

SOME NEW PROPERTIES OF THE BETA FUNCTION AND RAMANUJAN R -FUNCTION

ZHEN-HANG YANG, MIAO-KUN WANG**, AND TIE-HONG ZHAO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, the power series and hypergeometric series representations of the beta and Ramanujan functions

$$\mathcal{B}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(x)^2}{\Gamma(2x)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}(x) = -2\psi(x) - 2\gamma$$

are presented, which yield higher order monotonicity results related to $\mathcal{B}(x)$ and $\mathcal{R}(x)$; the decreasing property of the functions $\mathcal{R}(x)/\mathcal{B}(x)$ and $[\mathcal{B}(x) - \mathcal{R}(x)]/x^2$ on $(0, \infty)$ are proved. Moreover, a conjecture put forward by Qiu et al. in [18] is proved to be true. As applications, several inequalities and identities are deduced. These results obtained in this paper may be helpful for the study of certain special functions. Finally, an interesting infinite series similar to Riemann zeta functions is observed initially.

1. INTRODUCTION

For $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ with $-c \notin \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, the hypergeometric function is defined on $(-1, 1)$ by

$$(1.1) \quad F(a, b; c; x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n (b)_n}{(c)_n} \frac{x^n}{n!},$$

where $(a)_n = \Gamma(n+a)/\Gamma(a)$ and $\Gamma(x)$ is the classical gamma function. In particular, $F(a, b; a+b; x)$ is called zero-balanced hypergeometric function, which satisfies the asymptotic formula

$$(1.2) \quad F(a, b; a+b; x) = \frac{R(a, b) - \ln t}{B(a, b)} + O(t \ln t) \quad \text{as } t = 1 - x \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$B(a, b) = \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b)} \quad \text{and} \quad R(a, b) = -\psi(a) - \psi(b) - 2\gamma$$

are the beta function and Ramanujan R -function (or Ramanujan constant), respectively, while $\psi(x) = d[\ln \Gamma(x)]/dx$ is the psi function and $\gamma = -\psi(1)$ is the Euler constant. The asymptotic formula (1.2) was due to Ramanujan [1].

In the study for special functions in the geometric function theory and Ramanujan's modular equation, it is often crucial to the treatments for the functions $(a, b) \mapsto B(a, b)$, $(a, b) \mapsto R(a, b)$ and their combinations. For this, ones have to spend a lot of time dealing with such problems. For example, in order to prove the double inequality

$$(1.3) \quad 1 + \alpha(1 - r^2) < \frac{K_a(r)}{\sin(\pi a) \ln(e^{R(a)/2}/\sqrt{1-r^2})} < 1 + \beta(1 - r^2)$$

Date: June 23, 2024.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 33B15, 33C05, 11M06, 30B10, 26A48.

Key words and phrases. Beta function, Ramanujan function, power series, hypergeometric series, complete monotonicity, monotonicity.

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11971142) and the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (LY24A010011).

**Corresponding author.

holds for all $a \in (0, 1/2]$ and $r \in (0, 1)$ if and only if $\alpha \leq \alpha_0 = \pi / [R(a) \sin(\pi a)] - 1$ and $\beta \geq \beta_0 = a(1-a)$ where

$$K_a(r) = \frac{\pi}{2} F(a, 1-a; 1, r^2) \quad \text{and} \quad R(a) = R(a, 1-a),$$

five properties of $R(a)$ should be proved at first (see [2, Section 2]). For another example, it is precisely because the inequality established in [2, Eq. (2.13)], the increasing property of the function

$$(1.4) \quad r \mapsto Y(r) = \frac{K_a(r)}{(1-r^2) \sin(\pi a) \ln(e^{R(a)/2} / \sqrt{1-r^2})} - \frac{1}{1-r^2}$$

on $(0, 1)$ was proved (see [3]). More of such examples can be seen in [4], [5], [6], [7, Lemma 2.14], [8], [9], [10, Lemma 3.5], [11], [12]. Fortunately, several properties of $R(a, 1-a)$, $B(a, 1-a)$ and their combinations have been discovered, which can be summarized as the following three aspects:

- (i) the monotonicity and bounds for $R(x) = R(x, 1-x)$ can be found in [13, Lemma 2.1], [14], [15, Theorem 1], [2, Lemma 2.1, Theorem 2.3, Corollaries 2.4 and 2.5], [16], [11, Lemma 4];
- (ii) the monotonicity and convexity results for the combinations of $R(x)$ and $B(x) = B(x, 1-x)$ can be seen in [15, Theorem 2], [17, Theorems 1.1–1.3];
- (iii) the series expansion of $R(x)$ was presented in [2, Theorem 2.2], the power series expansions of $R(x) - B(x)$, $[R(x) - B(x)]/x$ and $[R(x) - B(x)]/[x(1-x)]$ at $x = 0, 1/2$ and higher monotonicity of them were established in [18, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2], while the power series expansions of $[1+x(1-x)]R(x) - B(x)$ at $x = 0, 1/2$ and its complete monotonicity were found in [17, Theorem 1.1].

In the end of [17], Qiu, Ma and Huang made a conjecture below.

Conjecture 1 ([17, Conjecture 5.3]). *The function*

$$F(x) = R(x) - \frac{B(x)}{1+x(1-x)}$$

is completely monotonic on $(0, 1/2)$.

Now let us consider another case in $R(a, b)$ and $B(a, b)$ with $a = b = x \in (0, \infty)$. In this case, we denote by

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{B}(x) = B(x, x) = \frac{\Gamma(x)^2}{\Gamma(2x)},$$

$$(1.6) \quad \mathcal{R}(x) = R(x, x) = -2\psi(x) - 2\gamma.$$

The aim of this paper are twofold. One is to establish some new analytic properties of the above two functions. The other is to prove the Conjecture 1 to be true. Specifically, we shall present several series expansions and higher order monotonicity properties of $\mathcal{R}(x)$, $\mathcal{B}(x)$ and some functions involving them in Section 3. Furthermore, the monotonicity of $x \mapsto \mathcal{R}(x)/\mathcal{B}(x)$, $(\mathcal{B}(x) - \mathcal{R}(x))/x^2$ on $(0, \infty)$ will be proved subsequently in Section 4. In Section 5, we will give an affirmative answer to the Conjecture 1. In the last section, several remarks are listed.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In order to establish some new properties of $\mathcal{B}(x)$ and $\mathcal{R}(x)$, as well as, combinations of them, we need some basic knowledge points below.

