

PATH HOMOLOGY OF DIGRAPHS WITHOUT MULTISQUARES AND ITS COMPARISON WITH HOMOLOGY OF SPACES

XIN FU AND SERGEI O. IVANOV

ABSTRACT. For a digraph G without multisquares and a field \mathbb{F} , we construct a basis of the vector space of path n -chains $\Omega_n(G; \mathbb{F})$ for $n \geq 0$, generalising the basis of $\Omega_3(G; \mathbb{F})$ constructed by Grigory'an. For a field \mathbb{F} , we consider the \mathbb{F} -path Euler characteristic $\chi^{\mathbb{F}}(G)$ of a digraph G defined as the alternating sum of dimensions of path homology groups with coefficients in \mathbb{F} . If $\Omega_{\bullet}(G; \mathbb{F})$ is a bounded chain complex, the constructed bases can be applied to compute $\chi^{\mathbb{F}}(G)$. We provide an explicit example of a digraph \mathcal{G} whose \mathbb{F} -path Euler characteristic depends on whether the characteristic of \mathbb{F} is two, revealing the differences between GLMY theory and the homology theory of spaces. This allows us to prove that there is no topological space X whose homology is isomorphic to path homology of the digraph $H_*(X; \mathbb{K}) \cong \text{PH}_*(\mathcal{G}; \mathbb{K})$ simultaneously for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Path chains and cochains	4
2.1. Definition	4
2.2. Path cochain algebra as a quadratic algebra	5
2.3. Duality between path chains and cochains	6
2.4. Rational and integral path (co)homology	7
3. Graphs of short moves	7
3.1. Short moves	8
3.2. Thin, thick and bipartite \mathcal{S}_n -classes	9
4. The basis of the path (co)chain complex	10
4.1. The basis of Ω^n	10
4.2. The dual basis of Ω_n	12
5. Nonexistence of spaces	13
5.1. Euler characteristic	13
5.2. Path Euler characteristic	14
5.3. Path homology and nonexistence of spaces	14
5.4. The main example	15
References	17

X. Fu is supported by the Beijing Natural Science Foundation (grant no. 1244043).

1. Introduction

The path homology of digraphs, developed by Grigory'an-Lin-Muranov-Yau, and their coauthors in a series of papers [2, 3, 9, 7, 4, 8, 6], has many parallels with the homology theory of spaces. These include an analogue of the Künneth formula for the box product of digraphs and join of digraphs, a homotopy category of digraphs, and the path homology being a homotopy invariant. Moreover, there is a notion of a fundamental group, whose abelianization coincides with the first path homology group over integers. Attempts have been made to build a consistent theory of homotopy groups [14] and to generalize this theory to various other structures, such as quivers [8, 12]. This entire research direction is now known as GLMY theory. As Asao shows in [1], the path homology is closely related to the magnitude homology. Based on this connection, Hepworth and Roff developed the bigraded path homology of digraphs [10], which was also generalised to arbitrary quasimetric spaces in [13].

As with spaces, for a digraph G and a field \mathbb{F} , one can construct a chain complex $\Omega_\bullet(G; \mathbb{F})$ and a cochain complex $\Omega^\bullet(G; \mathbb{F})$, whose homology and cohomology are called path homology $\text{PH}_*(G; \mathbb{F})$ and path cohomology $\text{PH}^*(G; \mathbb{F})$, respectively. Furthermore, $\Omega^\bullet(G; \mathbb{F})$ is a differential graded algebra (dg-algebra), and this structure turns the path cohomology into a graded algebra. However, unlike the case of spaces, the definitions of the complexes $\Omega_\bullet(G; \mathbb{F})$ and $\Omega^\bullet(G; \mathbb{F})$ are given in such a way that there is no explicit description of the basis of its components.

It is known that the dimension of $\Omega_0(G; \mathbb{F})$ equals the number of vertices, the dimension of $\Omega_1(G; \mathbb{F})$ equals the number of arrows, and the dimension of $\Omega_2(G; \mathbb{F})$ equals the number of triangles plus the number of squares. A digraph G is said to have no multisquares if, for any pair of vertices x, y with directed distance two, there are at most two shortest paths from x to y . Grigory'an showed [5, Theorem 2.10] that if a digraph G does not have multisquares, a basis of $\Omega_3(G; \mathbb{F})$ consists of special digraphs called trapezohedrons and their mapping images. However, for general cases, the dimensions of $\Omega_n(G; \mathbb{F})$ remain unclear.

In this paper, we describe a basis of $\Omega_n(G; \mathbb{F})$ for G containing no multisquares and $n \geq 0$, which generalises the basis of $\Omega_3(G; \mathbb{F})$ by Grigory'an. We start by analysing the identification of the dg-algebra $\Omega^\bullet(G; \mathbb{F})$ as a quotient of the path algebra of G , as described in [11, Theorem 5.4],

$$\Omega^\bullet(G; \mathbb{F}) \cong \mathbb{F}G/T,$$

where T is the ideal defined by certain relations $t_{x,y}$; see also Theorem 2.2. When G does not have multisquares, the relations defining this quotient have a simpler form. This allows us to describe a basis for $\Omega^n(G; \mathbb{F})$, yielding the dual basis for $\Omega_n(G; \mathbb{F})$ (see Corollary 4.4 and Theorem 4.7).

To proceed, we construct an undirected graph $\mathcal{S}_n(G)$, whose vertices correspond to the n -paths in G (see Definition 3.1). The dimension of $\Omega_n(G; \mathbb{F})$ can be calculated by counting the number of certain connected components of $\mathcal{S}_n(G)$. Notably, it depends on the characteristic of \mathbb{F} . For fields with characteristic two, the dimension of $\Omega_n(G; \mathbb{F})$ may be greater than for fields of other characteristics. When the characteristic is not two, an additional bipartite property is required for the components of $\mathcal{S}_n(G)$ corresponding to the elements of the basis, unlike the case when the characteristic is two. Basis elements of $\Omega_n(G; \mathbb{F})$ are expressed as a certain

linear sum of vertices from each of these components of $\mathcal{S}_n(G)$. Refer to Section 4 for a detailed description of the basis.

If the number of nontrivial path homology groups $\text{PH}_n(G; \mathbb{F})$ of a digraph G is finite, we define the \mathbb{F} -path Euler characteristic of G as the alternating sum

$$\chi^{\mathbb{F}}(G) = \sum_n (-1)^n \dim_{\mathbb{F}}(\text{PH}_n(G; \mathbb{F})).$$

When the complex $\Omega_{\bullet}(G; \mathbb{F})$ is bounded, this is equal to the alternating sum of the dimensions of $\Omega_n(G; \mathbb{F})$. Therefore, our description of bases for $\Omega_n(G; \mathbb{F})$ can be used to compute $\chi^{\mathbb{F}}(G)$ of a digraph G that does not contain multisquares and whose associated chain complex $\Omega_{\bullet}(G; \mathbb{F})$ is bounded.

