

Self-similarity in partial differential equations and critical phenomena: renormalization group theory for interfacial hydrodynamics

Ikumi Yoshino¹ and Ko Okumura^{1*}

*Physics Department and Soft Matter Center,
Ochanomizu University, 2-1-1 Ohtsuka,
Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112-8610, Japan*

(Dated:)

Abstract

Self-similar dynamics, often described by partial differential equations (PDEs) without noise terms, have been widely observed in nature and have attracted considerable attention from researchers in various fields, including physics, fluid mechanics, applied mathematics, and chemical engineering. The concept of universality class and the renormalization group (RG) theory, emerged from critical phenomena, which also have been widely observed in nature, are pillars of modern physics, with guiding the recent development of physics. While self-similarity appearing in fluid dynamics reminds physicists of critical phenomena, deep connections between them have not been explored. Here, we focus on a variation of a daily phenomenon, a drop falling from a faucet, and demonstrate self-similar dynamics for the shape of liquid-air interface in confined space with a scaling law for a characteristic length scale, which leads to a clear correspondence between the present self-similar dynamics and critical phenomena by identifying critical exponents, which define a universality class. We not only show that the observed master curve describing the self-similar shape is a solution to the Navier-Stokes equations, but also develop a renormalization group (RG) theory for the governing PDE to show the fixed point of RG corresponds to the solution, which becomes stable with time due to a scaling crossover. Our results will impact on our understanding of critical, scaling, and singular behaviors widely observed in nature.

I. INTRODUCTION

Self-similar solutions for partial differential equations (PDEs), such as Navier-Stokes equations and Einstein's equation in general relativity, have attracted considerable attention from researchers in the field such as hydrodynamics, soft and hard condensed matter physics, high-energy physics, cosmology, and applied mathematics [1, 2]. Focusing on hydrodynamics, self-similar dynamics have been discussed in viscous instability [3], drop coalescence [4–7], electro-hydrodynamic spout [8], fluid jet eruption [9], flow-induced air entrainment [10–12], selective withdrawal [13], and capillary leveling [14, 15]. Among them, breakup of fluid drop, which happens with a change in topology, has been extensively studied [16–20] and similarity with critical phenomena has been discussed especially in the early stage [21–23].

On the other hand, critical phenomena [24–26], which have been observed at all scales in many different realms, ranging from quantum phase transition [27] to polymer physics [28] and gravitational collapse of stars [29, 30], have generated the renormalization group [25, 26, 28], a powerful tool important in problems across physics, and play a crucial role in the development of modern physics. For example, recent progress in non-equilibrium statistical physics [31, 32] as well as active matter [33] has been guided by the concept of universality classes that have emerged from the physics of critical phenomena. A universality class is defined by a set of critical exponents, which describe critical behaviors (or scaling behaviors, which are frequently singular) of physical quantities near the critical point, where the values of the exponents are universally shared by the members of the class. Identifying and understanding of universality classes in various problems have been one of principal results, and thus a strong drive, in the development of modern physics. Such universality classes have been discussed for various issues not only in classic ferromagnetic systems (e.g., Ising, XY and Heisenberg classes) [24–26] but also in many more recent topics of interest, such as directed percolation [34] in out-of-equilibrium phase transitions, Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation [35] in kinetic roughening, and the Vicsek model [36] in active matter.




FIG. 1: (a) Experimental setup. A metal disk of thickness D_0 ($= 2.0 - 3.5$ mm) and radius R (10 to 15 mm) falls in the cell of thickness D (3 to 4.5 mm) filled with a viscous liquid of kinematic viscosity ν (1 to 50 St). The disk entrains air into the liquid, which finally detaches from the disk. The difference between D and D_0 defines the liquid film thickness e . (b) Snapshots at breakup and after breakup illustrating the setting of axes for $(e, D_0, R, \nu) = (0.5, 3, 10, 1)$ in mm or St. $z = z_c$ will be set to the origin of the z coordinate in the following.

While self-similarity reminds physicists of pillars of the modern physics, critical phenomena, renormalization group, and universality classes, deep connections of the self-similarity in the solution of the Navier-Stokes equations with them have not been explored. Quest for a deep analogy has been premature, partly because exploration into dimensionality and symmetry in the hydrodynamic analog has not been enough: Experimentally, most examples have been the ones with axisymmetry, and even in examples without axisymmetry [e.g., [6, 7]] the control of symmetry has been limited. Recently, however, breakup of sheet of air [37] in "less confined cases" and then that of an elliptic cone [38] in "more confined cases" have been realized experimentally and self-similarity of the dynamics has been revealed for "the before-breakup dynamics," after a series of studies in a similar system [39, 40]. These experiments were performed by using a thin cell, called Hele-Shaw cell, in which we can observe the dynamics of air-liquid interface formed by entrained air into viscous liquid by a solid disk of thickness D_0 and of radius R , which is surrounded by liquid films of thickness e , as shown in Fig. 1.

In the present study, we focus on "the after-breakup dynamics" in "less confined cases." As a result, scaling exponents are universal even if e , D_0 , R , η , and the density difference between the fluid and metal $\Delta\rho$ are changed, but the exponent do exhibit a temporal

crossover. We account for these experimental findings based on a simple theory at the level of scaling laws, which is strengthened by a more refined theory based on the Navier-Stokes equations. Furthermore, we constructed a renormalization group (RG) theory, a variation of the type introduced originally in [41] and reviewed in [42], which is reinforced by the stability analysis well established in the dynamical system description (DSD) developed in applied mathematics [17, 43].

Note that PDEs of our focus do not have any noise terms, different from the Langevin or KPZ equation for which functional representation is possible. For the case without noise, the RG theory was initiated in 1990 [44] in a field-theoretical manner [26]. Our RG is different from this type, but a variation of the one developed in the field of applied mathematics in 1994 [41] in a manner more in parallel with the RG due to Wilson [45] although there are differences. See Sec. S5, for further details on the history of different RG frameworks and the comparison of the present RG for PDE with the conventional RG.

As a result of the RG analysis, we found that an analytical solution we obtained from a complete set of governing equations is revealed to be the fixed point of the RG transformation, with the other modes allowed around the fixed point becomes all "irrelevant" after the temporal crossover: the fixed point becomes an attractor and thus any initial shape functions should flow into the stable fixed point, as observed in experiment. In addition, we could show that, even if the governing PDE possesses extra terms, the RG flow could drive the PDE to the one without the extra terms, which shows the universality class could be shared by a large class of PDEs.

The surprising scenario, thus established, regarding universality will pave a new avenue for our understanding of critical, scaling, and singular behaviors widely observed in nature. Our results could impact on a number of industrial issues and application studies such as coating or painting [46], in which a solid should be frequently submerged into liquids without forming small bubbles, while our series of studies [37, 38] including the present study provide a way to control the creation and suppression of a small air drop in the air-entrainment into a liquid.




FIG. 2: Snapshots of entrainment of air by a disk into liquid, leading to breakup of a sheet of air for $(R, D_0, e, \nu) = (10, 3, 0.5, 10)$ in mm or St. In the top panel, overall time development is shown. In the bottom magnified snapshots, we recognize that the sharp tip at short times become rounded with time, with the thick vertical line in the middle indicates the border of the cusp-tip and rounded-tip regimes. The time label 0 ms corresponds to $t = t_c$ defined in the text.

II. SHAPE OF THE AIR-LIQUID INTERFACE $h(z, t)$

In Fig. 1, we explain our experiment with the setting of coordinates in the present study. The shape of air-liquid interfaces seen as the inner edge of the dark area formed by air entrained by the disk can be described by the right or left interface: $x = h(z, t)$ or $-h(z, t)$. In fact, the shape is three dimensional (although it is almost flat near $y = 0$, as we will discuss below): it should be a function of y : $x = \tilde{h}(t, z; y)$ with $h(z, t) = \tilde{h}(t, z; y = 0)$, where the origin of the y axis is set to the mid-point of the cell in the direction of thickness D (cell plates are located at $y = \pm D/2$). This implies that we track the inner edges of the dark interface since $\partial^2 \tilde{h}(t, x, z; y) / \partial y^2$ is positive in the parameter range of present experiment.

