
CFinBench: A Comprehensive Chinese Financial Benchmark for Large Language Models

Ying Nie^{1*}, Binwei Yan^{1*}, Tianyu Guo¹, Hao Liu¹, Haoyu Wang¹, Wei He¹,
Binfan Zheng², Weihao Wang³, Qiang Li³, Weijian Sun², Yunhe Wang^{1†}, Dacheng Tao⁴

¹Huawei Noah's Ark Lab ²Huawei GTS ³Huawei Group Finance

⁴Nanyang Technological University

{ying.nie, yanbinwei, yunhe.wang}@huawei.com {dacheng.tao}@gmail.com

Abstract

Large language models (LLMs) have achieved remarkable performance on various NLP tasks, yet their potential in more challenging and domain-specific task, such as finance, has not been fully explored. In this paper, we present CFinBench: a meticulously crafted, the most comprehensive evaluation benchmark to date, for assessing the financial knowledge of LLMs under Chinese context. In practice, to better align with the career trajectory of Chinese financial practitioners, we build a systematic evaluation from 4 first-level categories: (1) *Financial Subject*: whether LLMs can memorize the necessary basic knowledge of financial subjects, such as economics, statistics and auditing. (2) *Financial Qualification*: whether LLMs can obtain the needed financial qualified certifications, such as certified public accountant, securities qualification and banking qualification. (3) *Financial Practice*: whether LLMs can fulfill the practical financial jobs, such as tax consultant, junior accountant and securities analyst. (4) *Financial Law*: whether LLMs can meet the requirement of financial laws and regulations, such as tax law, insurance law and economic law. CFinBench comprises 99,100 questions spanning 43 second-level categories with 3 question types: single-choice, multiple-choice and judgment. We conduct extensive experiments of 50 representative LLMs with various model size on CFinBench. The results show that GPT4 and some Chinese-oriented models lead the benchmark, with the highest average accuracy being 60.16%, highlighting the challenge presented by CFinBench. The dataset and evaluation code are available at <https://cfinbench.github.io/>.

1 Introduction

Recently, there has been a significant advancement in LLMs, exemplified by the representative models like ChatGPT [32], GPT4 [33], LLaMA [42, 43, 30], Baichuan [54], InternLM [41] and ChatGLM [58], *etc.* At the same time, the corresponding evaluation works for LLMs are flourishing and a series of evaluation benchmarks have been proposed like MMLU [17], C-Eval [19], Xiezhi [15] and AGIEval [63], *etc.* These benchmarks have been instrumental in catalyzing the progress of LLMs, as they enable the quantitative assessment of advanced knowledge and complex reasoning abilities. However, evaluating domain-specific LLMs necessitates more than just general and universal capabilities, the desired domain-specific capabilities are equally essential.

Finance is the backbone of modern society, playing a vital role in facilitating economic growth, stability, and prosperity [38]. However, mastering the intricacies of financial knowledge is challenging

*Equal Contribution.

†Corresponding Author.




Figure 1: CFinBench comprises 4 first-level categories and 43 second-level categories, which are more align with the career trajectory of financial practitioners.

for individuals, due to its intricate nature and dynamic environment. Therefore, endowing LLMs with financial knowledge is essential as it can provide significant convenience and insight to humanity. For example, BloombergGPT [49] with 50 billion parameters demonstrates superior performance across multiple financial tasks after being trained on a mixed corpus that spans both general and financial domains. FinMA [51] is developed by fine-tuning LLaMA [42] with the financial instruction data to be able to follow instructions for various financial tasks. Similarly, a comprehensive financial evaluation benchmark is also essential for financial LLMs.

Several benchmarks have been introduced for better evaluating financial LLMs. FLUE [37] first introduces a financial evaluation benchmark across 5 NLP tasks in English domain, and its successor, FLARE [51], further extends it with financial time-series reasoning task like stock price movements forecasting. In addition to English domain, benchmarks in Chinese are another one of significant importance. BBT-CFLEB [29] presents the first Chinese financial evaluation benchmark, which includes 6 datasets covering both understanding and generation tasks. FinEval [59] builds a collection of 4,661 single-choice questions including 4 first-level categories: finance, economy, accounting, and certificate. However, FinEval is constrained by its limited size, its category coverage is also inadequate for capturing the complexity of real-world financial scenarios. Moreover, the BBT-CFLEB, which targets the basic NLP tasks in finance, struggles to provide sufficient challenges for the increasingly advanced large language models.

In this paper, we present CFinBench: a meticulously crafted, the most comprehensive evaluation benchmark to date, for assessing the capabilities of LLMs on Chinese financial tasks. The design philosophy of our benchmark aligns with the career progression trajectory of financial practitioners, which can be likened to a 'leveling up' in a game. Specifically, it begins with mastering the required foundational knowledge in financial subjects, followed by obtaining the necessary qualified certifications, and subsequently honing skills through practical experience in industry applications. Last but not least, compliance with financial laws and regulations is also a crucial aspect that warrants careful consideration. Formally, we include 4 first-level categories: (1) *Financial Subject*: examining whether LLMs can memorize the foundational knowledge in financial subjects, such as political economy, statistics, macroeconomics, *etc.* (2) *Financial Qualification*: examining whether LLMs can obtain the necessary qualified certifications for financial practitioners, such as tax practitioner qualification, futures practitioner qualification, fund practitioner qualification, *etc.* (3) *Financial Practice*: examining whether LLMs can fulfill the specific tasks in financial jobs, such as junior banking professional, asset appraiser, junior statistician, *etc.* (4) *Financial Law*: examining whether LLMs can comply with the financial laws and regulations, such as securities law, insurance law, economic law, *etc.* CFinBench are primarily sourced from the mock exams freely available on the Internet. To enhance the quality and diversity of the benchmark, and mitigate the problem of data contamination, we perform a series of rigorous data processing pipelines, encompassing data cleaning, internal and external de-duplication, LLM-assisted rephrasing, option shuffling, and multi-round human-in-the-loop cross-validation. CFinBench comprises 99,100 questions spanning 43 second-level categories with 3 question types: single-choice, multiple-choice and judgment.

Table 1: Comparison of the proposed CFinBench with other Chinese-oriented financial benchmarks.

| Benchmark | Month/Year | #Questions | #Categories | #Question Types | Task |
|------------------|------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| BBT-CFLEB [29] | 2/2023 | 20,416 | 6 | 4 | Basic NLP |
| CGCE [61] | 5/2023 | 150 | 4 | 1 | QA |
| CFBenchmark [24] | 11/2023 | 3,917 | 8 | 3 | Basic NLP |
| FinEval [59] | 8/2023 | 4,661 | 34 | 1 | Advanced Knowledge |
| FinanceIQ [62] | 9/2023 | 7,173 | 36 | 1 | Advanced Knowledge |
| Ant-Fin-Eva [14] | 12/2023 | 8,445 | 33 | 1 | Advanced Knowledge |
| CFinBench | 6/2024 | 99,100 | 43 | 3 | Advanced Knowledge |

We conduct extensive experiments of 50 representative LLMs with various model size on CFinBench. The results show that GPT4 and some Chinese-oriented models like Yi [56], Qwen [2] and XuanYuan [62], *etc.* lead the benchmark, with the highest average accuracy being 60.16%, highlighting the challenge presented by CFinBench and indicating that there is still significant room for improvement for current LLMs in the Chinese financial domain.

2 Related Work

Large Language Models The advent of ChatGPT [32] marks a significant milestone in natural language processing (NLP), demonstrating the remarkable capabilities of large language models with billions of parameters across a diverse range of tasks. This progress is further amplified by the release of GPT4 [33], which exhibits even greater generalization abilities. However, the accompanying strict commercial terms limit the prosperity of the open source community. The seminal contribution in the realm of LLMs is LLaMA [42, 43, 30], an ensemble of publicly-available foundational language models spanning from 7 billion to 70 billion parameters. The up-to-date model, LLaMA3 [30], demonstrates comparable performance to certain proprietary models based on human evaluations. In addition to the English domain orientated LLMs, multilingual models are also thriving. Several notable open-source LLMs, such as Baichuan [54], Qwen [2], InternLM [41, 6], ChatGLM [58], *etc.* have made significant strides. There have also been studies that are dedicated to adapting LLMs to the financial domain. BloombergGPT [49], the first proprietary LLM with 50 billion parameters specialized for the financial domain, is trained with a mixed general and financial corpus. The successors like FinGPT [55] and FinMA [51] introduce the financial LLMs based on fine-tuning LLaMA, by means of low-rank adaptation or full-parameters. Also, the Chinese-oriented financial LLMs like DISC-FinLLM [7], CFGPT [26], Xuanyuan [62] and YunShan [46], have also demonstrated excellent performance across multiple Chinese financial tasks. At the same time, to objectively and quantitatively measure the capabilities of LLMs, a comprehensive and thorough evaluation benchmark is crucial.