Unlike spaces, the \mathbb{F} -path Euler characteristics can vary depending on the field \mathbb{F} . This demonstrates the differences between GLMY theory and the homology theory of spaces. To illustrate this, we provide an example of a digraph \mathcal{G} in Subsection 5.4. This example highlights the fact that for certain digraphs, it is not possible to construct a space with homology isomorphic to the path homology for all coefficients. As a side note, we discover that over integers, the associated path cochain complex $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathcal{G}; \mathbb{Z})$ contains torsion elements.

To summarise, we prove the following theorem (see Theorem 5.4 and Proposition 5.3).

Theorem. *For the digraph \mathcal{G} defined in (5.9), the following statements hold:*

- (1) *The rational and mod-2 path Euler characteristics of \mathcal{G} are not equal*

$$\chi^{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{G}) \neq \chi^{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{G}).$$

- (2) *There is no space X such that there is an isomorphism of graded abelian groups $\text{PH}_*(\mathcal{G}; \mathbb{K}) \cong H_*(X; \mathbb{K})$ simultaneously for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Z}/2$.*
- (3) *There is no space X with homology of finite type such that there is an isomorphism of graded vector spaces $\text{PH}_*(\mathcal{G}; \mathbb{F}) \cong H_*(X; \mathbb{F})$ simultaneously for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.*
- (4) *There is no chain complex of free abelian groups C_{\bullet} with homology of finite type such that $\text{PH}_*(\mathcal{G}; \mathbb{F}) \cong H_*(C_{\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F})$ simultaneously for $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.*
- (5) *There exists n such that there is no “universal coefficient” short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \text{PH}_n(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{PH}_n(\mathcal{G}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(\text{PH}_{n-1}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0.$$

- (6) *$\Omega^4(\mathcal{G}; \mathbb{Z})$ has 2-torsion.*

Open questions. In conclusion of the introduction, we present a list of open questions that continue this line of research.

- For a digraph G and a field \mathbb{F} , is the path cohomology algebra $\text{PH}^*(G; \mathbb{F})$ graded commutative?
- Let G be a digraph and p be an odd prime such that $\Omega_{\bullet}(G; \mathbb{Q})$ and $\Omega_{\bullet}(G; \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ are bounded chain complexes. Our results imply that, if G has no multisquares, we have

$$\chi^{\mathbb{Q}}(G) = \chi^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(G).$$

Does this property also hold for digraphs with multisquares?

- For a digraph G without multisquares, are all nontrivial entries of the matrix representing the boundary map $\partial : \Omega_n(G; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \Omega_{n-1}(G; \mathbb{Q})$ with respect to the constructed bases equal to ± 1 ?

2. Path chains and cochains

Throughout the article, all digraphs are finite, \mathbb{K} denotes a commutative ring, and \mathbb{F} denotes a field. Our terminology differs from that used in [2] and other works of Grigor'yan-Lin-Muranov-Yau, but our notations are the same. For example, by a *path* in G , we mean a sequence of vertices $p = (p_0, \dots, p_n)$ such that (p_i, p_{i+1}) is an arrow for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. If we need to specify the length n , we call it an n -path, or a path of length n . Elements of $\Omega_\bullet(G)$ are called path chains, and elements of $\Omega^\bullet(G)$ are called path cochains. We also use asterisks H_* , H^* for graded objects (without a differential) and bullet points C_\bullet , C^\bullet for (co)chain complexes and dg-algebras.

2.1. Definition. We start with the definitions of $\Omega_\bullet(G)$ and $\Omega^\bullet(G)$ following Grigor'yan-Lin-Muranov-Yau [2]; see also [11, §5]. We will work over arbitrary commutative ring \mathbb{K} rather than a field. Later, when discussing duality between $\Omega_\bullet(G)$ and $\Omega^\bullet(G)$, we will assume that $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}$ is a field.

Assume that \mathbb{K} is a commutative ring, and by a “module” we mean a \mathbb{K} -module. For a finite set X , denote by $\Lambda_\bullet(X)$ the chain complex of modules, where the n -th component $\Lambda_n(X)$ is a free module generated by formal elements e_{x_0, \dots, x_n} indexed by tuples $(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in X^{n+1}$. In other words, we have

$$(2.1) \quad e_{x_0, \dots, x_n} \in \Lambda_n(X).$$

The differential on $\Lambda_\bullet(X)$ is defined by the alternating sum

$$(2.2) \quad \partial(e_{x_0, \dots, x_n}) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i e_{x_0, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_n}.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{R}_\bullet(X)$ the quotient complex of $\Lambda_\bullet(X)$ by the subcomplex whose components are spanned by tuples e_{x_0, \dots, x_n} with consecutive repetitions, i.e., $x_i = x_{i+1}$ for some $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. A reader familiar with simplicial methods may notice that $\Lambda_\bullet(X)$ has an obvious structure of a simplicial module, and $\mathcal{R}_\bullet(X)$ is the associated normalised complex.

For a digraph G , we set $\mathcal{R}_\bullet(G) = \mathcal{R}_\bullet(V(G))$, where $V(G)$ is the set of vertices. We denote by $\mathcal{A}_n(G) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_n(G)$ the submodule generated by all paths of length n . So $\mathcal{A}_n(G)$ consists of elements that can be written as linear combinations

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_p \alpha_p \cdot e_p \in \mathcal{A}_n(G),$$

where p runs over all n -paths and $\alpha_p \in \mathbb{K}$. Then $\Omega_\bullet(G)$ is defined as the largest subcomplex of $\mathcal{R}_\bullet(G)$ that is contained in $\mathcal{A}_*(G)$. The n -th component $\Omega_n(G)$ can be also defined by the following formula

$$(2.4) \quad \Omega_n(G) = \mathcal{A}_n(G) \cap \partial^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_{n-1}(G)).$$

We call $\Omega_\bullet(G)$ the path chain complex and call an element in $\Omega_\bullet(G)$ a path chain. If we need to specify the ring \mathbb{K} , we write $\Omega_\bullet(G; \mathbb{K})$.

