

The distance function to a finite set is a topological Morse function

Charles Arnal*

Abstract

In this short note, we show that the distance function to any finite set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a topological Morse function, regardless of whether X is in general position. We also precisely characterize its topological critical points and their indices, and relate them to the differential critical points of the function.

1 Introduction

The distance function d_X to a compact set $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and its sublevel sets are central objects of study in computational geometry [CCSL06, CL06, ABE09] and in topological data analysis [EH22, CDSGO16, OPT⁺17, CVJ21]. In general, the function d_X is not particularly regular, even when the set X itself is (e.g. when X is a smooth submanifold). Nonetheless, d_X does enjoy some weak Morse-like properties: though it is not differentiable, one can consider its *generalized gradient* ∇d_X , as defined in [Lie04]. Let $\Pi_X(z)$ denote the set $\{x \in X : d(z, x) = d(z, X)\}$ of projections of z on X , and let $\sigma_X(z)$ denote the projection of z onto the convex hull $\text{Conv}(\Pi_X(z))$. Then

$$\nabla d_X(z) := \frac{z - \sigma_X(z)}{d_X(z)}$$

if $z \notin X$, and $\nabla d_X(z) := 0$ if $z \in X$.¹ A *differential critical point* of d_X is a point $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\nabla d_X(z) = 0$, and a *differential critical value* of d_X is the image by d_X of a differential critical point. Then it can be shown that the Isotopy Lemma from Morse theory still holds, i.e. that changes in the homotopy type of the offsets $X^t := \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n : d_X(z) \leq t\}$ can only occur at critical values of d_X (see e.g. [Gro93]).

On the other hand, there is no analogue to the Handle Attachment Lemma (see [Mil63]), and no simple way to control the potential changes in topology at critical values. In fact, differential critical values need not even correspond to changes in topology; among other examples, consider the half-circle $X := \{x^2 + y^2 = 1, x \leq 0\}$. The point $(0, 0)$ is a differential critical point of d_X , but all offsets $\{X^t\}_{t>0}$ are homeomorphic.

The case where X is a finite point cloud is of particular importance, as it features preeminently in applications where one only has access to discrete samplings of sets of interest, rather than to the sets themselves. When X is in general position, the frameworks of continuous selections of functions [JP88] or of Min-type functions [GR97] can be applied to show that the distance function $d_X : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a *topological Morse function*:

*Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, Inria, Laboratoire de Mathématiques d'Orsay

¹It can be shown that the generalized gradient $\nabla d_X(z)$ as defined here coincides with the projection of 0 onto the similarly named generalized gradient $\partial d_X(z) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ as defined by Clarke in [Cla90].

Definition 1.1 (Topological Morse functions [Mor59]). *Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set and let $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function.*

- *A point $z \in U$ is said to be a topological regular point of f if there is a homeomorphism $\phi : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ between open neighborhoods V_1 of 0 in \mathbb{R}^n and V_2 of z in U with $\phi(0) = z$ and such that for all $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in V_1$,*

$$f \circ \phi(p) = f(z) + p_1. \quad (1)$$

- *A point $z \in U$ is said to be a topological critical point of f if it is not a topological regular point of f .*
- *A point $z \in U$ is said to be a non-degenerate topological critical point of f of index m if there exist an integer $0 \leq i \leq n$ and a homeomorphism $\phi : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ between open neighborhoods V_1 of 0 in \mathbb{R}^n and V_2 of z in U with $\phi(0) = z$ such that for all $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n) \in V_1$,*

$$f \circ \phi(p) = f(z) - \sum_{i=1}^m p_i^2 + \sum_{i=m+1}^n p_i^2. \quad (2)$$

- *The function f is said to be a topological Morse function if all its topological critical points are non-degenerate.*

Topological Morse functions do satisfy the Handle Attachment Lemma (see e.g. [SYM23, Theorem 5]), which in turns allows for the computation of the homology of the sublevel sets of the function, which is one of the main goals in topological data analysis. As a result, settings in which X is assumed to be in general position have been extensively studied in the literature [BA14, BW15, BE16, BO19, dKTV19, RB24, BR24].

