TOP-DOWN ACTIVITY REPRESENTATION LEARNING FOR VIDEO QUESTION ANSWERING

Yanan WangShuichiro HarutaDonghuo ZengJulio VizcarraMori KurokawaKDDI Research

{wa-yanan,do-zeng,sh-haruta,xdo-zen,xju-vizcarra,mo-kurokawa}@kddi.com

ABSTRACT

Capturing complex hierarchical human activities, from atomic actions (*e.g.*, picking up one present, moving to the sofa, unwrapping the present) to contextual events (*e.g.*, celebrating Christmas) is crucial for achieving high-performance video question answering (VideoQA). Recent works have expanded multimodal models (*e.g.*, CLIP, LLaVA) to process continuous video sequences, enhancing the model's temporal reasoning capabilities. However, these approaches often fail to capture contextual events that can be decomposed into multiple atomic actions non-continuously distributed over relatively long-term sequences. In this paper, to leverage the spatial visual context representation capability of the CLIP model for obtaining non-continuous visual representations in terms of contextual events in videos, we convert long-term video sequences into a spatial image domain and finetune the multimodal model LLaVA for the VideoQA task. Our approach achieves competitive performance on the STAR task, in particular, with a 78.4% accuracy score, exceeding the current state-of-the-art score by **2.8** points on the NExTQA task.

1 Introduction

Giving a question about a video, the video question answering (VideoQA) task aims to provide an answer about the spatio-temporal visual scene. It is a promising task in achieving real-world applications including autonomous vehicles, robots, and search engines Antol et al. [2015], Wang et al. [2023a], Fukui et al. [2016], Ben-Younes et al. [2017]. To deeply reason about temporal visual context, one of the significant challenges is capturing complex hierarchical human activities in videos. These activities range from atomic actions (*e.g.*, picking up a present, moving to the sofa, unwrapping the present) to contextual events (*e.g.*, celebrating Christmas) Luo et al. [2021, 2022].

Recently, with the dramatic advancements in large language models (LLMs) (*e.g.*, GPT-4 Achiam et al. [2023], Llama Touvron et al. [2023]), multimodal models such as LLaVA Liu et al. [2023a], an end-to-end trained large language-visual model that connects an image encoder and an LLM, have demonstrated impressive multimodal reasoning abilities on most image-based visual question answering (VQA) tasks. To further facilitate the visual and language understanding capabilities in video scene reasoning, recent works (*e.g.*, LLaMA-VQA Ko et al. [2023], Video-LLaVA Lin et al. [2023]) have expanded multimodal models (*e.g.*, LLaVA Liu et al. [2023a,b]) to process continuous video sequences, enhancing the model's temporal reasoning capabilities. These works apply the bottom-up video processing approach to capture atomic actions in continuous short-term video sequences and lead to contextual event understanding toward complex answer reasoning. However, these approaches often fail to capture contextual events since such events can be decomposed into multiple atomic actions that are non-continuously distributed over relatively long-term sequences (see the top of Fig. 1). The video sequences between adjacent atomic actions tend to be noisy, making it difficult to obtain effective contextual event representations.

This paper considers that the non-continuously distributed atomic actions can be captured by mitigating the effect of noisy video sequences in the spatial rather than the temporal domain. Meanwhile, we can capture more effective contextual event representations with the CLIP model's powerful spatial visual context representation capability. To this end, we propose a top-down video processing approach that converts a long-term video sequence into a single grid image, allowing the pretrained visual encoder of the CLIP to highlight image patches representing both contextual events and atomic action pitches (see the bottom of Fig. 1).



Our method: Top-down approach

Figure 1: **Comparing different video processing approaches.** The top-down approach (ours) can leverage the strong spatial visual context representation capability to obtain effective representations of both contextual events and atomic actions.

We finetune the state-of-the-art multimodal model LLaVA using our proposed top-down video processing approach for VideoQA tasks. As demonstrated by the results on two challenging benchmarks, STAR and NExTQA, our approach achieves competitive performance on the STAR task, in particular, with a 78.4% accuracy score, exceeding the current state-of-the-art score by **2.8** points on the NExTQA task.