Before breakup the function $h(z, t)$ possesses a minimum with respect to z , which we call "the constriction point," at which $(x, z) = (h_m(t), z_m(t))$, i.e., $h_m(t) = h(z_m(t), t)$. At $t = t_c$, topology changes: The fluid breaks into two chunks at the constriction point $(h_m(t_c), z_m(t_c)) = (0, z_c)$, where $z = z_c$ will be set to the origin of the z coordinate. After $t = t_c$, the constriction point thus disappears and the dynamics of the interface of our focus is characterized by the tip point $(0, z_m)$.

The critical time t_c used in the analysis was determined as follows. Towards breakup, the constriction region starts to form a thin thread, which finally pinches off, by which moment, we define $t = t_c$. Precisely speaking, we set the time label 0 ms as the snapshot just before the pinch off, using snapshots obtained at 1000 fps, which means there could be a difference at most 1 ms between our time label 0 ms and the actual critical time $t = t_c$. We remark here that the critical time in the present case is defined in a way different from the study of the before-breakup dynamics [37, 38], in which the critical time is determined by extrapolation as the time when h_m (the half-width of the constriction point seen in the before-breakup period $t > t_c$) becomes zero.

III. TEMPORAL CHANGE OF THE DYNAMICS

In Fig. 2, we show typical snapshots after breakup [of the kind shown in Fig. 1 (b)] in the present parameter range. We consider a thin air film is formed before breakup, which view is consistent with the snapshot labeled 0 ms and is supported by the side-view snapshots obtained for a similar parameter set in our previous study [37]. This view indicates the curvature $\partial^2 \tilde{h}(t, x, z; y) / \partial y^2$ is almost zero at $y = 0$. The tip is sharp as seen in the snapshots, at short times (just after the breakup), but becomes rounded with time.

IV. DYNAMICS OF CHARACTERISTIC LENGTH SCALES

In Fig. 3 (a), we present the relation z_m vs. t for various conditions, in which we could confirm an excellent reproducibility of the present measurement. For example, if we closely examine the data shown by blue diamond, we see several overlapping data points, which are generally obtained on different days.

In Fig. 3 (b), we can confirm all the data in (a) can be well described by the following




FIG. 3: (a) z_m vs. $t' = t - t_c$, where t_c is the critical time precisely defined in the text for various parameters (e, D_0, R, ν) in mm or St. The data marked with a star (*) in the legend are those obtained for a different $\Delta\rho$ by using a brass disk instead of a disk of stainless steel. (b) Distinct data collapse by Eq. (1). All the data in (a) are plotted on rescaled axes, based on Eq. (1), demonstrating a clear data collapse with a scaling crossover from the regimes characterized by the exponent $\Delta' \simeq 0.8$ to $1/2$. (c) Clear data collapse by Eq. (5). The relation z_G vs $t - t_c$ for two sets of (R, D_0, e, ν) , as shown in the legend, is plotted on rescaled axes base on Eq. (5) with $k = 0.028 \pm 0.002$, showing a clear collapse.

relation:

$$z_m(t)/D = f(\Delta\rho g R t'/\eta) \quad (1)$$

$$= k(t'/t'_0)^{\Delta'} \text{ with } t'_0 = \eta/(\Delta\rho g R) \quad (2)$$

where a time label t' (which is positive at times after $t = t_c$) is defined as

$$t' = t - t_c. \quad (3)$$

We could further confirm in (b) that the slope Δ' of the z_m - t' relation on log-log scales exhibits a crossover from Regime I, in which $\Delta' = \Delta_I$, to Regime II, in which $\Delta' = \Delta_{II}$, where the following relation seems well satisfied:

$$\Delta' = \begin{cases} \Delta_I \simeq 0.8 & \text{Regime I} \\ \Delta_{II} \simeq 1/2 & \text{Regime II} \end{cases}, \quad (4)$$

with $k = 0.035 \pm 0.001$ and 0.126 ± 0.001 for Regime I and II. Here, we have performed fitting in the range of the horizontal axis from 10 to 40 in Regime I but in the range of the horizontal axis above 100 in Regime II.

Figure 3 (c) convincingly confirms that the quantity $z_G(t)$, which is defined as the position of the center of gravity of the disk measured from that at the critical time $t = t_c$ [$z_G(t_c) = 0$ by definition], can be well described by the following relation, in agreement with the result established in a different parameter range [37]:

$$z_G(t) = v_G t' \text{ for } t > t_c, \quad (5)$$

with a characteristic velocity scale v_G

$$v_G = k \Delta \rho g D^2 t' / \eta. \quad (6)$$

Here, g is the gravitational acceleration g . However, the scaling form in Eq. (5) with Eq. (6) is slightly different from those discussed in [38, 47], while the numerical coefficient, here estimated as $k = 0.028 \pm 002$, is in agreement with the value obtained in [37].

V. SELF-SIMILARITY IN THE INTERFACE SHAPE DYNAMICS

In Fig. 4 (a), we show a typical temporal change of the interfacial shape after breakup. As seen in the right branch shown in (b), interface shapes after rescaling are clearly collapsed onto a master curve (Master curves will also be called scaling functions in the light of analogy with critical phenomena). The collapse shown in (b) implies the following scaling form:

$$h(z', t) = (z_m^2/R) \Gamma(z'/z_m) \quad (7)$$

$$= (z_m^2/R) \tilde{\Gamma}(z/z_m) \text{ with } \tilde{\Gamma}(x) = \Gamma(x - 1) \quad (8)$$

with a shifted z coordinate

$$z' = z - z_m. \quad (9)$$

As shown in the right-branch in (b), the scaling functions can be expressed as

$$\tilde{\Gamma}(x) = ax + bx^2 \quad (10)$$




FIG. 4: (a) Temporal change of the interface for the parameter set $(e, D_0, R, \nu) = (0.5, 3, 10, 5)$ in mm or St, on the original z axis. The error bars in capturing the interface are less than the size of markers. (b) Overall collapse of the shape by Eq. (7). The scattered data on (a) are nicely collapsed in the right branch in (b), with the master curve well fitted by Eq. (10). The left branch is shown with the extra three sets of data labeled $(e, D_0, R, \nu) = (0.5, 2.0, 10.0, 10)$ and $(0.5, 3.0, 12.5, 10)$, and $(1.00, 2.0, 10.0, 10)$, in order to show universality (for different D_0 , R , and ν) of the renormalized shape under a fixed e , a good reproducibility, and that the master curve is dependent on e . (c) Some right branches are shown on log-log scales with shifts specified in the text in order to show the universal shape possesses slope one at short scales and slope one-half at long scales. (d) Test of velocity profile in Eq. (19). The good collapse of the green shape with the blue shape demonstrates the validity of the velocity profile (see the text for the details).

with $a = 1.560 \pm 0.017$, $b = 0.524 \pm 0.004$.

In the left-branch in (b), the shape are shown with the shape obtained for $(e, R, D_0, \nu) = (0.5, 1.0, 10.0, 10)$ and $(0.5, 2.0, 12.5, 10)$, both of which collapse well on the master curve, which demonstrates universality (for different D_0 , R , and ν) of the renormalized shape under a fixed e and indicates a good reproducibility. On the contrary, the shape with different e , i.e., the data with the label $(1.0, 2.0, 10, 10)$ do not exhibit collapse, showing the master curve is dependent on e .

In (c), we demonstrate, although the coefficient a and b in Eq. (10) do depend on e , the scaling exponents representing the master curve at short and long scales are universal for different D_0 , R , ν , and e :

$$\tilde{\Gamma}(x) \simeq \begin{cases} x & x \ll 1 \\ x^2 & x \gg 1 \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Specifically, in Fig. 4 (c), we take the data at 60 ms as the standard, and shifted the remaining four data sets labeled $(e, D_0, R, \nu) = (0.5, 3, 12.5, 10)$ at 40 ms, $(0.5, 2, 10, 10)$, $(1, 2, 10, 10)$, and $(0.5, 3, 10, 10)$ at 120 ms, respectively, with multiplicative factors in vertical and horizontal direction $(0.29, 1.4)$, $(0.86, 13)$, $(0.6, 45)$, and $(0.86, 150)$ to demonstrate a clear

crossover from slope 1 to 0.5.