Dually, the cochain complex $\Lambda^\bullet(X)$ is given by

$$\Lambda^\bullet(X) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(\Lambda_\bullet(X), \mathbb{K}).$$

The elements $e^{x_0, \dots, x_n} \in \Lambda^n(X)$ denote the dual basis of e_{x_0, \dots, x_n} in $\Lambda_n(X)$ indexed by tuples (x_0, \dots, x_n) . Then the differential on $\Lambda^\bullet(X)$ follows by the formula

$$(2.5) \quad \partial(e^{x_0, \dots, x_n}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \sum_{v \in X} (-1)^i e^{x_0, \dots, x_{i-1}, v, x_i, \dots, x_n}.$$

We turn $\Lambda^\bullet(X)$ into a dg-algebra by letting

$$(2.6) \quad e^{x_0, \dots, x_n} \cdot e^{y_0, \dots, y_m} = \begin{cases} e^{x_0, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m}, & y_0 = x_n \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Consider the dg-subalgebra $\mathcal{R}^\bullet(X) \subseteq \Lambda^\bullet(X)$, where $\mathcal{R}^n(X)$ is spanned by the elements e^{x_0, \dots, x_n} such that $x_i \neq x_{i+1}$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. A reader familiar with simplicial methods may note that $\Lambda^\bullet(X)$ has an obvious structure of a cosimplicial algebra, where the codegeneracy map $\sigma^i : \Lambda^n(X) \rightarrow \Lambda^{n-1}(X)$ is trivial on e^{x_0, \dots, x_n} if $x_i \neq x_{i+1}$ and $\sigma^i(e^{x_0, \dots, x_n}) = e^{x_0, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_n}$ if $x_i = x_{i+1}$. Then $\mathcal{R}^\bullet(X)$ is the associated normalised dg-algebra.

For a digraph G , let us define the dg-algebra $\Omega^\bullet(G)$ of path cochains. First we set $\mathcal{R}^\bullet(G) = \mathcal{R}^\bullet(V(G))$. Denote by $\mathcal{N}^n(G) \subseteq \mathcal{R}^n(G)$ the subspace spanned by elements e^{x_0, \dots, x_n} , where (x_0, \dots, x_n) is not a path of G . Then $\mathcal{N}^*(G)$ is a graded ideal of $\mathcal{R}^\bullet(G)$ but not necessarily a dg-ideal. Let $\mathcal{J}^\bullet(G) \triangleleft \mathcal{R}^\bullet(G)$ be the smallest dg-ideal containing $\mathcal{N}^*(G)$. Then the n -th component $\mathcal{J}^n(G)$ can be expressed as

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{J}^n(G) = \mathcal{N}^n(G) + \partial(\mathcal{N}^{n-1}(G)).$$

The dg-algebra of path cochains is defined as the quotient algebra

$$(2.8) \quad \Omega^\bullet(G) = \mathcal{R}^\bullet(G) / \mathcal{J}^\bullet(G).$$

2.2. Path cochain algebra as a quadratic algebra. Denote by $\mathbb{K}G$ the path algebra of G . As a module, it is spanned by paths (x_0, \dots, x_n) for $n \geq 0$, including paths of length zero. The product of two paths is defined by

$$(2.9) \quad (x_0, \dots, x_n)(y_0, \dots, y_m) = \begin{cases} (x_0, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_m), & \text{if } x_n = y_0; \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

The unit $1 \in \mathbb{K}G$ is the sum of paths of length zero

$$1 := \sum_{v \in V(G)} (v).$$

Note that $\mathbb{K}G$ is a graded algebra, where the grading of a path (x_0, \dots, x_n) equals its length, written as

$$|(x_0, \dots, x_n)| = n.$$

Let us write $(\mathbb{K}G)^n$ as the n -th component of $\mathbb{K}G$.

For any vertices $x, y \in V(G)$ such that $d(x, y) = 2$, consider the element $t_{x,y}$ defined as the linear sum of all 2-paths (x, v, y) from x to y :

$$(2.10) \quad t_{x,y} = \sum_{v \in V(G)} (x, v, y) \in (\mathbb{K}G)^2.$$

We denote by $T \triangleleft \mathbb{K}G$ the ideal generated by all such elements $t_{x,y}$.

Lemma 2.1.

(1) *There is an isomorphism of graded algebras*

$$(2.11) \quad \varphi : \mathcal{R}^\bullet(G)/\mathcal{N}^\bullet(G) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{K}G$$

sending $e^{x_0, \dots, x_n} + \mathcal{N}^\bullet(G)$ to (x_0, \dots, x_n) , for any path (x_0, \dots, x_n) in G .

(2) *The image of $\mathcal{J}^\bullet(G)/\mathcal{N}^\bullet(G)$ under φ is the ideal T .*

Proof. See [11, Lemmas 5.2 & 5.3]. \square

Theorem 2.2 ([11, Th. 5.4]). *The isomorphism (2.11) induces an isomorphism of dg-algebras*

$$\Omega^\bullet(G) \cong \mathbb{K}G/T,$$

where the differential on $\mathbb{K}G/T$ is defined by the formula

$$\partial(p+T) = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \sum_v (-1)^i (p_0, \dots, p_{i-1}, v, p_i, \dots, p_n) + T,$$

for any path $p = (p_0, p_1, \dots, p_n)$ in G , and v runs over all vertices such that

$$(p_0, \dots, p_{i-1}, v, p_i, \dots, p_n)$$

is a path in G .

2.3. Duality between path chains and cochains. In this subsection, we assume that $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}$ is a field. The isomorphism

$$\mathcal{R}^\bullet(G) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathcal{R}_\bullet(G), \mathbb{F}), \quad e^{x_0, \dots, x_n} \mapsto e_{x_0, \dots, x_n}$$

induces an isomorphism by restricting to $\mathcal{A}_\bullet(G)$:

$$(2.12) \quad \mathcal{R}^\bullet(G)/\mathcal{N}^\bullet(G) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathcal{A}_\bullet(G), \mathbb{F}).$$

Over \mathbb{F} , the above isomorphism (2.12) induces an isomorphism

$$(2.13) \quad \Omega^\bullet(G) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega_\bullet(G), \mathbb{F}).$$

Hence $\Omega^\bullet(G)$ is dual to $\Omega_\bullet(G)$. See [2, Lemma 3.19] or [12, Prop. 3.16] for more details.

Given any n -paths p and q in G , the Kronecker delta $\delta_{p,q}$ determines a non-degenerate bilinear form

$$(2.14) \quad \langle -, - \rangle : \mathcal{A}_n(G) \times (\mathbb{F}G)^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{F} \quad \text{by} \quad \langle e_p, q \rangle = \delta_{p,q}.$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $T^n = T \cap (\mathbb{F}G)^n$ be the n -th component of T . Then $\Omega_n(G)$ is the orthogonal complement of T^n with respect to the bilinear form (2.14).*

Proof. First, we consider the following bilinear form

$$(2.15) \quad \mathcal{R}_n(G) \times \mathcal{R}^n(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}, \quad B(e_p, e^q) = \delta_{p,q},$$

where $\delta_{p,q}$ is the Kronecker delta. With respect to (2.15), one can check that $\mathcal{N}^n(G)$ and $\partial(\mathcal{N}^{n-1}(G))$ are the orthogonal complements of $\mathcal{A}_n(G)$ and $\partial^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_{n-1}(G))$, respectively. Then it follows from (2.4) that the orthogonal complement of $\Omega_n(G)$ with respect to the bilinear form (2.15) is

$$\mathcal{J}^n(G) = \mathcal{N}^n(G) + \partial(\mathcal{N}^{n-1}(G)).$$

Second, the bilinear form (2.14) is induced from (2.15) by restricting $\mathcal{R}_n(G)$ to $\mathcal{A}_n(G)$ and the identifications

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathcal{A}_n, \mathbb{F}) \cong \mathcal{R}^n(G)/\mathcal{N}^n(G) \xrightarrow{\varphi} (\mathbb{F}G)^n,$$

where φ is an isomorphism given in (2.11). Hence the orthogonal complement of $\Omega_n(G)$ with respect to (2.14) is the image of $\mathcal{J}^n(G)/\mathcal{N}^n(G)$ under φ , which is T^n by Lemma 2.1. \square

Corollary 2.4. *There is a non-degenerate bilinear form*

$$\langle -, - \rangle : \Omega_n(G) \times (\mathbb{F}G/T)^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}$$

defined by $\langle \sum_p \alpha_p \cdot e_p, q + T^n \rangle = \sum_p \alpha_p \cdot \delta_{p,q}$, where $\delta_{p,q}$ is the Kronecker delta.