Evaluation Benchmarks The rapid advancement LLMs has rendered the conventional evaluation benchmarks [44, 53, 4, 36, 36, 31], typically focused on simple tasks, insufficient. A growing body of research works have recently focused on developing more comprehensive and systematic benchmarks to evaluate the capabilities of LLMs from various perspectives. For example, The MMLU benchmark [17] evaluates LLMs based on multi-domain and multi-task subjects across STEM, humanities, social sciences, and more. The BIG-bench [39] includes 204 tasks, such as linguistics, math, common-sense reasoning, physics, and beyond. The HELM benchmark [28] encompasses 42 diverse tasks and employs 7 evaluation metrics, ranging from accuracy to robustness, to assess the performance of LLMs. Despite the flourishing of English benchmark, there have also been efforts in constructing benchmarks for Chinese such as C-Eval [19], CMMLU [25], GAOKAO-Bench [60], AGIEval [63] and Xiezhi [15], *etc.* The extensive evaluations on these benchmarks profoundly reveal the advantages and shortcomings of LLMs from multiple capability dimensions. In financial domain, FLUE [37] first introduces a financial evaluation benchmark across 5 NLP tasks in English context, and its successor, FLARE [51], further includes financial time-series reasoning task like stock movement prediction. The FinBen [50] reorganizes 35 public English datasets across 23 financial tasks into three spectrums of difficulty. For the Chinese domain, BBT-CFLEB [29] presents the first Chinese financial evaluation benchmark, which includes 6 datasets covering both understanding and generation tasks. By integrating FLARE [51] and BBT-CFLEB [29], ICE-FLARE [18] enables the evaluation of bilingual financial tasks. CFBenchmark [24] assesses

the text processing capabilities across recognition, classification, and generation tasks. CGCE [61] incorporates 200 general and 150 finance-specific question-answering questions. The most similar works to ours are FinanceIQ [62] and FinEval [59]. FinanceIQ [62] encompasses 10 primary categories, including economists, securities professionals and actuaries, *etc.* with a total of 36 subcategories and 7,173 single-choice questions. FinEval [59] includes four primary categories, *i.e.*, finance, economy, accounting, and certificate, with a total of 34 subcategories and 4,661 single-choice questions. However, these two benchmarks are limited in size. In contrast, the proposed CFinBench is more comprehensive, comprising a more reasonable dimension of financial abilities, with a total of 99,100 questions across 3 question types. The detailed comparison of the CFinBench with other Chinese-oriented financial benchmarks is summarized in Table 1. It is worth highlighting that we are not a aggregation of existing benchmarks, but rather a significant supplement from the perspective of professional practitioners in terms of advanced knowledge and complex reasoning, which, in conjunction with preceding benchmarks [37, 29, 59, 24], foster the development of financial LLMs.

3 CFinBench

In this section, we first introduce the overall design principle of CFinBench, then we elaborate the taxonomy of CFinBench including financial subject, financial qualification, financial practice, and financial law. The detailed procedure of data construction is also presented at the end.

3.1 Overview

The motivation of CFinBench is to evaluate the financial knowledge of large language models in the context of Chinese. Inspired by predecessors [19, 13, 59], we also focus on the advanced knowledge and complex reasoning abilities, which, compared to traditional NLP capabilities, pose a greater




Figure 2: Examples of 3 types of questions in CFinBench. English translations are shown in blue for better readability.

challenge to the increasingly advanced LLMs of today. In practice, we build CFinBench based on the real-world examination questions used in China for assessing financial professionals across multiple dimensions. We include 3 question types: single-choice, multiple-choice and judgment, as exemplified in Figure 2. Compared with the single-choice questions alone in most existing works [59, 62, 9, 14, 19], a broader range of question types can more comprehensively assess the capabilities of LLMs. Specifically, for single-choice questions, each question has four options, with only one correct answer. For multiple-choice questions, each question has four or five options, with at least two correct answers. For judgment questions, each question requires a direct judgment of whether the statement is correct or wrong. With prompts, LLMs are expected to answer these questions correctly.

3.2 Taxonomy

In the evaluation of large language models, a diverse array of tasks is often preferred to comprehensively assess their capabilities. A hierarchical evaluation framework enables a more nuanced understanding of the abilities of LLMs. Instead of categorizing the financial tasks solely based on their subjects [59, 62], we thoroughly explore the characteristics of Chinese financial system, and reorganize the financial tasks into more reasonable categories. Specifically, the process starts with acquiring fundamental knowledge in financial subjects, followed by passing essential professional qualifications, and subsequently refining skills through practical experience in industry applications. Additionally, adherence to laws and regulations is a critical aspect that demands careful consideration. In practice, we include 4 first-level categories and 43 second-level categories, which are summarized in Figure 1.

- **Financial Subject:** The purpose of the financial subject is to test whether LLMs can memorize the essential foundational knowledge in financial subjects. Specifically, 11 subjects are included: Political Economy, Western Economics, Microeconomics, Macroeconomics, Industrial Economics, Public Finance, International Trade, Statistics, Auditing, Economic History, and Finance. These subjects collectively provide a comprehensive framework for understanding the intricacies of economic systems, market structures, and financial institutions. By delving into these areas, individuals can develop a profound understanding of economic theories, policy analyses, market dynamics, and financial instruments, thereby enabling them to make informed decisions, analyze economic trends, and navigate the complexities of global financial markets. In summary, these subjects are crucial in equipping professionals with the foundational financial knowledge.
- **Financial Qualification:** The objective of the financial qualification is to examine whether LLMs can obtain necessary qualified certifications for finance professionals. We include 8 qualifications: Tax Practitioner Qualification, Futures Practitioner Qualification, Fund Practitioner Qualification, Real Estate Practitioner Qualification, Insurance Practitioner Qualification, Securities Practitioner Qualification, Banking Practitioner Qualification, and Certified Public Accountant (CPA). These qualifications demonstrate an individual’s expertise and proficiency in specific areas of finance, such as taxation, financial markets, investment management, and accounting. By obtaining these qualifications, professionals can enhance their knowledge and skills in areas such as financial analysis, risk management, and financial planning. These qualifications indicate the valuable entry tickets for financial practitioners.
- **Financial Practice:** The category of financial practice is to examine whether LLMs can fulfill the specific tasks in financial practical jobs. We include 13 titles in practical financial jobs: Junior/Intermediate Auditor, Junior/Intermediate Statistician, Junior/Intermediate Economist, Junior/Intermediate Banking Professional, Junior/Intermediate Accountant, Tax Consultant, Asset Appraiser, and Securities Analyst. These practices involve the application of financial concepts and techniques to real-world problems, requiring professionals to possess a deep understanding of financial markets, instruments, and regulations. By engaging in these practices, professionals can develop expertise in areas like financial reporting, data analysis, economic modeling, risk assessment, and investment analysis. In a nutshell, the ability to accomplish practical financial tasks is one of the key indicators of the competence of financial professionals.
- **Financial Law:** The purpose of the financial law is to test whether LLMs can comply with financial laws and regulations. Specifically, it includes 11 exams of laws and regulations: Tax Law I/II, Tax Inspection, Commercial Law, Securities Law, Insurance Law, Economic Law, Banking Law, Futures Law, Financial Law and Civil Law. These laws provide the legal foundation for financial transactions, investments, and operations, and are essential for professionals to understand in order to navigate the financial landscape effectively. Familiarity with these laws enables individuals to appreciate the legal implications of financial decisions, identify potential risks and liabilities, and ensure compliance with regulatory requirements. In summary, proficiency in financial laws can reduce the occurrence of illegal activities and minimize the risk of a ‘one-vote veto’ in the careers of financial practitioners.



Figure 3: Examples of question rephrasing. English translations are shown in blue for better readability. In each example, the top is the original question, and the bottom is the rephrased question.

3.3 Data Construction

3.3.1 Data Sources

Our dataset is primarily composed of mock exams sourced from publicly accessible channels. Notably, some questions of financial qualification and financial practice originate from internal examinations of the financial departments of Chinese companies and are non-publicly available, which are challenging to acquire through web crawling.

3.3.2 Data Processing

The collected data come in various formats, including PDF, EPUB, Microsoft Word documents and web pages. Documents in PDF format and EPUB format are parsed into text using PyMuPDF and EbookLib respectively. We standardized all single-choice questions to have exactly four options, excluding those with fewer options and randomly removing excess wrong options from those with more than four. Similarly, for multiple-choice questions, to maintain uniformity, we only retain questions with four or five options.

Following predecessors [57, 35, 48, 19], all the collected questions go through a standard data preprocessing pipeline including cleaning and de-duplication. For data cleaning, we first remove non-Chinese paragraphs with the inexpensive n-gram models like fastText [22]. Then a series of filtering rules and heuristics are performed, such as only keeping lines with valid punctuation, discarding consecutive newlines and whitespace characters, or removing unsemantic and garbled lines. For data de-duplication, we adopt MinHash algorithm [5] for internal de-duplication and de-duplication with external public data [59, 62, 14, 20, 29].

To enhance data diversity and mitigate data contamination problem, we also adopt the strategy of question rephrasing based on GPT4 [45, 52]. We observe that the collected raw data exhibits a significant class imbalance, with a notable scarcity of judgment questions and a substantial surplus of single-choice questions. To address this issue, we prompt GPT4 to rephrase a portion of the single-choice questions into judgment questions, while maintaining semantic consistency, as exemplified in Figure 3 (a). Furthermore, to mitigate the problem of data contamination, we first randomize the option order [3]. In practice, this includes both random shuffle and 'farthest option swapping', where the correct option is exchanged with the incorrect option that is farthest away. Subsequently, we prompt GPT4 to rephrase the questions based on the shuffled options, similarly preserving semantic consistency, as exemplified in Figure 3 (b). Following this, all the questions undergo several rounds of rigorous human cross-validation. The statistics of the final dataset are summarized in Appendix.

4 Experiments

4.1 Setup

Data Split We randomly split the questions into a development set, a validation set, and a test set within each second-level category. The development split per category consists of three examples to facilitate few-shot evaluation. A portion of the development examples are also annotated with detailed explanations to enable few-shot chain-of-thought settings [47], which will be discussed in Appendix. The validation set and test set are divided in a ratio of 2:8, where the validation set is for hyperparameter tuning and the test set is for full evaluation.

Inference Details We employ the OpenCompass [10] framework to perform model inference. Specifically, during the generation process, we set both the temperature and the top p to 1.0, and employ greedy decoding. The input token length is limited to 2048, and the output token length is limited to 64, which is sufficient for the questions of choice and judgment. Right truncation is performed for input prompts exceeding the length limitation. All models are inferred in both zero-shot and three-shot settings, which are exemplified in Appendix.

Evaluation Metrics We adopt accuracy to measure the match between model prediction and gold answer. Specifically, for single-choice questions, if multiple valid options are predicted by the model, we only select the first option as the final answer predicted by the model. For multiple-choice questions, if any of the options predicted by the model are not among the gold answer, we directly classify it as wrong. Otherwise, we score it based on the number of predicted answers (out of a full score of 1). At last, we calculate the final score for each category based on: $final = 0.4 \times single + 0.4 \times multiple + 0.2 \times judgment$.

