Hierarchical Stage-Wise Training of Linked Deep Neural Networks for Multi-Building and Multi-Floor Indoor Localization Based on Wi-Fi RSSI Fingerprinting

Sihao Li, Graduate Student Member, IEEE, Kyeong Soo Kim, Senior Member, IEEE, Zhe Tang, Graduate Student Member, IEEE, and Jeremy S. Smith, Member, IEEE

Abstract-In this paper, we present a new solution to the problem of large-scale multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization based on linked neural networks, where each neural network is dedicated to a sub-problem and trained under a hierarchical stage-wise training framework. When the measured data from sensors have a hierarchical representation as in multibuilding and multi-floor indoor localization, it is important to exploit the hierarchical nature in data processing to provide a scalable solution. In this regard, the hierarchical stage-wise training framework extends the original stage-wise training framework to the case of multiple linked networks by training a lower-hierarchy network based on the prior knowledge gained from the training of higher-hierarchy networks. The experimental results with the publicly-available UJIIndoorLoc multi-building and multi-floor Wi-Fi RSSI fingerprint database demonstrate that the linked neural networks trained under the proposed hierarchical stage-wise training framework can achieve a threedimensional localization error of 8.19 m, which, to the best of the authors' knowledge, is the most accurate result ever obtained for neural network-based models trained and evaluated with the full datasets of the UJIIndoorLoc database, and that, when applied to a model based on hierarchical convolutional neural networks, the proposed training framework can also significantly reduce the three-dimensional localization error from 11.78 m to 8.71 m.

Index Terms—Indoor localization, Wi-Fi fingerprinting, deep neural networks, hierarchical stage-wise training.

I. INTRODUCTION

W ITH the rapid development of sensor technologies and the increasing popularity of the Internet of Things (IoT), the smart city concept has been widely adopted in urban areas to improve the quality of life and enhance the efficiency of urban services. In smart cities, the demand for localization

K. S. Kim is with the School of Advanced Technology, Xi'an Jiaotong-Liverpool University, Suzhou, P.R. China (e-mail: Kyeong-soo.Kim@xjtlu.edu.cn).

J. S. Smith is with the Department of Electrical Engineering and Electronics, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK (e-mail: J.S.Smith@liverpool.ac.uk). has increased in various applications, such as asset tracking, location-based services, and emergency response. The global positioning system (GPS) is widely used for outdoor localization due to its high accuracy and global coverage. However, GPS signals are often unavailable indoors due to the attenuation of signals by walls and ceilings, which makes indoor localization a challenging problem [1].

For an indoor environment, therefore, alternative localization techniques based on the various sensors equipped on mobile devices (e.g., smartphones) or robots (e.g., automated guided vehicles (AGVs)), including Wi-Fi, ZigBee, and Bluetooth Low Energy (BLE) signal sensors and inertial measurement units (IMUs), have been developed: With IMUs, for instance, the techniques based on dead reckoning and sensor fusion have been well-studied and widely used [2], [3].

Among these sensors, Wi-Fi signal sensors are the most popular due to the ubiquity of Wi-Fi-enabled devices and the wide availability of Wi-Fi access points (APs) [4]. As for indoor localization techniques based on Wi-Fi, they can be classified into ranging-based and ranging-free techniques. The ranging-based techniques, e.g., time of arrival (TOA), time difference of arrival (TDOA), and angle of arrival (AOA), can achieve high accuracy but require precise synchronization and calibration, which are costly and challenging to implement and deploy in practice, especially in large-scale indoor environments. In contrast, ranging-free techniques are more practical and cost-effective.

Wi-Fi fingerprinting is a representative example of rangingfree localization. It starts with the creation of a vector of a pair of a medium access control (MAC) address and a received signal strength indicator (RSSI) from an AP, which is measured at a specific location. This vector then becomes a location fingerprint, which can be utilized to estimate the location of a user/device by finding the closest match to it in a database of pre-collected location fingerprints of known locations called reference points (RPs). The Wi-Fi RSSI fingerprinting technique has been proven to be a practical and effective solution to the indoor localization problem, as it can estimate locations within 10-meter-level accuracy even in complex indoor environments [5], [6].

Like other techniques based on wireless signal sensors, Wi-Fi RSSI fingerprinting faces challenges, including signal fluctuations, multi-path effects, and device and position de-

This work was supported in part by the Postgraduate Research Scholarships (under Grant PGRS1912001), the Key Program Special Fund (under Grant KSF-E-25), and the Research Enhancement Fund (under Grant REF-19-01-03) of Xi'an Jiaotong-Liverpool University. This paper was presented in part at CANDAR 2023, Matsue, Japan, November 2023.

S. Li and Z. Tang are with the School of Advanced Technology, Xi'an Jiaotong-Liverpool University, Suzhou, P.R. China (e-mail: [Sihao.Li19, Zhe.Tang15]@student.xjtlu.edu.cn), and also with the Department of Electrical Engineering and Electronics, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, L69 3GJ, U.K. (e-mail: [Sihao.Li, Zhe.Tang]@liverpool.ac.uk).

pendencies in measurements [7], [8]. To address these challenges, deep neural networks (DNNs) have been increasingly utilized [9]–[15], which can provide attractive solutions due to their adaptability to a broader range of conditions with standard architectures and training algorithms. In particular, DNN-based indoor localization systems provide a unique advantage over those based on traditional machine learning techniques, as they no longer need the fingerprint database once trained; the necessary information for localization is carried by trained DNNs' weights and biases, enabling secure and energy-efficient indoor localization especially when running on mobile devices due to there being no exchange of data with the server [13].