(1) Duplication formulas for the gamma, psi and polygamma functions ([19, p. 256, Eq. (6.1.18); p. 259, Eq. (6.3.8)]):

$$(2.1) \quad \Gamma(2x) = \frac{2^{2x-1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \Gamma\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma(x),$$

$$(2.2) \quad \psi(2x) = \frac{1}{2}\psi\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\psi(x) + \ln 2,$$

$$(2.3) \quad 2^n \psi^{(n)}(2x) = \frac{1}{2} \psi^{(n)}\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \psi^{(n)}(x) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(2) The series and integral representations of psi and polygamma functions ([19, p. 259-260, Eq. (6.3.22), Eq. (6.3.16), Eq. (6.4.10)]):

$$(2.4) \quad \psi(x) + \gamma = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-t} - e^{-xt}}{1 - e^{-t}} dt$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \psi^{(n)}(x) = \begin{cases} -\gamma - \frac{1}{x} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x}{k(k+x)} & \text{for } n = 0, \\ (-1)^{n+1} n! \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+k)^{n+1}} & \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

(3) Recurrence formula for the psi and polygamma functions ([19, p. 260, Eq. (6.4.6)]):

$$(2.6) \quad \psi^{(n)}(x+1) - \psi^{(n)}(x) = (-1)^n \frac{n!}{x^{n+1}} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

(4) The Wallis ratio W_n is defined on $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ by

$$(2.7) \quad W_n = \frac{(2n-1)!!}{(2n)!!} = \frac{(1/2)_n}{n!} = \frac{\Gamma(n+1/2)}{\Gamma(1/2)\Gamma(n+1)},$$

which satisfies the following inequality (see [20])

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(n+4/\pi-1)}} < W_n < \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(n+1/4)}} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(5) The asymptotic formulas for the gamma, psi and polygamma functions: as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(2.9) \quad \Gamma(x) \sim \sqrt{2\pi} x^{x-1/2} e^{-x}, \quad \psi(x) \sim \ln x - \frac{1}{2x},$$

$$(2.10) \quad \psi^{(n)}(x) \sim \frac{(-1)^{n-1} (n-1)!}{x^n} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(-1)^{n-1} n!}{x^{n+1}}$$

([19, ps. 258–260, Eqs. (6.1.40), (6.3.18), (6.4.11)]).

(6) The value of hypergeometric function at $x = 1$: for $c - a - b > 0$,

$$(2.11) \quad F(a, b; c; 1) = \frac{\Gamma(c)\Gamma(c-a-b)}{\Gamma(c-a)\Gamma(c-b)}$$

([19, p. 556, Eq. (15.1.20)]).

(7) The Bernoulli polynomial $B_n(x)$ is defined by

$$\frac{te^{xt}}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (|t| < 2\pi) \quad ([19, p. 802, Eq. (23.1.1)]),$$

while the Euler polynomial $E_n(x)$ is defined as

$$\frac{2e^{xt}}{e^t + 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (|t| < \pi) \quad ([19, \text{p. 802, Eq. (23.1.1)}]).$$

The Euler number E_n is given by

$$E_n = 2^n E_n \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

which satisfies the double inequality

$$(2.12) \quad \frac{4^{n+1} (2n)!}{\pi^{2n+1}} \frac{1}{1 + 3^{-2n-1}} < (-1)^n E_{2n} < \frac{4^{n+1} (2n)!}{\pi^{2n+1}} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

([19, p. 805, Eq. (23.1.15)]).

(8) Riemann zeta function is defined by

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \quad (\Re(s) > 1) \quad ([19, \text{p. 807, Eq. (23.2.1)}]).$$

Moreover, we have

$$(2.13) \quad \lambda(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)^n} = (1 - 2^{-n}) \zeta(n) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } n \geq 2,$$

$$(2.14) \quad \beta(2n+1) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(2k+1)^{2n+1}} = \frac{(\pi/2)^{2n+1}}{2(2n)!} |E_{2n}| \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

([19, p. 807, (23.2.20), (23.2.22)]).

(9) The integral representation of remainder term of Taylor formula:

$$(2.15) \quad f(x) - \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f^{(k)}(x_0)}{k!} (x - x_0)^k = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{x_0}^x (x-t)^n f^{(n+1)}(t) dt$$

$$= \frac{(x-x_0)^{n+1}}{n!} \int_0^1 (1-u)^n f^{(n+1)}(\xi) du,$$

where $\xi = ux + (1-u)x_0$.

(10) L'Hospital monotonic rule ([21, Lemma 1.1]), that is, the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let $-\infty < a < b < \infty$, and let $f, g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous functions that are differentiable on (a, b) , with $f(a) = g(a) = 0$ or $f(b) = g(b) = 0$. Assume that $g'(x) \neq 0$ for each x in (a, b) . If f'/g' is increasing (decreasing) on (a, b) then so is f/g .*

3. EXPANSIONS AND COMPLETE MONOTONICITY OF $\mathcal{B}(x)$ AND $\mathcal{R}(x)$

We first give the higher order monotonicity of the function $x \mapsto \ln[x\mathcal{B}(x)]$ on $(0, \infty)$.

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathcal{B}(x)$ be defined by (1.5). The following statements are valid.*

(i) $\mathcal{B}(x)$ satisfies

$$(-1)^n \left[\ln \left(\frac{x}{2} \mathcal{B}(x) \right) \right]^{(n)} \begin{cases} < 0 & \text{for } n = 0, \\ > 0 & \text{for } n = 1, \\ < 0 & \text{for } n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Consequently, the function $x \mapsto -[\ln(x\mathcal{B}(x))]''$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

(ii) $\ln \mathcal{B}(x)$ has the power series expansion

$$(3.1) \quad \ln \mathcal{B}(x) = \ln 2 - \ln x + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{(2^n - 2) \zeta(n)}{n} x^n,$$

which is convergent on $(0, 1/2]$.

(iii) For $n \geq 2$, the function

$$x \mapsto (-1)^n \frac{\ln \mathcal{B}(x) + \ln x - \ln 2 - \sum_{k=2}^n (-1)^{k-1} (2^k - 2) k^{-1} \zeta(k) x^k}{x^{n+1}}$$

is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. (i) Employing the duplication formula (2.1), we have

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{B}_\ell(x) := \ln \left(\frac{x}{2} \mathcal{B}(x) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \pi - 2x \ln 2 + \ln \Gamma(x+1) - \ln \Gamma \left(x + \frac{1}{2} \right).$$

Differentiating and then using the integral representation of (2.4) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}'_\ell(x) &= -2 \ln 2 + \psi(x+1) - \psi \left(x + \frac{1}{2} \right) = -2 \ln 2 + \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-xt}}{e^{t/2} + 1} dt, \\ \mathcal{B}''_\ell(x) &= - \int_0^\infty \frac{te^{-xt}}{e^{t/2} + 1} dt < 0. \end{aligned}$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}'_\ell(x) &< \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \left[-2 \ln 2 + \psi(x+1) - \psi \left(x + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] = 0, \\ \mathcal{B}_\ell(x) &< \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \left[\frac{1}{2} \ln \pi - 2x \ln 2 + \ln \Gamma(x+1) - \ln \Gamma \left(x + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in (0, \infty)$. Further, we have

$$(-1)^n \mathcal{B}_\ell^{(n)}(x) = - \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{n-1} e^{-xt}}{e^{t/2} + 1} dt < 0 \quad \text{for } n \geq 2.$$

