A CHEMOTAXIS-FLUID MODEL DRIVEN BY LÉVY NOISE IN \mathbb{R}^2

FAN XU, LEI ZHANG, AND BIN LIU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate the existence and uniqueness of global solutions to the Cauchy problem for a coupled stochastic chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes system with multiplicative Lévy noises in \mathbb{R}^2 . The existence of global martingale solutions is proved under a framework that is based on the Faedo-Galerkin approximation scheme and stochastic compactness method, where the verification of tightness depends crucially on a novel stochastic version of Lyapunov functional inequality and proper compactness criteria in Fréchet spaces. A pathwise uniqueness result is also established with suitable assumption on the jump noises, which indicates that the considered system admits a unique global strong solution.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this work, we study the Cauchy problem for the chemotaxis system interacting with a stochastically perturbed incompressible flow in the two-dimensional space \mathbb{R}^2 :

$$\begin{aligned} dn + u \cdot \nabla n \, dt &= \Delta n \, dt - \nabla \cdot (n \nabla c) \, dt, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ dc + u \cdot \nabla c \, dt &= \Delta c \, dt - nc \, dt, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ du + (u \cdot \nabla) u \, dt &= \Delta u \, dt + \nabla P \, dt \\ &+ n \nabla \phi \, dt + G(t, u) dW(t) + \int_Z F(t, u; z) \tilde{\eta}(dt, dz), & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \nabla \cdot u &= 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \langle n|_{t=0} = n_0, \ c|_{t=0} = c_0, \ u|_{t=0} = u_0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \end{aligned}$$
(1.1)

where the unknown functions n(t, x), c(t, x) and u(t, x) denote the density of the bacteria, the concentration of the chemical and the fluid velocity field, respectively. The function P(t, x) stands for the pressure, and $\phi(x)$ represents the the gravitational potential.

Concerning the fluid equations in (1.1), the term $n\nabla\phi \,dt$ indicates the deterministic force caused by the bacteria via the time-independent potential $\phi(x)$, while the term

$$G(t, u) \mathrm{d}W(t) + \int_{Z} F(t, u; z) \tilde{\eta}(\mathrm{d}t, \mathrm{d}z)$$

stands for the Lévy-type random force stemming from the surroundings, with G(t, u)dW(t)influencing the system continuously in time, and $\int_Z F(t, u; z)\tilde{\eta}(dt, dz)$ influencing the system discretely in time as impulses. Here, the random noises W and η are defined on a fixed probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with filtration $\mathfrak{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ that satisfies the usual assumptions. Specifically, W is a \mathfrak{F} -adapted cylindrical Wiener process with values in a separable Hilbert

Key words and phrases. Stochastic chemotaxis-Navier-Stokes system; Martingale solution; Pathwise solution; Lévy noise.

space Y. η , independent of W, is a time-homogeneous Poisson random measure on $[0, \infty) \times Z$ with intensity measure $dt \otimes d\nu$, where ν is a σ -finite measure on a certain measurable space $(Z, \mathscr{B}(Z))$. The compensated Poisson random measure is denoted by $\tilde{\eta}(dt, dz) = \eta(dt, dz) - \nu(dz)dt$.

The interplay between cells and the surrounding fluid, where chemical substances are consumed, has been acknowledged in [17, 23, 41]. These studies confirm that the density of bacteria and chemoattractants change with the motion of fluid. Consequently, the velocity field of fluid is influenced by both moving bacteria and external body forces. To describe such a coupled biological phenomena, Tuval et al. [41] introduced a prototypical CNS model which can be obtained by taking $G(t, u) \equiv 0$ and $F(t, u; z) \equiv 0$ in (1.1). During the past twenty years, the deterministic CNS system (1.1) has been extensively studied from the understanding point of PDEs theory, see for example [14, 16, 18, 40, 43–45] of solutions. Typically, in the unbounded 2D case, Zhang and Zheng [51] utilized a scale decomposition technique and standard mollification method to prove the existence and uniqueness of weak solutions for the CNS system. Recently, Kang, Lee and Winkler [31] proved the existence of weak solutions for the CNS system in the unbounded 3D case by using Yoshida approximation. Besides, research findings related to the CNS system in 2D and 3D bounded domains are particularly abundant, and we refer to [2, 14, 16, 18, 29, 40, 43–45] and references therein to learn more details.

In the real world, incorporating stochastic effects is crucial in creating mathematical models for complex phenomena in science that involve uncertainty. For instance, the evolution of viscous fluids is not only affected by the external force $n\nabla\phi$ caused by bacteria, but also by random sources from the environment. The presence of randomness can significantly impact the overall evolution of the viscous fluid. Consequently, numerous studies have been conducted on the stochastic Navier-Stokes equations, as evidenced in [5, 6, 20, 26, 27] and their cited references. Due to the widespread applications of random fluctuations in hydrodynamics, developing a stochastic theory for the CNS system coupled with perturbed momentum equations by random forces is essential. This motivates us to assume that the viscous flow described by Navier-Stokes equations are inevitably affected, besides the external force $n\nabla\phi$ stemming from the bacteria, also by some random factors in surrounding environment.

As a matter of fact, the initial work on the stochastic CNS system is due to Zhai and Zhang [47], in which they established the existence and uniqueness of global mild and weak solutions to the stochastic CNS system with Gaussian noises (i.e., $F(t, u; z) \equiv 0$ in (1.1)) in a 2D bounded and convex domain. Later in a 3D bounded domain with unnecessarily convex boundary, Zhang and Liu [48] proved the existence of global martingale weak solutions to the stochastic CNS system perturbed by multiplicative Lévy-type noises. Moreover, they also investigated the existence and uniqueness of global pathwise solutions to the stochastic CNS system with Gaussian multiplicative noise in the whole sapce \mathbb{R}^2 [49,50]. Recently, Hausenblas et al. [25] considered the global pathwise weak solutions to the stochastic CNS system in a 2D bounded domain with an additional random noise on the chemical concentration equation. It is worth noting that the above mentioned works are mainly concentrated on the

evolution of stochastic system in bounded domains, yet little is known for unbounded domains, which have been widely developed for the deterministic counterpart, see e.g. [31, 51].

The main purpose of this paper is to study the global solvability for stochastic CNS system with Lévy noise in the whole space \mathbb{R}^2 , and the main novelty is three-fold:

• The Lévy-type noises considered in (1.1) has not been addressed in [49, 50], which is more natural from the physical point of view. The approximation solutions are constructed by using the Faedo-Galerkin method, which differs from the widely applied Banach fixed point argument in the existed works such as [47-49].

• A new stochastic version of the entropy-energy inequality (cf. Lemma 2.3) is established, which enables us to extend the lifespan of the approximate solutions to infinity. This type of functional inequality even improves the deterministic one in [51].

• Our framework enables us to deal with the gradient-type random noise (e.g., [7,21,22,34]) in the form of

$$G(t, u) dW(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(b^{(i)}(x) \cdot \nabla u(t, x) + c^{(i)}(x)u(t, x) \right) dW^{i}(t),$$
(1.2)

which can not be covered by the framework used in [47-49].

1.1. **Main result.** To give the statement of the definition of the solutions to the original system, let us define $\mathcal{V} := \{f \in [C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)]^2 : \nabla \cdot f = 0\}$, we denote by H the the closure of \mathcal{V} in $[L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)]^2$, by V the closure of \mathcal{V} in $[H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)]^2$, and by V_s the closure of \mathcal{V} in $[H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)]^2$.

Define the operators

$$\mathcal{A}f := (\nabla f, \nabla(\cdot))_{L^2} \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2), \ f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2),$$
$$\mathcal{A}_1u := (\nabla u, \nabla(\cdot))_H \in V', \ u \in V.$$

For the convecting terms, we define

$$B(u,v) := b(u,v,\cdot), \ B_1(u,f) := b_1(u,f,\cdot),$$

where $b(u, v, w) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (u \cdot \nabla v) w \, \mathrm{d}x$ and $b_1(u, f, g) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (u \cdot \nabla) fg \, \mathrm{d}x$.

In a similar manner, we consider

$$R_1(n,c) := r_1(n,c,\cdot), \ r_1(n,c,f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla \cdot (n\nabla c) f \,\mathrm{d}x,$$

and the coupling mappings R_2 and R_3 given by

$$(R_2(n,c),f)_{L^2} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} ncf \, \mathrm{d}x, \ (R_3(n,\phi),g)_{L^2} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n\nabla\phi \cdot g \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

Our main result in this work can be stated by the following theorem.

Definition 1.1 (Martingale solutions). We say that a quantity $((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P}), W, \eta, n, c, u)$ is a global martingale solution to the Cauchy problem (1.1), provided:

• $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P})$ is a stochastic basis with filtration $\mathfrak{F} := {\mathcal{F}_t}_{t \in [0,T]}$. W is a cylindrical Wiener process on a separate space Y, and η is a time homogeneous Poisson random measure on a measurable space $(Z, \mathscr{B}(Z))$ with intensity measure ν .

• $(n, c, u) : [0, T] \times \Omega \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H$ is progressively measurable with P-a.s. paths

$$\begin{split} &n(\cdot,\omega) \in C([0,T]; L^2_w(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap L^2(0,T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)), \\ &c(\cdot,\omega) \in C([0,T]; H^1_w(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap L^2(0,T; H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)), \\ &u(\cdot,\omega) \in \mathbb{D}([0,T]; H_w) \cap L^2(0,T; V). \end{split}$$

• For all $t \in [0,T]$ and all $(h_1, h_2, h_3) \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2) \times C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2) \times \mathcal{V}$, we have \mathbb{P} -a.s.

$$\begin{aligned} &(n(t),h_1)_{L^2} + \int_0^t \langle \mathcal{A}n,h_1 \rangle \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \langle B(u,n),h_1 \rangle \mathrm{d}s = (n_0,h_1)_{L^2} - \int_0^t \langle R_1(n,c),h_1 \rangle \mathrm{d}s, \\ &(c(t),h_2)_{L^2} + \int_0^t \langle \mathcal{A}c,h_2 \rangle \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \langle B(u,c),h_2 \rangle \mathrm{d}s = (c_0,h_2)_{L^2} - \int_0^t \langle R_2(n,c),h_2 \rangle \mathrm{d}s, \\ &(u(t),h_3)_H + \int_0^t \langle \mathcal{A}_1u,h_3 \rangle \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \langle B_1(u,u),h_3 \rangle \mathrm{d}s = (u_0,h_3)_H + \int_0^t \langle R_3(n,\phi),h_3 \rangle \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_0^t \langle G(s,u) \mathrm{d}W(s),h_3 \rangle + \int_0^t \int_Z (F(s,u(s-);z),h_3)_H \tilde{\eta}(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}z). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.2 (Pathwise solutions). If the stochastic basis $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{F}, \mathbb{P}, W, \eta)$ is fixed in advance, then the process $(n, c, u) : [0, T] \times \Omega \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \times H$ in Definition 1.1 is said to be a global pathwise (i.e., probabilistically strong) solution to the system (1.1).

Assumption 1.3. Let us make the following assumptions:

 $\begin{array}{l} (\mathsf{A}_1) \ \phi \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2); \, n_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2), \ n_0 > 0; \, c_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap H^1(\mathbb{R}^2), \ \nabla \sqrt{c_0} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2), \ c_0 > 0; \, u_0 \in H. \end{array}$

(A₂) (1) $G: [0,T] \times V \to \mathcal{L}_2(Y,H)$ and there exists a constant $L_G > 0$ such that

$$\|G(t, u_1) - G(t, u_2)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(Y, H)}^2 \le L_G \|u_1 - u_2\|_V^2, \ u_1, \ u_2 \in V, \ t \in [0, T]$$

and

$$\|G(t,u)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(Y,H)}^2 \le \lambda_0 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + C(1+\|u\|_H^2), \ u \in V, \ t \in [0,T],$$

where C > 0 and λ_0 small enough such that

$$\lambda_0 < \frac{1}{3^7 \cdot (2 + 16 \cdot 24 \|c_0\|_{L^{\infty}})^2}.$$
(1.3)

(2) G extends to a continuous mapping $G: [0,T] \times H \to \mathcal{L}_2(Y,V')$ such that

$$||G(t,u)||^2_{\mathcal{L}_2(Y,V')} \le C(1+||u||^2_H), \ u \in H,$$

for some C > 0. Moreover, for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}$ the mapping \tilde{G}_{φ} defined by

$$(\tilde{G}_{\varphi}(u))(t) := (G(t, u(t)), \varphi)_H, \ u \in L^2(0, T; H), \ t \in [0, T]$$

is a continuous mapping from $L^2(0,T;H)$ into $L^2([0,T];\mathcal{L}_2(Y,\mathbb{R}))$ if in the space $L^2(0,T;H)$ we consider the Fréchet topology inherited from the space $L^2(0,T;H_{loc})$.

(A₃) (1) $F : [0, T] \times H \times Z \to H$ is a measurable function such that $\int_Z 1_{\{0\}}(F(t, x; z))\nu(dz) = 0$ for all $x \in H$ and $t \in [0, T]$. There exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$\int_{Z} \|F(t, u_1; z) - F(t, u_2; z)\|_{H}^{2} \nu(\mathrm{d}z) \le C \|u_1 - u_2\|_{H}^{2}, \ u_1, \ u_2 \in H, \ t \in [0, T],$$

and there exists a constant C(p) such that

$$\int_{Z} \|F(t,u;z)\|_{H}^{p} \nu(\mathrm{d}z) \le C(p)(1+\|u\|_{H}^{p}), \ u \in H, \ t \in [0,T].$$

for each $p \geq 1$.

(2) For every $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}$ the mapping \tilde{F}_{φ} defined by

$$(\tilde{F}_{\varphi}(u))(t,z) := (F(t,u(t-);z),\varphi)_{H}, \ u \in L^{2}(0,T;H), \ (t,z) \in [0,T] \times Z,$$

is a continuous mapping from $L^2(0,T;H)$ into $L^2([0,T] \times Z, dl \otimes \nu; \mathbb{R})$ if in the space $L^2(0,T;H)$ we consider the Fréchet topology inherited from the space $L^2(0,T;H_{loc})$.

Now we are ready to state the main result in this paper.

Theorem 1.4. Assume that the conditions (A_1) - (A_3) hold, then the Cauchy problem (1.1) has at least a global martingale solution $((\bar{\Omega}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}, \bar{\mathfrak{F}}, \bar{\mathbb{P}}), \bar{W}, \bar{\eta}, \bar{n}, \bar{c}, \bar{u})$ in the sense of Definition 1.1. In addition, if there is a constant C > 0 such that

$$\int_{Z} \|F(t, u_1; z) - F(t, u_2; z)\|_{H}^{4} \nu(dz) \le C \|u_1 - u_2\|_{H}^{4}, \ u_1, u_2 \in H, \ t \in [0, T],$$
(1.4)

and the Lipschitz constant L_G in (A_2) satisfies

$$L_G < 2, \tag{1.5}$$

then the global martingale solution is exact unique. As a result, the Cauchy problem (1.1) has a unique global pathwise solution in the sense of Definition 1.2.

Several remarkes concerning the Theorem 1.4 are in order.

Remark 1.5. Note that the gradient-type noise (1.2) satisfies (A_2) if the functions $b^i(x)$ and $c^{(i)}(x)$ are sufficiently regular. An example for the assumption (A_3) is provided as follows:

Let η be the Poisson random measure induced from a Lévy process L on a separable Hilbert space Y_1 , where the associated intensity measure is given by $dt \otimes d\nu$ and ν is a σ -finite Lévy measure satisfying $\int_{Y_1 \setminus \{0\}} (\|y\|_{Y_1}^2 \wedge 1)\nu(dy) < \infty$ [38]. Let $Z := \{z \in Y_1, \|z\|_{Y_1} < 1\}$ and define the measurable mapping by

$$F(t, u; z) := ||z||_{Y_1} \cdot u$$
, for all $(t, u, z) \in [0, T] \times H \times Z$.

Then it is clearly that $F(t, 0; z) \equiv 0$ and for all $p \geq 2$

$$\int_{Z} \|F(t, u_1; z) - F(t, u_2; z)\|_{H}^{p} \nu(\mathrm{d}z) = \|u_1 - u_2\|_{H}^{p} \int_{Z} \|z\|_{Y_1}^{p} \nu(\mathrm{d}z)$$

$$\leq C \|u_1 - u_2\|_{H}^{p}, \ t \in [0, T], \ u_1, u_2 \in H,$$

which implies that the function F satisfies assumptions 1) in (A₃) and also the condition (1.4) in Theorem 1.4. Besides, for fixed $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}$ there exists d > 0 such that supp φ is a compact subset of $\mathcal{O}_d \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Thus the mapping $(\tilde{F}_{\varphi}(u))(t, z) = (||z||_{Y_1} \cdot u, \varphi)_H$ satisfies

$$|(F_{\varphi}(u))(t,z)| \le C(d) ||u||_{H(\mathcal{O}_d)} ||z||_{Y_1}, \ (t,u,z) \in [0,T] \times H \times Z,$$

which implies that the mapping \tilde{F}_{φ} satisfies the assumption (2) in (A₃).

Remark 1.6. The upper bound for λ_0 in (1.3) is assumed just for convenience. An interesting problem is to seek the best upper bound such that our main result still holds.

Remark 1.7. Theorem 1.4 may be seen as an extension of the results for deterministic CNS system [19, 33, 43, 51] to the stochastic setting. Meanwhile, it also improves the work [47] in bounded domain and the works [49, 50] in unbounded domain. Note that different with the framework used in these works, we adopt an alternative framework that combines the classical Faedo-Galerkin approximation method with the stochastic compactness method through a new stochastic entropy-energy inequality.

1.2. Ideas of the proof. In the first step, we introduce an approximation system by virtue of the classical Faedo-Galerkin method, which turns the original system (1.1) into a class of locally Lipschitz continuous SDEs with Lévy noises. The existence and uniqueness of approximation solutions (n^m, c^m, u^m, τ^m) then follows from the well-known theory for SDEs in finite-dimensional spaces ([4, Theorem 6.2.1]). As far as we aware, such a type of approximation system combined with the stochastic compactness method has not been applied to study the stochastic CNS system in unbounded domain, which seems to be more efficient than the three-layer approximation system in our previous works [49, 50]. It is worth pointing out that, inspired by the works by Mikulevicius and Rozovskii [35] and Brzeźniak and Motyl [12, 13] for stochastic fluid hydrodynamics, we successfully introduce a more general framework in certain Fréchet spaces to deal with the unboundedness of the domain. As a result, by using a series of properties in Hilbert space and suitable Sobolev embedding theorems, we are able to obtain proper compactness criteria, which are crucial for proving the tightness of approximation solutions.

The second step is to show that the approximate solutions are indeed global-in-time ones, that is, $\mathbb{P}(\omega : \tau^m(\omega) = \infty) = 1$. As usual, we are inspired to establish some uniform a priori bounds for the approximation solutions. However, very different with the decoupled deterministic or stochastic Navier-Stokes equations, the usual energy estimates is not sufficient to achieve this goal. Being inspired by [19,51] and taking advantage of the special structure of the system, one can derive a stochastic version of the entropy-energy functional inequality (cf. Lemma 2.3). We remark that the entropy-type estimates have been widely applied in the study of global solvability of deterministic chemotaxis systems [19, 31, 33, 43, 45]. Here the main difficulty comes from the treatment of the interaction between the chemotaxis system and the stochastic fluid equation.

