Reliability Function of Classical-Quantum Channels

Ke Li^{1,*} and Dong Yang^{2,3,4,†}

¹Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, China

²Shenzhen Institute for Quantum Science and Engineering,

Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen 518055, China. ³International Quantum Academy, Shenzhen 518048, China

⁴Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Quantum Science and Engineering,

Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen 518055, China

(Dated: today)

Reliability function, defined as the optimal error exponent describing the exponential decay of decoding error probability when the communicating rate is below the capacity of the channel, is one of the fundamental problems in information theory. In this work, we determine the reliability function for a general cq channel. The main contribution is a lower bound for the error exponent which is characterised by the Renyi divergence in Petz's form. It turns out that the lower bound matches the upper bound given by Dalai (IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 46, 2256 (2000)) when the rate is not very low. Thus the reliability function is obtained by combining these two bounds in a proper range of communicating rate. The approach to derive the lower bound makes use of tricks on types and an observation by Renes (arXiv: 2207.08899) that channel code can be constructed from data compression scheme for uniform distribution relative to side information, whose solution to the error exponent problem is in turn determined by its dual problem—privacy amplification, for which the exact error exponent is known.

^{*} carl.ke.lee@gmail.com

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ yangd6@sustech.edu.cn

I. INTRODUCTION

In information theory, Shannon's channel theorem asserts that given a channel \mathcal{N} , there exists a quantity $C(\mathcal{N})$ called capacity such that we can reliably communicate classical messages at any rate r below the capacity by multiple uses of the channel. Precisely, there is a sequence of codes that the decoding error goes to zero with respect to the number nof channel uses while the communicating rate of the messages remains $r < C(\mathcal{N})$. It is expected that the probability of decoding error would decay exponentially and reliability function is introduced to describe the optimal exponent. In the case of classical channels, the problem on reliability function is solved by a series of works of Fano [1], Gallager [2], and Shannon, Gallager and Berlekamp[3], where two techniques of random coding and sphere packing are introduced to drive lower and upper bounds on the error exponents respectively.

The analog of the concept reliability function is extended by Burnashev and Holevo to quantum channels, particularly as a first step, the classical-quantum channels [4]. The goal is to determine the reliability function, i.e. the optimal error exponent, when the communicating rate is below the capacity of a CQ channel. To get that, upper and lower bounds are studied in the literature. An upper bound is derived by Dalai following the idea of sphere packing [3] that is believed to be tight. In [4], Burnashev and Holevo found a tight lower bound for the special case that the out states are pure [4]. In [5], Holevo studied the general CQ channels and conjectured the formula for the lower bound. Further studies on lower bounds continues with works by Hayashi [6, 7], Dalai [8], and Cheng [9] by a direct approach, namely, analysing the decoding error in the single-shot case, then employing some trace inequalities of operators to get an upper bound, and finally deriving the lower bound for the error exponent for the multiple uses of the channel. It is crucial to get a very good estimation of the single-shot error to get the tight lower bound in this approach. There is another approach noticed by Renes in [10] that makes use of the relation between channel coding and data compression with side information, where the error exponent of data compression with side information can be obtained by the duality relation between data compression with side information and privacy amplification, and luckily the error exponent of privacy amplification has already been solved due to the works of Hayashi [11], and Li, Yao, and Hayashi [12]. Using the duality technique, a tight lower bound is given by Renes in [10] for a special class of CQ channels called symmetric channels.

In this paper, we follow the duality approach and add a new ingredient based on the type method such that combining the two techniques lets us determine the reliability function for a general CQ channel. Specifically we derive a lower bound of the error exponent for a general CQ channel. Our lower bound coincides with the upper bound of Dalai [8] when the communicating rate is above a critical value. Thus, the optimal error exponent, i.e. the reliability function, is determined by combining these two bounds in a proper parameter range.

The rest of this paper is organised as follows. In Section II we introduce the necessary notations, notions and definitions, and collect some known lemmas required in later sections. In Section III we present the main results. In Section IV we prepare the new lemmas needed for the proof of the main results. In Section V we prove the main results. Finally we conclude in Section VI with a brief summary and open questions.

II. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we introduce the notations, all kinds of definitions and properties needed, and collect some well-known technical lemmas in the literature as well, whose proofs are referred to the literature.