2.4. Rational and integral path (co)homology.

Proposition 2.5. *For any finite graph G , there are isomorphisms*

$$(2.16) \quad \Omega_\bullet(G; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \Omega_\bullet(G; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \quad \text{PH}_*(G; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \text{PH}_*(G; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q};$$

$$(2.17) \quad \Omega^\bullet(G; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \Omega^\bullet(G; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}, \quad \text{PH}^*(G; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \text{PH}^*(G; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

Proof. It is obvious that there are isomorphisms

$$(2.18) \quad \mathcal{R}_\bullet(G; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathcal{R}_\bullet(G; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } \mathcal{A}_*(G; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathcal{A}_*(G; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

For any commutative ring \mathbb{K} , there is an exact sequence

$$(2.19) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \Omega_n(G; \mathbb{K}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_n(G; \mathbb{K}) \xrightarrow{f} \frac{\mathcal{R}_n(G; \mathbb{K})}{\mathcal{A}_n(G; \mathbb{K})} \oplus \frac{\mathcal{R}_{n-1}(G; \mathbb{K})}{\mathcal{A}_{n-1}(G; \mathbb{K})},$$

where $f(x) = (x + \mathcal{A}_n, \partial(x) + \mathcal{A}_{n-1})$ (see [12, Lemma 3.4]), and the map

$$\Omega_n(G; \mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_n(G; \mathbb{K})$$

is an embedding. Since $- \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is an exact functor, we obtain a diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_n(G; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}_n(G; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \longrightarrow & \left(\frac{\mathcal{R}_n(G; \mathbb{Z})}{\mathcal{A}_n(G; \mathbb{Z})} \oplus \frac{\mathcal{R}_{n-1}(G; \mathbb{Z})}{\mathcal{A}_{n-1}(G; \mathbb{Z})} \right) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_n(G; \mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}_n(G; \mathbb{Q}) & \longrightarrow & \frac{\mathcal{R}_n(G; \mathbb{Q})}{\mathcal{A}_n(G; \mathbb{Q})} \oplus \frac{\mathcal{R}_{n-1}(G; \mathbb{Q})}{\mathcal{A}_{n-1}(G; \mathbb{Q})} \end{array}$$

where the top row is obtained from (2.19) for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Z}$ tensored with \mathbb{Q} . The right two vertical maps are isomorphism by (2.18), so is the left one. This means

$$(2.20) \quad \Omega_\bullet(G; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \Omega_\bullet(G; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

Since $- \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is exact, it commutes with homology. Hence the isomorphism (2.20) implies

$$\text{PH}_*(G; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \text{PH}_*(G; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

The argument for the dual version is similar. It is based on the usage of the exact sequence

$$(2.21) \quad \mathcal{N}^n(G; \mathbb{K}) \oplus \mathcal{N}^{n-1}(G; \mathbb{K}) \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{R}^n(G; \mathbb{K}) \longrightarrow \Omega^n(G; \mathbb{K}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $g(x, y) = x + \partial(y)$. \square

3. Graphs of short moves

To describe a basis for the path (co)chain complex, we introduce the concept of short moves between two different n -paths in a digraph G . This is central to defining the graph of short moves and its properties, which will be detailed next.

3.1. Short moves. For $n \geq 0$, we say that two different n -paths

$$p = (p_0, \dots, p_n) \text{ and } q = (q_0, \dots, q_n)$$

in G differ by a short move, if there is $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ such that $p_j = q_j$ for each $j \neq i$ and $d(p_{i-1}, p_{i+1}) = 2$. In particular, if $n = 0, 1$ there are no short moves between n -paths.

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} p_0 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & p_{i-1} & & p_{i+1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & p_n \\ & & & & \nearrow & & \searrow & & & & \\ & & & & & p_i & & & & & \\ & & & & \searrow & & \nearrow & & & & \\ & & & & & q_i & & & & & \end{array}$$

Note that the equality $d(p_{i-1}, p_{i+1}) = 2$ means that there is no arrow from p_{i-1} to p_{i+1} and $p_{i-1} \neq p_{i+1}$. If we need to specify the index i , we say that it is a short move of type i .

Definition 3.1. For $n \geq 0$, the graph of short moves of G , denoted by $\mathcal{S}_n = \mathcal{S}_n(G)$, is an undirected simple graph whose vertices are n -paths of G , and there is an edge between two distinct n -paths if they differ by a short move. Connected components of \mathcal{S}_n are called \mathcal{S}_n -classes. We also label the edges of \mathcal{S}_n with $n-1$ colors. An edge has color i if it corresponds to a short move of type i .

Note that \mathcal{S}_0 is a discrete graph consisting of the vertices of G , and \mathcal{S}_1 is a discrete graph whose vertices correspond to arrows in G .

Remark 3.2. In [11], the authors introduced a more general notion of ℓ -short move for any $\ell \geq 2$, such that the short moves defined above are 2-short moves. One can similarly define a graph $\mathcal{S}_{n,\ell}$ using ℓ -short moves for $\ell \geq 2$. The ℓ -short moves lead to an equivalence relation on paths, called ℓ -short congruence. This congruence relation was used in [11] for the magnitude homology theory. Specifically, it was used to describe digraphs such that $\text{MH}_{2,k}(G; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for any $k > \ell$.


Example 3.3. Let G be one of the two following digraphs:

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & 1 & \longrightarrow & 2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 2 & \longrightarrow & 4 & \longrightarrow & 5 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & 1 & \longrightarrow & 2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 2 & \longrightarrow & 4 & \longrightarrow & 5 \end{array}$$


Then \mathcal{S}_3 can be described as follows.

$$(3.3) \quad 0125 \xrightarrow{2} 0145 \xrightarrow{1} 0245$$

Example 3.4. For both of the digraphs




\mathcal{S}_3 is a line graph with an odd number of vertices




Example 3.5. Let G be a directed 3-cube.




Then \mathcal{S}_3 is a hexagon.