The third step is to take the limit $m \to \infty$ and prove the existence of global martingale solutions. At this stage, we encounter another difficulty that differs from the deterministic setting, that is, one can not directly extract a weakly convergent subsequence of $(n^m, c^m, u^m)_{m\geq 1}$ by previous uniform bounds to show that the weak limit process is a weak solution to the system (1.1), due to the lack of topology structure of the probability space. Fortunately, thanks to the aforementioned entropy-energy inequality and the compactness criteria, one can prove that the probability measures induced by the approximation solutions are tight on properly chosen phase spaces. Then by applying the Jakubowski-Skorokhod theorem one can construct a new probability space $(\bar{\Omega}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}, \bar{\mathbb{P}})$ on which defined a sequence of $(\bar{n}^k, \bar{c}^k, \bar{u}^k, \bar{W}^k, \bar{\eta}^k)$. This sequence shares the same laws of $(n^m, c^m, u^m, W^m, \eta^m)$ and convergent almost surely to an element $(n_*, c_*, u_*, W_*, \eta_*)$. By making use of this crucial pointwise convergence result, one can verify that $(n_*, c_*, u_*, W_*, \eta_*)$ is indeed a global martingale weak solution to the system (1.1).

1.3. Notation. Finally, let us give several notations that will be frequently used in the following argument.

Let (\mathbb{S}, ϱ) be a complete and separable metric space. Let $\mathbb{D}([0, T]; \mathbb{S})$ be the space of all S-valued càdlàg functions defined on [0, T], i.e. the functions which are right continuous with left limits at every $t \in [0, T]$. The space $\mathbb{D}([0, T]; \mathbb{S})$ is endowed with the Skorokhod topology. In particular, a sequence $(f_m) \subset \mathbb{D}([0, T]; \mathbb{S})$ converges to $f \in \mathbb{D}([0, T]; \mathbb{S})$ if and only if there exists a sequence (λ_m) of homeomorphisms of [0, T] such that λ_m tends to the identity uniformly on [0, T] and $f_m \circ \lambda_m$ tends to f uniformly on [0, T]. The topology is metrizable by the following metric

$$\delta_T(f,g) := \inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda_T} \left[\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \varrho(f(t), g \circ \lambda(t)) + \sup_{t \in [0,T]} |t - \lambda(t)| + \sup_{s \neq t} \left| \log \frac{\lambda(t) - \lambda(s)}{t - s} \right| \right],$$

where Λ_T is the set of increasing homeomorphisms of [0, T]. Moreover, $(\mathbb{D}([0, T]; \mathbb{S}), \delta_T)$ is a complete metric space [30].

Let Q_w be a Hilbert space Q endowed with the weak topology, we define $\mathbb{D}([0,T]; Q_w)$ the space of weakly càdlàg functions $f:[0,T] \to Q$ with the weakest topology such that for all $f \in Q$ the mapping $C([0,T]; Q_w)$ the space of weakly continuous functions $f:[0,T] \to Q$ with the weakest topology $L^2_w(0,T;Q)$ the space $L^2(0,T;Q)$ endowed with the weak topology $L^2(0,T; L^2_{loc})$ the space of measurable functions $f:[0,T] \to L^2$ such that for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $p_{T,d}(f) := ||f||_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathcal{O}_d))} := (\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}_d} |f|^2 dx dt)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$, with the topology generated by the seminorms $p_{T,d} L^2(0,T; H^1_{loc})$ the space of measurable functions $f:[0,T] \to H^1$ such that for all $d \in \mathbb{N}, q_{T,d}(f) := ||f||_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\mathcal{O}_d))} := (\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{O}_d} |f|^2 + |\nabla f|^2 dx dt)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$, with the topology generated by the seminorms $q_{T,d}$. In particular, $f_m \to f$ in $\mathbb{D}([0,T]; Q_w)$ if any only if for all $g \in Q$: $(f_m(\cdot), g)_Q \to (f(\cdot), g)_Q$ in $\mathbb{D}([0,T]; \mathbb{R})$. And $f_m \to f$ in $C([0,T]; Q_w)$ if any only if for all $g \in Q$: $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{t\in[0,T]} |(f_m(t) - f(t), g)_Q| = 0$.

1.4. **Organization.** This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we establish uniform bounded estimates for solutions of the finite-dimensional system (2.8). In section 3, we provide some compactness criteria, and then establish the existence of global martingale solutions. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of the pathwise uniqueness result.

FAN XU, LEI ZHANG, AND BIN LIU

2. Approximation solutions

2.1. Functional setting. To introduce the approximation system, let us first introduce several approximation operators. It is clear that the embeddings $H^a(\mathbb{R}^2) \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $V_b \subset V \subset H$ are continuous for a, b > 1. According to [28, Lemma 2.5], we obtain two Hilbert spaces U and U_1 , and we get the following relationship

$$U \stackrel{i^a}{\hookrightarrow} H^a(\mathbb{R}^2) \stackrel{j^a}{\hookrightarrow} H^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \stackrel{j}{\hookrightarrow} L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \cong (L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))' \stackrel{j'}{\hookrightarrow} H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2) \stackrel{(j^a)'}{\hookrightarrow} H^{-a}(\mathbb{R}^2) \stackrel{(i^a)'}{\hookrightarrow} U' \quad (2.1)$$

as well as

$$U_1 \stackrel{i_1^b}{\hookrightarrow} V_b \stackrel{l^b}{\hookrightarrow} V \stackrel{l}{\hookrightarrow} H \cong H' \stackrel{l'}{\hookrightarrow} V' \stackrel{(l^b)'}{\hookrightarrow} V'_b \stackrel{(i_1^b)'}{\hookrightarrow} U'_1, \tag{2.2}$$

where the natural embeddings i^a , $(i^a)'$, i_1^b and $(i_1^b)'$ are compact and the embeddings j^a , $(j^a)'$, $j, j', l^b, (l^b)', l$ as well as l' are continuous. Let us consider the mappings

$$k := j \circ j^a \circ i^a : U \hookrightarrow L^2,$$

$$k_1 := l \circ l^b \circ i_1^b : U_1 \hookrightarrow H,$$

and their adjoint operators

$$k^* := (j \circ j^a \circ i^a)^* : L^2 \to U,$$

$$k_1^* := (l \circ l^b \circ i_1^b)^* : H \to U_1.$$

Since k is compact and the range of k is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $k^* : L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \to U$ is one-toone. Similarly, the mapping $k_1^* : H \to U_1$ is also one-to-one. Let us consider the following mappings

$$Kx := (k^*)^{-1}x, \ x \in D(K) := k^*(L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)) \subset U,$$
 (2.3)

and

$$K_1 y := (k_1^*)^{-1} y, \ y \in D(K_1) := k_1^*(H) \subset U_1.$$
 (2.4)

Then the mappings $K : D(K) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $K_1 : D(K_1) \to H$ are both onto. By (2.3), we see that

$$(Kx, y)_{L^2} = ((k^*)^{-1}x, ky)_{L^2} = (k^*(k^*)^{-1}x, y)_U = (x, y)_U, \ x \in D(K), \ y \in U$$

Similarly, according to (2.4), we have

$$(K_1x, y)_H = (x, y)_{U_1}, \ x \in D(K_1), \ y \in U_1.$$

Besides, D(K) is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $D(K_1)$ is dense in H, see [12, 37]. Moreover, K and K_1 are both self-adjoint operators, and K^{-1} as well as K_1^{-1} are compact operator. Then there exists an orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ composed of the eigenvectors for the operator K. And there exists another orthonormal basis $\{o_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of H composed of the eigenvectors for the operator K_1 . Let λ_i and $\tilde{\lambda}_i$ be the eigenvalue corresponding to e_i and

 o_i , respectively. Since $D(K) \subset U$ and $D(K_1) \subset U_1$ are densely defined, we see that $e_i \in U$ as well as $o_i \in U_1$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let P_m be the operator from U' to span $\{e_1, ..., e_m\}$ defined by

$$P_m f = \sum_{i=1}^m \langle f, e_i \rangle_{U', U} e_i, \ f \in U'.$$

And let \tilde{P}_m be the operator from U'_1 to span $\{o_1, ..., o_m\}$ defined by

$$\tilde{P}_m g = \sum_{i=1}^m \langle g, o_i \rangle_{U'_1, U_1} o_i, \ g \in U'_1.$$

In particular, the restriction of P_m to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ (still denoted by P_m) and the restriction of \tilde{P}_m to H (still denoted by \tilde{P}_m) are given by

$$P_m f = \sum_{i=1}^m (f, e_i)_{L^2} e_i, \ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad \tilde{P}_m g = \sum_{i=1}^m (g, \tilde{e}_i)_H \tilde{e}_i, \ g \in H.$$

The proof of the following result is standard (cf. [12]), which will be frequently used in later estimations.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\tilde{e}_i := \frac{e_i}{\|e_i\|_U}$ and $\tilde{o}_i := \frac{o_i}{\|o_i\|_{U_1}}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

(1) $\{\tilde{e}_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\tilde{o}_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ are the orthonormal basis in U and U₁, respectively. Moreover,

$$\lambda_i = \|e_i\|_{U}^2, \ \tilde{\lambda}_i = \|o_i\|_{U_1}^2, \ i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(2) For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $f \in U$ and $g \in U_1$

$$P_m f = \sum_{i=1}^m (f, \tilde{e}_i)_U \tilde{e}_i, \quad \tilde{P}_m g = \sum_{i=1}^m (g, \tilde{o}_i)_U \tilde{o}_i,$$

i.e. the restriction of P_m to U is the $(\cdot, \cdot)_U$ -orthogonal projection onto $span\{\tilde{e}_1, ... \tilde{e}_m\}$ and the restriction of \tilde{P}_m to U_1 is the $(\cdot, \cdot)_{U_1}$ -orthogonal projection onto $span\{\tilde{o}_1, ... \tilde{o}_m\}$, respectively.

(3) For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_1 \in U$, $f_2 \in U'$, $g_1 \in U_1$ and $g_2 \in U'_1$

$$(P_m f_2, f_1)_{L^2} = \langle f_2, P_m f_1 \rangle, \quad (P_m g_2, g_1)_H = \langle g_2, P_m g_1 \rangle.$$
 (2.5)

(4) For every $f \in U$ and $g \in U_1$, we have

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \|P_m f - f\|_U = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{m \to \infty} \|\tilde{P}_m g - g\|_{U_1} = 0.$$
(2.6)

2.2. Approximation system. Note that the original system (1.1) can be written in the following form:

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}n(t) + \mathcal{A}n(t)\mathrm{d}t + B(u(t), n(t))\mathrm{d}t = -R_1(n(t), c(t))\mathrm{d}t, \\ \mathrm{d}c(t) + \mathcal{A}c(t)\mathrm{d}t + B(u(t), c(t))\mathrm{d}t = -R_2(n(t), c(t))\mathrm{d}t, \\ \mathrm{d}u(t) + \mathcal{A}_1u(t)\mathrm{d}t + B_1(u(t), u(t))\mathrm{d}t\mathrm{d}t \\ = R_3(n(t), \phi) + G(t, u(t))\mathrm{d}W(t) + \int_Z F(t, u(t-); z)\tilde{\eta}(\mathrm{d}t, \mathrm{d}z), \\ n|_{t=0} = n_0, \ c|_{t=0} = c_0, \ u|_{t=0} = u_0. \end{cases}$$

$$(2.7)$$

In order to apply the Faedo-Galerkin method to approximate the system (2.7), let $\{e_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the orthonormal basis in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ composed of the eigenvectors of operator K, and $\{o_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be the orthonormal basis in H composed of the eigenvectors of operator K_1 . Define the finite-dimensional projection spaces

$$\mathcal{S}_m := S_m \times S_m \times \mathbf{S}_m$$

with $S_m := \operatorname{span}\{e_1, ..., e_m\}$ and $\mathbf{S}_m := \operatorname{span}\{o_1, ..., o_m\}$, which is endowed with the norm

$$\|(n,c,u)\|_{\mathcal{S}_m} = \left(\|n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|c\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u\|_{H}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ (n,c,u) \in \mathcal{S}_m$$

Then the approximation system in \mathcal{S}_m is introduced as follows:

$$\begin{cases} n^{m}(t) + \int_{0}^{t} P_{m}\mathcal{A}n^{m}ds + \int_{0}^{t} P_{m}B(u^{m}, n^{m})ds = n_{0}^{m} - \int_{0}^{t} P_{m}R_{1}(n^{m}(s), c^{m})ds, \\ c^{m}(t) + \int_{0}^{t} P_{m}\mathcal{A}c^{m}ds + \int_{0}^{t} P_{m}B(u^{m}, c^{m})ds = c_{0}^{m} - \int_{0}^{t} P_{m}R_{2}(n^{m}, c^{m})ds, \\ u^{m}(t) + \int_{0}^{t} \tilde{P}_{m}\mathcal{A}_{1}u^{m}ds + \int_{0}^{t} \tilde{P}_{m}\tilde{B}_{1}(u^{m})ds = u_{0}^{m} + \int_{0}^{t} \tilde{P}_{m}R_{3}(n^{m}, \phi)ds \\ + \int_{0}^{t} \tilde{P}_{m}G(s, u^{m})dW(s) + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{Z} \tilde{P}_{m}F(s, u^{m}(s-); z)\tilde{\eta}(ds, dz), \\ n^{m}|_{t=0} = n_{0}^{m}, \ c^{m}|_{t=0} = c_{0}^{m}, \ u^{m}|_{t=0} = u_{0}^{m}, \end{cases}$$

$$(2.8)$$

where the mappings $P_m : U' \to S_m$ and $\tilde{P}_m : U'_1 \to \mathbf{S}_m$ are defined by (2.1) and (2.1), respectively. The regularized initial datum in (2.8) are given by

$$n_0^m := P_m n_0, \ c_0^m := P_m c_0, \ u_0^m := \tilde{P}_m u_0,$$

which satisfy the properties

$$\begin{cases} n_0^m > 0, \ \|n_0^m\|_{L^1 \cap L \log L} \to \|n_0\|_{L^1 \cap L \log L}, \ n_0^m \to n_0 \text{ in } L^1(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2); \\ c_0^m > 0, \ \|c_0^m\|_{L^{\infty}} \le \|c_0\|_{L^{\infty}}, \ c_0^m \to c_0 \text{ in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^2); \\ u_0^m \to u_0 \text{ in } H. \end{cases}$$

$$(2.9)$$

Moreover, let us consider the mapping $\mathbb{F}_m : \mathcal{S}_m \to \mathcal{S}_m$ defined by

$$\mathbb{F}_{m}(\mathsf{u}) = \begin{pmatrix} P_{m}\mathcal{A}n + P_{m}B(u,n) + P_{m}R_{1}(n,c) \\ P_{m}\mathcal{A}c + P_{m}B(u,c) + P_{m}R_{2}(n,c) \\ \tilde{P}_{m}\mathcal{A}_{1}u + \tilde{P}_{m}\tilde{B}_{1}(u) - \tilde{P}_{m}R_{3}(n,\phi) \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathsf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} n \\ c \\ u \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbb{G}_{m}(\mathsf{u}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \tilde{P}_{m}G(s, u) \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathbb{H}_{m}(\mathsf{u}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \tilde{P}_{m}F(s, u; z) \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathcal{W} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ W \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the approximation system (2.8) can be reformulated as

$$\mathbf{u}^{m}(t) + \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{F}_{m}(\mathbf{u}^{m}) \mathrm{d}s = \mathbf{u}^{m}(0) + \int_{0}^{t} \mathbb{G}_{m}(\mathbf{u}^{m}) \mathrm{d}\mathcal{W}(s) + \int_{0}^{t} \int_{Z} \mathbb{H}_{m}(\mathbf{u}^{m}) \tilde{\eta}(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}z).$$

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{F}_m : \mathcal{S}_m \to \mathcal{S}_m$ is locally Lipschitz continuous, see for example [25, Lemma 4.1]. According to the well-known theory for finite-dimensional stochastic differential equations with locally Lipschitz coefficients ([4, Theorem 6.2.1, P. 376]) there exists a local solution (n^m, c^m, u^m) of system (2.8) such that

$$(n^m, c^m, u^m) \in C([0, \tau^m]; S_m) \times C([0, \tau^m]; S_m) \times \mathbb{D}([0, \tau^m]; \mathbf{S}_m),$$

where $\tau^m > 0$ a.s. is a stopping time, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, if a process $t \mapsto (\bar{n}^m(t), \bar{c}^m(t), \bar{u}^m(t))$ and a stopping time $\bar{\tau}_m$ constitute another local solution, then \mathbb{P} -a.s.

$$(n^{m}, c^{m}, u^{m}) = (\bar{n}^{m}, \bar{c}^{m}, \bar{u}^{m}) \text{ on } t \in [0, \tau^{m} \wedge \bar{\tau}^{m}].$$
(2.10)

In the following, we shall taking the limit as $m \to \infty$ in proper sense to obtained the exact solution to the system (1.1) by using a stochastic compactness method.

2.3. Global approximation solutions. The goal of this subsection is to establish a new a stochastic version of the entropy-energy inequality, which will be applied to show that the local approximation solutions (n^m, c^m, u^m) constructed in subsection 2.1 are actually global-in-time ones.

To do this, it is sufficient to show that for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\tau^m(\omega) > T$$
, for any $T > 0$, \mathbb{P} -a.s. (2.11)

We will use some idea from [39, Proof of Theorem 12.1]. Let us restrict the mappings \mathbb{F}_m , $\tilde{P}_m G$ and $\tilde{P}_m F$ on an open ball

$$B^0_{\mathcal{S}_m}(0,D) := \{ f \in \mathcal{S}_m : \|f\|_{\mathcal{S}_m} < D \}$$

denoted by \mathbb{F}_m^D , $\tilde{P}_m G^D$ and $\tilde{P}_m F^D$, respectively. Then the mappings \mathbb{F}_m^D , $\tilde{P}_m G^D$ and $\tilde{P}_m F^D$ are globally Lipschitz. By [4, Theorem 6.2.3, P. 367], there exists a unique solution (n_D^m, c_D^m, u_D^m) to a system associated to the system (2.8) with \mathbb{F}_m^D , $\tilde{P}_m G^D$ and $\tilde{P}_m F^D$ (instead of \mathbb{F}_m , $\tilde{P}_m G$ and $\tilde{P}_m F$) and defined on $[0, \infty)$ a.s.

Let us consider a sequence of stopping times

$$\tau_D^m(\omega) := \inf\left\{t > 0 : \sqrt{\|n_D^m\|_{L^2}^2 + \|c_D^m\|_{H^1}^2 + \|u_D^m\|_H^2} \ge D\right\} \land D.$$
(2.12)

It is clear that for each fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the sequence $\{\tau_D^m\}$ is increasing. According to the definition of the stopping times τ_D^m , we see that

$$\mathbb{F}_m = \mathbb{F}_m^D, \ \tilde{P}_m G = \tilde{P}_m G^D \ and \ \tilde{P}_m F = \tilde{P}_m F^D \ on \ t \in [0, \tau_D^m],$$

which implies that the solutions (n^m, c^m, u^m) of system (2.8) is defined on $[0, \tau_D^m]$ for all $D \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$(n^m, c^m, u^m) = (n_D^m, c_D^m, u_D^m)$$
 on $[0, \tau_D^m]$.