A. Notations

We denote finite-dimensional quantum system by capital letters (e.g. A), its Hilbert space by H (e.g. H_A), its dimension as |A|, and an n-fold tensor product of Hilbert spaces as $H_{A^n} = H_A^{\otimes n}$. For a Hilbert space H, we denote by L(H) the set of linear operators on it, and P(A) the set of positive semidefinite operators. We denote the identity operator on H_A by $\mathbb{1}_A$ and the partial trace by Tr_A . The set of quantum states on H_A is denoted as $\mathcal{S}(A) := \{\rho_A : \rho_A \in P(A), \operatorname{Tr} \rho_A = 1\}$. The support of an operator X is denoted as supp(X). Throughout this paper, we are restricted to quantum systems of finite dimension. For two Hermitian operators $L, K \in L(A)$, we write $L \leq K$ iff $K - L \in P(A)$ and we write $L \ll K$ if the support of L is contained in the support of K.

B. Reliability Function

A bipartite quantum state is called a classical-quantum (CQ) state if it is of the form

$$\rho_{XB} = \sum_{x} p_x |x\rangle\!\langle x|^X \otimes \rho_x^B,$$

where $p = (p_x)_x$ is a probability distribution on \mathcal{X} .

In general a quantum channel is represented by a completely positive and trace preserving (CPTP) map, describing the output state of system B by acting on the input state of system A. The so-called classical-quantum (CQ) channel is a special class of quantum channels, being of the form

$$\mathcal{N}(\rho^A) = \sum_x \langle x | \rho^A | x \rangle \rho_x^B,$$

where $\{|x\rangle^A\}$ is an orthogonal basis for system A. It can be conveniently characterised by $\mathcal{N}: x \to \rho_x$.

Consider a CQ channel \mathcal{N} with input alphabet set \mathcal{X} and the associated output quantum state $\rho_x, x \in \mathcal{X}$ on Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . To a sequence $x^n := (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathcal{X}^n$ the associated state is $\rho_{x^n} := \rho_{x_1} \otimes \rho_{x_2} \cdots \otimes \rho_{x_n}$. The channel coding problem is to use the channel \mathcal{N} multiple times to send classical messages. Let $\mathcal{M} = \{1, ..., M\}$ be the set of messages to be transmitted. For n uses of the channel, we select a subset $\mathcal{E}_n := \{x_1^n, x_2^n, \cdots, x_M^n\}$ from \mathcal{X}^n to form the codewords set, or equivalently a mapping from the message set \mathcal{M} to \mathcal{X}^n , where each sequence represents the corresponding message. This is the encoding part. To send the messages m, the sender sends the state of codeword x_m^n to the channel and the receiver receives the state $\rho_{x_m^n}$. To determine which m the message is, the receiver performs a decoding measurement on the n quantum system , i.e. a set of positive semidefinite operators on $\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$, $\mathcal{D}_n := \{\Lambda_1^n, \Lambda_2^n, \cdots, \Lambda_M^n\}$ satisfying $\sum_m \Lambda_m^n = \mathbb{1}$. If the outcome m' occurs, then the message m' is determined. This is the decoding part. A code is defined as $\mathcal{C}_n := (\mathcal{E}_n, \mathcal{D}_n)$ with M pairs of (x_m^n, Λ_m^n) , and the size of the code \mathcal{C}_n is $|\mathcal{C}_n| := M$ and the rate is $r := \frac{\log M}{n}$. The probability to decode m' from $\rho_{x_m^n}$ is $P(m'|m) = \operatorname{Tr} \Lambda_{m'}^n \rho_{x_m^n}$, thus the probability of error for the message m is

$$P_e(m) = 1 - \sum_{m' \neq m} P(m'|m) = 1 - \operatorname{Tr} \Lambda_m^n \rho_{x_m^n}.$$

The error probability for the code C_n is defined as the average error probability

$$P_e(\mathcal{C}_n) = 1 - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^M \operatorname{Tr} \Lambda_m^n \rho_{x_m^n}.$$

Given a channel \mathcal{N} , we are interested in the optimal error probability of sending messages at rate r by n uses of the channel \mathcal{N} , denoted as

$$P_e^{(n)}(\mathcal{N},r) := \inf_{\mathcal{C}_n} P_e(\mathcal{C}_n),$$

where the infimum is taken over all possible codes of size 2^{nr} . By this notation, it is easy to see

$$P_e^{(km)}(\mathcal{N},r) = P_e^{(k)}(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes m},mr).$$

The channel capacity is the maximal rate of transmitting classical messages that a sequence of codes $\{C_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ can achieve, under the condition that the error probability tends to zero asymptotically. Formally, the classical capacity is defined as

$$C(\mathcal{N}) := \sup\{\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log |\mathcal{C}_n| : \lim_{n \to \infty} P_e(\mathcal{C}_n) = 0\}$$

