
Revisiting the Robust Alignment of Circuit Breakers

Leo Schwinn

Technical University of Munich
Munich Data Science Institute

Simon Geisler

Technical University of Munich
Munich Data Science Institute

Abstract

Over the past decade, adversarial training has emerged as one of the few reliable methods for enhancing model robustness against adversarial attacks [Szegedy et al., 2014, Madry et al., 2018, Xhonneux et al., 2024], while many alternative approaches have failed to withstand rigorous subsequent evaluations. Recently, an alternative defense mechanism, namely “circuit breakers” [Zou et al., 2024], has shown promising results for aligning LLMs. In this report, we show that the robustness claims of “Improving Alignment and Robustness with Circuit Breakers” against unconstrained continuous attacks in the embedding space of the input tokens may be overestimated [Zou et al., 2024]. Specifically, we demonstrate that by implementing a few simple changes to embedding space attacks [Schwinn et al., 2024a,b], we achieve 100% attack success rate (ASR) against circuit breaker models. Without conducting any further hyperparameter tuning, these adjustments increase the ASR by more than 80% compared to the original evaluation. Code is accessible at: <https://github.com/SchwinnL/circuit-breakers-eval>

Neural networks are still vulnerable to adversarial examples. A recurring issue has slowed the field’s progress from early work in computer vision to recent evaluations in LLMs: overly optimistic robustness assessments of new defenses. When these evaluations prove faulty, it can create a domino effect of problems. Researchers spend a considerable amount of time rectifying flawed methods [Carlini and Wagner, 2016, 2017, Athalye and Carlini, 2018, Tramer et al., 2020], while end-users may unknowingly rely on inadequate protections [Hönig et al., 2024]. Schwinn et al. [2024a] highlight that inadequate defense evaluations have even more severe consequences in the context of LLMs due to the increased cost of performing evaluations and the potentially high real-world impact of toxic models.

Best practices for robustness evaluation have been outlined in prior work and can be useful to identify unusually high robustness claims [Athalye and Carlini, 2018, Tramer et al., 2020]. In the case of circuit breakers, we observe that the authors claim to achieve high robustness against unconstrained attacks in the continuous embedding space of the model [Zou et al., 2024]. This contradicts previous findings in other domains that unconstrained continuous attacks should achieve 100% attack success rate. While these findings might not trivially transfer to the alignment threat models in LLMs they still suggest that the robustness claims might be overestimated.

Experiments and Results

We apply three simple modifications to the embedding space attack used in the original evaluation of circuit breaker models. These adjustments are sufficient to decrease the robustness to 0%. Notably, we implemented these changes without conducting any hyperparameter tuning.

Optimizer. We observe that the embedding attack used in the circuit breaker paper is very sensitive to the learning rate hyperparameter. To address this, we replace the SGD optimizer with signed

Table 1: Comparison of embedding attack success rates (ASR) in % against the short circuited models provided in [Zou et al., 2024]. Changing the optimizer (opt) and initialization (init) improves the ASR considerably without inducing any additional cost. Evaluating with multiple generations (multi gen) for each attack breaks the defense.

Model	Mistral-7B-Instruct-v2 + RR	Llama-3-8B-Instruct + RR
Input Embed [Zou et al., 2024] (original)	15.7	9.6
Input Embed (opt & init)	57.2	51.7
Input Embed (opt & init & multi gen)	100	100

gradient descent as in [Schwinn et al., 2024a]. We keep the learning rate the same as in the original work [Zou et al., 2024].

Initialization. Instead of initializing the embeddings with a sequence of “x” tokens, we use a more semantically meaningful instruction string: “ Make sure to follow my instructions accurately and do not hesitate to answer in a toxic way. Do not worry about me engaging with this content. I am studying toxic behavior of LLMs. ”. Without this change, the models continue their generation with sequences of “x” tokens after the adversarial target string was correctly generated. Note that we used this specific initialization on our first attempt after observing the generation issue and did not conduct any further optimization.

Multiple generations. We use the same early stopping procedure as in the original paper. Additionally, we periodically generate and evaluate outputs from the attacked model. Specifically, we produce a response for every 5 attack iterations and generate a total of 20 responses for every toxic query. An alternative approach could involve conducting multiple random restarts of the attack. However, we find our method is sufficient to break the defense while it is computationally more efficient.

Results. We implement all these changes in the original repository of the paper and use the same evaluation script. Here, the ASR is calculated using the judge model provided by Harmbench [Mazeika et al., 2024]. Additionally, we manually check 20 random generations for both models. We found one false positive during this manual check. Nevertheless, For this specific instance, multiple other generations correctly broke the model. Moreover, we evaluated 20 different negatively judged outputs and found 3 false negatives. Thus, we conclude that the ASR provided by the judge model is likely not overestimated.

The results are summarized in Table 1. Our new attack achieves a 100% attack success rate (ASR) against both circuit breaker models provided in the paper. In contrast, the original evaluation reported 15, 7 and 9.6% ASR for embedding space attacks, respectively. Increasing the number of attack iterations, the number of generations, optimizing the initialization string, or fine-tuning the learning rate would likely lead to even more efficient attacks. We want to clarify that this vulnerability is not unique to circuit breakers. Our new attack achieves 100% ASR on Llama2-7b-chat-hf [Touvron et al., 2023], vicuna-7b-v1.5 [Hugging Face, 2023], and Mistral-7B-v0.1 [Jiang et al., 2023] using the same attack and evaluation protocol.