According to the uniqueness of local solutions, we get from (2.10) that $\tau^m > \tau_D^m$ a.s. for all $D \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore \mathbb{P} -a.s. $\tau^m \ge \sup_{D \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_D^m$. In order to prove the inequality (2.11), it is sufficient to prove that \mathbb{P} -a.s

$$\sup_{D\in\mathbb{N}}\tau_D^m > T.$$
(2.13)

Our next goal is to prove the validity of (2.13). Let us start with the basic properties of the local solution $(n^m, c^m, u^m, \tau_D^m)$.

Lemma 2.2 ([25, 50]). Under the assumption (A_1), any local solutions $(n^m, c^m, u^m, \tau_D^m)$ of system (2.8) satisfy that \mathbb{P} -a.s.

$$n^{m}(t \wedge \tau_{D}^{m}) > 0, \ c^{m}(t \wedge \tau_{D}^{m}) > 0, \ t \in [0, T],$$

$$(2.14)$$

$$\|n^{m}(t \wedge \tau_{D}^{m})\|_{L^{1}} \equiv \|n_{0}^{m}\|_{L^{1}} \leq C, \ \|c^{m}(t \wedge \tau_{D}^{m})\|_{L^{1} \cap L^{\infty}} \leq \|c_{0}\|_{L^{1} \cap L^{\infty}}, \ t \in [0, T].$$
(2.15)

Now we proceed to establish some uniform bounded estimates based on an entropy functional inequality.

Lemma 2.3 (A new entropy-energy inequality). Under the assumptions (A_1) - (A_3) , there exists a positive constant C independent of m and D such that for all $p \in [1,3]$

$$E\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\mathcal{F}(n^m,c^m,u^m)(t\wedge\tau_D^m)\right)^p + E\left(\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_D^m}\mathcal{G}(n^m,c^m,u^m)(t)\,dt\right)^p \le C,\qquad(2.16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(n^{m},c^{m},u^{m})(t) &:= \|n^{m}(t)\|_{L^{1}\cap L\log L} + \|\nabla\sqrt{c^{m}(t)}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|u^{m}(t)\|_{H}^{2}, \\ \mathcal{G}(n^{m},c^{m},u^{m})(t) &:= \|\nabla\sqrt{n^{m}(t)+1}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\Delta\sqrt{c^{m}(t)}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \left\|\frac{|\nabla\sqrt{c^{m}(t)}|^{2}}{\sqrt{c^{m}(t)}}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\ &+ \|n^{m}(t)|\nabla\sqrt{c^{m}(t)}|^{2}\|_{L^{1}} + \|\nabla u^{m}(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using the chain rule to $d[(n^m+1)\ln(n^m+1)]$ associated to the first equation in (2.8) and integrating by parts with the help of divergence-free condition $\nabla \cdot u^m = 0$, we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (n^m + 1) \ln(n^m + 1) \,\mathrm{d}x + 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \sqrt{n^m + 1}|^2 \,\mathrm{d}x$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla n^m \cdot \nabla c^m \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta c^m \ln(n^m + 1) \,\mathrm{d}x.$$
 (2.17)

Next we consider the second equation in (2.8). Since $\Delta c^m = 2|\nabla\sqrt{c^m}|^2 + 2\sqrt{c^m}\Delta\sqrt{c^m}$, the second equation of (2.8) reduces to

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\sqrt{c^m} + u^m \cdot \nabla\sqrt{c^m} = (\sqrt{c^m})^{-1}|\nabla\sqrt{c^m}|^2 + \Delta\sqrt{c^m} - \frac{1}{2}n^m\sqrt{c^m}.$$

Multiplying both sides of above equation by $\Delta \sqrt{c^m}$ and integrating by parts over \mathbb{R}^2 , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \| \nabla \sqrt{c^m} \|_{L^2}^2 + \| \Delta \sqrt{c^m} \|_{L^2}^2$$

$$= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\sqrt{c^m})^{-1} | \nabla \sqrt{c^m} |^2 \Delta \sqrt{c^m} \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (u^m \cdot \nabla \sqrt{c^m}) \Delta \sqrt{c^m} \mathrm{d}x$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n^m \sqrt{c^m} \Delta \sqrt{c^m} \mathrm{d}x$$

$$:= M_1 + M_2 + M_3.$$
(2.18)

By integrating by parts, we see that

$$\begin{split} M_1 &= -\sum_{i,j \in \{1,2\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\sqrt{c^m})^{-1} (\partial_j \sqrt{c^m})^2 \partial_{ii} \sqrt{c^m} \mathrm{d}x \\ &= -\sum_{i,j \in \{1,2\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\sqrt{c^m})^{-2} |\partial_i \sqrt{c^m}|^2 |\partial_j \sqrt{c^m}|^2 \mathrm{d}x + 2\sum_{i=j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\sqrt{c^m})^{-1} \partial_i \sqrt{c^m} \partial_j \sqrt{c^m} \mathrm{d}x \\ &+ 2\sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\sqrt{c^m})^{-1} \partial_i \sqrt{c^m} \partial_j \sqrt{c^m} \partial_{ij} \sqrt{c^m} \mathrm{d}x. \end{split}$$

Since by

$$\sum_{i=j=1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\sqrt{c^m})^{-1} \partial_i \sqrt{c^m} \partial_j \sqrt{c^m} \partial_{ij} \sqrt{c^m} \mathrm{d}x = -M_1 - \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\sqrt{c^m})^{-1} (\partial_j \sqrt{c^m})^2 \partial_{ii} \sqrt{c^m} \mathrm{d}x,$$

we have

$$\begin{split} M_{1} &= -\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i,j \in \{1,2\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} (\sqrt{c^{m}})^{-2} |\partial_{i} \sqrt{c^{m}}|^{2} |\partial_{j} \sqrt{c^{m}}|^{2} dx - \frac{2}{3} \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} (\sqrt{c^{m}})^{-1} (\partial_{j} \sqrt{c^{m}})^{2} \partial_{ii} \sqrt{c^{m}} dx \\ &+ \frac{2}{3} \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} (\sqrt{c^{m}})^{-1} \partial_{i} \sqrt{c^{m}} \partial_{j} \sqrt{c^{m}} \partial_{ij} \sqrt{c^{m}} dx \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i,j \in \{1,2\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} (\sqrt{c^{m}})^{-2} |\partial_{i} \sqrt{c^{m}}|^{2} |\partial_{j} \sqrt{c^{m}}|^{2} dx + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} (\sqrt{c^{m}})^{-2} |\partial_{i} \sqrt{c^{m}}|^{2} |\partial_{j} \sqrt{c^{m}}|^{2} dx + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} (\sqrt{c^{m}})^{-2} |\partial_{i} \sqrt{c^{m}}|^{2} |\partial_{j} \sqrt{c^{m}}|^{2} dx \\ &+ \frac{2}{3} \sum_{i \neq j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \partial_{ij} |\sqrt{c^{m}}|^{2} dx + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i = 1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} (\sqrt{c^{m}})^{-2} |\partial_{i} \sqrt{c^{m}}|^{4} dx + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{i = 1}^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |\partial_{ii} \sqrt{c^{m}}| dx \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{6} \sum_{i,j \in \{1,2\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} (\sqrt{c^{m}})^{-2} |\partial_{i} \sqrt{c^{m}}|^{2} |\partial_{j} \sqrt{c^{m}}|^{2} dx + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{i,j \in \{1,2\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \partial_{ij} |\sqrt{c^{m}}|^{2} dx. \end{split}$$

$$(2.19)$$

For M_3 , we have

$$M_{3} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \nabla n^{m} \sqrt{c^{m}} \cdot \nabla \sqrt{c^{m}} dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} n^{m} |\nabla \sqrt{c^{m}}|^{2} dx$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \nabla n^{m} \cdot \nabla c^{m} dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} n^{m} |\nabla \sqrt{c^{m}}|^{2} dx.$$
 (2.20)

Plugging (2.19) and (2.20) into (2.18), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \| \nabla \sqrt{c^m} \|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{3} \| \Delta \sqrt{c^m} \|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i,j \in \{1,2\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\sqrt{c^m})^{-2} |\partial_i \sqrt{c^m}|^2 |\partial_j \sqrt{c^m}|^2 \mathrm{d}x \\
= M_2 - \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla n^m \cdot \nabla c^m \mathrm{d}x - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n^m |\nabla \sqrt{c^m}|^2 \mathrm{d}x.$$
(2.21)

Let $4 \times (2.21) + (2.17)$, we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (n^m + 1) \ln(n^m + 1) \mathrm{d}x + 2 \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|\nabla \sqrt{c^m}\|_{L^2}^2 + 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla \sqrt{n^m + 1}|^2 \mathrm{d}x \\
+ \frac{4}{3} \|\Delta \sqrt{c^m}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{2}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\sqrt{c^m})^{-2} |\nabla \sqrt{c^m}|^4 \mathrm{d}x + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n^m |\nabla \sqrt{c^m}|^2 \mathrm{d}x \\
= 4M_2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta c^m \ln(n^m + 1) \mathrm{d}x.$$
(2.22)

By integrating by parts and using Young's inequality as well as Lemma 2.2, we have

$$4M_{2} = -4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \nabla(u^{m} \cdot \nabla\sqrt{c^{m}}) \cdot \nabla\sqrt{c^{m}} dx$$

$$\leq 8 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |\sqrt{c^{m}} \nabla u^{m}|^{2} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} (\sqrt{c^{m}})^{-2} |\nabla\sqrt{c^{m}}|^{4} dx$$

$$\leq 8 ||c_{0}||_{L^{\infty}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |\nabla u^{m}|^{2} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} (\sqrt{c^{m}})^{-2} |\nabla\sqrt{c^{m}}|^{4} dx.$$
(2.23)

Also,

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Delta c^m \ln(n^m + 1) \mathrm{d}x &\leq \frac{1}{32 \|c_0\|_{L^{\infty}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 4 |\nabla \sqrt{c^m}|^4 \mathrm{d}x + \frac{1}{32 \|c_0\|_{L^{\infty}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 4 c^m |\Delta \sqrt{c^m}|^2 \mathrm{d}x \\ &\quad + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (n^m + 1) \ln(n^m + 1) \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\sqrt{c^m})^{-2} |\nabla \sqrt{c^m}|^4 \mathrm{d}x \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\Delta \sqrt{c^m}|^2 \mathrm{d}x + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (n^m + 1) \ln(n^m + 1) \mathrm{d}x. \end{split}$$
(2.24)

Then plugging (2.23) and (2.24) into (2.22), there exists C > 0 such that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} (n^{m}+1) \ln(n^{m}+1) \mathrm{d}x + 2 \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|\nabla\sqrt{c^{m}}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |\nabla\sqrt{n^{m}+1}|^{2} \mathrm{d}x \\
+ \|\Delta\sqrt{c^{m}}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \frac{1}{24} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} (\sqrt{c^{m}})^{-2} |\nabla\sqrt{c^{m}}|^{4} \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} n^{m} |\nabla\sqrt{c^{m}}|^{2} \mathrm{d}x \\
\leq 8 \|c_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}} \|\nabla u^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} (n^{m}+1) \ln(n^{m}+1) \mathrm{d}x.$$
(2.25)

Next we consider the third equation in (2.8). Applying Itô's formula to $f(x) := ||x||_{L^2}^2$ and using the fact that $(u^m, \tilde{P}_m(u^m \cdot \nabla)u^m)_{L^2} = 0$, we infer that for all $t \in [0, T \wedge \tau_D^m]$

$$\begin{aligned} d\|u^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + 2\|\nabla u^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} dt \\ &= 2(n^{m}\nabla\phi, u^{m})_{L^{2}} dt + \frac{1}{2}Tr \bigg[\tilde{P}_{m}G(t, u^{m}) \frac{\partial^{2}f}{\partial x^{2}} (\tilde{P}_{m}G(t, u^{m}))^{*} \bigg] dt + 2\langle G(t, u^{m}) dW(t), u^{m} \rangle \\ &+ \int_{Z} \bigg\{ \|u^{m}(t-) + \tilde{P}_{m}F(t, u^{m}(t-); z)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} - \|u^{m}(t-)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \bigg\} \tilde{\eta}(dt, dz) \\ &+ \int_{Z} \bigg\{ \|u^{m}(t-) + \tilde{P}_{m}F(t, u^{m}(t-); z)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} - \|u^{m}(t-)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\ &- 2\langle u^{m}(t-), \tilde{P}_{m}F(t, u^{m}(t-); z) \rangle \bigg\} \nu(dz) dt. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.26)$$

By the Hölder inequality, Young's inequality and inerpolation inequality, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} &(n^{m}\nabla\phi, u^{m})_{L^{2}} \\ &= \int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^{2}, n^{m}(x)\in(0,1)\}} n^{m}(x)\nabla\phi(x)u^{m}(x)\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^{2}, n^{m}(x)\geq1\}} n^{m}(x)\nabla\phi(x)u^{m}(x)\mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^{\infty}}\|u^{m}\|_{L^{2}} \bigg[\left(\int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^{2}, n^{m}(x)\in(0,1)\}} |n^{m}|^{2}\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^{2}, n^{m}(x)\geq1\}} |n^{m}|^{2}\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \bigg] \quad (2.27) \\ &\leq C + \|u^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C\|u^{m}\|_{L^{2}}\|(n^{m}+n^{m})^{\frac{1}{2}}I_{\{n^{m}\geq1\}}\|_{L^{2}}\|\nabla(n^{m}+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}I_{\{n^{m}\geq1\}}\|_{L^{2}} \\ &\leq C + \varepsilon\|\nabla(n^{m}+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C(\varepsilon)\|u^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the assumption 1) in (A₂), we see that for all $t \in [0, T \wedge \tau_D^m]$

$$\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\tilde{P}_m G(t, u^m) \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} (\tilde{P}_m G(t, u^m))^*\right) \le \lambda_0 \|\nabla u^m\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\|u^m\|_{L^2}^2 + 1).$$
(2.28)

Plugging (2.27) and (2.28) in to (2.26), it follows that for all $t \in [0, T \wedge \tau_D^m]$

$$\begin{aligned} & d \|u^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + (2 - \lambda_{0}) \|\nabla u^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} dt \\ & \leq \varepsilon \|\nabla (n^{m} + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} dt + C(\varepsilon) (\|u^{m}\|_{L^{2}} + 1) dt + 2\langle G(t, u^{m}) dW(t), u^{m} \rangle \\ & + \int_{Z} \left(\|u^{m}(t-) + \tilde{P}_{m}F(t, u^{m}(t-); z)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} - \|u^{m}(t-)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \right) \tilde{\eta}(dt, dz) \\ & + \int_{Z} \left(\|u^{m}(t-) + \tilde{P}_{m}F(t, u^{m}(t-); z)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} - \|u^{m}(t-)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\ & - 2\langle u^{m}(t-), \tilde{P}_{m}F(t, u^{m}(t-); z) \rangle \right) \nu(dz) dt. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.29)$$

Combining (2.29) and (2.25) and choosing above ε small enough such that $\max\{\frac{8\varepsilon \|c_0\|_{L^{\infty}}}{2-\lambda_0}, \varepsilon\} \leq \frac{1}{4}$, we infer that for all $t \in [0, T \wedge \tau_D^m]$

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}(n^{m}+1)\ln(n^{m}+1)dx + \|\nabla\sqrt{c^{m}}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|u^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|\nabla\sqrt{n^{m}+1}|^{2}dx + \|\Delta\sqrt{c^{m}}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \frac{1}{24}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}(\sqrt{c^{m}})^{-2}|\nabla\sqrt{c^{m}}|^{4}dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}n^{m}|\nabla\sqrt{c^{m}}|^{2}dx + (2-\lambda_{0})\|\nabla u^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)dt \\ &\leq C\left(\|u^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}(n^{m}+1)\ln(n^{m}+1)dx + 1\right)dt + \left(2 + \frac{16\|c_{0}\|_{L^{\infty}}}{2-\lambda_{0}}\right)\langle G(t,u^{m})dW(t),u^{m}\rangle \\ &+ C\int_{Z}\left\{\|u^{m}(t-) + \tilde{P}_{m}F(t,u^{m}(t-);z)\|_{H}^{2} - \|u^{m}(t-)\|_{H}^{2}\right\}\tilde{\eta}(dt,dz) \\ &+ C\int_{Z}\left\{\|u^{m}(t-) + \tilde{P}_{m}F(t,u^{m}(t-);z)\|_{H}^{2} - \|u^{m}(t-)\|_{H}^{2}\right\}\tilde{\eta}(dt,dz) \\ &+ 2\langle u^{m}(t-),\tilde{P}_{m}F(t,u^{m}(t-);z)\rangle\right\}\nu(dz)dt. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.30)$$

Now we show that the norms $||(n^m+1)\ln(n^m+1)||_{L^1}$ and $||n^m||_{L^1\cap L\log L}$ are equivalent. On the one hand, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (n^m + 1) \ln(n^m + 1) dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n^m \ln(n^m + 1) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \ln(n^m + 1) dx \le \|n^m\|_{L\log L} + \|n^m\|_{L^1}.$$

On the other hand, there holds

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (n^m + 1) \ln(n^m + 1) dx \ge \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} n^m \ln(n^m + 1) dx = \|n^m\|_{L\log L}$$

