

HETOCCompiler: An MLIR-based cryptOgraphic Compilation Framework for HEterogeneous Devices

Zhiyuan Tan^{1,2}, Liutong Han^{1,2}, Mingjie Xing¹, and Yanjun Wu¹(✉)

¹ Intelligent Software Research Center, Institute of Software,
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

yanjun@iscas.ac.cn

² University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 101408, China

Abstract. Hash algorithms are fundamental tools in cryptography, offering irreversible and sensitive transformations of input data for various security purposes. As computing architectures evolve towards heterogeneous systems, efficiently harnessing diverse computing resources for hash encryption algorithms becomes crucial. This paper presents *HETOCCompiler*, a novel cryptography compilation framework designed for heterogeneous systems. Leveraging Multi-Level Intermediate Representation (MLIR), *HETOCCompiler* abstracts syntax and semantics for cryptographic primitives and heterogeneous computing models, facilitating efficient compilation of high-level hash encryption algorithms into executable programs compatible with diverse devices. Experimental results demonstrate significant performance improvements over existing OpenSSL library, with average enhancements of 49.3x, 1.5x, and 23.4x for SHA-1, MD5, and SM3 algorithms respectively.

Keywords: Hash algorithms · Heterogeneous systems · Compilation framework · MLIR · Cryptography.

1 Introduction

In the realm of cryptography, hash algorithms stand as fundamental and indispensable tools. They possess the ability to convert inputs of varying lengths into fixed-length outputs, with these outputs exhibiting irreversibility and sensitivity to even minor alterations in the input. Prominent among the widely utilized hash algorithms are SHA-1, MD5, and SM3, among others. These algorithms serve diverse purposes in areas such as data verification, secure encryption, and digital signatures, thereby playing a critical role in ensuring the integrity and security of information.

As transistor sizes on silicon chips approach physical limits, the efficacy of Moore's Law has progressively diminished. To overcome the physical constraints hindering the enhancement of general-purpose processor performance, considerable exploration has been devoted to hardware architecture advancements. Among these endeavors, heterogeneous computing systems have emerged as a

highly promising solution. Heterogeneous computing systems encompass complex architectures comprising diverse processors, accelerators, and other computing devices. Typically, these systems integrate a general-purpose processor (e.g., CPU) alongside multiple specialized accelerators (e.g., GPUs, FPGAs, and CGRAs). Prior research [15,8,7,9,13,3,24,22,19,27] efforts have focused on exploring hash encryption algorithms tailored for specific specialized accelerators, as well as implementing specific algorithms for dedicated heterogeneous supercomputing systems [17,26]. However, effectively harnessing the diverse computing resources of general heterogeneous computing systems for large-scale hash encryption algorithm computations remains a formidable challenge.

To bridge this gap, this paper introduces *HETOCCompiler*, a cryptography compilation framework tailored for heterogeneous systems, built upon the Multi-Level Intermediate Representation (MLIR) [16]. Leveraging the concept of *dialects* within MLIR, the framework abstracts a comprehensive set of syntax and semantic rules for fundamental cryptographic primitives and heterogeneous computing models. By transforming multi-level intermediate representations (IR), high-level hash encryption algorithms can be efficiently compiled into executable programs compatible with various heterogeneous devices. Additionally, the framework offers a plug-and-play C++ interface for cryptographic algorithms, facilitating direct invocation by users. Our experiments demonstrate that in scenarios involving the computation of a large number of short messages, the hash encryption algorithms synthesized using our compilation framework can effectively harness heterogeneous hardware resources. Furthermore, comparative analyses against existing high-performance open-source cryptographic library OpenSSL [21] reveal notable performance improvements, achieving average 49.3x, 1.5x and 23.4x enhancements for SHA-1, MD5 and SM3 algorithms respectively. The principal contributions of this paper can be summarized as follows:

- We introduce a pioneering cryptography compilation framework tailored for heterogeneous systems. Leveraging the MLIR infrastructure, this framework orchestrates an end-to-end compilation process, seamlessly transitioning from high-level language interfaces to device-specific executables. This comprehensive approach significantly enhances the efficiency of hash encryption algorithms across heterogeneous computing systems.
- We have developed a cryptography domain-specific dialect named *crypto*. This dialect encapsulates hash encryption algorithms at multiple granularities, effectively decoupling existing algorithms and facilitating the seamless integration of new ones.
- We have designed a novel and generic heterogeneous scheduling dialect named *hyper*. This dialect abstracts the intricacies of data communication between host processors and heterogeneous devices, as well as the logic governing computation and scheduling on heterogeneous platforms. The adaptability of this dialect extends beyond hash encryption computation scenarios, catering to any requirement for large-scale parallel computing leveraging heterogeneous devices.

- We provide users with accessible high-level language interfaces, primarily through mainstream C++ programming interfaces. Users can readily utilize our encapsulated algorithm-level and module-level interfaces within their custom applications, streamlining the integration process.