On the other hand, no such result was known for an arbitrary point cloud $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, though a classification of critical configurations in \mathbb{R}^2 can be found in [Sie96]. Distance functions to arbitrary compact sets are not topologically Morse (consider e.g. two parallel segments), and the methods used in the case where X is a point cloud in general position fail without the genericity assumption, as the differential critical points of d_X need not be non-degenerate in the sense of Min-type functions or of continuous selections of functions. This was unsatisfactory, as there are many settings where X cannot be expected to be in general position, nor can it be perturbed to be made so, e.g. when X is a subsampling of a manifold (as in [NSW08, AKC⁺19, ABL23, ACSD23, ACSD24]).

In this short note, we prove that the distance function to an arbitrary finite set is, in fact, a topological Morse function, and give a description of its topological critical points. Let $\text{Span}(S)$ denote the linear span of a set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a finite set. Then $d_X : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a topological Morse function. Furthermore,*

- *The points of X are topological critical points of d_X of index 0.*
- *Let $z \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus X$ be such that $z \in \text{Conv}(\Pi_X(z))$. If there exists $v \in \text{Span}(\Pi_X(z) - z) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\langle v, x - z \rangle \leq 0$ for all $x \in \Pi_X(z)$, then z is a topological regular point of d_X . Otherwise, z is a topological critical point of d_X of index $\dim(\text{Span}(\Pi_X(z) - z))$.*
- *All other points of \mathbb{R}^n are topological regular points of d_X .*

Note that the points $z \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus X$ such that $z \in \text{Conv}(\Pi_X(z))$ are precisely the differential critical points of d_X that do not belong to X . Hence the topological critical points of d_X are (in general) a strict subset of its differential critical points, and a simple criterion specifies whether a given differential critical point is also topologically critical. Techniques similar to those used in the proof of Theorem 1.2 could allow for a generalization of the notion of Morse Min-type functions from [GR97], though this is beyond the scope of this article and might be investigated in future work.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.2




Figure 1: The level sets of the distance function to three sets of points are represented. From left to right, the central point is a non-degenerate topological critical point of index 1, a topological regular point and a non-degenerate topological critical point of index 2 respectively.

Given a point $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we let p_i denote its i -th coordinate. We also let $\text{Aff}(S) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ denote the affine hull of a set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and \hat{S} denote its interior.

We split the core of the proof of Theorem 1.2 into two propositions. The first one corresponds to the cases (in the statement of Theorem 1.2) where there is no $v \in \text{Span}(\Pi_X(z) - z) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\langle v, x - z \rangle \leq 0$ for all $x \in \Pi_X(z)$; examples of such configurations can be seen on the left and the right of Figure 1.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $k \geq 2$ and $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be such that $\text{Aff}(X) = \mathbb{R}^m$ and that there exists $z \in \mathbb{R}^m$ with $\Pi_X(z) = X$ and $z \in \text{Conv}(X)$. Assume that there exists no $v \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\langle v, x - z \rangle \leq 0$ for all $x \in X$. Then z is a topological critical point of d_X of index m .*

Proof. Let us assume that $z = 0$ to simplify notations, and let us write $R = d_X(0)$. For any $p \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$, there exists $x = x(p) \in X$ such that $\langle p, x \rangle \geq \langle p, x' \rangle$ for all $x' \in X$ (note that $x(p)$ is not necessarily unique - it matters not). In particular, for any $x' \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|p - x\|^2 &= \|p\|^2 - 2\langle p, x \rangle + \|x\|^2 \\ &\leq \|p\|^2 - 2\langle p, x' \rangle + \|x'\|^2 = \|p - x'\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

hence $d_X(p) = d(p, x(p))$ (in other words, p belongs to the Voronoi cell of $x(p)$). Now by hypothesis,

$$\lambda := \min_{\|v\|=1} \max_{x \in X} 2\langle v, x \rangle > 0.$$

Let $\varepsilon \in (0, \lambda/2)$, and observe that for any $p \in B(0, \varepsilon) \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$d_X(p)^2 = \|x - x(p)\|^2 = \|p\|^2 - 2\langle p, x(p) \rangle + \|x(p)\|^2 \leq \|p\|(\|p\| - \lambda) + R^2 < R^2.$$