The capacity theorem for a CQ channel \mathcal{N} asserts that the if the communicating rate $0 \leq r < C(\mathcal{N})$, where $C(\mathcal{N}) = \chi(\mathcal{N}) := \max_p S(\sum_x p_x \rho_x) - \sum_x S(\rho_x)$, then $P_e^{(n)}(\mathcal{N}, r)$ tends to zero as $n \to \infty$ and does not to zero if $r > C(\mathcal{N})$. When $r < C(\mathcal{N})$, $P_e^{(n)}(\mathcal{N}, r)$ is expectedly exponentially decay to zero and the reliability function is defined as the optimal exponent of the decay,

$$E(\mathcal{N}, r) := \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{-1}{n} \log P_e^{(n)}(\mathcal{N}, r).$$

By the definition, it is easy to see

$$E(\mathcal{N}, r) \ge E(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}, mr).$$

C. Types

The art in information theory is to make codes for multiple uses of the channel. The type method [13] is a powerful tool in information theory. Let \mathcal{X} denote a finite alphabet set with $|\mathcal{X}|$ elements. For a sequence $x^n \in \mathcal{X}^n$, the type $t(x^n) := (t_a(x^n))_a$ is a probability

distribution on the alphabet set \mathcal{X} , where $t_a(x^n)$ is the occurrence frequency of the alphabet $a \in \mathcal{X}$ in the sequence x^n , i.e.,

$$t_a(x^n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{x_i a}, \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Given a $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let \mathcal{T}_n denotes the set of all types with respect to \mathcal{X} . The number of types can be bounded as

$$|\mathcal{T}_n| \le (n+1)^{|\mathcal{X}|}.$$

Given a type $t := (t_a)_a \in \mathcal{T}_n$, we denote by \mathcal{T}_n^t the set of all sequences of type t, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{T}_n^t := \{x^n : t(x^n) = t\}$$

For a CQ state $\rho_{XB} = \sum_{x} p_x |x\rangle \langle x| \otimes \rho_x$, *n* copies of ρ_{XB} can be expanded as

$$\rho_{XB}^{\otimes n} = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}_n} p^n(\mathcal{T}_n^t) \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_n^t|} \sum_{x^n \in \mathcal{T}_n^t} \rho_{x^n},$$

where

$$p^{n}(\mathcal{T}_{n}^{t}) = \sum_{x^{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}^{t}} p_{x_{1}} p_{x_{2}} \cdots p_{x_{n}} = |\mathcal{T}_{n}^{t}| \prod_{a \in \mathcal{X}} p_{a}^{nt_{a}}$$

and

$$\rho_{x^n} = \rho_{x_1} \otimes \rho_{x_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho_{x_n}$$

D. Symmetric States

The associated states to the sequences in a fixed type can be transformed to each other by permutation and the average state is a symmetric state. Now we give a very brief overview of symmetric states.

Let S_n be the permutation group over a alphabet set \mathcal{X} with *n* elements. The natural representation of S_n on $\mathcal{H}_B^{\otimes n}$ is given by the unitary transformations

$$V_{\pi}|\phi_1\rangle \otimes |\phi_2\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\phi_n\rangle = |\phi_{\pi^{-1}(1)}\rangle \otimes |\phi_{\pi^{-1}(2)}\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |\phi_{\pi^{-1}(n)}\rangle, \quad |\phi_i\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_B, \ \pi \in S_n$$

We denote by $\mathcal{S}_{sym}(B^n)$ the set of symmetric states of n copies of system B, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{S}_{sym}(B^n) := \{ \sigma_{B^n} \in \mathcal{S}(B^n) : \sigma_{B^n} = V_\pi \sigma_{B^n} V_\pi^\dagger, \forall \pi \in S_n \}.$$

A useful operator inequality on symmetric states is the following lemma.

Lemma 1 (Christandl et.al. [14]) For every finite-dimensional system B and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a symmetric state $\sigma_{B^n}^u$ such that for any symmetric state $\sigma_{B^n} \in \mathcal{S}_{sym}(B^n)$, we have

$$\sigma_{B^n} \leq poly(n)\sigma_{B^n}^u$$

where $poly(n) := (n+1)^{|B|^2-1}$ is a polynomial function on n.

Remark Such an state is called universal symmetric state and is not unique. The polynomial coefficient can be improved, see [14] and [15] for details.

E. Petz Quantum Renyi divergence

Distinct from the classical counterpart, there are two useful versions of Renyi divergence in the quantum setting. The relevant one in the present topic is Petz's version, which is defined in the following.

