

# Type II Actions from 11-Dimensional Chern-Simons Theories

Dmitriy M. Belov<sup>a</sup> and Gregory W. Moore<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *The Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College London  
Prince Consort Road, London SW7 2AZ, UK*

<sup>b</sup> *Department of Physics, Rutgers University  
136 Frelinghuysen Road, Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA*

January 5, 2014

## Abstract

This paper continues the discussion of hep-th/0605038, applying the holographic formulation of self-dual theory to the Ramond-Ramond fields of type II supergravity. We formulate the RR partition function, in the presence of nontrivial H-fields, in terms of the wavefunction of an 11-dimensional Chern-Simons theory. Using the methods of hep-th/0605038 we show how to formulate an action principle for the RR fields of both type IIA and type IIB supergravity, in the presence of RR current. We find a new topological restriction on consistent backgrounds of type IIA supergravity, namely the fourth Wu class must have a lift to the  $H$ -twisted cohomology.

November 2, 2006

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Self-duality constraints on RR fieldstrengths</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Formulating the partition function for topologically trivial fields</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Fieldspace and gauge transformations</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Defining Chern-Simons terms in 11 dimensions</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Quantization of “spin” Chern-Simons theory</b>	<b>25</b>
6.1	Construction of the cocycle via a Chern-Simons term . . . . .	26
6.2	Quantum Gauss law . . . . .	28
<b>7</b>	<b>Construction of the partition function</b>	<b>29</b>
7.1	Choice of polarization . . . . .	30
7.2	Partition function . . . . .	31
<b>8</b>	<b><i>B</i>-field dependence</b>	<b>35</b>
8.1	Type IIA partition function . . . . .	36
<b>9</b>	<b>Action and equations of motion</b>	<b>39</b>
9.1	Classical action . . . . .	39
9.2	Examples . . . . .	43
9.2.1	RR fields of type IIA: Example 1 . . . . .	43
9.2.2	RR fields of type IIA: Example 2 . . . . .	45
9.2.3	RR fields of type IIB: Example 1 . . . . .	47
9.2.4	RR fields of type IIB: Example 2 . . . . .	48
<b>10</b>	<b>Dependence on metric</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>Conclusion: Future Directions</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Twisted cohomology</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Determining the metric-dependent factor</b>	<b>54</b>

# 1 Introduction

Type II supergravity contains differential form fields whose underlying mathematics has only been properly elucidated in the past few years. The action principle for these fields includes standard kinetic terms together with interesting “Chern-Simons” terms. As with the analogous expression in M-theory, simply formulating these terms precisely, in the presence of general background fluxes and arbitrary topology, is a somewhat subtle problem. While many partial results exist in the literature, we believe that — amazingly — a complete presentation of the action for the Ramond-Ramond fields of type II supergravity, even at the 2-derivative level, has not appeared previously. The goal of the present paper is to fill this gap.

The key to formulating the action for type II RR fields is to understand that the RR field is a self-dual field quantized by K-theory, and most naturally formulated in terms of differential K-theory. This viewpoint has gradually emerged over the past few years, and is explained in [1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8]. The foundational work of Hopkins and Singer on differential K-theory can be found in [9]. Pedagogical accounts can be found in the above references (see, for example, [7]). In a previous paper we have shown how Witten’s approach to the formulation of a self-dual field using Chern-Simons theory leads to a rather simple formulation of an action-principle [10]. The present paper applies the methods of [10] to the case of RR fields. It follows the treatment of [10] very closely: indeed some sections of the present text were written simply by copy and paste followed by some small modifications needed to upgrade our previous treatment to the case of RR fields. We adopted this approach to keep the paper somewhat self-contained.

One of the key points about a self-dual theory is that there is no single preferred action. Rather, there is a family of actions, parameterized — roughly speaking — by a choice of Lagrangian decomposition of fieldspace. The general form of the action we find is given in Theorem 9.4, equation (9.15a). Since our notation is rather heavy let us state this central result somewhat informally. The total RR field  $G$  is a trivialization of the RR current  $j$ :

$$d_H G = j \tag{1.1}$$

where  $d_H := d - H$  is the twisted differential, and  $H$  is the fieldstrength of the NS  $B$ -field. The difference of two trivializations of the RR current is a  $d_H$ -closed form obeying some quantization condition. Let us fix a trivialization  $G_s$  depending in some definite way on the source  $j$ . Then the general RR field is  $G = R + G_s$  where  $d_H R = 0$ . Our choice of “Lagrangian decomposition” constrains  $R$  to lie in a certain Lagrangian subspace (called  $V_1$ , below) of fieldspace. In addition a choice of Lagrangian subspace (called  $V_2$  below) also gives a symplectic decomposition of the total

field  $G = G^{\text{el}} + G^{\text{mag}}$ .<sup>1</sup> Then the Lorentz-signature action is

$$S = -\pi \int G^{\text{el}} * G^{\text{el}} + \pi \int G^{\text{mag}} G^{\text{el}} - \pi \int G G_s - \pi \int G_s^{\text{mag}} G_s^{\text{el}} \quad (1.2)$$

Let us stress that  $G$  is *not* self-dual. Rather, it emerges that when varying the action (1.2) the equations of motion only depend on the self-dual projection of it  $\mathcal{F}^+(G) = G^{\text{el}} + *G^{\text{el}}$ . In general it is a cardinal sin to include both electric and magnetic degrees of freedom in a local action. Our formulation avoids that fate because  $R$  is constrained to lie in a Lagrangian subspace.

In the type IIA theory, at large volume and weak coupling, there is a distinguished subset of Lagrangian decompositions leading to a form of the IIA action resembling that usually presented in the literature. This is the action in (9.21) below. In the type IIB theory there is no canonical choice of Lagrangian decomposition for general spacetimes, even at large volume. This is the reason people have found it difficult to write an action principle for IIB supergravity. However, if spacetime has a product structure and we are working at large distance and weak coupling then there is a class of natural Lagrangian decompositions, and the corresponding actions are written in (9.36) and (9.42).

The reliance of the formalism on Lagrangian decompositions is related to the famous difficulties in formulating a manifestly Lorentz-invariant action [11]. In general, a choice of Lagrangian subspace will break Lorentz symmetry. However a Lorentz transformation maps one Lagrangian decomposition to another and since all such decompositions are equivalent we may conclude that the theory is Lorentz covariant. In this sense, our formalism is Lorentz covariant in flat space.

One may well ask why one should take so much trouble to write an action when the equations of motion are well known. While on-shell quantities suffice for many questions, one might wish to go off-shell, for example, to compute semiclassical tunnelling effects. We have in mind as an example computing transition amplitudes between flux vacua. Moreover, including higher-order interactions and the computation of quantum corrections to the leading effects are — at the very least — most conveniently formulated in terms of an action principle.

The remainder of this introduction provides a detailed guide to the paper.

In section 2 we present a formalism useful for handling the total self-dual field. For a 10-dimensional spacetime  $X$  we introduce a space  $\Omega(X, \mathcal{R})^j$  of forms which are of even (odd) degree for  $j = 0(1) \pmod{2}$ . This is the home of the total  $RR$  field. The space  $\Omega(X, \mathcal{R})^j$  is a symplectic vector space with symplectic form  $\omega_j$ . Moreover, a metric on spacetime induces a metric on  $\Omega(X, \mathcal{R})^j$  compatible with  $\omega_j$ , and defining an involution (for Lorentzian signature) or a complex

---

<sup>1</sup>This decomposition should not be confused with the decomposition  $F = B + dt \wedge E$  in Maxwell theory. Here because of self-duality,  $G^{\text{mag}}$  are dependent degrees of freedom, and should be regarded as functions of  $G^{\text{elec}}$  in a manner specified by the Lagrangian subspaces  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ .

structure (for Euclidean signature) on  $\Omega(X, \mathcal{R})^j$ . These structures are used to formulate the self-duality equations. The symplectic geometry of  $\Omega(X, \mathcal{R})^j$  is fundamental to the construction of the action. The equations of motion of supergravity are succinctly formulated in terms of a single self-dual fieldstrength  $\mathcal{F}^+$ .

In section 3, which closely parallels [10], we explain the relation of the RR partition function to the Chern-Simons wavefunction of an 11-dimensional Chern-Simons theory. This section restricts attention to topologically trivial fields in order to explain things in the simplest case. There are two Chern-Simons theories — one for type IIA and one for type IIB supergravity.

In section 4 we recall some basic properties of differential  $K$ -theory, so that we can extend the discussion of section 3 to the topologically nontrivial case. A new element, compared to [10] is the possibility of twisting by a  $B$ -field. In particular, we will often work with  $H$ -twisted cohomology. As above,  $H$  is the fieldstrength of the  $B$ -field and it is used to form the twisted differential  $d_H : \Omega(X, \mathcal{R})^j \rightarrow \Omega(X, \mathcal{R})^{j+1}$ . We review some relevant background material on twisted Chern characters. In section 5 we briefly indicate the relation of our discussion to the work of Hopkins and Singer [9].

The 11-dimensional Chern-Simons theory is a “spin” Chern-Simons theory. It requires extra structure to define the Chern-Simons invariant. That extra structure is a quadratic refinement of a certain bilinear form. In section 6 we give a discussion of the quantization of this “spin” Chern-Simons theory, emphasizing the formulation of the Gauss law for gauge invariant wavefunctions as the key to the quantization. This leads us, in section 7, to formulate the Chern-Simons wavefunction as a certain theta function (in infinite dimensions), which can be written as a path integral over the RR fields. This key result is given in Theorems 7.1 and 7.2. Sections 6 and 7 follow closely the analogous treatment in [10]. One important improvement we have made is a better understanding of the basepoint dependence of the theta functions in the case where the background current is nonzero. See remark 7.2.

Section 8 addresses new complications related to  $B$ -field dependence. We show how our formalism allows us to incorporate the  $B$ -field equations of motion. This section resolves a problem which seems to have been overlooked previously, namely, that the famous one-loop term  $\exp[2\pi i \int BX_8]$  of IIA supergravity is not really well-defined. We show how the ambiguity in its definition is cancelled by a compensating ambiguity in the RR partition function. Moreover, this improved understanding leads to a new topological consistency condition for IIA string theory. That consistency condition states that the fourth Wu class  $\nu_4$  must have a lift to  $H$ -twisted cohomology of the form  $(\lambda + 2\rho) + \dots$  where the ellipsis denotes higher degree terms and  $\rho$  denotes a closed 4-form with integral periods. In other words, we claim that consistent string backgrounds must

satisfy

$$[H \wedge (\lambda + 2\rho)]_{\text{de Rham}} = 0 \tag{1.3}$$

together with some conditions involving Massey products.

In section 9 we combine our formalism for RR fields with the general discussion of [10] to write an extremely compact formula for the full RR action:

$$S(R) = \pi\omega_j(R, \mathcal{F}^+(R)). \tag{1.4}$$

Here  $\omega_j$  is the symplectic form on  $\Omega(X, \mathcal{R})^j$  and  $R$  is the total RR field. It is the fieldstrength of a differential  $K$ -theory class, and hence its “periods” (more precisely, its  $H$ -twisted cohomology class) is quantized by twisted  $K$ -theory.  $\mathcal{F}^+(R)$  is the self-dual projection of  $R$  (9.7). Its “periods” depend on the metric.

The action (1.4) depends on two important choices. First, one must choose a Lagrangian subspace  $V_2 \subset \Omega(X, \mathcal{R})^j$ . This projects to a Lagrangian lattice  $\Gamma_2$  in the space of  $H$ -twisted harmonic forms. The second choice is a Lagrangian subspace  $\Gamma_1$  in the space of  $H$ -twisted harmonic forms complementary to  $\Gamma_2$ . Such a subspace canonically determines a Lagrangian space  $V_1$  of  $d_H$ -closed forms. A crucial part of our formulation of the RR field is that  $R$  must take values in  $V_1$ . One pitfall should be avoided:  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  do not form a Lagrangian decomposition of  $\Omega(X, \mathcal{R})^j$ : Their sum is not the whole space and they have a nontrivial intersection  $V_1 \cap V_2 \neq \{0\}$ .

Our action is easily extended to include the presence of RR current, including a background current  $\tilde{\mu}$  induced by the topology of spacetime (and the choice of Lagrangian spaces  $V_1, V_2$ ). The action in the presence of RR currents is given by Theorem 9.4. The shift  $R \rightarrow R - \sigma_\bullet$  that appears in that theorem accounts for the  $\frac{1}{2}$ -integer shifts in the quantization of RR fields.