The organizational structure of this paper is as follows: Sect. 2 introduces some preliminary knowledge. Sect. 3 elaborates on the main architecture and design details of *HETOCCompiler*. Sect. 4 showcases the experimental results of *HETOCCompiler*'s compilation outputs on different heterogeneous systems. Sect. 5 discusses existing related work. Sect. 6 summarizes the paper and proposes prospects for future work.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we first introduce the algorithmic logic of commonly used hash encryption algorithms SHA-1, SM3, and MD5. Then, we briefly introduce the MLIR compiler infrastructure.

2.1 Common Hash Encryption Algorithms

Cryptographic hash algorithms play a ubiquitous role in various facets of modern life, encompassing applications such as blockchain, file verification, and password storage. Among the commonly utilized cryptographic hash algorithms are the SHA family algorithms, the SM3 algorithm, and the MD5 algorithm. The SHA family algorithms [20], devised by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) in the United States, encompass SHA-1, SHA-2, and SHA-3 algorithms, with progressively enhanced security. The MD5 algorithm [23], introduced by Ronald Rivest in 1992, operates based on the Merkle-Damgård structure, offering a robust message digest mechanism. Conversely, the SM3 algorithm [1] emerges as a national commercial cryptographic hash algorithm standard, announced by the Chinese State Cryptography Administration in 2010. It serves to fulfill the application requisites of the electronic authentication service system, symbolizing a significant advancement in cryptographic standards.

The comparison of these three algorithms is detailed in Table 1. Notably, these algorithms exhibit commonalities in padding and grouping operations, yet discrepancies emerge in the computation of expansion and iteration sections, alongside variations in output bit length and endianness. Additionally, certain operators such as AND, OR, and NOT are frequently used by different algorithms in the iterative computation section. This comparative analysis offers valuable insights guiding the design of our cryptography dialect (refer to Sect. 3.3).

2.2 Multi-Level Intermediate Representation

Multi-Level Intermediate Representation (MLIR) [16] serves as a foundational infrastructure facilitating the rapid development of domain-specific compilers.




Fig. 1. An MLIR example with multiple coexisting dialects describing the core semantics of the SHA-1 algorithm on different heterogeneous devices.

It introduces the concept of multi-level intermediate representation, enabling the abstraction of structures at various levels. This approach empowers users to articulate algorithmic computation patterns or specific hardware architecture models using custom IR, irrespective of whether they belong to higher-level domain-specific programming languages or lower-level hardware architectures. By seamlessly integrating different levels of IR, MLIR enables the representation of intricate programs, thereby facilitating compiler optimizations across multiple levels. MLIR achieves the transformation between IRs of different levels in a hierarchical manner, progressively transitioning from algorithm-specific to hardware-specific computation patterns described by IRs. Ultimately, these IRs are lowered to a unified LLVM IR, from which specific executable programs for diverse hardware platforms are generated through various LLVM backends.

In MLIR, *dialects* constitute a pivotal concept, offering a mechanism for tailoring abstract elements to specific domains. A dialect encompasses fundamental elements such as operations, attributes, and types, delineating the syntax and semantic rules pertinent to that domain. Operations, being the fundamental units, articulate program semantics in MLIR. They preserve the static single assignment (SSA) property by consuming existing values and yielding new ones. Attributes furnish pertinent information accessible at compile time, thereby en-

abling optimizations based on these information. Types encapsulate compile-time information about values, thereby upholding MLIR’s internal type system.

An illustrative example depicted in Figure 1 showcases the utilization of custom *crypto* and *hyper* dialects alongside MLIR internal dialects to describe a SHA-1 encryption operation on heterogeneous devices. Notably, operations from disparate dialects can coexist to delineate program semantics, and can be hierarchically lowered to obtain device-specific executable programs.

3 HETOCCompiler

In this section, we first provide an overview of *HETOCCompiler* (Sect. 3.1), followed by detailed descriptions of the compiler’s frontend (Sect. 3.2), middle-end (Sect. 3.3 and 3.4), and backend (Sect. 3.5) design and implementation.

3.1 Overview

Figure 2 illustrates the overarching design of *HETOCCompiler*, where components pertaining to CGRA, depicted by dashed boxes, are currently under development. Our compiler primarily comprises three core components: frontend, middle-end, and backend.

Frontend The frontend assumes the pivotal role of identifying the pertinent interfaces provided by us within C/C++ source code. These interfaces, following optimization via our bespoke MLIR optimization passes, are amalgamated with other segments of the source code to compile and generate optimized executable programs. Moreover, the frontend possesses the capability to automatically detect hardware devices on the computing system, thereby providing essential information for task partitioning on heterogeneous devices and optimizations targeting specific backends.

Middle-End The middle-end is the core part of the compiler dedicated to optimization. It encompasses our customized dialects alongside the core dialects offered by MLIR, in addition to passes of dialect conversion or lowering. Leveraging the robust multi-level semantic representation capability of MLIR, we progressively lower the *crypto* dialect, embodying cryptographic semantics, and the *hyper* dialect, representing heterogeneous scheduling semantics, to intermediate representations with hardware-specific semantics. Throughout this process, targeted optimizations are applied to obtain the optimal LLVM IR.