Definition 2 The Petz quantum Renyi divergences is defined as

$$D_{\alpha}(\rho \| \sigma) := \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log \operatorname{Tr}[\rho^{\alpha} \sigma^{1 - \alpha}], \quad \rho \ll \sigma, \ 0 \le \alpha \le 2,$$
(1)

Definition 3 A related quantum Renyi conditional entropy is defined as

$$H^{\uparrow}_{\alpha}(A|B)_{\rho} := \max_{\sigma_B} [-D(\rho_{AB} \| \mathbb{1}_A \otimes \sigma_B)].$$
⁽²⁾

Lemma 4 (Tomamichel [16]) $D_{\alpha}(\rho \| \sigma)$ is convex and non-increasing with respect to σ when $\alpha \in [0, 2]$.

F. Renyi mutual information in Petz form

In this subsection, we firstly recall the Renyi mutual information in Petz form introduced by Hayashi and Tomamichel in [15], and then extend it to the channel setting and study its properties for CQ channels. It turns out that it is the right function characterising the error exponent for a general CQ channel.

Quantum mutual information can be defined in various forms. One of them is the variational form by quantum relative entropy. Specifically for a bipartite quantum state ρ_{AB} , the mutual information is given as

$$I(A:B)_{\rho} := \min D(\rho_{AB} \| \rho_A \otimes \rho_B) = \min_{\sigma_B \in \mathcal{S}(B)} D(\rho_{AB} \| \rho_A \otimes \sigma_B)$$

Quantum relative entropy is equal to quantum Renyi divergence when $\alpha = 1$. In [15], Hayashi and Tomamichel generalise the variational form to define Renyi mutual information for a bipartite state ρ_{AB} and any state σ_A satisfying $\rho_A \ll \sigma_A$ as follows,

$$I_{\alpha}(\rho_{AB} \| \sigma_A) := \min_{\sigma_B} D_{\alpha}(\rho_{AB} \| \sigma_A \otimes \sigma_B).$$
(3)

The following lemma asserts the unique minimiser σ_B^* in eq. (3) using quantum Sibson's identity [17].

Lemma 5 (Hayashi and Tomamichel [15])

$$I_{\alpha}(\rho_{AB} \| \tau_A) = D_{\alpha}(\rho_{AB} \| \tau_A \otimes \sigma_B^*), \qquad (4)$$

$$\sigma_B^* := \frac{(\operatorname{Tr}_A \tau_A^{1-\alpha} \rho_{AB}^{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}}{\operatorname{Tr}_B[(\operatorname{Tr}_A \tau_A^{1-\alpha} \rho_{AB}^{\alpha})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}]}$$
(5)

Immediately it implies [15] that Renyi mutual information is additive for product states, i.e.,

$$I_{\alpha}(\rho_{1}^{A_{1}B_{1}} \otimes \rho_{2}^{A_{2}B_{2}} \| \sigma^{A_{1}} \otimes \sigma^{A_{2}}) = I_{\alpha}(\rho_{1}^{A_{1}B_{1}} \| \sigma^{A_{1}}) + I_{\alpha}(\rho_{2}^{A_{2}B_{2}} \| \sigma^{A_{2}}),$$

and a special case is

$$I_{\alpha}(\rho_{AB}^{\otimes n} \| \tau_A^{\otimes n}) = n I_{\alpha}(\rho_{AB} \| \tau_A)$$

We extend the notion of Renyi mutual information for quantum states to quantum channels. For a quantum channel $\mathcal{N} : A \to B$, the channel's Renyi mutual information is defined as

$$I_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N}) := \max_{\psi_{RA}} I_{\alpha} \left(id_R \otimes \mathcal{N}_{A \to B}(\psi_{RA}) \| \psi_R \right).$$

We are interested in the CQ channels, and denote the relevant quantities as follows.

Definition 6 Let $\mathcal{N} : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{S}(E)$ be a CQ channel with $\mathcal{N}(x) = \rho_x$ and $p := (p_x)_x$ be a probability distribution on \mathcal{X} . We define

$$I_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N},p) := \min_{\sigma_B} D_{\alpha}(\rho_{XB} \| \rho_x \otimes \sigma_B)$$

with $\rho_{XB} = \sum_{x} p_x |x\rangle \langle x|^X \otimes \rho_x^B$, and

$$I_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N}) := \max_{p} I_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N}, p) = I_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N}, p^*),$$

where the optimisation is taken over probability distributions on \mathcal{X} and p^* is the optimal probability distribution.

By Lemma 5,

$$I_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N}, p) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \log \operatorname{Tr} \left[\left(\sum_{x} p_{x} \rho_{x}^{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right].$$

In [5], it is proved that the channel's Renyi mutual information is additive for CQ channels.