(ii) Note that

$$\mathcal{B}_\ell(x) = \ln \left(\frac{x}{2} \mathcal{B}(x) \right) = \ln \frac{x \Gamma(x)^2}{2 \Gamma(2x)} = 2 \ln \Gamma(x+1) - \ln \Gamma(2x+1).$$

Differentiation leads to

$$\mathcal{B}_\ell^{(n)}(x) = 2\psi^{(n-1)}(x+1) - 2^n \psi^{(n-1)}(2x+1) \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

Hence, $\mathcal{B}_\ell(0) = \mathcal{B}'_\ell(0) = 0$ and by (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_\ell^{(n)}(0) &= (2 - 2^n) \psi^{(n-1)}(1) = (2 - 2^n) (-1)^n (n-1)! \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{1}{(k+1)^n} \\ &= (2 - 2^n) (-1)^n (n-1)! \zeta(n) \quad \text{for } n \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

It then follows that

$$\mathcal{B}_\ell(x) = \mathcal{B}_\ell(0) + \mathcal{B}'_\ell(0)x + \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{\mathcal{B}_\ell^{(n)}(0)}{n!} x^n = \sum_{n=2}^\infty (-1)^{n-1} \frac{(2^n - 2) \zeta(n)}{n} x^n,$$

which is convergent on $(0, 1/2]$.

(iii) By the integral representation of the reminder term of the Taylor formula (2.15), we have

$$(-1)^n \frac{\mathcal{B}_\ell(x) - \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{\mathcal{B}_\ell^{(k)}(0)}{k!} x^k}{x^{n+1}} = - \int_0^1 \frac{(1-u)^n}{n!} (-1)^{n+1} \mathcal{B}_\ell^{(n+1)}(ux) du.$$

By the assertion (i) of this theorem, the desired complete monotonicity follows. This completes the proof. \square

The following theorem reveals the hypergeometric series and power series representations of $\mathcal{B}(x)$.

Theorem 2. *The following statements are valid.*

(i) *The expansions*

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{B}(x) = 2^{1-2x} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{W_n}{x+n} = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-x)_n}{(x+n+1)n!}.$$

hold for $x > 0$, where W_n is the Wallis ratio defined by (2.7).

(ii) *The functions \mathcal{B} and*

$$(3.4) \quad x \mapsto \mathcal{B}r_1(x) = 2^{2x-1}\mathcal{B}(x) - \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{W_k}{x+k}$$

is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, while the function

$$x \mapsto \mathcal{B}r_2(x) = \mathcal{B}(x) - 2 \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(1-x)_k}{(x+k+1)k!}$$

is completely monotonic on $(0, 1)$.

(iii) *For $x \in (0, 1)$, the function $\mathcal{B}(x)$ has the power series representation*

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{B}(x) = \frac{2}{x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k,$$

where $a_0 = 1$ and for $k \geq 1$,

$$(3.6) \quad a_k = (-1)^k \left[\frac{(\ln 4)^k}{k!} - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{W_n}{n^{k-j}} \right) \frac{(\ln 4)^j}{j!} \right].$$

Proof. (i) Using the duplication formula (2.1) and (1.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{2x-1} \frac{x\Gamma(x)^2}{\Gamma(2x)} &= \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(x+1)}{\Gamma(x+\frac{1}{2})} = \frac{\Gamma(1/2)\Gamma(x+1)}{\Gamma(1)\Gamma(x+1/2)} \\ &= F\left(\frac{1}{2}, x; x+1; 1\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1/2)_n (x)_n}{(x+1)_n} \frac{1^n}{n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{xW_n}{x+n}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the first series of (3.3). Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma(x)^2}{\Gamma(2x)} &= \frac{2}{x+1} \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(x+2)}{\Gamma(1)\Gamma(2x+1)} = \frac{2}{x+1} F(x+1, 1-x; x+2; 1) = \\ &= \frac{2}{x+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x+1)_n (1-x)_n}{(x+2)_n} \frac{1^n}{n!} = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-x)_n}{(x+n+1)n!}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the second series of (3.3).

(ii) Since $2^{1-2x} = 2 \exp(-x \ln 4)$ and $1/(x+n)$ for every $n \geq 0$ are completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, so is the function \mathcal{B} by the first series of (3.3). Note that

$$\mathcal{B}r_1(x) = 2^{2x-1}\mathcal{B}(x) - \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{W_k}{x+k} = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{W_k}{x+k}.$$

A similar argument leads to the complete monotonicity of $\mathcal{B}r_1(x)$ on $(0, \infty)$. Obviously, $1/(x+k+1)$ for every $k \geq 0$ and $1-x+j$ for every $0 \leq j \leq k-1$ are completely monotonic on $(0, 1)$, so is $\mathcal{B}r_2(x)$ because of

$$\mathcal{B}r_2(x) = \mathcal{B}(x) - 2 \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(1-x)_k}{(x+k+1)k!} = 2 \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-x)_k}{(x+k+1)k!}.$$

(iii) Write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{xW_n}{x+n} &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{W_n}{n} \frac{x}{1+x/n} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{W_n}{n} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{n^k} x^{k+1} \right) \\ &= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{W_n}{n^k} \right) x^k, \\ 2^{-2x} &= \exp(-x \ln 4) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k (\ln 4)^k}{k!} x^k. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Cauchy product formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x}{2} \mathcal{B}(x) &= 2^{-2x} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{xW_n}{x+n} = \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k (\ln 4)^k}{k!} x^k \right) \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{W_n}{n^k} \right) x^k \right) \\ &= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \left[\frac{(\ln 4)^k}{k!} - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{W_n}{n^{k-j}} \right) \frac{(\ln 4)^j}{j!} \right] x^k, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

Remark 1. The coefficients a_k in (3.5) can be given by recurrence relation using (3.1). In fact, by (3.1), we have

$$\frac{x}{2} \mathcal{B}(x) = \exp \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{(2^n - 2) \zeta(n)}{n} x^n \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n.$$

Then $a_0 = 1$. Differentiation yields

$$\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} (2^n - 2) \zeta(n) x^{n-1} \right) \exp \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{(2^n - 2) \zeta(n)}{n} x^n \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n a_n x^{n-1},$$

that is,

$$\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} (2^n - 2) \zeta(n) x^n \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n a_n x^n.$$

Using Cauchy product formula and comparing the coefficients of x^n gives $a_1 = 0$, and for $n \geq 2$,

$$(3.7) \quad a_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=2}^n (-1)^{k-1} (2^k - 2) \zeta(k) a_{n-k}.$$

In particular, $a_2 = -\pi^2/6$, $a_3 = 2\zeta(3)$, $a_4 = -\pi^4/40$.

Remark 2. From the proof of Theorem 2, we easily find that

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{2x-1}\mathcal{B}(x) - \frac{1}{x} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{W_n}{x+n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{W_n}{n} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{n^k} x^k \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{W_n}{n^{k+1}} \right) x^k. \end{aligned}$$

The power series representation of $\mathcal{R}(x)$ on $(0, 1)$ is presented in the following theorem.