Backend The backend constitutes the central element of the compiler dedicated to code generation. Utilizing LLVM IR as the hardware-independent unified intermediate representation, various hardware vendors typically implement passes for converting LLVM IR to hardware-specific machine code. Consequently, we can leverage existing hardware backends for code generation. Throughout this




Fig. 2. The overview structure of *HETOCCompiler*.

phase, different hardware backends execute hardware-specific code generation optimizations, culminating in the attainment of the optimal executable program.

3.2 Compiler Frontend

The compiler frontend operates as the "eyes" of the compilation system, responsible for gathering external information. Primarily, our frontend comprises two key components: the **interface recognizer** and the **hardware detector**, as illustrated in Figure 2.

Interface Identifier Our frontend supports the recognition and compilation of C/C++ source code. Users can leverage the cryptographic-related interfaces

provided by our compiler and assign special tags (resembling `#pragma`) to these interfaces when constructing their applications. Upon parsing the abstract syntax tree (AST) derived from the source code, the interface recognizer identifies the designated special tags. It subsequently translates the user-invoked interfaces into corresponding cryptographic dialect operators within the AST. These cryptographic dialect operators undergo specific transformations via our predefined conversion and lowering passes. Meanwhile, the remaining segments of the AST are directly utilized by LLVM’s existing C/C++ backend for further optimization and compilation. Ultimately, these components are combined to yield our application specifically optimized for cryptographic algorithms.

Hardware Detector The hardware detector of the frontend is capable of acquiring and parsing hardware-specific information during the build process, subsequently storing it as a singleton object for utilization in subsequent compilation processes. This object includes fields such as the number and model of devices, along with partitioning ratios of tasks on diverse devices. Such information holds paramount importance for task partitioning and hardware parameter configuration within the heterogeneous scheduling dialect, *hyper*. For instance, within the frontend, we maintain a table of NVIDIA GPU information, which includes details such as compute capability, maximum block size, and maximum grid size for specific GPU models, as depicted in Table 2. The max block/grid size information aids the *hyper* dialect in determining the appropriate number of threads to be configured when launching GPU kernels.

3.3 Crypto Dialect

To enhance domain-specific compilation capabilities within the cryptography domain, we have devised and integrated a cryptographic dialect named *crypto* into the middle-end of the compilation system. Illustrated in Figure 2, our cryptographic dialect offers abstraction at various granularities, wherein operators can be categorized into three distinct levels of abstraction: **algorithm level**, **module level**, and **arithmetic level**.

Algorithm Level At the algorithm level, we offer a macroscopic abstraction of hash algorithms. Our compilation system presently accommodates three hash algorithms: SHA-1, MD5, and SM3. Within the cryptographic dialect, we abstract these algorithms as corresponding algorithm-level operators. For various scenarios, such as computing the hash value of a single message or multiple equi-length messages, we devise two types of operators for each algorithm: single and multi. These algorithm-level operators are subsequently transformed into module-level operators within the dialect to enable fine-grained semantic description and optimization.

Module Level As seen in Table 1, various hash algorithms share common operations, like padding and grouping. Thus, we introduce module-level op-

erators to abstract these similarities and differences. Specifically, we define a generic `crypto.pad_msg` operator to encapsulate the message padding process, equipped with attributes such as block size and endianness to cater to diverse algorithmic requirements. Subsequently, the generic `crypto.pad_msg` operator will be lowered into a series of operators within the MLIR core dialect to describe its semantics. Furthermore, distinct `core` operators are defined to delineate algorithm-specific computational logic. These operators will then be transformed into arithmetic-level operators within the cryptographic dialect to capture the intricacies of arithmetic operations.

Arithmetic Level At the arithmetic level within the cryptographic dialect, we delve into the minutiae of hash algorithms by defining operators that encapsulate fundamental arithmetic operations. These include ubiquitous operations like AND, OR, NOT, XOR, arithmetic addition, and rotate left shift, which form the backbone of hash algorithm computations. These basic arithmetic operators can be subsequently lowered into operators within the MLIR core *arith* dialect for generic computation or into device-specific computation operators. For instance, certain CPUs within the x86 architecture offer support for the SHA-NI extension instruction set [10], furnishing hardware acceleration tailored to SHA algorithms. By translating the arithmetic-level operators in the cryptographic dialect into x86-specific computation instructions, we can fully utilize the hardware capabilities of certain x86 CPUs, thereby significantly enhancing the performance of hash algorithms.

3.4 Hyper Dialect

In the *hyper* dialect, we encapsulate the processes of storage management on heterogeneous devices, the data exchange between the host and these devices, and the complicated orchestration of computational tasks across diverse hardware components, forming the crux of our research initiative. This dialect serves as a comprehensive abstraction layer, encompassing operators that abstract both data management and parallel computation functionalities of heterogeneous devices (as depicted in Fig. 2). Furthermore, by employing specialized transformations, we fine-tune storage utilization and task execution efficiency across multiple heterogeneous devices.