Hence 0 is the maximum of d_X over $B(0, \varepsilon)$, and $R - d_X(p) > 0$ for any $p \in B(0, \varepsilon) \setminus \{0\}$. Now consider the continuous map

$$\Phi : B(0, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m, \quad p \mapsto p \frac{\sqrt{R - d_X(p)}}{\|p\|}.$$

Let us show that Φ is injective. Indeed, let $p \neq p' \in B(0, \varepsilon)$. If one of those points is 0 or if $\mathbb{R}_+ \cdot p \neq \mathbb{R}_+ \cdot p'$, then clearly $\Phi(p) \neq \Phi(p')$. Otherwise, let $\rho > 0$ be such that $p' = \rho p$, and assume without loss of generality that $\rho > 1$. Note that the definition of $x(p)$ above depended only on $p/\|p\|$; hence we can assume that $x(p) = x(p') := x$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_X(p')^2 &= \|p'\|^2 - 2\langle p', x \rangle + \|x\|^2 = \rho^2 \|p\|^2 - 2\rho \langle p, x \rangle + \|x\|^2 \\ &= (\rho^2 - 1)\|p\|^2 - 2(\rho - 1)\langle p, x \rangle + d_X(p)^2. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} (\rho^2 - 1)\|p\|^2 - 2(\rho - 1)\langle p, x \rangle &\leq (\rho - 1)((\rho + 1)\|p\|^2 - \lambda\|p\|) \\ &= (\rho - 1)\|p\|(\|p'\| + \|p\| - \lambda) \leq (\rho - 1)\|p\|(2\varepsilon - \lambda) < 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence $d_X(p')^2 < d_X(p)^2$ and $\Phi(p) \neq \Phi(p')$. Thus Φ is injective, and Brouwer's Theorem on the Invariance of Domain states that $\Phi(B(0, \varepsilon))$ is open, and that $\Phi : B(0, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \Phi(B(0, \varepsilon))$ is a homeomorphism. Finally, $\|\Phi(p)\|^2 = R - d_X(p)$ for $p \in B(0, \varepsilon)$, hence $\|q\|^2 = R - d_X \circ \Phi^{-1}(q)$ for $q \in \Phi(B(0, \varepsilon))$ and

$$d_X \circ \Phi^{-1}(q) = R - \sum_{i=1}^m q_i^2,$$

which proves the proposition. □

Our second proposition corresponds to the case where there exists $v \in \text{Span}(\Pi_X(z) - z) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\langle v, x - z \rangle \leq 0$ for all $x \in \Pi_X(z)$; an example of such a configuration can be seen in the middle of Figure 1.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $k \geq 2$ and $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be such that $\text{Aff}(X) = \mathbb{R}^m$ and that there exists $z \in \mathbb{R}^m$ with $\Pi_X(z) = X$ and $z \in \text{Conv}(X)$. Assume that there exists $v \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\langle v, x - z \rangle \leq 0$ for all $x \in X$. Then z is a topological regular point of d_X .*

Proof. Let us assume without loss of generality that $z = 0$, and let us write $R = d_X(0)$. Lemma 2.3 below states that there exists $v \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\langle v, x \rangle \leq 0$ for all $x \in X$, and such that $\max_{x \in X} \langle x, w \rangle > 0$ for all $w \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$ with $\langle v, w \rangle \leq 0$. By compactness, there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\min_{\|w\|=1, \langle v, w \rangle \leq 0} \max_{x \in X} \langle w, x \rangle > \lambda. \quad (3)$$

Up to rescaling v and applying an isometric change of coordinates, we can assume that v is equal to the m -th standard basis vector e_m . Let $\rho \in (0, \lambda/4)$, and define $P := \rho e_m$. Let also $\varepsilon \in (0, \min(\rho/2, R/2, \lambda/4))$.