In section 9.2 we spell out our action for IIA and IIB supergravity using some natural Lagrangian decompositions. In order to stress the point that the action depends on the choice of Lagrangian subspaces of fieldspace we illustrate two natural decompositions on product spacetimes. We hope that these expressions will be understandable even to people who do not have the patience to master our formalism. Section 10 on the metric dependence and stress energy tensor closely follows the discussion in [10]. Section 11 concludes by mentioning some directions for further research.

Appendix A records some nontrivial examples of twisted cohomology on spaces related to twisted tori. In appendix B we comment on the one-loop determinants for the RR field. These follow, in our treatment, from normalizing the Chern-Simons wavefunction. Finding an expression in terms of determinants is considerably more involved than in the previous case [10] because the differential  $d_H$  has inhomogeneous degree.

To conclude, we comment on some related literature. Some aspects of our discussion resemble the “democratic formulation” of [12]. What our treatment adds is a careful discussion of how higher degree forms depend on lower ones (in the relevant Lagrangian decomposition) and a framework in which topologically nontrivial fieldstrengths can be included. Our discussion also has overlap with previous discussions of the twisted  $K$ -theory partition function [13, 6] but these previous discussions were incomplete. Our formalism also nicely resolves some puzzles raised by de Alwis [14]. (de Alwis has also arrived at a resolution of the same difficulties within his own formalism.)

## 2 Self-duality constraints on RR field strengths

In this section we introduce some formalism which will be used throughout the paper which is useful for handling the full set of RR fields and clarifies the self-duality of the total RR field. We will show that the entire set of supergravity equations depends on the RR field only through its self-dual combination.

**Symplectic structure.** Let  $X$  be a 10-dimensional oriented manifold. We will be working with differential forms of even or odd degree on  $X$ . To keep track of the differential form degree it is convenient to introduce a formal variable  $u$  of degree 2. We will write even and odd forms as a series in  $u^{-1}$ :

$$w = w_0 + u^{-1}w_2 + \cdots + u^{-5}w_{10} \quad \text{or} \quad w = u^{-1}w_1 + u^{-2}w_3 + \cdots + u^{-5}w_9 \quad (2.1)$$

where  $w_p$  is a  $p$ -form. The total degree of  $w$  (degree of differential form + degree of  $u$ ) is 0 for the first series and  $-1$  for the second. So we introduce a graded ring  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{R}[u, u^{-1}]$  and denote by  $\Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^j$  the space of all differential forms of *total* degree  $j$ . In section 4 we will see that the graded ring  $\mathcal{R}$  naturally appears in differential  $K$ -theory ( $u^{-1}$  is the generator of  $K^{-2}(pt) = \mathbb{Z}[u^{-1}]$  and is called the Bott element). The space of forms  $\Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^j$  of *total* degree  $j$  is a symplectic vector space with symplectic form

$$\omega_j = \frac{1}{2} \int_X \delta w \wedge \phi_j(\delta w) \quad (2.2)$$

where for a 10-manifold  $X$   $\phi_j$  is a map  $\phi_j : \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^j \rightarrow \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^{10-2j}$  and

$$\phi_j(w) := (-1)^{j(j-1)/2} u^{5-j} (w|_{u \rightarrow -u}). \quad (2.3)$$

The integral is assumed to pick up the coefficient of  $u^0$ . The factor  $(-1)^{j(j-1)/2}$  is included to ensure that the symplectic form  $\omega_j$  has the property  $\omega_j(d\lambda, w) = \omega_{j+1}(u\lambda, dw)$ . For example,

$$\omega_0(v, w) = \int_X (-v_0 w_{10} + v_2 w_8 - v_4 w_6 + v_6 w_4 - v_8 w_2 + v_{10} w_0); \quad (2.4a)$$

$$\omega_{-1}(v, w) = \int_X (v_1 w_9 - v_3 w_7 + v_5 w_5 - v_7 w_3 + v_9 w_1). \quad (2.4b)$$

Note the signs. They guarantee that the symplectic form  $\omega_j$  is invariant under the  $b$ -transform:

$$\omega_j(e^{u^{-1}b} v, e^{u^{-1}b} w) = \omega_j(v, w) \quad (2.5)$$

where  $b \in \Omega^2(X)$ . So the  $b$ -transform is a symplectomorphism.



**Self-duality of the Ramond-Ramond field.** We denote by  $(M, g)$  a 10-dimensional oriented Lorentzian manifold. A Lorentzian metric  $g$  on  $M$  together with the string scale  $\ell_s$  define an indefinite metric  $g_j$  on the vector space  $\Omega(M; \mathcal{R})^j$ :

$$g_j(v, w) := \int_X v \wedge \check{i}(w) \quad \text{where} \quad \check{i}(w) := (*_{\ell_s^{-2}g} w)|_{u \rightarrow u^{-1}}. \quad (2.6)$$

Here  $\check{i} : \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^j \rightarrow \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^{10-j}$  is the Hodge star operation for the rescaled metric  $\ell_s^{-2}g$  followed by the substitution  $u \rightarrow u^{-1}$ . In our conventions all forms are dimensionless. Therefore the Hodge star operator for  $g$  is a dimensionfull operation: the dimension of  $*w_p$  is  $(\text{length})^{10-2p}$ . But the Hodge star operator for the rescaled metric  $\ell_s^{-2}g$  is dimensionless — that's why it is used in (2.6). In components the metric (2.6) has the following very simple form

$$g_0(v, w) = \int_X [\ell_s^{-10} v_0 \wedge *w_0 + \ell_s^{-6} v_2 \wedge *w_2 + \cdots + \ell_s^{10} v_{10} \wedge *w_{10}]; \quad (2.7a)$$

$$g_{-1}(v, w) = \int_X [\ell_s^{-8} v_1 \wedge *w_1 + \ell_s^{-4} v_3 \wedge *w_3 + \cdots + \ell_s^8 v_9 \wedge *w_9]. \quad (2.7b)$$

The indefinite metric together with the symplectic form define an involution  $I : \Omega(M; \mathcal{R})^j \rightarrow \Omega(M; \mathcal{R})^j$  by

$$g_j(v, w) = \omega_j(I(v), w) \quad \Rightarrow \quad I(v) := -(\phi_j^{-1} \circ \check{i})(v). \quad (2.8)$$

For  $j = 0$  and  $j = -1$  the involution is

$$I_0(w) = \sum_{p=0}^5 u^{-p} (-1)^{p+1} \ell_s^{10-4p} * w_{10-2p} \quad \text{and} \quad I_{-1}(w) = \sum_{p=1}^5 u^{-p} (-1)^p \ell_s^{12-4p} * w_{11-2p}. \quad (2.9)$$

Using this involution we can decompose the vector space  $\Omega(M; \mathcal{R})^j$  into a sum of eigenspaces corresponding to  $I = +1$  and  $I = -1$ . We will refer to the forms  $\{\mathcal{F}^+\}$  which satisfy the equation  $I(\mathcal{F}^+) = \mathcal{F}^+$  as to the *self-dual* forms <sup>2</sup>.

The main observation is that *all* the equations of type II supergravity can be rewritten using

---

<sup>2</sup>Another way of defining an involution  $I$  and self-duality condition  $I\mathcal{F}^+ = \mathcal{F}^+$  is as follows. The volume element on a Lorentzian  $(4k+2)$ -dimensional manifold defines the involution in the Clifford algebra  $\bar{\Gamma} = c(\text{vol}(g))$ , where  $c(\omega)$  denotes Clifford multiplication by a form  $\omega$ . Now for  $w \in \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^j$  we define involution  $I$  by

$$c(Iw) := \bar{\Gamma}c(w).$$

Using the relation  $\bar{\Gamma}c(w_p) = (-1)^{p(p+1)/2} c(*w_p)$  one can show that the involution defined by  $\bar{\Gamma}$  yields exactly  $I_0$  and  $I_{-1}$  written in (2.9).

only the self-dual form  $\mathcal{F}^+$ :

$$\text{Bianchi id + RR eom: } (d - u^{-1}H)\mathcal{F}^+ = 0; \quad (2.10a)$$

$$\text{eom for } H\text{-flux: } \ell_s^{-4}d(e^{-2\phi} * H) = -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \mathcal{F}^+ \wedge \phi_j(\mathcal{F}^+) \right]_{\text{coef. of } u^1} + \text{Gravitational correction}; \quad (2.10b)$$

$$\text{stress-energy tensor: } \delta g^{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu} \text{ vol}(g) = \left[ \xi_g \mathcal{F}^+ \wedge \check{i}(\mathcal{F}^+) \right]_{\text{coef. of } u^0} \quad (2.10c)$$

where  $\xi_g = (\delta g^{-1}g)^\mu{}_\nu dx^\nu \wedge i(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu})$  (for the properties of this operator see section 10). The following examples illustrate this observation:

For type IIA the self-dual field is

$$\mathcal{F}^+ = R_0 + u^{-1}R_2 + u^{-2}R_4 + \ell_s^{-2}u^{-3} * R_4 - \ell_s^{-6}u^{-4} * R_2 + \ell_s^{-10}u^{-5} * R_0. \quad (2.11)$$

The equation  $d_H\mathcal{F}^+ = 0$  yields Bianchi identities together with equations of motion

$$dR_0 = 0, \quad dR_2 = HR_0, \quad dR_4 = HR_2, \quad \ell_s^{-2}d * R_4 = HR_4, \quad \ell_s^{-4}d * R_2 = -H \wedge *R_2.$$

The equation (2.10b) is

$$\ell_s^{-4}d(e^{-2\phi} * H) = \ell_s^{-6}R_0 \wedge *R_2 + \ell_s^{-2}R_2 \wedge *R_4 - \frac{1}{2}R_4 \wedge R_4 + X_8(g)$$

where  $X_8(g) = \frac{1}{48}(p_2 - \lambda^2)$  and  $\lambda = -\frac{p_1}{2}$  and  $p_i$  are differential forms representing the Pontrjagin class constructed from traces of the curvature. The stress-energy tensor is given in (10.6).

For type IIB the self-dual field is

$$\mathcal{F}^+ = u^{-1}R_1 + u^{-2}R_3 + u^{-3}\mathcal{F}_5^+ + \ell_s^{-4}u^{-4} * R_3 - \ell_s^{-8}u^{-5} * R_1 \quad (2.12)$$

where  $*\mathcal{F}_5^+ = -\mathcal{F}_5^+$ . The equation  $d_H\mathcal{F}^+ = 0$  yields Bianchi identities together with equations of motion

$$dR_1 = 0, \quad dR_3 = HR_1, \quad d\mathcal{F}_5^+ = HR_3, \quad \ell_s^{-4}d * R_3 = H\mathcal{F}_5^+, \quad \ell_s^{-4}d * R_1 = -H \wedge *R_3.$$

The equation (2.10b) is

$$\ell_s^{-4}d(e^{-2\phi} * H) = \ell_s^{-4}R_1 \wedge *R_3 - R_3 \wedge \mathcal{F}_5^+.$$

The stress-energy tensor is given in (10.7).

Note that (2.11) and (2.12) are just particular parameterizations of the self-dual field: the

self-dual field can always be written in the form  $\mathcal{F}^+ = F + IF$  for  $F \in \Omega(M; \mathcal{R})^j$  — however  $F$  is not unique.

**Complex structure.** Our quantization of Chern-Simons will be in Euclidean signature. So in the next five sections we will be working on a compact Riemannian manifold  $(X, g_E)$ . Similarly to (2.6), the Riemannian metric  $g_E$  on  $X$  define a Riemannian metric  $g_j$  on the vector space  $\Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^j$ .

A complex structure  $J : \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^j \rightarrow \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^j$  compatible with the metric and symplectic form is defined by

$$g_j(v, w) = \omega_j(J(v), w) \quad \Rightarrow \quad J(v) := -(\phi_j^{-1} \circ \check{i})(v). \quad (2.13)$$

In components  $J$  is given by the expression similar to (2.9).

### 3 Formulating the partition function for topologically trivial fields

Before describing the general case, we first explain our strategy in the case where all the RR fields are topologically trivial.