When attempting to estimate the location of a user or a device in a large building complex, such as a shopping mall or a university campus, the scalability of fingerprinting schemes becomes a significant issue. The differences in the location information of a Wi-Fi RSSI fingerprint due to the change of size of the indoor localization volume are illustrated in Fig. 1. The current state-of-the-art Wi-Fi fingerprinting solutions utilize a hierarchical approach, i.e., sequentially estimating a location's building, floor, and floor-level location with a different algorithm tailored for each task [16]. However, this approach cannot be directly applicable to DNN-based multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization schemes. As discussed in [13], compared to the traditional techniques proposed in [16], DNNs for different levels of localization must be trained separately with multiple sub-databases derived from a common system-wide database. This process brings considerable challenges of managing possibly many location fingerprint databases as well as training an equal number of DNNs.



Fig. 1: A comparison of the location information of a Wi-Fi RSSI fingerprint between multi-building & multi-floor and single-building & single-floor indoor localization, the former of which shows a hierarchical structure of the location.

In this paper, we propose a new training framework, called hierarchical stage-wise training (HST), based on the extension of the stage-wise training [17] to multiple linked neural networks (NNs), which exploits the hierarchical nature of multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization based on Wi-Fi RSSI fingerprinting. The localization performance of the linked-DNN model, trained under the proposed training framework, is evaluated using the UJIIndoorLoc multi-building and multi-floor Wi-Fi fingerprint database covering three buildings with four or five floors in the Jaume I University (UJI) campus, Spain [18].

The major contributions of our work are three-fold:

- First, we present a complete solution to the problem of large-scale multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization that can exploit the hierarchical nature of the location information of Wi-Fi RSSI fingerprints through the HST framework, where the original stage-wise training framework is extended to the case of multiple linked NNs.
- Second, through the experimental results based on two different DNN architectures of a feedforward neural network (FNN) and a convolutional neural network (CNN), we demonstrate the flexibility of the proposed solution, which, unlike most of the existing approaches, is not limited to a specific DNN architecture.
- Third, we also provide the state-of-the-art multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization performance, which, to the best of the authors' knowledge, is the most accurate result obtained for the whole of the UJIIndoorLoc database based on a single DNN model.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section II reviews the related work. Section III describes the HST framework using linked NNs for multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization based on Wi-Fi RSSI fingerprinting. Section IV presents the experimental results based on the UJIIndoorLoc database. Finally, Section V presents the conclusions of our work.

II. RELATED WORK

A. Stage-Wise Training

Stage-wise training is based on the training of a DNN model in a series of related sub-tasks called "stages" and exploits the evolution, from stage to stage of (1) the *input domain*, (2) the *output domain*, and (3) the *training dataset*, of the training process of a model [17].

During the training process, the information is injected into the network gradually so that it focuses on learning the *coarsescale* properties of the input data during the early stages, while, during the later stages, it tries to capture the *finerscale* and more complete characteristics of the input data based on the results of the learning at the earlier stages, which brings a regularization effect and generalizes the learned representations.

As shown in Fig. 1, when all the sub-tasks for indoor localization are closely related to one another through a logical chain of consistent reasoning due to the hierarchical structure of the sensed data, successful estimation of building-floorlevel locations can be highly beneficial for precise location estimation. Moreover, the stage-wise training framework can be extended to the case of multiple networks in an evolving manner to avoid different training difficulties for different tasks and efficiently handle the problem of large-scale multibuilding and multi-floor indoor localization by taking into account its hierarchical nature.

B. UJIIndoorLoc Database

UJIIndoorLoc [18] is a multi-building and multi-floor Wi-Fi fingerprint database that served as the official database for the IPIN 2015 competition. The UJIIndoorLoc database covers four or more floors across three buildings on the UJI campus. It can be used for classification (i.e., the estimation of the building, floor, and location identifiers (IDs) of an unknown location) or regression (i.e., the estimation of threedimensional (3D) coordinates of an unknown location). The database was constructed in 2013 by more than 20 different users with 25 Android devices, consisting of 19,937 training records and 1,111 validation/testing records. Its 529 fields include RSSIs from 520 APs, ranging from -104 to 0 dBm, and location and measurement information, including building, floor, and space IDs, relative position (i.e., inside and outside of the space), and two-dimensional (2D) coordinates (i.e., latitude and longitude), user and phone ID, and a timestamp.

C. DNNs for Multi-Building and Multi-Floor Indoor Localization Based on Wi-Fi RSSI Fingerprinting

For large-scale multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization, conventional algorithms turned out to be inadequate due to their need for complex filtering and manual parameter tuning, which is why most of the recent works in this area rely on deep-learning approaches [19].

A pioneering work in this regard, which is based on a DNN model composed of the encoder part of a pre-trained stacked autoencoder (SAE) for feature space dimensionality reduction and a feed-forward classifier for multi-class classification of building and floor IDs, reports a 92% success rate in building and floor classification but does not consider floor-level location estimation [11].