Theorem 3. Let $x \in (0, 1)$. We have

$$(3.8) \quad \mathcal{R}(x) = -2\psi(x) - 2\gamma = \frac{2}{x} + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \zeta(n+1) x^n.$$

Moreover, for $n \geq 1$, the function

$$(3.9) \quad x \mapsto \mathcal{R}r_n(x) = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{x^{n+1}} \left[\mathcal{R}(x) - \frac{2}{x} - 2 \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \zeta(k+1) x^k \right]$$

is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. Let

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(x) = \mathcal{R}(x) - \frac{2}{x} = -2\psi(x+1) - 2\gamma.$$

Then $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(0) = 0$ and

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}^{(n)}(x) = -2\psi^{(n)}(x+1) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{R}}^{(n)}(0) = -2\psi^{(n)}(1) = 2(-1)^n n! \zeta(n+1).$$

Hence,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(x) = \mathcal{R}(x) - \frac{2}{x} = \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}^{(n)}(0)}{n!} x^n = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \zeta(n+1) x^n,$$

which is clearly convergent on $(0, 1)$ (see also [19, p. 259. Eq. (6.3.14)]).

Using the integral representation of remainder term of Taylor formula (2.15) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}r_n(x) &= \frac{1}{n!} \int_0^1 (1-u)^n (-1)^{n-1} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}^{(n+1)}(ux) du \\ &= \frac{2}{n!} \int_0^1 (1-u)^n (-1)^n \psi^{(n+1)}(ux+1) du, \end{aligned}$$

which is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. This completes the proof. \square

Finally, we give the higher order monotonicity of the function

$$x \mapsto \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\theta, n}(x) = \frac{1}{x^\theta} \left(\mathcal{R}(x) - 2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k+x} \right).$$

Theorem 4. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\theta \geq 1$. The function $x \mapsto \tilde{\mathcal{R}}'_{\theta, n}(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. In particular, the function

$$x \mapsto \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1,1}(x) = \frac{x\mathcal{R}(x) - 2}{x^2}$$

is increasing from $(0, \infty)$ onto $(-\pi^2/3, 0)$.

Proof. It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\theta,n}(x) &= -2 \frac{\psi(n+x) + \gamma}{x^\theta}, \\ (-1)^k \left(\frac{1}{x^\theta}\right)^{(k)} &= \frac{\Gamma(\theta+k)}{\Gamma(\theta)} \frac{1}{x^{\theta+k}},\end{aligned}$$

and denote by $\psi_k(x) = (-1)^{k-1} \psi^{(k)}(x)$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Differentiation yields

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{2} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\theta,n}^{(m)}(x) &= (-1)^m \left(\frac{1}{x^\theta}\right)^{(m)} (\psi(n+x) + \gamma) \\ &\quad + (-1)^m \sum_{k=1}^m \binom{m}{k} \left(\frac{1}{x^\theta}\right)^{(m-k)} (\psi(n+x) + \gamma)^{(k)} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\theta+m)}{\Gamma(\theta)} \frac{\psi(n+x) + \gamma}{x^{\theta+m}} \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^m \binom{m}{k} \frac{\Gamma(\theta+m-k)}{\Gamma(\theta)} \frac{1}{x^{\theta+m-k}} \psi_k(n+x) \\ &:= \frac{r_{\theta,n}(x)}{x^{\theta+m} \Gamma(\theta)},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$r_{\theta,n}(x) = \Gamma(\theta+m) (\psi(n+x) + \gamma) - \sum_{k=1}^m \binom{m}{k} \Gamma(\theta+m-k) x^k \psi_k(n+x)$$

with $r_{\theta,n}(0) = \Gamma(\theta+m) (\psi(n) + \gamma) > 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Differentiation again yields

$$\begin{aligned}r'_{\theta,n}(x) &= \Gamma(\theta+m) \psi'(n+x) - \sum_{k=1}^m \binom{m}{k} \Gamma(\theta+m-k) k x^{k-1} \psi_k(n+x) \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^m \binom{m}{k} \Gamma(\theta+m-k) x^k \psi'_k(n+x).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\psi'_k(x) = -\psi_{k+1}(x)$, by a shift of index, $r'_{\theta,n}(x)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}r'_{\theta,n}(x) &= [\Gamma(\theta+m) - m\Gamma(\theta+m-1)] \psi_1(n+x) + \Gamma(\theta) x^m \psi_{m+1}(n+x) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=2}^m \left[\binom{m}{k-1} \Gamma(\theta+m-k+1) - k \binom{m}{k} \Gamma(\theta+m-k) \right] x^{k-1} \psi_k(n+x).\end{aligned}$$

A simplification leads to

$$\Gamma(\theta+m) - m\Gamma(\theta+m-1) = (\theta-1) \Gamma(\theta+m-1) \geq 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\binom{m}{k-1} \Gamma(\theta+m-k+1) - k \binom{m}{k} \Gamma(\theta+m-k) \\ &= (\theta-1) \binom{m}{k-1} \Gamma(\theta+m-k) \geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $r'_{\theta,n}(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$. Hence, $r_{\theta,n}(x) > r_{\theta,n}(0) = \Gamma(\theta+m) (\psi(n) + \gamma) > 0$ for $x > 0$, which results in $(-1)^{m-1} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\theta,n}^{(m)}(x) > 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x > 0$, that is, $x \mapsto$

$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}'_{\theta,n}(x)$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. Taking $\theta = n = 1$ gives the increasing property of $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1,1}(x)$ with

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1,1}(x) = -\frac{\pi^2}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{1,1}(x) = 0,$$

which completes the proof. \square

4. MONOTONICITY PROPERTY OF $\mathcal{R}(x)/\mathcal{B}(x)$ AND $(\mathcal{B}(x) - \mathcal{R}(x))/x^2$

In this section, we prove the monotonicity property of the functions

$$(4.1) \quad x \mapsto \frac{\mathcal{R}(x)}{\mathcal{B}(x)} = \frac{\Gamma(2x)}{\Gamma(x)^2} (-2\psi(x) - 2\gamma),$$

$$(4.2) \quad x \mapsto D(x) = \frac{\mathcal{B}(x) - \mathcal{R}(x)}{x^2} = \frac{1}{x^2} \left[\frac{\Gamma(x)^2}{\Gamma(2x)} - (-2\psi(x) - 2\gamma) \right]$$

on $(0, \infty)$.

Theorem 5. *The function $x \mapsto \mathcal{R}(x)/\mathcal{B}(x)$ strictly decreasing and concave on $(0, \infty)$ with*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{R}(x)}{\mathcal{B}(x)} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{R}(x)}{\mathcal{B}(x)} = -\infty.$$

In particular, $x \mapsto \mathcal{R}(x)/\mathcal{B}(x)$ is strictly decreasing and concave from $(0, 1)$ onto $(0, 1)$.