VI. CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN THE CONVENTIONAL CRITICAL PHENOMENA AND THE PRESENT CASE

The self-similarity shown in Fig. 4, corresponding to Eq. (8), which can be physically justified at the level of scaling law [based on the Buckingham π theorem [48]] (see Sec. S3), elucidates a striking analogy with critical phenomena in thermodynamic transitions. Equation (7) is in analogy with the well-known relation for magnetization near the ferromagnetic critical point:

$$M(T, H) \simeq \Delta T^\beta \Psi(H/\Delta T^\Delta) \quad (12)$$

with $\Delta T = |T - T_c|$. Here, T and H are dimensionless temperature and magnetic field, respectively, with T_c corresponding to the critical temperature. Time t and position z in the present hydrodynamic case play the role of temperature T and external field H , respectively, for "the order parameter $h(t, z)$." The master curve represented by $\tilde{\Gamma}(x)$ corresponds to the scaling function $\Psi(x)$. Note here that the dynamics before and after breakup respectively corresponds to the temperature range below and above T_c , where "the order parameter" exhibits a finite non-zero value and zero.

The equation of motion for the order parameter can be derived from "the scaling hypotheses" in Eq. (12),

$$\frac{\partial M}{\partial \Delta T} + \Delta \frac{H}{\Delta T} \frac{\partial M}{\partial H} = \beta \frac{M}{\Delta T}, \quad (13)$$

in the ferromagnetic case. In the present hydrodynamic case, since Eq. (7) can be expressed as $h(z, t) = h_0 \Gamma\left(\frac{z-z_m}{z_0}\right)$, we obtain $\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \left(-\dot{z}_m - \dot{z}_0 \frac{z-z_m}{z_0}\right) \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} = \dot{h}_0 \frac{h}{h_0}$, by noting $\partial h / \partial t = \dot{h}_0 \Gamma + h_0 \Gamma'(-\dot{z}_m - \dot{z}_0(z - z_m)/z_0)/z_0$ and $\partial h / \partial z = h_0 \Gamma' / z_0$. From this, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{z \dot{z}_m}{z_m} \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} = 2 \frac{\dot{z}_m}{z_m} h \quad (14)$$

where $\dot{z}_m/z_m = \Delta'/t'$, which is obtained from Eq. (8).

TABLE I: Critical exponents defining universality classes.

Regime	β	Δ	δ	$\Delta/(\beta\delta)$
I	1.6	0.8	1/2	1
II	1.0	1/2	1/2	1

VII. CRITICAL EXPONENTS IN THE PRESENT CASE

From the correspondence between the present interfacial hydrodynamics and critical phenomena, the critical exponents identified as follows, as summarized in Tab. I. In the present hydrodynamic analog, the critical exponent β and Δ in the conventional magnetic case can be identified with $2\Delta'$ and Δ' , as understood by comparing Eq.(12) and Eq. (8) with Eq. (2) based on the correspondence discussed below Eq. (12) [see Sec. S2 for the details]. This identification gives the corresponding values in Tab. I. Remind here that Δ' is introduced in Eq. (2) as the slope of the relation z_m vs t' on log-log scales.

The exponent δ can be determined from the asymptotic form of the scaling function: $\tilde{\Gamma}(x) \sim x^{1/\delta}$ for $x \gg 1$ (see Sec. S2). Note that this "large scale behavior" guarantees the well-known relation $\Delta = \beta\delta$. In the present case, from Fig. 4 (c), we conclude $\delta = 1/2$, which is the value in Tab. I. We see in Tab. I the well-known relation $\Delta = \beta\delta$ holds, which is consistent with a good collapse onto a master curve in the region $x \gg 1$.

From the correspondence, $M(T, H) \iff H(T, X)$, as discussed above, the remaining exponents α and γ can be obtained from the following relations

$$\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma = 2, \tag{15}$$

$$\beta(\delta - 1) = \gamma, \tag{16}$$

which are derived in Sec. S2.

We point out that, in contrast with the magnetic case, the exponent δ is less than one (in the mean-field value for the magnetic case, $\delta = 3$), which is clear from the fact that the shape-function $h(z)$ at the breakup as a function of z is concave, or, $\partial^2 h / \partial z^2$ at $(z, t) = (z_c, t_c)$ is

positive. Even after the breakup, the sign of $\partial^2 h / \partial z^2$ away from the tip is not reversed, as confirmed in the shapes shown in Fig. 4.

VIII. THEORETICAL JUSTIFICATION BASED ON THE NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS AND A RG ANALYSIS

As explained in Sec. S4, we can derive a solution of the Navier-Stokes equations, which is consistent with our experimental results. This derivation is based on the equation of motion of the interface, which originates from the fact that a point on the interface $(x, h(t, z))$ translates with a velocity

$$(u_s(t, z), v_s(t, z)) \equiv (u(t, x = h(t, z), z), v(t, x = h(t, z), z)). \quad (17)$$

Namely, the point $(x, h(t, z))$ moves to the point $(x + u_s dt, h(t + dt, z + v_s dz))$ after dt :

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + v_s \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} = u_s. \quad (18)$$

More precisely, in Sec. S4, we show that the observed master curve at short scales, $\tilde{\Gamma}(x) \simeq x$, can be derived based on the following velocity profile:

$$u_s = \frac{3}{2} \dot{z}_m \frac{h}{z_m} \text{ and } v_s = \frac{1}{2} \dot{z}_m \left(\frac{z}{z_m} + 1 \right) \quad (19)$$

with $z_m \simeq t'^{\Delta}$. Note that the velocity of the tip at $(x, z) = (0, z_m)$ should be $(u_s, v_s) = (0, \dot{z}_m)$, which is satisfied by Eq. (19). In addition, we see v_s increases with z from the shape change shown in Fig. 4 (a), which is also satisfied by Eq. (19). The velocity profile in Eq. (19) is more quantitatively confirmed in Fig. 4 (d), in which three pairs of shape separated by a small time interval Δt ($= 5$ or 10 ms) are shown in red and blue. The yellow shape is obtained by shifting the red shape with the velocity profile in Eq. (19) by the time interval Δt . We can confirm in Fig. 4 (d) that the yellow shape collapses well with the blue shape, which demonstrates the validity of the velocity profile.

In Sec. S5, we develop a renormalization group (RG) analysis to show that the solution obtained from the NS equations is the fixed point of the RG. Furthermore, we show that the fixed point becomes the attractor, i.e., stable against any small perturbation around it, after the temporal crossover from Regime I to II.

We stress here that in the RG analysis given in Sec. S5 the scaling hypotheses in Eq. (8) comes out naturally from the governing equation, while in the above we assume the form based on experimental observation. In addition, we show in Sec. S5 that, even if we add some extra terms on the governing equation, other "models" could flow into the same fixed point: a large class of partial differential equation (PDE) flow into the same fixed point, showing the model-independent universality of the class.

