PARALLEL CHIP-FIRING GAMES ON DIRECTED GRAPHS

DAVID JI, MICHAEL LI, AND DANIEL WANG

Abstract. In 1992, Bitar and Goles introduced the parallel chip-firing game on undirected graphs. Two years later, Prisner extended the game to directed graphs. While the properties of parallel chip-firing games on undirected graphs have been extensively studied, their analogs for parallel chip-firing games on directed graphs have been sporadic. In this paper, we prove the outstanding analogs of the core results of parallel chip-firing games on undirected graphs for those on directed graphs. We find the possible periods of a parallel chip-firing game on a directed simple cycle and use Gauss-Jordan Elimination on a Laplacian-like matrix to establish a lower bound on the maximum period of a parallel chipfiring game on a directed complete graph and a directed complete bipartite graph. Finally, we use the method of motors by Jiang, Scully, and Zhang to show that a binary string s can be the atomic firing sequence of a vertex in a parallel chip-firing game on a strongly connected directed graph if and only if s contains 1 or $s = 0$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The parallel chip-firing game is an automaton on a finite, connected graph $G(V, E)$ that was first introduced by Bitar and Goles [\[1\]](#page-15-0) in 1992. A parallel chip-firing game begins with some *chips* distributed among each $v \in V$. On each subsequent round, all vertices with at least as many chips as neighbors simultaneously fire one chip to each of its neighbors. All other vertices wait. As observed by Bitar and Goles [\[1\]](#page-15-0), all parallel chip-firing games are periodic with some minimal period length T , because the quantity of chips and the number of vertices in any parallel chip-firing game are fixed.

The parallel chip-firing game on undirected graphs has been extensively studied for trees [\[1](#page-15-0)], simple cycles [\[4\]](#page-15-1), complete graphs [\[10](#page-16-0)], and complete bipartite graphs [\[6\]](#page-16-1). See Table [1](#page-3-0) for the possible period lengths of parallel chip-firing games on these graphs. For general graphs, Kominers and Kominers [\[9\]](#page-16-2) showed that $T = 1$ for all parallel chip-firing games with enough chips. Later, Bu, Choi, and Xu [\[2\]](#page-15-2) made this range of chips exact. Furthermore, Kiwi et al. $[8]$ showed that T cannot be bounded by a polynomial in $|V|$. Lastly, Scully, Jiang, and Zhang [\[7\]](#page-16-4) distinguished the possible *atomic firing sequences* — length-T binary strings that indicate the rounds in which a vertex fires within a periodic sequence — of a vertex in a parallel chip-firing game.

In 1994, Prisner [\[12](#page-16-5)] extended the parallel chip-firing game to directed graphs. Prisner [\[12\]](#page-16-5) found that $T = 1$ for all games on acyclic directed graphs and that there exists no polynomial bound on $|V|$ for the period of a parallel chip-firing game on a directed graph. Later, Goles and Prisner [\[5\]](#page-16-6) found that $T \leq |V|$ for all parallel chip-firing games on orientations of $\overline{K_n} * H$, where $\overline{K_n}$ is an empty graph, * denotes the graph join operation, and H is an arbitrary graph. Finally, Ndoundam, Tchuente, and Tadonki [\[11\]](#page-16-7) characterized the possible periods on orientations of the n-cube graph.

In this paper, we prove the analogs of results of the parallel chip-firing game on undirected graphs for those on directed graphs. In Section [2,](#page-1-0) we establish preliminaries. In Section [3,](#page-2-0) we characterize the possible periods of parallel chip-firing games on certain classes of directed graphs. In addition, we make an intriguing observation that while there always exists a parallel chip-firing game with $T = 2$ on any undirected graph, the same is not true for any orientation of complete directed graphs with at most four vertices. In Section [4,](#page-10-0) we prove that a binary string s can be the atomic firing sequence of a vertex in a parallel chip-firing game on a strongly connected directed graph if and only if s contains 1 or $s = 0$. Finally, in Section [5,](#page-14-0) we present unsolved conjectures and areas for future study.

2. Preliminaries

We define a *directed graph* $D = (V, E)$ as a set of vertices V and a set of ordered pairs of vertices (edges) E. The edge (u, v) for $u, v \in V$ is said to be directed from u to v. We define an *orientation* of an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ to be a directed graph $D = (V, E')$ such that $(u, v) \in E'$ or $(v, u) \in E'$ if and only if there exists an edge between u and v in G. The in-degree of a vertex v is denoted deg⁻ v and is the number of edges directed from another vertex to v. The *out-degree* of a vertex v is denoted $\deg^+ v$ and is the number of edges directed from v to another vertex. In the directed graph in Figure [1,](#page-1-1) $\text{deg}^+ v_3 = 2$ and $\deg^{-} v_3 = 1$. The *degree* of a vertex v is denoted deg v and is the total number of edges including v. In Figure [1,](#page-1-1) deg $v_3 = 3$. The *distance* between two vertices u and v is the length of the shortest path from u to v and is denoted $d(u, v)$. In the undirected graph in Figure [1,](#page-1-1) $d(v_1, v_4) = 2$. In the directed graph, $d(v_1, v_4) = 3$.

FIGURE 1. An undirected graph G (left) and an orientation of G .

Bitar and Goles [\[1\]](#page-15-0) noted that the fixed numbers of chips and vertices make every parallel chip-firing game on a finite undirected graph periodic. Prisner [\[12](#page-16-5)] noted that the same is true for parallel chip-firing games on finite directed graphs. We say that a parallel chip-firing game on a directed graph has period T if T is the smallest integer for which there exists a round t such that every vertex has the same number of chips as in round $t + T$. We denote the first such t as round 0. Let $c_t(v)$ be the number of chips on a vertex v at the beginning of round t. Let $F_t(v)$ be 1 if v fires on round t and 0 if it does not. Let $f_v = \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} F_t(v)$ be the total number of times v fires in the first T rounds.

We say that a directed graph $G = (V, E)$ is *strongly connected* if there exists a path in both directions between every pair of vertices $v, u \in V$. A strongly connected component of G is a subgraph of G that is strongly connected and maximal: no more vertices or edges may be included while keeping the subgraph strongly connected. We let the condensation of a directed graph D be a directed graph with all strongly connected components of D as vertices. Borrowing notation from Prisner [\[12\]](#page-16-5), we define a *sink component* as a strong component that forms a sink in the condensation of D . In Figure [2,](#page-2-1) SCC3 is a sink component. We say that a vertex is forever passive if it does not fire in all future states.