In [15] Witten argued that the partition function for a self-dual field on a Riemannian  $(4k+2)$ -dimensional manifold, as a function of external currents, can be obtained from a certain abelian Chern-Simons theory in one dimension higher (see also section 2 of [10] for more details). The main result of this section is that the partition function of Ramond-Ramond fields of type IIA/IIB supergravity can be obtained from a certain abelian Chern-Simons theory in 11-dimensions. There are two natural Chern-Simons theories in 11 dimensions: one of them — CSA — yields the partition function for type IIA, and another — CSB — for type IIB. These Chern-Simons theories depend on different gauge fields:

	CSA	CSB
gauge field	$A \in \Omega^{\text{ev}}(X)$	$A \in \Omega^{\text{odd}}(X)$

For topologically trivial gauge fields the Chern-Simons functional is given by

$$e^{2\pi i \text{CSK}^{j+1}(A)} = \exp \left[ 2\pi i \frac{1}{2} \int_Y uA \wedge \phi_{j+1}(d_H A) \right] \quad (3.1)$$

where  $j = 0$  for IIA and  $j = -1$  for IIB, and  $d_H = d - u^{-1}H$  is the twisted differential. (The NS  $H$ -field is extended from  $X$  to  $Y$ .)

In this section we start from the Euclidean action principal in which forms of *all* degrees appear (even degree for type IIA and odd degree for type IIB). This is known as a “democratic” formulation of supergravity [12]. Next we couple the RR fields to the external RR current in such a way that only the imaginary anti self-dual part of the Ramond-Ramond form is coupled to the current. We will then show that the partition function as a function of this external current is a section of the Chern-Simons line bundle.

**“Democratic” formulation.** The space of Ramond-Ramond fields is fibered over the space of  $B$ -fields. We will describe this structure in detail in sections 4 and 8. In this paragraph we only need  $H \in \Omega_{\mathbb{Z}}^3(X)$  — the curvature of the  $B$ -field. Topologically trivial Ramond-Ramond fields are described by a gauge potential  $C \in \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^{j-1}$  with curvature  $d_H C \in \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H}^j$  where

$d_H = d - u^{-1}H$ . Following [15] we start from the following action functional for the  $C$ -field<sup>3</sup>

$$S(C) = \frac{\pi}{2} \int_X d_H C \wedge \check{i}(d_H C)$$

where  $\check{i}$  is defined in (2.6). We now introduce a topologically trivial  $U(1)$  external gauge field  $A \in \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^j$  with gauge transformation law  $\delta A = d_H \lambda$  and  $\delta C = \lambda$  for  $\lambda \in \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^{j-1}$ , so that the field  $C$  has charge one under this  $U(1)$ . Consider the Lagrangian

$$e^{-S(C,A)} = \exp \left[ -\frac{\pi}{2} g_j(d_H C - A, d_H C - A) - i\pi\omega_j(d_H C, A) \right] \quad (3.2)$$

where  $g_j$  and  $\omega_j$  are the Riemannian metric (2.6) and symplectic form (2.2). To understand the effect of the topological interaction it is useful to rewrite this action in complex coordinates. Using the complex structure  $J$  defined in (2.13) we can rewrite  $R = d_H C$  as  $R = R^+ + R^-$  and  $A = A^+ + A^-$  where

$$R^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(R \mp iJ \cdot R) \quad (3.3)$$

and similarly for  $A^\pm$ . Here  $A$  and  $C$  are real forms and thus  $(A^-)^* = A^+$  and  $(R^-)^* = R^+$ . In this notation we obtain

$$e^{-S(C,A^+,A^-)} := \exp \left[ i\pi\omega_j(R^-, R^+) + i\pi\omega_j(A^-, A^+) + 2\pi i\omega_j(A^+, R^-) \right]. \quad (3.4)$$

Once we obtain this expression we can treat  $A^+$  and  $A^-$  as *independent* complex variables while keeping  $R$  real.

It follows that the holomorphic dependence of the partition function

$$\mathcal{Z}(A^+, A^-) = \int_{\text{top. trivial}} \mathcal{D}C e^{-S(C,A^+,A^-)} \quad (3.5)$$

on  $A^+$  represents the coupling to the anti-self-dual degree of freedom. The covariant derivatives are

$$D^- = \delta^- - i\pi\omega_j(\delta A^-, A^+) \quad \text{and} \quad D^+ = \delta^+ - i\pi\omega_j(\delta A^+, A^-) \quad (3.6)$$

where  $\delta^\pm$  denotes the usual differential with respect to  $A^\pm$ . The partition function obeys the holomorphic equation

$$D^- \mathcal{Z}(A) = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

This easily follows since the action (3.4) satisfies this equation. Since  $[D^-, D^-] = 0$  the connection (3.6) defines a holomorphic line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  over the space of *complexified* gauge fields  $\{A^+\}$ .

---

<sup>3</sup>This functional is the bosonic part of pseudo-action [12], known also as “democratic” formulation of supergravity.

The partition function is a holomorphic section of  $\mathcal{L}$ . The fact that the partition function is not a function but a section of a line bundle is related to the fact that the action (3.2) is not gauge invariant. If  $X$  is a closed manifold then under small gauge transformation  $\delta C = \lambda$ ,  $\delta A = d_H \lambda$  it transforms as

$$\delta S = i\pi \int_X u\lambda \wedge \phi_{j+1}(d_H A) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{Z}(A + d_H \lambda) = \mathcal{Z}(A) e^{-i\pi\omega_{j+1}(u\lambda, d_H A)}. \quad (3.8)$$

Thus the partition function on the real slice  $A^- = (A^+)^*$  obeys the non standard gauge-invariance:

$$[D_{d_H \lambda} + 2\pi i \omega_{j+1}(u\lambda, d_H A)] \mathcal{Z}(A) = 0 \quad (3.9)$$

where  $D = \delta - i\pi\omega_j(\delta A, A)$  with  $\delta$  being the differential on the space of gauge fields, and  $D_{d_H \lambda}$  denotes the covariant derivative  $D$  evaluated on the vector field  $d_H \lambda$ . The connection  $D$  has a nonzero curvature

$$D^2 = 2\pi i \omega_j. \quad (3.10)$$

Equation (3.9) represents the quantum equation of motion for the self-dual field. Indeed, substituting (3.4) and  $D = D^+ + D^-$  one finds

$$d_H \langle R^- - A^- \rangle + d_H A = 0$$

where  $\langle \dots \rangle$  denotes a normalized correlation function for the functional integral (3.5).

**Complexification of the gauge group.** The fact that the partition function is a *holomorphic* section of  $\mathcal{L}$  allows us to complexify the gauge group. Recall that originally the partition function  $\mathcal{Z}(A)$  was a function of a real gauge field  $A$ . By writing  $A = A^+ + A^-$  we realized that it depends holomorphically on the complex field  $A^+$ . This means that  $A^+$  and  $A^-$  can be considered as independent complex variables so  $(A^-)^* \neq A^+$ . This in turn allows us to complexify the gauge group. Originally, the gauge transformations were given by a real form  $\lambda \in \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^{j-1}$ :  $A \mapsto A + d_H \lambda$ . Complexification of the gauge group means that now we have two complex gauge parameters  $\lambda^+$  and  $\lambda^-$ , and gauge transformations

$$A^+ \mapsto A^+ + \frac{1}{2}(d_H \lambda^+ - iJ \cdot (d_H \lambda^+)) \quad \text{and} \quad A^- \mapsto A^- + \frac{1}{2}(d_H \lambda^- + iJ \cdot (d_H \lambda^-)).$$

Notice that the field strength  $F = d_H A^+ + d_H A^-$  is not invariant under the complex gauge transformation:

$$F \mapsto F + \frac{1}{2i} d_H [J(d_H \lambda^+ - d_H \lambda^-)].$$

Evidently, by a complex gauge transformation we can transform a topologically trivial gauge field  $A$  to be flat:  $d_H A = 0$ .

To proceed further we need to modify the partition function (3.5) to include a sum over topological sectors. This step is quite nontrivial, and requires conceptual changes. We postpone the details of the construction to the next sections. The partition function takes the schematic form

$$\mathcal{Z}(A) := \sum_{a \in K^{j,h}(X)} \Omega(a) \int_{\text{fixed top. sector}} \mathcal{D}C_a e^{-S(R,A)} \quad (3.11)$$

where  $\Omega : K^{j,h}(X) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  is a crucial phase factor discussed in detail in section 6.

**The partition function as a holomorphic section of a line bundle.** The space of topologically trivial flat gauge fields is a torus:

$$\mathcal{W}^j(X) = \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H}^j / \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H, \mathbb{Z}}^j \quad (3.12)$$

which is a quotient of the space of  $d_H$ -closed forms of total degree  $j$ ,  $\Omega(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H}^j$ , by the group of large gauge transformations  $A \mapsto A + R$  where  $R$  is a  $d_H$ -closed form of total degree  $j$  with a certain quantization condition<sup>4</sup>. The symplectic form  $\omega_j$  takes integral values on  $\Omega(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H, \mathbb{Z}}^j$ . Thus the partition function is a holomorphic section of the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  over the complex torus  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{C}}^j(X)$  which is obtained from the real torus  $\mathcal{W}^j(X)$  by using the complex structure  $J$ . Note that  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{C}}^j$  is not given by Betti numbers but depends on the de Rham cohomology class  $[H] \in H_{d_R}^3(X)$ . The line bundle  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^j(X)$  has a nonzero first Chern class  $c_1(\mathcal{L}) = [\omega_j]_{d_R}$ . The symplectic form  $\omega_j$  is of type  $(1, 1)$  in the complex structure  $J$ . From the Kodaira vanishing theorem and the index of  $\bar{\partial}$ -operator it follows that

$$\dim H^0(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{C}}^j, \mathcal{L}) = \int_{\mathcal{W}^j} e^{c_1(\mathcal{L})} \text{td}(T\mathcal{W}^j) = \int_{\mathcal{W}^j} e^{\omega_j} = 1. \quad (3.13)$$

Following the ideas of [15] we expect that this construction describes the partition function of an imaginary anti self-dual RR field. From (3.13) it follows that the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  has a *unique* holomorphic section. This holomorphic section is the partition function for RR fields as a function of the RR current.

Therefore to construct a partition function for RR fields we need to

1. construct a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  over the torus  $\mathcal{W}^j(X)$  equipped with norm and hermitian connection  $D$  with curvature  $-2\pi i \omega_j$ .
2. choose the complex structure (2.13) on the torus  $\mathcal{W}^j(X)$ . Using the connection  $D^-$  we can define holomorphic sections of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

---

<sup>4</sup>For the definition of a  $d_H$ -closed form with “integral periods” see section 4. The facts quoted above follow from the perfect pairing on twisted  $K$ -theory.

A natural geometrical way of constructing the line bundle and connection on it is to use Chern-Simons theory in one higher dimension as we describe next.

**Relation to Chern-Simons theory.** A lot of information about the line bundle  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^j$  is encoded in the topological term

$$e^{-i\pi\omega_j(d_H C, A)}. \quad (3.14)$$

Recall that this exponential is not gauge invariant: under the gauge transformation  $\delta A = d_H \lambda$  and  $\delta C = \lambda$  it is multiplied by

$$e^{-i\pi\omega_{j+1}(u\lambda, d_H A)}. \quad (3.15)$$

This extra phase coming from the gauge transformation looks like the boundary term of a level 1 abelian Chern-Simons theory in one dimension higher. Indeed, let  $Y$  be an 11-manifold with boundary  $X$ . Consider the following topological action for a topologically trivial gauge field  $A \in \Omega(Y; \mathcal{R})^j$

$$e^{2\pi i \text{CSK}_Y^j(A)} := \exp \left[ 2\pi i \frac{1}{2} \int_Y uA \wedge \phi_{j+1}(d_H A) \right] \quad (3.16)$$

This exponential is not gauge invariant on a manifold with boundary. Under the gauge transformation  $A \mapsto A + d_H \lambda$  it multiplies by the inverse of boundary term (3.15). The Chern-Simons functional on a manifold with boundary is most naturally considered as a section of the Chern-Simons line bundle over the space of gauge fields  $\{A_X\}$  on the boundary  $X$ . Our simple calculation shows that the Chern-Simons line bundle is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$ .

The above discussion can be extended to include the coupling to RR currents (in particular, the coupling to D-branes). We give this in section 5 below for the topologically nontrivial case.