4.2 Models

To give a comprehensive view of the status of LLMs in a Chinese financial context, we evaluate a wide spectrum of large language models, as depicted in Table 2. Specifically, our experiments cover 46 open-source LLMs from various families, including Llama [43, 30], Qwen [2], ChatGLM [58, 12], Baichuan [54], InternLM [41, 6], Phi [16, 27, 1], DeepSeek [11], XuanYuan [62], FinMA [51], Gemma [40], TigerBot [8], Skywork [48], Yi [56] and Mistral [21]. We classify models into different categories according to their size, including greater than 65B, approximately equal to 30B, 10B-20B, 5B-10B, and less than 5B. Considering the legal issues, we only report the results of two publicly recognized API-based LLMs, *i.e.*, ChatGPT [32] and GPT4 [33]. In addition, the proprietary finance-specific model YunShan [46] is included.

4.3 Results

In Table 2, we report the 0-shot and 3-shot accuracy of each first-level category on the test split under the answer-only setting. As can be seen, the Chinese-oriented Yi1.5-34B [56] lead the benchmark, with a mean accuracy just reaching 60.16%, highlighting the challenge presented by CFinBench. In addition, the accuracy of some other Chinese-oriented models such as Qwen-72B [2], Qwen1.5-72B [2], XuanYuan2-70B-Base [62] and XuanYuan-70B-Base [62] also exceed 56%. The accuracy of GPT4 [33] is around 55%, which also shows obvious advantage compared to other models. In the size range of 10B-20B, Qwen-14B [2], InternLM2-20B [6] and XuanYuan-13B-Base [62] are in the lead, with accuracy exceeding 46%. Notably, in the size range of 5B-10B, the proprietary Chinese finance-specific model YunShan-7B [46] is in the absolute leading position, with an accuracy of over 52%, which is even higher than the accuracy of some 70B models. Also, Yi1.5-9B [56], Qwen1.5-7B [2] and ChatGLM3-6B-Base [58, 12] also achieve the accuracy over 45%. During the size range of less than 5B, Qwen1.5-4B [2] is the only model that achieves an accuracy of more than 40%. The other models like YunShan-1.5B [46], Phi3-3.8B-Instruct [1] and Qwen1.5-1.8B [2] also achieve good performance with an accuracy of more than 35%. In conclusion, there is still significant room for improvement for current LLMs in the Chinese financial domain.

Table 2: The 0-shot and 3-shot accuracy (%) on the test split under the answer-only setting.

| Model | Subject | | Qualification | | Practice | | Law | | Average | |
|-------------------------------|---------|--------|---------------|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------|
| | 0-shot | 3-shot | 0-shot | 3-shot | 0-shot | 3-shot | 0-shot | 3-shot | 0-shot | 3-shot |
| API Call | | | | | | | | | | |
| GPT4 | 57.79 | 57.09 | 56.77 | 55.57 | 55.62 | 54.87 | 53.01 | 51.21 | 55.80 | 54.69 |
| ChatGPT | 39.58 | 40.86 | 43.15 | 42.56 | 40.86 | 40.51 | 38.18 | 38.83 | 40.44 | 40.69 |
| Size > 65B | | | | | | | | | | |
| Qwen-72B | 59.95 | 59.94 | 58.61 | 59.95 | 57.27 | 59.33 | 55.06 | 55.12 | 57.72 | 58.56 |
| Qwen1.5-72B | 58.91 | 60.37 | 57.36 | 58.94 | 56.05 | 58.22 | 53.54 | 54.87 | 56.47 | 58.10 |
| XuanYuan2-70B-Base | 53.56 | 59.96 | 53.36 | 56.27 | 53.55 | 58.05 | 48.27 | 52.48 | 52.19 | 56.69 |
| XuanYuan-70B-Base | 50.34 | 58.62 | 50.65 | 56.58 | 52.31 | 56.29 | 48.50 | 54.61 | 50.45 | 56.53 |
| Llama3-70B | 50.75 | 56.27 | 47.52 | 52.35 | 45.17 | 51.24 | 44.62 | 49.26 | 47.02 | 52.28 |
| DeepSeek-67B-Base | 45.76 | 52.03 | 44.61 | 50.57 | 43.95 | 49.16 | 42.87 | 47.01 | 44.30 | 49.69 |
| Tigerbot-70B-Base | 43.22 | 52.13 | 43.15 | 48.42 | 40.32 | 46.00 | 38.56 | 45.87 | 41.31 | 48.11 |
| Llama2-70B | 30.03 | 30.26 | 29.88 | 29.06 | 27.47 | 29.75 | 29.68 | 28.26 | 29.27 | 29.33 |
| Size ≈ 30B | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yi1.5-34B | 58.62 | 61.44 | 58.30 | 60.91 | 57.26 | 59.75 | 55.16 | 58.55 | 57.34 | 60.16 |
| Qwen1.5-32B | 56.58 | 58.71 | 56.57 | 59.12 | 54.07 | 57.14 | 53.37 | 55.59 | 55.15 | 57.64 |
| 10B < Size < 20B | | | | | | | | | | |
| Qwen-14B | 46.07 | 49.82 | 48.68 | 51.35 | 46.35 | 49.47 | 44.82 | 47.59 | 46.48 | 49.56 |
| InternLM2-20B | 47.98 | 48.65 | 49.22 | 48.70 | 47.37 | 47.37 | 44.10 | 44.54 | 47.17 | 47.32 |
| XuanYuan-13B-Base | 40.87 | 44.32 | 41.64 | 47.68 | 42.30 | 46.56 | 41.73 | 45.75 | 41.64 | 46.08 |
| InternLM-20B | 41.74 | 45.15 | 43.41 | 45.25 | 41.11 | 42.33 | 42.05 | 41.39 | 42.08 | 43.53 |
| Phi3-14B-Instruct | 44.95 | 42.46 | 46.12 | 43.60 | 44.26 | 40.83 | 42.17 | 39.61 | 44.38 | 41.63 |
| Baichuan2-13B | 29.16 | 41.13 | 34.25 | 44.19 | 31.27 | 40.63 | 31.44 | 40.05 | 31.53 | 41.50 |
| Skywork-13B | 34.66 | 39.24 | 37.78 | 43.88 | 36.22 | 40.90 | 36.39 | 41.38 | 36.26 | 41.35 |
| Baichuan-13B | 31.33 | 38.83 | 32.10 | 40.08 | 29.59 | 36.96 | 29.63 | 37.73 | 30.66 | 39.15 |
| Tigerbot-13B-Base | 32.83 | 34.09 | 35.36 | 39.21 | 33.09 | 35.87 | 33.75 | 35.52 | 33.76 | 36.17 |
| Llama2-13B | 30.24 | 32.15 | 31.42 | 35.18 | 29.35 | 31.92 | 29.48 | 34.33 | 30.12 | 33.40 |
| 5B < Size < 10B | | | | | | | | | | |
| YunShan-7B | 52.65 | 53.00 | 52.61 | 51.79 | 53.33 | 52.77 | 52.52 | 52.23 | 52.78 | 52.45 |
| Yi1.5-9B | 47.85 | 50.51 | 50.45 | 51.03 | 48.26 | 49.20 | 46.41 | 47.03 | 48.24 | 49.44 |
| Qwen1.5-7B | 46.26 | 49.18 | 47.97 | 50.28 | 46.52 | 47.93 | 44.66 | 46.04 | 46.35 | 48.36 |
| ChatGLM3-6B-Base | 46.56 | 46.41 | 47.52 | 49.45 | 46.56 | 48.20 | 43.62 | 45.05 | 46.07 | 47.28 |
| InternLM2-7B | 46.74 | 45.22 | 47.53 | 45.57 | 44.48 | 42.60 | 42.66 | 41.22 | 45.35 | 43.65 |
| XuanYuan-6B-Base | 39.99 | 41.65 | 44.30 | 45.87 | 42.70 | 43.91 | 41.70 | 42.81 | 42.17 | 43.56 |
| Qwen-7B | 35.34 | 42.19 | 38.36 | 43.75 | 34.98 | 40.41 | 36.01 | 39.90 | 36.17 | 41.56 |
| ChatGLM2-6B | 40.81 | 40.03 | 43.77 | 43.47 | 41.48 | 40.48 | 40.35 | 40.06 | 41.60 | 41.01 |
| Baichuan2-7B | 30.22 | 37.23 | 35.56 | 41.35 | 31.30 | 37.33 | 29.59 | 37.49 | 31.67 | 38.35 |
| Llama3-8B | 25.71 | 37.02 | 29.01 | 40.00 | 25.66 | 38.18 | 26.05 | 36.82 | 26.61 | 38.01 |
| Mistral-7B | 29.46 | 35.63 | 29.11 | 37.56 | 28.75 | 35.87 | 28.39 | 34.34 | 28.93 | 35.85 |
| InternLM-7B | 31.93 | 34.62 | 38.81 | 38.55 | 34.77 | 34.19 | 32.06 | 35.04 | 34.39 | 35.60 |
| ChatGLM-6B | 36.80 | 34.78 | 36.40 | 36.65 | 36.33 | 35.20 | 35.32 | 34.93 | 36.21 | 35.39 |
| Gemma-7B | 35.22 | 33.51 | 38.28 | 37.07 | 36.64 | 32.53 | 37.12 | 34.16 | 36.82 | 34.32 |
| Baichuan-7B | 27.09 | 31.43 | 29.70 | 34.19 | 26.43 | 30.34 | 27.31 | 31.70 | 27.63 | 31.92 |
| Tigerbot-7B-Base | 29.70 | 31.19 | 30.10 | 34.40 | 29.16 | 30.44 | 30.31 | 31.28 | 29.82 | 31.83 |
| Llama2-7B | 27.59 | 29.60 | 29.62 | 33.55 | 27.66 | 30.09 | 28.45 | 31.36 | 28.33 | 31.15 |
| FinMA-7B | 23.71 | 22.74 | 24.92 | 25.86 | 22.71 | 20.71 | 22.34 | 23.52 | 23.42 | 23.21 |
| Size < 5B | | | | | | | | | | |
| Qwen1.5-4B | 39.63 | 43.29 | 44.67 | 45.48 | 41.02 | 42.50 | 40.68 | 42.10 | 41.50 | 43.34 |
| YunShan-1.5B | 35.06 | 37.36 | 39.19 | 41.61 | 38.17 | 39.95 | 38.08 | 40.32 | 37.63 | 39.81 |
| Phi3-3.8B-Instruct | 34.15 | 36.98 | 36.22 | 40.66 | 35.74 | 37.86 | 34.17 | 39.28 | 35.07 | 38.70 |
| Qwen1.5-1.8B | 33.92 | 35.81 | 38.18 | 41.13 | 33.96 | 36.38 | 36.34 | 37.43 | 35.60 | 37.69 |
| Qwen-1.8B | 29.56 | 32.25 | 34.53 | 36.40 | 29.17 | 32.69 | 30.98 | 34.27 | 31.06 | 33.90 |
| Qwen1.5-0.5B | 31.20 | 30.83 | 34.70 | 36.85 | 32.09 | 31.10 | 34.00 | 34.68 | 33.00 | 33.37 |
| InternLM2-1.8B | 29.59 | 33.86 | 35.04 | 33.86 | 29.58 | 31.49 | 32.40 | 32.66 | 31.65 | 32.97 |
| Gemma-2B | 24.95 | 27.36 | 26.24 | 29.47 | 22.47 | 25.92 | 24.48 | 29.93 | 24.54 | 28.17 |
| Phi2-2.7B | 23.07 | 25.63 | 27.04 | 30.94 | 23.13 | 27.64 | 26.38 | 28.12 | 24.91 | 28.08 |
| Phi1.5-1.3B | 15.35 | 23.40 | 18.79 | 26.73 | 14.68 | 23.27 | 16.64 | 27.02 | 16.37 | 25.11 |