Prisner [\[12\]](#page-16-5) found that only nonsink components are relevant to the behavior of parallel chip-firing games on a directed graph.

Figure 2. A directed graph (left) with strongly connected components boxed and its condensation (right).

Proposition 2.1 ([\[12](#page-16-5), Proposition 2.2]). In every round $t \geq 0$ in a parallel chip-firing game on D, all vertices in nonsink components are forever passive.

By Proposition [2.1,](#page-1-2) in any parallel chip-firing game on a directed graph with multiple sink components, the number of chips in each sink component is eventually fixed. The game can thus be considered a superposition of independent parallel chip-firing games on each sink component. In this paper, we will therefore assume that all graphs are strongly connected (i.e. the only sink component of a graph G is G itself) unless otherwise stated. We first prove that no vertices are forever passive in any parallel chip-firing game on a strongly connected directed graph.

Lemma 2.2. In any parallel chip-firing game on a strongly connected directed graph G with $T > 1$, no vertices are forever passive.

Proof. As $T > 1$, at least one vertex v' must fire in each period. Since $c_0(v) = c_T(v)$ for all $v \in V$, each of the vertices which v' fires to must also fire in each period. Similarly, all the vertices that are distance 2 from v' must fire in each period. In general, every vertex u for which there exists a directed path from v' to u must fire in each period. Since D is strongly connected, such a path exists to any vertex $u \in V$. Thus, all vertices fire at least once in each period.

3. Period Lengths of Parallel Chip-Firing Games

3.1. Trees. The possible periods of parallel chip-firing games on directed trees were identified by Prisner [\[12\]](#page-16-5). We begin by citing his result.

Proposition 3.1 ([\[12,](#page-16-5) Corollary 2.3]). $T = 1$ for all parallel chip-firing games on directed acyclic graphs.

For completeness, we state the following direct corollaries of Proposition [3.1.](#page-2-2)

Corollary 3.2. $T = 1$ for all parallel chip-firing games on directed paths.

Corollary 3.3. $T = 1$ for all parallel chip-firing games on directed non-path trees.

3.2. Cycles. Consider the simple cycle C_n . We label the vertices of the cycle $v_0, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n-1}$ such that there exists an edge directed from v_{i+1} to v_i for all $0 \leq i \leq n-2$ and an edge directed from v_0 to v_{n-1} . The indices are taken modulo n. We first show that if all vertices start with at most 1 chip, every vertex always has at most 1 chip.

Lemma 3.4. If $c_0(v) \leq 1$ for all v, then $c_t(v) \leq 1$ for all t.

G(V, E)	Parallel undirected	Limited increment parallel undirected	Parallel directed
Path P_n	$T=1, 2; [1]$	$T=1, 2; [3]$	$T = 1$; [12]
Non-path tree	$T=1, 2; [1]$	$T = 1$; [1]	$T = 1$; [12]
Simple cycle C_n	$T=2$ or $T=$ i for all $i n;$ $\vert 4 \vert$	$=$ i for all T $i n$ excluding $i =$ 2 when $n \equiv 2$ $\pmod{4}$; [3]	$T = i$ for all $i n$; Theo- $rem\,3.5$
Complete K_n	$T = i$ for all $i \leq n$; [10]	$T = 1$ or n; [3]	Maximum possible T grows with at least $n!$; Theorem 3.10
Complete bipartite $K_{m,n}$	$T = i$ or $2i$ for all $i \leq$ $\min(m, n);$ [6]	$T = 1$ if $m \neq n$ and $T = 1, n$, or 2 <i>n</i> if $m = n$; [3]	Maximum possible T grows with at least $\min(m, n)!$; Theo- $rem\,3.15$

TABLE 1. Possible period lengths T on special classes of $G(V, E)$

Proof. We proceed by induction. Clearly, the statement is true for $t = 0$. We take as our inductive hypothesis that $c_t(v) \leq 1$ for all v. Suppose some vertex v satisfies $c_{t+1}(v) \geq 2$. Since deg^{- $v = 1$}, we must have $c_t(v) \geq 1$. Then $c_t(v) = F_t(v) = 1$. By the definition of the parallel chip-firing game, $c_{t+1}(v) \leq c_t(v) - F_t(v) \deg^+ v + \deg^- v \leq 1$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $c_{t+1}(v) \leq 1$ for all v. By induction, $c_t(v) \leq 1$ for all v and all t.

Lemma [3.4](#page-2-3) allows us to claim that $c_t(v) = F_t(v)$, since v fires on round t if and only if $c_t(v) = 1$. We are now ready to prove the main theorem about directed cycles.

Theorem 3.5. The possible periods T of a parallel chip-firing game on a directed cycle are $T = i$ for all i such that $i | n$.

Proof. If $F_t(v_i) = 1$, then v_{i-1} has at least one chip after round t, so $F_{t+1}(v_{i-1}) = 1$. Thus, if $F_t(v) = 1$, then $F_{t+n}(v) = 1$.

Assume for the sake of contradiction that there exists some vertex u and some time $t \geq 0$ such that $F_t(u) \neq F_{t+n}(u)$. Since $F_t(v) = 1$ implies $F_{t+n}(v) = 1$, we must have $F_t(u) = 0$ and $F_{t+n}(u) = 1$.

Let the game have period T. If $F_{t+n}(u) = 1$, then $F_{t+n}(u) = 1$. However, if $F_{t+n}(u) \neq 0$ $F_t(u)$, then T cannot be the period. By contradiction, we have that $F_t(v) = F_{t+n}(v)$ for all v and t. By Lemma [3.4,](#page-2-3) $c_t(v) = c_{t+n}(v)$ for all v and t. Thus, the period T of a parallel chip-firing game on a directed cycle must satisfy $T \mid n$.

To construct a game with period $T = i$ for $i | n$, place a single chip on each vertex v_i that satisfies $j \equiv 0 \pmod{i}$. On turn t, there will be a chip on each vertex v_j that satisfies $j \equiv t$ (mod *i*). The smallest T for which $c_v(t) = c_v(t + T)$ is therefore $T = i$.