2 Related work

Instruction-following large multimodal models (LMMs) include a pretrained visual backbonesuch as CLIP Radford et al. [2021] to encode visual features, a pretrained large language model (LLM) such as LLaMA Touvron et al. [2023] to encode user instruction text and generate responses, and a projection layer used to align language and vision encoders into a common domain space. LLaVA Liu et al. [2023b] is a typical LMM that follows a two-stage protocol. In the first vision-language alignment pretraining state, LLaVA pretrains a simple MLP projection layer to align the visual encoder's output image patches with the LLM's word embedding space. In the second visual instruction tuning stage, the model is tuned to follow the user's diverse instruction text including image content. Compared to LLaVA tuned for image-based VQA tasks, our proposed approach extends it to VideoQA tasks without adding extra training parameters. Recent works inspired by LLaVA (*e.g.*, Video-LLaVA Lin et al. [2023], Video-ChatGPT Maaz et al. [2024]) to align video sequences with the LLM's word embedding to enable the model's temporal reasoning abilities. Even though the short-term temporal action representation can be captured from the input of video sequences, the long-term contextual event from the entire video scene.

3 Method

In this section, we describe our proposed top-down video processing approach and explain the training network for videoQA tasks.

3.1 Top-down video processing

Giving a video V, we sample N^2 frames following below sampling strategies:

- 1. Retrieve the total number of frames M in V based on the video metadata such as the frame rate (fps);
- 2. Split V into N^2 intervals, and sample the middle frame from each interval;

3. Synthesize a grid image with a size of $N \times N$ as the input of the visual encoder in the training network (see Fig. 2). We synthesize grid images with sizes of 3×3 for the STAR dataset and 4×4 for the NExTQA dataset, respectively.

3.2 Training network

We finetune the pretrained LLaVA model for VideoQA tasks. As shown in Fig. 2, we have synthesized gird images, questions, and all answer options as user instruction text. The input of the gird image is first resized to 336×336 , then embedded into 576 image patches with the pretrained CLIP visual encoder. The pretrained CLIP works for the synthesized grid image because the image patch size is small enough to represent the fine-grained visual context. The vision-language projector has been pretrained at the pretraining stage with image-text pairs, and the parameters are included in the pretrained LLaVA checkpoint. We finetune the pretrained projector to output the patch sequences to represent contextual representations of the entire grid image. Meanwhile, the text contents are encoded to output words' embeddings, then concatenated with image patches as the inputs of LLMs. We finetune 3 types of LLMs including Vicuna-7B Zheng et al. [2023], Vicuna-13B, and Hemes-Yi-34B that have already finetuned for VQA tasks, and the pretrained parameters are also included in the pretrained LLaVA checkpoints. To make the model output the option's letter from the given choices directly." into the user instruction text. The training target is to generate the correct option.



Figure 2: Overview of the training network. We add a simple top-down video processor in the LLaVA's architecture to enable the finetuning stage for achieving video question reasoning. We froze the visual encoder during the finetuning process and updated the parameters in the projection layer and LLMs.

We utilize DeepSpeed¹ to boost memory efficiency during the training process. We adopt the ZeRO stage 3 (ZeRO-3) to automatically collect and partition the 16-bit model parameters during the forward and backward passes. It enables us to finetune the 13*B* LLaVA model with $4 \times 40GBA100$ GPUs and the 34*B* LLaVA model with $8 \times 40GBA100$ GPUs.

4 Experiment

4.1 Dataset

We evaluate our model on two challenging VideoQA tasks including STAR Wu et al. [2021] and NExTQA Xiao et al. [2021]. Both are multi-choice videoQA benchmarks featuring causal and temporal questions involving object-level

¹https://github.com/microsoft/DeepSpeed

spatio-temporal reasoning. NExTQA consists of causal, temporal, and descriptive question types, with a total of 5,440 videos with 47,692 questions split into training (34,132), validation (4,996), and test (8,564) sets. STAR consists of four question types for situated reasoning: Interaction, Sequence, Prediction, and Feasibility, with a total of **60K** samples split into training/validation/test sets with a ratio of about 6:1:1.

4.2 Baselines

InternVideo Wang et al. [2022] proposed a unified learning paradigm with both masked and contrastive modeling to establish a feasible and effective spatio-temporal representation. **LLaMA-VQA** Ko et al. [2023] proposed Flipped-VQA model to efficiently fine-tune LLMs on VideoQA by reasoning and understanding the complex relationships of $\langle V, Q, A \rangle$ triplet, using LLMs' prior knowledge of temporal and causal reasoning. **SeViLA** Yu et al. [2023] introduced a localizer module to select top-K video frames and guide an answerer module to focus on important language-related frames and predict answers. **ViLA** Wang et al. [2023b] designed a learnable text-guided Frame-Prompter together with a cross-modal distillation (QFormer-Distiller) module to select keyframes and improve the video-language alignment accuracy. **LRR** Bhattacharyya et al. [2023] trained an LM end-to-end on three low-level surrogate tasks, object detection, re-identification, and tracking, to endow the model with the required low-level visual capabilities.