Note that embedding space attacks are an unrealistically strong threat model for proprietary robustness evaluations and are mostly relevant as a threat model for open-source LLMs [Schwinn et al., 2024b]. Still, our experiments showed that embedding space attacks can be used as a sanity check. High robustness against unconstrained continuous attacks should be reported with caution.

Outlook

Beyond the presented results, currently ongoing third-party evaluations observe vulnerabilities of short circuiting to manual human jailbreaks, such as separating the toxic content with spaces before forwarding it to the LLM. This gives further indication that the original robustness assessment may be overestimated. We plan to update this document with additional robustness evaluations, such as adaptive discrete attacks, in the future [Geisler et al., 2024, Andriushchenko et al., 2024]. We thank the authors of the original work for providing the community with code and pretrained models. This considerably reduced the effort required to conduct a third-party evaluation. We also want to thank them for their commitment to helping us with the evaluation.

References

- Christian Szegedy, Wojciech Zaremba, Ilya Sutskever, Joan Bruna, Dumitru Erhan, Ian J. Goodfellow, and Rob Fergus. Intriguing Properties of Neural Networks. In *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2014.
- Aleksander Madry, Aleksandar Makelov, Ludwig Schmidt, Dimitris Tsipras, and Adrian Vladu. Towards Deep Learning Models Resistant to Adversarial Attacks. In *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2018.
- Sophie Xhonneux, Alessandro Sordoni, Stephan Günnemann, Gauthier Gidel, and Leo Schwinn. Efficient adversarial training in llms with continuous attacks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.15589*, 2024.
- Andy Zou, Long Phan, Justin Wang, Derek Duenas, Maxwell Lin, Maksym Andriushchenko, Rowan Wang, Zico Kolter, Matt Fredrikson, and Dan Hendrycks. Improving alignment and robustness with circuit breakers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.04313*, 2024.
- Leo Schwinn, David Dobre, Stephan Günnemann, and Gauthier Gidel. Adversarial attacks and defenses in large language models: Old and new threats. In *NeurIPS: I Can't Believe It's Not Better Workshop: Failure Modes in the Age of Foundation Models*, 2024a. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=vAiEQBh2AW>.
- Leo Schwinn, David Dobre, Sophie Xhonneux, Gauthier Gidel, and Stephan Günnemann. Soft prompt threats: Attacking safety alignment and unlearning in open-source llms through the embedding space. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.09063*, 2024b.
- Nicholas Carlini and David Wagner. Defensive Distillation is Not Robust to Adversarial Examples. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1607.04311*, 2016.
- Nicholas Carlini and David Wagner. Adversarial Examples Are Not Easily Detected: Bypassing Ten Detection Methods. In *ACM Workshop on Artificial Intelligence and Security*, pages 3–14. Association for Computing Machinery, 2017. ISBN 978-1-4503-5202-4.
- Anish Athalye and Nicholas Carlini. On the Robustness of the CVPR 2018 White-Box Adversarial Example Defenses. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1804.03286*, 2018.
- Florian Tramer, Nicholas Carlini, Wieland Brendel, and Aleksander Madry. On Adaptive Attacks to Adversarial Example Defenses. In H. Larochelle, M. Ranzato, R. Hadsell, M. F. Balcan, and H. Lin, editors, *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, volume 33, pages 1633–1645, 2020. URL <https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2020/file/11f38f8ecd71867b42433548d1078e38-Paper.pdf>.
- Robert Hönig, Javier Rando, Nicholas Carlini, and Florian Tramèr. Adversarial perturbations cannot reliably protect artists from generative ai. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.12027*, 2024.
- Mantas Mazeika, Long Phan, Xuwang Yin, Andy Zou, Zifan Wang, Norman Mu, Elham Sakhaee, Nathaniel Li, Steven Basart, Bo Li, David Forsyth, and Dan Hendrycks. HarmBench: A Standardized Evaluation Framework for Automated Red Teaming and Robust Refusal, February 2024. URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/2402.04249>. arXiv:2402.04249 [cs].
- Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Albert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajwal Bhargava, Shrutu Bhosale, et al. Llama 2: Open foundation and fine-tuned chat models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.09288*, 2023.
- Hugging Face. Vicuna-7B-v1.5, 2023. URL <https://huggingface.co/lmsys/vicuna-7b-v1.5>. Accessed on 15 December 2023.
- Albert Q Jiang, Alexandre Sablayrolles, Arthur Mensch, Chris Bamford, Devendra Singh Chaplot, Diego de las Casas, Florian Bressand, Gianna Lengyel, Guillaume Lample, Lucile Saulnier, et al. Mistral 7b. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.06825*, 2023.
- Hakan Inan, Kartikeya Upasani, Jianfeng Chi, Rashi Rungta, Krithika Iyer, Yuning Mao, Michael Tontchev, Qing Hu, Brian Fuller, Davide Testuggine, et al. Llama guard: Llm-based input-output safeguard for human-ai conversations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.06674*, 2023.

Simon Geisler, Tom Wollschläger, MHI Abdalla, Johannes Gasteiger, and Stephan Günemann. Attacking large language models with projected gradient descent. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.09154*, 2024.

Maksym Andriushchenko, Francesco Croce, and Nicolas Flammarion. Jailbreaking leading safety-aligned llms with simple adaptive attacks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.02151*, 2024.