3.3. Complete Graphs. We first note as a surprising aside that while there exists a parallel chip-firing game on any undirected graph with $T = 2$, there can be no orientations of a graph that allow for a parallel chip-firing game with $T = 2$. We begin by citing a lemma from Jiang $|6|$.

Lemma 3.6 ([\[6](#page-16-1), Proposition 2.5]). For any parallel chip-firing game on an undirected graph, $c_T(v) = c_0(v)$ for all $v \in V$ if and only if $\sum_{t=0}^{T} F_t(v)$ is constant for all $v \in V$.

In Lemma [A1,](#page-15-4) we show that there exists a parallel chip-firing game on any undirected graph G such that every vertex fires exactly once in the first 2 rounds. However, parallel chip-firing games on directed graphs behave differently, as demonstrated by the following lemma.

Lemma 3.7. No parallel chip-firing games on any orientation of K_n with $n \leq 4$ have $T = 2$.

Proof. Note that K_2 is a path, and K_3 a cycle. For these cases, see Corollary [3.2](#page-2-4) and Theorem [3.5,](#page-3-1) respectively. Then suppose that there exists a game on $G = (V, E)$, some orientation of K_4 , with period 2. Recall that because G must be strongly connected, each vertex v in G must satisfy $\deg^+ v > 0$ and $\deg^- v > 0$. Also, by Lemma [2.2,](#page-2-5) each vertex must fire at least once in each period.

Since deg $v = 3$, v must be one of two types: deg⁻ $v = 1$ and deg⁺ $v = 2$, or deg⁻ $v = 2$ and deg⁺ $v = 1$. Additionally, since $\sum_{v \in V} \deg^{-} v = \sum_{v \in V} \deg^{+} v$, there must be two vertices of each type. Let the two vertices with one out-edge be v_1 and v_2 , and let the other two vertices be u_1 and u_2 .

Note that there must exist an edge between v_1 and v_2 , and that regardless of its direction, one of the two vertices must view it as its single out-edge. Thus, there is only one edge directed from v_1 or v_2 to u_1 or u_2 . Without loss of generality, let it be directed from v_1 to u_1 . On the other hand, there are three edges directed from u_1 or u_2 to v_1 or v_2 .

Since $T = 2$, we must have $f_{v_1} \leq 2$. However, $f_{u_1} \geq 1$ and $f_{u_2} \geq 1$. Then there can be at most two chips that travel from a vertex in $V = \{v_1, v_2\}$ to one in $U = \{u_1, u_2\}$, but at least three chips that travel from a vertex in U to one in V . Thus, it is impossible for every vertex to have the same number of chips after 2 rounds, so there are no games on G with $T = 2.$

Next, let $\tau(n)$ be the maximum possible period of a parallel chip-firing game on an orientation of K_n . We show that $\tau(n)$ is at least asymptotic to n!. We conjecture that $\tau(n)$ is indeed asymptotic to n!

Conjecture 3.8. It holds that $\tau(n) \sim n!$.

We define the following orientation of K_n with vertices v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n to be a useful orientation.

- There exist edges directed from v_i to v_{i+1} for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, and there exists an edge directed from v_n to v_1 .
- There exist edges directed from v_1 to every other vertex except v_n .
- There exist edges directed from v_j to all vertices v_k with $1 < k \leq j 2$.

See Figure [3](#page-5-1) for a diagram of a useful orientation of K_5 .

FIGURE 3. A useful orientation of K_5 .

The following lemma shows that vertex 3 fires the most in all parallel chip-firing games on K_n with $n \geq 4$.

Lemma 3.9. For any $n \geq 4$, all parallel chip-firing games on K_n satisfy $f_3 \geq f_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. Since $\deg^+ v_3 = 1$, it must fire once in each period for every chip received in that period. Note that v_3 receives chips from all other vertices except v_4 . Thus, $f_3 \ge f_i$ for all $i \neq 4$. Also note that deg⁺ $v_2 = 1$, and that v_2 receives chips from v_4 . Then $f_2 \geq f_4$. Since $f_3 \ge f_2$, we have $f_3 \ge f_4$.

Let the *convergent period* $T_c(G)$ of a graph G be min(T) over all parallel chip-firing games on G with at least c chips. Note that $T_c(G) = 1$ for any undirected graph G [\[2](#page-15-2)]. We will show that the same does not hold for directed graphs.

Theorem 3.10. For a useful orientation of K_n , the expression $\lim_{c\to\infty}T_c(K_n)$ increases at least factorially with n.

Proof. Since the number of chips on each vertex does not change after a full period, the following equations must hold.

(3.1)
$$
f_n = (n-2)f_1
$$

$$
f_1 + f_4 + \ldots + f_n = f_2
$$

$$
f_1 + f_{j-1} + f_{j+2} + f_{j+3} + \ldots + f_n = (j-2)f_j \quad \forall j: 3 \le j \le n-2
$$

$$
f_1 + f_{n-2} = (n-3)f_{n-1}
$$

$$
f_{n-1} = (n-2)f_n
$$

Since the total number of chips in the game is fixed, System [3.1](#page-5-2) cannot be independent. We arbitrarily drop the first equation, leaving a system of $n-1$ independent equations in n variables. Let T_n denote the smallest positive integer value of f_3 which satisfies the above system for a particular value of *n*. We claim that, for $n \geq 4$,

$$
T_n = T_{n-2} + (n-1)T_{n-1}.
$$

By definition, each of $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n \geq 0$. By Lemma [2.2,](#page-2-5) if any $f_i = 0$, then we have $T = 1$ and that all vertices are forever passive. However, if c is very large, some vertex must fire on every round. Thus, we further constrain $f_i > 0$ for all i. Note that for any solution

 (f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n) , it must be true that $(\lambda f_1, \lambda f_2, \ldots, \lambda f_n)$ is also a solution. Thus, without loss of generality, we set $f_1 = 1$. System [3.1](#page-5-2) has now been reduced to

(3.2)
\n
$$
f_2 - f_4 - \ldots - f_n = 1
$$
\n
$$
- f_{j-1} + (j-2)f_j - f_{j+2} - f_{j+3} - \ldots - f_n = 1 \qquad \forall j: 3 \le j \le n-2
$$
\n
$$
- f_{n-2} + (n-3)f_{n-1} = 1
$$
\n
$$
- f_{n-1} + (n-2)f_n = 0.
$$

System [3.2](#page-6-0) can be written as the following augmented matrix $M_n = (A|B)$, where A is an $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix and B is an $(n-1)$ -dimensional column vector. We denote the element in the *i*th row and *j*th column as $M_n^{i,j}$, where $1 \le i \le n-1$ and $1 \le j \le n$.