## 4 Fieldspace and gauge transformations

To proceed further we need to generalize the above construction to allow topologically nontrivial gauge fields  $C$  and  $A$ . In the previous section we saw that the gauge field  $A$  plays the role of an external current for the RR field. The space of gauge fields  $A$  is fibered over the space of  $B$ -fields. Recall that space of gauge equivalence classes of  $B$ -fields is an infinite dimensional abelian group  $\check{H}^3(Y)$ . In this paper we will denote by  $\check{B} \in \check{Z}^2(Y)$  a representative of the differential cohomology class  $[\check{B}] \in \check{H}^3(Y)$ , by  $h \in H^3(Y; \mathbb{Z})$  its characteristic class and by  $H \in \Omega_{\mathbb{Z}}^3(Y)$  its curvature. The space  $\check{Z}^2(Y)$  is the space of differential cocycles. Its definition depends very much on a choice of a model for the differential cohomology (see [9] and section 3 in [10] for more details).



**Differential K-group.** The set of gauge-inequivalent fields (or gauge inequivalent currents) is an infinite dimensional abelian group  $\check{K}^{j+1, \check{B}}(Y)$ , known as a twisted differential  $K$ -group. For a pedagogical introduction to differential  $K$ -theory see section 5 of [7]. This group can be described by two exact sequences:

- Field strength exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \underbrace{K^{j, h(\check{B})}(Y; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})}_{\text{flat fields}} \rightarrow \check{K}^{j+1, \check{B}}(Y) \xrightarrow{F} \Omega(Y; \mathcal{R})_{d_H, \mathbb{Z}}^{j+1} \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.1)$$

Here  $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{R}[u, u^{-1}]$  where  $u$  is the inverse Bott element of degree 2. Every twisted differential  $K$ -character  $[\check{A}]$  has a field strength  $F([\check{A}])$  which is a  $d_H$ -closed form of total degree  $j+1$  with some quantization condition. We will explain this quantization condition below.

- Characteristic class exact sequence


$$0 \rightarrow \underbrace{\Omega(Y; \mathcal{R})^j / \Omega(Y; \mathcal{R})_{d_H, \mathbb{Z}}^j}_{\text{topologically trivial}} \rightarrow \check{K}^{j+1, \check{B}}(Y) \xrightarrow{x} K^{j+1, h(\check{B})}(Y) \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.2)$$

Every twisted differential  $K$ -character  $[\check{A}]$  has a characteristic class  $x([\check{A}])$  which is an element of the twisted  $K$ -group  $K^{j+1, h(\check{B})}(Y)$ .

The field strength and characteristic class are compatible in the sense that the twisted Chern character  $\text{ch}_{\check{B}}(x)$  must coincide with the twisted cohomology class  $[F]_{d_H}$  defined by the field strength:

$$\sqrt{\hat{A}} \text{ch}_{\check{B}}(x) = [F]_{d_H}.$$

Here  $\text{ch}_{\check{B}} : K^{j+1, h(\check{B})}(Y) \rightarrow H(Y; \mathcal{R})_{d_H}^{j+1}$  is the twisted Chern character, which we define below. Putting together the two sequences we can visualize the infinite dimensional abelian group  $\check{K}^{j+1, \check{B}}(Y)$  as



The group  $\check{K}^{j+1, \check{B}}(Y)$  consists of several connected components labeled by the characteristic class  $x \in K^{j+1, h(\check{B})}(Y)$ . Each component is a torus fibration over a vector space. The fibres are finite

dimensional tori  $\mathcal{W}^j(Y) = \Omega(Y; \mathcal{R})_{d_H}^j / \Omega(Y; \mathcal{R})_{d_H, \mathbb{Z}}^j$  represented by topologically trivial flat gauge fields.

There is a product on twisted differential  $K$ -characters. If  $[\check{A}_1] \in \check{K}^{j_1, \check{B}_1}(Y)$  and  $[\check{A}_2] \in \check{K}^{j_2, \check{B}_2}(Y)$  then the product  $[\check{A}_1] \cdot [\check{A}_2]$  is in  $\check{K}^{j_1+j_2, \check{B}_1+\check{B}_2}(Y)$ . The characteristic class and the curvature of the product  $[\check{A}_1] \cdot [\check{A}_2]$  are

$$x([\check{A}_1] \cdot [\check{A}_2]) = x([\check{A}_1]) \cup x([\check{A}_2]) \quad \text{and} \quad F([\check{A}_1] \cdot [\check{A}_2]) = F([\check{A}_1]) \wedge F([\check{A}_2])$$

respectively.

If  $Y$  is odd dimensional, compact, and  $\check{K}$ -oriented manifold then there is a perfect pairing  $\check{K}^{j+1, \check{B}}(Y) \times \check{K}^{j+1, \check{B}} \rightarrow U(1)$  [8]. We will write this perfect pairing as  $\int_Y^{\check{K}} u_{\check{A}_1} \cdot \phi_{j+1}(\check{A}_2)$  where  $\phi_{j+1} : \check{K}^{j+1, \check{B}}(Y) \rightarrow \check{K}^{10-j, -\check{B}}(Y)$ .

In terms of differential cohomology classes the action of the previous section is generalized to be

$$e^{-S(\check{C}, \check{A})} = \exp \left[ -\frac{\pi}{2} \int_X (F(\check{C}) - \check{A}) \wedge *_E(F(\check{C}) - \check{A}) - i\pi \int_X^{\check{K}} u_{\check{C}} \cdot \phi_{j+1}(\check{A}) \right].$$

**Category of twisted differential K-cocycles.** As in Yang-Mills theory, locality forces one to work with gauge potentials, rather than gauge isomorphism classes of fields. In generalized abelian gauge theories the proper framework is to find a groupoid whose set of isomorphism classes is the set of gauge equivalence classes. The objects in the category are the gauge potentials and the “gauge transformations” are the morphisms between objects.

In this paper we will postulate that there exists a category  $\check{\mathcal{H}}^{j+1, \check{B}}(Y)$  which is a groupoid obtained by the action of a gauge group on a set of objects. The gauge group, from which we get the morphisms of the category  $\check{\mathcal{H}}^{j+1, \check{B}}(Y)$  is, by hypothesis, the group  $\check{K}^{j, \check{B}}(Y)$ . There are a few ways to motivate this definition. First, it is the natural generalization of the case of differential cohomology, where the gauge field is a cocycle in  $\check{Z}^{j+1}$  and considerations of open Wilson lines imply that the group of gauge transformations should be  $\check{H}^j$  [16]. More fundamentally, we will adopt Dan Freed’s viewpoint [5] that one should begin with a RR current  $[\check{j}] \in \check{K}^{j+1, \check{B}}(Y)$  (induced by the background and  $D$ -branes, if present) and view the space of RR fields as the set of trivializations of  $[\check{j}]$ . The set of such trivializations is a torsor for  $\check{K}^{j, \check{B}}(X)$ . In the Chern-Simons approach, the gauge potential restricted to  $X$  is identified with the RR current. It follows that we should identify the gauge group of the Chern-Simons theory with  $\check{K}^{j, \check{B}}(X)$ . Let us now make the consequences of this viewpoint a little more concrete.

First, the set of objects of our category forms a space,  $\mathcal{C}(Y)$ . Connected components are labeled by  $K^{j+1, h(\check{B})}(Y)$ . We assume that each component can be taken to be a torsor for  $\Omega(Y; \mathcal{R})^j$ . At

the cost of naturality, we may choose a basepoint  $\check{A}_\bullet$ , and write  $\check{A} = \check{A}_\bullet + a$ , with  $a \in \Omega(Y; \mathcal{R})^j$ . The gauge transformations are given by

$$g_{\check{C}}\check{A} = \check{A} + F(\check{C}). \quad (4.3)$$

Next, note that flat characters  $K^{j-1, h(\check{B})}(Y; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$  act trivially on the space of gauge fields  $\check{\mathcal{H}}^{j+1, \check{B}}(Y)$ , therefore the group of automorphisms of any object is  $\text{Aut}(\check{A}) = K^{j-1, h(\check{B})}(Y; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ .

**Twisted bundles and the twisted Chern character.** In this section we review some background material on twisted Chern characters. We follow [17]. See also [18, 19, 20] for alternative formulations.

Elements of the twisted differential  $K$  group are represented by twisted bundles with connection. Each *twisted bundle*  $P \rightarrow X$  arises from locally-defined bundles of Hilbert spaces on open covers in  $X$  [17, 21]. So we choose an open covering  $\{X_\alpha\}$  of  $X$  and isomorphisms  $P|_{X_\alpha} \cong \mathbb{P}(E_\alpha)$  where  $E_\alpha$  is a Hilbert space bundle on  $X_\alpha$ . For a sufficiently fine covering the gluing functions between the charts can be realized by isomorphisms

$$g_{\alpha\beta} : E_\alpha|_{X_{\alpha\beta}} \rightarrow E_\beta|_{X_{\alpha\beta}} \quad (4.4)$$

where  $X_{\alpha\beta} = X_\alpha \cap X_\beta$ . Over threefold intersection  $X_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$  the composition  $g_{\alpha\beta}g_{\beta\gamma}g_{\gamma\alpha}$  is a multiplication by a  $U(1)$  valued function  $f_{\alpha\beta\gamma} : X_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \rightarrow U(1)$ . These functions  $\{f_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\}$  satisfy the cocycle condition over fourfold intersections  $f_{\alpha\beta\gamma}f_{\beta\gamma\delta}^{-1}f_{\gamma\delta\alpha}f_{\delta\alpha\beta}^{-1} = 1$ . They also define an integral Čech cocycle by

$$h_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} [\log f_{\alpha\beta\gamma} - \log f_{\beta\gamma\delta} + \log f_{\gamma\delta\alpha} - \log f_{\delta\alpha\beta}] \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (4.5)$$

The corresponding integral cohomology class  $h_P \in H^3(X; \mathbb{Z})$  is called Dixmier-Douady class.

The same structure can be rewritten in slightly different form [22, 23]: since the twisted bundle  $P$  is locally described by  $\mathbb{P}(E_\alpha)$  the Hilbert bundles  $E_\alpha$  and  $E_\beta$  can differ by a line bundle  $L_{\alpha\beta}$  over the twofold intersection  $X_{\alpha\beta}$ . Over threefold intersections we have to specify an isomorphism

$$L_{\alpha\beta} \otimes L_{\beta\gamma} \cong L_{\alpha\gamma} \quad \text{on } X_{\alpha\beta\gamma}. \quad (4.6)$$

This isomorphism is given by multiplication by a  $U(1)$  valued function  $f_{\alpha\beta\gamma} : X_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \rightarrow U(1)$ . The set of line bundles over twofold overlaps satisfying condition (4.6) is called a *bundle gerb*.

A *connection* on a twisted bundle  $P$  locally arises from a set of connections on the Hilbert bundles  $\{E_\alpha \rightarrow X_\alpha\}$ . Let  $\nabla_\alpha$  be a connection on the Hilbert bundle  $E_\alpha$ . On twofold intersections these connections are glued by

$$\nabla_\alpha|_{X_{\alpha\beta}} = A_{\alpha\beta} + \nabla_\beta|_{X_{\alpha\beta}} \quad (4.7)$$

where  $A_{\alpha\beta}$  is a connection on the line bundle  $L_{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow X_{\alpha\beta}$ . Because of the condition (4.6) the connections  $\{A_{\alpha\beta}\}$  satisfy nontrivial relations on threefold overlaps

$$A_{\alpha\beta} + A_{\beta\gamma} + A_{\gamma\alpha} = f_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{-1} df_{\alpha\beta\gamma} \quad \text{on } X_{\alpha\beta\gamma}. \quad (4.8)$$

The set of one forms  $\{A_{\alpha\beta}\}$  on twofold overlaps satisfying condition (4.8) over threefold overlaps is also known as a *connecting structure* [24].

It happens that to define a differential characteristic class such as a twisted Chern character, in addition to choosing a connection on a twisted bundle, one must also choose a gerb connection. For a given connecting structure a *gerb connection* is described as a set of 2-forms  $\{B_\alpha\}$  on charts  $\{X_\alpha\}$  satisfying the gluing condition on twofold overlaps

$$B_\beta - B_\alpha = \frac{1}{2\pi i} dA_{\alpha\beta} \quad \text{on } X_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (4.9)$$

From this condition it follows that there exists a globally well defined closed 3-form  $H \in \Omega_{\mathbb{Z}}^3(X)$  (locally  $H|_{X_\alpha} = dB_\alpha$ ) whose de Rham cohomology class  $[H]_{dR}$  coincides with the image of integral cohomology class  $h_P$  under the natural projection to de Rham cohomology.

