## ContextualStory: Consistent Visual Storytelling with Spatially-Enhanced and Storyline Context

 $\mathbf S$ ixiao Zheng $^1$ , Yanwei Fu $^1$ 

<sup>1</sup>Fudan University sxzheng18@fudan.edu.cn, yanweifu@fudan.edu.cn

#### Abstract

Visual storytelling involves generating a sequence of coherent frames from a textual storyline while maintaining consistency in characters and scenes. Existing autoregressive methods, which rely on previous frame-sentence pairs, struggle with high memory usage, slow generation speeds, and limited context integration. To address these issues, we propose ContextualStory, a novel framework designed to generate coherent story frames and extend frames for story continuation. ContextualStory utilizes Spatially-Enhanced Temporal Attention to capture spatial and temporal dependencies, handling significant character movements effectively. Additionally, we introduces a Storyline Contextualizer to enrich context in storyline embedding and a StoryFlow Adapter to measure scene changes between frames for guiding model. Extensive experiments on PororoSV and FlintstonesSV benchmarks demonstrate that ContextualStory significantly outperforms existing methods in both story visualization and story continuation.

#### Introduction

Recent text-to-image (T2I) models, such as SD3 (Esser et al. 2024), excel at generating images from text but only produce individual images independently. Although textto-video (T2V) models like SVD (Blattmann et al. 2023a) and Sora (Brooks et al. 2024) create temporally coherent videos from text, these typically feature simple scene or motion changes. In contrast, this paper focuses on *visual storytelling*, which comprises generating a sequence of coherent story frames from a textual storyline in *story visualization* and extending an initial frame from a textual storyline in *story continuation*. This task has significant potential for educational applications, such as crafting vivid, coherent comics for storybooks. The key challenge is aligning generated frames with sentences while ensuring temporal consistency in characters and scenes throughout the storyline. Providing sufficient context is essential due to the limited information in individual sentences.

Many diffusion-based visual storytelling methods use an autoregressive generative approach to capture temporal dependencies based on previous frame-sentence pairs to generate consistent story frames, such as AR-LDM (Pan et al. 2024) and Story-LDM (Rahman et al. 2023). However, these



Figure 1: Story frames generated by our ContextualStory model on the PororoSV dataset. SETA and SC enhance character consistency and scene coherence, reducing issues like repeated characters. Compared to AR-LDM, ContextualStory achieves superior consistency across frames.

methods face four key limitations: 1) High memory usage due to storing all previous image-sentence pairs, making longer storyline difficult to handle; 2) Limited context in early image generation, which may impact image quality; 3) Slow generation speed due to the sequential nature of the process; 4) Inconsistency in generated images because they rely only on past pairs and neglect future context, missing the global story context. We address this by exploring how the model can 1) *access sufficient frame context* and 2) *obtain adequate context from the storyline.*

To access sufficient frame context, we integrate temporal convolutions and Spatially-Enhanced Temporal Attention (SETA) into the UNet, combining them with the existing spatial modeling layer. By alternating between spatial and temporal modeling, the model can effectively capture spatial dependencies within individual frames and temporal dependencies across frames, allowing for comprehensive context from all frames. To obtain adequate context from the storyline, we propose the Storyline Contextualizer (SC), which further processes the text embeddings from the CLIP text encoder. This ensures that the context information from

Copyright © 2025, Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence (www.aaai.org). All rights reserved.

the storyline is propagated to each sentence, providing sufficient context throughout.

The temporal attention is crucial for visual storytelling as it enables the propagation of context across story frames along the temporal dimension. However, vanilla temporal attention struggles to address the common issue of significant character movement across frames, as shown in Figure 3(a). To overcome this, we propose SETA that employs a local window mechanism across other frames. This allows the query to attend features within the local windows of other frames, effectively capturing characters with significant movement and enhancing the spatial dependency capture capability of temporal attention. By comparing the story frames generated by ContextualStory w/o SETA & SC and ContextualStory w/o SC in Figure 1, it is evident that SETA improves character consistency and reduces the occurrence of repeated characters, such as Pororo.

The Storyline Contextualizer enhances the contextual information of storyline embeddings extracted from the CLIP text encoder, which initially contain only sentence-level semantics. By integrating and propagating context across all sentences, it generates a context-enriched storyline embedding. The Storyline Contextualizer is a transformer-based network that incorporates self-attention and temporal attention layers to capture both global and temporal dependencies. This enriched embedding is then used to guide the model through a temporally-aligned cross-attention mechanism, ensuring consistent story frames throughout the storyline. By comparing the story frames generated by ContextualStory w/o SC and those with SC in Figure 1, we observe that SC promotes the creation of more consistent and coherent scenes. Additionally, compared to the story frames generated by AR-LDM, our ContextualStory significantly enhances the consistency of both characters and scenes.

Additionally, to leverage scene changes between story frames to guide the model, we proposed the StoryFlow Adapter to measure change between story frames. For story continuation, We simply add a convolution layer at the input end of the UNet block to match the size of the first frame latent with noise latent, and then concatenated them.

Our contributions are as follows: (1) ContextualStory Framework: Our novel framework overcomes limitations of existing autoregressive methods, which face issues like high memory usage, limited context, slow generation speed, and image inconsistency. (2) Spatially-Enhanced Temporal Attention (SETA): We present the SETA into the UNet model, combining temporal convolutions with spatial modeling to capture both spatial and temporal dependencies, addressing challenges of significant character movement and improving consistency across frames. (3) Storyline Contextualizer (SC): This module is proposed processing text embeddings from the CLIP text encoder to enrich context across the entire storyline. It utilizes a transformerbased network to capture global and temporal dependencies, ensuring consistent and coherent story frames. (4) StoryFlow Adapter: We repurpose this tool to measure scene changes between story frames to guide the model in handling scene transitions more effectively. (5) Extensive experiments on the PororoSV and FlintstonesSV datasets demonstrate that our ContextualStory significantly outperforms previous SOTA in visual storytelling tasks.

## Related Works

Visual storytelling. Early methods for story visualization primarily relied on GANs (Goodfellow et al. 2020). StoryGAN (Li et al. 2019) pioneers story visualization with a sequential conditional GAN framework, featuring a context encoder and dual discriminators for enhanced narrative and visual coherence. Many subsequent works (Song et al. 2020; Li, Torr, and Lukasiewicz 2022; Maharana, Hannan, and Bansal 2021; Maharana and Bansal 2021; Li 2022) improve StoryGAN, while others (Ahn et al. 2023; Chen et al. 2022) adopt Transformer-based methods to enhance character consistency. StoryDALL-E (Maharana, Hannan, and Bansal 2022) extends the story visualization to story continuation with a given initial frame and uses pre-trained DALL-E (Ramesh et al. 2021) to generate coherent images. Recently, diffusion models (DM) (Ho, Jain, and Abbeel 2020) have shown success in image generation. Some works (Pan et al. 2024; Rahman et al. 2023; Feng et al. 2023; Song et al. 2024; Liu et al. 2024; Shen and Elhoseiny 2023; Wang et al. 2024) propose an autoregressive diffusion framework based on previous captions and generated frames to enhance consistency. For example, Story-LDM (Rahman et al. 2023) incorporates a visual memory module to capture context of previous generated images. However, these autoregressive methods are memory-intensive and often fail to capture the global context of the storyline, leading to reduced overall consistency. RCDMs (Shen et al. 2024) is a twostage model that first predicts the embedding of the unknown clip and then generates the corresponding images. StoryImager (Tao et al. 2024) is a unified framework capable of performing Story Visualization, Story Continuation, and Story Completion tasks. StoryGPT-V (Shen and Elhoseiny 2023) combines the image generation capability of LDM with the reasoning ability of LLM to ensure semantic consistency. TaleCrafter (Gong et al. 2023), Animate-A-Story (He et al. 2023), and AutoStory (Wang et al. 2023c) focus on designing system pipelines for story visualization, all employing LLM to generate storylines. In contrast, our ContextualStory addresses consistency by leveraging spatial-temporal attention to learn complex dependencies in images, departing from autoregressive methods.