(3.3)
$$
M_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & \dots & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & \dots & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & 0 & -1 & \dots & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ \vdots & & & & & & \vdots & & \vdots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & \text{n-3} & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & \text{n-2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

We now apply Gauss-Jordan Elimination to transform M_n into its reduced row echelon form. In particular, we seek the value of $T_n = f_3$, which will be the largest of the f_i by Lemma [3.9.](#page-5-3)

We denote the submatrix formed by omitting the last row and column of M_n as $M_n[-1]$. Note that M_{n-1} is very similar to $M_n[-1]$. In particular, $M_n[-1]$ differs from M_{n-1} only in the negation of the $(n-1)$ th column and the value of $M_n[-1]^{(n-2),(n-2)}=0$, whereas we have $M_{n-1}^{(n-2),(n-2)} = 1$. We proceed with the following algorithm.

We first add each row to the one beneath it, in order. Thus, we cancel the leading −1 terms in each row, putting M_n into row echelon form.

(3.4)
$$
M_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & \dots & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & -2 & -2 & \dots & -2 & -2 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -3 & \dots & -3 & -3 & -3 & 3 \\ \vdots & & & & & & \vdots & & \vdots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & -(n-3) & n-2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & n-2 \end{pmatrix}
$$

We next apply the rest of the Gauss-Jordan Elimination algorithm for M_{n-2} on M_n to create a new matrix M'_n . The final three columns of M'_n will have arbitrary constants, but the rest of M'_n will be in reduced row echelon form. Recall that $M_n'^{2,n-2} = -M_{n-2}^{2,n-2} = -T_{n-2}$. To negate $M_n'^{2,n-2}$, we note that $M_n'^{n-2,n-2} = 1$. Thus, we add the $(n-2)$ th row to the second row T_{n-2} times, putting the $(n-1)$ th column into reduced row echelon form. If it was true that $M_n^{n-2,n} = M_{n-1}^{n-2,n} - 1$, we would now have that $M_n'^{2,n} = T_{n-1}$. However, $M_n^{n-2,n}$ is instead $M_{n-1}^{n-2}n-1+1$. Therefore, adding the $(n-2)$ th row to the 2nd row T_{n-2} times adds an additional quantity of T_{n-2} to $M_n^{\prime 2,n}$, so we now have that $M_n^{\prime 2,n} = T_{n-2} + T_{n-1}$.

We now proceed with the rest of the Gauss-Jordan Elimination algorithm for M_{n-1} on M'_n to create a new matrix M''_n . Now only the $(n-1)$ th column will not be in reduced row echelon form. Recall that $M_n''^{2,n-1} = -T_{n-1}$. To negate $M_n''^{2,n-1}$, we note that $M_n''^{n-1,n-1} = 1$. Thus, we add the $(n-1)$ th row to the second row T_{n-1} times, putting the second row completely into reduced row echelon form. Note that $M_n^{\prime\prime n-1, n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} M_n^{i, n} = \text{deg}^+ v_1 = n-2$. Thus, $M_n''^{2,n} = M_n'^{2,n} + T_{n-1}(n-2) = T_{n-2} + T_{n-1} + T_{n-1}(n-2) = T_{n-2} + (n-1)T_{n-1}.$

Since the second row is now in reduced row echelon form, we have

$$
f_3 = T_n = T_{n-2} + (n-1)T_{n-1}.
$$

Note that T_n is the smallest possible positive value of f_3 , since $gcd(f_1, f_3) = gcd(1, f_3) = 1$.

For $n \leq 3$, Lemma [3.9](#page-5-3) does not hold. However, we set $T_1 = T_2 = T_3 = 1$, which reflects the lowest possible periods of parallel chip-firing games on K_1 through K_3 . For $n \geq 4$, we use the above recursive formula. By the OEIS Sequence A058279 [\[13](#page-16-8)], $T_n \sim O(n!)$.

Recall that f_3 is the number of times that v_3 fires in the first T rounds. Thus, $T \ge f_3$. Since $f_3 \geq T_n$, we have that $T \geq T_n$ for all parallel chip-firing games with enough chips. Thus,

$$
\lim_{c \to \infty} T_c(K_n) \ge T_n \sim n!.
$$

Example 3.11. Figure [4](#page-7-0) shows an example of a parallel chip-firing game on K_4 with $T =$ $T_4 = 4$. The vector $(f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4) = (1, 3, 4, 2)$ is indeed a solution to System [3.1](#page-5-2) for K_4 .

FIGURE 4. An example of a parallel chip-firing game on K_4 with $T = T_4 = 4$.

Remark 3.12. The matrix M_n is extremely similar to the Laplacian matrix of K_n . In fact, the matrix representation of System [3.1](#page-5-2) is exactly the Laplacian.

3.4. Complete Bipartite Graphs. Let $\tau(a, b)$ be the maximum possible period of a parallel chip-firing game on an orientation of $K_{a,b}$, with $a \leq b$. We show that $\tau(a, b)$ is at least asymptotic to min $(a, b)!$. We conjecture that $\tau(a, b)$ is indeed asymptotic to min $(a, b)!$.

Conjecture 3.13. It holds that $\tau(a, b) = O(a!)$.

Let the partitions of the complete bipartite graph $K_{a,a}$ be L and R, where $|L| = |R| = a$. Let $v_i \in L$ if $i \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, and let $v_i \in R$ otherwise. We define the following orientation of $K_{a,a}$ to be a *useful* orientation.

- There exist edges directed from v_i to v_{i+1} for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, and there exists an edge directed from v_{2a} to v_1 .
- There exist edges directed from v_1 to v_{2i} for all $1 \leq i < a$.
- There exist edges directed from v_{2j+1} to all vertices v_{2k} with $1 \leq k \leq j$.
- There exist edges directed from v_{2j} to all vertices v_{2k-1} with $1 < k < j$.

See Figure [5](#page-8-1) for a diagram of a useful orientation of $K_{4,4}$.