Hyper Operations Inside *hyper* dialect, operators serve as abstractions for two fundamental aspects: data management and parallel computation. Regarding data management, the `hyper.alloc` operator elucidates the semantics underlying the allocation of storage space on the computational device, with the `device` attribute precisely delineating the target device. Conversely, the `hyper.dealloc` operator encapsulates semantics that are antithetical to those of `hyper.alloc`, denoting the release of allocated storage space on the designated device. Furthermore, the `hyper.memcpy` operator describes the intricate behavior pertaining to data transfer between the host system and the computational device.

For parallel computation, we introduce a fundamental operator, denoted as `hyper.for`, within the hyper dialect, which encapsulates the logic for task partitioning and execution across various devices. As depicted in Figure 1, the `hyper.for` operator delineates loop boundaries utilizing lower and upper bounds, employing a fixed step size of one. Additionally, it accommodates optional parameters, `mem_in` for storing input elements of the computational task, and `mem_out` for storing the output. The subsequent region of the `hyper.for` operator delineates the specific computation logic tailored for tasks necessitating parallel execution, thereby applicable across all computational devices. Furthermore, the `devices` attribute of the `hyper.for` operator delineates the available device list, where `dutyRatio` denotes the workload distribution ratio of the computation task on each device, `targetConfig` specifies device-specific configurations, and `targetId` denotes the type and identifier of the available devices. Moreover, the `isSharedMem` attribute of `hyper.for` signifies whether input/output partitioning is requisite, thus accommodating the diverse requirements of various computational scenarios.

To augment the versatility of the `hyper.for` operator, we introduce the `hyper.reduce` operator tailored for reduction operations on computation outcomes, accompanied by the `hyper.reduce.return` operator, serving as the terminator of its region. The `hyper.reduce` operator is mandated to be a sub-operation of `hyper.for`, with its region delineating the logic for reducing disparate computation outcomes. We strongly advocate for the utilization of atomic operations within the reduction logic to ensure the accuracy of the results. Reductions are executed among diverse threads on the same device and across heterogeneous devices, culminating in a final reduction outcome.

Hyper Transformations For operators responsible for data management, we convert them into device-specific data management operators. For instance, when targeting GPUs, the `hyper.alloc`, `hyper.dealloc`, and `hyper.memcpy` operators undergo transformation into `gpu.alloc`, `gpu.dealloc`, and `gpu.memcpy` operators, respectively, delineating behaviors pertinent to GPU devices. It is worth noting that such transformation may introduce additional overhead in terms of space allocation and data copying on the host CPU. To address this concern, we have devised an optimization pass grounded on mapping rules aimed at mitigating unnecessary data management overhead on the host CPU.

For the `hyper.for` operator, which delineates parallel computing semantics across heterogeneous devices, dedicated transformation passes must be devised to convert it into intermediate representations tailored for distinct backend architectures. To elucidate our transformation methodology, we employ the code snippet depicted in Figure 1 and showcase the transformation of the `hyper.for` operator for CPU and GPU backends, as exemplified in Figure 3. Initially, this pass computes and partitions the input and output spaces for each backend based on the respective workload ratios. Subsequently, as illustrated in the figure, for the GPU backend, the `hyper.for` operator undergoes transformation into the `gpu.launch` operator within the GPU dialect to initiate the kernel. Con-




Fig. 3. A transformation example from `hyper.for` operation to target-specific operations.

versely, for the CPU backend, it generates the `scf.parallel` operator within the MLIR core `scf` dialect, delineating the parallel loop computation process using the multi-core capability of the CPU. It is crucial to note that the iteration variables necessitate appropriate mapping within distinct loop bodies to ensure computational correctness. The determination of workload ratios and kernel launch parameters depicted in Figure 3 is attained through frontend parsing, underscoring that our compilation system supports an external module for heterogeneous device workload scheduling algorithms, generating device-specific code based on the provided workload ratios. However, the investigation into heterogeneous device workload scheduling algorithms lies beyond the scope of this paper and represents one of our future research goals.

3.5 Compiler Backend

Thanks to the robust hardware-independent LLVM IR, we can ultimately lower the various MLIR dialects to this unified intermediate representation, facilitating subsequent hardware-specific code generation from LLVM IR. For the x86 architecture, LLVM inherently supports compilation from LLVM IR to executable or object files, thus leveraging the clang-driver for x86 backend code generation. Conversely, for NVIDIA GPUs, given the separate compilation and linking requirements for host and device code, we utilize the NVCC toolchain provided by NVIDIA for NVIDIA GPU backend code generation. These backend toolchains subsequently undergo tailored optimizations to derive optimal backend-specific executable files. As shown in Figure 2, the CGRA related dialects and configu-

ration generation for this backend are still under development, which will be a significant focus of our future work.

4 Experiments & Results

4.1 Experimental Setup

Environments To assess the efficacy of our approach across diverse architectures, we set up three servers with distinct configurations and deployed our *HETOCCompiler* on each. The detailed parameter information of the experimental environment is outlined in Table 2. Each server is furnished with a multi-core x86 CPU and an NVIDIA GPU. Notably, the CPUs on Server 2 and Server 3 are founded on the Intel Skylake microarchitecture and lack the SHA-NI cryptographic acceleration instructions. This heterogeneous composition of platforms, comprising hardware with varying characteristics, aptly demonstrates the versatility of our approach.