Text-to-image generation. Recently, significant progress (Rombach et al. 2022; Podell et al. 2023; Saharia et al. 2022; Ramesh et al. 2022) has been achieved in T2I generation, primarily due to advancements in DM (Ho, Jain, and Abbeel 2020). Another line of work (Liu et al. 2023; Dhariwal and Nichol 2021; Ho and Salimans 2022; Gal et al. 2022; Ruiz et al. 2023; Kumari et al. 2023) focuses on flexible and controllable image generation, including ControlNet (Zhang, Rao, and Agrawala 2023), Composer (Huang et al. 2023), IP-Adapter (Ye et al. 2023), and T2I-Adapter (Mou et al. 2024). ControlNet provides a general pipeline for conditioning on both text and image data. The Diffusion Transformer (Peebles and Xie 2023) showcases scalability by replacing UNet with a Transformer, and Pixart- $\alpha$  (Chen et al. 2023) further reduces training costs while achieving superior



Figure 2: Architecture of ContextualStory for Story Visualization. Each UNet block includes temporal convolution and *Spatially-Enhanced Temporal Attention* to effectively capture complex spatial and temporal dependencies. The *Storyline Contextualizer* enriches the storyline embedding by integrating context information from all text embeddings, while the *StoryFlow Adapter* measures scene changes by computing differences between adjacent frames.

image quality. However, these methods focus on generating individual image aligned with text and struggle to produce multiple coherent and consistent images in a sequence.

## Method

Story visualization aims to generate a sequence of images  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}} = \{ \tilde{I}^1, \dots, \tilde{I}^N \}$  that align with a multi-sentence storyline  $S = \{S^1, \dots S^N\}$ , ensuring consistency in characters and scenes throughout. For the story continuation task, the first frame  $I<sup>1</sup>$  is provided as additional input, guiding the generation of subsequent images  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}} = \{ \tilde{I}^2, \dots \tilde{I}^N \}$  by extracting and maintaining characters and scenes, eliminating the need to generate them from scratch. During training, ground truth images are denoted as  $\mathcal{I} = \{I^1, \dots I^N\}.$ 

## Preliminaries

Diffusion models (DM) (Ho, Jain, and Abbeel 2020; Song, Meng, and Ermon 2020) are generative models that approximate data distributions by iteratively denoising a Gaussian distribution through a reverse process of a Markov Chain. Given a training sample  $\mathbf{x}_0 \sim q(\mathbf{x}_0)$  and add Gaussian noise  $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I})$  to the input in a forward process  $q(\mathbf{x}_t|\mathbf{x}_0)$  =  $N(\mathbf{x}_t; \sqrt{\overline{\alpha}_t} \mathbf{x}_0, (1 - \overline{\alpha}_t) \mathbf{I})$ , where  $\overline{\alpha}_t := \prod_{s=1}^t (1 - \beta_s)$  and  $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_T$  is the variance schedule. The model is trained to approximate the backward process  $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_{t-1}|\mathbf{x}_t)$  by minimizing the mean squared error (MSE) between predicted and target noise:

$$
L_{DM} := \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x}, \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}), t} \left[ \| \boldsymbol{\epsilon} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}_t, t) \|^2 \right]. \tag{1}
$$

Latent Diffusion Models (LDM) (Rombach et al. 2022) extend DM to high-dimensional data by compressing images into latent space. An encoder  $\mathcal E$  maps the input x to a latent representation  $z = \mathcal{E}(x)$ , and the forward and backward processes are applied on z. The denoising network  $\epsilon_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}_t, t, \mathbf{c})$ is trained by minimizing

 $L_{LDM} := \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{c}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}), t} \left[ \|\boldsymbol{\epsilon} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\theta}(\mathbf{z}_t, t, \mathbf{c})\|^2 \right],$  (2) where c denotes conditional signals, such as storyline embeddings. The generated image  $\hat{x}$  is obtained by decoding the denoised latent **z** with pre-trained decoder  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{z})$ .

## Model Architecture

Previous methods based on text-to-image (T2I) diffusion models typically use an autoregressive approach, generating each story frame sequentially rely on the preceding frames and captions. However, these methods often fail to capture sufficient storyline context, leading to poor consistency across the generated story frames. Moreover, the UNet of T2I models struggles to capture temporal dependencies between story frames, and vanilla temporal attention layers are ineffective in addressing large character movement across frames. To overcome these challenges, as illustrated in Figure 2, we introduce temporal convolution and Spatially-Enhanced Temporal Attention into the UNet. These additions help the model capture contextual information across both spatial and temporal dimensions, allowing it to better handle complex spatial and temporal dependencies. We also propose Storyline Contextualizer to ensure that the contextual information of the storyline propagates to each sentence.



Figure 3: Spatially-Enhanced Temporal Attention leverages a local window mechanism across frames to capture both spatial and temporal dependencies, effectively handling significant character movements.

Additionally, to address the significant changes in characters and scenes between story frames, we introduce the StoryFlow Adapter to quantify these changes and guide the model in generating more coherent visual stories.

Spatially-Enhanced Temporal Attention. In video diffusion models (Zhang et al. 2023), temporal attention layers are often employed to model temporal dependencies. However, story frames differ significantly from video frames, where characters and scenes tend to change considerably, whereas video frames, even keyframes, exhibit minimal changes and contain many redundant pixels. As illustrated in Figure 3, story frames often involve significant character movement, making it difficult for vanilla temporal attention to capture the same character across story frames.

To address this challenge, we propose Spatially-Enhanced Temporal Attention. Assuming the green block within the red-bordered area is the query, the query itself, along with the green blocks covered by the  $k \times k$  local window at the same position in other frames (*i.e.*, all the green blocks), form the key and value. Formally, given an hidden state  $\mathcal{Z}_t = \{\mathbf{z_t^1}, \dots, \mathbf{z_t^N}\} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times c \times h \times w}$ , where  $n = N$  is the number of story frames, and  $c$ ,  $h$ ,  $w$  represent the channel, height, and width dimensions of the hidden state, respectively. We first reshape it to  $\mathcal{Z}'_t \in \mathbb{R}^{hw \times n \times c}$ , then extract the local window feature  $\mathcal{Z}^{lw'}_t \in \mathbb{R}^{hw \times n^{lw} \times c}$  at each spatial position, where  $n^{lw} = (n-1)k^2 + 1$ . Subsequently, we compute the query, key, and value and then perform the Attention( $Q_T, K_T, V_T$ ) through Eq. (4). **Example 2.** Story frames the story frames the change in the story frames is challenge for a story frames of challenges in the story frames is challenge of the story frames the story frames the story frames the story fram

$$
Q_S = \mathcal{Z}'_t W_S^Q, K_S = \mathcal{Z}^{lw'}_t W_S^K, V_S = \mathcal{Z}^{lw'}_t W_S^V, \tag{3}
$$

$$
Attention(Q, K, V) = Softmax(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d}})V,
$$
 (4)

where  $W^Q_T, W^K_T, W^V_T$  are learnable projection matrices, and  $d$  is the feature dimensionality. To ensure that the features at the boundaries have a complete local window, we pad  $\mathcal{Z}'_t$ by replicating the boundary features. We utilized rotary positional embedding (RoPE) (Su et al. 2024) as the temporal positional embedding to enable the model to understand the temporal relationships between frames.

Storyline Contextualizer. We use the CLIP text encoder to independently extract text embeddings for each sentence in the storyline. These embeddings contain the semantic information of the corresponding sentences but lack the global contextual information of the storyline. Directly using these text embeddings to guide the model may result in inconsis-



Figure 4: Architecture of ContextualStory for Story Continuation. The first frame latent is used as additional input for all UNet blocks, resized and adjusted with a  $1 \times 1$  convolution layer before concatenation with the hidden state.

Storyline Contextualizer, which propagates and integrates the contextual information from all text embeddings to create a context-enriched storyline embedding. As shown in Figure 2, the Storyline Contextualizer is a transformer-based network, each layer contains a self-attention layer, a temporal attention layer, and two Feed-Forward Network (FFN).