Thus we can rewrite inequality (2.30) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(n^{m}, c^{m}, u^{m})(t) &+ \lambda_{1} \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{G}(n^{m}, c^{m}, u^{m})(s) \mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq C \left(1 + \mathcal{F}(n^{m}, c^{m}, u^{m})(0) + \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{F}(n^{m}, c^{m}, u^{m})(s) \mathrm{d}s \right) + \lambda_{2} \int_{0}^{t} \langle G(s, u^{m}) \mathrm{d}W(s), u^{m} \rangle \\ &+ C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{Z} \left\{ \|u^{m}(s-) + \tilde{P}_{m}F(s, u^{m}(s-); z)\|_{H}^{2} - \|u^{m}(s-)\|_{H}^{2} \right\} \tilde{\eta}(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}z) \\ &+ C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{Z} \left\{ \|u^{m}(s-) + \tilde{P}_{m}F(s, u^{m}(s-); z)\|_{H}^{2} - \|u^{m}(s-)\|_{H}^{2} \\ &- 2 \langle u^{m}(s-), \tilde{P}_{m}F(s, u^{m}(s-); z) \rangle \right\} \nu(\mathrm{d}z) \mathrm{d}s, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.31)$$

where $\lambda_1 := \min\{\frac{1}{24}, 2 - \lambda_0\}$ and $\lambda_2 := 2 + \frac{16\|c_0\|_{L^{\infty}}}{2-\lambda_0}$. Rasing the p-th power on both sides of the above inequality and applying the basic inequality $a^p + b^p \leq (a+b)^p \leq 2^{p-1}(a^p + b^p)$, we see that

$$\begin{split} &E\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\mathcal{F}(n^{m},c^{m},u^{m})(t\wedge\tau_{D}^{m})\right)^{p}+\lambda_{1}^{p}E\left(\int_{0}^{T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}}\mathcal{G}(n^{m},c^{m},u^{m})(s)\mathrm{d}s\right)^{p}\\ &\leq C+CE\left(\int_{0}^{T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}}\mathcal{F}^{p}(n^{m},c^{m},u^{m})(s)\mathrm{d}s\right)+2^{p-1}\lambda_{2}^{p}E\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left|\int_{0}^{t\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}}\langle G(s,u^{m})\mathrm{d}W(s),u^{m}\rangle\right|\right)^{p}\\ &+CE\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left|\int_{0}^{t\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}}\int_{Z}\left\{\left\|u^{m}(s-)+\tilde{P}_{m}F(s,u^{m}(s-);z)\right\|_{H}^{2}-\left\|u^{m}(s-)\right\|_{H}^{2}\right\}\tilde{\eta}(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}z)\right|\right)^{p}\\ &+CE\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left|\int_{0}^{t\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}}\int_{Z}\left\{\left\|u^{m}(s-)+\tilde{P}_{m}F(s,u^{m}(s-);z)\right\|_{H}^{2}-\left\|u^{m}(s-)\right\|_{H}^{2}\right.\\ &-2\langle u^{m}(s-),\tilde{P}_{m}F(s,u^{m}(s-);z)\rangle\right\}\nu(\mathrm{d}z)\mathrm{d}s\right|\right)^{p}\\ &:=C+CE\left(\int_{0}^{T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}}\mathcal{F}^{p}(n^{m},c^{m},u^{m})(s)\mathrm{d}s\right)+2^{p-1}\lambda_{2}^{p}E(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left|N_{1}(t\wedge\tau_{D}^{m})\right|)^{p}\\ &+CE(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left|N_{2}(t\wedge\tau_{D}^{m})\right|)^{p}+CE(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left|N_{3}(t\wedge\tau_{D}^{m})\right|)^{p}. \end{split}$$

$$(2.32)$$

By using the BDG inequality ([15, Theorem 4.36]), the assumption (1) in (A_2) and Young's inequality, we get for all $p \in [1, 3]$

$$2^{p-1}\lambda_{2}^{p}E\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}|N_{1}(t\wedge\tau_{D}^{m})|\right)^{p}$$

$$\leq \frac{27\cdot2^{p-1}\lambda_{2}^{p}}{2\sqrt{2}}E\left[\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}]}\|u^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}}\lambda_{0}\|\nabla u^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+C(\|u^{m}\|_{H}^{2}+1)\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\right]$$

$$\leq C+\frac{1}{4}E\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}]}\|u^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)^{p}+CE\left(\int_{0}^{T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}}\|u^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)^{p}$$

$$+\frac{27\cdot2^{p-1}\lambda_{2}^{p}\lambda_{0}^{\frac{p}{2}}}{2\sqrt{2}}E\left[\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}]}\|u^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\left(\int_{0}^{T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}}\|\nabla u^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}\right]$$

$$\leq C+\frac{1}{2}E\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}]}\|u^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)^{p}+CE\left(\int_{0}^{T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}}\|u^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)^{p}$$

$$+\lambda_{3}^{2}E\left(\int_{0}^{T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}}\|\nabla u^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)^{p},$$

$$(2.33)$$

where $\lambda_3 := \frac{27 \cdot 2^{p-1} \lambda_2^p \lambda_0^{\frac{p}{2}}}{2\sqrt{2}}$. It is worth noting that the condition (1.3) in (A₂) implies that for all $p \in [1,3]$

$$\lambda_3^2 = \frac{3^6 \cdot 2^{2p-2} (2 + \frac{16 \|c_0\|_{L^{\infty}}}{2 - \lambda_0})^{2p} \lambda_0^p}{8} < \frac{3^6 \cdot 2^{2p-2} (2 + 16 \cdot 24 \|c_0\|_{L^{\infty}})^{2p} \lambda_0^p}{8} < \left(\frac{1}{24}\right)^p = \lambda_1^p.$$
(2.34)

By using the Taylor formula, we infer that

$$\left| \|x+h\|_{H}^{2} - \|x\|_{H}^{2} - 2(x,h)_{H} \right| \le C \|h\|_{H}^{2}, \quad x,h \in H,$$

It then follows from the assumption (1) in (A_3) that for $p \ge 1$

$$E(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |N_{3}(t\wedge\tau_{D}^{m})|)^{p} \leq CE\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}]} \left|\int_{0}^{t}\int_{Z} \|\tilde{P}_{m}F(s,u^{m}(s-);z)\|_{H}^{2}\nu(\mathrm{d}z)\mathrm{d}s\right|^{p}\right)$$

$$\leq CE\left(\int_{0}^{T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}} 1+\|u^{m}\|_{H}^{2}\mathrm{d}t\right)^{p}$$

$$\leq C+CE\left(\int_{0}^{T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}} \|u^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\mathrm{d}t\right)^{p}.$$
(2.35)

In a similar manner, by using the BDG inequality, the assumption (A_3) and the inequality

$$(\|x+h\|_{H}^{2} - \|x\|_{H}^{2})^{2} \le 8\|x\|_{H}^{2}\|h\|_{H}^{2} + C\|h\|_{H}^{4}, x, h \in H,$$

we obtain that for $p \ge 1$

$$\begin{aligned} E(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} |N_{2}(t\wedge\tau_{D}^{m})|)^{p} \\ &\leq CE\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}]} \left\|\int_{0}^{t}\int_{Z}\left(\|u^{m}(s-)+\tilde{P}_{m}F(s,u^{m}(s-);z)\|_{H}^{2}-\|u^{m}(s-)\|_{H}^{2}\right)\tilde{\eta}(ds,dz)\right|^{p}\right) \\ &\leq CE\left(\int_{0}^{T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}}\int_{Z}(\|u^{m}(s-)+\tilde{P}_{m}F(s,u^{m}(s-);z)\|_{H}^{2}-\|u^{m}(s-)\|_{H}^{2})^{2}\nu(dz)dt\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &\leq C+\frac{1}{4}E\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\mathcal{F}(n^{m},c^{m},u^{m})(t\wedge\tau_{D}^{m})\right)^{p}+CE\left(\int_{0}^{T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}}\|u^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right)^{p}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.36)$$

Then plugging the estimates (2.33)-(2.36) into (2.32), one can choose a number $0 < \tilde{\varepsilon} < \lambda_1^p - \lambda_3^2$ such that for all $p \in [1, 3]$

$$E\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\mathcal{F}(n^{m},c^{m},u^{m})(t\wedge\tau_{D}^{m})\right)^{p}+\tilde{\varepsilon}E\left(\int_{0}^{T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}}\mathcal{G}(n^{m},c^{m},u^{m})(s)\mathrm{d}s\right)^{p}$$

$$\leq C+\frac{3}{4}E\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\mathcal{F}(n^{m},c^{m},u^{m})(t\wedge\tau_{D}^{m})\right)^{p}+CE\left(\int_{0}^{T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}}\mathcal{F}(n^{m},c^{m},u^{m})^{p}(s)\mathrm{d}s\right).$$
(2.37)

An application of the Gronwall inequality to (2.37) yields that there exists a constant C > 0, independent of m and D, such that for all $p \in [1, 3]$

$$E\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\mathcal{F}(n^m,c^m,u^m)(t\wedge\tau_D^m)\right)^p + E\left(\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_D^m}\mathcal{G}(n^m,c^m,u^m)(s)\mathrm{d}s\right)^p \le C.$$
 (2.38)

The proof is completed.

Corollary 2.4. Under the same assumptions as in Lemma 2.3, there exists a positive constant C independent of m and D such that for all $p \in [1,3]$

$$E\left(\int_{0}^{T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}}\|n^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}dt\right)^{p} \leq C,$$
(2.39)

$$E(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|c^m(t\wedge\tau_D^m)\|_{H^1}^{2p}) + E\left(\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_D^m} \|c^m\|_{H^2}^2 dt\right)^p \le C,$$
(2.40)

and

$$E(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|u^m(t\wedge\tau_D^m)\|_{L^2}^{2p}) + E\left(\int_0^{T\wedge\tau_D^m} \|\nabla u^m\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^p \le C.$$
 (2.41)

Moreover, there exist constant $\tilde{C}_1 > 1$, $\tilde{C}_2 > 0$ independent of m and D such that \mathbb{P} -a.s.

$$\sup_{s \in [0, t \wedge \tau_D^m]} \|n^m(s)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_D^m} \|n^m\|_{H^1}^2 ds \le \tilde{C}_1 e^{\tilde{C}_2 \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_D^m} \|\Delta c^m\|_{L^2}^2 ds}.$$
 (2.42)

Proof. Recalling the estimate (2.27), we have

$$\begin{split} E\left(\int_{0}^{T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}}\|n^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\mathrm{d}t\right)^{p} &= E\left[\int_{0}^{T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}}\left(\int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^{2},n^{m}\in(0,1)\}}|n^{m}|^{2}\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\{x\in\mathbb{R}^{2},n^{m}\geq1\}}|n^{m}|^{2}\mathrm{d}x\right)\mathrm{d}t\right]^{p} \\ &\leq E\left(\int_{0}^{T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}}\|n^{m}\|_{L^{1}} + \|(n^{m}+1)I_{\{n^{m}\geq1\}}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\mathrm{d}t\right)^{p} \\ &\leq C + E\left(\int_{0}^{T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}}\|(n^{m}+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}I_{\{n^{m}\geq1\}}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\|\nabla(n^{m}+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}I_{\{n^{m}\geq1\}}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\mathrm{d}t\right)^{p} \\ &\leq C + CE\left(\int_{0}^{T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}}\|\nabla(n^{m}+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\mathrm{d}t\right)^{p} \\ &\leq C. \end{split}$$

Here for the above estimates, we have used the estimates (2.15) as well as (2.16).

Similarly, by using (2.15) and (2.16), we have

Since $\Delta c^m = 2|\nabla \sqrt{c^m}|^2 + 2\sqrt{c^m}\Delta \sqrt{c^m}$, we infer from (2.16) that

$$\begin{split} &E\left(\int_{0}^{T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}}\|\Delta c^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\mathrm{d}t\right)^{p} \\ &\leq E\left(\int_{0}^{T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}4|\nabla\sqrt{c^{m}}|^{4}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t+\int_{0}^{T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}4c^{m}|\Delta\sqrt{c^{m}}|^{2}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t\right)^{p} \\ &\leq CE\left(\|c\|_{L^{\infty}}\int_{0}^{T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}(\sqrt{c^{m}})^{-2}|\nabla\sqrt{c^{m}}|^{4}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t+\|c\|_{L^{\infty}}\int_{0}^{T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|\Delta\sqrt{c^{m}}|^{2}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t\right)^{p} \\ &\leq CE\left(\int_{0}^{T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}(\sqrt{c^{m}})^{-2}|\nabla\sqrt{c^{m}}|^{4}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t\right)^{p}+CE\left(\int_{0}^{T\wedge\tau_{D}^{m}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}|\Delta\sqrt{c^{m}}|^{2}\mathrm{d}x\mathrm{d}t\right)^{p} \\ &\leq C. \end{split}$$

$$(2.44)$$

Combining the estimates (2.43), (2.44) and (2.15), we obtain (2.40).

By the basic inequality $a^p + b^p \leq (a+b)^p \leq 2^{p-1}(a^p + b^p)$, we directly deduce from (2.16) that (2.41) holds.

Finally, taking the L^2 -inner product of the first equation of (2.8) and using the interpolation inequality, we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|n^{m}(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + 2\|\nabla n^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \Delta c^{m}(n^{m})^{2} \mathrm{d}x
\leq C\|\Delta c^{m}\|_{L^{2}}\|n^{m}\|_{L^{2}}\|\nabla n^{m}\|_{L^{2}}
\leq \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla n^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C\|\Delta c^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\|n^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}.$$
(2.45)

Thus, by using the Gronwall lemma to (2.45), we infer that \mathbb{P} -a.s.

$$\sup_{s \in [0, t \wedge \tau_D^m]} \|n^m(s)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_D^m} \|\nabla n^m\|_{L^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s \le (1 + \|n_0^m\|_{L^2}^2) e^{\tilde{C}_2 \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_D^m} \|\Delta c^m\|_{L^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s}, \tag{2.46}$$

which implies that (2.42) holds. The proof is thus complete.

Based on Corollary 2.4, it is enough to prove that (2.13) holds a.s.

Lemma 2.5. Under the same assumptions as in Lemma 2.3, it holds that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\omega \in \Omega : \sup_{D \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_D^m(\omega) \ge 2T\right) = 1,$$
(2.47)

where τ_D^m , $m, D \in \mathbb{N}$ are the stopping times defined in (2.12).

Proof. Let T > 0 be fixed and set $\tilde{T} := 2T$. Then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\bigg\{\omega \in \Omega : \sup_{D \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_D^m(\omega) < \tilde{T}\bigg\} \subset \bigg\{\omega \in \Omega : \tau_k^m(\omega) < \tilde{T}\bigg\},\$$

which implies that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\omega \in \Omega : \sup_{D \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_D^m(\omega) < \tilde{T}\right) \le \lim_{D \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\omega \in \Omega : \tau_D^m(\omega) < \tilde{T}\right).$$
(2.48)

Define

$$A^{D} = \bigg\{ \omega \in \Omega : \tau_{D}^{m}(\omega) < \tilde{T} \bigg\},\$$

and

$$B^{D} = \left\{ \omega \in \Omega : \|n^{m}(\tilde{T} \wedge \tau_{D}^{m})\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|c^{m}(\tilde{T} \wedge \tau_{D}^{m})\|_{H^{1}}^{2} + \|u^{m}(\tilde{T} \wedge \tau_{D}^{m})\|_{H}^{2} \ge D^{2} \right\}.$$

According to the definition (2.12), we infer that $A^D \subset B^D$ for $D > \tilde{T}$. Thus, we derive that for any $D > \tilde{T}$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\omega \in \Omega : \tau_D^m(\omega) < \tilde{T}\right) \\
\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\omega \in \Omega : \|n^m(\tilde{T} \wedge \tau_D^m)\|_{L^2}^2 \ge \frac{D^2}{3}\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(\omega \in \Omega : \|c^m(\tilde{T} \wedge \tau_D^m)\|_{H^1}^2 \ge \frac{D^2}{3}\right) \quad (2.49) \\
+ \mathbb{P}\left(\omega \in \Omega : \|u^m(\tilde{T} \wedge \tau_D^m)\|_{H}^2 \ge \frac{D^2}{3}\right).$$

Using the estimate (2.42), we see that for $D > \max(\tilde{T}, \sqrt{3\tilde{C}_1})$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\omega \in \Omega : \|n^{m}(\tilde{T} \wedge \tau_{D}^{m})\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \geq \frac{D^{2}}{3}\right)$$

$$\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\omega \in \Omega : \tilde{C}_{1}e^{\tilde{C}_{2}\int_{0}^{\tilde{T} \wedge \tau_{D}^{m}}\|\Delta c^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\mathrm{d}s} \geq \frac{D^{2}}{3}\right)$$

$$\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\omega \in \Omega : \int_{0}^{\tilde{T} \wedge \tau_{D}^{m}}\|\Delta c^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\mathrm{d}s \geq \frac{\ln(\frac{D^{2}}{3\tilde{C}_{1}})}{\tilde{C}_{2}}\right).$$
(2.50)

Applying the Markov inequality and using the estimate (2.41), we infer from (2.50) that for $D > \max(\tilde{T}, \sqrt{3\tilde{C}_1})$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\omega \in \Omega : \|n^{m}(\tilde{T} \wedge \tau_{D}^{m})\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \geq \frac{D^{2}}{3}\right) \\
\leq \frac{\tilde{C}_{2}}{\ln(\frac{D^{2}}{3\tilde{C}_{1}})} E\left(\int_{0}^{\tilde{T} \wedge \tau_{D}^{m}} \|c^{m}\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \mathrm{d}t\right) \leq \frac{C\tilde{C}_{2}}{2\ln(D) - \ln(3\tilde{C}_{1})}.$$
(2.51)

Similarly, by applying the Markov inequality and using the estimates (2.50)-(2.51), we derive that for all $D > \tilde{T}$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\omega \in \Omega : \|c^{m}(\tilde{T} \wedge \tau_{D}^{m})\|_{H^{1}}^{2} \geq \frac{D^{2}}{3}\right)$$

$$\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\omega \in \Omega : \sup_{s \in [0,\tilde{T}]} \|c^{m}(s \wedge \tau_{D}^{m})\|_{H^{1}}^{2} \geq \frac{D^{2}}{3}\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{3}{D^{2}} E(\sup_{t \in [0,\tilde{T}]} \|c^{m}(t \wedge \tau_{D}^{m})\|_{H^{1}}^{2}) \leq \frac{3C}{D^{2}},$$
(2.52)

and

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\omega \in \Omega : \|u^{m}(\tilde{T} \wedge \tau_{D}^{m})\|_{H}^{2} \geq \frac{D^{2}}{3}\right)$$

$$\leq \mathbb{P}\left(\omega \in \Omega : \sup_{s \in [0,\tilde{T}]} \|u^{m}(s \wedge \tau_{D}^{m})\|_{H}^{2} \geq \frac{D^{2}}{3}\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{3}{D^{2}} E(\sup_{t \in [0,\tilde{T}]} \|u^{m}(t \wedge \tau_{D}^{m})\|_{H}^{2}) \leq \frac{3C}{D^{2}}.$$
(2.53)

Plugging the estimates (2.51)-(2.53) into (2.49), we have for all $D > \max(\tilde{T}, \sqrt{3\tilde{C}_1})$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\omega \in \Omega : \tau_D^m(\omega) < \tilde{T}\right) \le \frac{6C}{D^2} + \frac{C\tilde{C}_2}{2\ln(D) - \ln(3\tilde{C}_1)},$$

which along with (2.48) implies that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\omega \in \Omega : \sup_{D \in \mathbb{N}} \tau_D^m(\omega) < \tilde{T}\right) \le \lim_{D \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\omega \in \Omega : \tau_D^m(\omega) < \tilde{T}\right) = 0.$$
(2.54)
(2.47) is directly obtained by (2.54). The proof is thus complete.

The estimate (2.47) is directly obtained by (2.54). The proof is thus complete.

Corollary 2.6. Under the same assumptions as in Lemma 2.3, there exists a positive constant C independent of m such that for all $p \in [1,3]$

$$E\left(\int_{0}^{T} \|n^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} dt\right)^{p} \le C,$$
(2.55)

$$E(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|c^m(t)\|_{H^1}^{2p}) + E\left(\int_0^T \|c^m\|_{H^2}^2 dt\right)^p \le C,$$
(2.56)

and

$$E(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|u^m(t)\|_{L^2}^{2p}) + E\left(\int_0^T \|\nabla u^m\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right)^p \le C.$$
(2.57)

Moreover, there exist constant $\tilde{C}_1 > 1$, $\tilde{C}_2 > 0$ independent of m such that \mathbb{P} -a.s.

$$\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|n^m(s)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|n^m\|_{H^1}^2 ds \le \tilde{C}_1 e^{\tilde{C}_2 \int_0^t \|\Delta c^m\|_{L^2}^2 ds}.$$
(2.58)

Proof. According to Lemma 2.5, we see that $T \wedge \tau_D^m \nearrow T$ P-a.s., as $D \to \infty$. Invoking the Fatou Lemma and passing to the limit as $D \to \infty$ in the inequalities (2.39), (2.40) and (2.41), we obtain the estimates (2.55), (2.56) and (2.57). By the path continuity of the process $t \mapsto (n^m(t), c^m(t))$, we can let $D \to \infty$ in the inequality (2.42) and obtain the inequality (2.58). The proof is thus complete.

3. EXISTENCE OF GLOBAL MARTINGALE SOLUTIONS

In this subsection, we are aiming at constructing the global martingale solutions to the original system (1.1) by taking the limit as $m \rightarrow$ in suitable sense, and we shall achieve this goal by adoping Skorokhod's idea to exploring the tightness of the approximation solutions.