As suggested in Secs. S5A and S5D, the analogy between the present case and the conventional critical phenomena has yet to be explored. While the correspondence $M(T, H) \iff h(t, z)$ and that between critical exponents are clear, these correspondences are not fully reflected in the RG analysis. However, natural emergence of the self-similarity, i.e., the scale invariance, and of universality across different models is achieved even in the present RG analysis. We are currently exploring the possibility of modifying the definition of the RG transformation so that we can calculate all the scaling dimensions of relevant variables to explain the observed exponents. Analyzing the hydrodynamic case by the Goldenfeld-Oono RG [44] would be also an interesting future problem for us.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP19H01859 and JP24K00596. We appreciate for insightful and/or constructive comments regarding RG in the present study from Jean-Francois Joanny (College de France), Francoise Brochard-Wyart (Institute Curie), Tetsuo Deguchi (Ochanomizu University), Sriram Ramaswamy (Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore), David Quere (ESPCI, Paris), Hajime Tanaka (University of Tokyo), and Kazumasa Takeuchi (University of Tokyo), and for encouragements from David

Weitz (Harvard University), Jose Bico and Etienne Rayssat (ESPCI, Paris), Philip Pincus (US Santa Barbara), Julia Yeomans (Oxford University), Howard Stone (Princeton University), Jeffrey Morris (City College, CUNY), Itai Cohen (Cornel University), and Douglas Durian (University of Pennsylvania).

- [1] G. I. Barenblatt. *Scaling, self-similarity, and intermediate asymptotics*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [2] Grigory Isaakovich Barenblatt. *Scaling*, volume 34. Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [3] Herbert E Huppert. Flow and instability of a viscous current down a slope. *Nature*, 300(5891):427–429, 1982.
- [4] ST Thoroddsen, B Qian, TG Etoh, and K Takehara. The initial coalescence of miscible drops. *Physics of Fluids*, 19(7), 2007.
- [5] Maria Yokota and Ko Okumura. Dimensional crossover in the coalescence dynamics of viscous drops confined in between two plates. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)*, 108:6395–6398; In this issue, PNAS, 108 (2011) 6337., 2011.
- [6] JF Hernández-Sánchez, LA Lubbers, Antonin Eddi, and JH Snoeijer. Symmetric and asymmetric coalescence of drops on a substrate. *Physical review letters*, 109(18):184502, 2012.
- [7] Paul R Kameelil, Amir A Pahlavan, Nan Xue, and Howard A Stone. Three-dimensional self-similarity of coalescing viscous drops in the thin-film regime. *Physical review letters*, 129(14):144501, 2022.
- [8] Lene Oddershede and Sidney R. Nagel. Singularity during the onset of an electrohydrodynamic spout. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 85(6):1234–1237, Aug 2000.
- [9] Benjamin W Zeff, Benjamin Kleber, Jay Fineberg, and Daniel P Lathrop. Singularity dynamics in curvature collapse and jet eruption on a fluid surface. *Nature*, 403(6768):401–404, 2000.
- [10] Jae-Tack Jeong and HK Moffatt. Free-surface cusps associated with flow at low Reynolds number. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 241:1–22, 1992.
- [11] Jens Eggers. Air entrainment through free-surface cusps. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 86(19):4290, 2001.
- [12] Élise Lorenceau, Frédéric Restagno, and David Quéré. Fracture of a viscous liquid. *Phys. Rev.*

- Lett.*, 90(18):184501, 2003.
- [13] Itai Cohen and Sidney R. Nagel. Scaling at the selective withdrawal transition through a tube suspended above the fluid surface. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 88(7):074501, Feb 2002.
- [14] Yu Chai, Thomas Salez, Joshua D McGraw, Michael Benzaquen, Kari Dalnoki-Veress, Elie Raphaël, and James A Forrest. A direct quantitative measure of surface mobility in a glassy polymer. *Science*, 343(6174):994–999, 2014.
- [15] Mark Ilton, Miles MP Couchman, Cedric Gerbelot, Michael Benzaquen, Paul D Fowler, Howard A Stone, Elie Raphaël, Kari Dalnoki-Veress, and Thomas Salez. Capillary leveling of freestanding liquid nanofilms. *Physical review letters*, 117(16):167801, 2016.
- [16] Jens Eggers. Universal pinching of 3d axisymmetric free-surface flow. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 71(21):3458, 1993.
- [17] Jens Eggers and Marco Antonio Fontelos. *Singularities: formation, structure, and propagation*, volume 53. Cambridge University Press, 2015.
- [18] Michael P Brenner, John R Lister, and Howard A Stone. Pinching threads, singularities and the number 0.0304... *Physics of Fluids*, 8(11):2827–2836, 1996.
- [19] JC Burton and P Taborek. Two-dimensional inviscid pinch-off: An example of self-similarity of the second kind. *Physics of Fluids*, 19(10), 2007.
- [20] Raymond Bergmann, Devaraj van der Meer, Mark Stijnman, Marijn Sandtke, Andrea Prosperetti, and Detlef Lohse. Giant bubble pinch-off. *Physical review letters*, 96(15):154505, 2006.
- [21] Peter Constantin, Todd F. Dupont, Raymond E. Goldstein, Leo P. Kadanoff, Michael J. Shelley, and Su-Min Zhou. Droplet breakup in a model of the hele-shaw cell. *Phys. Rev. E*, 47(6):4169–4181, Jun 1993.
- [22] XD Shi, M.P. Brenner, and S.R. Nagel. A cascade of structure in a drop falling from a faucet. *Science*, 265(5169):219, 1994.
- [23] Pankaj Doshi, Itai Cohen, Wendy W. Zhang, Michael Siegel, Peter Howell, Osman A. Basaran, and Sidney R. Nagel. Persistence of memory in drop breakup: The breakdown of universality. *Science*, 302(5648):1185–1188, 2003.
- [24] H Eugene Stanley. *Phase transitions and critical phenomena*, volume 7. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1971.
- [25] J. Cardy. *Scaling and Renormalization in Statistical Physics*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cam-

bridge, 1996.

- [26] N. Goldenfeld. *Lectures on Phase Transitions and the Renormalization Group*. Addison-Wesley Pub., Reading, 1992.
- [27] John A. Hertz. Quantum critical phenomena. *Phys. Rev. B*, 14:1165–1184, Aug 1976.
- [28] Pierre-Gilles De Gennes and Pierre-Gilles Gennes. *Scaling concepts in polymer physics*. Cornell university press, 1979.
- [29] Matthew W Choptuik. Universality and scaling in gravitational collapse of a massless scalar field. *Physical review letters*, 70(1):9, 1993.
- [30] Tatsuhiko Koike, Takashi Hara, and Satoshi Adachi. Critical behavior in gravitational collapse of radiation fluid: A renormalization group (linear perturbation) analysis. *Physical review letters*, 74(26):5170, 1995.
- [31] Roberto Livi and Paolo Politi. *Nonequilibrium statistical physics: a modern perspective*. Cambridge University Press, 2017.
- [32] Alexander Altland and Ben D Simons. *Condensed matter field theory*. Cambridge university press, 2023.
- [33] Julien Tailleur, Gerhard Gompper, M Cristina Marchetti, Julia M Yeomans, and Christophe Salomon. *Active Matter and Nonequilibrium Statistical Physics: Lecture Notes of the Les Houches Summer School: Volume 112, September 2018*, volume 112. Oxford University Press, 2022.
- [34] Malte Henkel, Haye Hinrichsen, and Sven Lübeck. *Non-Equilibrium Phase Transitions: Volume 1: Absorbing Phase Transitions*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2009.
- [35] Kazumasa A Takeuchi, Masaki Sano, Tomohiro Sasamoto, and Herbert Spohn. Growing interfaces uncover universal fluctuations behind scale invariance. *Scientific reports*, 1(1):34, 2011.
- [36] Tamás Vicsek, András Czirók, Eshel Ben-Jacob, Inon Cohen, and Ofer Shochet. Novel type of phase transition in a system of self-driven particles. *Physical review letters*, 75(6):1226, 1995.
- [37] Hana Nakazato, Yuki Yamagishi, and Ko Okumura. Self-similar dynamics of air film entrained by a solid disk in confined space: A simple prototype of topological transitions. *Physical Review Fluids*, 3(5):054004, 2018.
- [38] Hana Nakazato and Ko Okumura. Air entrained into viscous liquid by a disk: Confinement induced suppression of breakup. *Physical Review Research*, 4(1):013150, 2022.