Given the storyline embedding  $C = \{c^1, \ldots, c^N\} \in$  $\mathbb{R}^{n \times l \times c}$  from the CLIP text encoder, where  $n = N$  is the number of story sentences,  $l$  is the length of the feature sequence, and  $c_T$  is the feature dimension. In Storyline Contextualizer, we first reshape the storyline embedding to  $1 \times nl \times c_T$ , then perform self-attention. Then reshape the embedding to  $l \times n \times c_T$ , and then perform temporal attention. To minimize any adverse effects from the additional modules, we zero-initialize the weights of the second FFN in the final layer and incorporate a residual connection, ensuring that the Storyline Contextualizer functions as an identity mapping at the beginning of training. The context-enriched storyline embedding  $C'$  guides the model through a crossattention layer. Unlike T2I and T2V models, which calculate cross-attention between image/frames and a single text, we adopt a temporally-aligned approach, computing crossattention between each individual context-enriched text embedding  $c^i$  and hidden state  $z_t^i$  of the corresponding frame. StoryFlow adapter. To leverage scene changes between story frames to guide the model, we propose the StoryFlow Adapter, inspired by (Jeong, Park, and Ye 2023; Wu et al. 2023; Qing et al. 2023). As shown in Figure 2, the storyflow is computed as the L2 norm of the differences between adjacent images,  $\delta_i = ||\mathbf{z}_0^i - \mathbf{z}_0^{i+1}||$ , to quantify the image difference. Given N ground truth frames, we calculate the storyflow  $\Delta = \{\delta^1, \ldots, \delta^{N-1}\}\.$  We then encode the storyflow  $\Delta$  into a c-dimensional embedding using sinusoidal embedding and a zero-initialized FFN. Through linear interpolation, we obtain the storyflow embedding  $\Delta' \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times c}$ . Finally, we add storyflow embedding to timestep embedding and feed them into the spatial convolution of UNet block. During inference, we use the average of the storyflows computed from the training set as the storyflow  $\Delta$ .

#### Solving the Story Continuation Task

For story continuation tasks, besides the storyline, the first frame is provided as an extra input. As shown in Figure 4,

Model		<b>PororoSV</b>			<b>FlintstonesSV</b>		<b>Model</b>		FID $\downarrow$ Char. F1 $\uparrow$ Frm. Acc. $\uparrow$	
						FID $\downarrow$ Char. F1 $\uparrow$ Frm. Acc. $\uparrow$ FID $\downarrow$ Char. F1 $\uparrow$ Frm. Acc. $\uparrow$	StorvGAN <b>CP-CSV</b>	158.06 149.29	18.59 21.78	10.03
StoryGANc StoryDALL-E	74.63 25.90	39.68 36.97	16.57 17.26	90.29 26.49	72.80 73.43	58.39 55.19	<b>DUCO</b> VLC.	96.51 84.96	38.01 43.02	13.97 17.36
MEGA-StoryDALL-E 23.48		39.91	18.01	23.58	74.26	54.68	<b>VP-CSV</b>	65.51	56.84	25.87
Story-LDM AR-LDM	26.64 17.40	47.56 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$	29.19 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$	24.24 19.28	76.59 $\overline{\phantom{0}}$	57.19 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$	Word-Level SV Story-LDM	56.08 27.33	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	
Causal-Story StoryImager	16.98 15.45	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$ $\overline{\phantom{0}}$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$ $\overline{\phantom{a}}$	19.03 18.32	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$ $\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	AR-LDM Causal-Story	16.59 16.28	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	
<b>RCDMs</b> <b>ContextualStory</b>	16.25 13.86	59.03 76.25	41.48 50.72	14.96 13.27	85.51 91.29	78.44 81.91	StoryImager ContextualStory 13.61	15.63	77.24	51.59

Table 1: Quantitative comparison with SOTA methods of story continuation on Table 2: Quantitative comparison with SOTA PororoSV and FlintstonesSV.

methods of story visualization on PororoSV.

Model			FID $\downarrow$ Char. F1 $\uparrow$ Frm. Acc. $\uparrow$	<b>Model</b>			FID $\downarrow$ Char. F1 $\uparrow$ Frm. Acc. $\uparrow$
StoryGAN <b>DUCO</b>	127.19 78.02	46.20 54.92	32.96 36.34	<b>ContextualStory</b>	13.61	77.24	51.59
VLC.	72.87	58.81	39.18	-StoryFlow Adapter	14.84	77.09	50.48
Story-LDM	36.55	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$	-Storyline Contextualizer	15.02	75.42	48.39
AR-LDM StoryImager <b>ContextualStory 20.15</b>	23.59 22.27	$\overline{\phantom{a}}$ $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 91.70	$\overline{\phantom{0}}$ $\overline{\phantom{0}}$ 83.08	-Spatially-Enhanced Temporal Attention 17.42 -Temporal Convolution	19.69	71.70 68.12	44.83 39.60

Table 3: Quantitative comparison with SOTA methods of story visualization on FlintstonesSV.

Table 4: Ablation study of the proposed components for the story visualization task on the PororoSV.

we extract the first frame latent  $z^1$  and use it as an additional input to all UNet blocks. Within each UNet block,  $z^1$  is resized to match the spatial dimensions of the hidden state, then a  $1 \times 1$  convolution layer adjusts the channels to match the hidden state, and finally, it is concatenated with the hidden state before inputting into the spatial convolution.

## Experiment

## Experimental Setup

Datasets. We employ two popular benchmark datasets, PororoSV (Li et al. 2019) and the FlintstonesSV (Gupta et al. 2018), to evaluate the performance of our model in both story visualization and story continuation tasks. PororoSV contains 10,191, 2,334, and 2,208 stories within the train, validation, and test splits, respectively, including 9 main characters. On the other hand, FlintstonesSV contains 20,132, 2,071, and 2,309 stories within the train, validation, and test splits, respectively, featuring 7 main characters and 323 backgrounds. Each story within these datasets comprises 5 consecutive story images.

Automatic metrics. To evaluate the quality of generated images, we employ the following three evaluation metrics following previous works (Maharana, Hannan, and Bansal 2022; Pan et al. 2024) in story visualization: (1) Frechet Inception Distance (FID) (Heusel et al. 2017): Measures the distance between feature vectors of ground truth and generated frames. (2) Frame accuracy (Frm. Acc.): Evaluates character matching to ground truth using a fine-tuned Inception-v3 model. (3) Character F1-score (Char. F1): Evaluates the quality of generated characters using the same model as Frm. Acc.

Implementation details. We initialize ContextualStory with

the pre-trained Stable Diffusion 2.1-base, fine-tuning only the UNet parameters using the AdamW optimizer. We use a batch size of 12, a learning rate of 5e-5, and train for 40,000 iterations on PororoSV and 80,000 iterations on FlintstonesSV, utilizing 4 NVIDIA A800 GPUs. The window size for SETA is set to  $k = 3$ , and the number of SC layers is set to 4. During training, we apply classifier-free guidance by randomly dropping input text prompts with a 0.1 probability and use the PYoCo mixed noise prior for noise initialization. For inference, we use the DDIM sampler with 50 steps and a guidance scale of 7.5 to generate  $256 \times 256$  images.

## Quantitative Results

Story Visualization. Table 2 shows quantitative results for story visualization on the PororoSV, comparing our ContextualStory to several SOTA methods, including StoryGAN, CP-CSV (Song et al. 2020), DUCO (Maharana, Hannan, and Bansal 2021), VLC (Maharana and Bansal 2021), VP-CSV (Chen et al. 2022), Word-Level SV (Li 2022), Story-LDM, AR-LDM, Causal-Story (Song et al. 2024) and StoryImager. Similarly, Table 3 shows quantitative results on the FlintstonesSV dataset. The quantitative results in Table 2 and Table 3 demonstrate that our ContextualStory significantly outperforms existing SOTA methods across all metrics on both datasets. This superior performance is primarily due to SETA, SC and StoryFlow Adapter, which effectively utilize context information to generate coherent story frames aligned with a given storyline.

Story Continuation. Table 1 presents the quantitative results for story continuation on both the PororoSV and FlintstonesSV datasets. We evaluate the effectiveness of our Con-



Figure 5: Qualitative comparison of story visualization on PororoSV (left) and FlintstonesSV (right).