FIGURE 5. A useful orientation of $K_{4,4}$.

The following lemma shows that vertex 4 fires the most in all parallel chip-firing games on a useful orientation of $K_{a,a}$ with $a \geq 3$.

Lemma 3.14. For any $a \geq 3$, all parallel chip-firing games on a useful orientation of $K_{a,a}$ satisfy $f_4 \ge f_i$ for all $1 \le i \le 2a$.

Proof. Since $\deg^+ v_4 = 1$, it must fire once in each period for every chip it receives in that period. Note that v_4 receives chips from all vertices v_{2i-1} with $1 \leq i \leq a$ except v_5 , so $f_4 \ge f_{2i-1}$ for all $1 \le i \le a$. Also note that $\deg^+ v_3 = 1$ and that v_3 receives chips from all vertices v_{2i} with $1 \leq i \leq a$ and $i \neq 2$. Thus, $f_4 \geq f_3 \geq f_{2i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq a$ and $i \neq 2$. Finally, $\deg^+ v_2 = 1$ and v_2 receives chips from v_5 , so $f_4 \ge f_3 \ge f_2 \ge f_5$.

Using Lemma [3.14,](#page-8-2) we provide a factorial lower bound for the convergent period of a useful $K_{a,a}$ orientation.

Theorem 3.15. For a useful orientation of $K_{a,a}$, the expression $\lim_{c\to\infty}T_c(K_{a,a})$ increases at least factorially with a.

Proof. Since the number of chips on each vertex does not change after a full period, the following equations must hold.

$$
f_{2a} = (a - 1)f_1
$$

\n
$$
f_1 + f_5 + f_7 + \ldots + f_{2a-1} = f_2
$$

\n
$$
f_1 + f_{2j-1} + f_{2j+3} + \ldots + f_{2a-1} = (j - 1)f_{2j} \quad \forall j : 2 \le j \le a - 2
$$

\n(3.5)
$$
f_{2j-2} + f_{2j+2} + f_{2j+4} + \ldots + f_{2a} = (j - 1)f_{2j-1} \quad \forall j : 2 \le j \le a - 1
$$

\n
$$
f_1 + f_{2a-3} = (a - 2)f_{2a-2}
$$

\n
$$
f_{2a-2} = (a - 1)f_{2a-1}
$$

\n
$$
f_{2a-1} = (a - 1)f_{2a}
$$

Since the number of chips in the whole game is fixed, System [3.5](#page-9-0) cannot be independent. We arbitrarily drop the first equation, leaving a system of $2a - 1$ independent equations in 2a variables. Let T_a denote the smallest positive integer value of f_4 which satisfies System [3.5](#page-9-0) for a particular value of a. We claim that, for $a \geq 3$,

$$
T_a > T_{a-1}(a-1).
$$

By definition, each of $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{2a} \geq 0$. By Lemma [2.2,](#page-2-5) if any $f_i = 0$, we have that $T = 1$ and that all vertices are forever passive. However, if c is very large, some vertex must fire on every round. Thus, we further constrain $f_i > 0$ for all i. Note that for any solution $(f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{2a})$, it must be true that $(\lambda f_1, \lambda f_2, \ldots, \lambda f_{2a})$ is also a solution. Thus, without loss of generality, we set $f_1 = 1$. System [3.5](#page-9-0) has now been reduced to

$$
f_2 - f_5 - f_7 - \dots - f_{2a-1} = 1
$$

\n
$$
- f_{2j-1} + (j-1) f_{2j} - f_{2j+3} - \dots - f_{2a-1} = 1 \quad \forall j : 2 \le j \le a-2
$$

\n
$$
- f_{2j-2} + (j-1) f_{2j-1} - f_{2j+2} - f_{2j+4} - \dots - f_{2a} = 0 \quad \forall j : 2 \le j \le a-1
$$

\n
$$
- f_{2a-3} + (a-2) f_{2a-2} = 1
$$

\n
$$
- f_{2a-2} + (a-1) f_{2a-1} = 0
$$

\n
$$
- f_{2a-1} + (a-1) f_{2a} = 0.
$$

System [3.6](#page-9-1) can be written as the following augmented matrix $M_a = (A|B)$, where A is an $(2a - 1) \times (2a - 1)$ matrix and B is a $(2a - 1)$ -dimensional column vector. We denote the element in the *i*th row and *j*th column as $M_a^{i,j}$, where $1 \le i \le 2a - 1$ and $1 \le j \le 2a$.

(3.7) M^a = 1 0 0 −1 0 −1 . . . 0 −1 0 1 −1 1 0 0 −1 0 . . . −1 0 −1 0 0 −1 1 0 0 −1 . . . 0 −1 0 1 0 0 −1 2 0 0 . . . −1 0 −1 0 0 0 0 −1 2 0 . . . 0 −1 0 1 0 0 0 0 −1 3 . . . −1 0 −1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 . . . a − 2 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 . . . −1 a − 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 . . . 0 −1 a − 1 0

We now apply Gauss-Jordan Elimination to transform M_a into reduced row echelon form. In particular, we seek the value of $T_a = f_4$, which will be the largest of the f_i by Lemma [3.14.](#page-8-2)

We denote the submatrix formed by omitting the last row and column of M_a as $M_a[-2]$. Note that M_{a-1} is extremely similar to $M_a[-2]$. In particular, $M_a[-2]$ differs from M_{a-1} only in the negation of the $(2a - 2)$ th column. We proceed with the following algorithm.

We first add each row to the one beneath it, in order. Thus, we cancel the leading −1 terms in each row, putting M_a into row echelon form.

(3.8) M^a = 1 0 0 −1 0 −1 . . . 0 −1 0 1 0 1 0 −1 −1 −1 . . . −1 −1 −1 1 0 0 1 −1 −1 −2 . . . −1 −2 −1 2 0 0 0 1 −1 −2 . . . −2 −2 −2 2 0 0 0 0 1 −2 . . . −2 −3 −2 3 0 0 0 0 0 1 . . . −3 −3 −3 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 . . . 1 −(a − 2) −(a − 2) a − 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 . . . 0 1 −(a − 2) a − 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 . . . 0 0 1 a − 1

We next apply the rest of the Gauss-Jordan Elimination algorithm for M_{a-1} on M_a to create a new matrix M'_a . The final three columns of M'_a will have arbitrary constants, but the rest of M'_a will be in reduced row echelon form. Recall that $M'^{3, 2a-2}_a = -M^{3, 2a-a}_{a-1} = -T_{a-1}$. To negate $M_a^{3,2a-2}$, we note that $M_a^{2a-2,2a-2} = 1$. Thus, we add the $(2a-2)$ th row to the second row T_{a-1} times, putting the $(2a-2)$ th column into reduced row echelon form. Note that $M_a'^{2a-2, 2a} = \sum_{i=1}^{2a-2} M_a^{i, 2a} = \text{deg}^+ v_1 = a - 1$. Therefore, we add $(a-1)T_{a-1}$ to $M_a'^{3, 2a}$.