- [39] Ayako Eri and Ko Okumura. Viscous drag friction acting on a fluid drop confined in between two plates confined in between two plates. *Soft Matter*, 7:5648, 2011.
- [40] Misato Yahashi, Natsuki Kimoto, and Ko Okumura. Scaling crossover in thin-film drag dynamics of fluid drops in the hele-shaw cell. *Sci. Rep.*, 6:31395, 2016.
- [41] Jean Bricmont, Antti Kupiainen, and Guotian Lin. Renormalization group and asymptotics of solutions of nonlinear parabolic equations. *Communications on pure and applied mathematics*, 47(6):893–922, 1994.
- [42] Osamu Iguchi, Akio Hosoya, and Tatsuhiko Koike. Renormalization group approach to the einstein equation in cosmology. *Physical Review D*, 57(6):3340, 1998.
- [43] Yoshikazu Giga and Robert V Kohn. Asymptotically self? similar blow? up of semilinear heat equations. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 38(3):297–319, 1985.
- [44] Nigel Goldenfeld, Olivier Martin, Yoshitsugu Oono, and Fong Liu. Anomalous dimensions and the renormalization group in a nonlinear diffusion process. *Physical review letters*, 64(12):1361, 1990.
- [45] Kenneth G Wilson. The renormalization group and critical phenomena. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 55(3):583, 1983.
- [46] Itai Cohen, Hui Li, James L Houglund, Milan Mrksich, and Sidney R Nagel. Using selective withdrawal to coat microparticles. *Science*, 292(5515):265–267, 2001.
- [47] Nana Tanaka and Ko Okumura. Viscous friction acting on a solid disk falling in confined fluid: Lessons for the scaling analysis. *Physical Review Research*, 5(3):L032047, 2023.
- [48] Don S. Lemons. *A Student's Guide to Dimensional Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 2017.
- [49] Murray Gell-Mann and Francis E Low. Quantum electrodynamics at small distances. *Physical Review*, 95(5):1300, 1954.
- [50] G. I. Barenblatt. *Scaling, self-similarity, and intermediate asymptotics*. Consultants Bureau, New York, 1979.

S. METHODS

1. Experimental

In Fig. 1 (a), we show our experimental setup with explanation. The ranges of characteristic lengths, the radius and thickness of disk and the cell thickness, are as follows: $R = 10 - 15$ mm, $D_0 = 2.0 - 3.5$ mm, $D = 3 - 4.5$ mm. The cell width and height are much larger than the length scales R , D_0 , and D (typically 9 and 12 cm, respectively). We use polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) for viscous liquid, where the range of kinematic viscosity $\nu = \eta/\rho$ is 100-5000 cSt (1-50 St). The density ρ and the surface tension γ are slightly depending on viscosity η ($\rho \simeq 0.97$ g/cm³ and $\gamma \simeq 20$ mN/m). The density ρ_s of the metal disk is either is 7.7 g/cm³ (stainless: SUS430) or 8.7 g/cm³ (brass) with the density difference $\Delta\rho = \rho_s - \rho$. The cell is fabricated with acrylic plates of thickness 5 mm, using acrylic spacers whose thickness defines the cell thickness D .

To obtain reproducible results, we set a gate at the top of cell by gluing a pair acrylic plates of thickness very close to $e = (D - D_0)/2$, one for the back surface of the front cell plate and the other for the front surface of the back cell plate, to make the gap at the gate close to the disk thickness D_0 . This gate helps to make the thickness of two liquid films between the surfaces of the disk and cell precisely equal to e (see the Side View in Fig. 1 (a)). We fall the disk so that the initial speed of the disk, i.e., at the moment in which the bottom of the disk in contact with the interface, is set to zero. The disk surface is coated with a very thin layer of the same liquid as the one in the cell, by once dipping the disk into the liquid and then removing the liquid well with liquid-absorbing paper, to guarantee the zero static contact angle.

We record the shape change of the air-liquid interface with a high-speed camera (FAST-CAM Mini UX 100, Photron) with a lens (Micro NIKKOR 60 mm f2.8G ED, Nikon). The range of frame per second (fps) is 1000-2000. The images are analyzed with Image J and self-made Python codes.

2. Definition of critical exponents and universality classes

We summarize here the definition of the critical exponents and scaling functions for ferromagnetic transitions, focusing on the temperature range below T_c ($T < T_c$). Excluding the exponent describing the spacial correlation, there are 4 exponents, α, β, γ , and δ , defined by the critical behavior of heat capacity $C \simeq \Delta T^{-\alpha}$, magnetization $M \simeq \Delta T^\beta$, and susceptibility $\chi \simeq \Delta T^{-\gamma}$ at the zero field ($H = 0$), together with a relation $M \simeq H^{1/\delta}$ at the critical temperature ($\Delta T = 0$). However, among the four exponents, only two are independent. This results from the following fact, which is proven to be true by virtue of the renormalization group: The free energy $F(T, H)$ possesses the scaling structure $F(T, H) \simeq \Delta T^{2-\alpha} \Phi(H/\Delta T^\Delta)$ near the critical temperature with a scaling function $\Phi(x)$, where thermodynamic quantities are derived from $F(T, H)$ as $C \simeq \partial^2 F / \partial T^2$, $M \simeq \partial F / \partial H$, and $\chi \simeq \partial^2 F / \partial H^2$. Then, the above definition of the critical exponents results in the relations $\Delta T^{-\alpha} \Phi(H/\Delta T^\Delta) \simeq \Delta T^{-\alpha}$, $\Delta T^{2-\alpha-\Delta} \Phi'(H/\Delta T^\Delta) \simeq \Delta T^\beta$ (i.e., another scaling function $\Psi(x)$ introduced above in Eq. (12) is expressed as $\Psi = \Phi'$), and $\Delta T^{2-\alpha-2\Delta} \Phi''(H/\Delta T^\Delta) \simeq \Delta T^{-\gamma}$ at $H = 0$ and $\Delta T^{2-\alpha-\Delta} \Phi'(H/\Delta T^\Delta) \simeq H^{1/\delta}$ at $\Delta T = 0$. From the first three relations, we obtain two independent relations, $2 - \alpha - \Delta = \beta$ and $\beta - \Delta = -\gamma$, by requiring, based on consistency, that $\Phi(0)$, $\Phi'(0)$, and $\Phi''(0)$ are non-zero finite value [from the two relations we obtain Eqs. (15) and (16)]. The final relation concludes, again based on consistency, the asymptotic behavior $\Psi(x) \simeq x^{1/\delta}$ for large x , *if Eq. (12) is valid even for $x = H/\Delta T^\Delta$ is large*, together with another relation $\beta = \Delta/\delta$. In this way, we have three independent equation for 5 exponents, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$, and Δ , which proves that there are only 2 independent exponents.

A universality class in critical phenomena is defined by the critical exponents and the scaling function. They are known to be universal in a sense that they do not depend on some details such as the strength of the microscopic interaction and the structure of lattice: the exponents and scaling functions (and thus a universality class) are governed by dimensionality d and symmetry characterized by the number of components n of the vector

representing the order parameter.

In the present hydrodynamic analog, the scaling function is universal in a sense that they do not depend on viscosity η , radius of the disk R , and the disk thickness D_0 . Although the scaling function is dependent on the film thickness e , the scaling exponent does not depend on e and only the coefficient is dependent on e .

3. Theoretical consideration at the level of scaling law

We can physically understand how the scaling structure in Eq. (8) emerges in a natural manner. The key observation is the present problem can be regarded as finding a solution for Navier-Stokes equation for a viscous liquid, characterized by dimensional parameters $\Delta\rho$, η , γ , and g , neglecting the role of air. To solve the problem we have to specify the boundary conditions, which are characterized by R , e , and D_0 . Thus, we expect

$$h = f(t', z', \Delta\rho, \eta, \gamma, g, R, e, D_0) : \quad (\text{S3.20})$$

we have 10 dimensional variable, of which only 7 are independent, since the dimension of the unit of all the 10 quantities can be derived from the three fundamental units, kg, m, and s. Here, t' is defined as $t' = t - t_c$, a positive quantity in the after-breakup dynamics, on which we focus.