Example 3.6. Let G be a “graph of trapezohedron”.



Then \mathcal{S}_3 is an even cycle.



3.2. Thin, thick and bipartite \mathcal{S}_n -classes. We say that G is a digraph without multisquares if for any two vertices x, y such that $d(x, y) = 2$, there are at most two distinct 2-paths from x to y .

Definition 3.7. Let G be a digraph without multisquares.

- (1) A pair (x, y) with $d(x, y) = 2$ is called *thin* if there is only one 2-path from x to y , and *thick* if there are two different 2-paths from x to y .
- (2) An n -path (p_0, p_1, \dots, p_n) is called *thin* if there exists $0 < i < n$ such that the pair (p_{i-1}, p_{i+1}) is thin. An \mathcal{S}_n -class is called *thin* if it contains at least one thin path. An \mathcal{S}_n -class is *thick* if it is not thin.

In other words, an \mathcal{S}_n -class is thick if all its elements are not thin paths. In particular, every \mathcal{S}_n -class is thick if $n = 0$ or 1 . In Examples 3.3 and 3.4, the \mathcal{S}_3 -class is thin for the left-hand digraph and thick for the right-hand digraph. In Examples 3.5 and 3.6 the \mathcal{S}_3 -classes are thick.

Definition 3.8. An \mathcal{S}_n -class is called *bipartite* if it is bipartite as a graph.

In Examples 3.3, 3.4, 3.5 and 3.6, the \mathcal{S}_3 -classes are bipartite. In Subsection 5.4, we will give an example that has a non-bipartite \mathcal{S}_4 -class due to the existence of a 9-cycle in this \mathcal{S}_4 -class.

Proposition 3.9. *Let G be a digraph without multisquares. Then any \mathcal{S}_n -class is bipartite for $n \leq 3$. In other words, the graph \mathcal{S}_n is bipartite for $n \leq 3$.*

Proof. For $n \leq 2$ the statement is obvious. Let us prove it for $n = 3$. The graph \mathcal{S}_3 has edge labelled by two colors 1 and 2. Since G has no multisquares, any vertex p of \mathcal{S}_3 is adjacent to at most one edge of color 1 and at most one edge of color 2. Therefore any vertex of \mathcal{S}_3 has degree at most 2. Hence \mathcal{S}_3 is a union of cycles and line graphs. Moreover, the two edges have different colors for any vertex of \mathcal{S}_3 with degree two. It follows that all the cycles are even. Therefore \mathcal{S}_3 is bipartite. \square

4. The basis of the path (co)chain complex

In this section, a digraph G is assumed to be without multisquares unless stated otherwise. We continue to let \mathbb{K} be a commutative ring and \mathbb{F} be a field.

4.1. The basis of Ω^n . The following lemma is used to describe the basis of $\Omega^n(G)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let Γ be an undirected simple graph, $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{K} \cdot V(\Gamma)$ be the free module generated by the set of vertices, and $\mathcal{E} \leq \mathcal{V}$ be its submodule generated by elements of the form $v + v'$, where $(v, v') \in E(\Gamma)$. Assume that $B \subseteq V(\Gamma)$ is a complete set of representatives of bipartite connected components of Γ , and $N \subseteq V(\Gamma)$ is a complete set of representatives of non-bipartite connected components of Γ . Then the obvious maps $B, N \rightarrow \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{E}$ induce an isomorphism*

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{E} \cong (\mathbb{K} \cdot B) \oplus ((\mathbb{K}/2\mathbb{K}) \cdot N).$$

Proof. We present $\Gamma = \coprod_i \Gamma_i$ as a disjoint union of its connected components. For each Γ_i we obtain a free module \mathcal{V}_i generated by $V(\Gamma_i)$ and a submodule $\mathcal{E}_i \subseteq \mathcal{V}_i$ generated by the sums $v + v'$ where $(v, v') \in E(\Gamma_i)$. It is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{V} = \bigoplus_i \mathcal{V}_i, \quad \mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_i \mathcal{E}_i, \quad \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{E} \cong \bigoplus_i \mathcal{V}_i/\mathcal{E}_i.$$

Therefore, it suffices to prove the statement for a connected graph Γ .

Assume that Γ is a connected bipartite graph. We present the set of its vertices as the disjoint union $V(\Gamma) = U \sqcup W$ such that there are no edges between vertices of U , and there are no edges between vertices of W . It is easy to see that in this case for any the module \mathcal{E} is generated by elements of the form $u - u', w - w', u + w$ where $u, u' \in U, w, w' \in W$. Then we can consider a homomorphism $f : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$

sending all elements of U to 1, all elements of W to -1 and prove that $\mathcal{E} = \text{Ker}(f)$. Therefore $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{E} \cong \mathbb{K}$.

Assume that Γ is connected non-bipartite graph. Then there is an odd cycle: a sequence of vertices v_0, \dots, v_{2k+1} such that $(v_i, v_{i+1}) \in E(\Gamma)$ and $v_{2k+1} = v_0$. Summing up the elements $(-1)^i(v_i + v_{i+1}) \in \mathcal{E}$, we obtain $2v_0 \in \mathcal{E}$. Since Γ is connected, this implies that $2v \in \mathcal{E}$ for any vertex v . Therefore \mathcal{E} is generated by all elements of the form $2v$ and $v - v'$, where $(v, v') \in E(\Gamma)$. Consider a homomorphism $f : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}/2\mathbb{K}$ sending v to $1 \in \mathbb{K}/2\mathbb{K}$. It is easy to see that $\text{Ker}(f) = \mathcal{E}$. Therefore $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{E} \cong \mathbb{K}/2\mathbb{K}$. \square

We write the ideal $T \triangleleft \mathbb{K}G$ as the sum of two ideals

$$(4.2) \quad T = T_{\text{thick}} + T_{\text{thin}},$$

where T_{thick} is generated by elements $t_{x,y}$ for thick pairs (x, y) , and T_{thin} is generated by elements $t_{x,y}$ for thin pairs (x, y) .

Lemma 4.2.

- (1) The n -th component T_{thick}^n is spanned by elements in the form $q + q'$, where (q, q') is an edge of \mathcal{S}_n ;
- (2) The n -th component T_{thin}^n is spanned by thin n -paths.

Proof. The assertions follow immediately from the definitions of thin and thick pairs. \square

In what follows, we identify $\Omega^\bullet(G; \mathbb{K})$ and $\mathbb{K}G/T$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let \mathbb{K} be a commutative ring, and G be a digraph without multisquares. Let B be a complete set of representatives of thick bipartite \mathcal{S}_n -classes, and N be a complete set of representatives of thick non-bipartite \mathcal{S}_n -classes. Then the obvious maps $\mathbb{K} \cdot B, \mathbb{K} \cdot N \rightarrow \Omega^n(G; \mathbb{K})$ induce an isomorphism of \mathbb{K} -modules*

$$(4.3) \quad \Omega^n(G; \mathbb{K}) \cong (\mathbb{K} \cdot B) \oplus ((\mathbb{K}/2\mathbb{K}) \cdot N).$$

Moreover, thin \mathcal{S}_n -classes map to zero in $\Omega^n(G; \mathbb{K})$.