Theorem 6. *The function D defined by (4.2) is decreasing from $(0, \infty)$ onto $(0, 2\zeta(3))$.*

In order to prove Theorems 5 and 6, we need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2. *Let*

$$(4.3) \quad h_1(x) = x(\psi(2x) - \psi(x)) + 1.$$

Then $h_1(x)$ is positive and increasing on $(0, \infty)$.

Proof. Using the duplication formula (2.2) and integral representation (2.4) then integration by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(x) &= \frac{3}{2} + x \ln 2 - \frac{1}{2}x \left[\psi(x+1) - \psi\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{3}{2} + x \ln 2 - \frac{1}{2}x \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t/2} - e^{-t}}{1 - e^{-t}} e^{-xt} dt \\ &= \frac{3}{2} + x \ln 2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{e^{t/2} + 1} de^{-xt} \\ &= \frac{3}{2} + x \ln 2 - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{t/2}}{(1 + e^{t/2})^2} e^{-xt} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} h_1'(x) &= \ln 2 - \frac{1}{4} \int_0^\infty \frac{te^{t/2}}{(1 + e^{t/2})^2} e^{-xt} dt, \\ h_1''(x) &= \frac{1}{4} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^2 e^{t/2}}{(1 + e^{t/2})^2} e^{-xt} dt > 0 \quad \text{for } x > 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$h_1'(x) > \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} h_1'(0) = \ln 2 - \frac{1}{2} \left[\psi(1) - \psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right] = 0$$

for $x > 0$, which implies that

$$h_1(x) > \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} h_1(x) = \frac{3}{2} > 0$$

for $x > 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3. *Let*

$$(4.4) \quad h_2(x) = 2(\psi(x) + \gamma)(\psi(2x) - \psi(x)) + \psi'(x).$$

Then $h_2''(x) < 0$ for $x > 0$. Consequently, $h_2(x), h_2'(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$.

Proof. Differentiation yields

$$\begin{aligned} h_2'(x) &= 2(\psi(x) + \gamma)(2\psi'(2x) - \psi'(x)) + 2\psi'(x)(\psi(2x) - \psi(x)) + \psi''(x), \\ h_2''(x) &= 2(\psi(x) + \gamma)(4\psi''(2x) - \psi''(x)) + 4\psi'(x)(2\psi'(2x) - \psi'(x)) \\ &\quad + 2\psi''(x)(\psi(2x) - \psi(x)) + \psi'''(x). \end{aligned}$$

Denote by

$$P_0 = \psi(x+1) + \gamma, \quad P_1 = \psi'(x+1), \quad P_2 = \psi''(x+1),$$

and

$$Q_0 = \frac{1}{2}\psi(x+1) - \frac{1}{2}\psi\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad Q_1 = \frac{1}{2}\psi'(x+1) - \frac{1}{2}\psi'\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

$$Q_2 = \frac{1}{2}\psi''(x+1) - \frac{1}{2}\psi''\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Then combining (2.2) and (2.3), we can rewrite $h_2''(x)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} h_2''(x) &= 2\left(P_0 - \frac{1}{x}\right)\left(\frac{1}{x^3} - Q_2\right) + 2\left(P_2 - \frac{2}{x^3}\right)\left(\ln 2 + \frac{1}{2x} - Q_0\right) \\ &\quad + 4\left(P_1 + \frac{1}{x^2}\right)\left(-Q_1 - \frac{1}{2x^2}\right) + \psi'''(x). \end{aligned}$$

Using the recurrence formula (2.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h_2''(x+1) &= 2P_0\left(\frac{8}{(2x+1)^3} - Q_2\right) + 2P_2\left(\ln 2 - Q_0 + \frac{1}{2x+1}\right) \\ &\quad + 4P_1\left(-Q_1 - \frac{2}{(2x+1)^2}\right) + \psi'''(x+1) \end{aligned}$$

and then,

$$\begin{aligned} h_2''(x+1) - h_2''(x) &= \frac{16}{(2x+1)^3}P_0 - \frac{2}{x^3}P_0 - \frac{2}{x}Q_2 + \frac{2}{x^4} \\ &\quad + \frac{4}{x^3}\ln 2 + \frac{2}{2x+1}P_2 - \frac{1}{x}P_2 - \frac{4}{x^3}Q_0 + \frac{2}{x^4} \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{x^2}P_1 - \frac{8}{4x^2+4x+1}P_1 + \frac{4}{x^2}Q_1 + \frac{2}{x^4} - \frac{6}{x^4}, \end{aligned}$$

which, by those notations P_i and Q_i for $i = 0, 1, 2$, can be arranged as

$$\begin{aligned} h_2''(x+1) - h_2''(x) &= \frac{2}{x^3} \left(\psi \left(x + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \gamma + 2 \ln 2 \right) - \frac{2}{x^2} \psi' \left(x + \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{x} \psi'' \left(x + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{4(4x^3 + 12x^2 + 6x + 1)}{x^3(2x+1)^3} (\psi(x+1) + \gamma) \\ &\quad + \frac{4(2x^2 + 4x + 1)}{x^2(2x+1)^2} \psi'(x+1) - \frac{2(x+1)}{x(2x+1)} \psi''(x+1). \end{aligned}$$

Now let

$$\begin{aligned} (4.5) \quad h_3(x) &= x^3 [h_2''(x+1) - h_2''(x)] \\ &= 2 \left[\psi \left(x + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \gamma + 2 \ln 2 \right] - 2x \psi' \left(x + \frac{1}{2} \right) + x^2 \psi'' \left(x + \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{4(4x^3 + 12x^2 + 6x + 1)}{(2x+1)^3} (\psi(x+1) + \gamma) \\ &\quad + \frac{4x(2x^2 + 4x + 1)}{(2x+1)^2} \psi'(x+1) - \frac{2x^2(x+1)}{2x+1} \psi''(x+1). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$h_3(0^+) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} h_3(x) = 0, \quad h_3(\infty) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} h_3(x) = 4 \ln 2,$$

and by differentiation

$$\begin{aligned} (4.6) \quad h_3'(x) &= x^2 \psi''' \left(x + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{48x^2}{(2x+1)^4} (\psi(x+1) + \gamma) \\ &\quad - \frac{24x^2}{(2x+1)^3} \psi'(x+1) + \frac{6x^2}{(2x+1)^2} \psi''(x+1) \\ &\quad - \frac{2x^2(x+1)}{(2x+1)} \psi'''(x+1) \\ &:= \frac{x^2}{(2x+1)^4} h_4(x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} h_4(x) &= (2x+1)^4 \psi''' \left(x + \frac{1}{2} \right) + 48 (\psi(x+1) + \gamma) \\ &\quad - 24(2x+1) \psi'(x+1) + 6(2x+1)^2 \psi''(x+1) \\ &\quad - 2(x+1)(2x+1)^3 \psi'''(x+1), \\ h_4(0^+) &= \frac{13}{15} \pi^4 - 4\pi^2 - 12\zeta(3) = 30.518 \dots, \quad h_4(\infty) = \infty, \\ (4.7) \quad \frac{1}{(2x+1)^4} h_4'(x) &= \frac{8}{2x+1} \psi''' \left(x + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \psi^{(4)} \left(x + \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{8}{2x+1} \psi'''(x+1) - \frac{2(x+1)}{(2x+1)} \psi^{(4)}(x+1) \\ &:= h_5(x), \\ h_5(0^+) &= \frac{112}{15} \pi^4 - 696\zeta(5) = 5.619 \dots > 0, \quad h_5(\infty) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.8) \quad & \frac{1}{2} (2x+3)(2x+1) [h_5(x+1) - h_5(x)] \\
 & = 8\psi'''(x+1) + \psi^{(4)}(x+1) - 8\psi''' \left(x + \frac{3}{2} \right) - \frac{24(2x+1)}{(x+1)^5} \\
 & := h_6(x),
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.9) \quad h_6(x+1) - h_6(x) = \frac{24(80x^4 + 560x^3 + 1480x^2 + 1750x + 781)}{(2x+3)^4(x+2)^5} > 0$$

for $x > 0$.