Consider the following phase spaces:

$$\mathcal{Z}_{n} := C([0,T];U') \cap L^{2}_{w}(0,T;H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{2})) \cap L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{2})) \cap C([0,T];L^{2}_{w}(\mathbb{R}^{2})),
\mathcal{Z}_{c} := C([0,T];U') \cap L^{2}_{w}(0,T;H^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2})) \cap L^{2}(0,T;H^{1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{2})) \cap C([0,T];H^{1}_{w}(\mathbb{R}^{2})),
\mathcal{Z}_{u} := \mathbb{D}([0,T];U'_{1}) \cap L^{2}_{w}(0,T;V) \cap L^{2}(0,T;H_{loc}) \cap \mathbb{D}([0,T];H_{w}),$$
(3.1)

and let \mathcal{T}_n , \mathcal{T}_c and \mathcal{T}_u be the supremum of the corresponding topologies. Similar to the proofs of [9, Lemma 5.3] and [35, Lemma 2.7], we obtain the following compactness criteria.

Lemma 3.1. A set $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{Z}_n$ is \mathcal{T}_n -relatively compact if (1) $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{K}} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|f(t)\|_{L^2} + \sup_{f \in \mathcal{K}} \int_0^T \|f(t)\|_{H^1}^2 dt < \infty$, (2) $\exists \alpha > 0 \ s.t. \ \sup_{f \in \mathcal{K}} \|f\|_{C^{\alpha}([0,T];H^{-3}(\mathbb{R}^2))} < \infty$.

Lemma 3.2. A set $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{Z}_c$ is \mathcal{T}_c -relatively compact if (1) $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{K}} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|f(t)\|_{H^1} + \sup_{f \in \mathcal{K}} \int_0^T \|f(t)\|_{H^2}^2 dt < \infty$, (2) $\exists \beta > 0$ s.t. $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{K}} \|f\|_{C^{\beta}([0,T];H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^2))} < \infty$. **Proof of Theorem 1.4 (Existence)**. The proof will be divided into several steps.

Step 1 (Tightness of approximations). Let $\overline{\mathbb{N}} := \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, and (S, \mathcal{J}) be a measurable space and $M_{\overline{\mathbb{N}}}(S)$ be the set of all $\overline{\mathbb{N}}$ valued measures on (S, \mathcal{J}) . We shall prove that the set of measures $(\mathscr{L}(n^m, c^m, u^m, W^m, \eta^m))_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is tight on $\mathcal{Z}_n \times \mathcal{Z}_c \times \mathcal{Z}_u \times C([0, T]; Y) \times M_{\overline{\mathbb{N}}}([0, T] \times Z)$. Here $W^m := W$ and $\eta^m := \eta$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence $(\mathscr{L}(W^m, \eta^m))_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is obviously tight on $C([0, T]; Y) \times M_{\overline{\mathbb{N}}}([0, T] \times Z)$ (cf. [36, 37]).

Tightness of $\mathscr{L}(n^m)$. Thanks to Lemma 3.1, we only need to prove that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist constants $C_i > 0$, i = 1, 2, 3, such that

$$\sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{P}\left(\|n^m\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))} > C_1\right) + \sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{P}\left(\|n^m\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\mathbb{R}^2))} > C_2\right) \le \varepsilon$$
(3.2)

and

$$\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}\left(\|n^m\|_{C^{\alpha}([0,T];H^{-3}(\mathbb{R}^2))} > C_3 \right) \le \varepsilon.$$
(3.3)

According to (2.58) and (2.56), we see that

$$\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}\left(\|n^{m}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2}))}^{2} > C_{1}\right)$$

$$\leq \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}\left(\int_{0}^{T} \|\Delta c^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \mathrm{d}s > \frac{\ln(\frac{C_{1}}{\tilde{C}_{1}})}{\tilde{C}_{2}}\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{\tilde{C}_{2}}{\ln(\frac{C_{1}}{\tilde{C}_{1}})} \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} E\left(\int_{0}^{T} \|\Delta c^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \mathrm{d}t\right) \leq \frac{C\tilde{C}_{2}}{\ln(\frac{C_{1}}{\tilde{C}_{1}})} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$
(3.4)

where $C_1 := \tilde{C}_1 e^{\frac{2C\tilde{C}_2}{\varepsilon}}$. Similarly, we also have

$$\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}\left(\|n^m\|_{L^2(0,T;H^1(\mathbb{R}^2))}^2 > C_1 \right) \le \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}\left(\tilde{C}_1 e^{\tilde{C}_2 \int_0^t \|\Delta c^m\|_{L^2}^2 \mathrm{d}s} > C_1 \right) \le \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

which combined with (3.4) implies (3.2). Additionally, by the Sobolev embedding theorems $W^{1,2}(0,T; H^{-3}(\mathbb{R}^2)) \hookrightarrow C^{\frac{1}{4}}(0,T; H^{-3}(\mathbb{R}^2))$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \hookrightarrow H^{-3}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ [1,8], we have

$$\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}\left(\|n^{m}\|_{C^{\frac{1}{4}}(0,T;H^{-3}(\mathbb{R}^{2}))}^{2} > C_{3} \right)
\leq \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}\left(\|n^{m}\|_{W^{1,2}(0,T;H^{-3}(\mathbb{R}^{2}))}^{2} > \frac{C_{3}}{C} \right)
\leq \frac{C}{C_{3}} \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left(1 + E \int_{0}^{T} \|n^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \mathrm{d}s + E \int_{0}^{T} \|P_{m}\mathcal{A}n^{m}\|_{H^{-3}}^{2} \mathrm{d}s
+ E \int_{0}^{T} \|P_{m}B(u^{m},n^{m})\|_{H^{-3}}^{2} \mathrm{d}s + E \int_{0}^{T} \|P_{m}R_{1}(n^{m},c^{m})\|_{H^{-3}}^{2} \mathrm{d}s \right).$$
(3.5)

For any $f \in H^3(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have

$$|\langle P_m \mathcal{A} n^m, f \rangle| = |(n^m, \Delta f)_{L^2}| \le ||n^m||_{L^2} ||f||_{H^3},$$
(3.6)

which implies that $\|P_m \mathcal{A} n^m\|_{H^{-3}}^2 \leq \|n^m\|_{L^2}^2$. Similarly, we get by the Sobolev embedding $H^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle P_m B(u^m, n^m), f \rangle| &\leq C ||n^m||_{L^2} ||u^m||_{L^2} ||\nabla f||_{L^\infty} \\ &\leq C ||n^m||_{L^2} ||u^m||_{L^2} ||f||_{H^3}, \end{aligned}$$
(3.7)

which implies that $||P_m B(u^m, n^m)||^2_{H^{-3}} \le C ||n^m||^2_{L^2} ||u^m||^2_{L^2}$. Moreover,

$$|\langle P_m R_1(n^m, c^m), f \rangle| = |(n^m \nabla c^m, \nabla f)_{L^2}| \le C ||n^m||_{L^2} ||\nabla c^m||_{L^2} ||f||_{H^3},$$
(3.8)

which implies that $||P_m R_1(n^m, c^m)||^2_{H^{-3}} \leq C ||n^m||^2_{L^2} ||\nabla c^m||^2_{L^2}$. Thus plugging (3.6)-(3.8) into (3.5) and then using the estimates (2.55)-(2.57), we derive that

$$\begin{split} \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}\left(\|n^{m}\|_{C^{\frac{1}{4}}(0,T;H^{-3}(\mathbb{R}^{2}))}^{2} > C_{3} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{C_{3}} \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left(1 + E \int_{0}^{T} \|n^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \mathrm{d}s + E \int_{0}^{T} \|n^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \mathrm{d}s + E \int_{0}^{T} \|n^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \|u^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ E \int_{0}^{T} \|n^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \|\nabla c^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \mathrm{d}s \right) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{C_{3}} \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left[C + E \left(\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|u^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \int_{0}^{T} \|n^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \mathrm{d}s \right) + E \left(\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\nabla c^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \int_{0}^{T} \|n^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \mathrm{d}s \right) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{C}{C_{3}} \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left[C + E \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|u^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{4} + E \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|\nabla c^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{4} + E \left(\int_{0}^{T} \|n^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \mathrm{d}s \right)^{2} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{\tilde{C}}{C_{3}} \leq \varepsilon, \end{split}$$

where $C_3 := \frac{\tilde{C}}{\varepsilon}$. Thus (3.3) is valid. Tightness of $\mathscr{L}(c^m)$. According to Lemma 3.2, it suffices to prove that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist constants $C_i > 0$, i = 4, 5, 6, such that

$$\sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{P}\left(\|c^m\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;H^1(\mathbb{R}^2))} > C_4\right) + \sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{P}\left(\|c^m\|_{L^2(0,T;H^2(\mathbb{R}^2))} > C_5\right) \le \varepsilon$$
(3.9)

and

$$\sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\mathbb{P}\left(\|c^m\|_{C^{\beta}([0,T];H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^2))} > C_6\right) \le \varepsilon.$$
(3.10)

By the estimate (2.56), (3.9) is satisfied obviously. Thus we just need to prove that (3.10)holds. Since $W^{1,2}(0,T; H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^2)) \hookrightarrow C^{\frac{1}{4}}(0,T; H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^2))$, we infer that

$$\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}\left(\|c^{m}\|_{C^{\frac{1}{4}}(0,T;H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^{2}))}^{2} > C_{6} \right)$$

$$\leq \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}\left(\|c^{m}\|_{W^{1,2}(0,T;H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^{2}))}^{2} > \frac{C_{6}}{C} \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{C_{6}} \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left[1 + E\left(\int_{0}^{T} \|c^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \mathrm{d}s \right) + E\left(\int_{0}^{T} \|P_{m}B(u^{m},c^{m})\|_{H^{-2}}^{2} \mathrm{d}s \right) \right]$$

$$+ E\left(\int_{0}^{T} \|P_{m}R_{2}(n^{m},c^{m})\|_{H^{-2}}^{2} \mathrm{d}s \right) \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{C_{6}} \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left[1 + E\left(\int_{0}^{T} \|u^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \|c^{m}\|_{H^{1}}^{2} \mathrm{d}s \right) + E\left(\int_{0}^{T} \|n^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \|c^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \mathrm{d}s \right) \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{\tilde{C}}{C_{6}} \leq \varepsilon,$$
(3.11)

where $C_6 := \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}}{\varepsilon}$. Thus $(\mathscr{L}(c^m))_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is tight on the space \mathcal{Z}_c . <u>Tightness of $\mathscr{L}(u^m)$ </u>. Notice that a set $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{Z}_u$ is \mathcal{T}_u -relatively compact, if \mathcal{K} is uniformly bounded in $L^{\infty}(0,T;H) \cap L^2(0,T;V)$, and

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0} \sup_{g \in \mathcal{K}} w_{[0,T],U_1'}(g,\delta) = 0$$

with $w_{[0,T],U'_1}(g,\delta) = \inf_{\Pi_{\delta}} \max_{t_i \in \bar{\omega}} \sup_{t_i \leq s < t \leq t_{i+1} \leq T} \varrho(g(t),g(s))$, where Π_{δ} is the set of all increasing sequences $\bar{\omega} = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = T\}$ with the property $t_{i+1} - t_i \geq \delta$, i = 0, 1, ..., n - 1, see e.g. [36, Theorem 2].

Due to the estimate (2.57) and the following Lemma 3.3, it is sufficient to verify that (u^m) satisfies the Aldous condition in U'_1 .

Lemma 3.3. ([36]) Let (\mathbb{S}, ρ) be a complete and separable metric space, and $(X_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of càdlàg \mathfrak{F} -adapted \mathbb{S} -valued process satisfying the Aldous condition [3]. If $\mathscr{L}(X_m)$ is the law induced by X_m on $\mathbb{D}([0,T];\mathbb{S})$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a subset $A_{\varepsilon} \subset$ $\mathbb{D}([0,T];\mathbb{S}) \text{ such that } \sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \mathscr{L}(X_m)(A_{\varepsilon}) \geq 1-\varepsilon \text{ and } \lim_{\delta\to 0} \sup_{f\in A_{\varepsilon}} w_{[0,T],\mathbb{S}}(f,\delta) = 0.$

According to the fluid equations of (2.8), we have

$$u^{m}(\tau_{m}+\theta) - u^{m}(\tau_{m})$$

$$= -\int_{\tau_{m}}^{\tau_{m}+\theta} \tilde{P}_{m}\mathcal{A}_{1}u^{m}ds - \int_{\tau_{m}}^{\tau_{m}+\theta} \tilde{P}_{m}\tilde{B}_{1}(u^{m}(s))ds + \int_{\tau_{m}}^{\tau_{m}+\theta} \tilde{P}_{m}R_{3}(n^{m}(s),\phi)ds$$

$$+ \int_{\tau_{m}}^{\tau_{m}+\theta} \tilde{P}_{m}G(s,u^{m}(s))dW(s) + \int_{\tau_{m}}^{\tau_{m}+\theta} \int_{Z} \tilde{P}_{m}F(s,u^{m}(s-);z)\tilde{\eta}(ds,dz)$$

$$:= J_{1}^{m} + J_{2}^{m} + J_{3}^{m} + J_{4}^{m} + J_{5}^{m}.$$
(3.12)

By the continuous embedding $V' \hookrightarrow U'_1$ and the estimate (2.57), we have

$$E\|J_{1}^{m}\|_{U'} \le C\theta^{\frac{1}{2}}E\left(\int_{0}^{T}\|\mathcal{A}_{1}u^{m}\|_{V'}^{2}\mathrm{d}s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le C\theta^{\frac{1}{2}}E\left(\int_{0}^{T}\|\nabla u^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\mathrm{d}s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le C\theta^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (3.13)

Since $V'_b \hookrightarrow U'_1$ when b > 2, we infer that

$$E\|J_{2}^{m}\|_{U'} \le CE\left(\int_{\tau_{m}}^{\tau_{m}+\theta} \|\tilde{B}_{1}(u^{m})\|_{V_{b}'} \mathrm{d}s\right) \le C\theta E\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|u^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\right) \le C\theta.$$
(3.14)

Similarly, by (2.55), we have

$$E\|J_{3}^{m}\|_{U'} \leq C\theta^{\frac{1}{2}}E\left(\int_{0}^{T}\|R_{3}(n^{m}(s),\phi)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\mathrm{d}s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq C\theta^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\phi\|_{L^{\infty}}E\left(\int_{0}^{T}\|n^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\mathrm{d}s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\theta^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(3.15)

In addition, by the Itô isometry, the condition (1) in (A_2) as well as the embedding $V' \hookrightarrow U'_1$, we see that

$$E \|J_{4}^{m}\|_{U'}^{2} = E \left(\left\| \int_{\tau_{m}}^{\tau_{m}+\theta} \tilde{P}_{m}G(s, u^{m}(s)) \mathrm{d}W(s) \right\|_{U_{1}'}^{2} \right)$$

$$\leq CE \left(\int_{\tau_{m}}^{\tau_{m}+\theta} (1 + \|u^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}) \mathrm{d}s \right)$$

$$\leq C\theta \left[1 + E \left(\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|u^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \right) \right] \leq C\theta.$$
(3.16)

Moreover, by the Itô isometry, the condition (1) in (A_3) and the embedding $H \hookrightarrow U'_1$, we have

$$E\|J_5^m\|_{U'}^2 \le CE\left(\left\|\int_{\tau_m}^{\tau_m+\theta}\int_Z \tilde{P}_m F(s, u^m(s-); z)\tilde{\eta}(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}z)\right\|_H^2\right)$$
$$= C\left(\int_{\tau_m}^{\tau_m+\theta}\int_Z \|\tilde{P}_m F(s, u^m(s-); z)\|_H^2 \nu(\mathrm{d}z)\mathrm{d}s\right)$$
$$\le CE\left(\int_{\tau_m}^{\tau_m+\theta} (1+\|u^m\|_{L^2}^2)\mathrm{d}s\right) \le C\theta.$$
(3.17)

Plugging (3.13)-(3.17) into (3.12), we derive that

$$E \left\| u^m(\tau_m + \theta) - u^m(\tau_m) \right\|_{U'}^2 \le C\theta,$$

which implies that the sequence (u^m) satisfies the Aldous condition in the space U'_1 , and hence the sequence $(\mathscr{L}(u^m))$ is tight on \mathcal{Z}_u .

Step 2 (Convergence in new space). By using the generalised Jakubowski-Skorokhod theorem [11,36], there exists a subsequence $(m_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, $\mathcal{Z}_n \times \mathcal{Z}_c \times \mathcal{Z}_u \times C([0,T];Y) \times M_{\bar{\mathbb{N}}}([0,T] \times Z)$ valued elements $(n_*, c_*, u_*, W_*, \eta_*)$, $(\bar{n}^k, \bar{c}^k, \bar{u}^k, \bar{W}^k, \bar{\eta}^k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ defined on a new probability space $(\bar{\Omega}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}, \bar{\mathbb{P}})$, such that (1) $\mathscr{L}(\bar{n}^k, \bar{c}^k, \bar{u}^k, \bar{W}^k, \bar{\eta}^k) = \mathscr{L}(n^{m_k}, c^{m_k}, u^{m_k}, W^{m_k}, \eta^{m_k})$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$;

- (2) $(\bar{n}^k, \bar{c}^k, \bar{u}^k, \bar{W}^k, \bar{\eta}^k) \to (n_*, c_*, u_*, W_*, \eta_*) \text{ in } \mathcal{Z}_n \times \mathcal{Z}_c \times \mathcal{Z}_u \times C([0, T]; Y) \times M_{\bar{\mathbb{N}}}([0, T] \times Z)$ with probability 1 on $(\bar{\Omega}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}, \bar{\mathbb{P}})$ as $k \to \infty$;
- (3) $(\bar{W}^k(\bar{\omega}), \bar{\eta}^k(\bar{\omega})) = (W_*(\bar{\omega}), \eta_*(\bar{\omega}))$ for all $\bar{\omega} \in \bar{\Omega}$.

