

Deriving a working hypothesis in thermodynamics on electromagnetic work from first principles

Q. H. Liu^{1, *}

¹*School for Theoretical Physics, School of Physics and Electronics, Hunan University, Changsha 410082, China*
(Dated: September 4, 2024)

The Maxwell stress tensor for the linear and uniform media in static electromagnetic field implies a new form of pressure caused by the mutual field energy density. When it is introduced into the fundamental thermodynamic equation for the media, we have a new pressure-volume work term. The combination of new term and proper electromagnetic work term naturally gives the well-known form that is currently obtained by a working hypothesis.

Keywords: Maxwell stress tensor, magnetization, electromagnetic pressure, mutual field energy, electromagnetic energy.

I. INTRODUCTION

In fundamental thermodynamic equation, the work element takes a form Ydy where (Y, y) are a conjugate pair in energy representation, and Y and y are intensive and extensive quantity, respectively. [1–3] However, it is a working hypothesis. In electromagnetism, the first principles frequently gives a work form ydY , apart from such a form that in statistical mechanics is more convenient. [1–3] Once the consequences of a working hypothesis are experimentally justifiable, we must seek a derivation based on the fundamental principles. The electromagnetic fields carry a tension, and on the surface of an electromagnetic media in thermodynamic equilibrium, the tension reduces to be a pressure. Since the presence of the pressure, a new term must be added into the fundamental thermodynamic equation. It seems that no one has attempted to do so. The present work is going to fill the gap between the working hypothesis and first principles from both electromagnetism and thermodynamics. Since we deal with thermodynamic equilibrium, the treatment of the para-magnetic media is sufficient, and the results apply to dielectric via merely a change of symbols. The media are elastic solid which is linear and uniform in response to the external field.

When the media is static rather than moving, the Einstein and Laub form of the Maxwell stress tensor is sufficient, which is recently understood. [4] It is a by-product of the intensive exploration of its application to clarify the famous Abraham-Minkowski debate, [5] and other problems. [6] The Einstein and Laub tensor is [7]

$$T_{ij} = E_i D_j + H_i B_j - \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_0 E^2 + \mu_0 H^2)\delta_{ij} = E_i D_j + H_i B_j - u\delta_{ij} \quad (1)$$

which for magnetic field becomes

$$T_{ij} = H_i B_j - \frac{1}{2}\mu_0 H^2 \delta_{ij} = H_i B_j - u\delta_{ij}. \quad (2)$$

Here ϵ_0 and μ_0 are the electric and magnetic constants, respectively, and δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta, and \mathbf{E} the electric field, \mathbf{H} the magnetic field, and electric displacement $\mathbf{D} = \epsilon_0 \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P}$ with \mathbf{P} the polarization and magnetic induction $\mathbf{B} = \mu_0 (\mathbf{H} + \mathbf{M})$ with \mathbf{M} the magnetization; and $u = (\epsilon_0 E^2 + \mu_0 H^2)/2$ is the energy density in vacuum which is $\mu_0 H^2/2$ with magnetic field only.

The paper is organized in the following. Section II shows how the mutual field energy density from Maxwell stress tensor amounts to a pressure which must be introduced into the fundamental thermodynamic equation, and how the working hypothesis results from the fundamental principles. Section III is a brief conclusion and discussion.

II. PRESSURE TENSOR AND FUNDAMENTAL THERMODYNAMIC EQUATION

As usual, the medium is cylindrical in shape and is placed inside a solenoid coaxial to the sample. [2, 3] A current in the solenoid is gradually switched on, and a uniform axial magnetic field is built inside the solenoid to magnetize

*Electronic address: quanhuiliu@gmail.com

the sample. We assume that the magnetic field is along the z -axis, see figure 1. To determine the pressure tensor, we use two boxes 1 and 2 in figure 1, and we have two tensors T^{inner} and T^{outer} for the inner and the outer part of two boxes across the surface, respectively

$$T^{inner} = \begin{pmatrix} -u & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -u & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u + \mu_0 HM \end{pmatrix}, T^{outer} = \begin{pmatrix} -u & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -u & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

To compute the components of force $\Delta \mathbf{F}$ in x and y direction, we need to use the box 1. We have $\Delta F_x = \Delta F_y = 0$. To know the component of force $\Delta \mathbf{F}$ in z direction, we need to use box 2, and the result is

$$\Delta F_z = \sum_{j=1}^3 \oint T_{zj} ds_j = \oint_{\Delta V} T_{zz} ds_z = -\mu_0 HM \Delta s_z \quad (4)$$

where Δs_z is the area of one small surface of two of box 2 parallel to the z -axis. We have a pressure tensor

$$p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\mu_0 HM \end{pmatrix} = \begin{cases} -\mu_0 HM & i = j = 3 \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}. \quad (5)$$

Here the pressure tensor is a measure of the alignment of force with a given coordinate axis, [8] and has nonvanishing effect along the direction of external field only. It means that there is an axial strain of the media due to the presence of the magnetic field. Assume that there is still mechanical pressure p without the field, and once there is a change of the volume dV , there is a work done to the system

$$-(p - \mu_0 HM) dV. \quad (6)$$

The field energy element $d\omega$ in unit volume is

$$d\omega = HdB. \quad (7)$$

In whole volume, it is

$$dW = \int_V d\omega dV = \int_V HdB dV. \quad (8)$$

Once the magnetization is uniform, the magnetic field H and magnetization M are the same in every point of the volume. In thermodynamics, the volume is a function of B (or H), and temperature T and pressure p as well, we cannot simply claim

$$dW = Hd(BV). \quad (9)$$

Instead, since the overall uniformity of the media, the proper form of the magnetic work is [2, 9]

$$dW = VHdB. \quad (10)$$

Only this form is proper to account for possible magnetostriction and piezomagnetism.