Equation (4.9) implies that

$$h_6(x) < h_6(x+1) < \cdots < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_6(x+n) = 0$$

for $x > 0$. By (4.8), it yields that $h_5(x+1) - h_5(x) < 0$ for $x > 0$, and so

$$h_5(x) > h_5(x+1) > \cdots > \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_5(x+n) = 0$$

for $x > 0$. This in combination with (4.7) leads to that $h_4'(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$, and therefore,

$$h_4(x) > h_4(0^+) = \frac{13}{15}\pi^4 - 4\pi^2 - 12\zeta(3) > 0 \text{ for } x > 0.$$

From (4.6) it is seen that $h_3'(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$, which together with $h_3(0^+) = 0$ indicates that $h_3(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$. By the relation (4.5), it is found that $h_2''(x+1) - h_2''(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$, and it follows that

$$h_2''(x) < h_2''(x+1) < \cdots < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_2''(x+n) = 0$$

for $x > 0$. This yields

$$h_2'(x) > \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} h_2'(x) = 0 \text{ for } x > 0,$$

which, together with

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_2(0^+) & = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \left[2 \left(\psi(x+1) + \gamma - \frac{1}{x} \right) \left(\psi(2x+1) - \psi(x+1) + \frac{1}{2x} \right) + \psi'(x+1) + \frac{1}{x^2} \right] \\
 & = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \left[\psi'(x+1) + \frac{\psi(x+1) + \gamma}{x} + \frac{2(\psi(2x+1) - \psi(x+1))}{x} \right] \\
 & = \psi'(1) + \psi'(1) - 2\psi'(1) = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

in turn leads to $h_2(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$, thereby completing the proof. \square

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 5.

Proof of Theorem 5. Differentiation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left[\frac{\mathcal{R}(x)}{\mathcal{B}(x)} \right]' & = -2 \frac{\Gamma(2x)}{\Gamma(x)^2} h_2(x), \\
 \left[\frac{\mathcal{R}(x)}{\mathcal{B}(x)} \right]'' & = -2 \left[\frac{\Gamma(2x)}{\Gamma(x)^2} \right]' h_2(x) - 2 \frac{\Gamma(2x)}{\Gamma(x)^2} h_2'(x), \\
 \left[\frac{\Gamma(2x)}{\Gamma(x)^2} \right]' & = 2 \frac{\Gamma(2x)}{\Gamma(x)^2} (\psi(2x) - \psi(x)) > 0 \text{ for } x > 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $h_2(x)$ is defined by (4.4). By Lemma 3, $h_2(x), h_2'(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$. Then $(\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{B})', (\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{B})'' < 0$ for $x > 0$. Clearly, $\lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \mathcal{R}(x)/\mathcal{B}(x) = 0$, and an easy computation gives

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{R}(x)}{\mathcal{B}(x)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{x(-\psi(x) - \gamma)}{\Gamma(x+1)^2/\Gamma(2x+1)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} x(-\psi(x) - \gamma) = 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{R}(x)}{\mathcal{B}(x)} &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Gamma(2x)}{\Gamma(x)^2} (-2\psi(x) - 2\gamma) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}e^{-2x}(2x)^{2x-1/2}(-2\psi(x) - 2\gamma)}{2\pi e^{-2x}x^{2x-1}} \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{2x-1/2}\sqrt{x}(-2\psi(x) - 2\gamma)}{\sqrt{2\pi}} = -\infty, \end{aligned}$$

thereby completing the proof. \square

Lastly, we prove Theorem 6.

Proof of Theorem 6. Let

$$f(x) = 1 - (-2\psi(x) - 2\gamma) \frac{\Gamma(2x)}{\Gamma(x)^2} \quad \text{and} \quad g(x) = x^2 \frac{\Gamma(2x)}{\Gamma(x)^2}.$$

Then $D(x) = f(x)/g(x)$ with $f(0^+) = g(0^+) = 0$. Differentiation yields

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) &= 2 \frac{\Gamma(2x)}{\Gamma(x)^2} [2(\psi(x) + \gamma)(\psi(2x) - \psi(x)) + \psi'(x)] = 2 \frac{\Gamma(2x)}{\Gamma(x)^2} h_2(x), \\ g'(x) &= 2 \frac{\Gamma(2x)}{\Gamma(x)^2} x [x(\psi(2x) - \psi(x)) + 1] = 2 \frac{\Gamma(2x)}{\Gamma(x)^2} x h_1(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $h_1(x)$ and $h_2(x)$ are defined by (4.3) and (4.4), respectively. Then

$$\frac{f'(x)}{g'(x)} = \frac{1}{h_1(x)} \times \frac{h_2(x)}{x}.$$

It has been proved in Lemma 2 that $h_1(x)$ is positive and increasing on $(0, \infty)$. Hence, $1/h_1(x)$ is positive and decreasing on $(0, \infty)$. Since $h_2(0^+) = 0$ and $h_2''(x) < 0$ for $x > 0$ by Lemma 3, it follows from Lemma 1 together with the limiting value $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} h_2(x)/x = 0$ that the function $h_2(x)/x$ is also positive and decreasing on $(0, \infty)$. Then $f'(x)/g'(x)$ is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$. Applying Lemma 1 again, the decreasing property of $D(x)$ on $(0, \infty)$ follows immediately. This completes the proof. \square

5. AN AFFIRMATIVE ANSWER TO CONJECTURE 1

In this section, we give an answer to Conjecture 1 made by Qiu, Ma and Huang in [17].

Theorem 7. *Let $R(x) = R(x, 1-x)$ and $B(x) = B(x, 1-x)$. The function*

$$x \mapsto F(x) = R(x) - \frac{B(x)}{1+x(1-x)}$$

is completely monotonic on $(0, 1/2)$.