To address the scalability issue in large-scale DNN-based multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization, including floor-level location estimation, a scalable DNN architecture is proposed in [13]. A DNN model based on this architecture also consists of the encoder part of a pre-trained SAE and a feed-forward classifier. Unlike [11], however, the feed-forward classifier is trained for multi-label classification using onehot-encoded output vectors mapped from building, floor, and location IDs, which can significantly reduce the number of output nodes compared to that based on multi-class classification. Once trained, three groups of DNN outputs for building, floor, and location go through customized processing units for the estimation of building and floor IDs (i.e., the arg max function) and floor-level location coordinates (i.e., the weighted centroid), resulting in a 3D localization error of 9.29 m with the UJIIndoorLoc database.

To further reduce the number of output nodes and enable customized training of building, floor, and location outputs, a single-input and multi-output (SIMO) DNN architecture based on FNN is proposed in [20], which allows building/floor classification and floor-level 2D location coordinate regression through dedicated outputs for each task. The SIMO DNN architecture consists of common feed-forward hidden layers and three separate feed-forward hidden layers dedicated to the three groups of outputs for building, floor, and location estimation. Also, the SAE of [13] is replaced by the stacked denoising autoencoder (SDAE). For the building and floor outputs, the softmax activation and categorical cross-entropy

loss functions are used for the multi-class classification of building and floor; for the location output, the linear activation and mean squared error (MSE) loss functions are used for the regression of 2D floor-level coordinates. This approach also reduces the number of output nodes for location estimation to two (i.e., the x and y coordinates) and eliminates the customized processing to convert the classification results to 2D location coordinates.

In [21], the integration of an SAE and a CNN is explored to develop a hybrid model named CNNLoc, which prioritizes the success rate for the estimation of building and floor over the 3D error. Compared to [13] and [20], CNNLoc requires extensive data pre-processing before the training phase, including dataset partitioning, creating rectangular areas, dividing test areas into cell grids, and selecting data based on specific criteria. CNNLoc could achieve 100% and 95% accuracies in building and floor estimation, respectively, at the expense of a higher 3D error of 11.78 m in comparison to [13].

In [19], a recurrent neural network (RNN) is utilized in exploiting the hierarchical nature of multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization. The proposed hierarchical RNN eliminates the need for complex pre/post-processing of data, requires less parameter tuning, and estimates locations in a sequential and hierarchical manner, i.e., from building to floor to location. According to the experimental results, the hierarchical RNN achieves 100% and 95.24% accuracies in building and floor estimation, which surpasses the existing DNN-based systems mentioned above, and provides a 3D error of 8.62 m.

In [22], a hierarchical auxiliary deep neural network (HADNN) is proposed to address the scalability issues in multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization, where the auxiliary information from the network for a higher hierarchy is used to train the network for a lower hierarchy together with the input data. Even though its floor hit rate of 93.15% and 3D error performance of 14.93 m, for the UJIIndoorLoc database, are a bit higher than those from the state-of-the-art DNN-based systems, it is the first attempt to apply the hierarchical auxiliary learning [23] to multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization and systematically investigate its advantages and disadvantages in comparison to other approaches.

III. HIERARCHICAL STAGE-WISE TRAINING OF LINKED NEURAL NETWORKS FOR WI-FI RSSI FINGERPRINTING

Though the transfer learning was applied to the time-domain adaptation of an outdated multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization model to a changed distribution of new fingerprint data in [24], its direct application to the problem of large-scale multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization is yet to be fully investigated.

In this section, we present the HST framework that can efficiently train a model for large-scale multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization, which are based on not only the knowledge transfer from one domain to another in the transfer learning but also the evolution of domains through stages in the stage-wise training. Specifically, we extend the stage-wise training framework to the case of multiple linked networks to handle the problem of large-scale multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization by taking into account its hierarchical nature.

A. Linked-Neural-Network Architecture

Fig. 2 (a) and (b) show a simplified version of the hybrid SIMO DNN proposed in [20] and its modification based on linked NNs for the proposed HST framework, respectively, where N_B is the number of buildings in the building complex and $N_F = \max(N_F(1), \ldots, N_F(N_B))$ with $N_F(i)$ $(i=1, \ldots, N_B)$ being the number of floors in the *i*th building.



(a) A simplified version of the hybrid SIMO DNN proposed in [20].



(b) A modification of (a) based on linked NNs for the HST framework.

Fig. 2: DNN architectures for large-scale multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization, where the SDAE of [20] is replaced by SAE for simplicity.

The hybrid SIMO DNN shown in Fig. 2 (a) is trained in a conventional way and serves as a reference in evaluating the localization performance of the linked NNs trained under the HST framework. Compared to the *single-input and tripleoutput* DNN proposed in [20], it combines the building and the floor outputs into one, which results in a *single-input and double-output* DNN. As the estimation of the building and floor IDs is less complex than that of floor-level location, the former is jointly considered as a multi-label classification problem, while the latter is formulated as a regression problem. This simplification can also reduce the training complexity of the linked NNs shown in Fig. 2 (b) under the proposed HST framework.