Figure 6: Qualitative comparison of story continuation on PororoSV (left) and FlintstonesSV (right). The image marked with a red box is the first frame additionally input to the model.

textualStory model against several SOTA methods, such as StoryDALL-E, MEGA-StoryDALL-E (Maharana, Hannan, and Bansal 2022), StoryImager, and RCDMs. The quantitative results in Table 1 demonstrate that ContextualStory outperforms existing methods by a large margin in all metrics for the story continuation task on both datasets. This indicates that our model can better utilize contextual information to generate a coherent story frames based on the given storyline and the first image.

## Qualitative Results

Story Visualization. Figure 5 shows a qualitative comparison of story visualization on the PororoSV and FlintstonesSV. Stable Diffusion (SD) generates high-quality images independently based on individual sentences, but its lack of contextual awareness leads to inconsistent character appearances and character duplication. AR-LDM avoids character duplication but still struggles with inconsistent character appearances. In contrast, ContextualStory produces high-quality images with coherent and consistent characters and scenes across both datasets.

Story Continuation. Figure 11 demonstrates a qualitative comparison of story continuation on the PororoSV and FlintstonesSV datasets. StoryDALL-E produces low-quality characters with inconsistent backgrounds. AR-LDM generates higher-quality characters, but the backgrounds lack consistency and deviate significantly from the ground truth. In contrast, ContextualStory generates high-quality images with consistent characters and backgrounds that closely match the ground truth. More results are provided in the supplementary material.



Figure 7: Qualitative results of ablation study on the proposed components for the story visualization task on the PororoSV.

## Human Evaluation

Due to the limitations of metrics such as FID, Char. F1, and Frm. Acc. in accurately reflecting the quality of generated story frames, we conducted human evaluations for the story visualization task on the PororoSV and FlintstonesSV, focusing on *Visual Quality*, *Semantic Relevance*, and *Temporal Consistency*. We randomly selected 300 pairs of story frame sequences generated by AR-LDM (Pan et al. 2024) and our ContextualStory. Annotators were tasked to select better sequence for three attributes: Visual Quality, Semantic Relevance, and Temporal Consistency. Each pair of generated story frame sequences was evaluated by 10 annotators. As shown in Table 5, the evaluation results indicate that our ContextualStory outperforms AR-LDM significantly across all three attributes.

#### Ablation Studies

Ablation study of the proposed components. To evaluate the benefit of each proposed component, we conduct an ablation study results of the proposed components for the story visualization task on the PororoSV. As shown in Table 4, progressively removing components from ContextualStory results in a decline across all three metrics. The removal of SETA has the most significant effect, increasing FID by 16.0%, and reducing Char. F1 and Frm. Acc. by 4.9% and 7.4%, respectively. The qualitative comparison in Figure 7 shows the following: 1) Removing the StoryFlow Adapter slightly reduces background consistency. 2) Further removing SC leads to duplicated characters, like Loopy. 3) Removing SETA reduces background consistency, introduces

<b>Dataset</b>	<b>Attribute</b>	<b>Ours</b>	Tie AR-LDM
PororoSV	<b>Visual Quality</b> Semantic Relevance <b>Temproal Consistency</b>	81.0\% 6.9\% 85.6% $9.2\%$ <b>84.1%</b> 8.8%	12.1% $5.2\%$ 7.1%
FlintstonesSV	Visual Quality Semantic Relevance <b>Temproal Consistency</b>	80.4% $6.2\%$ $82.6\%$ 6.3% 84.8% 5.4%	$13.4\%$ 11.1% 9.8%

Table 5: Human evaluations of story visualization task. Ours (%) means our ContextualStory is preferred over AR-LDM. AR-LDM (%) means AR-LDM is preferred over our ContextualStory. Tie (%) means the annotator believes that the two image sequences are similar.



Table 6: Ablation study of temporal attention for the story visualization task on the PororoSV.

duplicated characters (*e.g.*, Pororo), and incorrect characters (*e.g.*, Petty and Poby), making images less accurate. 4) Removing Temporal Convolution further decreases character and scene consistency. These results indicate that all proposed components contribute to the performance of ContextualStory, with SETA having the most significant impact.

Ablation study of temporal attention. Table 6 presents the ablation study results of comparing Vanilla Temporal Attention and our proposed Spatially-Enhanced Temporal Attention for the story visualization task on the PororoSV. The results clearly show that SETA outperforms Vanilla Temporal Attention across all metrics. Specifically, SETA achieves a lower FID score, indicating better alignment with ground truth images, and higher Char. F1 and Frm. Acc., demonstrating improved character consistency and accuracy. These improvements highlight the effectiveness of the local window mechanism of SETA, which allows the model to better capture both spatial and temporal dependencies, leading to more coherent and consistent story frames. The significant gains in performance suggest that incorporating spatial context within temporal attention is crucial for enhancing visual storytelling models. More ablation studies are provided in the supplementary material.

#### Conclusion

In this paper, we propose ContextualStory, a novel framework that overcomes the limitations of traditional autoregressive methods in visual storytelling. By incorporating Spatially-Enhanced Temporal Attention, we effectively capture spatial and temporal dependencies, ensuring consistency in characters and scenes across frames. Additionally, the Storyline Contextualizer enriches the global context from the entire storyline, while the StoryFlow Adapter enhances the model's ability to handle scene changes. Extensive experiments on PororoSV and FlintstonesSV show that ContextualStory significantly outperforms state-of-theart methods in both story visualization and continuation.

## References

Ahn, D.; Kim, D.; Song, G.; Kim, S. H.; Lee, H.; Kang, D.; and Choi, J. 2023. Story visualization by online text augmentation with context memory. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 3125–3135.

An, J.; Zhang, S.; Yang, H.; Gupta, S.; Huang, J.-B.; Luo, J.; and Yin, X. 2023. Latent-shift: Latent diffusion with temporal shift for efficient text-to-video generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.08477*.

Bain, M.; Nagrani, A.; Varol, G.; and Zisserman, A. 2021. Frozen in time: A joint video and image encoder for end-toend retrieval. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 1728–1738.

Bao, F.; Nie, S.; Xue, K.; Cao, Y.; Li, C.; Su, H.; and Zhu, J. 2023. All are worth words: A vit backbone for diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 22669–22679.

Betker, J.; Goh, G.; Jing, L.; Brooks, T.; Wang, J.; Li, L.; Ouyang, L.; Zhuang, J.; Lee, J.; Guo, Y.; et al. 2023. Improving image generation with better captions. *Computer Science. https://cdn. openai. com/papers/dall-e-3. pdf*, 2(3): 8.

Blattmann, A.; Dockhorn, T.; Kulal, S.; Mendelevitch, D.; Kilian, M.; Lorenz, D.; Levi, Y.; English, Z.; Voleti, V.; Letts, A.; et al. 2023a. Stable video diffusion: Scaling latent video diffusion models to large datasets. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.15127*.

Blattmann, A.; Rombach, R.; Ling, H.; Dockhorn, T.; Kim, S. W.; Fidler, S.; and Kreis, K. 2023b. Align your latents: High-resolution video synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 22563–22575.

Brooks, T.; Hellsten, J.; Aittala, M.; Wang, T.-C.; Aila, T.; Lehtinen, J.; Liu, M.-Y.; Efros, A.; and Karras, T. 2022. Generating long videos of dynamic scenes. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35: 31769–31781.

Brooks, T.; Peebles, B.; Holmes, C.; DePue, W.; Guo, Y.; Jing, L.; Schnurr, D.; Taylor, J.; Luhman, T.; Luhman, E.; Ng, C.; Wang, R.; and Ramesh, A. 2024. Video generation models as world simulators.

Chen, H.; Han, R.; Wu, T.-L.; Nakayama, H.; and Peng, N. 2022. Character-centric story visualization via visual planning and token alignment. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.08465*.

Chen, J.; Yu, J.; Ge, C.; Yao, L.; Xie, E.; Wu, Y.; Wang, Z.; Kwok, J.; Luo, P.; Lu, H.; and Li, Z. 2023. PixArt-α: Fast Training of Diffusion Transformer for Photorealistic Textto-Image Synthesis. arXiv:2310.00426.