A *twisted Chern character*  $\text{ch}_{\tilde{B}}(P)$  arises locally from a connection on Hilbert bundles over  $\{X_\alpha\}$  and a gerb connection  $\tilde{B}$ :

$$\text{ch}_{\tilde{B}}(P)|_{X_\alpha} = e^{B_\alpha} \text{ch}(\nabla_\alpha) \quad (4.10)$$

where  $\text{ch}(\nabla_\alpha)$  is the Chern character for the Hilbert bundle  $E_\alpha$ . (If we write an expression involving a trace then that trace needs to be regulated.) If  $E_\alpha$  is finite dimensional <sup>5</sup> then  $\text{ch}(\nabla_\alpha) = \text{tr} e^{F(\nabla_\alpha)/2\pi i}$ . The gluing conditions (4.7) and (4.9) ensure that (4.10) is globally well defined.

***B-field gauge transformations.*** In the previous paragraph we described a twisted bundle with connection locally using Hilbert bundles with connection. This local structure has a nontrivial group of automorphisms given by  $\check{H}^2(X)$  (the group of isomorphism classes of line bundles with connection). Indeed given a globally well defined line bundle  $L \rightarrow X$  with connection  $\nabla$  we can change each Hilbert bundle  $E_\alpha$  to  $E_\alpha \otimes L|_{X_\alpha}$  and  $\nabla_\alpha \mapsto \nabla_\alpha + \nabla|_{X_\alpha}$ . Notice that all equations but (4.10) of the previous paragraph remains unmodified. So to preserve equation (4.10) we also need to change the gerb connection by  $B_\alpha \mapsto B_\alpha - \frac{1}{2\pi i} F(\nabla)|_{X_\alpha}$  where  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} F(\nabla) \in \Omega_{\mathbb{Z}}^2(X)$ . This automorphism is called *B-field gauge transformation*.

One must distinguish between *B-field gauge transformations* and a change of the *B-field*: the *B-field gauge transformation* is a *two step* transformation described above and defined in such a

---

<sup>5</sup>In general, we have to consider a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded twisted bundle. The Chern character is defined by  $\text{str} e^{\mathcal{F}/2\pi i}$  where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the curvature of a superconnection and  $\text{str}$  is the super trace.

way that it preserves the twisted Chern character. By contrast, under a *change* of the  $B$ -field:  $\check{B}$  goes to  $\check{B} + b$  for  $b \in \Omega^2(X)$ , the twisted Chern character changes by

$$\text{ch}_{\check{B}+b}(P) = e^{u^{-1}b} \text{ch}_{\check{B}}(P). \quad (4.11)$$

**Chern classes.** The Chern classes and the Chern character for twisted bundles are related in an unusual fashion. Before discussing this in detail we consider a simple example. Suppose we have a topologically trivial  $B$ -field in type IIA supergravity. It is then possible to solve the Bianchi identity for the Ramond-Ramond field  $R$  in the form

$$R = e^{u^{-1}B} F \quad (4.12)$$

where  $F$  is a *closed* form of even degree,  $F = F_0 + u^{-1}F_2 + \dots + u^{-5}F_{10}$ . In the previous paragraph we explained that besides the physical data (= information about the twisted bundle  $P$ )  $F$  contains auxiliary data which is cancelled in (4.12) by the  $B$ -field. In other words  $F$  describes a Hilbert bundle  $E$  rather than a twisted one. When we apply an automorphism  $F$  changes to  $e^{u^{-1}\omega}F$  and  $B \mapsto B - \omega$ . This means that we have to keep track of a flat  $B$ -field. To separate these auxiliary data we should consider invariant polynomials  $c(F)$  such that  $c(e^{u^{-1}\omega}F) = c(F)$ . Such polynomials form a ring, and Chern classes can be taken to be generators of this ring [25].

For example, if  $F_0 \neq 0$  then the invariant ring is generated by the polynomials

$$F_0, \quad 2F_0F_4 - F_2^2, \quad 3F_0^2F_6 - 3F_0F_2F_4 + F_2^3, \quad 2F_0F_8 - 2F_2F_6 + F_4^2, \quad 4F_0^2F_4^2 - 4F_4F_2^2F_0 + F_2^4, \quad \text{etc.}$$

So there is one generator in degrees 1, 4 and 6 and two generators in degree 8 and 10. The Poincaré polynomial for this ring was calculated in [25]. If  $F_0 = 0$  then the generators are given by the polynomials above after the substitution  $F_0 \rightarrow F_2$ ,  $F_2 \rightarrow F_4$ , etc. Notice that in this case there is a significant reduction in the number of invariants.

For type IIB the ring is generated by

$$F_1, \quad F_1F_3, \quad F_1F_7 - F_3F_5, \quad F_1F_3F_5, \quad \text{etc.}$$

The RR topological sectors of supergravity must be specified in terms of invariant polynomials.

Locally Chern classes come from invariant polynomials in the curvature  $F_\alpha := F(\nabla_\alpha)$  of a connection on a Hilbert bundle  $E_\alpha \rightarrow X_\alpha$ . Consider the monomials  $x_0 = \text{tr } \mathbf{1}$ ,  $x_2 = \text{tr } F_\alpha$ ,  $x_4 = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr } F_\alpha^2, \dots$  and  $x = x_0 + u^{-1}x_2 + u^{-2}x_4 + \dots$  (for a suitably defined trace). Denote by  $\mathcal{J}_\alpha \rightarrow X_\alpha$  the ring of polynomials in  $x_0, x_2, x_4, \dots$  which are invariant under  $x \mapsto e^{u^{-1}\omega}x$  where  $\omega$  is a closed

2-form. It is easy to see that the rings of invariant polynomials  $\{\mathcal{I}_\alpha\}$  glue together into a ring  $\mathcal{I}$  of invariants over  $X$ . The generators of this ring are the rational Chern classes.

## 5 Defining Chern-Simons terms in 11 dimensions

**Chern-Simons functional** The Chern-Simons functional for the differential  $K$ -group was defined by Hopkins and Singer [9]. In this paper we are using a generalization of their functional to the case of a *twisted* differential  $K$ -group. Gauge inequivalent fields are elements of twisted differential  $K$ -group:  $[\check{A}] \in \check{K}^{j+1, \check{B}}(Y)$ . Notice that  $[u\check{A} \cdot \phi_{j+1}(\check{A})]$  is an element of the untwisted group  $\check{K}^0(X)$  and moreover it has a natural lift to the differential  $KO$ -group [9, 5, 26]. The Chern-Simons functional  $CSK$  is defined by

$$e^{2\pi i CSK_Y^{j+1, \check{B}}(\check{A})} := \exp \left[ i\pi \int_Y^{\check{K}O} u\check{A} \cdot \phi_{j+1}(\check{A}) \right]. \quad (5.1)$$

Here  $\int_X^{\check{K}O} : \check{K}O^0(X) \rightarrow \check{K}O^{-\dim X}(pt)$  denotes integration in the differential  $\check{K}O$ -theory where

$j \pmod 8$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$\check{K}O^{-j}(pt)$	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}/2$	$\mathbb{Z}/2$	$\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}$	0	0	$\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$

The groups which are important for our case correspond to  $j$  equal to 3 and 2.  $\check{K}O^{-3}(pt) \cong \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  defines for us the Chern-Simons functional as in (5.1). The integral is essentially given by the  $\eta$ -invariant + local Chern-Simons term [8]. The group  $\check{K}O^{-2}(pt) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$  will play a crucial role in formulating the Gauss law. The integral in this case is given by the mod two index of the Dirac operator. Thus the  $\Omega$ -function of Witten [27] is automatically included in the definition of the  $K$ -theoretic Chern-Simons functional.

From (5.1) it follows that the Chern-Simons functional is a *quadratic refinement of the bilinear form* on  $\check{K}^{j+1, \check{B}} \times \check{K}^{j+1, \check{B}}$ :

$$CSK(\check{A}_1 + \check{A}_2) = CSK(\check{A}_1) + CSK(\check{A}_2) + \int_Y^{\check{K}} u\check{A}_1 \cdot \phi_{j+1}(\check{A}_2) \pmod 1 \quad (5.2)$$

**Coupling to RR current and  $D$ -branes.** The coupling to RR current and  $D$ -branes is easily included in the above formalism. The data specifying a wrapped  $D$ -brane state includes a choice (of a pair) of a twisted bundle  $P \rightarrow W$  with connection. Locally a twisted bundle is described by a projective Hilbert bundle (for more details see section 4 and [25]). The charges of the brane are described in terms of the topology of the embedded cycle  $f : W \hookrightarrow X$  and the topology of  $P$ . In addition, the twisting of the normal bundle  $\nu \rightarrow W$  contributes to the RR current [28, 29, 30]. We denote by  $\check{\tau}$  a differential refinement of the third integral Wu class  $W_3(\nu)$  on  $\nu$ . There is a natural integral cohomology class  $h_P \in H^3(W; \mathbb{Z})$  associated to the twisted bundle  $P$  — Dixmier-Douady

class. The Freed-Witten anomaly cancellation condition [30] requires that  $h_P = h(\check{\tau} + f^*\check{B}) = 0$  where  $f^*\check{B}$  is the pullback of the  $B$ -field  $\check{B}$  from  $X$ .<sup>6</sup>

The twisted bundle  $P$  defines a class  $[P]$  in the twisted  $K$ -group  $K^{0, h_P}(W)$ . The cohomology class of the RR current created by the D-brane is a pushforward of  $[P]$  to the twisted  $K$ -group on  $X$  [29, 1, 31]


$$\text{ch}_{\check{B}}(f_! [P]) \sqrt{\hat{A}(X)} \quad (5.3)$$

where  $f_!$  is the K-theoretic Gysin map,  $f_! : K^{0, [f^*\check{B} + \check{\tau}]}(W) \rightarrow K^{-\text{codim } W, [\check{B}]}(X)$ . There is a generalization of this equation to the differential  $K$  group [9] allowing one to define the RR *current* associated to the  $D$ -brane. In general the RR current is as an element  $[\check{j}]$  of the twisted differential  $K$ -group  $\check{K}^{j+1, \check{B}}(X)$ . If the current is extended to  $[\check{j}] \in K^{j+1, \check{B}}(Y)$  we can consider the coupling to  $[\check{A}]$  to be of the form

$$\exp \left[ 2\pi i \int_Y u \check{A} \cdot \phi_{j+1}(\check{j}) \right]. \quad (5.4)$$

Note that from (5.2), we see that by shifting  $\check{A} \mapsto \check{A} - \check{j}$  we can cancel the source term in (5.4).

**Variational formula.** Suppose we are given a family  $Z$  of  $\check{K}O$ -oriented 11-manifolds over the interval  $[0, 1]$ . We denote by  $Y_t$  the fibre of this family over the point  $t$ . Let  $\check{A} \in \check{K}^{j+1, \check{B}}(Z)$  be a differential cocycle. Then one can show that



$$CSK_{Y_1}^{j, \check{B}}(\check{A}_1) - CSK_{Y_0}^{j, \check{B}}(\check{A}_0) = \frac{1}{2} \int_Z u F(\check{A}) \wedge \phi_{j+1}(F(\check{A})) \pmod{1} \quad (5.5)$$

where  $\check{A}_0$  and  $\check{A}_1$  denote restrictions of  $\check{A}$  to  $Y_0$  and  $Y_1$  respectively.

**Chern-Simons functional on lower dimensional manifolds.** On a compact 11-dimensional  $\check{K}O$  oriented manifold  $Y$  the Chern-Simons functional defines a map from the space of gauge fields on  $Y$  to  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ :

$$CSK_Y : \{\text{gauge fields on } Y\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}. \quad (5.6a)$$

Actually the same Chern-Simons functional makes sense on lower dimensional manifolds. On a compact 10-dimensional  $\check{K}O$  oriented manifold  $X$  Chern-Simons functional can be used to define a line bundle with connection over the space of gauge fields on  $X$  — an element of  $\check{H}^2\left(\frac{\{\text{gauge fields on } X\}}{\{\text{gauge tr.}\}}\right)$ .

---

<sup>6</sup>This is the anomaly cancellation condition which should properly be referred to as the “Freed-Witten anomaly.” The famous shift of the  $U(1)$  flux quantization by  $\frac{1}{2}c_1$  for  $D$ -branes wrapping non-spin manifolds was already pointed out earlier in [29]. A very useful interpretation of all these facts (and more) was advocated by D. Freed in [5] where the  $U(1)$  gauge degree of freedom was interpreted as a trivializing cochain in differential cohomology.