Proof. By Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 (1), we obtain an isomorphism

$$(\mathbb{K}G/T_{\text{thick}})^n \cong (\mathbb{K} \cdot B') \oplus ((\mathbb{K}/2\mathbb{K}) \cdot N'),$$

where B' is a complete set of representatives of bipartite \mathcal{S}_n -classes and N' is a complete set of representatives of non-bipartite \mathcal{S}_n -classes. The image of the map

$$T_{\text{thin}}^n \longrightarrow (\mathbb{K}G/T_{\text{thick}})^n$$

is spanned by representatives of thin \mathcal{S}_n -classes, from which the assertion follows. \square

We apply Theorem 4.3 to the case where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{F}$ is a field.

Corollary 4.4.

- (1) If $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$, then a complete set of representatives of thick \mathcal{S}_n -classes is a basis of $\Omega^n(G; \mathbb{F})$.
- (2) If $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$, then a complete set of representatives of thick bipartite \mathcal{S}_n -classes is a basis of $\Omega^n(G; \mathbb{F})$.

Corollary 4.5. *For any two fields \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{F}' , we have*

$$(4.4) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega^n(G; \mathbb{F})) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}'}(\Omega^n(G; \mathbb{F}')), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq n \leq 3.$$

Proof. For $n = 0, 1$ it is obvious. For $n = 2$, it is known that the dimension of $\Omega^2(G; \mathbb{F})$ is the number of triangles plus the number of squares. For $n = 3$ it follows from the fact that there are no non-bipartite short \mathcal{S}_3 -classes (Proposition 3.9). \square

Corollary 4.6. *Suppose that G has a thick non-bipartite \mathcal{S}_n -class. Then $\Omega^n(G; \mathbb{Z})$ has 2-torsion and*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Omega^n(G; \mathbb{Q})) < \dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}(\Omega^n(G; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})).$$

4.2. The dual basis of Ω_n . Now we work over a field \mathbb{F} . Again assume G has no multisquares. For each thick bipartite \mathcal{S}_n -class c , we choose a partition

$$c = c^+ \sqcup c^-$$

such that there are no short moves between elements inside c^+ , and between elements inside c^- . Then we set

$$(4.5) \quad s_c = \sum_{p \in c^+} e_p - \sum_{p \in c^-} e_p.$$

If $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$, for each thick \mathcal{S}_n -class c (not necessarily bipartite), we also set

$$(4.6) \quad s'_c = \sum_{p \in c} e_p.$$

Theorem 4.7. *The following statements hold:*

- (1) *If $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2$, then the elements of the form s'_c form a basis of $\Omega_n(G)$, where c runs over all thick \mathcal{S}_n -classes.*
- (2) *If $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2$, then the elements of the form s_c form a basis of $\Omega_n(G)$, where c runs over all thick bipartite \mathcal{S}_n -classes.*

Moreover, these bases are dual to bases described in Corollary 4.4 with respect to the non-degenerate bilinear form described in Corollary 2.4.

Proof. Let us prove part (2); the proof of part (1) is similar. First of all, we need to check that $s_c \in \Omega_n(G)$ for any thick bipartite \mathcal{S}_n -class c . By Lemmas 2.3 and 4.2, it suffices to check that s_c is orthogonal to $p + q$ for $(p, q) \in E(\mathcal{S}_n)$ and orthogonal to any thin n -path p . If p is a thin n -path, then $p \notin c$, so s_c is obviously orthogonal to p . If $(p, q) \in E(\mathcal{S}_n)$, then they are in the same \mathcal{S}_n -class. If $p, q \notin c$, again, it is obvious that s_c is orthogonal to $p + q$. Assume that $p, q \in c$. Since $(p, q) \in E(\mathcal{S}_n)$, we obtain either $p \in c^+$ and $q \in c^-$, or $p \in c^-$ and $q \in c^+$. Without loss of generality we assume that $p \in c^+$ and $q \in c^-$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \sum_{r \in c^+} e_r, p \right\rangle &= 1, & \left\langle \sum_{r \in c^-} e_r, p \right\rangle &= 0; \\ \left\langle \sum_{r \in c^-} e_r, q \right\rangle &= 1, & \left\langle \sum_{r \in c^+} e_r, q \right\rangle &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\langle s_c, p + q \rangle = 0$.

Consider a complete set $B \subseteq \Omega^n(G)$ of representatives of thick bipartite \mathcal{S}_n -classes, which is a basis of $\Omega^n(G)$ by Corollary 4.4. For any $b \in B$ we have

$$\langle s_c, b \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } b \in c; \\ 0 & \text{if } b \notin c. \end{cases}$$

Therefore the elements s_c form the dual basis of B in $\Omega_n(G)$. \square

Remark 4.8. For $n = 3$ our basis of $\Omega_3(G; \mathbb{F})$ coincides with the basis of trapezohedral paths and their merging images constructed by Grigor'yan in [5, Theorem 2.10]. This follows from the proof of [5, Theorem 2.10], in which the graph P considered coincides with the connected component of $\mathcal{S}_3(G)$.

5. Nonexistence of spaces

In this section we introduce the notion of the path Euler characteristic of a digraph and demonstrate its use in proving the nonexistence of spaces whose homology coincides with the path homology of certain digraphs with coefficients in different commutative rings simultaneously.

5.1. Euler characteristic. We say that a space X has homology of finite type if the integral homology group $H_n(X; \mathbb{Z})$ is finitely generated for any n . Similarly, a chain complex C_\bullet of free abelian groups has homology of finite type if $H_n(C_\bullet)$ is finitely generated for any n .

Given a chain complex C_\bullet of free abelian groups and a field \mathbb{F} , we say that the Euler characteristic $\chi^\mathbb{F}(C_\bullet)$ of C_\bullet over \mathbb{F} is defined, if $H_n(C_\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F})$ is finitely dimensional for all n , and equals to zero for all but a finite number of n 's. In this case, we set

$$(5.1) \quad \chi^\mathbb{F}(C_\bullet) = \sum_n (-1)^n \dim_{\mathbb{F}}(H_n(C_\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F})).$$

The following lemma seems to be known but we add it here for completeness.