Dhariwal, P.; and Nichol, A. 2021. Diffusion models beat gans on image synthesis. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 34: 8780–8794.

Esser, P.; Kulal, S.; Blattmann, A.; Entezari, R.; Müller, J.; Saini, H.; Levi, Y.; Lorenz, D.; Sauer, A.; Boesel, F.; et al. 2024. Scaling rectified flow transformers for high-resolution image synthesis. In *Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning*.

Feng, Z.; Ren, Y.; Yu, X.; Feng, X.; Tang, D.; Shi, S.; and Qin, B. 2023. Improved visual story generation with adaptive context modeling. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.16811*.

Gal, R.; Alaluf, Y.; Atzmon, Y.; Patashnik, O.; Bermano, A. H.; Chechik, G.; and Cohen-Or, D. 2022. An image is worth one word: Personalizing text-to-image generation using textual inversion. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.01618*.

Ge, S.; Hayes, T.; Yang, H.; Yin, X.; Pang, G.; Jacobs, D.; Huang, J.-B.; and Parikh, D. 2022. Long video generation with time-agnostic vqgan and time-sensitive transformer. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, 102– 118. Springer.

Gong, Y.; Pang, Y.; Cun, X.; Xia, M.; Chen, H.; Wang, L.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, X.; Shan, Y.; and Yang, Y. 2023. Talecrafter: Interactive story visualization with multiple characters. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.18247*.

Goodfellow, I.; Pouget-Abadie, J.; Mirza, M.; Xu, B.; Warde-Farley, D.; Ozair, S.; Courville, A.; and Bengio, Y. 2020. Generative adversarial networks. *Communications of the ACM*, 63(11): 139–144.

Guo, Y.; Yang, C.; Rao, A.; Wang, Y.; Qiao, Y.; Lin, D.; and Dai, B. 2023. Animatediff: Animate your personalized textto-image diffusion models without specific tuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.04725*.

Gupta, A.; Yu, L.; Sohn, K.; Gu, X.; Hahn, M.; Fei-Fei, L.; Essa, I.; Jiang, L.; and Lezama, J. 2023. Photorealistic video generation with diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.06662*.

Gupta, T.; Schwenk, D.; Farhadi, A.; Hoiem, D.; and Kembhavi, A. 2018. Imagine this! scripts to compositions to videos. In *Proceedings of the European conference on computer vision (ECCV)*, 598–613.

He, Y.; Xia, M.; Chen, H.; Cun, X.; Gong, Y.; Xing, J.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, X.; Weng, C.; Shan, Y.; et al. 2023. Animate-a-story: Storytelling with retrieval-augmented video generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.06940*.

He, Y.; Yang, T.; Zhang, Y.; Shan, Y.; and Chen, Q. 2022. Latent video diffusion models for high-fidelity long video generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.13221*.

Heusel, M.; Ramsauer, H.; Unterthiner, T.; Nessler, B.; and Hochreiter, S. 2017. Gans trained by a two time-scale update rule converge to a local nash equilibrium. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30.

Ho, J.; Chan, W.; Saharia, C.; Whang, J.; Gao, R.; Gritsenko, A.; Kingma, D. P.; Poole, B.; Norouzi, M.; Fleet, D. J.; et al. 2022a. Imagen video: High definition video generation with diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.02303*.

Ho, J.; Jain, A.; and Abbeel, P. 2020. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33: 6840–6851.

Ho, J.; and Salimans, T. 2022. Classifier-free diffusion guidance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.12598*.

Ho, J.; Salimans, T.; Gritsenko, A.; Chan, W.; Norouzi, M.; and Fleet, D. J. 2022b. Video diffusion models. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35: 8633–8646.

Huang, L.; Chen, D.; Liu, Y.; Shen, Y.; Zhao, D.; and Zhou, J. 2023. Composer: Creative and controllable image synthesis with composable conditions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.09778*.

Jeong, H.; Park, G. Y.; and Ye, J. C. 2023. VMC: Video Motion Customization using Temporal Attention Adaption for Text-to-Video Diffusion Models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.00845*.

Kumari, N.; Zhang, B.; Zhang, R.; Shechtman, E.; and Zhu, J.-Y. 2023. Multi-concept customization of text-to-image diffusion. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 1931–1941.

Le Moing, G.; Ponce, J.; and Schmid, C. 2021. Ccvs: Context-aware controllable video synthesis. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34: 14042–14055.

Li, B. 2022. Word-level fine-grained story visualization. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, 347–362. Springer.

Li, B.; Torr, P. H.; and Lukasiewicz, T. 2022. Clustering generative adversarial networks for story visualization. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia*, 769–778.

Li, Y.; Gan, Z.; Shen, Y.; Liu, J.; Cheng, Y.; Wu, Y.; Carin, L.; Carlson, D.; and Gao, J. 2019. Storygan: A sequential conditional gan for story visualization. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 6329–6338.

Liu, C.; Wu, H.; Zhong, Y.; Zhang, X.; Wang, Y.; and Xie, W. 2024. Intelligent Grimm-Open-ended Visual Storytelling via Latent Diffusion Models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 6190–6200.

Liu, X.; Park, D. H.; Azadi, S.; Zhang, G.; Chopikyan, A.; Hu, Y.; Shi, H.; Rohrbach, A.; and Darrell, T. 2023. More control for free! image synthesis with semantic diffusion guidance. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, 289–299.

Luo, Z.; Chen, D.; Zhang, Y.; Huang, Y.; Wang, L.; Shen, Y.; Zhao, D.; Zhou, J.; and Tan, T. 2023. Videofusion: Decomposed diffusion models for high-quality video generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 10209–10218.

Ma, N.; Goldstein, M.; Albergo, M. S.; Boffi, N. M.; Vanden-Eijnden, E.; and Xie, S. 2024a. SiT: Exploring Flow and Diffusion-based Generative Models with Scalable Interpolant Transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.08740*.

Ma, X.; Wang, Y.; Jia, G.; Chen, X.; Liu, Z.; Li, Y.-F.; Chen, C.; and Qiao, Y. 2024b. Latte: Latent diffusion transformer for video generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.03048*.

Maharana, A.; and Bansal, M. 2021. Integrating visuospatial, linguistic and commonsense structure into story visualization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.10834*.

Maharana, A.; Hannan, D.; and Bansal, M. 2021. Improving generation and evaluation of visual stories via semantic consistency. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.10026*.

Maharana, A.; Hannan, D.; and Bansal, M. 2022. Storydalle: Adapting pretrained text-to-image transformers for story continuation. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, 70–87. Springer.

Mei, K.; and Patel, V. 2023. Vidm: Video implicit diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 37, 9117–9125.

Mou, C.; Wang, X.; Xie, L.; Wu, Y.; Zhang, J.; Qi, Z.; and Shan, Y. 2024. T2i-adapter: Learning adapters to dig out more controllable ability for text-to-image diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 38, 4296–4304.

Pan, X.; Qin, P.; Li, Y.; Xue, H.; and Chen, W. 2024. Synthesizing coherent story with auto-regressive latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision*, 2920–2930.

Peebles, W.; and Xie, S. 2023. Scalable diffusion models with transformers. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 4195–4205.

Podell, D.; English, Z.; Lacey, K.; Blattmann, A.; Dockhorn, T.; Müller, J.; Penna, J.; and Rombach, R. 2023. Sdxl: Improving latent diffusion models for high-resolution image synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.01952*.

Qing, Z.; Zhang, S.; Wang, J.; Wang, X.; Wei, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Gao, C.; and Sang, N. 2023. Hierarchical spatio-temporal decoupling for text-to-video generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.04483*.

Rahman, T.; Lee, H.-Y.; Ren, J.; Tulyakov, S.; Mahajan, S.; and Sigal, L. 2023. Make-a-story: Visual memory conditioned consistent story generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 2493–2502.

Ramesh, A.; Dhariwal, P.; Nichol, A.; Chu, C.; and Chen, M. 2022. Hierarchical text-conditional image generation with clip latents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.06125*, 1(2): 3.