In the linked NNs shown in Fig. 2 (b), which are specifically designed for the HST framework, the "Encoder Part of SAE"

and the "Common Hidden Layer(s)" blocks of the hybrid SIMO DNN are duplicated to the "Building Floor Encoder" and "Building Floor Common Hidden Layer(s)" blocks of the DNN for the estimation of building and floor IDs and the "Location Encoder" and "Location Common Hidden Layer(s)" blocks of the DNN for the estimation of floor-level location, respectively. As the *linked blocks* shown in Fig. 2 (b) have identical architectures, the learned parameters of a block can be transferred to the other blocks during the training under the HST framework.

Similarly, the CNNLoc proposed in [21] can be modified based on linked NNs for the HST framework as shown in Fig. 3, where the "Encoder" block is duplicated to the "Building Encoder", "Floor Encoder" and "Location Encoder" blocks, while the "1D Convolutional Layer(s)" blocks are identical to each other.



(b) A modification of (a) based on linked NNs for the HST framework.

Fig. 3: CNN architectures for large-scale multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization.

B. Hierarchical Stage-Wise Training Framework

Given the hierarchical nature of multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization, the stage-wise training framework [17] is extended to exploit the evolution of *a parameter set over multiple linked networks* as well as the input and output domains and the training set, which are defined as follows: For stage s=1, 2, ..., S,

- Input domain: \mathcal{X}_s .
- Output domain: \mathcal{Y}_s .
- Training dataset:

$$\mathcal{T}_s = \{(\boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{y}_i) \mid i = 1, \dots, n_s\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_s \times \mathcal{Y}_s$$

• Parameter set:

$$\mathcal{W}_s = \{ W_{p,s} \mid p \in \mathcal{P} \},\$$

where $W_{p,s}$ are the parameters of a block p of DNNs that can be trained as a group at stage s.

• Learning algorithm: \mathcal{L} is the learning algorithm that takes the training dataset and the initial values for the parameter set $\mathcal{W}_s^{init} = \{W_{p,s}^{init} | p \in \mathcal{P}\}$ as the input and generates the learned parameter set \mathcal{W}_s as the output, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{W}_s = \mathcal{L}\left(T_s, \mathcal{W}_s^{init}\right).$$

Algorithm 1 describes the core operations of the HST of linked NNs for general multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization.

Algorithm	1:	HST	of	linked	NNs.
-----------	----	-----	----	--------	------

Data: Input domain \mathcal{X} ; Output domain \mathcal{Y} .
Result: Parameter set W .
Given the number of stages S:
$\mathcal{X} = \{\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \dots, \mathcal{X}_S\};$
$\mathcal{Y} = \{\mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_S\};$
$\mathcal{W} = \{\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_2, \dots, \mathcal{W}_S\};$
for $s = 1$ to S do
Given the number of samples n_s :
$\mathcal{T}_s = \{(\boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{y}_i) \mid i = 1, \dots, n_s\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_s \times \mathcal{Y}_s;$
Given the number of blocks P:
$\mathcal{W}_s = \{W_{1,s}, W_{2,s}, \dots, W_{P,s}\};$
for $p = 1$ to P do
if $s = 1$ then
$W_{p,s}^{init} :=$ random or pre-trained
else
if Block p has a linked block at stage $s-1$. then
$W_{ns}^{init} := W_{p,s-1}$
else
$W_{m,n}^{init} :=$ random or pre-trained
end
end
end
$\mathcal{W}_{-} = \mathcal{L}(T_{-} \mathcal{W}^{init}).$
Save and frozen W_{a}
end

TABLE I: Blocks of the linked-DNN model shown in Fig. 2 (b) for the HST framework.

Stage	Block	Symbol
Stage 1	Building Floor Encoder Building Floor Common Hidden Layer(s) Building Floor Hidden Layer(s) (<i>Classification</i>)	$\begin{array}{c} E_{BF} \\ H_{BF} \\ C \end{array}$
Stage 2	Location Encoder Location Common Hidden Layer(s) Location Hidden Layer(s) (<i>Regression</i>)	$\begin{array}{c} E_L \\ H_L \\ R \end{array}$

Applying Algorithm 1 to the linked-DNN model shown in Fig. 2 (b), based on the blocks described in Table I, we can train them under the proposed HST framework as follows:

Stage 1:

• Input domain: \mathcal{X}_1 is the domain of scaled RSSI values, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{X}_1 = \{ (\text{RSSI}_1, \dots, \text{RSSI}_N) \mid \\ \text{RSSI}_i \in \mathbb{R} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, N \},$$

where N is the number of APs in the building complex.