4.4 Analysis

Few-shot examples are helpful in most cases. As we can see from Table 2, the performance of most models demonstrates improvement when some examples are provided. However, in the case of InternLM2-7B and ChatGLM-6B, *etc.* the zero-shot setting outperforms the few-shot setting. We guess that this is because these models have acquired the ability to fully understand the questions without the need for examples during the pre-training or fine-tuning. The introduced examples may mismatch with their training methodology, which leads to the decrease in accuracy [15, 25].

Table 3: The 0/3-shot average accuracy (%) on the validation split and test split.

| Model | Val | | Test | |
|------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 0-shot | 3-shot | 0-shot | 3-shot |
| GPT4 | 55.66 | 54.63 | 55.80 | 54.69 |
| Qwen1.5-72B | 56.33 | 58.17 | 56.47 | 58.10 |
| Yi1.5-34B | 57.71 | 59.72 | 57.34 | 60.16 |
| Qwen1.5-32B | 54.94 | 57.85 | 55.15 | 57.64 |
| InternLM2-20B | 47.17 | 48.37 | 47.17 | 47.32 |
| Baichuan2-13B | 32.66 | 42.16 | 31.53 | 41.50 |
| Llama3-8B | 26.72 | 37.91 | 26.61 | 38.01 |
| ChatGLM3-6B-Base | 47.34 | 47.90 | 46.07 | 47.28 |

Table 4: The 0/3-shot average accuracy (%) of base model and chat model on the test split.

| Model | Base | | Chat | |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| | 0-shot | 3-shot | 0-shot | 3-shot |
| Yi1.5-34B | 57.34 | 60.16 | 58.99 | 57.48 |
| Qwen1.5-32B | 55.15 | 57.64 | 59.87 | 58.80 |
| InternLM2-20B | 47.17 | 47.32 | 48.19 | 45.49 |
| Baichuan2-13B | 31.53 | 41.50 | 40.74 | 44.60 |
| Llama3-8B | 26.61 | 38.01 | 42.04 | 41.73 |
| ChatGLM3-6B | 46.07 | 47.28 | 30.79 | 27.27 |
| Gemma-2B | 24.54 | 28.17 | 34.38 | 33.72 |
| Qwen1.5-1.8B | 35.60 | 37.69 | 37.50 | 35.78 |

Scaling up the model size usually results in better performance. In Table 2, as the model size increases, the accuracy of Qwen1.5-0.5B, Qwen1.5-1.8B, Qwen1.5-4B and Qwen1.5-7B increases accordingly, *i.e.*, 33.37%, 37.69%, 43.34% and 48.36% respectively at the 3-shot setting. Similarly, the accuracy of Yi1.5-34B is increased by 10.72% over Yi1.5-9B at the 3-shot setting. However, this does not mean that increasing the model size will definitely improve the performance.

Domain specific pre-training and fine-tuning are helpful. Impressively, two finance-specific models XuanYuan [62] and YunShan [46] achieve very competitive accuracy. This can be attributed to the fact that both models are mixed with high-quality financial corpus during pre-training and fine-tuning, resulting in better grasp of financial knowledge. We think the same is true for the general base models such as Yi [56] and Qwen [2] that perform well in Table 2.

Results on the validation split. Since we do not publicly release the labels for the test split, we provide the average accuracy on the validation split as a reference for developers. The results of 0-shot and 3-shot on the validation split and test split under answer-only setting are reported in Table 3. We can observe that the difference between the results of the same model on validation split and test split is very small. For example, the 0-shot accuracy of GPT4 on validation split and test split differ only by 0.14%, suggesting that developers can use the accuracy on validation split as a good indicator to iterate their models faster.

The performance of chat models. To better engage in natural conversation, the chat version are often derived from base model by alignment techniques [34], such as supervised finetuning (SFT) and reinforcement learning from human feedback (RLHF). As observed in Table 4, the accuracy of some models’ chat version is improved when compared to the base version, such as Qwen1.5-32B, InternLM2-20B, Baichuan2-13B, *etc.* At the same time, the accuracy of some models’ chat version have declined, such as Yi1.5-34B, ChatGLM3-6B, and Qwen1.5-1.8B. The varying alignment strategies of the models lead to different results.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we present CFinBench, the most comprehensive evaluation benchmark to date, for assessing the financial domain knowledge of LLMs under Chinese context. We improve the quality and diversity of the data and mitigate the issue of data contamination through a series of processes, including data cleaning, internal and external de-duplication, LLM-assisted question rephrasing, option shuffling, and multiple rounds of human cross-validation. Four first-level categories are included in CFinBench: financial subject, financial qualification, financial practice, and financial law, which are more align with the career trajectory of financial practitioners. The CFinBench comprises 99,100 questions spanning 43 second-level categories with 3 question types: single-choice, multiple-choice and judgment. We conduct extensive evaluations of 50 representative LLMs with various model size on CFinBench. The results show that there is still significant room for improvement for current LLMs in the Chinese financial domain.

References

- [1] Marah Abdin, Sam Ade Jacobs, Ammar Ahmad Awan, Jyoti Aneja, Ahmed Awadallah, Hany Awadalla, Nguyen Bach, Amit Bahree, Arash Bakhtiari, Harkirat Behl, et al. Phi-3 technical report: A highly capable language model locally on your phone. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.14219*, 2024.
- [2] Jinze Bai, Shuai Bai, Yunfei Chu, Zeyu Cui, Kai Dang, Xiaodong Deng, Yang Fan, Wenbin Ge, Yu Han, Fei Huang, et al. Qwen technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.16609*, 2023.
- [3] Lukas Berglund, Meg Tong, Max Kaufmann, Mikita Balesni, Asa Cooper Stickland, Tomasz Korbak, and Owain Evans. The reversal curse: LLMs trained on "a is b" fail to learn "b is a". *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.12288*, 2023.
- [4] Daniel Borkan, Lucas Dixon, Jeffrey Sorensen, Nithum Thain, and Lucy Vasserman. Nuanced metrics for measuring unintended bias with real data for text classification. In *Companion proceedings of the 2019 world wide web conference*, pages 491–500, 2019.
- [5] Andrei Z Broder. On the resemblance and containment of documents. In *Proceedings. Compression and Complexity of SEQUENCES 1997 (Cat. No. 97TB100171)*, pages 21–29. IEEE, 1997.
- [6] Zheng Cai, Maosong Cao, Haojiong Chen, Kai Chen, Keyu Chen, Xin Chen, Xun Chen, Zehui Chen, Zhi Chen, Pei Chu, et al. Internlm2 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.17297*, 2024.
- [7] Wei Chen, Qiushi Wang, Zefei Long, Xianyin Zhang, Zhongtian Lu, Bingxuan Li, Siyuan Wang, Jiarong Xu, Xiang Bai, Xuanjing Huang, et al. Disc-finllm: A chinese financial large language model based on multiple experts fine-tuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.15205*, 2023.
- [8] Ye Chen, Wei Cai, Liangmin Wu, Xiaowei Li, Zhanxuan Xin, and Cong Fu. Tigerbot: An open multilingual multitask llm. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.08688*, 2023.
- [9] Peter Clark, Isaac Cowhey, Oren Etzioni, Tushar Khot, Ashish Sabharwal, Carissa Schoenick, and Oyvind Tafjord. Think you have solved question answering? try arc, the ai2 reasoning challenge. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.05457*, 2018.
- [10] OpenCompass Contributors. Opencompass: A universal evaluation platform for foundation models. <https://github.com/open-compass/opencompass>, 2023.
- [11] DeepSeek-AI. Deepseek-v2: A strong, economical, and efficient mixture-of-experts language model, 2024.
- [12] Zhengxiao Du, Yujie Qian, Xiao Liu, Ming Ding, Jiezhong Qiu, Zhilin Yang, and Jie Tang. Glm: General language model pretraining with autoregressive blank infilling. In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 320–335, 2022.
- [13] Zhiwei Fei, Xiaoyu Shen, Dawei Zhu, Fengzhe Zhou, Zhuo Han, Songyang Zhang, Kai Chen, Zongwen Shen, and Jidong Ge. Lawbench: Benchmarking legal knowledge of large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.16289*, 2023.
- [14] Ant Group. Ant-fin-eva. https://github.com/alipay/financial_evaluation_dataset/, 2023.
- [15] Zhouhong Gu, Xiaoxuan Zhu, Haoning Ye, Lin Zhang, Jianchen Wang, Yixin Zhu, Sihang Jiang, Zhuozhi Xiong, Zihan Li, Weijie Wu, et al. Xiezhi: An ever-updating benchmark for holistic domain knowledge evaluation. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 38, pages 18099–18107, 2024.
- [16] Suriya Gunasekar, Yi Zhang, Jyoti Aneja, Caio César Teodoro Mendes, Allie Del Giorno, Sivakanth Gopi, Mojan Javaheripi, Piero Kauffmann, Gustavo de Rosa, Olli Saarikivi, et al. Textbooks are all you need. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.11644*, 2023.
- [17] Dan Hendrycks, Collin Burns, Steven Basart, Andy Zou, Mantas Mazeika, Dawn Song, and Jacob Steinhardt. Measuring massive multitask language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.03300*, 2020.
- [18] Gang Hu, Ke Qin, Chenhan Yuan, Min Peng, Alejandro Lopez-Lira, Benyou Wang, Sophia Ananiadou, Wanlong Yu, Jimin Huang, and Qianqian Xie. No language is an island: Unifying chinese and english in financial large language models, instruction data, and benchmarks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.06249*, 2024.
- [19] Yuzhen Huang, Yuzhuo Bai, Zhihao Zhu, Junlei Zhang, Jinghan Zhang, Tangjun Su, Junteng Liu, Chuancheng Lv, Yikai Zhang, Yao Fu, et al. C-eval: A multi-level multi-discipline chinese evaluation suite for foundation models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36, 2024.