Ramesh, A.; Pavlov, M.; Goh, G.; Gray, S.; Voss, C.; Radford, A.; Chen, M.; and Sutskever, I. 2021. Zero-shot text-toimage generation. In *International conference on machine learning*, 8821–8831. Pmlr.

Rombach, R.; Blattmann, A.; Lorenz, D.; Esser, P.; and Ommer, B. 2022. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 10684– 10695.

Ruiz, N.; Li, Y.; Jampani, V.; Pritch, Y.; Rubinstein, M.; and Aberman, K. 2023. Dreambooth: Fine tuning text-to-image diffusion models for subject-driven generation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 22500–22510.

Saharia, C.; Chan, W.; Saxena, S.; Li, L.; Whang, J.; Denton, E. L.; Ghasemipour, K.; Gontijo Lopes, R.; Karagol Ayan, B.; Salimans, T.; et al. 2022. Photorealistic text-toimage diffusion models with deep language understanding. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 35: 36479–36494.

Saito, M.; Saito, S.; Koyama, M.; and Kobayashi, S. 2020. Train sparsely, generate densely: Memory-efficient unsupervised training of high-resolution temporal gan. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 128(10): 2586–2606.

Shen, F.; Ye, H.; Liu, S.; Zhang, J.; Wang, C.; Han, X.; and Yang, W. 2024. Boosting Consistency in Story Visualization with Rich-Contextual Conditional Diffusion Models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.02482*.

Shen, X.; and Elhoseiny, M. 2023. Large Language Models as Consistent Story Visualizers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.02252*.

Singer, U.; Polyak, A.; Hayes, T.; Yin, X.; An, J.; Zhang, S.; Hu, Q.; Yang, H.; Ashual, O.; Gafni, O.; et al. 2022. Make-a-video: Text-to-video generation without text-video data. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.14792*.

Song, J.; Meng, C.; and Ermon, S. 2020. Denoising diffusion implicit models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.02502*.

Song, T.; Cao, J.; Wang, K.; Liu, B.; and Zhang, X. 2024. Causal-story: Local causal attention utilizing parameterefficient tuning for visual story synthesis. In *ICASSP 2024- 2024 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 3350–3354. IEEE.

Song, Y.-Z.; Rui Tam, Z.; Chen, H.-J.; Lu, H.-H.; and Shuai, H.-H. 2020. Character-preserving coherent story visualization. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*, 18–33. Springer.

Su, J.; Ahmed, M.; Lu, Y.; Pan, S.; Bo, W.; and Liu, Y. 2024. Roformer: Enhanced transformer with rotary position embedding. *Neurocomputing*, 568: 127063.

Tao, M.; Bao, B.-K.; Tang, H.; Wang, Y.; and Xu, C. 2024. StoryImager: A Unified and Efficient Framework for Coherent Story Visualization and Completion. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.05979*.

Wang, J.; Yuan, H.; Chen, D.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, X.; and Zhang, S. 2023a. Modelscope text-to-video technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.06571*.

Wang, W.; Yang, H.; Tuo, Z.; He, H.; Zhu, J.; Fu, J.; and Liu, J. 2023b. Videofactory: Swap attention in spatiotemporal diffusions for text-to-video generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.10874*.

Wang, W.; Zhao, C.; Chen, H.; Chen, Z.; Zheng, K.; and Shen, C. 2023c. AutoStory: Generating Diverse Storytelling Images with Minimal Human Effort. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.11243*.

Wang, X.; Wang, Y.; Tsutsui, S.; Lin, W.; Wen, B.; and Kot, A. C. 2024. Evolving Storytelling: Benchmarks and Methods for New Character Customization with Diffusion Models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.11852*.

Wang, Y.; Chen, X.; Ma, X.; Zhou, S.; Huang, Z.; Wang, Y.; Yang, C.; He, Y.; Yu, J.; Yang, P.; et al. 2023d. Lavie: High-quality video generation with cascaded latent diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.15103*.

Wu, C.; Huang, L.; Zhang, Q.; Li, B.; Ji, L.; Yang, F.; Sapiro, G.; and Duan, N. 2021. Godiva: Generating opendomain videos from natural descriptions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.14806*.

Wu, R.; Chen, L.; Yang, T.; Guo, C.; Li, C.; and Zhang, X. 2023. Lamp: Learn a motion pattern for few-shot-based video generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.10769*.

Ye, H.; Zhang, J.; Liu, S.; Han, X.; and Yang, W. 2023. Ipadapter: Text compatible image prompt adapter for text-toimage diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.06721*.

Yin, S.; Wu, C.; Yang, H.; Wang, J.; Wang, X.; Ni, M.; Yang, Z.; Li, L.; Liu, S.; Yang, F.; et al. 2023. Nuwa-xl: Diffusion over diffusion for extremely long video generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.12346*.

Yu, S.; Sohn, K.; Kim, S.; and Shin, J. 2023. Video probabilistic diffusion models in projected latent space. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, 18456–18466.

Yu, S.; Tack, J.; Mo, S.; Kim, H.; Kim, J.; Ha, J.-W.; and Shin, J. 2022. Generating videos with dynamics-aware implicit generative adversarial networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.10571*.

Zhang, D. J.; Wu, J. Z.; Liu, J.-W.; Zhao, R.; Ran, L.; Gu, Y.; Gao, D.; and Shou, M. Z. 2023. Show-1: Marrying pixel and latent diffusion models for text-to-video generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.15818*.

Zhang, L.; Rao, A.; and Agrawala, M. 2023. Adding conditional control to text-to-image diffusion models. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, 3836–3847.

Zhou, D.; Wang, W.; Yan, H.; Lv, W.; Zhu, Y.; and Feng, J. 2022. Magicvideo: Efficient video generation with latent diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.11018*.



Figure 8: Main character names and their corresponding images in PororoSV. The images are sourced from https://pororo.fandom.com/.



Figure 9: Main character names and their corresponding images in FlintstonesSV. The images are sourced from https://flintstones.fandom.com/.

# Supplementary Material

This supplementary material provides additional details to complement the main paper. It includes more related works, a detailed explanation of the UNet architecture and training process, and descriptions of the PororoSV and FlintstonesSV datasets. We also present more ablation studies, comparing different model components and configurations. Additionally, we provide more quantitative and qualitative results, demonstrating the effectiveness of ContextualStory. Finally, we discuss the limitations of our approach and suggest potential improvements for future work.

## More Related Works

Text-to-video generation. Text-to-video generation focuses on creating temporally coherent videos (Brooks et al. 2022; Ge et al. 2022; Saito et al. 2020; Yu et al. 2022; Le Moing, Ponce, and Schmid 2021; Wu et al. 2021). The success of diffusion models in text-to-image generation has significantly advanced this field (Ho et al. 2022b; Singer et al. 2022; Ho et al. 2022a; Blattmann et al. 2023a; An et al. 2023; Zhou et al. 2022; He et al. 2022; Mei and Patel 2023; Yu et al. 2023; Bain et al. 2021; Blattmann et al. 2023b; Guo et al. 2023; Luo et al. 2023; Wang et al. 2023b; Yin et al. 2023). Pioneering approaches like VDM (Ho et al.



Figure 10: Variants of the Storyline Contextualizer. (a) Simplified Storyline Contextualizer consists of a single self-attention layer and a feed-forward network (FFN) repeated across N layers. (b) Dual Self-Attention Storyline Contextualizer adds an additional self-attention layer per block. (c) Causal Storyline Contextualizer replaces the self-attention layers with causal self-attention layers. (d) Storyline Contextualizer (Ours) incorporates temporal attention to capture temporal dependencies, alongside selfattention and FFN layers.

and video training. Make-a-Video (Singer et al. 2022) and Imagen Video (Ho et al. 2022a) capture video distribution at low resolution before enhancing resolution and duration through spatiotemporal interpolation. Leveraging the efficiency of LDM, subsequent works (Zhou et al. 2022; He et al. 2022; Blattmann et al. 2023b; Mei and Patel 2023; Yu et al. 2023; Bain et al. 2021; Wang et al. 2023d,a; Guo et al. 2023) extended 2D UNet by interleaving temporal layers between pre-trained 2D layers and fine-tuning on large-scale video datasets. LaVie (Wang et al. 2023d) and ModelScopeT2V (Wang et al. 2023a) fine-tune the entire model, while VideoLDM (Blattmann et al. 2023b) and AnimateDiff (Guo et al. 2023) fine-tune only additional temporal layers, making them plug-and-play for personalized image models. Diffusion transformers (DiT) (Peebles and Xie 2023; Bao et al. 2023; Ma et al. 2024a) have revolutionized video generation, leading to sophisticated solutions like Latte (Ma et al. 2024b), W.A.L.T. (Gupta et al. 2023), and Sora (Brooks et al. 2024). These methods extract spacetime patches from input videos and use DiTs to model video distribution in latent space. While Sora excels at generating minute-long videos, the content often covers a limited range of scenes or simple motion changes. In contrast, story visualization focuses on generating images corresponding to multiple sentences, ensuring global consistency of dynamic scenes and characters.