Lemma 7 For two CQ channels, \mathcal{N}_1 and \mathcal{N}_2 ,

$$I_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N}_1 \otimes \mathcal{N}_2) = I_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N}_1) + I_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N}_2).$$

G. Data Compression with Side Quantum information

Data compression with side quantum information is a fundamental primitive in quantum information processing. Given a CQ state

$$\rho_{XB} = \sum_{x} p_x |x\rangle\!\langle x|^X \otimes \rho_x^B,$$

X system at Alice's hands plays as the data source and B system at Bob's hands as the side quantum information. The protocol of data compression with side information is to compress the data X as small as possible such that on receiving the compressed data, Bob can reliably recover the uncompressed data with the assistance of the side information already at hands. Specifically in the i.i.d. setting of n copies, Alice encodes X^n into $\widehat{X^n} \widetilde{X^n}$ and sends $\widetilde{X^n}$ to Bob; Bob would decodes X^n with the received $\widetilde{X^n}$ and B^n at hands. Equivalently Bob only needs to estimate the unsent information $\widehat{X^n}$. The performance of the code is parameterised by the probability of decoding error $P_{err}\left(\widehat{X^n}|\widetilde{X^n}B^n\right)$, the compression rate $R_{DC} := \log |\widetilde{X^n}|$, and the number of copies n. Renes derives a tight lower bound on the error exponent by utilising the duality relation between data compression with side quantum information and the protocol of privacy amplification, whose tight lower bound is given by Hayashi in [11]. Lemma 8 (Renes [10]) Let ρ_{XB} be a cq state and consider the protocol of data compression with side quantum information. For any data compression rate $R_{DC} > H(X|B)_{\rho_{XB}}$, there is a sequence of data compression schemes $X^n \to \widehat{X^n} \widetilde{X^n}$ with $|\widetilde{X^n}| = |X|^m = 2^{nR_{DC}}$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{-1}{n} \log P_{err} \left(\widehat{X^n} | \widetilde{X^n} B^n \right)_{\rho_{XB}^{\otimes n}} \ge \max_{\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]} \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} [R_{DC} - H_{\alpha}^{\uparrow}(X|B)_{\rho_{XB}}].$$

Notably, Renes found that a good code of data compression can be translated into a good channel code.

Observation 9 (Renes [10]) Any data compression scheme for uniform distribution relative to side information can be used to construct a channel code with the same or better probability of error. Specifically, when X^n is uniformly distributed, $|\widehat{X^n}| = |X|^m$ and $|\widetilde{X^n}| = |X|^{n-m}$ in Lemma 8,

$$P_e^{(n)}(\mathcal{N}, \frac{n-m}{n}) \le P_{err}(\widehat{X^n} | \widetilde{X^n} B^n)_{\rho_{XB}^{\otimes n}},$$

where the CQ channel is $\mathcal{N}(x) = \rho_x^B$.

III. MAIN RESULTS

The main contribution is a tight lower bound on the error exponent that has been conjectured for a long time since Holevo's work [5].

Theorem 10 Let \mathcal{N} be a CQ channel with $\mathcal{N} : x \to \rho_x$. For any $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ and any probability distribution p on \mathcal{X} , we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{-1}{n} \log P_e^{(n)}(\mathcal{N}, r) \ge \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} [I_\alpha(\mathcal{N}, p) - r].$$

By combining Theorem 10 and an upper bound derived in [8], we can get the exact exponent of the error probability in the asymptotic setting when the rate of communicating classical messages is above a critical value.

Theorem 11 Let \mathcal{N} be a CQ channel and $r \geq 0$. The reliability function $E(\mathcal{N}, r)$ satisfies

$$E(r) \ge \sup_{0 \le \alpha \le 1} \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} [I_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N}) - r]$$
(6)

$$E(r) \le \sup_{\frac{1}{2} \le \alpha \le 1} \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} [I_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N}) - r]$$
(7)

In particular, when $C(\mathcal{N}) > r \ge r_c := \frac{d}{ds}(sI_{1/1+s}(\mathcal{N}))|_{s=1}$,

$$E(r) = \sup_{\frac{1}{2} \le \alpha \le 1} \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} [I_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N}) - r].$$
(8)

IV. NEW LEMMAS

In this subsection, we present and prove two new lemmas on which the proof of the main theorem relies.

