $C_d$ obtained from (a)-(c) fixed and explore different values for  $C_i$  to identify the most appropriate  $C_i$ .

# F More Visualization Results

In this section, we present more visualization of the attention maps from V-L Encoder under different mixing strategies (i.e., without interaction and with interaction). As depicted in Figure 5, the interaction between the vision and language encoder, facilitated by M<sup>3</sup>ISAs, allows the model to focus more effectively on the referred objects in diverse referring expression comprehension (REC) cases, including object appearance attributes, human actions, and spatial relations.

# G Pseudocode of M<sup>2</sup>IST

We present the PyTorch-like pseudocode of our proposed  $M^2$ IST in Algorithm 1 to help to better understand the whole process.

Algorithm 1 PyTorch-like pseudocode of M<sup>3</sup>ISAs in vision and language encoder layers.

```
# Frozen the pre-trained encoders except all adapters
for name, p in model.named_parameters():
    if "adapter" in name:
       p.requires_grad = True
   else:
       p.requires_grad = False
# Define the VEA and LEA Module, taking VEA for example.
class VEA(nn.Module):
    def __init__(self, d_model, bottleneck, dropout, adapter_scalar):
    super().__init__()
       self.n_embd = d_model
       self.down_size = bottleneck
       self.down_proj = nn.Linear(self.n_embd, self.down_size)
       self.non_linear_func = nn.ReLU()
       self.visual_up_proj = nn.Linear(self.down_size, self.n_embd)
       self.dropout = dropout
       self.scale = adapter_scalar
   def forward(self, x):
       down = self.down_proj(x)
       down = self.non_linear_func(down)
       down = nn.functional.dropout(down)
       output = up * self.scale
       return output
# Define the IEA Module.
class IEA(nn.Module):
   def __init__(self, vis_d_model, text_d_model, bottleneck, share_bottleneck, share_up,
        adapter_scalar):
       super().__init__()
self.vis_d_model = vis_d_model
       self.text_d_model = text_d_model
       self.up_size = bottleneck
       self.share_size = share_bottleneck
       self.share_up = share_up
       self.scale = adapter_scalar
       self.text_down_proj = nn.Linear(self.text_d_model, self.share_size)
       self.vis_down_proj = nn.Linear(self.vis_d_model, self.share_size)
       self.up_proj_share = nn.Linear(self.share_size, self.share_up)
       self.text_up_proj = nn.Linear(self.share_size, self.text_d_model)
       self.vis_up_proj = nn.Linear(self.share_size, self.vis_d_model)
    def forward(self, text_x, vis_x):
       vis_down = self.vis_down_proj(vis_x)
text_down = self.text_down_proj(text_x)
       text_up = self.up_proj_share(text_x)
       vis_up = self.up_proj_share(vis_x)
       return up
IEA_out = []
LEA_out = []
VEA_out = []
# Multi-Modal Interactive Side-Tuning
for i in range(layers):
   IEA_out = LEA(text_mha_output,vis_mha_output)
   LEA_out = LEA(text_ffn_out)
   VEA_out = VEA(vis_ffn_out)
   IEA_out.append(IEA_out)
   LEA_out.append(LEA_out)
   VEA_out.append(VEA_out)
final_text_feature = text_feature + sum(IEA_out) + sum(LEA_out)
final_vis_feature = vis_feature + sum(IEA_out) + sum(VEA_out)
```



Figure 5: More visualizations of attention maps from V-L Encoder with different mixing strategies of M<sup>3</sup>ISA. Cases include object appearance attributes (blue words), human actions (green words), and spatial relations (red words).