- [20] East Money Information. Openfindata. <https://github.com/open-compass/OpenFinData/>, 2023.
- [21] Albert Q Jiang, Alexandre Sablayrolles, Arthur Mensch, Chris Bamford, Devendra Singh Chaplot, Diego de las Casas, Florian Bressand, Gianna Lengyel, Guillaume Lample, Lucile Saulnier, et al. Mistral 7b. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.06825*, 2023.
- [22] Armand Joulin, Edouard Grave, Piotr Bojanowski, Matthijs Douze, H erve J egou, and Tomas Mikolov. Fasttext. zip: Compressing text classification models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.03651*, 2016.
- [23] Takeshi Kojima, Shixiang Shane Gu, Machel Reid, Yutaka Matsuo, and Yusuke Iwasawa. Large language models are zero-shot reasoners. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:22199–22213, 2022.
- [24] Yang Lei, Jiangtong Li, Ming Jiang, Junjie Hu, Dawei Cheng, Zhijun Ding, and Changjun Jiang. Cfbenchmark: Chinese financial assistant benchmark for large language model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.05812*, 2023.
- [25] Haonan Li, Yixuan Zhang, Fajri Koto, Yifei Yang, Hai Zhao, Yeyun Gong, Nan Duan, and Timothy Baldwin. Cmmlu: Measuring massive multitask language understanding in chinese. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.09212*, 2023.
- [26] Jiangtong Li, Yuxuan Bian, Guoxuan Wang, Yang Lei, Dawei Cheng, Zhijun Ding, and Changjun Jiang. Cfgpt: Chinese financial assistant with large language model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.10654*, 2023.
- [27] Yuanzhi Li, S ebastien Bubeck, Ronen Eldan, Allie Del Giorno, Suriya Gunasekar, and Yin Tat Lee. Textbooks are all you need ii: phi-1.5 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.05463*, 2023.
- [28] Percy Liang, Rishi Bommasani, Tony Lee, Dimitris Tsipras, Dilara Soylu, Michihiro Yasunaga, Yian Zhang, Deepak Narayanan, Yuhuai Wu, Ananya Kumar, et al. Holistic evaluation of language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.09110*, 2022.
- [29] Dakuan Lu, Hengkui Wu, Jiaqing Liang, Yipei Xu, Qianyu He, Yipeng Geng, Mengkun Han, Yingsi Xin, and Yanghua Xiao. Bbt-fin: Comprehensive construction of chinese financial domain pre-trained language model, corpus and benchmark. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.09432*, 2023.
- [30] Meta. Llama3. <https://llama.meta.com/llama3>, 2024.
- [31] Shashi Narayan, Shay B Cohen, and Mirella Lapata. Don’t give me the details, just the summary! topic-aware convolutional neural networks for extreme summarization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.08745*, 2018.
- [32] OpenAI. Gpt-3.5-turbo. <https://www.openai.com/chatgpt>, 2021.
- [33] OpenAI. Gpt-4 technical report, 2023.
- [34] Long Ouyang, Jeffrey Wu, Xu Jiang, Diogo Almeida, Carroll Wainwright, Pamela Mishkin, Chong Zhang, Sandhini Agarwal, Katarina Slama, Alex Ray, et al. Training language models to follow instructions with human feedback. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:27730–27744, 2022.
- [35] Guilherme Penedo, Quentin Malartic, Daniel Hesslow, Ruxandra Cojocaru, Alessandro Cappelli, Hamza Alobeidli, Baptiste Pannier, Ebtesam Almazrouei, and Julien Launay. The refinedweb dataset for falcon llm: outperforming curated corpora with web data, and web data only. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.01116*, 2023.
- [36] Pranav Rajpurkar, Robin Jia, and Percy Liang. Know what you don’t know: Unanswerable questions for squad. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1806.03822*, 2018.
- [37] Raj Sanjay Shah, Kunal Chawla, Dheeraj Eidnani, Agam Shah, Wendi Du, Sudheer Chava, Natraj Raman, Charese Smiley, Jiaao Chen, and Diyi Yang. When flue meets flang: Benchmarks and large pre-trained language model for financial domain. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.00083*, 2022.
- [38] Robert J Shiller. *Finance and the good society*. Princeton University Press, 2013.
- [39] Aarohi Srivastava, Abhinav Rastogi, Abhishek Rao, Abu Awal Md Shoeb, Abubakar Abid, Adam Fisch, Adam R Brown, Adam Santoro, Aditya Gupta, Adri  Garriga-Alonso, et al. Beyond the imitation game: Quantifying and extrapolating the capabilities of language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.04615*, 2022.
- [40] Gemma Team, Thomas Mesnard, Cassidy Hardin, Robert Dadashi, Surya Bhupatiraju, Shreya Pathak, Laurent Sifre, Morgane Riviere, Mihir Sanjay Kale, Juliette Love, et al. Gemma: Open models based on gemini research and technology. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.08295*, 2024.

- [41] InternLM Team. Internlm: A multilingual language model with progressively enhanced capabilities, 2023.
- [42] Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, et al. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971*, 2023.
- [43] Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Albert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajjwal Bhargava, Shruti Bhosale, et al. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288*, 2023.
- [44] Alex Wang, Amanpreet Singh, Julian Michael, Felix Hill, Omer Levy, and Samuel R Bowman. Glue: A multi-task benchmark and analysis platform for natural language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.07461*, 2018.
- [45] Yizhong Wang, Yeganeh Kordi, Swaroop Mishra, Alisa Liu, Noah A Smith, Daniel Khashabi, and Hannaneh Hajishirzi. Self-instruct: Aligning language models with self-generated instructions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.10560*, 2022.
- [46] Yunhe Wang, Hanting Chen, Yehui Tang, Tianyu Guo, Kai Han, Ying Nie, Xutao Wang, Hailin Hu, Zheyuan Bai, Yun Wang, et al. Pangu- π : Enhancing language model architectures via nonlinearity compensation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.17276*, 2023.
- [47] Jason Wei, Xuezhi Wang, Dale Schuurmans, Maarten Bosma, Fei Xia, Ed Chi, Quoc V Le, Denny Zhou, et al. Chain-of-thought prompting elicits reasoning in large language models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35:24824–24837, 2022.
- [48] Tianwen Wei, Liang Zhao, Lichang Zhang, Bo Zhu, Lijie Wang, Haihua Yang, Biye Li, Cheng Cheng, Weiwei Lü, Rui Hu, et al. Skywork: A more open bilingual foundation model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.19341*, 2023.
- [49] Shijie Wu, Ozan Irsoy, Steven Lu, Vadim Dabravolski, Mark Dredze, Sebastian Gehrmann, Prabhanjan Kambadur, David Rosenberg, and Gideon Mann. Bloomberggpt: A large language model for finance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.17564*, 2023.
- [50] Qianqian Xie, Weiguang Han, Zhengyu Chen, Ruoyu Xiang, Xiao Zhang, Yueru He, Mengxi Xiao, Dong Li, Yongfu Dai, Duanyu Feng, et al. The finben: An holistic financial benchmark for large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.12659*, 2024.
- [51] Qianqian Xie, Weiguang Han, Xiao Zhang, Yanzhao Lai, Min Peng, Alejandro Lopez-Lira, and Jimin Huang. Pixiu: A large language model, instruction data and evaluation benchmark for finance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.05443*, 2023.
- [52] Can Xu, Qingfeng Sun, Kai Zheng, Xiubo Geng, Pu Zhao, Jiazhan Feng, Chongyang Tao, and Daxin Jiang. Wizardlm: Empowering large language models to follow complex instructions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.12244*, 2023.
- [53] Liang Xu, Hai Hu, Xuanwei Zhang, Lu Li, Chenjie Cao, Yudong Li, Yechen Xu, Kai Sun, Dian Yu, Cong Yu, et al. Clue: A chinese language understanding evaluation benchmark. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.05986*, 2020.
- [54] Aiyuan Yang, Bin Xiao, Bingning Wang, Borong Zhang, Chao Yin, Chenxu Lv, Da Pan, Dian Wang, Dong Yan, Fan Yang, et al. Baichuan 2: Open large-scale language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.10305*, 2023.
- [55] Hongyang Yang, Xiao-Yang Liu, and Christina Dan Wang. Fingpt: Open-source financial large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.06031*, 2023.
- [56] Alex Young, Bei Chen, Chao Li, Chengen Huang, Ge Zhang, Guanwei Zhang, Heng Li, Jiangcheng Zhu, Jianqun Chen, Jing Chang, et al. Yi: Open foundation models by 01. ai. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.04652*, 2024.
- [57] Sha Yuan, Hanyu Zhao, Zhengxiao Du, Ming Ding, Xiao Liu, Yukuo Cen, Xu Zou, Zhilin Yang, and Jie Tang. Wudaocorpora: A super large-scale chinese corpora for pre-training language models. *AI Open*, 2:65–68, 2021.
- [58] Aohan Zeng, Xiao Liu, Zhengxiao Du, Zihan Wang, Hanyu Lai, Ming Ding, Zhuoyi Yang, Yifan Xu, Wendi Zheng, Xiao Xia, et al. Glm-130b: An open bilingual pre-trained model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.02414*, 2022.