4.3 Results

The main results compared with recent state-of-the-art (SoTA) scores are shown in Tab. 1. The zero-shot results produced by the original LLaVA model are worse than SoTAs since the origin LLaVA is only pretrained on image-text pairs and lacks temporal reasoning abilities. By finetuning LLaVA with our proposed top-down video processing approach, the model can tune the parameters in the visual projector and LLMs to enable learning temporal reasoning from the synthesized grid image. Our finetuning models finally achieved competitive scores for both benchmarks. In particular, on the NExTQA validation set, our model demonstrates the best score for casual and temporal question reasoning and finally achieves a new SoTA **78.4**% accuracy score.

Model	Language model	Visual model	STAR			NExTQA					
	Zangaage model		Int.	Seq.	Pre.	Fea.	Tot.	Cau.	Tem.	Des.	Tot.
Internvideo Wang et al. [2022]	CLIP Text encoder-1.3B	Vanilla ViT	62.7	65.5	54.9	51.9	58.7	62.5	58.5	75.8	63.2
LLaMA-VQA Ko et al. [2023]	LLaMA-7B	CLIP ViT/L14	66.2	67.9	57.2	52.7	65.4	72.7	69.2	75.8	72.0
SeViLA Yu et al. [2023]	Flan-T5 XL-3B	BLIP-4.1B	63.7	70.4	63.1	62.4	64.9	73.8	67.0	81.1	73.8
ViLA Wang et al. [2023b]	FlanT5 XL-3B	ViT-1B	70.0	70.4	65.9	62.2	67.1	75.3	71.8	82.1	75.6
LRR Bhattacharyya et al. [2023]	OPT-0.4B	Two-stream video encoder	73.7	71.0	71.3	65.1	70.5	-	-	-	-
Zero-shot											
Our approach	Vicuna-13B	CLIP-ViT-L-336px	49.4	49.8	46.3	42.7	48.9	64.8	62.3	68.2	64.5
	Hermes-Yi-34B	CLIP-ViT-L-336px	49.5	50.3	47.0	41.6	49.1	71.7	66.8	75.2	70.6
Finetuning											
Our approach	Vicuna-13B	CLIP-ViT-L-336px	66.9	68.3	59.1	56.3	66.2	75.7	70.8	82.1	75.1
	Hermes-Yi-34B	CLIP-ViT-L-336px	66.2	69.6	60.6	55.5	66.7	80.1	75.6	78.6	78.4

Table 1: Comparison accuracy results (%) with SoTAs on the validation set of STAR and NExT-QA datasets. Based on our proposed top-down video processing approach, the finetuned LLaVA model for the NExT-QA dataset achieves the new SoTA that is **2.8**% higher accuracy than the ViLA model. Here, Int., Seq., Pre., Fea., Cau., Tem., and Des. are abbreviations of Interaction, Sequence, Prediction, Feasibility, Causal, Temporal, and Descriptive question types, respectively. Total is abbreviated as Tot.. *The 34B model for NExTQA is finetuned with the LoRA training strategy.

4.4 Case study

To further investigate the effect of our proposed top-down video processing approach on video scene understanding, we compared zero-shot and finetuning models with three data samples in Fig. 3. We noticed that the zero-shot model works well when the context of different video frames changes significantly. As a result, the model can easily capture temporal actions from changes in context (see Fig. 3(a)). In contrast, the zero-shot model fails the sample in Fig. 3(b), since the model can not reason the high-level event without tuning the model parameters on the synthesized grid images. For example, the zero-shot model can only capture a low-level action "put her arms up", but fails to reason a high-level event "feed horse with grass". On the other hand, as the finetuning model learned the holistic context in the video from the given grid images, it achieved the correct answer. For the sample in Fig. 3(c), both zero-shot and finetuning models fail the question. The reason is that the relevant frames to the target action are not picked up correctly from the actual video. This highlights the importance of extracting text-related context from a long-term video. We also prompt the zero-shot model to explain the answer in Fig. 3. By leveraging the powerful pretrained LLaVA model, the





(a) Q: How did the white dog respond to the brown dog when it attacks from its tail? A: follow it; (B) hopped away; C: wagging; D: ready to run away; E: move his head

(b) Q: what does the girl in white do after bending down in the middle?