We still denote these sequences by $(n^m, c^m, u^m, W^m, \eta^m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(\bar{n}^m, \bar{c}^m, \bar{u}^m, \bar{W}^m, \bar{\eta}^m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$. Moreover, $(\bar{\eta}^m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and η_* are time homogeneous Poisson random measures on $(Z, \mathscr{B}(Z))$ with intensity measure ν and $(\bar{W}^m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ as well as W_* are cylindrical Wiener processes, see [10, Section 9]. Recalling the definition of $\mathcal{Z}_n \times \mathcal{Z}_c \times \mathcal{Z}_u$, in particular, we have $\bar{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s.

$$\bar{n}^{m} \to n_{*} \text{ in } C([0,T];U') \cap L^{2}_{w}(0,T;H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{2})) \cap L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{2})) \cap C([0,T];L^{2}_{w}(\mathbb{R}^{2})),$$

$$\bar{c}^{m} \to c_{*} \text{ in } C([0,T];U') \cap L^{2}_{w}(0,T;H^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2})) \cap L^{2}(0,T;H^{1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{2})) \cap C([0,T];H^{1}_{w}(\mathbb{R}^{2})),$$

$$\bar{u}^{m} \to u_{*} \text{ in } \mathbb{D}([0,T];U'_{1}) \cap L^{2}_{w}(0,T;V) \cap L^{2}(0,T;H_{loc}) \cap \mathbb{D}([0,T];H_{w}).$$
(3.18)

According to [42, Theorem 1.10.4 and Addendum 1.10.5], there exists a family of measurable mapping $\Phi_m : \overline{\Omega} \to \Omega$ such that for all $\overline{\omega} \in \overline{\Omega}$

$$\mathbb{P} = \bar{\mathbb{P}} \circ \Phi_m^{-1}, \ \bar{n}^m(\bar{\omega}) = n^m \circ \Phi_m(\bar{\omega}), \ \bar{v}^m(\bar{\omega}) = v^m \circ \Phi_m(\bar{\omega}) \ and \ \bar{u}^m(\bar{\omega}) = u^m \circ \Phi_m(\bar{\omega}).$$
(3.19)

Since (2.15) hold, there exists a positive constant C independent of m such that for almost every $(t, \bar{\omega}) \in [0, T] \times \bar{\Omega}$ and all $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|\bar{n}^{m}(t,\bar{\omega})\|_{L^{1}} = \|n^{m}(t,\Phi_{m}(\bar{\omega}))\|_{L^{1}} \le C, \|\bar{c}^{m}(t,\bar{\omega})\|_{L^{1}\cap L^{\infty}} = \|c^{m}(t,\Phi_{m}(\bar{\omega}))\|_{L^{1}\cap L^{\infty}} \le C.$$
(3.20)

Moreover, since the laws of (n^m, c^m, u^m) and $(\bar{n}^m, \bar{c}^m, \bar{u}^m)$ are equal in the space $\mathcal{Z}_n \times \mathcal{Z}_c \times \mathcal{Z}_u$, it follows from Corollary 2.6 that for all $p \in [1, 3]$

$$\bar{E}\left(\int_{0}^{T} \|\bar{n}^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \mathrm{d}t\right)^{p} + \bar{E}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\bar{c}^{m}(t)\|_{H^{1}}^{2p}\right) + \bar{E}\left(\int_{0}^{T} \|c^{m}\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \mathrm{d}t\right)^{p} + \bar{E}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|\bar{u}^{m}(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2p}\right) + \bar{E}\left(\int_{0}^{T} \|\nabla\bar{u}^{m}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \mathrm{d}t\right)^{p} \leq C.$$
(3.21)

By the Banach-Alaoglu theorem, there exists a subsequence of (\bar{n}^m) , (\bar{c}^m) and (\bar{u}^m) convergent weakly in the space $L^{2p}(\bar{\Omega}; L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)))$, $L^{2p}(\bar{\Omega}; L^{\infty}(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)))$ and $L^{2p}(\bar{\Omega}; L^{\infty}(0, T; H) \cap L^2(0, T; V))$, respectively. Since $(\bar{n}^m, \bar{c}^m, \bar{u}^m) \to (n_*, c_*, u_*)$ in $\mathcal{Z}_n \times \mathcal{Z}_c \times \mathcal{Z}_u$, we infer from the uniqueness of limits that $(n_*, c_*, u_*) \in L^{2p}(\bar{\Omega}; L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))) \times L^{2p}(\bar{\Omega}; L^{\infty}(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\mathbb{R}^2))) \times L^{2p}(\bar{\Omega}; L^{\infty}(0, T; H) \cap L^2(0, T; V)).$

Moreover, invoking the Fatou lemma, we infer that for $p \in [1,3]$

$$\bar{E}\left(\int_{0}^{T} \|n_{*}(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \mathrm{d}t\right)^{p} + \bar{E}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|c_{*}(t)\|_{H^{1}}^{2p}\right) + \bar{E}\left(\int_{0}^{T} \|c_{*}(t)\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \mathrm{d}t\right)^{p} + \bar{E}\left(\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|u_{*}(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2p}\right) + \bar{E}\left(\int_{0}^{T} \|u_{*}(t)\|_{V}^{2} \mathrm{d}t\right)^{p} \leq C.$$
(3.22)

Step 3 (Construction of martingale solution). Let us fix $(f,g) \in U \times U_1$. Let us denote

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{A}^{m}(\bar{n}^{m},f)(t) &:= (\bar{n}_{0}^{m},f)_{L^{2}} - \int_{0}^{t} \langle P_{m}\mathcal{A}\bar{n}^{m},f\rangle \mathrm{d}s \\ &- \int_{0}^{t} \langle P_{m}B(\bar{u}^{m},\bar{n}^{m}),f\rangle \mathrm{d}s - \int_{0}^{t} \langle P_{m}R_{1}(\bar{n}^{m},\bar{c}^{m}),f\rangle \mathrm{d}s, \\ \mathbf{B}^{m}(\bar{c}^{m},f)(t) &:= (\bar{c}_{0}^{m},f)_{L^{2}} - \int_{0}^{t} \langle P_{m}\mathcal{A}\bar{c}^{m},f\rangle \mathrm{d}s \\ &- \int_{0}^{t} \langle P_{m}B(\bar{u}^{m},\bar{c}^{m}),f\rangle \mathrm{d}s - \int_{0}^{t} \langle P_{m}R_{2}(\bar{n}^{m},\bar{c}^{m}),f\rangle \mathrm{d}s, \\ \mathbf{C}^{m}(\bar{u}^{m},\bar{W}^{m},\bar{\eta}^{m},g)(t) &:= (\bar{u}_{0}^{m},g)_{H} - \int_{0}^{t} \langle \tilde{P}_{m}\mathcal{A}_{1}\bar{u}^{m},g\rangle \mathrm{d}s - \int_{0}^{t} \langle \tilde{P}_{m}\tilde{B}_{1}(\bar{u}^{m}),g\rangle \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} \langle \tilde{P}_{m}R_{3}(\bar{u}^{m},\phi),g\rangle \mathrm{d}s + \int_{0}^{t} \langle \tilde{P}_{m}G(s,\bar{u}^{m}(s)) \mathrm{d}\bar{W}^{m}(s),g\rangle \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} \int_{Z} (\tilde{P}_{m}F(s,\bar{u}^{m}(s-);z),g)_{H}\tilde{\eta}^{m}(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}z). \end{split}$$

Similarly, we define

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{A}(n_*,f)(t) &:= (n_*(0),f)_{L^2} - \int_0^t \langle \mathcal{A}n_*,f \rangle \mathrm{d}s - \int_0^t \langle B(u_*,n_*),f \rangle \mathrm{d}s - \int_0^t \langle R_1(n_*,c_*),f \rangle \mathrm{d}s, \\ \mathbf{B}(c_*,f)(t) &:= (c_*(0),f)_{L^2} - \int_0^t \langle \mathcal{A}c_*,f \rangle \mathrm{d}s - \int_0^t \langle B(u_*,c_*),f \rangle \mathrm{d}s - \int_0^t \langle R_2(n_*,c_*),f \rangle \mathrm{d}s, \\ \mathbf{C}(u_*,W_*,\eta_*,g)(t) &:= (u_*(0),g)_H - \int_0^t \langle \mathcal{A}_1u_*,g \rangle \mathrm{d}s - \int_0^t \langle \tilde{B}_1(u_*),g \rangle \mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \langle R_3(u_*,\phi),g \rangle \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ \int_0^t \langle G(s,u_*(s)) \mathrm{d}W_*(s),g \rangle + \int_0^t \int_Z (F(s,u_*(s-);z),g)_H \tilde{\eta}_*(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}z). \end{split}$$

Since n_0^m , c_0^m , u_0^m have been chosen such that (2.9) holds and $u_*(t)$ is continuous at t = 0, it is easy to derive that for all $(f, g) \in U \times U_1$

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} (\bar{n}^m(0) - n_*(0), f)_{L^2} = 0, \quad \lim_{m \to \infty} (\bar{c}^m(0) - c_*(0), f)_{L^2} = 0,$$

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} (\bar{u}^m(0) - u_*(0), g)_H = 0.$$
(3.23)

To finish the proof of existence part, it remains to prove that the terms involved in the terms $\mathbf{A}^m(\bar{n}^m, f)$, $\mathbf{B}^m(\bar{c}^m, f)$ and $\mathbf{C}^m(\bar{u}^m, \bar{W}^m, \bar{\eta}^m, g)$ converge to the terms involved in $\mathbf{A}(n_*, f)$, $\mathbf{B}(c_*, f)$ and $\mathbf{C}(u_*, W_*, \eta_*, g)$, respectively. Notice that by the properties obtained in (3.18), it is clear that the convergence of the linear terms hold true.

Convergence for n, c-equations. Since the treatment for the random PDEs with respect to n and c may be investigated in a similar manner, as a example we shall only provide a verification for the following convergence of the n-equation

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \int_0^t \langle R_1(n^m, c^m), f \rangle \mathrm{d}s = \int_0^t \langle R_1(n, c), f \rangle \mathrm{d}s, \ \forall f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2), \ s > 2.$$
(3.24)

Indeed, for any $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, there exists d > 0 such that supp f is a compact subset of \mathcal{O}_d . Then by integrating by parts, we see that for every $(h_1, h_2) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle R_1(h_1, h_2), f \rangle| &\leq \|h_1\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O}_d)} \|\nabla h_2\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O}_d)} \|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{O}_d)} \\ &\leq C \|h_1\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O}_d)} \|h_2\|_{H^1(\mathcal{O}_d)} \|f\|_{H^s}. \end{aligned}$$
(3.25)

Since $R_1(n^m, c^m) - R_1(n, c) = R_1(n^m - n, c^m) + R_1(n, c^m - c)$, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{0}^{t} \langle R_{1}(n^{m}, c^{m}), f \rangle \mathrm{d}s - \int_{0}^{t} \langle R_{1}(n, c), f \rangle \mathrm{d}s \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{0}^{t} \langle R_{1}(n^{m} - n, c^{m}), f \rangle \mathrm{d}s \right| + \left| \int_{0}^{t} \langle R_{1}(n, c^{m} - c), f \rangle \mathrm{d}s \right| \\ &\leq C \Big(\|n^{m} - n\|_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\mathcal{O}_{d}))} \|c^{m}\|_{L^{2}(0,T;H^{1}(\mathcal{O}_{d}))} \\ &+ \|n\|_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\mathcal{O}_{d}))} \|c^{m} - c\|_{L^{2}(0,T;H^{1}(\mathcal{O}_{d}))} \Big) \|f\|_{H^{s}} \end{aligned}$$

Since $c^m \to c$ in $L^2(0, T; H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2))$, (3.24) holds for all $f \in C^{\infty}_c(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Moreover if $f \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$, then for $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $f_{\varepsilon} \in C^{\infty}_c(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $||f - f_{\varepsilon}||_{H^s} \leq \varepsilon$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \langle R_{1}(n^{m}, c^{m}), f \rangle - \langle R_{1}(n, c), f \rangle \right| \\ &\leq \left| \langle R_{1}(n^{m}, c^{m}) - R_{1}(n, c), f - f_{\varepsilon} \rangle \right| + \left| \langle R_{1}(n^{m}, c^{m}) - R_{1}(n, c), f_{\varepsilon} \rangle \right| \\ &\leq \left(\| R_{1}(n^{m}, c^{m}) \|_{H^{-s}} + \| R_{1}(n, c) \|_{H^{-s}} \right) \| f - f_{\varepsilon} \|_{H^{s}} + \left| \langle R_{1}(n^{m}, c^{m}) - R_{1}(n, c), f_{\varepsilon} \rangle \right| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \left(\| n^{m} \|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \| c^{m} \|_{H^{1}}^{2} + \| n \|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \| c \|_{H^{1}}^{2} \right) + \left| \langle R_{1}(n^{m}, c^{m}) - R_{1}(n, c), f_{\varepsilon} \rangle \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{split} & \left| \int_{0}^{t} \langle R_{1}(n^{m}, c^{m}), f \rangle \mathrm{d}s - \int_{0}^{t} \langle R_{1}(n, c), f \rangle \mathrm{d}s \right| \\ & \leq \varepsilon \left(\|n^{m}\|_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2}))}^{2} + \|c^{m}\|_{L^{2}(0,T;H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{2}))}^{2} + \|n\|_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2}))}^{2} + \|c\|_{L^{2}(0,T;H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{2}))}^{2} \right) \\ & + \left| \langle R_{1}(n^{m}, c^{m}) - R_{1}(n, c), f_{\varepsilon} \rangle \right|. \end{split}$$

Passing to the upper limit as $m \to \infty$, we have

$$\limsup_{m \to \infty} \left| \int_0^t \langle R_1(n^m, c^m), f \rangle \mathrm{d}s - \int_0^t \langle R_1(n, c), f \rangle \mathrm{d}s \right| \le C\varepsilon,$$

which implies that (3.24) holds. As a result, we infer that for all $f \in U$

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \mathbf{A}^m(\bar{n}^m, f)(t) = \mathbf{A}(n_*, f)(t), \qquad (3.26)$$

and

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \mathbf{B}^m(\bar{n}^m, f)(t) = \mathbf{B}(n_*, f)(t).$$
(3.27)

Convergence for u-equations. We will show that for all $g \in U_1$

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \|\mathbf{C}^{m}(\bar{u}^{m}, \bar{W}^{m}, \bar{\eta}^{m}, g) - \mathbf{C}(u_{*}, W_{*}, \eta_{*}, g)\|_{L^{2}([0,T] \times \bar{\Omega})} = 0.$$
(3.28)

As before, it is sufficient to deal with the nonlinear terms and the stochastic integral terms.

• Concerning the convection term, we have

$$\bar{E}\left[\left|\int_{0}^{t} \langle \tilde{P}_{m}\tilde{B}_{1}(\bar{u}^{m}),g\rangle \mathrm{d}s\right|^{3}\right] \leq C \|g\|_{V_{s}}^{3} \bar{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T} \|\tilde{P}_{m}\tilde{B}_{1}(\bar{u}^{m})\|_{V_{s}}^{3} \mathrm{d}t\right] \\
\leq C \bar{E}\left[\sup_{s\in[0,T]} \|\bar{u}^{m}(s)\|_{H}^{6}\right] \leq C.$$

In view of the assertion $\lim_{m\to\infty} \int_0^t \langle \tilde{P}_m \tilde{B}_1(\bar{u}^m) - \tilde{B}_1(u_*), g \rangle ds = 0$ a.s, it follows from the Vitali theorem that for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \bar{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \langle \tilde{P}_m \tilde{B}_1(\bar{u}^m) - \tilde{B}_1(u_*), g \rangle \mathrm{d}s \right|^2 \right] = 0.$$
(3.29)

Since by (3.21),

$$\bar{E}\left[\left|\int_0^t \langle \tilde{P}_m \tilde{B}_1(\bar{u}^m), g \rangle \mathrm{d}s\right|^3\right] \le C\bar{E}\left[\sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|\bar{u}^m(s)\|_H^6\right] \le C.$$

Then by (3.29) and the Dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \int_0^T \bar{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \langle \tilde{P}_m \tilde{B}_1(\bar{u}^m) - \tilde{B}_1(u_*), g \rangle \mathrm{d}s \right|^2 \right] \mathrm{d}t = 0.$$
(3.30)

• Concerning the stochastic term related to Wiener process, we show that

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \bar{E} \left[\int_0^T \left| \int_0^t \langle [\tilde{P}_m G(s, \bar{u}^m(s)) - G(s, u_*(s))] \mathrm{d}W_*(s), g \rangle \right|^2 \mathrm{d}t \right] = 0, \qquad (3.31)$$

Indeed, for any $g \in \mathcal{V}$, we get from the assumption (2) in (A₂) that

$$\int_{0}^{t} \|\langle G(s, \bar{u}^{m}(s)) - G(s, u_{*}(s)), g \rangle \|_{\mathcal{L}_{2}(Y, \mathbb{R})}^{2} \mathrm{d}s$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{T} \|\tilde{G}_{g}(\bar{u}^{m})(s) - \tilde{G}_{g}(u_{*})(s)\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2}(Y, \mathbb{R})}^{2} \mathrm{d}s$$

$$= \|\tilde{G}_{g}(\bar{u}^{m})(s) - \tilde{G}_{g}(u_{*})(s)\|_{L^{2}([0, T]; \mathcal{L}_{2}(Y, \mathbb{R}))}^{2}.$$

By $\bar{u}^m \to u_*$ in $L^2(0,T; H_{loc})$ almost surely, we infer from the assumption (2) in (A₂) that for all $t \in [0,T]$ and all $g \in \mathcal{V}$

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \int_0^t \|\langle G(s, \bar{u}^m(s)) - G(s, u_*(s)), g \rangle \|_{\mathcal{L}_2(Y, \mathbb{R})}^2 \mathrm{d}s = 0.$$
(3.32)

Moreover, by the assumption (2) in (A_2) and the estimate (3.21) we infer that for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\bar{E}\left[\left\|\int_{0}^{t} \|\langle G(s,\bar{u}^{m}(s)) - G(s,u_{*}(s)),g\rangle\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2}(Y,\mathbb{R})}^{2}\mathrm{d}s\right\|^{2}\right] \\
\leq C\bar{E}\left[\|g\|_{V}^{4}\int_{0}^{t} \|G(s,\bar{u}^{m}(s))\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2}(Y,V')}^{4} + \|G(s,u_{*}(s))\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2}(Y,V')}^{4}\mathrm{d}s\right] \qquad (3.33) \\
\leq C\bar{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T} (1+\|\bar{u}^{m}(s)\|_{H}^{4} + \|u_{*}\|_{H}^{4})\mathrm{d}s\right] \leq C.$$

Thus, by applying the Vitali convergence theorem, we infer from (3.32) and (3.33) that for all $g \in \mathcal{V}$

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \bar{E} \left[\int_0^t \| \langle G(s, \bar{u}^m(s)) - G(s, u_*(s)), g \rangle \|_{\mathcal{L}_2(Y, \mathbb{R})}^2 \mathrm{d}s \right] = 0.$$
(3.34)

Since \mathcal{V} is dense in V, for any $g \in V$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $g_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $\|g - g_{\varepsilon}\|_{V} \leq \varepsilon$. Then we have

$$\int_{0}^{t} \|\langle G(s, \bar{u}^{m}(s)) - G(s, u_{*}(s)), g \rangle \|_{\mathcal{L}_{2}(Y,\mathbb{R})}^{2} ds$$

$$\leq C\varepsilon^{2} (1 + \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|\bar{u}^{m}(s)\|_{H}^{2} + \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \|u_{*}(s)\|_{H}^{2})$$

$$+ 2 \int_{0}^{t} \|\langle G(s, \bar{u}^{m}(s)) - G(s, u_{*}(s)), g_{\varepsilon} \rangle \|_{\mathcal{L}_{2}(Y,\mathbb{R})}^{2} ds.$$

Passing to the upper limit as $m \to \infty$ and using (3.34), we derive that for all $g \in V$

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \bar{E} \left[\int_0^t \| \langle G(s, \bar{u}^m(s)) - G(s, u_*(s)), g \rangle \|_{\mathcal{L}_2(Y, \mathbb{R})}^2 \mathrm{d}s \right] = 0.$$
(3.35)

Note that for all $g \in V$ and $s \in [0, T]$, we have

$$\begin{split} \langle \tilde{P}_m G(s, \bar{u}^m(s)) - G(s, u_*(s)), g \rangle &= \langle G(s, \bar{u}^m(s)), \tilde{P}_m g - g \rangle + \langle G(s, \bar{u}^m(s)) - G(s, u_*(s)), g \rangle \\ &\leq \|G(s, \bar{u}^m(s))\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(Y, V')} \|\tilde{P}_m g - g\|_V \\ &+ \langle G(s, \bar{u}^m(s)) - G(s, u_*(s)), g \rangle. \end{split}$$

Since $U_1 \subset V$ and $\tilde{P}_m g \to g$ in V for all $g \in U_1$, we see from (3.35), the assumption (2) in (A₂) and (3.21) that

$$\bar{E}\left[\int_0^t \|\langle \tilde{P}_m G(s, \bar{u}^m(s)) - G(s, u_*(s)), g\rangle\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(Y,\mathbb{R})}^2 \mathrm{d}s\right] \\
\leq C \|\tilde{P}_m g - g\|_V^2 + 2\bar{E}\left[\int_0^t \|\langle G(s, \bar{u}^m(s)) - G(s, u_*(s)), g\rangle\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(Y,\mathbb{R})}^2 \mathrm{d}s\right] \to 0.$$

Then by the properties of the Itô integral we infer that for all $g \in U_1$ and $t \in [0, T]$

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \bar{E}\left[\left| \left\langle \int_0^t [\tilde{P}_m G(s, \bar{u}^m(s)) - G(s, u_*(s))] \mathrm{d}W_*(s), g \right\rangle \right|^2 \right] = 0.$$
(3.36)

Moreover, by the Itô isometry, assumption (A₂) as well as (3.21), we see that for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\bar{E}\left[\left|\left\langle \int_{0}^{t} [\tilde{P}_{m}G(s,\bar{u}^{m}(s)) - G(s,u_{*}(s))] \mathrm{d}W_{*}(s),g\right\rangle \right|^{2}\right] \\
= \bar{E}\left[\int_{0}^{t} \left\|\left\langle \tilde{P}_{m}G(s,\bar{u}^{m}(s)) - G(s,u_{*}(s)),g\right\rangle \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_{2}(Y,\mathbb{R})}^{2} \mathrm{d}s\right] \\
\leq C\bar{E}\left[1 + \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \left\|\bar{u}^{m}(s)\right\|_{H}^{2} + \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \left\|u_{*}(s)\right\|_{H}^{2}\right] \leq C$$
(3.37)

By the Dominated convergence theorem, we see from (3.36) and (3.37) that (3.31) holds.