For a fixed number of molecules in the system, the fundamental thermodynamic equation is

$$dU = TdS - (p - \mu_0 HM) dV + VHdB = TdS - pdV + \mu_0 Hdm + Vd\left(\frac{1}{2}\mu_0 H^2\right). \quad (11)$$

where variables (S, V, VM) are entropy, volume and total magnetic moment $m = VM$, respectively. Since $\mu_0 H^2/2$ is the energy density in vacuum, which can be simply removed from physical consideration. Indeed, the integral form of the fundamental thermodynamic equation do not contain $\mu_0 H^2/2$, as it is given by

$$U = TS - pV + \mu_0 Hm + \mu N \quad (12)$$

where μ , N are chemical potential and the number of particles, respectively. Finally, we have

$$dU = TdS - pdV + \mu_0 Hdm, \quad (13)$$

and we successfully reproduce the working hypothesis that the magnetic work takes following form

$$dW = \mu_0 Hdm, \quad (14)$$

while the pressure produced by the mutual field energy $p_B \equiv -\mu_0 HM$ need not be explicitly introduced. This equation (13) is very satisfactory for all natural variables (S, V, m) in it are extensive quantities, which is the essential property of the internal energy in axiomatic formulation of thermodynamics. [3]

III. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The working hypothesis on the form of the magnetic work, which has been used for at least one century long, is derived from the fundamental principles in both electromagnetism and thermodynamics. Explicitly, we must simultaneously use both a new pressure (5) produced by the mutual field energy density implied by the Maxwell stress tensor and the proper form of magnetic work (10) dictated by both electromagnetism and thermodynamics, or directly use the working hypothesis (14) as done in all text books and research literature. Both give the correct form of the fundamental thermodynamic equation (13).

What is more, the present study supports the Einstein and Laub tensor as proper form of the Maxwell stress tensor to study the static system. There are other forms of the Maxwell stress tensor, which is relativistic covariant, that can be useful for moving media. [4, 10]

The working hypothesis is no longer a hypothesis but a theorem. A long standing controversy on the proper form of the magnetic work in thermodynamics is thus completely resolved.

Acknowledgments

This work is financially supported by the Hunan Province Education Reform Project under Grants No. HNJC-2022-0506 and No. HNJC-2023-0147. The author is indebted to Professor Xiaofeng Jin at Fudan University, and the members of Online Club Nanothermodynamica (Founded in June 2020), and members of National Association of Thermodynamics and Statistical Physics Teachers in China, for fruitful discussions.

-
- [1] P. S. Epstein, *Thermodynamics* (John Wiley, New York, 1937) p.18;
 A. B. Pippard, *The Elements of Classical Thermodynamics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1957). p.64;
 F. Reif, *Fundamentals of Statistical and Thermal Physics* (New York: McGraw-Hill, 1965) pp.441-442;
 J. W. Tester, and M. Model, *Thermodynamics and its applications* 3rd. ed. (Prentice-Hall, New Jersey, 1996) pp.860-862;
 S. Blundell and K. Blundell, *Concepts in Thermal Physics* (New York: Oxford University Press, 2006) p.186;
 L. E. Reichl, *A Modern Course in Statistical Physics* 4th ed. (New York: John Wiley and Sons, 2016) p.29.
- [2] F. Mandl, *Statistical Physics* 2nd ed. (John Wiley, Chichester, 1988) p. 22, pp.26-28, pp.336-339.
- [3] H. Callen, *Thermodynamics and an Introduction to Thermostatistics* 2nd ed. (John Wiley, New York, 1985) pp.28-29.
- [4] K. J. Webb, Phys. Rev. B **94**, 064203(2016);
 C. J. Sheppard and B. A. Kemp, Phys. Rev. A **93**, 013855(2016).
- [5] M. Mansuripur, Opt. Commun. **283**, 1997(2010);
 M. Mansuripur, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 193901 (2012);
 K. J. Webb, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 043602 (2013).
- [6] K. J. Webb and Shivanand, Phys. Rev. E **84**, 057602 (2011);
 V. V. Datsyuk and O. R. Pavlyniuk, Phys. Rev. A **91**, 023826(2015).
- [7] A. Einstein and J. Laub, Ann. Phys. (Berlin, Ger.) **331**, 541 (1908); English commentary on this paper and a reprint of the original paper appears in The Collected Papers of Albert Einstein (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989), Vol. 2.
- [8] K. H. Shi, E. R. Smith, E. E. Santiso, K. E. Gubbins, J. Chem. Phys. **158**, 040901 (2023).
- [9] A. G. Samoilovich, *Thermodynamics and Statistical Physics* (State Press for Technical and Theoretical Literature, Moscow, 1955);
 Z. X. Wang, *A Brief Course on Thermodynamics* (Peoples' education press, Beijing, 1964). The form (10) alone is used without introduction of the pressure (5). So the relation between magnetostriction and piezomagnetism is dubious.
 S. F. Xiao; H. Zhao; Y. X. Wei; J. Z. Tan; Q. H. Liu, AIP Advances, **14**, 035249 (2024). It follows Z. X. Wang to use the correct form (10) but without the pressure (5). The reported pressure demagnetization is erroneous.
- [10] P. Penfield and H. A. Haus, *Electrodynamics of Moving Media* (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1967).




FIG. 1: The magnetic media inside the solenoid is sketched, which is uniformly magnetized. Two small boxes cross the surfaces of the media are used to calculate the pressure tensor near the surface.