A:grab her; (B) feed horse with grass; C: run towards the camera; D: umbrella; E: put her arms up.

Zero-short: B, Finetuning: B Zero-short: E, Finetuning: B

Explanation: In the image, or hopping to the side, away to avoid the attack.

Explanation: The girl in white is seen bending down in the the white dog is seen jumping middle of the image, and after that, she puts her arms up. This action is likely a part of the interaction with the horse, possibly from the brown dog, as it tries to pet it or to show excitement or joy.



(c) Q: Why does the baby turn around near the end of the video?

A: yawning; B) sits down to play; C: to see the toy; D: move towards toy; E: curious

Zero-short: E, Finetuning: E

Explanation: In the last few frames, the baby is seen looking at something off-camera, which suggests that they are intrigued by something happening outside the frame. Babies are naturally curious and tend to explore their environment.

Figure 3: Three cases selected from NExTQA validation set demonstrate the effect of our proposed video processing approach on video scene understanding. Here, we synthesize 4×4 grid images and use them as the input. The explanation comes from the zero-shot model.

zero-shot model can provide a reasonable explanation. However, we noticed that the finetuning model fails to output an explanation since it overfits the target VideoOA task and forgets the pretrained knowledges. We plan to focus on this crucial issue in the next step.

4.5 Ablation study

To further study how the synthesized grid image is effective in drawing temporal reasoning of LLaVA out, we compared different sizes of the synthesized grid image in Tab. 2. The result demonstrates the 3×3 grid image including 9 frames performs better than others. In particular, when we randomly select one frame, the result gets worse since the pretrained LLM can not perform temporal reasoning with a single frame. In contrast, the processed grid image enables the model to capture the top-down visual context in the video scene.

We also compared our proposed top-down video processing approach with its bottom-up counterpart in Tab. 3. Here, top-down video processing aims to capture the context of the entire video, and its bottom-up counterpart focuses on aggregating the frame-level context of the video sequence. By comparing two approaches built on LLaMA-based LLM with the same model size, our approach achieves 82.1% accuracy for the description question and exceeds 6.2% of its bottom-up counterpart. This result demonstrates the efficacy of our approach for the holistic understanding of the video scene.

Model	Int.	Seq.	Pre.	Fea.	Tot.
Zero-shot(13B)-1-frame	46.8	43.6	38.5	43.1	44.2
Zero-shot(13B)-16-frames	47.8	45.9	45.2	41.4	46.2
Zero-shot(13B)-9-frames	49.4	49.8	46.3	42.7	48.9

Table 2: The zero-shot evaluation results on the STAR dataset demonstrate the efficacy of the proposed top-down video processing. Here, "Zero-shot(13B)-{xx}-frames" denotes the number of video frames included in the synthesized grid image.

Model	Cau.	Tem.	Des.	Tot.
Bottom-up-13B-LLaMA	75.3	71.7	75.9	74.2
Top-down-13B-LLaMA	75.7	70.8	82.1	75.1

Table 3: Comparison of different video processing strategies.

4.6 Limitation

Even though recent multimodal models demonstrate powerful visual reasoning abilities, they fail to detect objects correctly and result in incorrect video context reasoning. In addition, the finetuned model tends to forget pretrained knowledge, which can worsen the robustness of the model in real-world applications.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we proposed a top-down video processing approach that converts a long-term video sequence into a single grid image, allowing the pretrained visual encoder of the CLIP to highlight image patches representing both contextual events and atomic action pitches. By finetuning a multimodal model LLaVA on the synthesized grid images, we achieved competitive performance on the STAR task, in particular, with a 78.4% accuracy score, exceeding the current state-of-the-art score by **2.8** points on the NExTQA task. In future work, we are working on how to deal with how to capture low-level video representations to boost object recognition and tracking tasks.