Lemma 5.1. *Let C_\bullet be a chain complex of free abelian groups with homology of finite type and p be a prime. Suppose $\chi^\mathbb{Q}(C_\bullet)$ and $\chi^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(C_\bullet)$ are defined. Then*

$$\chi^\mathbb{Q}(C_\bullet) = \chi^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(C_\bullet).$$

Proof. Since C_\bullet has homology of finite type, we obtain

$$H_n(C_\bullet) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{a_n} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{b_n} \oplus A_n,$$

where A_n is a finite abelian group that does not have p -torsion. By the universal coefficient theorem for chain complexes [15, Th.3.6.2], we have

$$H_n(C_\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}^{a_n} \text{ and } H_n(C_\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{a_n + b_n + b_{n-1}}.$$

The hypothesis that $\chi^\mathbb{Q}(C_\bullet)$ and $\chi^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(C_\bullet)$ are defined implies that a_n and b_n are zero for all but a finite number of n 's. Therefore, we have

$$\chi^\mathbb{Q}(C_\bullet) = \sum_n (-1)^n a_n = \sum_n (-1)^n (a_n + b_n + b_{n-1}) = \chi^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(C_\bullet). \quad \square$$

In the next example, we see that the condition of having homology of finite type is sufficient for the statement of Lemma 5.1.

Example 5.2. Let C_\bullet be a free resolution of the abelian group \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} (a chain complex of free abelian groups such that $C_n = 0$ for $n < 0$, whose homology is concentrated in degree 0, and isomorphic to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}). Then $\chi^\mathbb{Q}(C_\bullet)$ and $\chi^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(C_\bullet)$ are defined but

$$(5.2) \quad 0 = \chi^\mathbb{Q}(C_\bullet) \neq \chi^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(C_\bullet) = -1.$$

This is because the homology of $C_\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is trivial, and the homology of $C_\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ is concentrated in degree one: $H_1(C_\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Tor}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

5.2. Path Euler characteristic. Let \mathbb{F} be a field. The path Euler characteristic $\chi^{\mathbb{F}}(G)$ of a finite digraph G (may contain multisquares) is defined, if the path homology groups $\text{PH}_n(G; \mathbb{F})$ are trivial for all but a finite number of n 's. In this case, we set

$$(5.3) \quad \chi^{\mathbb{F}}(G) = \sum_n (-1)^n \dim_{\mathbb{F}}(\text{PH}_n(G; \mathbb{F})).$$

If there exists m such that $\Omega_m(G; \mathbb{F}) = 0$, then $\Omega_n(G; \mathbb{F}) = 0$ for $n \geq m$. In this case,

$$(5.4) \quad \chi^{\mathbb{F}}(G) = \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} (-1)^n \dim_{\mathbb{F}}(\Omega_n(G; \mathbb{F})).$$

In the case that G has no multisquares, we apply Corollary 4.4 to compute the path Euler characteristics. Denote by N_n the number of thick bipartite \mathcal{S}_n -classes and by N'_n the number of thick \mathcal{S}_n -classes. Then we have

$$(5.5) \quad \chi^{\mathbb{F}}(G) = \begin{cases} \sum_n (-1)^n N_n, & \text{if } \text{char}(\mathbb{F}) \neq 2 \text{ and } N_m = 0 \text{ for some } m; \\ \sum_n (-1)^n N'_n, & \text{if } \text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 2 \text{ and } N'_m = 0 \text{ for some } m. \end{cases}$$

5.3. Path homology and nonexistence of spaces.

Proposition 5.3. *Let G be a finite digraph and p be a prime such that the path Euler characteristics $\chi^{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$ and $\chi^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(G)$ are defined. Suppose $\chi^{\mathbb{Q}}(G) \neq \chi^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(G)$. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) *There is no space X such that there are isomorphisms of graded abelian groups*

$$\text{PH}_*(G; \mathbb{K}) \cong H_*(X; \mathbb{K}) \quad \text{for } \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}.$$

- (2) *There is no space X with homology of finite type such that there are isomorphisms of vector spaces*

$$\text{PH}_*(G; \mathbb{F}) \cong H_*(X; \mathbb{F}) \quad \text{for } \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}.$$

- (3) *There is no chain complex C_{\bullet} of free abelian groups with homology of finite type such that*

$$\text{PH}_*(G; \mathbb{F}) \cong H_*(C_{\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}) \quad \text{for } \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}.$$

- (4) *There exists n such that there is no ‘‘universal coefficient’’ short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \text{PH}_n(G; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{PH}_n(G; \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(\text{PH}_{n-1}(G; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. We first show part (3), while part (2) follows from (3). Assume the contrary, that C_{\bullet} is a chain complex of free abelian groups of finite homology type such that

$$H_n(C_{\bullet} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}) \cong \text{PH}_n(G; \mathbb{F}) \quad \text{for } \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}.$$

Then $\chi^{\mathbb{Q}}(C_{\bullet})$ and $\chi^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(C_{\bullet})$ are defined. Lemma 5.1 implies $\chi^{\mathbb{Q}}(C_{\bullet}) = \chi^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(C_{\bullet})$, so $\chi^{\mathbb{Q}}(G) = \chi^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(G)$ by assumption. This makes a contradiction.

Next we prove part (1). Suppose there is a space X such that

$$\text{PH}_*(G; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}).$$

As G is finite, the space X has finite homology type. By Proposition 2.5, we have

$$\text{PH}_*(G; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \text{PH}_*(G; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong H_*(X; \mathbb{Q}).$$

This contradicts to part (2).

Finally, we prove part (4). We follow an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 5.1. Assume the contrary. Since G is finite, we have

$$\text{PH}_n(G; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{a_n} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{b_n} \oplus A_n,$$

where A_n is a finite abelian group without p -torsion. By Proposition 2.5, we have $\text{PH}_n(G; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}^{a_n}$. By assumption, we have $\text{PH}_n(G; \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{a_n+b_n+b_{n-1}}$. The fact that $\chi^{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$ and $\chi^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(G)$ are defined implies that a_n and b_n are zero for all but a finite number of n 's. Therefore

$$\chi^{\mathbb{Q}}(G) = \sum_n (-1)^n a_n = \sum_n (-1)^n (a_n + b_n + b_{n-1}) = \chi^{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}}(G),$$

which makes a contradiction. □


Next we will give an explicit example that satisfies the assumption of Proposition 5.3.

5.4. The main example. Let us first construct a auxiliary example of a digraph \mathcal{G}' , and later we will construct the main example \mathcal{G} , which will be a modification of \mathcal{G}' .


The digraph \mathcal{G}' has vertices x_0, x_4 and x_j^i , where $i \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \leq j \leq 3$, with arrows:

$$x_0 \rightarrow x_1^i, x_3^i \rightarrow x_4, x_j^i \rightarrow x_{j+1}^i \text{ and } x_j^i \rightarrow x_{j+1}^{i+1} \text{ for } j = 1, 2 \text{ and } i \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}.$$

We picture it below.



A 4-path in \mathcal{G}' has the form $(x_0, x_1^{i_1}, x_2^{i_2}, x_3^{i_3}, x_4)$, where $i_{k+1} \in \{i_k, i_k + 1\}$. Therefore, there are $3 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 = 12$ paths of length 4. Let us denote them by $p_{i_1 i_2 i_3} = (x_0, x_1^{i_1}, x_2^{i_2}, x_3^{i_3}, x_4)$. Then the digraph \mathcal{S}_4 can be drawn as follows.