• Output domain: \mathcal{Y}_1 is the domain of concatenated onehot-encoded categorical variables for building and floor IDs, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{Y}_{1} = \left\{ (b_{1}, \dots, b_{N_{B}}, f_{1}, \dots, f_{N_{F}}) \mid \\ b_{i} \in \{0, 1\} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, N_{B} \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{N_{B}} b_{i} = 1, \\ f_{j} \in \{0, 1\} \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, N_{F} \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{N_{F}} f_{j} = 1 \right\}.$$

• Training dataset:

$$\mathcal{T}_1 = \{ (\boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{y}_i) \, | \, i = 1, \dots, n_s \} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{Y}_1.$$

• Parameter set:

$$\mathcal{W}_1 = \{ W_{E_{BF},1}, W_{H_{BF},1}, W_{C,1} \} \,.$$

• Initialization:

$$W_{E_{BF},1}^{init} := \text{pre-trained}^{1}$$

 $W_{H_{BF},1}^{init} := \text{random},$
 $W_{C,1}^{init} := \text{random}.$

• Learning algorithm:

$$\mathcal{W}_1 = \mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{W}_1^{init}\right).$$

Stage 2:

- Input domain: $\mathcal{X}_2 = \mathcal{X}_1$.
- Output domain: \mathcal{Y}_2 is the domain of scaled floor-level 2D coordinates, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{Y}_2 = \{(x, y) \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

• Training dataset:

$$\mathcal{T}_2 = \{(\boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{y}_i) \mid i = 1, \dots, n_s\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_2 \times \mathcal{Y}_2$$

• Parameter set:

$$\mathcal{W}_2 = \{ W_{E_L,2}, W_{H_L,2} \, W_{R,2} \}$$

• Initialization:

$$W_{E_L,2}^{init} := W_{E_{BF},1},$$

$$W_{H_L,2}^{init} := W_{H_{BF},1},$$

$$W_{R,2}^{init} := \text{random}.$$

• Learning algorithm:

$$\mathcal{W}_2 = \mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{W}_2^{init}
ight).$$

Fig. 4 illustrates the proposed HST of the linked-DNN model for multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization.

¹The "Building Floor Encoder" is from the SAE that is pre-trained as described in [25, Fig. 1].



(b) Stage 2

Fig. 4: An overview of the HST of the linked-DNN model for multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization.

Note that the hierarchical nature of the multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization problem is fully exploited in the proposed training framework as the DNN for the estimation of floor-level location is trained based on the prior knowledge gained from the training of the DNN for the estimation of building and floor while avoiding any negative impacts resulting from the unbalanced training of the two estimation problems with the hybrid SIMO DNN in a conventional way.

TABLE II: Blocks of the linked-CNNLoc model shown in Fig. 3 (b) for the HST framework.

Stage	Block	Symbol
Stage 1	Building Encoder Building Classifier	E_B B
Stage 2	Floor Encoder Floor 1D Convolutional Layer(s) Floor Hidden Layer(s)	$E_F \\ C_F \\ H_F$
Stage 3	Location Encoder Location 1D Convolutional Layer(s) Location Hidden Layer(s)	$E_L \\ C_L \\ H_L$

Again, applying Algorithm 1 to the linked-CNNLoc model shown in Fig. 3 (b), based on the blocks described in Table II, we can train them under the proposed HST framework as follows:

Stage 1:

• Input domain: \mathcal{X}_1 is the domain of scaled RSSI values, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{X}_1 = \{ (\text{RSSI}_1, \dots, \text{RSSI}_N) \mid \\ \text{RSSI}_i \in \mathbb{R} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, N \},$$

where N is the number of APs in the building complex.

• Output domain: \mathcal{Y}_1 is the domain of concatenated onehot-encoded categorical variables for building IDs, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{V}_{1} = \left\{ (b_{1}, \dots, b_{N_{B}}, f_{1}, \dots, f_{N_{F}}) \mid \\ b_{i} \in \{0, 1\} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, N_{B} \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{N_{B}} b_{i} = 1 \right\}.$$

• Training dataset:

$$\mathcal{T}_1 = \{ (\boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{y}_i) \mid i = 1, \dots, n_s \} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_1 \times \mathcal{Y}_1.$$

• Parameter set:

$$\mathcal{W}_1 = \{W_{E_B,1}, W_B\}$$

• Initialization:

$$W_{E_B,1}^{init} := \text{pre-trained}$$

 $W_{B_1}^{init} := \text{random.}$

• Learning algorithm:

$$\mathcal{W}_1 = \mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{W}_1^{init}\right).$$

Stage 2:

- Input domain: $\mathcal{X}_2 = \mathcal{X}_1$.
- Output domain: \mathcal{Y}_2 is the domain of floor IDs, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{Y}_2 = \left\{ (f_1, \dots, f_{N_F}) \mid \\ f_j \in \{0, 1\} \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, N_F \text{ and } \sum_{j=1}^{N_F} f_j = 1 \right\}.$$

• Training dataset:

$$\mathcal{T}_2 = \{(\boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{y}_i) | i = 1, \dots, n_s\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_2 \times \mathcal{Y}_2$$

• Parameter set:

$$\mathcal{W}_2 = \{ W_{E_F,2}, W_{C_F,2} \, W_{H_F,2} \} \,.$$

• Initialization:

$$W_{E_F,2}^{init} := W_{E_B,1},$$

$$W_{C_F,2}^{init} := \text{random},$$

$$W_{H_F,2}^{init} := \text{random}.$$

• Learning algorithm:

$$\mathcal{W}_2 = \mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{W}_2^{init}\right).$$

Stage 3:

- Input domain: $\mathcal{X}_3 = \mathcal{X}_1$.
- Output domain: \mathcal{Y}_3 is the domain of scaled floor-level 2D coordinates, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{Y}_3 = \{(x, y) \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