## UNet Architecture

Our ContextualStory is developed based on the text-toimage generation model Stable Diffusion 2.1-base, which utilizes UNet for the diffusion and denoising processes in the latent space to generate images. The UNet consists of four downsampling blocks, one middle block, and four upsampling blocks. Each block contains a spatial convolution block. Apart from the last downsampling block and the first upsampling block, each block also includes a spatial atten-

Method			FID $\downarrow$ Char. F1 $\uparrow$ Frm. Acc. $\uparrow$
Simplified Storyline Contextualizer	13.94	74.60	47.18
Dual Self-Attention Storyline Contextualizer 13.75		75.31	47.84
Causal Storyline Contextualizer	14.59	75.02	48.00
<b>Storyline Contextualizer (Ours)</b>	13.61	77.24	51.59

Table 7: Ablation study of Storyline Contextualizer for the story visualization task on the PororoSV.

<b>Initialization Method</b>			FID $\downarrow$ Char. F1 $\uparrow$ Frm. Acc. $\uparrow$
Random Initialization	13.84	76.06	49.56
<b>Zero Initialization (Ours) 13.61</b>		77.24	51.59

Table 9: Ablation study on initialization methods for the Storyline Contextualizer in the story visualization task on the PororoSV.



Table 10: Ablation study on initialization methods for the StoryFlow Adapter in the story visualization task on the PororoSV.

tion block.

While the original UNet captures spatial dependencies, temporal dependencies is crucial for enhancing the consistency in visual storytelling. Hence, we introduced temporal convolution and Spatially-Enhanced Temporal Attention (SETA) to UNet to effectively capture spatial and temporal dependencies for addressing inconsistency problem. Specifically, we add a temporal convolution block after every spatial convolution block and a SETA block after every spatial attention block. Temporal convolution blocks have the same architecture as their corresponding spatial counterparts, with the key difference being that temporal convolution blocks operate along the temporal dimension. The spatial attention block comprises a self-attention layer that operates independently on each story frame, and a cross-attention layer that operates between the story frames and the storyline embedding. The SETA blocks do not require the guidance of storyline embedding, so they do not contain a cross-attention layer but two self-attention layers. Spatial convolution and temporal convolution capture spatiotemporal dependencies among the story frames by convolving over their spatial and temporal dimensions, while spatial attention and SETA capture spatiotemporal dependencies by selectively attending to different regions within the images and other images. Through the integration of these spatiotemporal blocks, our ContextualStory effectively captures the complex spatial and temporal dependencies within the story frames, resulting in the generation of coherent story frames.

For story continuation tasks, in addition to the storyline

Number of Layers FID $\downarrow$ Char. F1 $\uparrow$ Frm. Acc. $\uparrow$							
	13.96	75.20	48.26				
	13.84	76.06	49.56				
$4$ (Ours)	13.61	77.24	51.59				
8	14.78	74.73	46.88				

Table 8: Ablation Study on the number of layers in the Storyline Contextualizer for the story visualization task on the PororoSV.

First Image Input Method FID $\downarrow$ Char. F1 $\uparrow$ Frm. Acc. $\uparrow$			
<b>Conv. First Image (Ours)</b>	13.86	76.25	50.72
Concat. First Image	14.17	74.82	47.81

Table 11: Ablation study of the first image input method for the story continuation task on the PororoSV.

embedding, the first frame serves as an additional input. We modified the architecture of ContextualStory slightly to accommodate this. Specifically, we extract the latent representation of the first frame and utilize it as an additional guiding input to all UNet blocks. Within each UNet block, we first resize it to align with the spatial dimensions of the hidden state. Subsequently, we apply a  $1 \times 1$  convolution layer to adjust the channel to match that of the hidden state before concatenating it with the hidden state. Finally, the concatenated feature is inputted into the spatial convolution.

#### Details of Training

## Training Objective

Story visualization. During training, the UNet inputs noise latent inputs and predicts the added noise under the guidance of storyline embedding, timestep embedding, and storyflow embedding. The training objective of ContextualStory is defined as:

$$
L_{LDM}^{SV} := \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{I}), \mathcal{C}, \epsilon, t} [\|\epsilon - \epsilon_{\theta}(\mathcal{Z}_t, t, \mathcal{C}, \Delta')\|^2].
$$
 (5)

Story continuation. In comparison to the story visualization task, the story continuation task provides the latent representation of the first frame as an additional input to the UNet during training. Therefore, the training objective of ContextualStory is defined as:

$$
L_{LDM}^{SC} := \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{E}(I^{2:N}), \mathbf{c}^{2:N}, \epsilon, t} [\|\epsilon - \epsilon_{\theta}(\mathcal{Z}_t, t, \mathbf{c}^{2:N}, \Delta')\|^2]. \tag{6}
$$

#### Details of Datasets

Following previous works (Pan et al. 2024; Rahman et al. 2023; Shen and Elhoseiny 2023; Wang et al. 2024; Shen et al. 2024; Tao et al. 2024), we employ PororoSV (Li et al. 2019) and the FlintstonesSV (Gupta et al. 2018), to evaluate the performance of our ContextualStory in both story visualization and story continuation tasks.

PororoSV The PororoSV dataset comprises 10,191 training samples, 2,334 validation samples, and 2,208 test samples. Each sample is composed of a sequence of 5 frames paired with corresponding 5 sentences, forming a storyline. The dataset features 9 main characters: Loopy, Crong, Poby, Harry, Tongtong, Pororo, Petty,





Model	w/ ref. text	<b>PororoSV</b>			<b>FlintstonesSV</b>			
							FID $\downarrow$ Char. F1 $\uparrow$ Frm. Acc. $\uparrow$ FID $\downarrow$ Char. F1 $\uparrow$ Frm. Acc. $\uparrow$	
StoryDALL-E		40.39	50.56	21.03	44.66	78.36	61.83	
<b>LDM</b>		60.23	56.30	16.59	87.39	78.68	57.38	
Story-LDM		36.64	57.95	20.26	69.49	86.59	69.19	
StoryGPT-V		19.56	62.70	36.06	21.71	94.17	87.96	
<b>ContextualStory (Ours)</b>		14.28	69.65	39.62	21.94	88.67	76.64	

Table 13: Quantitative comparison with the state-of-the-art methods for the story visualization task with reference text on the PororoSV and FlintstonesSV datasets.

Eddy, and Rody. Figure 8 illustrates the profile images of these characters. This dataset is designed for the story visualization task, ensuring a diverse range of scenes and interactions between the characters.

FlintstonesSV The FlintstonesSV dataset contains 20,132 samples for training, 2,071 for validation, and 2,309 for testing. Similar to PororoSV, each sample consists of a sequence of 5 frames paired with corresponding  $\overline{5}$  sentences, forming a storyline. The  $\overline{7}$  main characters featured in this dataset include Fred, Wilma, Betty, Barney, Pebbles, Slate, and Dino. Figure 9 shows their profile images. The dataset was originally used for text-to-video synthesis and has been adapted for story visualization tasks to maintain consistency with prior research.

## More Ablation Studies

Ablation study of Storyline Contextualizer. The Storyline Contextualizer is evaluated in four different variants to assess their impact on visual storytelling tasks, as shown in Figure 10. The Simplified Storyline Contextualizer employs a basic structure with a single self-attention layer followed by a feed-forward network (FFN). The Dual Self-Attention Storyline Contextualizer extends this by adding an additional self-attention layer. The Causal Storyline Contextualizer replaces the self-attention layers with causal self-attention layers. Finally, the proposed Storyline Contextualizer integrates temporal attention, enhancing the model's ability to capture temporal dependencies.