Table 2. Experimental environments for our evaluation.

	Server A	Server B	Server C
Operating System	Ubuntu 22.04.2 LTS	Ubuntu 22.04.3 LTS	Ubuntu 22.04.2 LTS
Host Mem	126 GB	188 GB	126 GB
CPU	13th Gen Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-13700K	Intel(R) Xeon(R) Gold 5218R	Intel Xeon Processor (Skylake, IBRS)
CPU Cores	24	80	16
SHA-NI Support	Yes	No	No
GPU	GeForce RTX 2060 SUPER	GeForce RTX 3090	Tesla T4
GPU Mem	8 GB	24 GB	16 GB
Compute Capability (GPU)	sm_75	sm_86	sm_75
Max Grid Size (x dimension)	$2^{31} - 1$	$2^{31} - 1$	$2^{31} - 1$
Max Block Size (x dimension)	65536	65536	65536

Data Preparation To showcase the capability of our method in handling large-scale data, we devised a straightforward scenario related to password cracking. Specifically, we computed the SHA-1, MD5, and SM3 hash values of various messages comprising the character set $\{0-9\}$ with lengths ranging from 8 to 9 characters. The maximum amount of data transferred for computing these three hash values is 27.01GB, 23.28GB, and 38.18GB, respectively. Our objective is to demonstrate the efficient utilization of heterogeneous device resources by our method in processing large-scale data within this scenario.

Baseline Selection Due to the absence of native support for the SHA-1, MD5, and SM3 hash algorithms in existing methods [14], we have chosen to compare our prototype compiler against high-performance cryptographic algorithm libraries. Sodium [12], a widely utilized cryptographic library, unfortunately does not support the aforementioned algorithms. However, OpenSSL [21], a cryptographic library renowned for its comprehensive support and optimization of a broad spectrum of algorithms using assembly language, serves as an appropriate baseline for our evaluation. We will use the latest release version v3.3.0 of OpenSSL. To ensure fairness in the comparison, we will employ OpenMP [6] to harness all available CPU threads for massive message computation on the CPU when utilizing OpenSSL. All time measurements are conducted utilizing Google Benchmark[11].

4.2 Comparison with OpenSSL

To illustrate the performance enhancements facilitated by our approach, we conducted a comparative analysis between the algorithms implemented in our compiler and those in OpenSSL. The results are presented in Figure 4, showcasing average performance improvements of 49.3x, 1.5x, and 23.4x for SHA-1, MD5, and SM3, respectively. It is worth noting that the results of our approach were obtained by iteratively exploring various workload ratios within the same intervals to determine the optimal configuration. Hence, we emphasize that the observed performance enhancements stem from the capability of our *hyper* dialect to effectively integrate heterogeneous computing resources, a detailed exploration of which will be provided in Sect. 4.3.

As depicted in Figure 4, the performance enhancement for MD5 is not as pronounced as for the other algorithms, potentially due to its relatively simple design and implementation compared to the other algorithms. In contrast, the significant performance improvements observed for SHA1 and SM3 may be attributed to OpenSSL’s optimization for effectively computing hash values of single large messages, as opposed to the scenario of processing massive short messages in our experimentation. Notably, the execution time of the SHA-1




Fig. 4. Comparison results with OpenSSL on different servers.

algorithm on Server A is 2.3x and 5.1x faster than on Server B and Server C, respectively. This disparity underscores our compiler’s adept utilization of SHA-NI instructions and GPU resources to achieve optimal performance, thus affirming the potent device-utilization capabilities of our *hyper* dialect.

4.3 Device Scheduling Analysis

In this subsection, we conduct additional experiments to delve deeper into the internal mechanisms underlying the optimal performance of our *hyper* dialect, accompanied by a reasoned analysis.

In the scenario of the aforementioned experiment, two heterogeneous devices are utilized, namely, a x86 CPU and a NVIDIA GPU. It can be assumed that the execution time of both the CPU and GPU is linearly correlated with the increasing number of data, given that this parallel computation task is devoid of data races, as each input message and each output hash value are stored at distinct locations within an array. Consequently, we can formulate a straightforward hypothesis model under the aforementioned conditions:

$$T_{cpu} = \frac{N_{data} \cdot P_{cpu} \cdot x}{N_{core}} \quad (1)$$

$$T_{gpu} = N_{data} \cdot (1 - x) \left(\frac{P_{gpu}}{N_{thread}} + (T_{alloc} + T_{memcpy}) \right) + O_{gpu} \quad (2)$$

$$T_{opt} = \max(T_{cpu}, T_{gpu}) \quad (3)$$

In Eq.1, T_{cpu} represents the theoretical execution time on the CPU given the number of input data N_{data} , the computing capability of a single-core CPU P_{cpu} (denoting the time required for calculating the hash value of a single message), the workload ratio for the CPU x , and the number of available cores on the CPU N_{core} . Regarding the GPU, the theoretical execution time encompasses the GPU memory allocation and deallocation time T_{alloc} , data transfer time between the GPU and CPU T_{memcpy} , thread calculation time P_{gpu}/N_{thread} , and a constant overhead for invoking CUDA calls O_{gpu} , as illustrated in Eq.2. Consequently, we can deduce that the optimal execution time T_{opt} should be the maximum of T_{cpu} and T_{gpu} , resulting in a piecewise curve due to the linearity of T_{cpu} and T_{gpu} (Eq. 3).