Figure 2: Three level sets of the distance function to the set $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_4\}$ and the points P , p and $\pi(p)$ as defined in the proof of Proposition 2.2.

Now for any $p \in B(0, \varepsilon)$, let $\pi(p)$ denote the first $m - 1$ coordinates of the projection $p + (p - P) \frac{p_m}{\rho - p_m}$ of p onto the hyperplane $\{q \in \mathbb{R}^m : q_m = 0\}$ along the direction $p - P$. Consider the continuous map

$$\Psi : B(0, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{m-1}, \quad p \mapsto (d_X(p) - R, \pi(p)).$$

The situation is illustrated in Figure 2. We are going to show that thanks to our careful choices of P and ε , Ψ is injective.

It is obvious that $\Psi(p) \neq \Psi(p')$ if $p, p' \in B(0, \varepsilon)$ do not belong to the same line passing through P . Thus we only need to show that d_X is injective on the intersection of any line passing through P with $B(0, \varepsilon)$. Let $p' \neq p'' \in B(0, \varepsilon)$ belong to the same line passing through P . As d_X is Lipschitz, Lebourg's Mean Value Theorem [Cla90, Theorem 2.3.7] states that there exists $p \in [p', p'']$ such that

$$d_X(p'') - d_X(p') \in \{\langle u, p'' - p' \rangle : u \in \partial d_X(p)\}, \quad (4)$$

where $\partial d_X(p)$ is Clarke's generalized gradient for d_X at p . Theorem 2.5.1 from [Cla90] then shows that

$$\partial d_X(p) = \text{Conv} \left(\left\{ \frac{p - x}{\|p - x\|} : x \in \Pi_X(p) \right\} \right).$$

As p, p', p'' belong to the same line passing through P , we have $p'' - p' = \mu(P - p)$ for some $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^*$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\mu > 0$. Let $x \in \Pi_X(p)$; as in Proposition 2.1, x is necessarily such that $\langle p, x \rangle = \max_{x' \in X} \langle p, x' \rangle$.

If $p_m \leq 0$, then Inequality (3) states that $\langle p, x \rangle > \lambda \|p\|$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P - p, p - x \rangle &= \langle P, p \rangle - \langle P, x \rangle - \|p\|^2 + \langle p, x \rangle > -\|P\| \|p\| - \|p\|^2 + \lambda \|p\| \\ &\geq \|p\|(-\rho - \varepsilon + \lambda) \geq \lambda \|p\|/2, \end{aligned}$$

where we also use the fact that $\langle P, x \rangle \leq 0$ by construction. Likewise, if $p_m > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P - p, p - x \rangle &= \langle P - p, p \rangle - \langle P - p, x \rangle \\ &\geq \rho p_m - \|p\|^2 - \langle P - p_m e_m, x \rangle + \langle p - p_m e_m, x \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

But Inequality (3) applied to $p - p_m e_m$ states that $\langle p - p_m e_m, x \rangle \geq \lambda \|p - p_m e_m\|$. As $\langle e_m, x \rangle \leq 0$, we also have that

$$-\langle P - p_m e_m, x \rangle = -(\rho - p_m) \langle e_m, x \rangle \geq -(\rho - \varepsilon) \langle e_m, x \rangle \geq 0.$$

Hence

$$\langle P - p, p - x \rangle \geq \rho p_m - \|p\|^2 + \lambda \|p - p_m e_m\| \geq \rho \|p\| - \|p\|^2 \geq \rho \|p\| / 2,$$

where we use the facts that $\rho \leq \lambda$, that $p_m + \|p - p_m e_m\| \geq \|p\|$ and that $\|p\| \leq \varepsilon < \rho/2$. By combining the cases $p_m \leq 0$ and $p_m > 0$, we find that there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\langle P - p, p - x \rangle \geq C \|p\|.$$

Thus for any $x \in X$ such that $d(x, p) = d_X(p)$,

$$\langle p - x, p'' - p' \rangle = \langle p - x, \mu(P - p) \rangle \geq C\mu \|p\|,$$

and any $u \in \partial d_X(p) = \text{Conv} \left(\left\{ \frac{p-x}{\|p-x\|} : x \in \Pi_X(p) \right\} \right)$ satisfies

$$\langle u, p'' - p' \rangle \geq \frac{1}{\|p-x\|} C\mu \|p\| \geq \frac{C\mu}{2R} \|p\|.$$