## 6 Quantization of “spin” Chern-Simons theory

In general, there are two ways to quantize Chern-Simons theory: one can first impose the equation of motion classically and then quantize the space of solutions of this equation, alternatively one can first quantize the space of all gauge fields and then impose the equation of motion as an operator constraint. In this paper we mostly follow the second approach, although our ultimate goal is to construct wavefunctions on the gauge invariant phase space.

Consider the following topological field theory on an 11-dimensional manifold  $Y$

$$e^{2\pi i \text{CSK}_Y^{j+1, \check{B}}(\check{A})} = \exp \left[ i\pi \int_Y^{\check{K}O} u \check{A} \cdot \phi_{j+1}(\check{A}) \right]. \quad (6.1)$$

Note that the Chern-Simons functional is *not* necessarily symmetric: there exist  $\check{\lambda} \in \check{K}^{j+1, \check{B}}(Y)$  such that  $\text{CSK}(\check{\lambda} - \check{A}) = \text{CSK}(\check{A}) \pmod{1}$ . This equation actually fixes only the characteristic class  $\lambda$  of  $[\check{\lambda}]$ . It happens that on 10-manifold  $\lambda_X$  is always divisible by 2 in the twisted  $K$ -theory. The Chern-Simons functional defines a preferred class  $\mu \in K^{j+1, h(\check{B})}(X)$  such that  $\lambda_X = 2\mu$  [9].

Using the variational formula (5.5) one obtains the familiar equation of motion

$$F(\check{A}) = 0. \quad (6.2)$$

One might think of  $Y$  as a product space  $\mathbb{R} \times X$  and proceed with Hamiltonian quantization of this theory. However we proceed differently: in the previous section we said that Chern-Simons on a 10-manifold  $X$  defines a line bundle with connection over the space of gauge fields on  $X$ . In this section we describe this construction in detail.

**CS line bundle and connection.** The space of the gauge fields is an infinite-dimensional space of objects of the category  $\check{\mathcal{H}}^{j+1, \check{B}}(X)$ :

$$\mathcal{C}(X) := \text{Obj}(\check{\mathcal{H}}^{j+1, \check{B}}(X)).$$

The topological action (6.1) defines a natural line bundle  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X)$  (the Chern-Simons line bundle). Chern-Simons functional on an 11-manifold with boundary  $X$  is most naturally considered as a section of  $\mathcal{L}$ .


The Chern-Simons line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  has a natural connection defined as follows: Consider a path  $\check{A}_t$  in the space of twisted differential  $K$ -cocycles  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  where  $t \in [0, 1]$  is the coordinate on the path. One can think of  $\check{A}_t$  as of a twisted differential  $K$ -cocycle from  $\mathcal{C}([0, 1] \times X)$ . The parallel transport is defined by

$$\mathcal{U}(\{\check{A}_t\}) := e^{2\pi i \text{CSK}_{[0,1] \times X}^{j+1, \check{B}}(\check{A}_t)} \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{L}|_{\check{A}_0}, \mathcal{L}|_{\check{A}_1}). \quad (6.3)$$

The tangent vector to the path  $\{\check{A}_t\}$  is  $\xi \in \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^j$ . The curvature of the connection (6.3) can be computed from the variational formula (5.5):

$$\Omega_{\check{A}_X}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = -2\pi i \omega_j(\xi_1, \xi_2) \quad (6.4)$$


where  $\omega_j$  is the symplectic form (2.2).



For any  $\xi \in \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^j$  we introduce a straightline path  $p_{\check{A};\xi}(t) = \check{A} + t\xi$  in the space of twisted differential  $K$ -cocycles  $\mathcal{C}(X)$ . Using the variational formula (5.5) one finds

$$\mathcal{W}(p_{\check{A}+\xi_1+\xi_2})\mathcal{W}(p_{\check{A};\xi_1}) = e^{-i\pi\omega_j(\xi_1,\xi_2)} \mathcal{W}(p_{\check{A};\xi_1+\xi_2}). \quad (6.5)$$

Now we need to lift the action of the gauge group (defined in section 4 above) to the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$ .



The difference between a group lift and parallel transport is a cocycle. That is, we can define the group lift by

$$(\tilde{g}_{\check{C}}\Psi)(g_{\check{C}}\check{A}) := \varphi(\check{A}; [\check{C}])\mathcal{W}(p_{\check{A};R(\check{C})})\Psi(\check{A}) \quad (6.6)$$

provided  $\varphi$  is a phase satisfying the cocycle condition:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(g_{\check{C}_1}\check{A}; [\check{C}_2])\varphi(\check{A}; [\check{C}_1]) \\ = \varphi(\check{A}; [\check{C}_1] + [\check{C}_2]) e^{-i\pi\omega_j(R(\check{C}_1), R(\check{C}_2))}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

Once the group lift is defined we can impose an operator constraint on the wave function — the Gauss law — which says  $\tilde{g}_{\check{C}} \cdot \Psi(\check{A}) = \Psi(g_{\check{C}}\check{A})$  (for more details see section 6.2).

## 6.1 Construction of the cocycle via a Chern-Simons term

One way to construct a cocycle proceeds using a construction going back to Witten and described in detail in [16].

Chern-Simons functional provides a natural candidate for the cocycle  $\varphi$  [27, 16]. Construct a differential cocycle on the closed 11-manifold  $S^1 \times X$ :

$$\check{A}_X + \check{t} \cdot \check{C}$$

where  $[\check{t}] \in \check{K}^{-1}(S^1)$  is a differential  $K$ -character with field strength  $F(\check{A}) + dt \wedge R(\check{C})$  and characteristic class  $x(\check{A}) + [dt] \cup x(\check{C})$ .<sup>7</sup>

<sup>7</sup>If we choose a noncanonical splitting  $\check{K}^{-1}(S^1) \cong \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \times \Omega_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(S^1)$  then we can take  $[\check{t}] = (dt, 0)$ .

Now using this twisted differential character we can define

$$\varphi(\check{A}_X; [\check{C}]) := e^{2\pi i \text{CSK}_{S^1 \times X}^{j+1, \check{B}}(\check{A}_X + \check{t} \cdot \check{C})}. \quad (6.8)$$

To define a Chern-Simons term we need to choose a  $\check{K}O$ -orientation for  $S^1 \times X$ : it includes in particular a choice of spin structure on the  $S^1$ , which should be the bounding spin structure  $S^1_-$ . A standard cobordism argument (see, for examples [16, 10]) shows that the functional (6.8) satisfies the cocycle relation (6.7).

Using properties of the multiplication of differential characters one can rewrite the cocycle (6.8) as

$$\varphi(\check{A}_X; [\check{C}]) = \Omega([\check{C}]) e^{2\pi i \int_X [u\check{C}] \cdot [\phi_{j+1}(\check{A}_X)]}. \quad (6.9)$$

It follows that  $\Omega([\check{C}])$  is a locally constant function of  $[\check{C}]$ . Therefore it only depends on the characteristic class  $x([\check{C}])$ . Since there is no difficulty in defining the integral level Chern-Simons term,  $\Omega$  must take values  $\{\pm 1\}$ . Finally, from the cocycle condition we derive:

$$\Omega([\check{C}_1] + [\check{C}_2]) = \Omega([\check{C}_1]) \Omega([\check{C}_2]) (-1)^{\omega_j(x([\check{C}_1]), x([\check{C}_2]))}. \quad (6.10)$$

**QRIF.** A function  $\Omega : K^{j+1, h(\check{B})}(X) \rightarrow U(1)$  which satisfies the quadratic equation (6.10) is called a QRIF. QRIF stands for Quadratic Refinement of the Intersection Form.

Now, associated to  $\Omega$  is an important invariant. Note that since the bilinear form  $\omega_j(x, y)$  vanishes on torsion classes,  $\Omega$  is a homomorphism from  $\text{Tor } K^{j+1, h(\check{B})}(X)$  to  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ . Since there is a perfect pairing on torsion classes it follows that there is a  $\mu \in \text{Tor } K^{j+1, h(\check{B})}(X)$  such that

$$\Omega(x_T) = e^{2\pi i T(x_T, \mu)} = e^{2\pi i \int_X u\alpha \cup \phi_{j+1}(\mu)} \quad (6.11)$$

for all torsion classes  $x_T$ .

As in our previous paper, if one starts with an  $\Omega$  function, as in [27], then there appear to be many choices. Within the Chern-Simons framework there is a distinguished choice for  $\Omega$  following from (6.8). This choice of  $\Omega$ , which is essentially the mod two index, has been claimed to be the unique choice compatible with  $T$ -duality [27, 13]. Moreover, it appears to be the unique choice that is compatible with  $M$ -theory [3, 4], so we will make that choice from now on. <sup>8</sup>

---

<sup>8</sup>In [37] the possibility was left open that one could possibly add  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued topological terms to the  $M$ -theory action. If that is indeed possible then there would be a corresponding modification of  $\Omega$ . If that possibility can be ruled out, then the choice of  $\Omega$  based on the mod-two index is unique.

## 6.2 Quantum Gauss law

The wave function must define a section of the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  over a component of the space of gauge equivalence classes of fields  $\check{K}^{j+1, \check{B}}(X)$ . In the previous section we constructed a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  over the objects  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  of the category  $\mathcal{H}^{j+1}(X)$ . A section  $\Psi$  of  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  descends to a section of  $\mathcal{L}$  iff it satisfies the Gauss law constraint

$$\Psi(g_{\check{C}}\check{A}) = \varphi(\check{A}; [\check{C}]) \mathcal{U}(p_{\check{A}; R(\check{C})}) \Psi(\check{A}). \quad (6.12)$$

**Tadpole constraint.** Equation (6.12) has solution only on *one* connected component of  $\check{K}^{j+1, \check{B}}(X)$ . Recall that the objects in  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  have a nontrivial group of automorphisms:

$$\text{Aut}([\check{A}]) \cong K^{j-1, h(\check{B})}(X; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

It is easy to see that (6.12) admits a nonzero solution only if the automorphism group of an object acts trivially. This amounts to the condition

$$\varphi(\check{A}; [\check{C}]) = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad [\check{C}] \in K^{j-1, h(\check{B})}(X; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

Combining (6.9) with (6.11) we obtain the ‘‘tadpole condition’’:

$$\mu + x(\check{A}) = 0. \quad (6.13)$$

**Cocycle.** The cocycle  $\varphi$  looks particularly simple for differential characters satisfying the tadpole constraint (6.13): Suppose we are given a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued QRIF  $\Omega_0(x)$  with vanishing torsion class  $\mu$  (we will specify such a QRIF, defined by a certain Lagrangian decomposition, in the next section). Actually it depends only on the rational  $K$ -theory class, so we will also write it as  $\Omega_0([R]_{d_H})$ . Then there exists a twisted differential  $K$ -character  $[\check{\mu}]$  such that

$$\Omega([\check{C}]) = \Omega_0([R]_{d_H}) e^{2\pi i \int_X u^{[\check{C}]} \cdot \phi_{j+1}([\check{\mu}])} \quad (6.14)$$

where  $R$  is the curvature of the character  $[\check{C}]$ . Moreover, given a choice of  $\Omega_0$ ,  $[\check{\mu}]$  is uniquely determined by this equation since the quantity on the right-hand side is a perfect pairing. Note that the characteristic class  $x([\check{\mu}])$  is exactly  $\mu$ . Since  $\Omega([\check{C}])$  is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued  $[\check{\mu}]$  must be 2-torsion. In particular, the fieldstrength of  $\check{\mu}$  is zero.