We conduct an ablation study of Storyline Contextualizer for the story visualization task on the PororoSV. As shown in Table 7, the proposed Storyline Contextualizer outperforms the other variants, achieving the lowest FID (13.61), highest Char. F1 score (77.24), and highest Frm. Acc. (51.59). The Causal Storyline Contextualizer, which uses causal self-attention layers, limits each token embedding to only focus on previous token embeddings. This con-

straint prevents the storyline embedding from capturing the full context, leading to weaker performance compared to the proposed Storyline Contextualizer. Overall, the results indicate that the proposed Storyline Contextualizer excels at maintaining consistency in characters and scenes across frames, outperforming the other variants.

Ablation study on the the number of layers in the Storyline Contextualizer. We conduct an ablation study on the the number of layers in the Storyline Contextualizer for the story visualization task on the PororoSV. As shown in Table 8, the model's performance improves as the number of layers increases from 1 to 4. Specifically, the proposed 4-layer model achieves the best results, with the lowest FID (13.61), highest Char. F1 score (77.24), and highest Frm. Acc. (51.59). However, increasing the number of layers to 8 results in a decline in performance, with a higher FID (14.78) and lower Char. F1 (74.73) and Frm. Acc. (46.88). These results indicate that a 4-layer configuration provides the optimal balance between model complexity and performance, while additional layers may introduce unnecessary complexity that degrades the model's ability to maintain consistency and accuracy in the generated story frames.

Ablation study on initialization methods for the Storyline Contextualizer. We conduct an ablation study on initialization methods for the Storyline Contextualizer in the story continuation task on the PororoSV. As shown in Table 9, the model initialized with zero initialization achieves better results compared to random initialization. Specifically, zero initialization leads to a lower FID (13.61), higher Char. F1 score (77.24), and higher Frm. Acc. (51.59) than random initialization. These results indicate that zero initialization helps the model stabilize training and improves its ability to maintain consistency and accuracy in the generated story frames.

Ablation study on initialization methods for the StoryFlow Adapter. We conduct an ablation study on initialization methods for the StoryFlow Adapter in the story continuation task on the



Figure 11: Qualitative comparison of story continuation on PororoSV (left) and FlintstonesSV (right). The image marked with a red box is the first frame additionally input to the model.

PororoSV. As shown in Table 10, the model initialized with zero initialization significantly outperforms the model initialized with random initialization. Specifically, zero initialization yields a lower FID (13.61), higher Char. F1 score (77.24), and higher Frm. Acc. (51.59) compared to random initialization, which results in an FID of 14.66, Char. F1 of 75.40, and Frm. Acc. of 48.03. These results suggest that zero initialization enhances the model's performance by providing more stable and effective training, leading to better consistency and accuracy in generating story frames.

Ablation study of the first image input method in the story continuation. We conduct an ablation study on the input method of the first image of our ContextualStory in the story continuation task on the PororoSV in Table 11. *Conv. First Image* means processing the first image using a convolution layer in each UNet block. *Concat. First Image* means concatenating the latent representation of the first image with the latent noise of other images and then feeding it directly into UNet. It can be observed that *Conv. First Image* achieved the best results across all metrics, while *Concat. First Image* showed a decline in performance. The superior performance of *Conv. First Image* may be attributed to its incorporation of information from the first image into each UNet block, thus providing stronger guidance.

## More Quantitative Results

Results on extended dataset with reference text. Story-LDM (Rahman et al. 2023) pioneered the introduction of reference resolution in the story visualization task, proposing a framework based on autoregressive diffusion with a memory-attention module to address ambiguous references. Story-LDM extends the dataset by replacing character names with references, *i.e.*, he, she, or they. We trained our ContextualStory on the PororoSV and FlintstonesSV datasets with reference text for story visualization and story continuation tasks. As shown in Table 12, the performance of our ContextualStory on datasets with reference text only exhibits a slight decrease, indicating that even on more challenging datasets

Model	<b>Inference Speed</b>
AR-LDM	40.4 s
StoryGen	31.7 s
<b>ContextualStory (Ours)</b>	11.8s

Table 14: Inference speed comparison of different SOTA models.

with reference text, our model can still effectively generate coherent story frames. Furthermore, we compared our model with stateof-the-art methods, including StoryDALL-E (Maharana, Hannan, and Bansal 2022), LDM (Rombach et al. 2022), Story-LDM, and StoryGPT-V (Shen and Elhoseiny 2023). The results presented in Table 13 demonstrate that our model surpasses the existing stateof-the-art methods on the PororoSV dataset. Moreover, our performance on the FlintstonesSV dataset is on par with the current stateof-the-art methods. The performance of our model is slightly lower than that of StoryGPT-V. This could be attributed to StoryGPT-V leveraging the powerful reasoning capabilities of LLM for reference resolution, whereas we only utilize the CLIP text encoder to resolve ambiguous references. These results demonstrate the effectiveness of our ContextualStory in resolving ambiguous references. Inference Speed. We compare the inference speed of different models, including AR-LDM (Pan et al. 2024), StoryGen (Liu et al. 2024), and our proposed ContextualStory, as shown in Table 14. The experiment is conducted on the same A800 GPU to ensure a fair comparison, with all models using 50 steps for the DDIM inference process. Both AR-LDM and StoryGen are autoregressive methods, which inherently suffer from slower inference speeds due to their sequential generation process. In contrast, ContextualStory is a non-autoregressive model, effectively addressing the inference speed bottleneck of autoregressive methods. The results demonstrate that ContextualStory achieves a significantly faster inference speed of 11.8 seconds, compared to 40.4 seconds for AR-LDM and 31.7 seconds for StoryGen. This indicates that ContextualStory not only offers a substantial improvement in inference speed over existing state-of-the-art methods but also outperforms them in terms of overall performance.

## More Qualitative Results

Figure 11 presents a qualitative comparison of story continuation on the PororoSV and FlintstonesSV datasets. While StoryDALL-E generates characters of low quality with mismatched backgrounds, AR-LDM improves on character quality but still struggles with inconsistent backgrounds that significantly differ from the ground truth. In contrast, ours ContextualStory produces high-quality images with characters and backgrounds that are not only consistent but also closely align with the ground truth.

We also provide more qualitative results of the story visualization task on PororoSV and FlintstonesSV as shown in Figure 12 and Figure 13, and more qualitative results of the story continuation task on PororoSV and FlintstonesSV as shown in Figure 14 and Figure 15. These results demonstrate the ability of ContextualStory to maintain character and scene consistency across story visualization and continuation tasks.

#### Limitations

One limitation of ContextualStory is its difficulty in maintaining optimal character layout and details when generating story frames with many characters. This limitation primarily stems from the use of the pre-trained Stable Diffusion 2.1-base, meaning that the performance of ContextualStory is inherently constrained by the capabilities of Stable Diffusion. In future work, we plan to address these issues by leveraging more powerful text-to-image models, such as Stable Diffusion 3 (Esser et al. 2024), DALL-E 3 (Betker et al. 2023), and PixArt- $\alpha$  (Chen et al. 2023), to improve both character layout and detail in scenes with multiple characters. Additionally, we will consider incorporating layout control mechanisms to further improve character arrangement and detail in complex scenes.



Figure 12: Qualitative results of the story visualization task on the PororoSV dataset.

ContextualStory

**Ground Truth** 

ContextualStory



**Ground Truth ContextualStory Ground Truth** ContextualStory

**Ground Truth ContextualStory Ground Truth** ContextualStory

**Ground Truth** 

**ContextualStory Ground Truth** ContextualStory

Ground Truth **ContextualStory Ground Truth** ContextualStory



Figure 14: Qualitative results of the story continuation task on the PororoSV dataset.



Figure 15: Qualitative results of the story continuation task on the FlintstonesSV dataset.

Ground Truth **ContextualStory Ground Truth** ContextualStory

ContextualStory

**Ground Truth**