From the Buckingham π theorem [48], we expect a relation $\pi_0 = \Xi(\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_6)$, where π_i 's are 7 independent dimensional variables and Ξ is a dimensionless function. We select these dimensionless variables as follows. A natural characteristic scale in the z direction is z_m , from which we define $\pi_1 = z'/z_m$. A natural unit h^* (in the x direction) for h can be introduced through a curvature relation, $1/R \simeq h^*/z_m^2$, from which we set $\pi_0 = h/h^*$. To select the remaining 5 independent variables, we focus on 5 length scales: the viscous scale $l_\nu = \nu^2\rho/\gamma$ and the capillary length $l_c = \sqrt{\gamma/\rho g}$, together with R , e , and D_0 , which are normalized by z_m or l_c to determine 5 dimensionless variables, π_2, \dots, π_6 . In this way, to be

consistent with our experiment, we may arrive at

$$h = \frac{z_m^2}{R} \Xi(z'/z_m, l_\nu/z_m, l_c/z_m, R/z_m, D_0/z_m, e/l_c) \quad (\text{S3.21})$$

Here, we may expect that near the breakup point where z_m is small so that the right-hand side of the equation becomes independent of the second to fourth dimensionless variables, by which Eq. (8) is reproduced.

4. Analytical solution based on experimental observations

The complete set of equations for the present problem can be formed by the Navier-Stokes equations in the viscous limit, i.e., the Stokes equations, with boundary conditions, together with the incompressible condition and the equation of motion for h . *We may focus on the plane $y = 0$, on which we could assume the y component of the velocity is zero, i.e., $(v_x, v_z; v_y) = (u, v; 0)$.* In such a case, the set of equations for the velocity (u, v) and the pressure p of the liquid phase are given as follows [17], where h' and h'' respectively stand for the first and second derivative with respect to z :

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = \eta \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2} \right), \quad (\text{S4.22})$$

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = \eta \left(\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial z^2} \right) - \rho g, \quad (\text{S4.23})$$

$$0 = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial z}, \quad (\text{S4.24})$$

$$\gamma \left[\frac{h''}{(1+h'^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{1}{R_c} \right] = p - p_0 - \frac{2\eta}{1+h'^2} \left[(1-h'^2) \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} + h' \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right) \right], \quad (\text{S4.25})$$

$$0 = -4\eta h' \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} + \eta(1-h'^2) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right), \quad (\text{S4.26})$$

plus the equation of motion for the interface h given in Eq. (18). Here, the fourth and fifth equations are to be evaluated at the boundary, i.e., at $x = h$, p_0 is the pressure of the air phase, and R_c is the radius of curvature of the interface on the xy plane at $y = 0$. In the

present case with the formation of air sheet, we consider that $1/R_c$ is initially zero and that this continues to be a good approximation, given that the self-similarity is always observed for the inner edge.

We now seek a self-similar solution of the set of equations given in Eqs. (S4.22) to (S4.26) plus Eq. (18), for which the shape function h is of the form consistent with Eq. (8):

$$h = z_0 T^{2\Delta} f(\xi) \quad (\text{S4.27})$$

with $\xi = z/z_m$, $z_m = z_0 T^\Delta$, and $\Delta > 1/2$.

When Eq. (18) is combined with Eq. (14), we obtain

$$(\dot{z}_m z/z_m - v_s) \partial h/\partial z = (2\dot{z}_m h/z_m - u_s), \quad (\text{S4.28})$$

for which we can confirm that the velocity distribution

$$v_s = (1 + \varepsilon) \dot{z}_m \xi - \varepsilon \dot{z}_m \quad (\text{S4.29})$$

$$u_s = (2 + \varepsilon) \dot{z}_m h/z_m \quad (\text{S4.30})$$

results in $-\varepsilon \dot{z}_m (\xi - 1) \partial h/\partial z = -\varepsilon \dot{z}_m h/z_m$, i.e., $(\xi - 1)dh = hd\xi$. With the aid of Eq. (S4.27), the last relation results in

$$f \simeq \xi - 1, \quad (\text{S4.31})$$

which is consistent with our experimental observation at short scales given in Eq. (11).

To be consistent with the incompressibility condition in Eq. (S4.24), Eqs. (S4.29) and (S4.30) require the following velocity distribution:

$$v = (1 + \varepsilon) \dot{z}_m z/z_m - \varepsilon \dot{z}_m \quad (\text{S4.32})$$

$$u = (\dot{z}_m/z_m) [(3 + 2\varepsilon)h - (1 + \varepsilon)x] \quad (\text{S4.33})$$

Substituting this distribution into Eq. (S4.26), we obtain $-4T^\Delta f' \cdot \Delta T^{-1}(1 + \varepsilon) = \Delta T^{-1} \cdot$

$(3 + 2\varepsilon)T^\Delta f'$, which can be consistent with Eq. (S4.31) only when

$$\varepsilon = -1/2 \tag{S4.34}$$

and in this case the leading order of Eq. (S4.25) results in

$$p - p_0 = 2\eta\partial v/\partial z \simeq T^{-1} \text{ (independent of } z) \tag{S4.35}$$

The pressure p thus obtained also satisfies Eq. (S4.23) to the leading order ($\simeq T^{-1-\Delta}$) and makes the remaining governing equation, Eq. (S4.22), into the relation $0 = \Delta T^{-1}(3 + 2\varepsilon)f''$, i.e., $f'' = 0$, which is consistent with Eq. (S4.31). In this way, we conclude that experimentally observed master curve near the constriction point given in Eq. (11) is a solution of the governing equations as given in Eq. (S4.31).

A. More general derivation

In the above derivation, we assume Eqs. (S4.29) and (S4.30) from the start. We here seek a self-similar solution, without assuming this. We here again expect from experimental observation in Eq. (8) that the shape function h is of the form given in Eq. (S4.27), together with the following assumption for the velocity field in the z direction:

$$v = v_0 T^{\Delta'} g(\xi) \text{ with } \dot{z}_m = v_0 T^{\Delta'} \text{ and } \Delta' = \Delta - 1. \tag{S4.36}$$

We note here that $g(1) = 1$ is required because the tip of the shape should move upwards (i.e., in the z direction) with the velocity \dot{z}_m .

Then, from the incompressibility condition in Eq. (S4.24), we obtain the velocity in the x direction should be in the following form:

$$u = -(v_0/z_0)T^{\Delta'-\Delta}(x + \varepsilon'h)g' \tag{S4.37}$$

Here, we restrict the integration constant for x to be in the form proportional to hg' .

This we expect from the following argument. Substituting Eqs. (S4.36) and (S4.37) into Eq. (S4.28), we obtain

$$\frac{df}{fdX} = \frac{2 + \sigma g'}{X + 1 - g}. \quad (\text{S4.38})$$

Note that here X stands for $z/z_m - 1$, i.e., $X = \xi - 1$ and $\sigma = 1 + \varepsilon'$. This equation seems promising because if the right-hand side is integrable, we can obtain a closed formula for f . From experiment, we seek a solution of the form

$$f \simeq X + cX^2, \quad (\text{S4.39})$$

which implies

$$\frac{2 + \sigma g'}{X + 1 - g} = \frac{1 + 2cX}{X + cX^2}. \quad (\text{S4.40})$$

This gives an ordinary differential equation for g .

On the other hand, from the other governing equations, g is shown to be a linear function $g = aX + b$, which is explained as follows. From Eq. (S4.25), we obtain

$$p - p_0 = 2\eta\partial v/\partial z \simeq T^{\Delta'-\Delta}g'. \quad (\text{S4.41})$$

When this is combined with Eq. (S4.23), we conclude $g'' = 0$. Separate from this, from Eq. (S4.37), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} = -\frac{v_0}{z_0^2}T^{\Delta'-\Delta} \left(\frac{x}{z_0 T^\Delta} g'' + (\sigma - 1)T^\Delta(g''f + g'f') \right) \quad (\text{S4.42})$$

When this is combined with Eq. (S4.22), we conclude

$$g''' = 0 \text{ and } (g''f + g'f')' = 0 \quad (\text{S4.43})$$

The last equation implies $f'' = 0$, since $g'' = 0$. The remaining governing equation, Eq.