Proof. It was proved in [17, Lemma 2.2] that

$$\begin{aligned} R(x) &= \ln 16 + 4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{2n} \lambda (2n+1) \left(\frac{1}{2} - x\right)^{2n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n t^{2n}, \\ B(x) &= 4 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{2n} \beta (2n+1) \left(\frac{1}{2} - x\right)^{2n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_n t^{2n}, \end{aligned}$$

with $t = 1/2 - x$, $u_0 = \ln 16$ and

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &= 2^{2n+2} \lambda(2n+1) \quad \text{for } n \geq 1, \\ v_n &= 2^{2n+2} \beta(2n+1) \quad \text{for } n \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\lambda(2n+1)$ and $\beta(2n+1)$ are given by (2.13) and (2.14), respectively. Using the formulas given in (2.13) and (2.14), u_n and v_n can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &= 2(2^{2n+1} - 1) \zeta(2n+1) \quad \text{for } n \geq 1, \\ v_n &= \frac{\pi^{2n+1}}{(2n)!} |E_{2n}| \quad \text{for } n \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $1 + x(1-x) = 5/4 - t^2$ and using the Cauchy product formula, we get

$$\frac{B(x)}{1+x(1-x)} = \frac{4 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} v_n t^{2n}}{5(1-4t^2/5)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{4}{5} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{4}{5} \right)^{n-k} v_k \right) t^{2n},$$

and thereby

$$F(x) = R(x) - \frac{B(x)}{1+x(1-x)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n t^{2n},$$

where

$$s_n = u_n - \left(\frac{4}{5} \right)^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{5}{4} \right)^k v_k.$$

In what follows, it is enough to show that $s_n \geq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, since $t^{2n} = (1/2 - x)^{2n}$ is completely monotonic in x on $(0, 1/2)$. In fact, on one hand, a simple verification gives

$$s_0 = u_0 - \frac{4}{5} v_0 = \ln 16 - \frac{4}{5} \pi E_0 = \ln 16 - \frac{4}{5} \pi = 0.259\dots > 0,$$

and on the other hand, applying the second inequality of (2.12) to v_k , we obtain

$$v_k = \frac{\pi^{2k+1}}{(2k)!} |E_{2k}| < 4^{k+1} \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

and hence, for $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} s_n &= u_n - \left(\frac{4}{5} \right)^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{5}{4} \right)^k v_k > u_n - \left(\frac{4}{5} \right)^{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{5}{4} \right)^k 4^{k+1} \\ &= 2^{2n+2} (1 - 2^{-2n-1}) \zeta(2n+1) - 2^{2n+2} (1 - 5^{-n-1}) \\ &> 2^{2n+2} - 2^{2n+2} (1 - 5^{-n-1}) = 2^{2n+2} 5^{-n-1} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The last second inequality follows from the known functional inequality $(1 - 2^{-x}) \zeta(x) > 1$ for $x > 1$. This completes the proof. \square

6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper, we presented several expansions and higher order monotonicity properties involving the functions

$$\mathcal{B}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(x)^2}{\Gamma(2x)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}(x) = -2\psi(x) - 2\gamma;$$

we also found that the function $x \mapsto \mathcal{R}(x)/\mathcal{B}(x)$ is decreasing and concave on $(0, \infty)$, while $x \mapsto (\mathcal{B}(x) - \mathcal{R}(x))/x^2$ is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$; Moreover, we gave an affirmative answer to Conjecture 1.

Finally, we present several remarks.

Remark 3. In Section 3, we do not present asymptotic expansions of $\mathcal{B}(x)$. In fact, by (3.2) and [22, Theorem 1], we have

$$\ln \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{\pi}} 2^{2x-1} \mathcal{B}(x) \right) = \ln \frac{\Gamma(x+1)}{\Gamma(x+1/2)} \sim \frac{1}{2} \ln x + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(1-2^{-2k}) B_{2k}}{k(2k-1)} \frac{1}{x^{2k-1}}$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$, and the function

$$x \mapsto (-1)^n \left[\ln \mathcal{B}(x) - \frac{1}{2} \ln \pi + (2x-1) \ln 2 + \frac{1}{2} \ln x - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(1-2^{-2k}) B_{2k}}{k(2k-1)} \frac{1}{x^{2k-1}} \right]$$

is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. Using [23, Corollary 5], we have

$$\ln \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{\pi}} 2^{2x-1} \mathcal{B}(x) \right) \sim \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(x + \frac{1}{4} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{B_{2k+1}(1/4)}{k(2k+1)(x+1/4)^{2k}}$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$, and the function

$$x \mapsto (-1)^n \left[\begin{array}{c} \ln \mathcal{B}(x) - \frac{1}{2} \ln \pi + (2x-1) \ln 2 + \ln x \\ - \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(x + \frac{1}{4} \right) - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{B_{2k+1}(1/4)}{k(2k+1)(x+1/4)^{2k}} \end{array} \right]$$

is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

Remark 4. The reciprocal of $\mathcal{B}(x)$ has a hypergeometric series representation in [24, Remark 6] that

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(x)} = \frac{\Gamma(2x)}{\Gamma(x)^2} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)_k^2}{k!(k-1)!}.$$

Remark 5. From those higher order monotonicity results given in this paper, we can deduce some new inequalities for $\mathcal{B}(x)$ and $\mathcal{R}(x)$. For instance,

(i) by Theorem 1 (iii), the double inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{x} \exp \left[\sum_{k=2}^{2n} (-1)^{k-1} (2^k - 2) k^{-1} \zeta(k) x^k \right] \\ < \mathcal{B}(x) < \frac{2}{x} \exp \left[\sum_{k=2}^{2n+1} (-1)^{k-1} (2^k - 2) k^{-1} \zeta(k) x^k \right] \end{aligned}$$

holds for $x > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$;

(ii) by the decreasing property of $\mathcal{B}r_1(x)$ defined by (3.4), we have

$$2^{1-2x} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{W_k}{x+k} < \mathcal{B}(x) < 2^{1-2x} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{W_k}{x+k} + \lambda_n 2^{1-2x}$$

for $x > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\lambda_n = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (W_k/k)$;

(iii) by the complete monotonicity of $\mathcal{R}r_n(x)$ defined by (3.9), the double inequality

$$\frac{2}{x} + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{2n-1} (-1)^k \zeta(k+1) x^k < \mathcal{R}(x) < \frac{2}{x} + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{2n} (-1)^k \zeta(k+1) x^k$$

for $x > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$;

(iv) using the decreasing and concave property of $x \mapsto \mathcal{R}(x)/\mathcal{B}(x)$ on $(0, 1)$ (given in Theorem 5), we have

$$1 - x < \frac{\mathcal{R}(x)}{\mathcal{B}(x)} < 1 \quad \text{for } x \in (0, 1);$$

(v) by an application of the decreasing property of $x \mapsto (\mathcal{B}(x) - \mathcal{R}(x)) / x^2$ shown in Theorems 6, we have

$$4(\pi - 4 \ln 2) x^2 < \mathcal{B}(x) - \mathcal{R}(x) < 2\zeta(3) x^2$$

for $x \in (0, 1/2)$.