Lemma 12 Let
$$\rho_{X^n B^n}^{(n)} \in \mathcal{S}_{sym}((XB)^n)$$
, Then we have
 $D_{\alpha}(\rho_{X^n B^n}^{(n)} \| \rho_{X^n}^{(n)} \otimes \sigma_{B^n}^u) - \log poly(n) \leq \min_{\sigma_{B^n}} D_{\alpha}(\rho_{X^n B^n}^{(n)} \| \rho_{X^n}^{(n)} \otimes \sigma_{B^n}) \leq D_{\alpha}(\rho_{X^n B^n}^{(n)} \| \rho_{X^n}^{(n)} \otimes \sigma_{B^n}^u).$

Proof. The right " \leq " is obvious. To see the left " \leq ", we have

$$\min_{\sigma_{B^n}} D_{\alpha}(\rho_{X^n B^n}^{(n)} \| \rho_{X^n}^{(n)} \otimes \sigma_{B^n}), \tag{9}$$

$$\stackrel{(a)}{=} \min_{\sigma_{B^n} \in \mathcal{S}_{sym}(B^n)} D_{\alpha}(\rho_{X^n B^n}^{(n)} \| \rho_{X^n}^{(n)} \otimes \sigma_{B^n}), \tag{10}$$

$$\stackrel{(b)}{\geq} D_{\alpha}(\rho_{X^nB^n}^{(n)} \| \rho_{X^n}^{(n)} \otimes poly(n) \sigma_{B^n}^u), \tag{11}$$

$$= D_{\alpha}(\rho_{X^nB^n}^{(n)} \| \rho_{X^n}^{(n)} \otimes \sigma_{B^n}^u) - \log poly(n), \qquad (12)$$

where (a) comes from the invariance of D_{α} under unitary operations, $\rho_{X^n B^n}^{(n)} \in \mathcal{S}_{sym}((XB)^n)$ and $\rho_{X^n}^{(n)} \in \mathcal{S}_{sym}(X^n)$, and Lemma 4,

$$D_{\alpha}(\rho_{X^nB^n}^{(n)} \| \rho_{X^n}^{(n)} \otimes \sigma_{B^n}), \tag{13}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n} D_{\alpha} (V_{\pi}^{X^n B^n} \rho_{X^n B^n}^{(n)} V_{\pi}^{\dagger X^n B^n} \| V_{\pi}^{X^n B^n} \rho_{X^n}^{(n)} \otimes \sigma_{B^n} V_{\pi}^{\dagger X^n B^n}),$$
(14)

$$= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_n} D_{\alpha}(\rho_{X^n B^n}^{(n)} \| \rho_{X^n}^{(n)} \otimes V_{\pi}^{B^n} \sigma_{B^n} V_{\pi}^{\dagger B^n}),$$
(15)

$$\geq D_{\alpha}(\rho_{X^{n}B^{n}}^{(n)} \| \rho_{X^{n}}^{(n)} \otimes \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_{n}} V_{\pi}^{B^{n}} \sigma_{B^{n}} V_{\pi}^{\dagger B^{n}}),$$
(16)

and (b) from Lemma 4 and Lemma 1.

Lemma 13 Let \mathcal{N} be a CQ channel with $\mathcal{N} : x \to \rho_x$. For any $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and any probability distribution p on \mathcal{X} , there is a sequence of types $\{\mathcal{T}_n^*\}_n$, such that

$$I_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N}, p) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} I_{\alpha} \left(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes n}, \frac{\mathscr{U}_{\mathcal{T}_{n}^{*}}}{|\mathcal{T}_{n}^{*}|} \right).$$
(17)

Proof. Write $\rho_{XB} = \sum_{x} p_x |x\rangle \langle x|^X \otimes \rho_x^B$ and consider *n* copies of ρ_{XB} , we have

$$I_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N},p) \stackrel{(a)}{=} \min_{\sigma_{B^n}} \frac{1}{n} D_{\alpha}(\rho_{XB}^{\otimes n} \| \rho_x^{\otimes n} \otimes \sigma_{B^n}), \tag{18}$$

$$\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} \frac{1}{n} D_{\alpha}(\rho_{XB}^{\otimes n} \| \rho_x^{\otimes n} \otimes \sigma_{B^n}^u), \tag{19}$$

$$\stackrel{(c)}{=} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log \sum_{\mathcal{T}_n} p_{\mathcal{T}_n} \sum_{x^n \in \mathcal{T}_n} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_n|} \operatorname{Tr}[\rho_{x^n}^{\alpha}(\sigma_{B^n}^u)^{(1-\alpha)}],$$
(20)

$$\stackrel{(d)}{\leq} \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log \sum_{x^n \in \mathcal{T}_n^*} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_n^*|} \operatorname{Tr}[\rho_{x^n}^{\alpha}(\sigma_{B^n}^u)^{(1-\alpha)}],\tag{21}$$