Note that the only remaining element of the third row of M'_a that is not yet in reduced row echelon form is $M_a'^{3,2a-1}$. We have $M_a'^{3,2a-1} = -x$ for some x, which we will negate by adding the $(2a - 1)$ th row to the third row x times. Importantly, the value of $M_a^{3,2\overline{a}}$ is not reduced. In other words, if M''_a is the resultant matrix after the completed Gauss-Jordan Elimination algorithm, $M''^{3, 2a} > M'^{3, 2a} > (a-1)T_{a-1}$. Since $f_3 = T_a = M''^{3, 2a}$, we have

$$
T_a > (a-1)T_{a-1}.
$$

Recall that f_4 is the number of times that v_4 fires in the first T rounds. Thus, $T \ge f_4$. Since $f_4 \geq T_a$, we have that $T \geq T_a$ for all parallel chip-firing games with enough chips. Thus,

$$
\lim_{c \to \infty} T_c(K_{a,a}) \ge T_a \ge \Omega(a!).
$$

Remark 3.16. Matrix [3.7](#page-9-2) is again extremely similar to the Laplacian matrix of $K_{a,a}$. As in the case of the complete graph, the matrix representation of [3.5](#page-9-0) is exactly the Laplacian.

Corollary 3.17. As c goes to infinity, $T_c(K_{a,b})$ increases at least factorially with min (a, b) .

Proof. Let $a \leq b$. We consider an orientation of $K_{a,b}$ with $K_{a,a}$ as the only sink component. By Proposition [2.1,](#page-1-2) no vertices outside $K_{a,a}$ fire in a parallel chip-firing game on $K_{a,b}$. Thus, any T that can be the period of a parallel chip-firing game on $K_{a,a}$ can be the period of a parallel chip-firing game on $K_{a,b}$.

4. Atomic Firing Sequences

Let the *firing sequence* of a vertex v in a parallel chip-firing game on $a(n)$ (un)directed graph be the infinite binary string $F_0(v)F_1(v) \ldots$ Let the length- ℓ firing sequence of a vertex v be the binary string $F_0(v)F_1(v) \ldots F_{\ell-1}(v)$. Let the *atomic firing sequence* of a vertex v be the length-T firing sequence of v. We begin by citing a lemma from Prisner [\[12](#page-16-5)].

Lemma 4.1 ([\[12,](#page-16-5) Lemma 2.8]). In a parallel chip-firing game on any (un)directed graph, if r is the smallest integer such that the firing sequences of all vertices are periodic with period r , then $T = r$.

Lemma [4.1](#page-11-0) will allow us to determine the period of a parallel chip-firing game from the atomic firing sequences of its vertices. We next define some properties of binary strings. Let $s = s_0 s_1 \dots s_\ell$ be a length ℓ binary string. A binary string is *periodic with period k*, with $1\leq k\leq \frac{\ell}{2}$ $\frac{\ell}{2}$, if it can be formed by concatenating copies of a k-length binary string. We first show that no binary string of length $\ell \geq 2$ consisting of only "0"s can be the atomic firing sequence of a vertex in a parallel chip-firing game on a strongly connected directed graph.

Lemma 4.2. For any binary string s of length $\ell \geq 2$ with $s_i = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$, there exists no vertex in a parallel chip-firing game on a strongly connected directed graph with s as its atomic firing sequence.

Proof. Suppose there exists a vertex v with s as its atomic firing sequence in some parallel chip-firing game on a strongly connected directed graph. Then $T = \ell > 2$ by the definition of an atomic firing sequence. Additionally, v must be forever passive. However, by Lemma [2.2,](#page-2-5) v must fire at least once in each period. By contradiction, no such vertex exists. \Box

We have now established a set of binary strings that cannot be the atomic firing sequence of a vertex in a parallel chip-firing game. We next show that all other binary strings can be atomic firing sequences. First, we prove that all other binary strings of length $\ell \leq 2$ can be atomic firing sequences.

Lemma 4.3. For any binary string s of length 1 or 2 excluding $s = 00$, there exists a parallel chip-firing game on a directed graph such that some vertex v has s as its atomic firing sequence.

Proof. If $s = 0$, any vertex in a parallel chip-firing game with no chips will have s as its atomic firing sequence. Similarly, if $s = 1$, we can construct the C_3 graph, for example, with one chip on each vertex. Then every vertex fires on every round, so every vertex again has s as its atomic firing sequence.

If $s = 01$ or $s = 10$, we can construct the C_4 graph and place one chip each on v_0 and v_2 . Then v_0 and v_2 have atomic firing sequence 10 and v_1 and v_3 have atomic firing sequence 01. Finally, if $s = 11$, we construct a graph G with 4 vertices and edge set $E = \{(v_1, v_2), (v_2, v_3), (v_2, v_4), (v_3, v_1), (v_4, v_1)\}\$ (see Figure [6\)](#page-12-0). The parallel chip-firing game on G beginning with 2 chips on each of v_1 and v_2 has $T = 2$, and v_1 fires on both rounds. Thus, v_1 has atomic firing sequence 11.

Lemma [4.3](#page-11-1) concerns very short binary strings, for which our main theorem does not hold. However, all longer binary strings that contain at least one 1 can also be the atomic firing sequences of a vertex in a parallel chip-firing game on a directed graph. We will first see that such a vertex exists for non-periodic strings, then generalize to periodic strings. The following lemma constructs a parallel chip-firing game on a directed cycle in which a vertex has an arbitrary binary string s of length $\ell \geq 3$ as its length- ℓ firing sequence.

Figure 6. The final parallel chip-firing game from Lemma [4.3.](#page-11-1) Vertex 1 has atomic firing sequence 11.