Now we can rewrite the phase in (6.12) in the form

$$\varphi(\check{A}; [\check{C}]) = \Omega_0([R]_{d_H}) e^{2\pi i \int_X u^{[\check{C}]} \cdot \phi_{j+1}([\check{A}] + [\check{\mu}])}. \quad (6.15)$$

For  $\check{A}$  satisfying the tadpole constraint (6.13) the character  $[\check{\sigma}] = [\check{A}] + [\check{\mu}]$  is topologically trivial. Thus it can be trivialized by a form  $\sigma(\check{A} + \check{\mu})$  of total degree  $j$  which satisfies two properties

$$d_H \sigma(\check{A} + \check{\mu}) = F(\check{A}) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(\check{A} + \check{\mu} + a) = \sigma(\check{A} + \check{\mu}) + a \quad \forall a \in \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^j. \quad (6.16)$$

So finally the Gauss law (6.12) can be written as

$$\Psi(g_{\check{C}} \check{A}) = \Omega_0([R]_{d_H}) e^{-2\pi i \omega_j(R, \sigma(\check{A} + \check{\mu}))} \mathcal{U}(p_{\check{A}; R(\check{C})}) \Psi(\check{A}). \quad (6.17)$$

**Gauss law in local coordinates.** Each component in the space of objects in  $\check{\mathcal{H}}^{j+1, \check{B}}(X)$  is a contractible space. Thus the line bundle  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is trivializable. To construct a section explicitly we need to choose an explicit trivialization of this line bundle. To this end we choose an arbitrary twisted differential  $K$ -cocycle  $\check{A}_\bullet$  satisfying the tadpole constraint (6.13)<sup>9</sup>. Then an arbitrary field configuration (in the connected component) can be parameterized by  $\check{A} = \check{A}_\bullet + a$  where  $a$  is a globally well defined form of total degree  $j$ . Define a canonical nowhere vanishing section  $S$  of unit norm by

$$S(\check{A}) := \mathcal{U}(p_{\check{A}_\bullet; \check{A} - \check{A}_\bullet}) S_\bullet$$

where  $S_\bullet \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $|S_\bullet| = 1$ . The *wave function*  $\mathcal{Z}(a)$  is a ratio of two sections  $\Psi(\check{A})/S(\check{A})$ .

From equations (6.6) and (6.17) it follows that the Gauss law for the wave function is

$$\mathcal{Z}(a + R) = \Omega_0([R]) e^{-2\pi i \omega_j(R, \sigma(\check{A}_\bullet + \check{\mu})) - i\pi \omega_j(R, a)} \mathcal{Z}(a) \quad (6.18)$$

for an arbitrary  $d_H$ -closed form  $R$  of total degree  $j$  with integral periods.

It is clear that both the partition function  $\mathcal{Z}(a)$  and Gauss law (6.18) depend on a choice of the base point. So the discussion in section 5.3 in [10] should be repeated.

## 7 Construction of the partition function

The content of this section is as follows: To obtain a quantum Hilbert space we need to choose a polarization on the phase space  $P = \mathcal{C}(X)/\mathcal{G}$ . A choice of Riemannian metric  $g_E$  on  $X$  defines a complex structure  $J$  on  $T_{\check{A}}P$ . The quantum Hilbert space consists of holomorphic sections  $\{\Psi\}$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

Note that there are infinitely many sections of  $\mathcal{L}$  which satisfy the Gauss law (6.12), in contrast there are finitely many *holomorphic* sections which satisfy the Gauss law (6.12). By choosing a

---

<sup>9</sup>If  $\mu = 0$  then there exists a preferred base point  $\check{A}_\bullet = 0$ . However even in this case we would like to keep  $\check{A}_\bullet$  arbitrary because we will use it later to specify the external current.

local coordinate system  $(\check{A}_\bullet, S(\check{A}))$  on  $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  one can try to construct a holomorphic solution of the Gauss law explicitly. The resulting expression will in addition depend on some extra choices such as a Lagrangian decomposition  $K^{j,h(\check{B})}(X)/\text{torsion} = \bar{\Gamma}_1 \oplus \bar{\Gamma}_2$  of the twisted K group modulo torsion. The (local) expression for the partition function is summarized by Theorem 7.1.

## 7.1 Choice of polarization

Equation (6.13) constrains the connected component in the space of the gauge fields  $\mathcal{C}$ . Now by choosing a local coordinate system  $(\check{A}_\bullet, S)$  we can identify the phase space with the real vector space  $V_{\mathbb{R}} = \Omega(X, \mathcal{R})^j$  by  $\check{A} = \check{A}_\bullet + a$ ,  $a \in V_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

The vector space  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$  has a natural antisymmetric form defined by (2.2). This 2-form is closed and nondegenerate and thus it defines a symplectic structure on the space of gauge fields  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Recall that a choice of Riemannian metric  $g_E$  on  $X$  defines a compatible complex structure  $J$ , (2.13). Using this complex structure we decompose the space of real forms  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$  as

$$V_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong V^+ \oplus V^-. \quad (7.1)$$

Any vector  $R^+$  of the complex vector space  $V^+$  can be *uniquely* written as

$$R^+ = \frac{1}{2}(R - iJR) \quad (7.2)$$

for some real vector  $R \in V_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

This decomposition introduces complex coordinates on the patch  $(\check{A}_\bullet, S)$ . Recall that in *real* local coordinates we have a covariant derivative  $D := \delta - i\pi \omega_j(\delta a, a)$  which is defined on sections of the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$ . Here  $\delta$  is the usual differential with respect to  $a$ . In *complex* coordinates the covariant derivative  $D$  decomposes as  $D = D^+ + D^-$  where

$$D^+ = \delta^+ - i\pi \omega_j(\delta a^+, a^-) \quad \text{and} \quad D^- = \delta^- - i\pi \omega_j(\delta a^-, a^+). \quad (7.3)$$

The quantum Hilbert space consists of holomorphic sections, i.e.  $D^-\Psi = 0$  which satisfy the Gauss law.

In the local coordinates  $(\check{A}_\bullet, S)$  one can identify holomorphic sections  $D^-\mathcal{Z}(a^+, a^-) = 0$  with holomorphic functions  $\vartheta(a^+)$  via

$$\mathcal{Z}(a^+, a^-) = e^{i\pi \omega_j(a^-, a^+)} \vartheta(a^+). \quad (7.4)$$

In this case the Gauss law constraint (6.18) takes the following simple form

$$\vartheta(a^+ + R^+) = \left\{ \Omega_0([R]_{d_H}) e^{-2\pi i \omega_j(R, \sigma(\check{A}_\bullet + \hat{\mu}))} \right\} e^{\frac{\pi}{2} H(R^+, R^+) + \pi H(a^+, R^+)} \vartheta(a^+) \quad (7.5)$$

for all  $R \in \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H, \mathbb{Z}}^j$ . Here we have introduced a hermitian form  $H$  on  $V^+ \times V^+$ . It is defined using the Riemannian metric  $g_j$  and symplectic form  $\omega_j$ :

$$H(v^+, w^+) := 2i \omega_j(v^+, \overline{w^+}) = g_j(v, w) + i\omega_j(v, w). \quad (7.6)$$

In our notation  $H$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear in the first argument and  $\mathbb{C}$ -antilinear in the second:  $H(v, w) = \overline{H(w, v)}$ .

## 7.2 Partition function

Equation (7.5) looks like a functional equation for a theta function. The important difference is that the equation for a theta function is usually defined on a finite dimensional vector space, while our equation is on the infinite dimensional vector space  $\Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^j$ . We solve it in a manner parallel to the discussion in [10]. To write an explicit expression we must choose a maximal isotropic (i.e. Lagrangian) subspace  $V_2 \subset V_{\mathbb{R}}$ . This allows us to define a  $\mathbb{C}$ -bilinear form on  $V^+ \times V^+$  which extends  $H$  from “half” of the space to  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ . A Lagrangian subspace defines an orthogonal coordinate system on  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ :  $V_{\mathbb{R}} = V_2 \oplus JV_2$ . So any vector  $v \in V_{\mathbb{R}}$  has coordinates  $v_2 \in V_2$  and  $v_2^\perp \in JV_2$ . In terms of this notation  $B$  is defined by the equation [10]:

$$(H - B)(\xi^+, \eta^+) = 2i \omega_j(\xi, \mathcal{F}^-(\eta)) \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{F}^-(\eta) := \eta_2^\perp + iJ(\eta_2^\perp); \quad (7.7a)$$

$$= 2g_j(\xi_2^\perp, \eta_2^\perp) + 2i \omega(\xi_2, \eta_2^\perp). \quad (7.7b)$$

It is important not to confuse  $\mathcal{F}^-(\eta)$  with  $\eta^-$ .

**Decomposition of  $\Omega(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H, \mathbb{Z}}^j$ .** As in [10] we next need to choose a complementary part of  $V_2 \cap \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H, \mathbb{Z}}^j$  inside  $\Omega(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H, \mathbb{Z}}^j$ . The complication here is that  $\Omega(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H, \mathbb{Z}}^j$  is *not* a Lagrangian subspace.

We define a “complementary part” of this subgroup as follows. The symplectic form  $\omega_j$  on  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$  defines a symplectic form on the twisted cohomology  $\Gamma = H(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H}^j$ . This symplectic form is integral valued on the image  $\bar{\Gamma}$  of the twisted  $K$ -group. In turn, a choice of Lagrangian subspace  $V_2 \subset V_{\mathbb{R}}$  defines a Lagrangian subspace  $\Gamma_2 \subset \Gamma$ . We denote by  $\bar{\Gamma}_2$  the corresponding lattice inside  $\bar{\Gamma}$ . Now define  $\Gamma_1$  to be an arbitrary complementary Lagrangian subspace to  $\Gamma_2$  such that the lattice  $\bar{\Gamma}$  decomposes as  $\bar{\Gamma}_1 \oplus \bar{\Gamma}_2$ . We now *define* the subspace  $V_1 \subset V_{\mathbb{R}}$  to consist of all  $d_H$ -closed forms of total degree  $j$  whose twisted cohomology class lies in  $\Gamma_1$ :

$$V_1 = \{R \in \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H}^j \mid [R]_{d_H} \in \Gamma_1\}. \quad (7.8)$$

We denote the intersection  $V_1 \cap \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H, \mathbb{Z}}^j$  by  $\bar{V}_1$ , i.e. the space (7.8) with  $\Gamma_1$  changed to  $\bar{\Gamma}_1$ .

**Lemma 7.1.**  $V_1$  defined by (7.8) is an isotropic subspace in  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

Note that  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are *not complementary* subspaces. They have nonzero intersection  $V_{12} := V_1 \cap V_2$  where

$$V_{12} = \{d_H\text{-exact forms in } V_2\}. \quad (7.9)$$

**Quadratic function  $\Omega_0$ .** Recall that to write the cocycle  $\varphi$  in the simple form (6.15) we chose a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued QRIF  $\Omega_0$  with vanishing  $\mu$  class. Such a choice of QRIF with  $\mu = 0$  is naturally determined by a Lagrangian decomposition of  $K^{j,h(\tilde{B})}(X)/\text{torsion} = \bar{\Gamma}_1 \oplus \bar{\Gamma}_2$  as follows: We define  $\Omega_{\bar{\Gamma}_1 \oplus \bar{\Gamma}_2}$  to be  $= 1$  on  $\bar{\Gamma}_1$  and  $\bar{\Gamma}_2$ . Its values on all other vectors is then determined by the quadratic refinement law. More explicitly, any  $R \in \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H, \mathbb{Z}}^j$  can be written as  $R = R_1 + R_2$  where  $R_1 \in \bar{V}_1$  and  $R_2 \in V_2 \cap \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H, \mathbb{Z}}^j$ . Now define

$$\Omega_{\Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2}(R) := e^{i\pi\omega_j(R_1, R_2)}. \quad (7.10)$$

Since  $V_1 \cap V_2 \neq \{0\}$  the decomposition  $R = R_1 + R_2$  is not unique, but since  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are  $d_H$ -closed it follows that  $\Omega_{\bar{\Gamma}_1 \oplus \bar{\Gamma}_2}(R)$  does not depend on a particular choice of decomposition. Moreover  $\Omega_{\Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2}$  takes values in  $\{\pm 1\}$ . We choose  $\Omega_{\Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2}$  as the QRIF  $\Omega_0$  in (6.15).