• Training dataset:

$$\mathcal{T}_3 = \{(\boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{y}_i) \mid i = 1, \dots, n_s\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_3 \times \mathcal{Y}_3.$$

• Parameter set:

$$\mathcal{W}_3 = \{ W_{E_L,3}, W_{C_L,3} \, W_{H_L,3} \} \, .$$

• Initialization:

$$W_{E_L,3}^{init} := W_{E_F,2}, W_{C_L,3}^{init} := W_{C_F,2}, W_{H_L,3}^{init} := random.$$

• Learning algorithm:

$$\mathcal{W}_3 = \mathcal{L}\left(\mathcal{T}_3, \mathcal{W}_3^{init}\right)$$

Fig. 5 also illustrates the proposed HST of the linked-CNNLoc model for multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

To evaluate the localization performance of the linked NNs trained under the proposed HST framework, experiments were carried out using the UJIIndoorLoc database [18]. All the experiments on the NN models with the conventional and the proposed HST frameworks were implemented using PyTorch 2.0 and Python 3.8.15 on a workstation with an Intel Core i9-9900X CPU, 128 GB RAM, and two Nvidia GeForce RTX 2080Ti GPUs running Ubuntu 20.04 server.

The hyperparameter values for the linked-DNN model are summarized in Table III. The Adam optimizer is used with a learning rate of 0.0001 for pre-training the SAE and training the building and floor classifier and 0.001 for training the location regressor, and the batch size is set to 24.

TABLE III: Hyperparameter values for the	e linked-DNN model
--	--------------------

Hyperparameter	Value
Encoder Hidden Layers	520, 260, 130
Encoder Activation	ELU ^a
Encoder Loss Function	MSE
Common Hidden Layer	520, 520
Common Hidden Layer Activation	ELU
Building and Floor Hidden Layer	8
Building and Floor Output Layer Activation	Sigmoid
Building and Floor Loss Function	BCE ^b
Location Hidden Layers	520, 2
Location Hidden Layers Activation	Tanh
Location Output Layers Activation	Linear
Location Loss Function	MSE

^a Exponential linear unit.

^b Binary cross entropy.

As for the linked-CNNLoc model, we apply the Adam optimizer with a fixed learning rate of 0.0001 across all submodels, including SAE. Note that during the classifier and estimator training, learning rate schedulers are used, decreasing the learning rate by a factor of 0.1 with patience of 5 for the floor and a factor of 0.5 with the same patience for the location and the batch size of 26. The detailed hyperparameter settings are summarized in Table IV.





(b) Stage 2



Fig. 5: An overview of the HST of the linked-CNNLoc model for multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization.

Table V summarizes the multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization performance and 3D localization error, which are defined in [16], of the reference models trained in

TABLE IV: Hyperparameter values for the linked-CNNLoc model.

Hyperparameter	Value
Encoder Hidden Layers	520, 260, 130
Encoder Activation	ELU
Encoder Loss Function	MSE
Building Hidden Layers	130, 130, 3
Building Hidden Layers Activation	ELU
Building Output Layers Activation	softmax
Building Loss Function	CE ^a
Convolutional Layers	99-22, 66-22, 33-22
Convolutional Layers Activation	ELU
Floor Output Layer	5
Floor Output Layers Activation	softmax
Floor Loss Function	CE
Location Output Layer	2
Location Output Layers Activation	Linear
Location Loss Function	MSE

^a Cross entropy.

a conventional way and the proposed models based on linked NNs trained under the HST framework.

From the table, we can observe that the proposed models based on linked NNs trained under the HST framework outperform the reference models trained under the conventional training method in multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization. Specifically, the average 3D errors of the proposed models are significantly lower than those of the reference models, i.e., 8.19 m vs. 8.45 m for the DNN models and 8.71 m vs. 9.10 m for the CNN models. The overall statistics of 3D error of the proposed models are also improved compared to the reference models: For example, the maximum 3D localization errors of the proposed models are much smaller than those of the reference models, i.e., 79.32 m vs. 130.55 m for the DNN models and $60.69 \,\mathrm{m}$ vs. $65.18 \,\mathrm{m}$ for the CNN models. Compared to 3D errors, the building hit rates are 100% for all the models, and there is a slight decrease in the floor hit rate of the proposed CNN model (i.e., from 92.89% to 92.80%).

We also compare the multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization performance of the proposed models with that of the state-of-the-art DNN-based models in Table VI, which shows that the proposed DNN model provides the smallest 3D error; to the best of the authors' knowledge, this is the most accurate result obtained for the whole of the UJIIndoorLoc database based on DNN-based models.

Note that both proposed models have lower floor hit rates than the hierarchical RNN and CNNLoc models. The CNNLoc model, however, relies on complex augmented data, while the hierarchical RNN is based on the RNN architecture that is computationally slower than other NN architectures due to its recursive nature.

The computational advantage of the proposed models over the hierarchical RNN is clearly shown in Table VII, which compares the training times of the models on the same workstation.

According to Table VII, the training times of the proposed models under the HST framework are slightly higher than those of the reference models but much lower than that of the hierarchical RNN [19] under a conventional training framework, which is quite remarkable considering that multiple linked NNs of the proposed models are trained through two or three stages under the HST framework.