Lemma 4.4. For any binary string s of length $\ell \geq 3$, there exists a parallel chip-firing game on a directed graph such that a vertex v has s as its length- ℓ firing sequence. If s is not periodic, the atomic firing sequence of each vertex is its length- ℓ firing sequence.

Proof. Consider the simple cycle C_{ℓ} . Let $c_0(v_i) = s_i$. We claim that v_0 has s as its length- ℓ firing sequence. We proceed by induction.

Let the length- ℓ firing sequence of v_i be a binary string q^i . Note that $F_0(v_i) = 1$ if and only if $c_0(v_i) = s_i = 1$. Then $q_0^i = s_i$. We take as our inductive hypothesis that $q_t^i = s_{i+t \pmod{l}}$ for all $0 \leq i < \ell$ and $0 \leq t \leq n$ for some *n*.

Observe that vertex v_i with $i \leq \ell - 2$ fires on round $n + 1$ if and only if $F_n(v_{i+1}) =$ $q_n^{i+1} = s_{i+1+n \pmod{\ell}} = 1$. In other words, $F_{n+1}(v_i) = s_{i+1+n \pmod{\ell}}$. Similarly, $F_{n+1}(v_{\ell-1}) =$ $F_n(v_0) = q_n^0 = s_n = s_{\ell+n \pmod{\ell}}$. Since $q_{n+1}^i = F_{n+1}(v_i)$, we have that $q_{n+1}^i = s_{i+1+n \pmod{\ell}}$. By induction, $q_t^1 = s_t$ for all $0 \le t < \ell$, so $q^0 = s$.

Note that if s is not periodic, neither are the ℓ -length firing sequences. Additionally, $q_{\ell}^{i} = s_{i+\ell \pmod{\ell}} = s_{i} = q_{0}^{i}$. Thus, the firing sequence of each vertex is periodic with minimum period ℓ . By Lemma [4.1,](#page-11-0) $T = \ell$, so the atomic firing sequence of v_i is $F_0(v)F_1(v) \dots F_{\ell-1} =$ q^i .

Example 4.5. In Figure [7,](#page-13-0) $q^0 = s = 11000 = c_0(v_1)c_0(v_2)c_0(v_3)c_0(v_4)c_0(v_5)$. Since $s = 11000$ is not periodic, $T = 5$.

Lemma [4.4](#page-11-2) fails to construct a parallel chip-firing game in which v has s as its atomic firing sequence if s is periodic with period k, in which case $T = k < \ell$.

Let $\ell(s)$ denote the length of a binary string s. Let $n(s)$ denote the number of 1s in s. Furthermore, let $0 \leq k(s) \leq \ell(s) - 1$ be the greatest value of i such that $s_i = 1$. Let $d(s) = \ell(s) - 1 - k(s)$ be the number of trailing zeros in s. In the following proof, we augment the construction from Lemma [4.4](#page-11-2) to construct a parallel chip-firing game on a directed graph in which a vertex has a periodic atomic firing sequence. To do so, we draw inspiration from Jiang, Scully, and Zhang's [\[7\]](#page-16-4) proof of Theorem 4.1 and construct a parallel chip-firing game by attaching many copies of a graph to a single vertex.

Example 4.6. If $s = 1010$, then $n(s) = 2$ and $d(s) = 1$. If $s = 111000$, then $n(s) = 3$ and $d(s) = 3.$

Theorem 4.7. For any binary string s that contains 1, there exists a parallel chip-firing game on a directed graph such that s is the firing sequence of some vertex v .

Proof. For brevity, we let $\ell = \ell(s)$. Furthermore, we let $n = n(s)$ and $d = d(s)$. If $\ell \leq 2$, we refer to Lemma [4.3.](#page-11-1)

FIGURE 7. An example of a parallel chip-firing game from Lemma [4.4.](#page-11-2) Here $s = 11000$ is not periodic, and v_1 has s as its atomic firing sequence.

We create 2n copies of the simple cycle C_{ℓ} and label them $C^{0}, C^{1}, \ldots, C^{2n-1}$. We denote the *i*th vertex in C^j as v_i^j i_i . We assign an initial configuration of chips in each cycle in the same way as in Lemma [4.4:](#page-11-2) $c_0(v_i^j)$ $i_j^{(j)} = s_i$. Additionally, we set $v_0^j = v$ for all j. Thus, v has 0 chips if $s_0 = 0$, and v has $2n$ chips if $s_0 = 1$. There exist edges directed from v_1^j $_1^j$ to v and from v to v_{ℓ}^{j} $\frac{j}{\ell-1}$ for all $0 \leq j \leq 2n-1$.

Since $c_0(v_i^j)$ i) is independent of j, it follows that $c_t(v_i^j)$ i_j) is independent of j for all t. Thus, collecting all the v_0^j $\frac{1}{0}$ into one vertex does not change $F_t(v)$ for any t or v. Therefore, from Lemma [4.4,](#page-11-2) v has s as its length- ℓ firing sequence, but $T < \ell$ if s is periodic. We now augment the graph to force T to be ℓ , regardless of the composition of s.

We add a new vertex, u , with an edge directed from v to u . We also add n more paths of d vertices each. We will call each of these paths *waterfalls*. If $d = 0$, we instead add n single vertices (as if $d = 1$). We label the *i*th vertex in the *j*th waterfall u_i^j i_i , where $0 \leq i \leq d-1$ and $0 \leq j \leq n-1$. We add edges directed from u to u_0^j and from u_a^j y_{d-1} to v for all $0 \leq j \leq n-1$. If $d = 0$, we set $c_0(u) = n$ and $c_0(u_0^j)$ ζ_0^j = 0 for all j. Otherwise, we set $c_0(u_a^j)$ $\binom{J}{d-1} = 1$ and $c_0(u) = c_0(u_i^j)$ i_j^j = 0 for all $0 \le i \le d-2$ and $0 \le j \le n-1$. Additionally, we place *n* more chips onto v.