To validate our assumption, we measured the practical execution time of CPU-only and GPU-only scenarios across varying numbers of messages, each with a fixed length of 9 bytes, on selected servers. The results are depicted in Figure 5, generated by systematically exploring different workload ratios within the same interval of 0.02, as detailed in Sect.4.2, on Server A (featuring SHA-NI) and Server C (devoid of SHA-NI support). In Figure 5, the red "o" points denote the actual execution time of our approach with an input size of 9×10^9 bytes, allocated with varying workload ratios for different devices. Additionally, the blue "+" represent the CPU-only execution time with fixed workload ratio of 0.0 for the GPU, while the green "x" points represent the GPU-only execution




Fig. 5. CPU-only and GPU-only execution times across various input sizes, contrasted with hybrid execution times across different CPU and GPU workload ratios.

time with fixed workload ratio of 0.0 for the CPU. The confidence intervals associated with the device-only points exhibit linear trends, providing partial support for the linear models proposed for CPU and GPU execution times in Eq.1 and Eq.2. Crucially, the points on either side of the inflection point of our practical execution time effectively lend themselves to fitting a segment of the curve for CPU-only and GPU-only scenarios, thereby substantiating our hypothesis outlined in Eq. 3.

Furthermore, Figure 5 offers additional insights. Firstly, the performance of SHA-1 in CPU-only mode on the server with SHA-NI (Server A) surpasses that on the server without SHA-NI (Server C), evidenced by the smaller slope of blue points on Server A compared to Server C (Refer to Figure 5 (a) and Figure 5 (b)). Consequently, optimal performance is achieved with a larger CPU

workload ratio, highlighting the variation in optimal performance across different heterogeneous systems due to differing computing capabilities of the devices. Secondly, each group of green points exhibits a "slope jump", indicating performance degradation in GPU-only mode. This is likely due to the implementation of a batching policy when the required memory exceeds GPU memory limits, resulting in under-utilization of GPU resources. Anticipated improvements in ideal optimal performance could occur if GPU computing power were fully utilized even when data sizes exceed GPU memory limits, leading to a downward shift of the intersection of the green and blue stripes. Lastly, a gap exists between the ideal optimal performance (the intersection of the green and blue stripes) and the real-world optimal performance (the inflection point of the red points). This gap is possibly attributable to inherent I/O and synchronization overheads, which could be further minimized.

It is worth mentioning that the analysis provided here pertains solely to simple heterogeneous systems featuring one x86 CPU and one NVIDIA GPU. Therefore, our model may not be applicable to more complex systems. Nonetheless, our analysis underscores the substantial potential of our *HETOCCompiler* in scheduling computing tasks across different devices. Research endeavors focused on scheduling models and extending support to accommodate more devices comprise an integral part of our future work plan.

5 Related Work

5.1 Hash Functions on Heterogeneous Devices

Prior research endeavors have explored diverse strategies to harness heterogeneous systems or specialized hardware to expedite the computation of secure hash functions. For instance, Luo *et al.* [17] and Wang *et al.* [26] have both devised parallel implementations of SHA256 tailored for specific supercomputers equipped with abundant heterogeneous accelerators. However, these optimized implementations are inherently system-specific and lack generality when applied to other heterogeneous systems in experimental settings. Moreover, the utilization of CPU cores is predominantly confined to data pre-processing, thus overlooking the potential prowess of cryptographic instructions inherent in CPUs (if available). In contrast, our approach showcases its generality through experiments conducted across various platforms (as elaborated in Sect. 4) and maximizes the utilization of cryptographic instructions on CPUs to the fullest extent possible.

Leveraging specific hardware to accelerate hash algorithms constitutes another compelling area of research for researchers. For instance, Kuznetsov *et al.* [15] conducted benchmarking studies on various hash algorithms across different GPUs, offering valuable insights for cryptographic research on GPUs. Dong *et al.* [8] devised a solution to implement the high-performance SM3 algorithm on GPUs, while Courtois *et al.* [7] developed an efficient method for executing SHA256 hash functions on GPUs. Beyond GPUs, numerous research explore a

diversity of hardware platforms to accelerate specific hash algorithms, including FPGAs [9,13,3,24], CGRAs [22], and other ASICs [19,27]. These works are primarily focused on achieving optimal performance on individual devices. In contrast, our approach aims to provide a unified compilation framework to harness the resources of diverse heterogeneous devices to their fullest potential.