V. CONCLUSIONS

A new solution to the problem of large-scale multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization based on linked NNs trained under the HST framework has been proposed in this paper, which exploits the hierarchical nature of the location information of Wi-Fi fingerprints. The HST framework further extends the stage-wise training framework [17] to the case of multiple linked NNs to efficiently handle the problem of large-scale multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization by training the model for the estimation of floor-level location (i.e., a lower-level sub-problem at a later stage) based on the prior knowledge gained from the training of the model(s) for the estimation of building and floor IDs (i.e., a higher-level sub-problem at an earlier stage). The linked-DNN and linked-CNNLoc models are also derived for the HST framework based on the modified versions of hybrid SIMO DNN [20] and CNNLoc [21], the latter of which are taken as reference models for the conventional training framework.

The experimental results with the UJIIndoorLoc multibuilding and multi-floor fingerprint database demonstrate that the proposed models based on linked NNs trained under the HST framework outperform the reference models trained in a conventional way in multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization. Specifically, the linked-DNN model results in a 3D localization error of 8.19 m, which, to the best of the authors' knowledge, is the best result obtained for the whole of the UJIIndoorLoc database based on any DNN (including CNN and RNN) models. The linked-CNNLoc model also outperforms the original CNNLoc model (i.e., 8.71 m vs. 11.78 m) with the same 1D-CNN parameter settings and without any additional data augmentation.

Note that the results with both SIMO DNN and CNNLoc models presented in this paper demonstrate that the proposed HST framework can be applied to different NN architectures and extended to other sources of sensed data with hierarchical structures, such as fingerprints from other wireless signal sensors (including but not limiting to BLE, Zigbee, and LoRaWAN), to further improve the localization performance in an indoor environment.

REFERENCES

- S. He and S.-H. G. Chan, "Wi-Fi fingerprint-based indoor positioning: Recent advances and comparisons," *IEEE Commun. Surveys Tuts.*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 466–490, First Quarter 2016.
- [2] N. Bai, Y. Tian, Y. Liu, Z. Yuan, Z. Xiao, and J. Zhou, "A high-precision and low-cost IMU-based indoor pedestrian positioning technique," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 20, no. 12, pp. 6716–6726, 2020.
- [3] X. Yang, J. Wang, D. Song, B. Feng, and H. Ye, "A novel NLOS error compensation method based IMU for UWB indoor positioning system," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 21, no. 9, pp. 11 203–11 212, 2021.
- [4] M. Liu, X. Liao, Y. Zhang, and Z. Gao, "A multi-scale spatial-temporal features fusion framework for indoor localization," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, pp. 1–1, 2024.
- [5] P. Bahl and V. N. Padmanabhan, "RADAR: An in-building RF-based user location and tracking system," in *Proc. INFOCOM 2000*, vol. 2, 2000, pp. 775–784.

TABLE V: The multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization performance of the reference and proposed models.

Model	Building hit rate	Floor hit rate			3D error		
	U		Average	Std.	Min.	Median	Max.
Reference DNN Reference CNN Proposed DNN	100% 100% 100%	93.34% 92.89% 93.34%	$8.45 \mathrm{m}$ 9.10 m 8.19 m	m 8.61m m 8.06m m 7.55m	$\begin{array}{c} 0.31{ m m}\\ 0.36{ m m}\\ 0.18{ m m} \end{array}$	$6.14 \mathrm{m}$ $6.74 \mathrm{m}$ $5.94 \mathrm{m}$	$130.55\mathrm{m}$ $65.18\mathrm{m}$ $79.32\mathrm{m}$
Proposed CNN	100%	92.80%	$8.71\mathrm{m}$	$7.74\mathrm{m}$	$0.19\mathrm{m}$	$6.45\mathrm{m}$	$60.69\mathrm{m}$

TABLE VI: The multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization performance of the state-of-the-art models.

Model	Building hit rate	Floor hit rate	3D error
Simple DNN [11]	N/A	92.00% ^a	N/A
Scalable DNN [13]	99.82%	91.27%	$9.29\mathrm{m}$
Fusion [26]	N/A	95.13% ^a	N/A
Hierarchical RNN [19]	100%	95.24%	$8.62\mathrm{m}$
CNNLoc [21] ^b	100%	96.03%	$11.78\mathrm{m}$
MOGP RNN [27] ^b	100%	94.20%	$8.42\mathrm{m}$
Proposed DNN	100%	93.34%	$8.19\mathrm{m}$
Proposed CNN	100%	92.80%	$8.71\mathrm{m}$

^a Joint building/floor hit rate.

^b The algorithms involve data augmentation.

TABLE VII: Model training times over five independent runs.