References

- Stanislaw Antol, Aishwarya Agrawal, Jiasen Lu, Margaret Mitchell, Dhruv Batra, C. Lawrence Zitnick, and Devi Parikh. VQA: Visual Question Answering. In *ICCV*, 2015.
- Yanan Wang, Michihiro Yasunaga, Hongyu Ren, Shinya Wada, and Jure Leskovec. Vqa-gnn: Reasoning with multimodal knowledge via graph neural networks for visual question answering. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, pages 21582–21592, October 2023a.
- Akira Fukui, Dong Huk Park, Daylen Yang, Anna Rohrbach, Trevor Darrell, and Marcus Rohrbach. Multimodal compact bilinear pooling for visual question answering and visual grounding. In *EMNLP*, 2016.
- Hedi Ben-Younes, Rémi Cadene, Matthieu Cord, and Nicolas Thome. Mutan: Multimodal tucker fusion for visual question answering. In *ICCV*, 2017.
- Zelun Luo, Wanze Xie, Siddharth Kapoor, Yiyun Liang, Michael Cooper, Juan Carlos Niebles, Ehsan Adeli, and Fei-Fei Li. Moma: Multi-object multi-actor activity parsing. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 34: 17939–17955, 2021.
- Zelun Luo, Zane Durante, Linden Li, Wanze Xie, Ruochen Liu, Emily Jin, Zhuoyi Huang, Lun Yu Li, Jiajun Wu, Juan Carlos Niebles, Ehsan Adeli, and Li Fei-Fei. MOMA-LRG: Language-refined graphs for multi-object multi-actor activity parsing. In *Thirty-sixth Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems Datasets and Benchmarks Track*, 2022. URL https://openreview.net/forum?id=eJhc_CPXQIT.
- Josh Achiam, Steven Adler, Sandhini Agarwal, Lama Ahmad, Ilge Akkaya, Florencia Leoni Aleman, Diogo Almeida, Janko Altenschmidt, Sam Altman, Shyamal Anadkat, et al. Gpt-4 technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.08774*, 2023.
- Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, et al. Llama: Open and efficient foundation language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.13971*, 2023.
- Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Qingyang Wu, and Yong Jae Lee. Visual instruction tuning. In NeurIPS, 2023a.
- Dohwan Ko, Ji Soo Lee, Wooyoung Kang, Byungseok Roh, and Hyunwoo J Kim. Large language models are temporal and causal reasoners for video question answering. In *EMNLP*, 2023.
- Bin Lin, Bin Zhu, Yang Ye, Munan Ning, Peng Jin, and Li Yuan. Video-Ilava: Learning united visual representation by alignment before projection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.10122*, 2023.
- Haotian Liu, Chunyuan Li, Yuheng Li, and Yong Jae Lee. Improved baselines with visual instruction tuning, 2023b.

- Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021.
- Muhammad Maaz, Hanoona Rasheed, Salman Khan, and Fahad Shahbaz Khan. Video-chatgpt: Towards detailed video understanding via large vision and language models. In *Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL 2024)*, 2024.
- Lianmin Zheng, Wei-Lin Chiang, Ying Sheng, Siyuan Zhuang, Zhanghao Wu, Yonghao Zhuang, Zi Lin, Zhuohan Li, Dacheng Li, Eric. P Xing, Hao Zhang, Joseph E. Gonzalez, and Ion Stoica. Judging llm-as-a-judge with mt-bench and chatbot arena, 2023.
- Bo Wu, Shoubin Yu, Zhenfang Chen, Joshua B Tenenbaum, and Chuang Gan. Star: A benchmark for situated reasoning in real-world videos. In *Thirty-fifth Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, 2021.
- Junbin Xiao, Xindi Shang, Angela Yao, and Tat-Seng Chua. Next-qa: Next phase of question-answering to explaining temporal actions. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 9777–9786, June 2021.
- Yi Wang, Kunchang Li, Yizhuo Li, Yinan He, Bingkun Huang, Zhiyu Zhao, Hongjie Zhang, Jilan Xu, Yi Liu, Zun Wang, et al. Internvideo: General video foundation models via generative and discriminative learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2212.03191*, 2022.
- Shoubin Yu, Jaemin Cho, Prateek Yadav, and Mohit Bansal. Self-chained image-language model for video localization and question answering. In *NeurIPS*, 2023.
- Xijun Wang, Junbang Liang, Chun-Kai Wang, Kenan Deng, Yu Lou, Ming Lin, and Shan Yang. Vlap: Efficient video-language alignment via frame prompting and distilling for video question answering. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.08367*, 2023b.
- Apratim Bhattacharyya, Sunny Panchal, Mingu Lee, Reza Pourreza, Pulkit Madan, and Roland Memisevic. Look, remember and reason: Visual reasoning with grounded rationales. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.17778*, 2023.