- [59] Liwen Zhang, Weige Cai, Zhaowei Liu, Zhi Yang, Wei Dai, Yujie Liao, Qianru Qin, Yifei Li, Xingyu Liu, Zhiqiang Liu, et al. Fineval: A chinese financial domain knowledge evaluation benchmark for large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.09975*, 2023.
- [60] Xiaotian Zhang, Chunyang Li, Yi Zong, Zhengyu Ying, Liang He, and Xipeng Qiu. Evaluating the performance of large language models on gaokao benchmark. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.12474*, 2023.
- [61] Xuanyu Zhang, Bingbing Li, and Qing Yang. Cgce: A chinese generative chat evaluation benchmark for general and financial domains. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.14471*, 2023.
- [62] Xuanyu Zhang and Qing Yang. Xuanyuan 2.0: A large chinese financial chat model with hundreds of billions parameters. In *Proceedings of the 32nd ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*, pages 4435–4439, 2023.
- [63] Wanjun Zhong, Ruixiang Cui, Yiduo Guo, Yaobo Liang, Shuai Lu, Yanlin Wang, Amin Saied, Weizhu Chen, and Nan Duan. Agieval: A human-centric benchmark for evaluating foundation models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.06364*, 2023.

Checklist

1. For all authors...
 - (a) Do the main claims made in the abstract and introduction accurately reflect the paper’s contributions and scope? **[Yes]**
 - (b) Did you describe the limitations of your work? **[Yes]** We describe our limitations in Appendix.
 - (c) Did you discuss any potential negative societal impacts of your work? **[Yes]** We discuss the potential negative societal impacts in Appendix.
 - (d) Have you read the ethics review guidelines and ensured that your paper conforms to them? **[Yes]**
2. If you are including theoretical results...
 - (a) Did you state the full set of assumptions of all theoretical results? **[N/A]**
 - (b) Did you include complete proofs of all theoretical results? **[N/A]**
3. If you ran experiments (e.g. for benchmarks)...
 - (a) Did you include the code, data, and instructions needed to reproduce the main experimental results (either in the supplemental material or as a URL)? **[Yes]** The dataset and code are available at <https://cfinbench.github.io/>
 - (b) Did you specify all the training details (e.g., data splits, hyperparameters, how they were chosen)? **[N/A]**
 - (c) Did you report error bars (e.g., with respect to the random seed after running experiments multiple times)? **[N/A]**
 - (d) Did you include the total amount of compute and the type of resources used (e.g., type of GPUs, internal cluster, or cloud provider)? **[N/A]**
4. If you are using existing assets (e.g., code, data, models) or curating/releasing new assets...
 - (a) If your work uses existing assets, did you cite the creators? **[Yes]**
 - (b) Did you mention the license of the assets? **[Yes]** Our evaluation code is developed based on OpenCompass [10], which uses the license of Apache-2.0.
 - (c) Did you include any new assets either in the supplemental material or as a URL? **[No]**
 - (d) Did you discuss whether and how consent was obtained from people whose data you’re using/curating? **[N/A]**
 - (e) Did you discuss whether the data you are using/curating contains personally identifiable information or offensive content? **[N/A]**
5. If you used crowdsourcing or conducted research with human subjects...
 - (a) Did you include the full text of instructions given to participants and screenshots, if applicable? **[N/A]**
 - (b) Did you describe any potential participant risks, with links to Institutional Review Board (IRB) approvals, if applicable? **[N/A]**
 - (c) Did you include the estimated hourly wage paid to participants and the total amount spent on participant compensation? **[N/A]**

A Appendix

A.1 More experiments

Results on chain-of-thought setting. To further explore the models’ reasoning capabilities, in addition to the answer-only (AO) setting, we also perform some experiments on the chain-of-thought (COT) setting [23, 47]. Evaluation on COT setting requires the model to generate explanations for a given question and then give the final answer based on the generated explanations. Specifically, we obtain the explanations examples only for multiple-choice questions manually by professional financial practitioners. The experimental results are reported in Table 2.

Table 5: The 0-shot and 3-shot average accuracy (%) of multiple-choice questions on the test split under the answer-only (AO) setting and chain-of-thought (COT) setting.

| | GPT4 | | Qwen-14B | | ChatGLM3-6B-Base | |
|-----|--------|--------|----------|--------|------------------|--------|
| | 0-shot | 3-shot | 0-shot | 3-shot | 0-shot | 3-shot |
| AO | 47.81 | 47.39 | 36.51 | 40.66 | 37.27 | 37.58 |
| COT | 47.95 | 47.62 | 27.65 | 29.96 | 29.48 | 31.11 |

As observed in Table 5, the models achieve comparable or lower average accuracy than in the answer-only setting. This suggests that COT prompting does not necessarily improve results, which is also evidenced in other benchmarks like FinEval [59] and C-Eval [19], *etc.*

Table 6: The few-shot average accuracy (%) of CFinBench and FinEval under the answer-only setting.

| | GPT4 | Yi1.5-34B | Qwen1.5-72B | Qwen1.5-32B | Qwen1.5-7B | ChatGLM3-6B | InternLM2-7B |
|-----------|-------|-----------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|--------------|
| CFinBench | 54.69 | 60.16 | 58.56 | 57.64 | 48.36 | 47.28 | 43.65 |
| FinEval | 68.60 | 86.79 | 83.93 | 84.36 | 72.55 | 63.08 | 62.03 |

Comparison with other similar benchmark. FinEval [59] is another representative benchmark for evaluating the Chinese financial advanced knowledge of LLMs. In Table 6, we report the few-shot accuracy on CFinBench and FinEval with various LLMs. It can be seen that the accuracy of the highest Yi1.5-34B reaches nearly 87%, while ours is around 60%. Likewise, the lowest InternLM2-7B accuracy is still over 62%, while ours is only around 43%. This all suggests that CFinBench is more challenging and better able to distinguish the performance of different models.

More results on chat models. To better engage in natural conversation, the chat version are often derived from the base model by alignment techniques [34], such as supervised finetuning (SFT) and reinforcement learning from human feedback (RLHF). In Table 7, we report more results of the representative chat models.

A.2 Prompt examples

We list the prompt examples utilized in the evaluation process, including zero-shot and few-shot in answer-only scenarios (Figure4 and Figure5), zero-shot and few-shot in chain-of-thought scenarios (Figure6).

A.3 Data statistic

In Table 8, we enumerate the comprehensive statistical information of the dataset.

A.4 Limitations and potential negative societal impacts

For limitations, ours focuses on Chinese financial system and is therefore not suitable for assessing financial knowledge in other countries. The proposed CFinBench provides an important basis for evaluating the LLMs’ mastery of Chinese financial knowledge. However, since we have open sourced the questions and answers of the validation split, if they are improperly used to train the large language

Table 7: The 0-shot and 3-shot accuracy (%) on the test split under the answer-only setting. "Average" column indicates the average accuracy over all the first-level categories.