(S4.26), can be cast into the following form:

$$4f'g' + \sigma g''f + (\sigma - 1)g'f' = 0, \quad (\text{S4.44})$$

which reduces to

$$[4 + (\sigma - 1)]g'f' = 0. \quad (\text{S4.45})$$

This equation leads to $4a + (\sigma - 1)a = 0$, or

$$\sigma = -3 \quad (\text{S4.46})$$

for $g = aX + b$ with $a \neq 0$. We go back to Eq. (S4.40), which is now expressed as:

$$\frac{2 + \sigma a}{X + 1 - aX - b} = \frac{1 + 2cX}{X + cX^2}, \quad (\text{S4.47})$$

from which we obtain

$$b = 1, \quad a(\sigma + 1) = -1 \quad (\text{S4.48})$$

together with

$$c = 0 \text{ or } \sigma = -2, \quad (\text{S4.49})$$

where $\sigma = -2$ is incompatible with Eqs. (S4.46). Thus, we conclude $c = 0$, and obtain

$$f \simeq X \text{ and } g = (\xi + 1)/2, \quad (\text{S4.50})$$

in agreement with Eqs. (S4.31), (S4.32), and (S4.33).

5. Renormalization group analysis

A. Brief history

The RG for partial differential equations (PDE) in the absence of noise (different from the Langevin or KPZ equation for which functional representation is possible) was initiated

in 1990 [44] in a field-theoretical manner due to Gell-Mann and Low [49] to examine long-time behavior of a nonlinear diffusion equation [26]. The RG for PDE in the absence of noise was independently developed by Bricmont, Kupiainen, and Lin (BKL) in the field of applied mathematics in 1994 [41] in a manner more in parallel with the RG due to Wilson [45] (although there are differences as discussed below), which is reviewed and developed in [42]. This BKL version of RG may be less known to physicists and are also for long time behavior, while the BKL version of RG for a singular dynamics near a critical point is developed in [29, 30] in the context of cosmology. It is interesting that, as written in the original papers, both of the original studies of the two methods, field-theoretic RG for PDE [44] and the BKL RG for PDE [41], were motivated by the studies by Barenblatt [50].

Independently from and earlier than these two approaches, the singular dynamics near a singular point of PDE was developed in applied mathematics in 1985 [43], which is reviewed and called the dynamical system description (DSD) in [17]. Although it might not seem to have been discussed frequently, DSD and the BKL RG for PDE are very similar, as briefly discussed below. In the following, we develop the BKL RG for the present critical dynamics based on PDE augmented by the stability analysis of DSD.

B. Renormalization group theory

We rewrite the equation of motion for the interface given in Eq. (18) with the velocity distribution given in Eq. (19) with $z_m = z_0 T^D$, by introducing dimensionless variables by $H = h/z_0$, $X = z/z_0$, and $T = (t - t_c)/(t_0 - t_c)$:

$$\frac{\partial H(X, T)}{\partial T} + \frac{1}{2} D T^{-1} (X + T^D) \frac{\partial H(X, T)}{\partial X} = \frac{3}{2} D T^{-1} H(X, T) \quad (\text{S5.51})$$

where $t = t_0$ means $T = 1$ and $T > 0$ for the after-breakup dynamics of our focus.

We can show Eq. (S5.51) is invariant under the following scale transformation

$$X' = X/L, \quad T' = T/L^B \quad (\text{S5.52})$$

$$H'(X', T') \equiv H_L(X', T') = L^A H(X, T) \quad (\text{S5.53})$$

$$\Leftrightarrow H_L(X, T) = L^A H(LX, L^B T), \quad (\text{S5.54})$$

for $B = 1/D$. In other words, $H_L(X, T)$ satisfies Eq. (S5.51), which can be expressed as

$$\frac{\partial H_L(X, T)}{\partial T} + \frac{1}{2} D T^{-1} (X + T^D) \frac{\partial H_L(X, T)}{\partial X} = \frac{3}{2} D T^{-1} H_L(X, T) \quad (\text{S5.55})$$

We define a renormalization group (RG) transformation by

$$R_L f(X) = H_L(X, 1) \Leftrightarrow R_L f(X) = L^A H(LX, L^B), \quad (\text{S5.56})$$

where $f(X)$ gives the initial condition at $T = 1$ for $H(X, T)$, i.e., $H(X, 1) = f(X)$. Note that the present RG can be regarded as the integration of the governing equation up to an L dependent time, $f(X) \rightarrow H(X, L^B)$, followed by a rescaling, $H(X, L^B) \rightarrow L^A H(LX, L^B)$.

For the conventional critical phenomena, we consider the case of $L > 1$ for coarse graining. *In the present case, since we would like to know the physics on smaller scales in time and space, we assume $L < 1$, instead.*

In the RG analysis, we iterate the RG transformation with an expectation that the system will flow towards a fixed point:

$$R_L \circ R_L \circ \cdots \circ R_L f(X) = R_{L^n} f(X) = L^{nA} H(L^n X, L^{nB}) \rightarrow f^*(X) \quad (\text{S5.57})$$

where

$$R_L f^*(X) = f^*(X) \Leftrightarrow L^A H^*(LX, L^B) = f^*(X) \quad (\text{S5.58})$$

By setting $L^B = T$ in the last equality, we obtain $T^{A/B} H^*(X T^{1/B}, T) = f^*(X)$, which

corresponds to *the self-similar scaling hypotheses*:

$$H^*(X, T) = T^{-A/B} f^*(X/T^{1/B}), \quad (\text{S5.59})$$

which should be identified with the experimentally observed from

$$H(X, T) = T^{2\Delta} f(X/T^\Delta) \quad (\text{S5.60})$$

in both cases of I and II, in order to be consistent with the experimental result. This concludes the condition

$$A = -2 \text{ and } B = 1/\Delta \quad (\text{S5.61})$$

in both cases.

To find fixed points, *we introduce "logarithmic time variable" τ for the RG flow by $L^{-1} = e^\tau$ with $\tau > 0$:*

$$-\frac{dH_L(X, T)}{d\tau} = L \frac{dH_L(X, T)}{dL} = AH_L(X, T) + X \frac{\partial H_L(X, T)}{\partial X} + BT \frac{\partial H_L(X, T)}{\partial T}, \quad (\text{S5.62})$$

which can be proved by using Eq. (S5.54). When combined with Eq. (S5.55), this equation gives

$$-\frac{dH_L(X, T)}{d\tau} = \left(A + \frac{3}{2}BD \right) H_L(X, T) + \left[X - \frac{BD}{2} (X + T^D) \right] \frac{\partial H_L(X, T)}{\partial X} \quad (\text{S5.63})$$

By setting $T = 1$ in this equation with the notation $\bar{f}(\xi, \tau) = R_L f(\xi)$, we have the following "RG flow equation" under the condition given in Eq. (S5.61):

$$-\frac{d\bar{f}(\xi, \tau)}{d\tau} = -\frac{1}{2}\bar{f}(\xi, \tau) + \left[\xi - \frac{1}{2}(\xi + 1) \right] \frac{\partial \bar{f}(\xi, \tau)}{\partial \xi} \quad (\text{S5.64})$$

In "the dynamical system description" in applied mathematics [17, 43], the equation corresponding to Eq. (S5.64) is derived directly first by introducing a logarithmic time variable τ by $\tau = -(1/B) \log T$, which is consistent with the previous assumption $L^B = T$, and

then substituting into the governing equation [corresponding to Eq. (S5.51)] a hypothesis $H(X, T) = T^{A'} \bar{f}(\xi, \tau)$ with $\xi = X/T^{B'}$ where $A' = -A/B$ and $B' = 1/B$, similar in form to Eq. (S5.59).