Note that this graph contains a 9-cycle, and hence, the \mathcal{S}_4 -class is non-bipartite.

It is easy to check that the only thin pairs of \mathcal{G}' are these six pairs

$$(5.8) \quad (x_1^i, x_3^i), \quad (x_1^i, x_3^{i+2}) \quad \text{for } i \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}.$$

Therefore the underlined paths on the picture are the thin 4-paths in \mathcal{G}' . So the \mathcal{S}_4 -class of \mathcal{G}' is thin and vanishes in $\Omega^4(\mathcal{G}', \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. Further we will add more arrows to the digraph so that the corresponding \mathcal{S}_4 -class will be thick.

The digraph \mathcal{G} is obtained from \mathcal{G}' by adding six additional arrows connecting thin pairs of \mathcal{G}' , namely the pairs (x_1^i, x_3^i) and (x_1^i, x_3^{i+2}) for $i \in \mathbb{Z}/3$.



Note that $\mathcal{S}_4(\mathcal{G}) = \mathcal{S}_4(\mathcal{G}')$. However (x_1^i, x_3^i) and (x_1^i, x_3^{i+2}) are not thin pairs of \mathcal{G} , because $d(x_1^i, x_3^i) = d(x_1^i, x_3^{i+2}) = 1$ in \mathcal{G} .

Theorem 5.4. *For the digraph \mathcal{G} defined in (5.9), the following statements hold:*

- (1) *The rational and mod-2 path Euler characteristics of \mathcal{G} are not equal*

$$\chi^{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{G}) \neq \chi^{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{G}).$$

- (2) *$\Omega^4(\mathcal{G}; \mathbb{Z})$ has 2-torsion.*

Proof. We first check that \mathcal{G} has no multisquares and has no thin pairs. It is easy to check that the pairs (x, y) such that $d(x, y) = 2$ are the pairs in the form

$$(5.10) \quad (x_0, x_2^i), (x_0, x_3^i), (x_1^i, x_3^{i+1}), (x_1^i, x_4), (x_2^i, x_4).$$

For pairs (x, y) in (5.10), there are exactly two vertices v_1, v_2 such that (x, v_i, y) is a path:

$$\begin{aligned} (x_0, x_2^i) &: x_1^i, x_1^{i-1}; \\ (x_0, x_3^i) &: x_1^i, x_1^{i+1}; \\ (x_1^i, x_3^{i+1}) &: x_2^i, x_2^{i+1}; \\ (x_1^i, x_4) &: x_3^i, x_3^{i+2}; \\ (x_2^i, x_4) &: x_3^i, x_3^{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore \mathcal{G} has no multisquares, and has no thin paths.

The graph $\mathcal{S}_4(\mathcal{G})$ coincides with the graph $\mathcal{S}_4(G)$ drawn in (5.7). It follows that all 4-paths of \mathcal{G} form a thick non-bipartite \mathcal{S}_4 -class. By Theorems 4.7 and 2.2 we have

$$\Omega_4(\mathcal{G}; \mathbb{Q}) = 0, \quad \Omega_4(\mathcal{G}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \quad \Omega^4(\mathcal{G}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

Since there are no paths of length ≥ 5 , we obtain $\Omega_n(G; \mathbb{F}) = 0$ for $n \geq 5$ and any field \mathbb{F} . On the other hand by Corollary 4.5, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Omega_n(\mathcal{G}; \mathbb{Q})) = \dim_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}(\Omega_n(\mathcal{G}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})) \text{ for } n \leq 3.$$

It follows that

$$(5.11) \quad \chi^{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{G}) = \chi^{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{G}) - 1. \quad \square$$

Corollary 5.5. *The statements in Proposition 5.3 hold for \mathcal{G} .* \square

References

- [1] Yasuhiko Asao. “Magnitude homology and path homology”. In: *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society* 55.1 (2023), pp. 375–398.
- [2] Alexander Grigor’yan, Yong Lin, Yuri Muranov, and Shing-Tung Yau. “Homologies of path complexes and digraphs”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:1207.2834* (2012).
- [3] Alexander Grigor’yan, Yong Lin, Yuri Muranov, and Shing-Tung Yau. “Homotopy theory for digraphs”. In: *Pure and Applied Mathematics Quarterly* 10.4 (2014), pp. 619–674.
- [4] Alexander Grigor’yan, Yuri Muranov, and Shing-Tung Yau. “Graphs associated with simplicial complexes”. In: *Homology, Homotopy and Applications* 16.1 (2014), pp. 295–311.
- [5] Alexander Grigor’yan. “Advances in path homology theory of digraphs”. In: (2022).
- [6] Alexander Grigor’yan, Rolando Jimenez, Yuri Muranov, and Shing-Tung Yau. “On the path homology theory of digraphs and Eilenberg–Steenrod axioms”. In: *Homology, Homotopy and Applications* 20.2 (2018), pp. 179–205.
- [7] Alexander Grigor’yan, Yong Lin, Yuri Muranov, and Shing-Tung Yau. “Path complexes and their homologies”. In: *Journal of Mathematical Sciences* 248.5 (2020), pp. 564–599.
- [8] Alexander Grigor’yan, Yuri Muranov, Vladimir Vershinin, and Shing-Tung Yau. “Path homology theory of multigraphs and quivers”. In: 30.5 (2018), pp. 1319–1337.

- [9] Alexander Grigor'yan, Yuri Muranov, and Shing-Tung Yau. “Homologies of digraphs and Künneth formulas”. In: *Communications in Analysis and Geometry* 25.5 (2017), pp. 969–1018.
- [10] Richard Hepworth and Emily Roff. “Bigraded path homology and the magnitude-path spectral sequence”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.06689* (2024).
- [11] Sergei O Ivanov and Lev Mukoseev. “On diagonal digraphs, Koszul algebras and triangulations of homology spheres”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.04748* (2024).
- [12] Sergei O Ivanov and Fedor Pavutnitskiy. “Simplicial approach to path homology of quivers, marked categories, groups and algebras”. In: *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* 109.1 (2024), e12812.
- [13] Sergei O. Ivanov. “Nested homotopy models of finite metric spaces and their spectral homology”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.11878* (2023).
- [14] Jingyan Li, Jie Wu, Shing-Tung Yau, and Mengmeng Zhang. “Homotopy Groups and Puppe Sequence of Digraphs”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.01110* (2024).
- [15] Charles A Weibel. *An introduction to homological algebra*. 38. Cambridge university press, 1995.

BEIJING INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND APPLICATIONS (BIMSA)
Email address: x.fu@bimsa.cn

BEIJING INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND APPLICATIONS (BIMSA)
Email address: ivanov.s.o.1986@gmail.com, ivanov.s.o.1986@bimsa.cn