• Concerning the stochastic term related to jump processes, we have

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \bar{E} \left[\int_0^T \left| \int_0^t \int_Z (\tilde{P}_m F(s, \bar{u}^m(s-); z) - F(s, u_*(s-); z), g)_H \tilde{\eta}_* (\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}z) \right|^2 \mathrm{d}t \right] = 0.$$
(3.38)

Indeed, for any $g \in \mathcal{V}$, we get from the assumption (2) in (A₃) that

$$\int_{0}^{t} \int_{Z} |\langle F(s, \bar{u}^{m}(s-); z) - F(s, u_{*}(s-); z), g \rangle|^{2} \nu(\mathrm{d}z) \mathrm{d}s$$

=
$$\int_{0}^{t} \int_{Z} |(F(s, \bar{u}^{m}(s-); z) - F(s, u_{*}(s-); z), g)_{H}|^{2} \nu(\mathrm{d}z) \mathrm{d}s$$

$$\leq \|\tilde{F}_{g}(\bar{u}^{m}) - \tilde{F}_{g}(u_{*})\|_{L^{2}([0,T] \times Z; \mathbb{R})}^{2}.$$

Since $\bar{u}^m \to u_*$ in $L^2(0,T;H_{loc})$ a.s., we infer from the assumption (2) in (A₃) that for all $t \in [0,T]$ and all $g \in \mathcal{V}$

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \int_0^t \int_Z |(F(s, \bar{u}^m(s-); z) - F(s, u_*(s-); z), g)_H|^2 \nu(\mathrm{d}z) \mathrm{d}s = 0.$$
(3.39)

Moreover, by the assumption (1) in (A_3) we infer that for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\bar{E}\left[\left|\int_{0}^{t}\int_{Z}|(F(s,\bar{u}^{m}(s-);z)-F(s,u_{*}(s-);z),g)_{H}|^{2}\nu(\mathrm{d}z)\mathrm{d}s\right|^{2}\right] \\
\leq C\|g\|_{H}^{4}\bar{E}\left[\left|\int_{0}^{t}\int_{Z}\|F(s,\bar{u}^{m}(s-);z)\|_{H}^{2}+\|F(s,u_{*}(s-);z)\|_{H}^{2}\nu(\mathrm{d}z)\mathrm{d}s\right|^{2}\right] \\
\leq C\bar{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T}(1+\|\bar{u}^{m}(s)\|_{H}^{4}+\|u_{*}(s)\|_{H}^{4})\mathrm{d}s\right] \leq C.$$
(3.40)

Thus applying the Vitali convergence theorem, we infer from (3.39) and (3.40) that for all $g \in \mathcal{V}$

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \bar{E} \left[\int_0^t \int_Z |\langle F(s, \bar{u}^m(s-); z) - F(s, u_*(s-); z), g \rangle|^2 \nu(\mathrm{d}z) \mathrm{d}s \right] = 0.$$
(3.41)

Since \mathcal{V} is dense in H, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $g_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $\|g - g_{\varepsilon}\|_{H} \leq \varepsilon$. Then,

$$\begin{split} &\int_0^t \int_Z |\langle F(s, \bar{u}^m(s-); z) - F(s, u_*(s-); z), g \rangle|^2 \nu(\mathrm{d}z) \mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq 2 \int_0^t \int_Z |\langle F(s, \bar{u}^m(s-); z) - F(s, u_*(s-); z), g - g_\varepsilon \rangle|^2 \nu(\mathrm{d}z) \mathrm{d}s \\ &+ 2 \int_0^t \int_Z |\langle F(s, \bar{u}^m(s-); z) - F(s, u_*(s-); z), g_\varepsilon \rangle|^2 \nu(\mathrm{d}z) \mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^2 + 2 \int_0^t \int_Z |\langle F(s, \bar{u}^m(s-); z) - F(s, u_*(s-); z), g_\varepsilon \rangle|^2 \nu(\mathrm{d}z) \mathrm{d}s \end{split}$$

Passing to the upper limit as $m \to \infty$ and using (3.41), we derive that for all $g \in H$ $\lim_{m\to\infty} \bar{E}[\int_0^t \int_Z |\langle F(s, \bar{u}^m(s-); z) - F(s, u_*(s-); z), g \rangle|^2 \nu(\mathrm{d}z) \mathrm{d}s] = 0$. Moreover, since the restriction of \tilde{P}_m to the space H is the $(\cdot, \cdot)_H$ -projection onto \mathbf{S}_m , we have for all $g \in H$ and $t \in [0, T]$

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \bar{E} \left[\int_0^t \int_Z |\langle \tilde{P}_m F(s, \bar{u}^m(s-); z) - F(s, u_*(s-); z), g \rangle|^2 \nu(\mathrm{d}z) \mathrm{d}s \right] = 0.$$

Then by the properties of the integral with respect to the compensated Poisson random measure and the fact that $\bar{\eta}^m = \eta_*$, we have

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \bar{E} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_Z \langle \tilde{P}_m F(s, \bar{u}^m(s-); z) - F(s, u_*(s-); z), g \rangle \tilde{\eta}_*(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}z) \right|^2 \right] = 0.$$
(3.42)

Moreover, by the assumption (1) in (A₃), we infer that for all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\bar{E}\left[\left|\int_{0}^{t}\int_{Z}\langle\tilde{P}_{m}F(s,\bar{u}^{m}(s-);z)-F(s,u_{*}(s-);z),g\rangle\tilde{\eta}_{*}(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}z)\right|^{2}\right] \\
\leq C\bar{E}\left[\int_{0}^{t}\int_{Z}\|\tilde{P}_{m}F(s,\bar{u}^{m}(s-);z)\|_{H}^{2}+\|F(s,u_{*}(s-);z)\|_{H}^{2}\nu(\mathrm{d}z)\mathrm{d}s\right] \qquad (3.43) \\
\leq C\bar{E}\left[\int_{0}^{T}(1+\|\bar{u}^{m}(s)\|_{H}^{2}+\|u_{*}(s)\|_{H}^{2})\mathrm{d}s\right] \leq C.$$

By the Dominated convergence theorem, we see from (3.42) and (3.43) that (3.38) holds.

Since (n^m, c^m, u^m) is a solution of the Galerkin equations (2.8), according to (3.19), we infer that for all $f \in U$, $t \in [0, T]$ and $\overline{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s.

$$(\bar{n}^m(t), f)_{L^2} = \mathbf{A}^m(\bar{n}^m, f)(t),$$
(3.44)

and

$$(\bar{c}^m(t), f)_{L^2} = \mathbf{B}^m(\bar{c}^m, f)(t).$$
 (3.45)

Besides, since $\mathscr{L}(n^m, c^m, u^m, W^m, \eta^m) = \mathscr{L}(\bar{n}^m, \bar{c}^m, \bar{u}^m, \bar{W}^m, \bar{\eta}^m),$

$$\int_0^T \bar{E} \left[|(\bar{u}^m(t), g)_H - \mathbf{C}^m(\bar{u}^m, \bar{W}^m, \bar{\eta}^m, g)(t)|^2 \right] \mathrm{d}t = 0.$$
(3.46)

By using the results (3.23), (3.26) and (3.27), we derive from (3.44) and (3.45) that for all $f \in U, t \in [0, T]$ and $\overline{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s

$$(n_*(t), f)_{L^2} = (n_*(0), f)_{L^2} - \int_0^t \langle \mathcal{A}n_*, f \rangle \mathrm{d}s - \int_0^t \langle B(u_*, n_*), f \rangle \mathrm{d}s - \int_0^t \langle R_1(n_*, c_*), f \rangle \mathrm{d}s,$$
(3.47)

and

$$(c_*(t), f)_{L^2} = (c_*(0), f)_{L^2} - \int_0^t \langle \mathcal{A}c_*, f \rangle \mathrm{d}s - \int_0^t \langle B(u_*, c_*), f \rangle \mathrm{d}s - \int_0^t \langle R_2(n_*, c_*), f \rangle \mathrm{d}s.$$
(3.48)

Moreover, applying (3.28) and the fact that $\lim_{m\to\infty} \|(\bar{u}^m - u_*, g)_H\|_{L^2([0,T]\times\bar{\Omega})} = 0$, we infer from (3.46) that

$$\int_0^T \bar{E} \big[|(u_*(t), g)_H - \mathbf{C}(u_*, W_*, \eta_*, g)(t)|^2 \big] \mathrm{d}t = 0$$

Thus for all $g \in U_1$, leb-almost all $t \in [0, T]$ and $\overline{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s.

$$(u_*(t), g)_H - \mathbf{C}(u_*, W_*, \eta_*, g)(t) = 0.$$

Since u_* is \mathcal{Z}_u -valued random variable, in particular $u_* \in \mathbb{D}([0,T]; H_w)$, i.e. u_* is weakly càdlàg. Thus the left-hand side of the above equality is càdlàg with respect to t. Moreover, since two càdlàg functions equal for leb-almost all $t \in [0,T]$ must be equal for all $t \in [0,T]$, we derive that for all $g \in U_1$, all $t \in [0,T]$ and \mathbb{P} -a.s.

$$(u_{*}(t),g)_{H} = (u_{*}(0),g)_{H} - \int_{0}^{t} \langle \mathcal{A}_{1}u_{*},g\rangle ds - \int_{0}^{t} \langle \tilde{B}_{1}(u_{*}),g\rangle ds + \int_{0}^{t} \langle R_{3}(u_{*},\phi),g\rangle ds + \left\langle \int_{0}^{t} G(s,u_{*}(s)) dW_{*}(s),g \right\rangle$$
(3.49)
$$+ \int_{0}^{t} \int_{Z} (F(s,u_{*}(s-);z),g)_{H} \tilde{\eta}_{*}(ds,dz).$$

Combining (3.47)-(3.49) and putting $\bar{n} := n_*, \ \bar{c} := c_*, \ \bar{u} := u_*, \ \bar{W} := W_*$ and $\bar{\eta} := \eta_*$, we derive that the tuple $((\bar{\Omega}, \bar{\mathcal{F}}, \bar{\mathfrak{F}}, \bar{\mathbb{P}}), \bar{W}, \bar{\eta}, \bar{n}, \bar{c}, \bar{u})$ is a martingale solution of system (2.7). The proof is thus completed.

4. PATHWISE UNIQUENESS

Proof of Theorem 1.4 (Uniqueness). According to the well-known Yamada-Watanable theorem [15, 46], one can prove the existence and uniqueness of pathwise solutions, provided the existence of martingale solutions and the pathwise uniqueness reslut. To finish the proof of Theorem 1.4, we prove in this section the pathwise uniqueness for the global martingale solution obtained in section 3.

Assume that (n_1, c_1, u_1) and (n_2, c_2, u_2) are two global martingale solutions to system (1.1) with the same initial data (n_0, c_0, u_0) in the sense of Definition 1.1. We note that for i = 1, 2

$$n_i \in L^{\infty}(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)),$$

$$c_i \in L^{\infty}(0, T; H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\mathbb{R}^2)),$$

$$u_i \in L^{\infty}(0, T; H) \cap L^2(0, T; V).$$

According to the Hölder inequality and the Ladyzhenskaya inequality $||f||_{L^4} \leq C ||f||_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} ||\nabla f||_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for all $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\mathrm{d}n_{i}}{\mathrm{d}t} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,T;H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^{2}))} &\leq \left\| \Delta n_{i} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,T;H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^{2}))} + \left\| u_{i} \cdot \nabla n_{i} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,T;H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^{2}))} \\ &+ \left\| \nabla \cdot (n_{i} \nabla c_{i}) \right\|_{L^{2}(0,T;H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^{2}))} \\ &\leq \left\| \nabla n_{i} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2}))} + C \left\| u_{i} \right\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;H)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| n_{i} \right\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2}))}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\times \left\| \nabla u_{i} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,T;H)} \left\| \nabla n_{i} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2}))} + C \left\| \nabla c_{i} \right\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2}))}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\times \left\| n_{i} \right\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2}))}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| D^{2}c_{i} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2}))} \left\| \nabla n_{i} \right\|_{L^{2}(0,T;L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2}))} \\ &< \infty, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.1)$$

which implies that $\frac{\mathrm{d}n_i}{\mathrm{d}t} \in L^2(0,T; H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2))$ almost surely. Similarly, we have almost surely

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}c_i}{\mathrm{d}t} \in L^2(0,T;L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)),\tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{A}_{1}u_{i}\|_{L^{2}(0,T;V')} + \|\tilde{B}_{1}(u_{i})\|_{L^{2}(0,T;V')} + \|R_{3}(u_{i},\phi)\|_{L^{2}(0,T;V')} \\ + \|G(\cdot,u_{i})\|_{L^{2}(0,T;\mathcal{L}_{2}(Y,H))} + \left\|\int_{Z} \|F(\cdot,u;z)\|_{H}^{2}\nu(\mathrm{d}z)\right\|_{L^{2}(0,T;H)} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.3)$$

Set

$$n^* = n_1 - n_2, \ c^* = c_1 - c_2, \ u^* = u_1 - u_2, \ P^* = P_1 - P_2.$$

Then the triple (n^*, c^*, u^*) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} dn^* + \mathcal{A}n^* dt + B(u^*, n_1) dt + B(u_2, n^*) dt = -R_1(n^*, c_1) dt - R_1(n_2, c^*) dt, \\ dc^* + \mathcal{A}c^* dt + B(u^*, c_1) dt + B(u_2, c^*) dt = -R_2(n^*, c_1) dt - R_2(n_2, c^*) dt, \\ du^* + \mathcal{A}_1 u^* dt + B_1(u^*, u_1) dt + B_1(u_2, u^*) dt = R_3(n^*, \phi) \\ + [G(t, u_1) - G(t, u_2)] dW(t) + \int_Z F(t, u_1; z) - F(t, u_2; z) \tilde{\eta}(dt, dz). \end{cases}$$
(4.4)

36

Noting that (4.1) and (4.2) imply that the Lions-Magenes lemma [32] is applicable. Thus, taking the L^2 -inner product of the first equation in (4.4), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|n^*(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla n^*\|_{L^2}^2
= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (u^* \cdot \nabla n_1) n^* \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla \cdot (n^* \nabla c_1) n^* \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla \cdot (n_2 \nabla c^*) n^* \mathrm{d}x \qquad (4.5)
:= A_1 + A_2 + A_3.$$

By the Hölder inequality, Young's inequality and interpolation inequality, we have

$$|A_{1}| \leq ||u^{*}||_{L^{4}} ||n_{1}||_{L^{4}} ||\nabla n^{*}||_{L^{2}}$$

$$\leq \varepsilon ||\nabla n^{*}||_{L^{2}}^{2} + C(\varepsilon) ||u^{*}||_{L^{2}} ||\nabla u^{*}||_{L^{2}} ||n_{1}||_{L^{2}} ||\nabla n_{1}||_{L^{2}}$$

$$\leq \varepsilon ||\nabla n^{*}||_{L^{2}}^{2} + \varepsilon ||\nabla u^{*}||_{L^{2}}^{2} + C(\varepsilon) ||u^{*}||_{L^{2}}^{2} ||n_{1}||_{L^{2}}^{2} ||\nabla n_{1}||_{L^{2}}^{2}.$$

$$(4.6)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A_{2}| &\leq \|n^{*}\|_{L^{4}} \|\nabla c_{1}\|_{L^{4}} \|\nabla n^{*}\|_{L^{2}} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|\nabla n^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C(\varepsilon)\|n^{*}\|_{L^{2}} \|\nabla n^{*}\|_{L^{2}} \|\nabla c_{1}\|_{L^{2}} \|\Delta c_{1}\|_{L^{2}} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|\nabla n^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C(\varepsilon)\|n^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \|\nabla c_{1}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \|\Delta c_{1}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |A_{3}| &\leq \|n_{2}\|_{L^{4}} \|\nabla c^{*}\|_{L^{4}} \|\nabla n^{*}\|_{L^{2}} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|\nabla n^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C(\varepsilon) \|n_{2}\|_{L^{2}} \|\nabla n_{2}\|_{L^{2}} \|\nabla c^{*}\|_{L^{2}} \|\Delta c^{*}\|_{L^{2}} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|\nabla n^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \varepsilon \|\Delta c^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C(\varepsilon) \|n_{2}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \|\nabla n_{2}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \|\nabla c^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.8)$$

Plugging (4.6)-(4.8) into (4.5), we see that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|n^{*}(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\nabla n^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
\leq \varepsilon(\|\Delta c^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\nabla u^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}) + C(\varepsilon)(\|u^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\|n_{1}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\|\nabla n_{1}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
+ \|n^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\|\nabla c_{1}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\|\Delta c_{1}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|n_{2}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\|\nabla n_{2}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\|\nabla c^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}).$$
(4.9)

Similarly, taking the L^2 -inner product of the second equation of (4.4), one can deduce that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|c^{*}(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\nabla c^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
\leq \|u^{*}\|_{L^{2}} \|\nabla c_{1}\|_{L^{4}} \|c^{*}\|_{L^{4}} + \|c_{1}\|_{L^{\infty}} \|n^{*}\|_{L^{2}} \|c^{*}\|_{L^{2}} + \|n_{2}\|_{L^{2}} \|c^{*}\|_{L^{2}} \|\nabla c^{*}\|_{L^{2}}
\leq \|u^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C \|\nabla c_{1}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \|\Delta c_{1}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \|c^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|c^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C \|n^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
+ \varepsilon \|\nabla c^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C(\varepsilon) \|n_{2}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \|c^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2},$$

which implies that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|c^{*}(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\nabla c^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
\leq (1 + C\|\nabla c_{1}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \|\Delta c_{1}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C\|n_{2}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}) \|c^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C(\|n^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|u^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}).$$
(4.10)