Remark 6. Some power and hypergeometric series representations given in Section 3 imply certain identities. For example, putting $x \rightarrow 1/2$ in (3.1) gives

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{(1 - 2^{1-n}) \zeta(n)}{n} = \ln \frac{4}{\pi};$$

letting $x \rightarrow 1/2$ in (3.8) gives

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} 2^{-n} \zeta(n+1) = 2 - \ln 4.$$

Remark 7. An interesting infinite series appeared in Theorem 2, that is,

$$(6.1) \quad \mathcal{H}(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{W_n}{n^s}.$$

By the inequalities (2.8), the series $\mathcal{H}(s)$ is convergent for $s > 1/2$. From the proof of Theorem 2 or Remark 2, we see that

$$\frac{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(x)}{\Gamma(x+1/2)} - \frac{1}{x} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{W_n}{x+n},$$

which, by letting $x \rightarrow 0^+$, gives

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{W_n}{n} = \ln 4.$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{W_n}{n^2} = - \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(x)}{\Gamma(x+1/2)} - \frac{1}{x} \right) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} - 2(\ln 2)^2.$$

In general, for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{W_n}{n^{k+1}} = \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(x)}{\Gamma(x+1/2)} - \frac{1}{x} \right).$$

Further, we propose the following problem.

Problem 1. Discuss the properties of $\mathcal{H}(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (W_n/n^s)$ and compute $\mathcal{H}(s)$ for certain special $s > 1/2$.

REFERENCES

[1] B. C. Berndt, *Ramanujan's notebooks, Part II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1989.
 [2] M.-K. Wang, Y.-M. Chu, S.-L. Qiu, *Sharp bounds for generalized elliptic integrals of the first kind*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **429**(2015), no.2, 744–757. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2015.04.035>
 [3] Z.-H. Yang, Y.-M. Chu, *A monotonicity property involving the generalized elliptic integral of the first kind*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **20**(2017), no.3, 729–735. doi: 10.7153/mia-2017-20-46
 [4] R. J. Evans, D. Stanton, *Asymptotic formulas for zero-balanced hypergeometric Series*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **15**(1984), no. 5, 1010-1020. <https://doi.org/10.1137/0515078>
 [5] S. Ponnusamy, M. Vuorinen, *Asymptotic expansions and inequalities for hypergeometric functions*, Mathematika **44**(1997), no.2, 278–301. <https://doi.org/10.1112/S0025579300012602>
 [6] S.-L. Qiu, M. Vuorinen, *Landen inequalities for hypergeometric functions*, Nagoya Math. J. **154**(1999), 31–56. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0027763000025290>

- [7] S.-L. Qiu, M. Vuorinen, *Duplication inequalities for the ratios of hypergeometric functions*, Forum Math. **12**(2000), no. 1, 109–123. <https://doi.org/10.1515/form.1999.025>
- [8] V. Heikkala, H. Lindén, M. K. Vamanamurthy, M. Vuorinen, *Generalized elliptic integrals and the Legendre M -function*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **338**(2008), no. 1, 223–243. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2007.05.020>
- [9] V. Heikkala, M. K. Vamanamurthy, M. Vuorinen, *Generalized elliptic integrals*, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory **9**(2009), no. 1, 75–109. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03321716>
- [10] M.-K. Wang, Y.-M. Chu, W. Zhang, *Monotonicity and inequalities involving zero-balanced hypergeometric functions*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **22**(2019), no. 2, 601–617. doi:10.7153/mia-2019-22-42
- [11] Z.-H. Yang, J. Tian, *Sharp inequalities for the generalized elliptic integrals of the first kind*, Ramanujan J. **48**(2019), no.1, 91–116. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11139-018-0061-4>
- [12] M.-K. Wang, W. Zhang, Y.-M. Chu, *Monotonicity, convexity and inequalities involving the generalized elliptic integrals*, Acta Math. Sci. **39B**(2019), no. 5, 1440–1450. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10473-019-0520-z>
- [13] S.-L. Qiu, *Grötzsch ring and Ramanujan's modular equations*, Acta Math. Sin. **43**(2000), no. 2, 283–290. <https://doi.org/10.12386/A2000sxxb0039>
- [14] S.-L. Qiu, B.-P. Feng, *Some properties of the Ramanujan constant*, J. Hangzhou Dianzi Univ. **27**(2007), no. 3, 88–91. (in Chinese)
- [15] P.-G. Zhou, S.-L. Qiu, G.-Y. Tu, Y.-L. Li, *Some properties of the Ramanujan constant*, J. Zhejiang Sci. Technol. Univ. **27**(2010), no. 5, 835–841.
- [16] H.-H. Chu, Z.-H. Yang, W. Zhang, Y.-M. Chu, *Improvements of the bounds for Ramanujan constant function*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2016**(2016), Article no. 196, 9 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-016-1140-y>
- [17] S.-L. Qiu, X.-Y. Ma, T. R. Huang, *Sharp approximations for the Ramanujan constant*, Constr. Approx. **51**(2020), no. 2, 303–330. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00365-019-09464-3>
- [18] S.-L. Qiu, X.-Y. Ma, T.-R. Huang, *Some properties of the difference between the Ramanujan constant and beta function*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **446**(2017), no.1, 114–129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2016.08.043>
- [19] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun (Eds), *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, National Bureau of Standards, Applied Mathematics Series 55, 9th printing, Washington, 1970.
- [20] C.-P. Chen, F. Qi, *The best bounds in Wallis' inequality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133**(2005), no.2, 397–401. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-04-07499-4>
- [21] M. K. Vamanamurthy, M. Vuorinen, *Inequalities for means*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **183**(1994), no. 1, 155–166. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1994.113>
- [22] C.-P. Chen, R. B. Paris, *Inequalities, asymptotic expansions and completely monotonic functions related to the gamma function*, Appl. Math. Comput. **250**(2015), 514–529. DOI: 10.1016/j.amc.2014.11.010.
- [23] Z.-H. Yang, J.-F. Tian, M.-H. Ha, *A new asymptotic expansion of a ratio of two gamma functions and complete monotonicity for its remainder*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **148**(2020), no. 5, 2163–2178. <https://doi.org/10.1090/proc/14917>
- [24] J.-F. Tian, Z. Yang, *Asymptotic expansions of Gurland's ratio and sharp bounds for their remainders*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **493**(2021), no. 2, Article no. 124545, 19 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2020.124545>

ZHEN-HANG YANG, STATE GRID ZHEJIANG ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY RESEARCH INSTITUTE, HANGZHOU, ZHEJIANG, CHINA, 310014

Email address: yzhkm@163.com

URL: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2719-4728>

MIAO-KUN WANG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HUZHOU UNIVERSITY, HUZHOU, ZHEJIANG, CHINA, 313000

Email address: wmk000@126.com

URL: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0895-7128>

TIE-HONG ZHAO, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, HANGZHOU NORMAL UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU, ZHEJIANG, CHINA, 311121

Email address: tiehong.zhao@hznu.edu.cn

URL: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6394-1094>