From the RG flow equation, fixed points are given by the vanishing of the left-hand side, which means the fixed points $\bar{f}^*(X, \tau) = f^*(X)$ can be obtained from the following equation:

$$-\frac{1}{2}f^*(X) + \frac{1}{2}(X-1)\frac{\partial f^*(X)}{\partial X} = 0, \quad (\text{S5.65})$$

which results in

$$f^*(X) \simeq X - 1, \quad (\text{S5.66})$$

which is, by noting Eq. (S5.60), consistent with our experimental observation at short scales given in Eq. (11).

The stability of the above fixed-point solutions could be analyzed by seeking a solution of the RG flow equation in the following form:

$$\bar{f}(X, \tau) = f^*(X) + \delta f(X, \tau) \quad (\text{S5.67})$$

with $\delta f(X, \tau) = \delta(X)e^{\omega\tau}$. The stability analysis around the fixed point predicts the following modes for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$:

$$\delta(X) = C(X-1)^{1-2\omega} e^{\omega\tau}, \quad (\text{S5.68})$$

where $1 - 2\omega$ should be $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ because of the regularity at $X = 1$, which means $\omega = (1 - n)$:

$$\omega = 1/2, 0, -1/2, -1, \dots \quad (\text{S5.69})$$

The modes with negative integers are irrelevant: they tend to flow into the fixed point since $\tau (> 0)$ becomes larger as $t \rightarrow t_c$. On the other hand, the positive modes tend to flow the solution away from the fixed point. In the present case, as shown in the next section, the modes with positive ω ($\omega = 1$ and D) could appear, which are originated from time and space translation, represented respectively by $t_c \rightarrow t_c + \Delta$ and $x_c \rightarrow x_c + \Delta$, and thus

do not represent instability. Similarly, the translation represented by $C \rightarrow C + \Delta$ in Eq. (S5.68) could lead to a marginal mode with $\omega = 0$, and thus this again does not indicate instability. In Regime II, in which $D = 1/2$, we thus understand that all the modes around the fixed point are irrelevant and thus the fixed point is an attractor of RG transformation. In Regime I, the mode with $\omega = 1/2$ could grow but in experiment, the exponent seems to be fine-tuned with time to $D = 1/2$ by virtue of the dimensional crossover observed in Fig. 3 (b), in which case the fixed point becomes stable. In other words, from the condition that the observed master curve should be stable in the end, the exponent is determined to $D = 1/2$.

We can show that the present RG analysis remains unchanged even if we have extra terms such as

$$[h(x, t)]^l \left[\frac{\partial h(x, t)}{\partial x} \right]^n \left[\frac{\partial^2 h(x, t)}{\partial x^2} \right]^m \quad (\text{S5.70})$$

in the governing equation, if the condition $m < l$ is satisfied, since the term scales as $L^{-(m-l)}$ for the scale transformation defined in Eqs. (S5.52) and (S5.53): Under this condition, the term is shown to be irrelevant. This suggests universality across various models.

In the conventional RG for PDE for long time behavior, we set $L > 1$, $L = e^\tau$, in which a large L corresponds to long time behavior at " τ infinity" [17]. In contrast, in the present setting of $L < 1$, $L^{-1} = e^\tau$, a small L corresponds to the behavior at " t close to t_c ." Our RG defined here is a variation of the RG developed in [41] and reviewed in [42], but very similar to "the dynamical system description" known in applied mathematics [17], as suggested in the above.

C. Apparently relevant modes

In the stability analysis of DSD, three "apparently relevant" modes could emerge [17], which can be actually removed, whose ω are given by

$$\omega = 0, B', \text{ and } 1 \quad (\text{S5.71})$$

when the fixed point is given in the form $h(x, t) \simeq C'T^{A'}f(\xi)$ with $\xi \simeq X/T^{B'} \simeq (x - x_c)$ and $T \simeq t - t_c$. These modes appear respectively via following three transformation: $C' \rightarrow C' + \Delta$, $x_c \rightarrow x_c + \Delta$, and $t_c \rightarrow t_c + \Delta$. For example, in the last case, by expansion up to the leading order in Δ , we obtain

$$h(x, t)_{t_c \rightarrow t_c + \Delta} = h(x, t)_{t_c}^* + \Delta[\partial h / \partial t]_{t=t_c} \quad (\text{S5.72})$$

where

$$[\partial h / \partial t]_{t=t_c} \simeq A'T^{A'-1}f + T^{A'}(df/d\xi)(-B\xi/T), \quad (\text{S5.73})$$

from which, with identifying $T^{-1} = e^\tau$, we get for $f(\xi) \simeq T^{-A'}h(x, t)$

$$f(\xi)_{t_c \rightarrow t_c + \Delta} = f(\xi)_{t_c}^* + e^\tau(A'f - B'\xi f')\Delta. \quad (\text{S5.74})$$

This equation states that from the time translation $t_c \rightarrow t_c + \Delta$ we have an apparent mode with $\omega = 1$, whose eigen function is given by $\simeq A'f - B'\xi f'$. In the case of the translation for space, we obtain

$$f(\xi)_{x_c \rightarrow x_c + \Delta} = f(\xi)_{x_c}^* + e^{B'\tau}f'\Delta \quad (\text{S5.75})$$

in a similar manner. This shows that the $\omega = B'$ mode appears from the translation $x_c \rightarrow x_c + \Delta$ with the eigen function f' . For the transformation $C' \rightarrow C' + \Delta$, we clearly have $f(\xi)_{C' \rightarrow C' + \Delta} = f(\xi)_{C'}^* + f\Delta$, which shows the existence of the $\omega = 0$ mode associated with this transformation.

D. Comparison of the Bricmont-Kupiainen-Lin (BKL) RG with the conventional Wilson's RG

The BKL RG was proposed in 1994 [41] for *long-time asymptotic behaviors* of PDE (where the scale factor L is larger than unity as in the conventional critical phenomena), which leads to a RG flow equation, identical to a key equation in the dynamical system description developed for *singular behaviors* of PDE (where the scale factor L is less than

unity) in 1985 [17, 43]. In the former, self-similarity naturally emerges from the framework while it is assumed in the latter. In the present study, we treat *singular behaviors* of PDE by the BKL RG, as in [30].

In the BKL RG we can show the origin of self-similarity and universality across different models as in the conventional Wilson's RG, with a different RG flow picture. In the present case, the experimentally observed self-similar solution appears as a fixed point of the RG flow equation, which should be stable near the singularity, i.e., should be an attractor in the flow, as discussed in detail in the textbook [17], since the observed shape does not diverge as in the present case, in which the single characteristic length scale z_m does not diverge but approaches zero towards the singularity. *There are no relevant mode near the fixed point of interest.* Accordingly, calculating the critical exponents following the Wilson's prescription exploiting relevant modes becomes impossible, which is not the case of the black hole [30].

In the Wilson's RG, the RG transformation is defined through the partition function with coarse-graining and scale transformation for the Hamiltonian and/or couplings, which results in the RG flow equation for the couplings. By analyzing the flow near fixed points, we identify scaling variables with their relevance and irrelevance, which leads to universality across different models. Critical fixed points appear with relevant variables leading to scaling invariance or self-similarity of thermodynamic functions near the fixed point.

In the BKL RG, the RG transformation is defined through *the governing PDE* with *time-evolution* and scale transformation for *the shape function*, which results in the RG flow equation for *the shape function*. Unlike in the conventional RG, the fixed point does not appear as a point in the hyperspace spanned by the couplings but as a function that describes the self-similarity of the shape function. Universality across different model results from relevance and irrelevance of the terms in the governing PDE under the scale transformation, since the so-called beta function for the RG flow equation is directly connected to the governing equation.

From the correspondence, $M(T, H) \iff h(t, z)$ or $H(T, X)$, we might expect that variables T and X in the present case play the role of scaling variables and the scaling dimension

of them could be determined from the RG theory. However, in the present case, although the scaling dimensions B and 1 for T and X and A for H are constrained by the scale invariance of the governing equation, they cannot be determined by the present RG framework.