Equation (4) then states that

$$d_X(p'') - d_X(p') \geq \frac{C\mu}{2R} \|p\|.$$

This is enough to immediately conclude that $d_X(p'') \neq d_X(p')$ when the segment $[p', p'']$ does not contain 0 (as in that case $\|p\| > 0$). When it does, additional elementary arguments yield the same conclusion. Hence we have proved the injectivity of d_X , and thereby that of Ψ .

Brouwer's Theorem on the Invariance of Domain then states that $\Psi(B(0, \varepsilon))$ is open, and that $\Psi : B(0, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \Psi(B(0, \varepsilon))$ is a homeomorphism. As $\Psi(p)_1 = d_X(p) - R$ for any $p \in B(0, \varepsilon)$,

$$d_X \circ \Psi^{-1}(q) = R + q_1$$

for any $q \in \Psi(B(0, \varepsilon))$, which proves the proposition. □

Let us now prove the Lemma used in the proof of Proposition 2.2. Remember that the dual of a cone $C \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is defined as $C^* = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^m : \langle v, u \rangle \geq C \forall u \in C\}$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be such that $\text{Aff}(X) = \mathbb{R}^m$. Assume that there exists $v_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\langle v_0, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$. Then there exists $v \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\langle v, x \rangle \leq 0$ for all $x \in X$ and that*

$$\langle v, w \rangle \leq 0 \Rightarrow \max_{x \in X} \langle x, w \rangle > 0$$

for all $w \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. Consider the closed convex cone $K := \{w \in \mathbb{R}^m : \langle w, x \rangle \geq 0 \ \forall x \in X\}$. By hypothesis, we know that $K \neq \{0\}$, as $v_0 \in K$. Consider now the dual cone K^* of K . The cone K^* is *salient*, i.e. it does not contain any non-trivial linear subspace, as otherwise the set X would be included in the orthogonal of such a subspace, contradicting the hypothesis that $\text{Aff}(X) = \mathbb{R}^m$. It is known that the interior of the dual of any closed, convex and salient cone is non-empty (e.g. as a consequence of [Roc70, Theorems 6.2 and 14.1]), hence the convex cone $C := K^*$ has non-empty interior. Remember that the dual of the dual of a closed convex cone is equal to the cone itself (see e.g. [Roc70, Chapter 14]); thus $C^* = (K^*)^* = K$.

We can now apply Lemma 2.4 below to the convex cone C and its dual $C^* = K$; it states that $\overset{\circ}{C} \cap C^* = (\overset{\circ}{K^*}) \cap K \neq \emptyset$. Let v be such that $-v \in (\overset{\circ}{K^*}) \cap K$. As $K \neq \{0\}$ implies that $0 \notin (\overset{\circ}{K^*})$, v is necessarily non-zero. Since $-v \in K$, we have $\langle v, x \rangle \leq 0$ for all $x \in X$. Furthermore, the fact that $-v$ belongs to the interior of K^* directly implies that $\langle v, w \rangle < 0$ for all $w \in K \setminus \{0\}$. Let us show that this means that $\langle v, w \rangle \leq 0 \Rightarrow \max_{x \in X} \langle x, w \rangle > 0$ for all $w \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$, which is enough to conclude the proof.