| Model | Subject | | Qualification | | Practice | | Law | | Average | |
|--------------------------------------|---------|--------|---------------|--------|----------|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------|
| | 0-shot | 3-shot | 0-shot | 3-shot | 0-shot | 3-shot | 0-shot | 3-shot | 0-shot | 3-shot |
| Size > 65B | | | | | | | | | | |
| Qwen1.5-72B | 62.24 | 61.52 | 61.50 | 61.76 | 60.87 | 60.88 | 59.03 | 59.75 | 60.91 | 60.98 |
| XuanYuan2-70B | 50.82 | 55.42 | 51.42 | 52.03 | 51.39 | 52.35 | 48.05 | 52.42 | 50.42 | 53.06 |
| Llama3-70B | 51.98 | 53.76 | 53.04 | 52.90 | 51.54 | 51.47 | 48.75 | 50.98 | 51.33 | 52.28 |
| DeepSeek-67B | 48.64 | 52.88 | 49.74 | 50.85 | 49.03 | 52.65 | 44.76 | 50.25 | 48.04 | 51.66 |
| Llama2-70B | 25.78 | 30.32 | 27.96 | 31.32 | 25.02 | 29.02 | 26.04 | 32.84 | 26.20 | 30.88 |
| Size \approx 30B | | | | | | | | | | |
| Qwen1.5-32B | 59.79 | 59.37 | 61.22 | 60.00 | 59.65 | 57.91 | 58.80 | 57.90 | 59.87 | 58.80 |
| Yi1.5-34B | 60.96 | 58.10 | 59.49 | 57.96 | 58.69 | 57.19 | 56.91 | 56.65 | 58.99 | 57.48 |
| 10B < Size < 20B | | | | | | | | | | |
| Qwen-14B | 48.74 | 49.35 | 49.66 | 49.81 | 47.82 | 47.83 | 45.66 | 45.9 | 47.97 | 48.22 |
| XuanYuan-13B | 43.91 | 45.49 | 47.13 | 49.76 | 45.55 | 48.49 | 44.24 | 47.27 | 45.21 | 47.75 |
| InternLM2-20B | 49.78 | 46.06 | 49.46 | 47.95 | 48.22 | 43.29 | 45.29 | 44.67 | 48.19 | 45.49 |
| InternLM-20B | 43.22 | 44.89 | 45.55 | 46.88 | 43.75 | 45.82 | 42.05 | 43.43 | 43.64 | 45.26 |
| Baichuan2-13B | 41.01 | 44.68 | 42.00 | 45.80 | 40.23 | 44.61 | 39.72 | 43.31 | 40.74 | 44.60 |
| Tigerbot-13B | 38.55 | 37.26 | 40.29 | 42.32 | 37.97 | 38.76 | 37.73 | 37.39 | 38.64 | 38.93 |
| Baichuan-13B | 33.56 | 39.28 | 39.54 | 38.40 | 34.49 | 38.41 | 35.80 | 36.29 | 35.85 | 38.10 |
| 5B < Size < 10B | | | | | | | | | | |
| Yi1.5-9B | 55.32 | 54.16 | 55.48 | 53.93 | 53.77 | 52.79 | 51.56 | 51.03 | 54.03 | 52.98 |
| Qwen1.5-7B | 48.32 | 49.98 | 50.45 | 50.12 | 48.34 | 48.15 | 47.26 | 46.95 | 48.59 | 48.80 |
| InternLM2-7B | 44.93 | 45.73 | 46.67 | 48.04 | 45.51 | 45.99 | 43.85 | 44.49 | 45.24 | 46.06 |
| XuanYuan-6B | 44.18 | 42.62 | 45.17 | 46.29 | 45.01 | 44.58 | 42.84 | 41.92 | 44.30 | 43.85 |
| Llama3-8B | 41.34 | 41.30 | 43.49 | 42.63 | 42.51 | 41.54 | 40.83 | 41.44 | 42.04 | 41.73 |
| InternLM-7B | 38.07 | 41.09 | 42.85 | 44.21 | 40.70 | 41.53 | 38.33 | 39.97 | 39.99 | 41.70 |
| Mistral-7B | 39.07 | 39.44 | 40.77 | 43.38 | 40.04 | 41.83 | 38.69 | 40.63 | 39.64 | 41.32 |
| Baichuan2-7B | 40.24 | 40.13 | 42.59 | 41.81 | 42.77 | 41.58 | 40.68 | 39.88 | 41.57 | 40.85 |
| Tigerbot-7B | 34.11 | 34.53 | 37.45 | 35.03 | 35.48 | 33.74 | 35.42 | 32.79 | 35.62 | 34.02 |
| ChatGLM3-6B | 28.68 | 26.14 | 33.53 | 27.66 | 31.08 | 27.64 | 29.88 | 27.63 | 30.79 | 27.27 |
| Size < 5B | | | | | | | | | | |
| Qwen1.5-4B | 43.03 | 43.19 | 46.53 | 45.83 | 44.77 | 43.50 | 42.50 | 42.37 | 44.21 | 43.72 |
| Qwen-1.8B | 36.18 | 35.94 | 36.35 | 37.47 | 34.87 | 38.75 | 36.55 | 36.61 | 35.99 | 37.19 |
| InternLM2-1.8B | 34.55 | 34.72 | 40.26 | 39.52 | 37.25 | 37.05 | 36.86 | 37.24 | 37.23 | 37.13 |
| Qwen1.5-1.8B | 36.23 | 35.18 | 39.51 | 37.69 | 37.15 | 35.53 | 37.12 | 34.71 | 37.50 | 35.78 |
| Gemma-2B | 32.77 | 32.26 | 35.53 | 32.96 | 34.60 | 34.57 | 34.60 | 35.09 | 34.38 | 33.72 |
| Qwen1.5-0.5B | 34.80 | 31.62 | 35.63 | 30.56 | 35.58 | 29.94 | 36.18 | 32.29 | 35.55 | 31.10 |

model, the accuracy of the model may be falsely high. Therefore, the results on CFinBench are only a reference. The true quality of the model depends on the performance of the user in the practical scenario.

A.5 License

The proposed benchmark is under the terms of the Apache-2.0 license³. We encourage code sharing and respect the copyright of the original author, and also allow code modification and redistribution (as open source or commercial software).

³<https://www.apache.org/licenses/LICENSE-2.0>

请你做一道关于金融学的单项选择题，你将从A, B, C, D中选出正确的答案，并写在‘答案：’之后，答案应只包含最终结果，不要添加额外语句。例如：答案：B。请你严格按照上述格式作答。题目如下：
 You are asked to do a multiple choice question on Finance, you will select the correct answer from A, B, C, D and write it after ‘Answer:’. The answer should contain only the final result without adding extra statements. For example, Answer : B. Please follow the above format strictly. The questions are as follows: 广义外汇与狭义外汇本质的差异是什么？
 What is the most essential difference between broad and narrow foreign exchange?
 A、能否用于偿还债务 B、是否能够在国际上自由兑换 C、是否可用本国货币表示 D、是否涵盖所有有价证券
 A、 What is the most essential difference between broad and narrow foreign exchange? B、 Availability for debt servicing C、 Can be expressed in national currency D、 Whether all marketable securities are covered
 答案： Answer:

(a) Example of single-choice questions on Finance.

请你做一道关于税务师的多项选择题，答案可能是一个到多个选项,请你从四个或者五个选项中选出正确的答案，并将其写在‘答案：’之后，答案应只包含最终结果，不要添加额外语句。例如：答案：A D。请你严格按照上述格式作答。题目如下：
 You are asked to do a multiple choice question on Tax Accountant. The answer may be one to more than one option. Please select the correct answer from four or five options and write it after ‘Answer:’. The answer should contain only the final result without adding extra statements. For example: Answer: A D. Please follow the above format strictly. The questions are as follows:
 关于税务咨询，下列哪项说法是正确的(?)
 Which of the following statements is true about tax counselling (?)
 A、面对面回答纳税人的税务疑问被视为口头咨询 B、利用电话进行的咨询可以被称作口头咨询 C、通过电子邮件形式进行的咨询被划分为网络咨询 D、网络咨询代表了税务咨询方式的一种新趋势 E、书面咨询是最普遍采用的税务咨询方法
 A、 Face-to-face answers to taxpayers’ tax queries are treated as verbal advice B、 Counselling using the telephone can be referred to as verbal counselling C、 Counselling via email is classified as web counselling D、 Web-based counselling represents a new trend in tax counselling methods E、 Written advice is the most commonly used method of tax advice
 答案： Answer:

(b) Example of multiple-choice questions on Tax Accountant.

请回答下面关于银行业法的判断题，将你的判断结果写在‘答案：’之后，答案应只包含最终结果，不要添加额外语句。若给定题目表述正确，则回答：‘答案：正确’，否则回答：‘答案：错误’。请严格按照上述格式作答。题目如下：
 Answer the following judgement question on Banking Law by writing your judgement after ‘Answer:’. The answer should contain only the final result without adding extra statements. If the given question is correctly stated, answer: ‘Answer: correct’, otherwise answer: ‘Answer: incorrect’. Please follow the above format strictly. The questions are given below:
 商业银行的合规经营是基于所有从业人员的合规行为建立的，因此，即使是个别银行从业人员的违规行为也不会对银行整体的合规性产生影响。
 The compliance of a commercial bank is established on the basis of the compliant behavior of all practitioners, and therefore, even the non-compliance of an individual banker will not have an impact on the compliance of the bank as a whole.
 答案： Answer:

(c) Example of judgment questions on Banking Law.

Figure 4: Examples of zero-shot prompts in answer-only setting. English translations are shown in blue for better readability.

请你做一道关于财政学的单项选择题，你将从A, B, C, D中选出正确的答案，并写在'答案：'之后，答案应只包含最终结果，不要添加额外语句。例如：答案：B。请你严格按照上述格式作答。下面会展示三个问题及其答案的示例。题目如下：

You are asked to do a single choice question on Public Finance, you will select the correct answer from A, B, C, D and write it after 'Answer:'. The answer should contain only the final result without adding extra statements. For example, Answer : B. Please follow the above format strictly. Samples of three questions and their answers will be shown below. The questions are as follows:

我国的中央税包括以下哪一种税种？

Central taxes in the country include which of the following?

A、企业所得税 B、营业税 C、消费税 D、增值税 答案：C

A. Enterprise income tax B. Business tax C. Consumption tax D. Value-added tax Answer: C

...[3-shot examples]...

从狭义的角度出发，被认为有权限制定影响税收分配过程中权利义务关系的法律规范的国家机关是指什么？

In a narrow sense, what is meant by the State organ considered to have competence to establish legal norms affecting the relations of rights and obligations in the process of tax allocation?

A、中华人民共和国国务院 B、中国国税总局 C、中央财政部分 D、全国人大及其常委会 答案：

A. State Council of the People's Republic of China B. China's State Administration of Taxation C. Central Ministry of Finance

D. National People's Congress and its Standing Committee Answer:

(a) Example of single-choice questions on Public Finance.

请你做一道关于政治经济学的多项选择题，答案可能是一个到多个选项，请你从四个或者五个选项中选出正确的答案，并将其写在'答案：'之后，答案应只包含最终结果，不要添加额外语句。例如：答案：A D。请你严格按照上述格式作答。下面会展示三个问题及其答案的示例。题目如下：

Please do a comparison question about political economy. The answer may be four or more options. Please choose the correct answer from one or five options and write it after 'Answer:'. The answer to the question should be only contain the final result and do not add additional statements. For example: Answer: A D. Please answer strictly according to the above format. Examples of three questions and their answers are shown below. as follows:

如果小王用38元在店里购买了一副网球拍，而店家需要将8元缴纳作为税费，那么在此交易中，货币的作用是什么？

If Wang buys a tennis racket in the store for 38 yuan, and the store needs to pay a fine of 8 yuan as tax, then what is the role of currency in the transaction?

A、价值尺度 B、流通手段 C、支付手段 D、贮藏手段 答案：B, C

A. Value scale B. Circulation means C. Payment means D. Storage means Answer: B, C

...[3-shot examples]...

在市场经济环境中，企业作为市场活动的基本和关键参与者，其特点包括()

In a market economy environment, enterprises serve as basic and key participants in market activities, and their characteristics include ()

A、企业须独立进行财务核算，并承担盈亏责任 B、企业生产产品或服务的首要目标是获得收益 C、企业负责直接向社会供应产品及服务 D、企业具备自主经营的权利 答案：

A. Enterprises must conduct financial accounting independently and assume responsibility for profits and losses. B. The primary goal of enterprises in producing products or services is to obtain profits. C. Enterprises are responsible for directly supplying products and services to the society. D. Enterprises have the right to operate independently. Answer:

(b) Example of multiple-choice questions on Political Economy.