5.2 Cryptography-specific Compilers and Languages

In the realm of cryptography-specific compilers, `CryptOpt` [14] stands out as a pioneering compilation pipeline excelling in transforming cryptographic programs into assembly code that outperforms outputs generated by GCC or Clang compilers. However, it is imperative to underscore that `CryptOpt` primarily focuses on single-message operations, whereas our *HETOCCompiler* is meticulously crafted to address the issue of extensive hash computations by effectively harnessing the computational capabilities of all available heterogeneous devices within the computing environment. Presently, *HETOCCompiler* supports x86 architecture and NVIDIA GPUs, with plans for expanding compatibility to encompass diverse platforms such as ARM, AMD GPUs, FPGAs, and CGRAs in our future work.

Other works have explored cryptography-specific languages, each catering to distinct facets of cryptographic operations. The `Jasmin` programming language [2] stands out for offering an efficient mechanism to generate cryptographic programs characterized by predictably high-speed and high-assurance attributes. On the other hand, `Usuba` [18] and `EasyBC` [25] are cryptographic domain-specific languages (DSLs) specifically tailored for block ciphers, emphasizing optimized performance in such contexts. Another notable example is `Vale` [4], a novel DSL tailored for verifying manually tuned high-performance cryptographic assembly code by inspecting the converted AST derived from annotated assembly code. Additionally, `Fact` [5], yet another cryptographic DSL equipped with a secrecy type system, facilitates the translation of high-level code into constant-time LLVM bitcode, thereby leveraging LLVM back-ends for code generation as well as our approach. While these DSLs excel in various aspects of cryptography and exhibit outstanding performance, they entail learning and adoption overhead for users due to the need to acquaint themselves with a new language paradigm. In contrast, our approach offers users intuitive interfaces, ensuring significant performance gains on heterogeneous platforms without necessitating the learning overhead associated with mastering a new language.

6 Conclusion & Future Work

In conclusion, this paper introduces *HETOCCompiler*, a pioneering framework tailored for heterogeneous systems, aiming to enhance the efficiency of hash encryption algorithms under massive data scenarios. By abstracting cryptographic primitives and heterogeneous computing models within MLIR, *HETOCCompiler*

streamlines the compilation process, yielding significant performance improvements over existing open-source OpenSSL library. The development of specialized dialects, *crypto* and *hyper*, further enriches the framework’s adaptability and usability, catering to diverse application scenarios. With accessible high-level interfaces, users can seamlessly integrate advanced cryptographic functionalities into their applications, leveraging the full potential of heterogeneous computing resources. *HETOCCompiler* represents an attempting step towards efficient and scalable hash encryption computations in modern complicated computing environments.

In addition to the implemented components, there are several avenues for future work. The investigation into multiple heterogeneous device workload scheduling algorithms lies beyond the scope of this paper and represents one of our future research goals. We hope to explore methods based on machine learning techniques to develop the workload scheduling module and seamlessly integrate it into our compilation framework. Additionally, we plan to extend its compatibility to include diverse platforms such as ARM, AMD GPUs, FPGAs, and CGRAs in our future work efforts. By broadening the scope of supported architectures, we aim to enhance the versatility and applicability of *HETOCCompiler* in heterogeneous computing environments.

References

1. Specification of sm3 cryptographic hash function (in chinese). <http://www.oscca.gov.cn/UpFile/20101222141857786.pdf>
2. Almeida, J.B., Barbosa, M., Barthe, G., Blot, A., Grégoire, B., Laporte, V., Oliveira, T., Pacheco, H., Schmidt, B., Strub, P.Y.: Jasmin: High-assurance and high-speed cryptography. In: Proceedings of the 2017 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security. pp. 1807–1823 (2017)
3. Bensalem, H., Blaqui ere, Y., Savaria, Y.: Acceleration of the secure hash algorithm-256 (sha-256) on an fpga-cpu cluster using opencl. In: 2021 IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems (ISCAS). pp. 1–5 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1109/ISCAS51556.2021.9401197>
4. Bond, B., Hawblitzel, C., Kapritsos, M., Leino, K.R.M., Lorch, J.R., Parno, B., Rane, A., Setty, S., Thompson, L.: Vale: Verifying {High-Performance} cryptographic assembly code. In: 26th USENIX security symposium (USENIX security 17). pp. 917–934 (2017)
5. Cauligi, S., Soeller, G., Johannesmeyer, B., Brown, F., Wahby, R.S., Renner, J., Gr egoire, B., Barthe, G., Jhala, R., Stefan, D.: Fact: a dsl for timing-sensitive computation. In: Proceedings of the 40th ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation. p. 174–189. PLDI 2019, Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3314221.3314605>, <https://doi.org/10.1145/3314221.3314605>
6. Chandra, R.: Parallel programming in OpenMP. Morgan kaufmann (2001)
7. Courtois, N.T., Grajek, M., Naik, R.: Optimizing sha256 in bitcoin mining. In: Cryptography and Security Systems: Third International Conference, CSS 2014, Lublin, Poland, September 22-24, 2014. Proceedings 3. pp. 131–144. Springer (2014)