Indeed, if $w \in \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \{0\}$ is such that $\max_{x \in X} \langle x, w \rangle \leq 0$, then $\langle x, -w \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$, hence $-w \in K \setminus \{0\}$. As shown above, this means that $\langle v, -w \rangle < 0$, or equivalently that $\langle v, w \rangle > 0$. By contraposition, this proves our claim. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be a convex cone whose interior $\overset{\circ}{C}$ is non-empty, and assume that $C^* \neq \{0\}$. Then $\overset{\circ}{C} \cap C^* \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\overset{\circ}{C} \cap C^* = \emptyset$. Then $\overset{\circ}{C}$ is the interior of a convex set, and as such it is a non-empty convex open set. The dual cone C^* is a non-empty convex set. Hence we can apply Hahn-Banach's Separation Theorem, which states that there exists $v \in \mathbb{R}^m$ such that

$$\sup_{u \in \overset{\circ}{C}} \langle v, u \rangle \leq \inf_{w \in C^*} \langle v, w \rangle \quad (5)$$

and

$$\langle v, u \rangle < \inf_{w \in C^*} \langle v, w \rangle \quad (6)$$

for all $u \in \overset{\circ}{C}$; in particular, $v \neq 0$. As $\inf_{w \in C^*} \langle v, w \rangle \in \{0, -\infty\}$, Inequality (6) implies that $\inf_{w \in C^*} \langle v, w \rangle = 0$. This, in turn, means that $\sup_{u \in \overset{\circ}{C}} \langle v, u \rangle = 0$.

Consequently, the vector $-v$ is such that $\inf_{u \in \overset{\circ}{C}} \langle -v, u \rangle = 0$. As $\overline{\overset{\circ}{C}} = \overline{C} \supseteq C$ (this holds for any convex set), and as $u \mapsto \langle -v, u \rangle$ is continuous, we have $\inf_{u \in C} \langle -v, u \rangle = 0$. This means that $-v \in C^*$, but we have shown above that $\inf_{w \in C^*} \langle v, w \rangle = 0$, hence $\langle v, -v \rangle = -\|v\|^2 \geq 0$, which contradicts the fact that $v \neq 0$. \square

We can now prove Theorem 1.2. It is essentially a combination of Propositions 2.1 and 2.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By definition, the only differential critical points of d_X are the points $z \notin X$ such that $z \in \text{Conv}(\Pi_X(z))$, and the points $x \in X$. The fact that differential regular points of d_X are also topologically regular is shown in [ACSD23, Section 7] (see also [Gro93]), which proves the last point of the statement of Theorem 1.2.

If $x \in X$, then d_X coincides with $d_{\{x\}}$ on a small ball $B(x, \varepsilon)$ around x . Consider the map $\Xi : B(x, \varepsilon) \rightarrow B(x, \varepsilon^2), p \mapsto x + \frac{(p-x)}{\sqrt{\|p-x\|}}$. It is a homeomorphism, and $d_X \circ \Xi^{-1}(q) = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i^2$

for all $q \in B(x, \varepsilon^2)$, hence x is a non-degenerate topological critical point of d_X of index 0. This proves the first point of the statement of the Theorem.

Now let $z \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus X$ be such that $z \in \text{Conv}(\Pi_X(z))$. On a small open neighborhood W of z , the functions d_X and $d_{\Pi_X(z)}$ coincide. Up to an isometric change of coordinates, we can assume that $z = 0$ and that $\text{Aff}(\Pi_X(z)) = \mathbb{R}^m \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ for some $m \geq 1$ (note that with this change of coordinates, $\text{Span}(\Pi_X(z) - z) = \text{Aff}(\Pi_X(z))$). Let us also write $R := d_X(z)$.

If there exists no $v \in \text{Aff}(\Pi_X(z)) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\langle v, x \rangle \leq 0$ for all $x \in \Pi_X(z)$, then Proposition 2.1 applies to the set $\Pi_X(z)$ and the subspace $\text{Aff}(\Pi_X(z)) \cong \mathbb{R}^m$, and there exist open neighborhoods $U_1, U_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ of 0 and a homeomorphism $\Phi_1 : (U_1, 0) \rightarrow (U_2, 0)$ such that $d_{\Pi_X(z)} \circ \Phi_1^{-1}(q) = R - \sum_{i=1}^m q_i^2$ for all $q \in U_2$. We define the homeomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_2 : U_2 &\longrightarrow \Phi_2(U_2) =: U_3, \\ p &\longmapsto \frac{p}{\|p\|} \sqrt{R^2 - (R - \|p\|^2)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and we write $p = (p^m, p^{n-m}) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ for all $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Consider the homeomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_3 : U_1 \times B^{n-m}(0, \varepsilon) &\longrightarrow U_3 \times B^{n-m}(0, \varepsilon), \\ (p^m, p^{n-m}) &\longmapsto (\Phi_2 \circ \Phi_1(p^m), p^{n-m}), \end{aligned}$$