请回答下面关于保险从业资格的判断题，将你的判断结果写在'答案：'之后，答案应只包含最终结果，不要添加额外语句。若给定题目表述正确，则回答：'答案：正确'，否则回答：'答案：错误'。请严格按照上述格式作答。下面会展示三个问题及其答案的示例。题目如下：

Please answer the following judgment questions about insurance qualification. Write your judgment results after 'Answer:'. The answer should only contain the final result and do not add additional sentences. If the given question is stated correctly, answer: 'Answer: Correct', otherwise answer: 'Answer: Wrong'. Please answer strictly according to the above format. Examples of three questions and their answers are shown below. The questions are as follows:

车辆损失可以全额获得车损险赔偿，无论是否存在过错。

Vehicle damage insurance is fully reimbursable for vehicle damage, regardless of fault.

答案：正确 Answer: Correct

...[3-shot examples]...

保险公司的理赔必须在所有情况下满足客户的要求。

The insurance company's claims must meet the customer's requirements in all circumstances.

答案： Answer:

(c) Example of judgment questions on Insurance Qualification.

Figure 5: Examples of few-shot prompts in answer-only setting. English translations are shown in blue for better readability.

请你做一道关于政治经济学的多项选择题，我们将在下面提供3个示例供参考，首先你需要对问题作一步一步地思考，答案可能是一个到多个选项，请你从四个或者五个选项中选出正确的答案，并在思考后将其写在‘答案：’之后。以下为三个问题及其思考过程和最终答案的示例。题目如下：

Take a multiple-choice question about political economy. We will provide 3 examples below for reference. First, you need to think about the question step by step. The answer may be one to multiple options. Please choose from four Or choose the correct answer from the five options and write it after 'Answer:' after thinking about it. Below are examples of three questions, their thought processes, and final answers. The questions are as follows:

近期，中国东南部沿海区域经历了广泛的“民工短缺”现象，而农村地区却有一定数量的劳动力过剩。这种情况表明了什么？

Recently, China's southeastern coastal areas have experienced a widespread "migrant worker shortage" phenomenon, while there is a certain amount of labor surplus in rural areas. What does this situation indicate?

A、应结合国家的宏观调控和市场调节机制共同作用来解决这一问题 B、市场在调节中存在不可预见性和延迟性，因此不能仅仅依靠市场机制来解决这一矛盾 C、市场在分配资源方面扮演着根本性角色，这一矛盾应当完全交由市场调节来处理 D、市场调控功能已经无法发挥，需通过计划经济手段来调整劳动力资源，以解决这一问题

A、 This problem should be solved by combining the national macro-control and market adjustment mechanisms. B、 The market has unpredictability and delay in adjustment, so this contradiction cannot be solved by relying solely on the market mechanism. C、 The market is allocating resources. Playing a fundamental role in this aspect, this contradiction should be completely left to market regulation. D、 The market regulation function can no longer be exerted, and labor resources need to be adjusted through planned economic means to solve this problem.

在以上选项中，应该选择什么？ Which of the above options should you choose?

让我们逐步思考：市场经济是社会化的商品经济，是市场在资源配置中起基础作用的经济，出现这种劳动力不平衡的状况，是由于市场自发调节具有盲目性和滞后性的缺点，要解决这一问题，一方面要发挥市场的调节作用，另一方面要依靠国家宏观调控，弥补市场调节的不足。因此正确选项为A、B。答案：A、B

Let us think step by step: The market economy is a socialized commodity economy, an economy in which the market plays a basic role in resource allocation. This imbalance in the labor force is due to the shortcomings of blindness and lag in spontaneous market regulation. It must be To solve this problem, on the one hand, we must give full play to the regulatory role of the market, and on the other hand, we must rely on national macro-control to make up for the lack of market regulation. Therefore the correct options are A and B. Answer: A, B

...[3-shot examples]...

产业政策在国家宏观调控政策中包含哪些部分？ What parts does industrial policy include in the national macro-control policy?

A、产业布局政策 B、产业组织政策 C、产业结构政策 D、产业优惠政策

A. Industrial layout policy B. Industrial organization policy C. Industrial structure policy D. Industrial preferential policy

在以上选项中，应该选择什么？让我们逐步思考：

Which of the above options should you choose? Let's think about it step by step:

(a) Few shot example of multiple-choice questions on Political Economy.

请你做一道关于期货从业资格的多项选择题，首先你需要对问题作一步一步地思考，然后请你从四个或者五个选项中选出正确的一个或多个答案，并将其写在‘答案：’之后。题目如下：

Take a multiple-choice question about futures practitioner qualification. First, you need to think about the question step by step. Then you are asked to choose the correct answer or answers from four or five options and write them down. after 'Answer:'. The topics are as follows:

中国期货业协会采取了哪些措施来培育和提升期货投资咨询人员的专业水平？

What measures has the China Futures Association taken to cultivate and enhance the professional level of futures investment consulting personnel?

A、坚决打击侵害投资者利益、损害行业整体利益的违法失信行为，营造有利于期货公司进行投资咨询业务的环境 B、制定相关政策，规范期货从业人员的执业行为 C、借鉴国际及国内成熟市场经验，推动期货投资咨询资格认证体系的发展 D、强化期货从业人员的自我约束与管理

A. Resolutely crack down on illegal and untrustworthy behaviors that infringe on the interests of investors and harm the overall interests of the industry, and create an environment conducive to futures companies' investment consulting services. B. Formulate relevant policies to standardize the professional behavior of futures practitioners. C. Learn from mature international and domestic markets. Experience, promote the development of futures investment consulting qualification certification system D. Strengthen the self-discipline and management of futures practitioners

在以上选项中，应该选择什么？让我们逐步思考：

Which of the above options should you choose? Let's think about it step by step:

(b) Zero shot example of multiple-choice questions on Futures Practitioner Qualification.

Figure 6: Examples of prompts in chain-of thought setting. English translations are shown in blue for better readability.

Table 8: The detailed statistic of CFinBench dataset.

| Category | Single-Choice | Multiple-Choice | Judgment | All |
|---|---------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
| Subject | 3302 | 1889 | 3915 | 9106 |
| Political Economy (政治经济学) | 115 | 47 | 67 | 229 |
| Western Economics (西方经济学) | 268 | 46 | 212 | 526 |
| Microeconomics (微观经济学) | 295 | 29 | 221 | 545 |
| Macroeconomics (宏观经济学) | 21 | 117 | 294 | 432 |
| Industrial Economics (产业经济学) | 406 | 199 | 249 | 854 |
| Public Finance (财政学) | 167 | 86 | 156 | 409 |
| International Trade (国际贸易学) | 99 | 48 | 100 | 247 |
| Statistics (统计学) | 974 | 794 | 1846 | 3614 |
| Auditing (审计学) | 443 | 429 | 381 | 1253 |
| Economic History (经济史) | 248 | 63 | 133 | 444 |
| Finance (金融学) | 266 | 31 | 256 | 553 |
| Qualification | 14604 | 8879 | 5905 | 29388 |
| Tax Practitioner Qualification (税务从业资格) | 1332 | 1544 | 464 | 3340 |
| Futures Practitioner Qualification (期货从业资格) | 2086 | 1396 | 1049 | 4531 |
| Fund Practitioner Qualification (基金从业资格) | 3892 | 118 | 536 | 4546 |
| Real Estate Practitioner Qualification (地产从业资格) | 503 | 511 | 660 | 1674 |
| Insurance Practitioner Qualification (保险从业资格) | 1780 | 1220 | 903 | 3903 |
| Securities Practitioner Qualification (证券从业资格) | 2734 | 2041 | 1518 | 6293 |
| Banking Practitioner Qualification (银行从业资格) | 258 | 173 | 266 | 697 |
| Certified Public Accountant (CPA) (注册会计师) | 2019 | 1876 | 509 | 4404 |
| Practice | 18824 | 13419 | 9802 | 42045 |
| Junior Auditor (初级审计师) | 317 | 317 | 194 | 828 |
| Intermediate Auditor (中级审计师) | 237 | 223 | 197 | 657 |
| Junior Statistician (初级统计师) | 158 | 190 | 97 | 445 |
| Intermediate Statistician (中级统计师) | 259 | 400 | 195 | 854 |
| Junior Economist (初级经济师) | 2262 | 1496 | 655 | 4413 |
| Intermediate Economist (中级经济师) | 2547 | 1250 | 913 | 4710 |
| Junior Banking Professional (初级银行从业人员) | 2886 | 2075 | 1646 | 6681 |
| Intermediate Banking Professional (中级银行从业人员) | 2572 | 1550 | 1482 | 5604 |
| Junior Accountant (初级会计师) | 1654 | 1217 | 964 | 3835 |
| Intermediate Accountant (中级会计师) | 1252 | 858 | 700 | 2810 |
| Tax Consultant (税务师) | 934 | 1115 | 493 | 2542 |
| Asset Appraiser (资产评估师) | 1779 | 1690 | 896 | 4365 |
| Securities Analyst (证券分析师) | 1967 | 1038 | 1370 | 4375 |
| Law | 7695 | 5438 | 5428 | 18561 |
| Tax Law I (税法 I) | 287 | 284 | 237 | 808 |
| Tax Law II (税法 II) | 283 | 323 | 238 | 844 |
| Tax Inspection (税务稽查) | 974 | 874 | 1664 | 3512 |
| Commercial Law (商业法) | 331 | 599 | 201 | 1131 |
| Securities Law (证券法) | 1009 | 106 | 693 | 1808 |
| Insurance Law (保险法) | 69 | 57 | 42 | 168 |
| Economic Law (经济法) | 610 | 424 | 405 | 1439 |
| Banking Law (银行业法) | 2783 | 1360 | 1231 | 5374 |
| Futures Law (期货法) | 922 | 884 | 477 | 2283 |
| Financial Law (金融法) | 315 | 323 | 180 | 818 |
| Civil Law (民法) | 112 | 204 | 60 | 376 |
| Total | 44425 | 29625 | 25050 | 99100 |