$$\stackrel{(e)}{=} \frac{1}{n} D_{\alpha} \left(\sum_{x^n \in \mathcal{T}_n^*} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_n^*|} |x^n \rangle \langle x^n |^{X^n} \otimes \rho_{x^n}^{B^n} \| \sum_{x^n \in \mathcal{T}_n^*} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_n^*|} |x^n \rangle \langle x^n |^{X^n} \otimes \sigma_{B^n}^u \right), \tag{22}$$

$$\stackrel{(f)}{\leq} \frac{1}{n} \min_{\sigma_{B^n}} D_{\alpha} \left(\sum_{x^n \in \mathcal{T}_n^*} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_n^*|} |x^n \rangle \langle x^n |^{X^n} \otimes \rho_{x^n}^{B^n} \| \sum_{x^n \in \mathcal{T}_n^*} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_n^*|} |x^n \rangle \langle x^n |^{X^n} \otimes \sigma_{B^n} \right) + \frac{\log poly(n)}{n}$$

$$(a) 1 \quad \left(\sum_{x^n \in \mathcal{T}_n^*} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_n^*|} \right) = \log poly(n)$$

$$\stackrel{(g)}{=} \frac{1}{n} I_{\alpha} \left(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes n}, \frac{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{T}_{n}^{*}}}{|\mathcal{T}_{n}^{*}|} \right) + \frac{\log poly(n)}{n}, \tag{24}$$

where (a) comes from Lemma 3, (b) is explicit, (c) from the definition of D_{α} and types, (d) from the optimal type \mathcal{T}_n^* defined as the type such that $\operatorname{Tr}[\rho_{x^n}^{\alpha}(\sigma_{B^n}^u)^{(1-\alpha)}] \leq \operatorname{Tr}[\rho_{y^n}^{\alpha}(\sigma_{B^n}^u)^{(1-\alpha)}]$ for $x^n \in \mathcal{T}_n^*$ and $y^n \notin \mathcal{T}_n^*$, (e) from the definition of D_{α} , (f) from Lemma 12, and (g) from Definition 6. Let $n \to \infty$ and we are done.

Remark The r.h.s of ineq. (17) equals $I_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N})$, i.e.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}I_{\alpha}\left(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes n},\frac{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{T}_{n}^{*}}}{|\mathcal{T}_{n}^{*}|}\right)=I_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N}).$$

This is proved by " \geq " from Lemma 13 and " \leq " from Lemma 7.

V. PROOF OF THEOREM 10

In this section, we present the proof of the main result. **Proof.** For any m, consider the state

$$\rho_{\mathcal{T}_m^*B^m}^{(m)} := \sum_{x^m \in \mathcal{T}_m^*} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_m^*|} |x^m\rangle \langle x^m|^{X^m} \otimes \rho_{x^m}^{B^m}.$$

By Lemma 8, there exists a sequence of data compression schemes $(\mathcal{T}_m^*)^k \to \widehat{\mathcal{T}_m^k} \widetilde{\mathcal{T}_m^k}$, such that for $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{-1}{k} \log P_{err}^{(k)} \left(\widehat{\mathcal{T}_m^k} | \widetilde{\mathcal{T}_m^k} B^{mk} \right)_{(\rho^{(m)})^{\otimes k}} \ge \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} [R_{DC} - H_\alpha^{\uparrow} \left(\mathcal{T}_m^* | B^m \right)_{\rho^{(m)}}], \tag{25}$$

By Observation 9, we have

$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{-1}{k} \log P_{err}^{(k)}(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}, r^{(m)}), \tag{26}$$

$$\geq \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{-1}{k} \log P_{err}^{(k)} \left(\widehat{\mathcal{T}_m^k} | \widetilde{\mathcal{T}_m^k} B^{mk} \right)_{(\rho^{(m)})^{\otimes k}}, \tag{27}$$

$$\geq \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \left[R_{DC} - H^{\uparrow}_{\alpha} \left(\mathcal{T}^*_m | B^m \right)_{\rho^{(m)}} \right], \tag{28}$$

$$= \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \left[\log |\mathcal{T}_m^*| - r^{(m)} - H_\alpha^{\uparrow} (\mathcal{T}_m^* | B^m)_{\rho^{(m)}} \right], \tag{29}$$

$$= \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \left[I_{\alpha} \left(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}, \frac{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{T}_{m}^{*}}}{|\mathcal{T}_{m}^{*}|} \right) - r^{(m)} \right].$$
(30)