where $B^{n-m}(0, \varepsilon)$ is the ball of radius ε centered at 0 in \mathbb{R}^{n-m} . For any $p = (p^m, p^{n-m})$ close to 0, we have $d_X(p) = d_{\Pi_X(z)}(p) = \sqrt{(d_{\Pi_X(z)}(p^m))^2 + \|p^{n-m}\|^2}$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} d_X \circ \Phi_3^{-1}(q) &= \sqrt{(d_{\Pi_X(z)} \circ \Phi_1^{-1} \circ \Phi_2^{-1}(q^m))^2 + \|q^{n-m}\|^2} \\ &= \sqrt{(R - \|\Phi_2^{-1}(q^m)\|^2)^2 + \|q^{n-m}\|^2} \\ &= \sqrt{(R - (R - \sqrt{R^2 - \|q^m\|^2}))^2 + \|q^{n-m}\|^2} \\ &= \sqrt{R^2 - \|q^m\|^2 + \|q^{n-m}\|^2} = \sqrt{R^2 - \sum_{i=1}^m q_i^2 + \sum_{i=m+1}^n q_i^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Up to making the sets U_1, U_2, U_3 smaller, Morse's Lemma then states that there exists a diffeomorphism $\Phi_4 : U_3 \times B^{n-m}(0, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \Phi_4(U_3 \times B^{n-m}(0, \varepsilon)) =: U_4$ that maps 0 to 0 such that $d_X \circ \Phi_3^{-1} \circ \Phi_4^{-1}(q) = R - \sum_{i=1}^m q_i^2 + \sum_{i=m+1}^n q_i^2$. Hence z is a non-degenerate topological critical point of index $m = \dim(\text{Span}(\Pi_X(z) - z))$ of d_X .

Likewise, if there exists $v \in \text{Aff}(\Pi_X(z)) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\langle v, x \rangle \leq 0$ for all $x \in \Pi_X(z)$, then Proposition 2.2 applies to the set $\Pi_X(z)$ and the subspace $\text{Aff}(\Pi_X(z)) \cong \mathbb{R}^m$, and there exist open neighborhoods $V_1, V_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ of 0 and a homeomorphism $\Psi_1 : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ such that $d_{\Pi_X(z)} \circ \Psi_1^{-1}(q) = R + q_1$ for all $q \in V_2$. As in the case above, one can define (using Ψ_1) a continuous local change of coordinates Ψ defined on an open neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{R}^n such that $d_{\Pi_X(z)} \circ \Psi(q) = R + q_1$ (the Implicit Function Theorem is applied instead of Morse's Lemma).

Hence z is a topological regular point: this completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Pierre-Louis Blayac, Vincent Divol, David Cohen-Steiner and Fred Chazal for helpful discussions.