8. Dong, J., Lu, S., Zhang, P., Zheng, F., Xiao, F.: G-sm3: High-performance implementation of gpu-based sm3 hash function. In: 2022 IEEE 28th International Conference on Parallel and Distributed Systems (ICPADS). pp. 201–208 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICPADS56603.2022.00034>
9. Duong, L.V.T., Thuy, N.T.T., Khai, L.D.: A fast approach for bitcoin blockchain cryptocurrency mining system. *Integration* **74**, 107–114 (2020). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vlsi.2020.05.003>, <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167926020300146>
10. Gulley, S., Gopal, V., Yap, K., Feghali, W., Gullford, J., Wolrich, G.: Intel sha extensions new instructions supporting the secure hash algorithm on intel architecture processors. Technical report, Intel Corporation (2013), <https://software.intel.com/sites/default/files/article/402097/intel-sha-extensions-white-paper.pdf>
11. Inc., G.: Google benchmark. Tech. rep. (2022), <https://github.com/google/benchmark>
12. jedisctl: libsodium: A modern, portable, easy to use crypto library. <https://github.com/jedisctl/libsodium> (2021), accessed: 2021-05-06
13. Kammoun, M., Elleuchi, M., Abid, M., BenSaleh, M.S.: Fpga-based implementation of the sha-256 hash algorithm. In: 2020 IEEE International Conference on Design & Test of Integrated Micro & Nano-Systems (DTS). pp. 1–6 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1109/DTS48731.2020.9196134>
14. Kuepper, J., Erbsen, A., Gross, J., Conoly, O., Sun, C., Tian, S., Wu, D., Chlipala, A., Chuengsatiansup, C., Genkin, D., et al.: Cryptopt: Verified compilation with randomized program search for cryptographic primitives. In: ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation 2023. p. 158. Association for Computing Machinery (ACM) (2023)
15. Kuznetsov, A., Shekhanin, K., Kolhatin, A., Kovalchuk, D., Babenko, V., Perevozova, I.: Performance of hash algorithms on gpus for use in blockchain. In: 2019 IEEE International Conference on Advanced Trends in Information Theory (ATIT). pp. 166–170 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1109/ATIT49449.2019.9030442>
16. Lattner, C., Amini, M., Bondhugula, U., Cohen, A., Davis, A., Pienaar, J., Riddle, R., Shpeisman, T., Vasilache, N., Zinenko, O.: Mlir: Scaling compiler infrastructure for domain specific computation. In: 2021 IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Code Generation and Optimization (CGO). pp. 2–14 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1109/CGO51591.2021.9370308>
17. Luo, Y., Liu, J., Xiao, T., Gong, C.: Parallel implementation of sha256 on multizone heterogeneous systems. In: 2023 IEEE Intl Conf on Parallel & Distributed Processing with Applications, Big Data & Cloud Computing, Sustainable Computing & Communications, Social Computing & Networking (ISPA/BDCLOUD/SocialCom/SustainCom). pp. 416–422 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1109/ISPA-BDCLOUD-SocialCom-SustainCom59178.2023.00087>
18. Mercadier, D., Dagand, P.É.: Usuba: high-throughput and constant-time ciphers, by construction. In: Proceedings of the 40th ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation. pp. 157–173 (2019)
19. Nannipieri, P., Bertolucci, M., Baldanzi, L., Crocetti, L., Di Matteo, S., Falaschi, F., Fanucci, L., Saponara, S.: Sha2 and sha-3 accelerator design in a 7 nm technology within the european processor initiative. *Microprocessors and Microsystems* **87**, 103444 (2021). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpro.2020.103444>, <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0141933120305986>

20. NIST: Secure Hash Standard (SHS). <https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/fips/180/4/final>
21. OpenSSL: Openssl: The open source toolkit for ssl/tls (Apr 2003), www.openssl.org, www.openssl.org
22. Pham, H.L., Tranl, T.H., Duong Le, V.T., Nakashima, Y.: A coarse grained re-configurable architecture for sha-2 acceleration. In: 2022 IEEE International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium Workshops (IPDPSW). pp. 671–678 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1109/IPDPSW55747.2022.00117>
23. Rivest, R.: The md5 message-digest algorithm. Tech. rep. (1992)
24. binti Suhaili, S., Watanabe, T.: Design of high-throughput sha-256 hash function based on fpga. In: 2017 6th International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Informatics (ICEEI). pp. 1–6 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICEEI.2017.8312449>
25. Sun, P., Song, F., Chen, Y., Chen, T.: Easybc: A cryptography-specific language for security analysis of block ciphers against differential cryptanalysis. *Proceedings of the ACM on Programming Languages* **8**(POPL), 848–881 (2024)
26. Wang, Z., Dong, X., Kang, Y., Chen, H.: Parallel sha-256 on sw26010 many-core processor for hashing of multiple messages. *The Journal of Supercomputing* **79**(2), 2332–2355 (2023)
27. Wu, R., Zhang, X., Wang, M., Wang, L.: A high-performance parallel hardware architecture of sha-256 hash in asic. In: 2020 22nd International Conference on Advanced Communication Technology (ICACT). pp. 1242–1247 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.23919/ICACT48636.2020.9061457>