To proceed,

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{-1}{n} \log P_{err}^{(n)}(\mathcal{N}, r), \tag{31}$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{m} \limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{-1}{k} \log P_{err}^{(k)}(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}, r^{(m)}), \tag{32}$$

$$\geq \frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha} \left[\frac{1}{m} I_{\alpha} \left(\mathcal{N}^{\otimes m}, \frac{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{T}_{m}^{*}}}{|\mathcal{T}_{m}^{*}|} \right) - \frac{r^{(m)}}{m} \right],$$
(33)

$$\stackrel{m \to \infty}{\geq} \frac{1 - \alpha}{\alpha} [I_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N}, p) - r], \tag{34}$$

where the last inequality comes from Lemma 13.

Remark The result, on one hand, solves the long-time open problem on reliability function of general CQ channels, and on the other hand provides the operational interpretation of Renyi mutual information of Pez's form for CQ channels $I_{\alpha}(\mathcal{N})$ in a new parameter range.

VI. SUMMARY

Reliability function characterises the optimal error exponent of decoding error probability when the communicating rate is below the capacity of the channel. In this work, we determine the reliability function for a general CQ channel. The main contribution is a lower bound for the error exponent, which matches the known upper bound when the rate is not very low. The approach to obtain the results makes use of tricks on types and the exact exponent for the protocol of data compression with side information, whose solution comes from its dual problem—privacy amplification. As pointed out by Renes in [10], the present argument also relies on the solutions to privacy amplification. How to infer the lower bound from a direct argument on random coding remains an open problem.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

K.L. was supported by the NSFC (Grants No. 61871156, No. 12031004), and D.Y. was supported by the NSFC (Grant no. 11875244), Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory (Grant no. 2019B121203002), and the NFR Project No. ES564777.

- R. M. Fano, Transmission of Information: A Statistical Theory of Communications (M.I.T. Press, 1961).
- [2] R. Gallager, A Simple Derivation of the Coding Theorem and Some Applications, IEEE Trans. on Information Theory 11, 3-18 (1965).
- [3] C. Shannon, R. Gallager, and E. Berlekamp, Lower Bounds to Error Probability for Coding on Discrete Memoryless Channels. I, Information and Control 10, 65-103 (1967).
- [4] M. V. Burnashev and A. S. Holevo, On Reliability Function of Quantum Communication Channel, Problemy Peredachi Informatsii 34, 3-15 (1998).
- [5] A. Holevo, Reliability Function of General Classical-Quantum Channel, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 46, 2256-2261 (2000).
- [6] M. Hayashi, Error Exponent in Asymmetric Quantum Hypothesis Testing and Its Application to Classical-Quantum Channel Coding, Physical Review A 76, 062301 (2007).
- [7] M. Hayashi, Universal Coding for Classical-Quantum Channel, Communications in Mathematical Physics 289, 1087-1098 (2009).
- [8] M. Dalai, Lower Bounds on the Probability of Error for Classical and Classical-Quantum Channels, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 59, 8027-8056 (2013).
- H.-C. Cheng, A Simple and Tighter Derivation of Achievability for Classical Communications over Quantum Channels, arXiv:2208.02132 (2022).
- [10] J. M. Renes, Achievable Error Exponents of Data Compression with Quantum Side Information and Communication over Symmetric Classical-Quantum Channels, arXiv: 2207.08899 (2022).
- [11] M. Hayashi, Precise Evaluation of Leaked Information with Secure Randomness Extraction in the Presence of Quantum Attacker, Communications in Mathematical Physics 333, 335-350 (2015).

- [12] K. Li, Y. Yao, and M. Hayashi, Tight Exponential Analysis for Smoothing the Max-Relative Entropy and for Quantum Privacy Amplification, IEEE Transactions on Information 69, 1680-1694 (2023).
- [13] I. Csiszar, The Method of Types. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 44(6), 2505-2523 (1998).
- [14] Matthias Christandl, Robert Konig, and Renato Renner, Postselection Technique for Quantum Channels with Applications to Quantum Cryptography. Physical Review Letters 102, 020504 (2009).
- [15] M. Hayashi and M. Tomamichel, Correlation Detection and an Operational Interpretation of the Renyi Mutual Information, Journal of Mathematical Physics 57, 102201 (2016).
- [16] M. Tomamichel, Quantum Information Processing with Finite Resources, Vol. 5, Springer-Briefs in Mathematical Physics (2016).
- [17] N. Sharma and N. A. Warsi, Fundamental Bound on the Reliability of Quantum Information Transmission, Physical Review Letters 110, 080501 (2013).