References

- [ABE09] Dominique Attali, Jean-Daniel Boissonnat, and Herbert Edelsbrunner. Stability and computation of medial axes—a state-of-the-art report. Mathematical foundations of scientific visualization, computer graphics, and massive data exploration, pages 109–125, 2009.
- [ABL23] Eddie Aamari, Clément Berenfeld, and Clément Levrard. Optimal reach estimation and metric learning. The Annals of Statistics, 51(3):1086–1108, 2023.
- [ACSD23] Charles Arnal, David Cohen-Steiner, and Vincent Divol. Critical points of the distance function to a generic submanifold. arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.13147, 2023.
- [ACSD24] Charles Arnal, David Cohen-Steiner, and Vincent Divol. Wasserstein convergence of Čech persistence diagrams for samplings of submanifolds. arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.14919, 2024.
- [AKC⁺19] Eddie Aamari, Jisu Kim, Frédéric Chazal, Bertrand Michel, Alessandro Rinaldo, and Larry Wasserman. Estimating the reach of a manifold. Electronic Journal of Statistics, 13(1):1359 – 1399, 2019.
- [BA14] Omer Bobrowski and Robert Adler. Distance functions, critical points, and the topology of random Čech complexes. Homology, Homotopy and Applications, 16:311–344, 01 2014.
- [BE16] Ulrich Bauer and Herbert Edelsbrunner. The morse theory of Čech and delaunay complexes. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 369:1, 06 2016.
- [BO19] Omer Bobrowski and Goncalo Oliveira. Random Čech complexes on riemannian manifolds. Random Structures & Algorithms, 54(3):373–412, 2019.
- [BR24] Ulrich Bauer and Fabian Roll. Wrapping Cycles in Delaunay Complexes: Bridging Persistent Homology and Discrete Morse Theory. In 40th International Symposium on Computational Geometry (SoCG 2024), volume 293 of Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs), pages 15:1–15:16, 2024.
- [BW15] Omer Bobrowski and Shmuel Weinberger. On the vanishing of homology in random Čech complexes. Random Structures and Algorithms, 07 2015.
- [CCSL06] Frédéric Chazal, David Cohen-Steiner, and André Lieutier. A sampling theory for compact sets in euclidean space. Discrete & Computational Geometry, 41:461–479, 06 2006.
- [CDSGO16] Frédéric Chazal, Vin De Silva, Marc Glisse, and Steve Oudot. The structure and stability of persistence modules, volume 10. Springer, 2016.
- [CL06] Frédéric Chazal and André Lieutier. Topology guaranteeing manifold reconstruction using distance function to noisy data. volume 2006, pages 112–118, 06 2006.
- [Cla90] Frank H Clarke. Optimization and nonsmooth analysis. SIAM, 1990.

- [CVJ21] G. Carlsson and M. Vejdemo-Johansson. Topological Data Analysis with Applications. Topological Data Analysis with Applications. Cambridge University Press, 2021.
- [dKTV19] Henry-Louis de Kergorlay, Ulrike Tillmann, and Oliver Vipond. Random čech complexes on manifolds with boundary. Random Structures & Algorithms, 61:309–352, 2019.
- [EH22] Herbert Edelsbrunner and John L. Harer. Computational topology: an introduction. American Mathematical Society, 2022.
- [GR97] Vladimir Gershkovich and Hyam Rubinstein. Morse theory for min-type functions. The Asian Journal of Mathematics, 1, 01 1997.
- [Gro93] Karsten Grove. Critical point theory for distance functions. Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, pages 357–385, 1993.
- [JP88] H.Th Jongen and D. Pallaschke. On linearization and continuous selections of functions. Optimization, 19(3):343–353, 1988.
- [Lie04] André Lieutier. Any open bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^n has the same homotopy type as its medial axis. Computer-Aided Design, 36(11):1029–1046, 2004.
- [Mil63] J.W. Milnor. Morse Theory. Annals of mathematics studies. Princeton University Press, 1963.
- [Mor59] Marston Morse. Topologically non-degenerate functions on a compact n -manifold M . Journal d’Analyse Mathématique, 7(1), December 1959.
- [NSW08] Partha Niyogi, Stephen Smale, and Shmuel Weinberger. Finding the homology of submanifolds with high confidence from random samples. Discrete & Computational Geometry, 39:419–441, 2008.
- [OPT⁺17] Nina Otter, Mason A Porter, Ulrike Tillmann, Peter Grindrod, and Heather A Harrington. A roadmap for the computation of persistent homology. EPJ Data Science, 6:1–38, 2017.
- [RB24] Yohai Reani and Omer Bobrowski. Morse theory for the k -nn distance function, 2024.
- [Roc70] Ralph Rockafellar. Convex Analysis. Princeton University Press, 1970.
- [Sie96] Dirk Siersma. Voronoi diagrams and morse theory of the distance function, 1996.
- [SYM23] Anna Song, Ka Man Yim, and Anthea Monod. Generalized morse theory of distance functions to surfaces for persistent homology. arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.14716, 2023.