If $d \geq 1$, on the first round of the game, each vertex u_q^j $\frac{d}{d-1}$ fires its 1 chip to v. Ignoring the behavior of the cycles, v now has 2n chips, but $\deg^+ v = 2n + 1$. Thus, $F_0(v) = 1$ if and only if $s_0 = 1$. In general, v always has enough chips to fire each time $s_t = 1$, but never enough to fire when $s_t = 0$. For example, in Figure [8,](#page-14-1) v fires on rounds 0 and 2, because $s_0 = s_2 = 1$. The game proceeds as driven by the cycles, until v fires its nth time. Then u has accumulated *n* chips, and fires on the next round to each u_0^j ⁰₀. On each of the next $d-1$ rounds, v rests and the chips flow down the waterfalls. Thus, the game returns to its original state exactly on round $t = T = \ell$.

If $d = 0$, the game proceeds almost identically. However, the *n*th firing of v occurs on round $\ell - 1$ and marks the conclusion of a period, with $c_{\ell}(u) = c_0(u) = n$. Then $T = \ell$ once again. Therefore, v has s as both its length- ℓ firing sequence and its atomic firing sequence.

Example 4.8. Figure [8](#page-14-1) shows an example construction for $s = 1010$. Note that $n = n(s) = 2$ and $d = d(s) = 1$. Chip numbers written beside the vertices in the outermost cycle apply to the corresponding vertices in each cycle. As shown, $c_0(u_0^0) = c_0(u_0^1) = 1$ and $c_0(u) = 0$. Also, $c_0(v) = 2n + n = 6$. Since v fires on rounds 0 and 2, it fires for the $n = 2$ nd time on round 2, so *u* fires on round 3. Then $c_4(u_0^0) = c_4(u_0^1) = 1$, so $T = 4$.

FIGURE 8. A parallel chip-firing game in which v has atomic firing sequence 1010.

5. Future Directions

In Theorem [3.10,](#page-5-0) we provided a lower bound for the maximum period of a parallel chipfiring game on an orientation of K_n as asymptotic to n!. Similarly, in Theorem [3.15,](#page-8-0) we bounded the maximum period of a parallel chip-firing game on an orientation of $K_{a,b}$ by $min(a, b)!$. More challenging would be the complete characterization of the possible periods of a parallel chip-firing game on an orientation of K_n or $K_{a,b}$. In particular, we conjectured in Conjectures [3.8](#page-4-0) and [3.13](#page-7-1) that $\tau(n)$ and $\tau(a, b)$ are indeed asymptotic to n! and min (a, b) !, respectively. Finally, we conjecture that $\lim_{c\to\infty}T_c(D)$ is well-defined for all directed graphs D.

Conjecture 5.1. For any directed graph D, the quantity $T_c(D)$ converges for very large c. That is, there exists some T and c_0 such that T is the possible period of a parallel chip-firing game on D with c chips for all $c \geq c_0$.

That is, for all directed graphs D , there exists an N such that all parallel chip-firing games on D with at least N chips have $T = T_c(D)$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Yunseo Choi for her endless support, for inspiring for this project, and for connecting us through our work.

APPENDIX

Lemma A1. For any finite, connected, undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ with $|V| \geq 2$, there exists a parallel chip-firing game on G with $T = 2$.

Proof. We set up a parallel chip-firing game on G in the following manner. We arbitrarily choose a vertex $v \in V$ and place deg v chips onto it. For all other vertices u, we use the following process.

- If $d(u, v)$ is even, we place deg u chips onto u.
- If $d(u, v)$ is odd, we deg $u n$ chips onto u, where n is the number of edges $(u, w) \in E$ such that $d(u, v) \neq d(w, v)$.

On the first round of the game, a vertex ν fires if and only if $d(\nu, v)$ is even. On the second round, all vertices that previously fired have lost chips and thus wait. However, the vertices that previously waited now have exactly enough chips to fire. Thus, all vertices fire exactly once in the first two rounds. By Lemma [3.6,](#page-3-2) $T = 2$.

Declaration of Interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bitar and E. Goles. Parallel chip firing games on graphs. Theoret. Comput. Sci. 92.2 (1992), pp. 291–300.
- [2] A. Bu, Y. Choi, and M. Xu. An exact bound on the number of chips of parallel chipfiring games that stabilize. Arch. Math. (Basel) 119.5 (2022), pp. 471–478.
- [3] A. Bu, Y. Choi, and M. Xu. On the limited increment parallel chip-firing game. Discrete Math. 346.1 (2023), pp. 113–183.
- [4] L. Dall'Asta. Exact solution of the one-dimensional deterministic fixed-energy sandpile. Phys. Rev. Lett. 96.5 (2006), p. 058003.

REFERENCES 17

- [5] E. Goles and E. Prisner. Source reversal and chip firing on graphs. Theoret. Comput. Sci. 233.1–2 (2000), pp. 287–295.
- [6] T. Jiang. On the period lengths of the parallel chip-firing game (2010). arXiv: [1003.0943 \[math.CO\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1003.0943).
- [7] T. Jiang, Z. Scully, and Y. Zhang. Motors and impossible firing patterns in the parallel chip-firing game. $SIAM$ J. Discrete Math. 29.1 (2015), pp. 615–630.
- [8] M. Kiwi, R. Ndoundam, M. Tchuente, and E. Goles. No polynomial bound for the period of the parallel chip firing game on graphs. Theoret. Comput. Sci. 136.2 (1994), pp. 527–532.
- [9] P. Kominers and S. Kominers. A constant bound for the periods of parallel chip-firing games with many chips. Arch. Math. (Basel) 95.1 (2010), pp. 9–13.
- [10] L. Levine. Parallel chip-firing on the complete graph: devil's staircase and Poincar´e rotation number. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 31.3 (2011), pp. 891–910.
- [11] R. Ndoundam, M. Tchuente, and C. Tadonki. Parallel chip firing game associated with n-cube orientations (2010). arXiv: [1007.0381 \[cs.DM\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1007.0381).
- [12] E. Prisner. Parallel chip firing on digraphs. Complex Systems 8.5 (1994), pp. 367–383.
- [13] N. Sloan and The OEIS Foundation Inc. The on-line encyclopedia of integer sequences. 2024. url: <http://oeis.org/?language=english>.

D. Ji, Montgomery High School, Skillman, NJ, 08558 Email address: david.ji@mtsdstudent.us

M. Li, University High School, Irvine, CA, 92612 Email address: michaelli20180101@gmail.com

D. Wang, Lakeside School, Seattle, WA, 98125 Email address: danielw25@lakesideschool.org