Moreover, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|\nabla c^{*}(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\Delta c^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} (u^{*} \cdot \nabla c_{1}) \Delta c^{*} \mathrm{d}x - \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} (\nabla c^{*} \cdot \nabla) u_{2} \cdot \nabla c^{*} \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} n^{*} c_{1} \Delta c^{*} \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} n_{2} c^{*} \Delta c^{*} \mathrm{d}x \quad (4.11) \\
:= B_{1} + B_{2} + B_{3} + B_{4}.$$

By the Hölder inequality, Young's inequality and interpolation inequality, we have

$$|B_1| \le ||u^*||_{L^4} ||\nabla c_1||_{L^4} ||\Delta c^*||_{L^2}$$

$$\le \varepsilon ||\Delta c^*||_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon ||\nabla u^*||_{L^2}^2 + C(\varepsilon) ||u^*||_{L^2}^2 ||\nabla c_1||_{L^2}^2 ||\Delta c_1||_{L^2}^2.$$

Similarly, we have

$$|B_{2}| \leq \|\nabla u_{2}\|_{L^{2}} \|\nabla c^{*}\|_{L^{4}}^{2} \leq \|\nabla u_{2}\|_{L^{2}} \|\nabla c^{*}\|_{L^{2}} \|\Delta c^{*}\|_{L^{2}}$$
$$\leq \varepsilon \|\Delta c^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C(\varepsilon) \|\nabla u_{2}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \|\nabla c^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2},$$

$$|B_3| \le ||c_1||_{L^{\infty}} ||n^*||_{L^2} ||\Delta c^*||_{L^2} \le \varepsilon ||\Delta c^*||_{L^2}^2 + C(\varepsilon) ||n^*||_{L^2}^2,$$

as well as

$$|B_4| \le ||c^*||_{L^4} ||n_2||_{L^4} ||\Delta c^*||_{L^2}$$

$$\le \varepsilon ||\Delta c^*||_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon ||\nabla c^*||_{L^2}^2 + C(\varepsilon) ||c^*||_{L^2}^2 ||n_2||_{L^2}^2 ||\nabla n_2||_{L^2}^2$$

Putting the estimates for $|B_i|$ into (4.11), we get

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|\nabla c^{*}(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|\Delta c^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
\leq \varepsilon \|\nabla c^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \varepsilon \|\nabla u^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C(\varepsilon)(\|u^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\|\nabla c_{1}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\|\Delta c_{1}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}
+ \|\nabla u_{2}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\|\nabla c^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|n^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \|c^{*}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\|n_{2}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}\|\nabla n_{2}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}).$$
(4.12)

Moreover (4.3) implies that the Itô's lemma of Gyöngy and Krylov form [24] is applicable. Then we infer from the assumption (A_2) that

$$\begin{aligned} d\|u^*\|_{L^2}^2 &+ 2\|\nabla u^*\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\ &\leq (\bar{\varepsilon} + L_G)\|\nabla u^*\|_{L^2}^2 + (1 + L_G)\|u^*\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\bar{\varepsilon})\|u^*\|_{L^2}^2\|u_1\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla u_1\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &+ C\|n^*\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\langle [G(t, u_1) - G(t, u_2)] dW(t), u^* \rangle \\ &+ \int_Z \left\{ \|u^*(t-) + F(t, u_1(t-); z) - F(t, u_2(t-); z)\|_{H}^2 - \|u^*(t-)\|_{H}^2 \right\} \tilde{\eta}(dt, dz) \\ &+ C \int_Z \|F(t, u_1(t-); z) - F(t, u_2(t-); z)\|_{H}^2 \nu(dz) dt. \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.13)$$

Using the condition (1.5) and choosing $\bar{\varepsilon}$ small enough such that $0 < \hat{\varepsilon} < 2 - \bar{\varepsilon} - L_G$ and then applying the assumption (A₃), we see from (4.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} & d \|u^*\|_{L^2}^2 + \hat{\varepsilon} \|\nabla u^*\|_{L^2}^2 dt \\ & \leq C(1 + \|u_1\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla u_1\|_{L^2}^2) \|u^*\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|n^*\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\langle [G(t, u_1) - G(t, u_2)] dW(t), u^* \rangle \\ & + C \int_Z \left\{ \|u^*(t-) + F(t, u_1(t-); z) - F(t, u_2(t-); z)\|_H^2 - \|u^*(t-)\|_H^2 \right\} \tilde{\eta}(dt, dz). \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.14)$$

Combining (4.9), (4.10), (4.12) and (4.14), we derive that

$$d\mathbf{A}(t) + \mathbf{B}(t) \leq C\mathbf{A}(t)\mathbf{C}(t) + C\langle [G(t, u_1) - G(t, u_2)] dW(t), u^* \rangle + C \int_Z \left\{ \|u^*(t-) + F(t, u_1(t-); z) - F(t, u_2(t-); z)\|_H^2 - \|u^*(t-)\|_H^2 \right\} \tilde{\eta}(dt, dz)$$
(4.15)
:= $C\mathbf{A}(t)\mathbf{C}(t) + S_1(t) + S_2(t).$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}(t) &:= \|n^*(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|c^*(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla c^*(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u^*(t)\|_{L^2}^2, \\ \mathbf{B}(t) &:= \|\nabla n^*(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla c^*(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta c^*(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u^*(t)\|_{L^2}^2, \\ \mathbf{C}(t) &:= \|n_1\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla n_1\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla c_1\|_{L^2}^2 \|\Delta c_1\|_{L^2}^2 + \|n_2\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla n_2\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla c_1\|_{L^2}^2 \|\Delta c_1\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &+ \|n_2\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla c_1\|_{L^2}^2 \|\Delta c_1\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u_2\|_{L^2}^2 + \|n_2\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla n_2\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u_1\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla u_1\|_{L^2}^2 + 1 \end{aligned}$$

We now define stopping times $\bar{\tau}^R := \bar{\tau}^R_1 \wedge \bar{\tau}^R_2 \wedge T$ with

$$\bar{\tau}_i^R := \inf\{t > 0: \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|n_i(s)\|_{L^2}^2 \vee \int_0^t \|n_i(s)\|_{H^1}^2 ds \vee \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|c_i(s)\|_{H^1}^2 \vee \int_0^t \|c_i(s)\|_{H^2}^2 ds \vee \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|u_i(s)\|_H^2 \vee \int_0^t \|u_i(s)\|_V^2 ds \ge R\}, \ i = 1, 2.$$

It is easy to see that $\bar{\tau}^R \nearrow T$ as $R \to \infty$, a.s. It is not hard to prove that $\int_0^{t \wedge \bar{\tau}^R} \mathbf{C}(s) ds \leq C_R$. Thus by using the Gronwall lemma to (4.15), we infer that

$$\mathbf{A}(t \wedge \bar{\tau}^R) + \int_0^{t \wedge \bar{\tau}^R} \mathbf{B}(s) \mathrm{d}s \le C \exp\left(\int_0^{t \wedge \bar{\tau}^R} \mathbf{C}(s) \mathrm{d}s\right) \left(\int_0^{t \wedge \bar{\tau}^R} S_1(s) + S_2(s) \mathrm{d}s\right)$$

$$\le C_R \left(\int_0^{t \wedge \bar{\tau}^R} S_1(s) + S_2(s) \mathrm{d}s\right).$$

$$(4.16)$$

Since by (3.22)

$$E\int_0^T |\langle G(t, u_1) - G(t, u_2), u^* \rangle|^2 \mathrm{d}s \le CE(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|u^*(t)\|_H^4) + CE\left(\int_0^T \|u^*\|_V^2 \mathrm{d}s\right)^2 < \infty,$$

the process $t \to \int_0^t \langle [G(s, u_1) - G(s, u_2)] dW(s), u^* \rangle$ is a martingale on [0, T]. In particular, it follows that

$$E\left(\int_0^t \langle [G(s,u_1) - G(s,u_2)] \mathrm{d}W(s), u^* \rangle \right) = 0$$

Moreover, by using the condition (1.4)

$$E\left(\int_{0}^{T}\int_{Z}\left|\left\|u^{*}(t-)+F(t,u_{1}(t-);z)-F(t,u_{2}(t-);z)\right\|_{H}^{2}-\left\|u^{*}(t-)\right\|_{H}^{2}\right|^{2}\nu(\mathrm{d}z)\mathrm{d}s\right)$$

$$\leq CE\left(\int_{0}^{T}\int_{Z}\left|\left\|F(t,u_{1}(t-);z)-F(t,u_{2}(t-);z)\right\|_{H}^{2}+\left\|u^{*}\right\|_{H}^{2}\right|^{2}\nu(\mathrm{d}z)\mathrm{d}s\right)$$

$$\leq CE(\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\left\|u^{*}(t)\right\|_{H}^{4})<\infty,$$

we infer that $\int_0^t \int_Z \left\{ \|u^*(s-) + F(s, u_1(s-); z) - F(s, u_2(s-); z)\|_H^2 - \|u^*(s-)\|_H^2 \right\} \tilde{\eta}(\mathrm{d} s, \mathrm{d} z)$ is a martingale on [0, T], and hence

$$E\left(\int_0^t \int_Z \left\{ \|u^*(s-) + F(s, u_1(s-); z) - F(s, u_2(s-); z)\|_H^2 - \|u^*(s-)\|_H^2 \right\} \tilde{\eta}(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}z) \right) = 0.$$

Taking the expectation on both sides of inequality (4.16), we infer that

$$E\mathbf{A}(t\wedge\bar{\tau}^R) = 0. \tag{4.17}$$

After taking the limit as $R \to \infty$ and noting that $\bar{\tau}^R \nearrow T$, we derive that $E\mathbf{A}(t) = 0$ for all $t \in [0, T]$, which implies the uniqueness. The proof of Theorem 1.4 is thus completed. \Box

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12231008), and the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant No. 2023YFC2206100).

References

- [1] R.A. Adams and J.F. Fournier, Sobolev spaces, Elsevier, 2003.
- [2] J. Ahn, K. Kang, and C. Yoon, Global classical solutions for chemotaxis-fluid systems in two dimensions, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences 44 (2021), no. 2, 2254–2264.
- [3] D. Aldous, Stopping times and tightness, The Annals of Probability (1978), 335–340.
- [4] D. Applebaum, Lévy processes and stochastic calculus, Cambridge university press, 2009.
- [5] A. Bensoussan, Stochastic navier-stokes equations, Acta Applicandae Mathematica 38 (1995), no. 3, 267–304.
- [6] D. Breit, E. Feireisl, and M. Hofmanová, Local strong solutions to the stochastic compressible navierstokes system, Communications in Partial Differential Equations 43 (2018), no. 2, 313–345.
- [7] D. Breit, E. Feireisl, M. Hofmanová, and E. Zatorska, Compressible navier-stokes system with transport noise, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis 54 (2022), no. 4, 4465–4494.
- [8] H. Brézis, Functional analysis, sobolev spaces and partial differential equations, Vol. 2, Springer, 2011.
- [9] Z. Brzeźniak and B. Ferrario, A note on stochastic navier-stokes equations with not regular multiplicative noise, Stochastics and Partial Differential Equations: Analysis and Computations 5 (2017), 53–80.
- [10] Z. Brzeźniak, E. Hausenblas, and P. Razafimandimby, Martingale solutions for stochastic equation of reaction diffusion type driven by lévy noise or poisson random measure, arXiv preprint arXiv:1010.5933 72 (2010).
- [11] _____, Stochastic reaction-diffusion equations driven by jump processes, Potential analysis **49** (2018), 131–201.

- [12] Z. Brzeźniak and E. Motyl, Existence of a martingale solution of the stochastic navier-stokes equations in unbounded 2d and 3d domains, Journal of Differential Equations 254 (2013), no. 4, 1627–1685.
- [13] _____, The existence of martingale solutions to the stochastic boussinesq equations, Global and Stochastic Analysis 1 (2014), no. 2, 175–216.
- [14] X. Cao and J. Lankeit, Global classical small-data solutions for a three-dimensional chemotaxis navierstokes system involving matrix-valued sensitivities, Calculus of variations and partial differential equations 55 (2016), 1–39.
- [15] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk, Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions, Vol. 152, Cambridge university press, 2014.
- [16] M. Di Francesco, A. Lorz, and P. Markowich, Chemotaxis-fluid coupled model for swimming bacteria with nonlinear diffusion: global existence and asymptotic behavior, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst 28 (2010), no. 4, 1437–1453.
- [17] C. Dombrowski, L. Cisneros, S. Chatkaew, R. Goldstein, and J. Kessler, Self-concentration and largescale coherence in bacterial dynamics, Physical Review Letters 93 (2004), no. 9, 098103.
- [18] R. Duan, X. Li, and Z. Xiang, Global existence and large time behavior for a two-dimensional chemotaxisnavier-stokes system, Journal of Differential Equations 263 (2017), no. 10, 6284–6316.
- [19] R. Duan, A. Lorz, and P. Markowich, Global solutions to the coupled chemotaxis-fluid equations, Communications in Partial Differential Equations 35 (2010), no. 9, 1635–1673.
- [20] F. Flandoli and D. Gatarek, Martingale and stationary solutions for stochastic navier-stokes equations, Probability Theory and Related Fields 102 (1995), no. 3, 367–391.
- [21] F. Flandoli and D. Luo, High mode transport noise improves vorticity blow-up control in 3d navier-stokes equations, Probability Theory and Related Fields 180 (2021), 309–363.
- [22] F. Flandoli, E. Luongo, et al., Stochastic partial differential equations in fluid mechanics, Vol. 2330, Springer, 2023.
- [23] H. Fujikawa and M. Matsushita, Fractal growth of bacillus subtilis on agar plates, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 58 (1989), no. 11, 3875–3878.
- [24] I. Gyöngy and N. Krylov, On stochastics equations with respect to semimartingales ii. itô formula in banach spaces, Stochastics 6 (1982), no. 3-4, 153–173.
- [25] E. Hausenblas, B.J. Moghomye, and P. Razafimandimby, On the existence and uniqueness of solution to a stochastic chemotaxis-navier-stokes model, Stochastic Processes and their Applications 170 (2024), 104274.
- [26] M. Hofmanová, R. Zhu, and X. Zhu, Non-uniqueness in law of stochastic 3d navier-stokes equations, arXiv preprint arXiv:1912.11841 (2019).
- [27] M. Hofmanova, R. Zhu, and X. Zhu, On ill-and well-posedness of dissipative martingale solutions to stochastic 3d euler equations, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics (2021).
- [28] K. Holly and M. Wiciak, Compactness method applied to an abstract nonlinear parabolic equation (1995).
- [29] J. Jiang, H. Wu, and S. Zheng, Global existence and asymptotic behavior of solutions to a chemotaxisfluid system on general bounded domains, Asymptotic Analysis 92 (2015), no. 3-4, 249–258.
- [30] A. Joffe and M. Métivier, Weak convergence of sequences of semimartingales with applications to multitype branching processes, Advances in Applied Probability 18 (1986), no. 1, 20–65.
- [31] K. Kang, J. Lee, and M. Winkler, Global weak solutions to a chemotaxis-navier-stokes system in ℝ³, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems 42, no. 11, 5201–5222.
- [32] J.L. Lions and E. Magenes, Problèmes aux limites non homogènes (vii), Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata 63 (1963), no. 1, 201–224.
- [33] J.G. Liu and A. Lorz, A coupled chemotaxis-fluid model: global existence, Annales de l'ihp analyse non linéaire, 2011, pp. 643–652.
- [34] D. Luo, Convergence of stochastic 2d inviscid boussinesq equations with transport noise to a deterministic viscous system, Nonlinearity 34 (2021), no. 12, 8311.

FAN XU, LEI ZHANG, AND BIN LIU

- [35] R. Mikulevicius and B. Rozovskii, Global l2-solutions of stochastic navier-stokes equations (2005).
- [36] E. Motyl, Stochastic navier-stokes equations driven by lévy noise in unbounded 3d domains, Potential Analysis 38 (2013), 863–912.
- [37] _____, Stochastic hydrodynamic-type evolution equations driven by lévy noise in 3d unbounded domainsabstract framework and applications, Stochastic Processes and their Applications 124 (2014), no. 6, 2052–2097.
- [38] S. Peszat and J. Zabczyk, Stochastic partial differential equations with lévy noise: An evolution equation approach, Vol. 113, Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- [39] L. Rogers and D. Williams, Diffusions, markov processes, and martingales: Itô calculus, Vol. 2, Cambridge university press, 2000.
- [40] Y. Tao and M. Winkler, Locally bounded global solutions in a three-dimensional chemotaxis-stokes system with nonlinear diffusion, Annales de l'ihp analyse non linéaire, 2013, pp. 157–178.
- [41] I. Tuval, L. Cisneros, C. Dombrowski, C. Wolgemuth, J. Kessler, and R. Goldstein, *Bacterial swimming and oxygen transport near contact lines*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **102** (2005), no. 7, 2277–2282.
- [42] A. Van Der Vaart and J. Wellner, Weak convergence, Springer, 1996.
- [43] M. Winkler, Global large-data solutions in a chemotaxis-(navier-) stokes system modeling cellular swimming in fluid drops, Communications in Partial Differential Equations 37 (2012), no. 2, 319–351.
- [44] _____, Boundedness and large time behavior in a three-dimensional chemotaxis-stokes system with nonlinear diffusion and general sensitivity, Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations 54 (2015), 3789–3828.
- [45] _____, Global weak solutions in a three-dimensional chemotaxis-navier-stokes system, Annales de l'institut henri poincaré c, analyse non linéaire, 2016, pp. 1329–1352.
- [46] T. Yamada and S. Watanabe, On the uniqueness of solutions of stochastic differential equations, Journal of Mathematics of Kyoto University 11 (1971), no. 1, 155–167.
- [47] J. Zhai and T. Zhang, 2d stochastic chemotaxis-navier-stokes system, Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées 138 (2020), 307–355.
- [48] L. Zhang and B. Liu, Global martingale weak solutions for the three-dimensional stochastic chemotaxisnavier-stokes system with lévy processes, Journal of Functional Analysis 286 (2024), no. 7, 110337.
- [49] _____, On the keller-segel models interacting with a stochastically forced incompressible viscous flow in \mathbb{R}^2 , 2024.
- [50] _____, Random perturbations for the chemotaxis-fluid model with fractional dissipation: Global pathwise weak solutions, 2024.
- [51] Q. Zhang and X. Zheng, Global well-posedness for the two-dimensional incompressible chemotaxisnavier-stokes equations, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis 46 (2014), no. 4, 3078–3105.

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Hubei Key Laboratory of Engineering Modeling and Scientific Computing, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, Hubei, P.R. China.

Email address: d202280019@hust.edu.cn (F. Xu)

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Hubei Key Laboratory of Engineering Modeling and Scientific Computing, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, Hubei, P.R. China.

Email address: lei_zhang@hust.edu.cn (L. Zhang)

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Hubei Key Laboratory of Engineering Modeling and Scientific Computing, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, Hubei, P.R. China.

Email address: binliu@mail.hust.edu.cn (B. Liu)