Model	Average time [min]
Hierarchical RNN [19]	7.551
Reference DNN	2.176
Reference CNN	3.199
Proposed DNN	2.598
Proposed CNN	3.381

- [6] H. Liu, H. Darabi, P. Banerjee, and J. Liu, "Survey of wireless indoor positioning techniques and systems," *IEEE Trans. Syst., Man, Cybern. C*, vol. 37, no. 6, pp. 1067–1080, Nov. 2007.
- [7] C. Hou, Y. Xie, and Z. Zhang, "FCLoc: A novel indoor wi-fi fingerprints localization approach to enhance robustness and positioning accuracy," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 23, no. 7, pp. 7153–7167, 2023.
- [8] Y. Duan, K.-Y. Lam, V. C. S. Lee, W. Nie, K. Liu, H. Li, and C. J. Xue, "Data rate fingerprinting: A WLAN-based indoor positioning technique for passive localization," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 19, no. 15, pp. 6517–6529, 2019.
- [9] W. Zhang, K. Liu, W. Zhang, Y. Zhang, and J. Gu, "Deep neural networks for wireless localization in indoor and outdoor environments," *Neuro Computing*, vol. 194, pp. 279–287, Jun. 2016.
- [10] G. Félix, M. Siller, and E. N. Álvarez, "A fingerprinting indoor localization algorithm based deep learning," in *Proc. ICUFN 2016*, Vienna, Austria, Jul. 2016, pp. 1006–1011.
- [11] M. Nowicki and J. Wietrzykowski, "Low-effort place recognition with WiFi fingerprints using deep learning," in *Automation 2017*. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2017, pp. 575–584.
- [12] K. S. Kim, R. Wang, Z. Zhong, Z. Tan, H. Song, J. Cha, and S. Lee, "Large-scale location-aware services in access: Hierarchical building/floor classification and location estimation using Wi-Fi finger-

printing based on deep neural networks," *Fiber and Integrated Optics*, vol. 37, no. 5, pp. 277–289, Apr. 2018.

- [13] K. S. Kim, S. Lee, and K. Huang, "A scalable deep neural network architecture for multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization based on Wi-Fi fingerprinting," *Big Data Analytics*, vol. 3, no. 4, Apr. 2018.
- [14] S. Wu, W. Huang, M. Li, and K. Xu, "A novel RSSI fingerprint positioning method based on virtual AP and convolutional neural network," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 22, no. 7, pp. 6898–6909, 2022.
- [15] S. C. Narasimman and A. Alphones, "DumbLoc: Dumb indoor localization framework using wi-fi fingerprinting," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 24, no. 9, pp. 14623–14630, 2024.
- [16] A. Moreira, M. J. Nicolau, F. Meneses, and A. Costa, "Wi-Fi finger-printing in the real world RTLSUM at the EvAAL competition," in *Proc. IPIN 2015*, Banff, Alberta, Canada, Oct. 2015, pp. 1–10.
 [17] E. Barshan and P. Fieguth, "Stage-wise training: An improved feature
- [17] E. Barshan and P. Fieguth, "Stage-wise training: An improved feature learning strategy for deep models," in *Proc. NIPS 2015*, vol. 44. Montreal, Canada: PMLR, Dec. 2015, pp. 49–59.
- [18] J. Torres-Sospedra, R. Montoliu, A. Martínez-Usó, J. P. Avariento, T. J. Arnau, M. Benedito-Bordonau, and J. Huerta, "UJIIndoorLoc: A new multi-building and multi-floor database for WLAN fingerprint-based indoor localization problems," in *Proc. IPIN 2014*, Busan, Korea, Oct. 2014, pp. 261–270.
- [19] A. E. Ahmed Elesawi and K. S. Kim, "Hierarchical multi-building and multi-floor indoor localization based on recurrent neural networks," in *Proc. CANDARW 2021*, 2021, pp. 193–196.
- [20] K. S. Kim, "Hybrid building/floor classification and location coordinates regression using a single-input and multi-output deep neural network for large-scale indoor localization based on Wi-Fi fingerprinting," in *Proc. CANDARW 2018*, 2018, pp. 196–201.
- [21] X. Song, X. Fan, C. Xiang, Q. Ye, L. Liu, Z. Wang, X. He, N. Yang, and G. Fang, "A novel convolutional neural network based indoor localization framework with WiFi fingerprinting," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 110698–110709, 2019.
- [22] J. Cha and E. Lim, "A hierarchical auxiliary deep neural network architecture for large-scale indoor localization based on Wi-Fi fingerprinting," *Applied Soft Computing*, vol. 120, p. 108624, May 2022.
- [23] J. Cha, K. S. Kim, and S. Lee, "Hierarchical auxiliary learning," *Machine Learning: Science and Technology*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 1–11, Sep. 2020.
- [24] A. Hu and L. Zhang, "Adaptive indoor localization with Wi-Fi based on transfer learning," in *Proc. ICCE-TW 2019*, 2019, pp. 1–2.
- [25] G. Dong, G. Liao, H. Liu, and G. Kuang, "A review of the autoencoder and its variants: A comparative perspective from target recognition in synthetic-aperture radar images," *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Magazine*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 44–68, 2018.
- [26] G. JunLin, Z. Xin, W. HuaDeng, and Y. Lan, "WiFi fingerprint positioning method based on fusion of autoencoder and stacking mode," in *Proc. ICCST 2022*, 2020, pp. 356–361.
- [27] Z. Tang, S. Li, K. S. Kim, and J. S. Smith, "Multi-Dimensional Wi-Fi Received Signal Strength Indicator Data Augmentation Based on Multi-Output Gaussian Process for Large-Scale Indoor Localization," *Sensors*, vol. 24, no. 3, 2024.