**Partition function.** Now one can solve equation (7.5) via Fourier analysis. The expression for the partition function can be summarized by the following theorems:

**Theorem 7.1.** *The following Euclidean functional integral*

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta^\eta(a^+) &= \exp\left[-\frac{\pi}{2}(H - B)(\eta^+, \eta^+) + \frac{\pi}{2}B(a^+, a^+) - \pi(H - B)(a^+, \eta^+)\right] \\ &\quad \times \int_{\bar{V}_1/V_{12}} \mathcal{D}R \exp\left[-\frac{\pi}{2}(H - B)(R^+, R^+) + \pi(H - B)(a^+ + \eta^+, R^+)\right] \end{aligned} \quad (7.11)$$

(where the integral goes over all closed forms  $R \in \bar{V}_1$  modulo  $d_H$ -exact forms in  $V_2$ )  $a, \eta \in \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^j$

a) satisfies the functional equation

$$\vartheta^\eta(a^+ + \lambda^+) = \Omega_{\Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2}(\lambda) e^{2\pi i \omega_j(\eta, \lambda)} e^{\pi H(a^+, \lambda^+) + \frac{\pi}{2} H(\lambda^+, \lambda^+)} \vartheta^\eta(a^+) \quad (7.12)$$

for all  $\lambda \in \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H, \mathbb{Z}}^j$ .

b) satisfies the equation

$$\vartheta^{\eta+\lambda}(a^+) = \Omega_{\Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2}(\lambda) e^{i\pi \omega_j(\eta, \lambda)} \vartheta^\eta(a^+) \quad (7.13)$$



If both  $a$  and  $\eta$  are twisted harmonic forms (see Appendix B for the definition) then one can evaluate the functional integral in (7.11) explicitly. The result is summarized by

**Theorem 7.2.** *The functional integral (7.11) for  $\eta$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{H}(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H}^j$  is equal to*

$$\theta^\eta(a^+) = \mathcal{N}_{V_1, V_2}(g) \vartheta \left[ \frac{\eta}{\eta_2} \right] (a^+) \quad (7.14)$$

where  $\mathcal{N}_{V_1, V_2}(g)$  is a purely metric dependent factor coming from the integration over the exact forms in (7.11), and  $\vartheta \left[ \frac{\eta}{\eta_2} \right] (a^+)$  is the canonical theta function on the finite dimensional torus  $\mathcal{H}(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H}^j / \mathcal{H}(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H, \mathbb{Z}}^j$

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta \left[ \frac{\eta}{\eta_2} \right] (a^+) &= \exp \left[ -i\pi\omega_j(\eta_2, \eta_1) + \frac{\pi}{2}B(a^+, a^+) - \pi(H - B)(a^+, \eta^+) \right] \\ &\quad \times \sum_{R \in \Gamma_1^h - \eta_1} \exp \left[ -\frac{\pi}{2}(H - B)(R^+, R^+) + \pi(H - B)(a^+ + \eta_2^+, R^+) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (7.15)$$

Here  $\eta = \eta_1 + \eta_2$  according to the Lagrangian decomposition of the space of harmonic forms  $\Gamma^h = \Gamma_1^h + \Gamma_2^h$ .

**Remark 7.1.** *The form  $(H - B)$  restricted to  $V_1^+ \times V_1^+$  is symmetric. From (7.7b) it also follows that it vanishes on  $V_{12}$  and  $\text{Re}(H - B)|_{V_1^+ \times V_1^+}$  is positive definite on the complement of  $V_{12}$  inside  $V_1$ . In the theory of theta functions the quadratic form  $(H - B)$  restricted to the finite dimensional space  $\Gamma_1^h := V_1 \cap \mathcal{H}(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H}^j$*

$$\tau(v_1^+) := \frac{i}{2}(H - B)(v_1^+, v_1^+) \quad \text{for } v_1 \in \Gamma_1^h \quad (7.16)$$

is known as the complex period matrix.

**Corollary 7.1.** *The partition function  $\mathcal{Z}(a^+, a^-)$  is*

$$\mathcal{Z}_{V_1, V_2, J}(a^+, a^-) = e^{i\pi \text{Re}\omega_j(\sigma(\check{A}_\bullet + \check{\mu}), \mathcal{F}^-(\sigma(\check{A}_\bullet + \check{\mu})))} e^{i\pi\omega_j(a^-, a^+)} \vartheta^{\sigma(\check{A}_\bullet + \check{\mu})}(a^+) \quad (7.17)$$

where  $\check{\mu}$  is defined in (6.15) and determined by a choice of Lagrangian subspaces  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ . The factor  $e^{i\pi \text{Re}\omega_j(\sigma(\check{A}_\bullet + \check{\mu}), \mathcal{F}^-(\sigma(\check{A}_\bullet + \check{\mu})))}$  is added to ensure that the theta function does not depend on the choice of Lagrangian subspace  $\Gamma_1$ . We stress that  $\check{\mu}$  does depend on the choice of Lagrangian decomposition  $\Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2$ .

The partition function (7.17) looks particularly simple on the real slice  $a^- = (a^+)^*$  in the space of complexified gauge fields:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{V_1, V_2}(a; g) &= e^{i\pi \text{Re}\omega_j(\sigma_\bullet, \mathcal{F}^-(\sigma_\bullet)) - i\pi\omega_j(a, \sigma_\bullet)} \\ &\quad \times \int_{R \in \bar{V}_1 / V_{12}} \mathcal{D}R \exp \left[ -i\pi\omega_j(R - \sigma, \mathcal{F}^-(R - \sigma)) - i\pi\omega_j(R, \sigma) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (7.18)$$

where  $\sigma = \sigma(\check{A} + \check{\mu})$  and  $\sigma_\bullet = \sigma(\check{A}_\bullet + \check{\mu})$ .

**Remark 7.2.** We can use the base point  $\check{A}_\bullet$  to obtain a partition function coupled to the source  $[\check{j}]$ : the partition function of the RR field coupled to the source  $\check{j}$  is

$$\mathcal{Z}_{V_1, V_2}(a; \check{j}) := \mathcal{Z}_{V_1, V_2}(a)|_{\check{A}_\bullet = -\check{j}}. \quad (7.19)$$

This gives a physical interpretation to the choice of a basepoint in our constructions above - it is equivalent to a choice of a background RR current.

**Quantum equation of motion.** The infinitesimal version of the Gauss law (6.18) for  $R = d_H c$  yields a differential equation on  $\mathcal{Z}$

$$\left[ D_{d_H c} + 2\pi i \omega_{j+1}(c, F(\check{A})) \right] \mathcal{Z}(a) = 0. \quad (7.20)$$

Now we can apply this equation to the partition function (7.17) restricted to the real slice in the space of complexified gauge fields:  $(a^+)^* = a^-$ . Taking into account that  $\mathcal{Z}$  is a holomorphic section,  $D^- \mathcal{Z} = 0$  we obtain the following

**Theorem 7.3** (Quantum equation of motion). *The infinitesimal Gauss law yields the quantum equation of motion*

$$d_H \langle \mathcal{F}^-(R - \sigma) \rangle_{\check{A}, \check{j}} = -F(\check{A}) \quad (7.21)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}^-(v) := v \frac{1}{2} + J v \frac{1}{2}$  for any  $v \in \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^j$ ,  $\sigma = \sigma(\check{A} + \check{\mu})$  is defined in (6.16).  $\langle \mathcal{O}(R) \rangle_{\check{A}, \check{j}}$  is the normalized correlation function defined as the ratio of the Euclidean functional integral (7.11) with the insertion of  $\mathcal{O}(R)$  and the same integral without the insertion.

*Proof.* From (7.7a) it follows that  $(H - B)(v^+, w^+) = 2i \omega(v, \mathcal{F}^-(w))$ . A straightforward calculation yields

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} D^+ \mathcal{Z}(a^+, a^-) = \omega_j(\delta a, \langle \mathcal{F}^-(R - \sigma) \rangle_{\check{A}}) + \omega_j(\delta a^+, (a^+)^* - a^-).$$

Now if we restrict it to the real slice  $(a^+)^* = a^-$  the last term disappears. To obtain (7.21) one needs to substitute  $\delta a = d_H \alpha$  into the equation above, integrate by parts and compare with (7.20).  $\square$

## 8 $B$ -field dependence

In the previous sections we considered the partition function  $\mathcal{Z}_{\check{B}}$  as a function of the  $B$ -field for  $\check{B} \in \check{Z}^2(X)$ . However one expects that the partition function should depend only on the gauge equivalence class of the  $B$ -field. In other words, the partition function must descend to a function over a connected component of  $\check{H}^3(X)$ .




Figure 1: The space of D-brane currents is fibered over the space  $\check{Z}^2(X)$  of  $B$ -fields. On each connected component of  $\check{Z}^2(B)$  there is a path connecting two points, say  $\check{B}$  and  $\check{B} + b$ . There is a natural lift of this path into the total space:  $(\check{B}, \check{A}) \mapsto (\check{B} + b, e^b \check{A})$ . In addition we have a Chern-Simons line bundle over the space of D-brane currents. We now have to lift the path on  $\check{Z}^2(X)$  to the total space of the line bundle such that it maps covariantly constant sections to covariantly constant sections.

The partition function (7.18) is manifestly invariant under the  $B$ -field gauge transformation: all terms in the partition function are constructed from the objects which are invariant under the  $B$ -field gauge transformation.

The  $B$ -field dependence of the partition function (7.18) can be summarized by the following variational formula:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \delta_b \log \mathcal{Z}_{V_1, V_2}(a) = -\frac{1}{2} \left\langle \omega_j(u^{-1} \delta b \wedge \mathcal{F}^-(R - \sigma), \mathcal{F}^-(R - \sigma)) - \omega_j(u^{-1} \delta b \wedge (\sigma_\bullet)_2^\perp, (\sigma_\bullet)_2^\perp) \right\rangle \quad (8.1)$$

In deriving (8.1) we used the following

**Lemma 8.1.** *When the  $B$ -field changes by  $\check{B} \mapsto \check{B} + \delta b$  where  $\delta b \in \Omega^2(X)$  and elements of  $\Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^j$  are changed by  $\delta_b v = u^{-1} \delta b \wedge v$ . The bilinear form  $\omega_j(v, \mathcal{F}^-(w))$  changes by*

$$\delta_b \omega_j(v, \mathcal{F}^-(w)) = \omega_j(u^{-1} \delta b \wedge \mathcal{F}^-(v), \mathcal{F}^-(w)). \quad (8.2)$$

*The right hand side is a symmetric  $\mathbb{R}$ -bilinear form.*

*Proof.* The statement of lemma follows from the fact that the Lagrangian subspace  $V_2$  is invariant under  $b$ -transformations  $e^{u^{-1}b} V_2 \subseteq V_2$  where  $b \in \Omega^2(X)$ . From this invariance and equation

$$\delta_b v = u^{-1} \delta b \wedge v_2 + u^{-1} \delta b \wedge v_2^\perp = (\delta_b v)_2 + (\delta_b v)_2^\perp \quad (8.3)$$

it follows that

$$(\delta_b v)_2^\perp - u^{-1} \delta b \wedge v_2^\perp = u^{-1} \delta b \wedge v_2 - (\delta_b v)_2 \in V_2. \quad (8.4)$$

This equation will allow us to substitute  $u^{-1} \delta b \wedge v_2^\perp$  instead of  $(\delta_b v)_2^\perp$  in several terms below. The variation is

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_b \omega_j(v, \mathcal{F}^-(w)) &= \omega_j((\delta_b v)_2, w_2^\perp) + \omega_j((\delta_b v)_2^\perp, iJw_2^\perp) + \omega_j(v_2, (\delta_b w)_2^\perp) + \omega_j(v_2^\perp, iJ(\delta_b w)_2^\perp) \\ &\stackrel{8.3, 8.4}{=} \omega_j(u^{-1} \delta b \wedge v_2 + u^{-1} \delta b \wedge v_2^\perp, w_2^\perp) + \omega_j(u^{-1} \delta b \wedge v_2^\perp, iJw_2^\perp) \\ &\quad + \omega_j(v_2, u^{-1} \delta b \wedge w_2^\perp) + \omega_j(v_2^\perp, iJ(u^{-1} \delta b \wedge w_2^\perp)). \end{aligned}$$

Now from the invariance of the symplectic form under  $b$ -transformations (2.5) and  $0 = \omega_j(u^{-1} \delta b \wedge Jv_2^\perp, Jw_2^\perp)$  it follows that the equation above can be rewritten as in (8.2).  $\square$

## 8.1 Type IIA partition function

It is well known that the equation of motion for the  $B$ -field contains an extra metric dependent term [32, 33, 34]:

$$\ell_s^{-4} d(e^{-2\phi} * H) = \ell_s^{-6} R_0 \wedge *R_2 + \ell_s^{-2} R_2 \wedge *R_4 - \frac{1}{2} R_4 \wedge R_4 + X_8(g) \quad (8.5)$$

where  $X_8(g) = \frac{1}{48}(p_2 - \lambda^2)$  and  $\lambda = -\frac{p_1}{2}$  and  $p_i(g)$  denotes the standard representative of the Pontrjagin class in terms of traces of curvatures. This term naturally comes from the reduction of  $M$ -theory. It is clear that the action of type IIA must contain some  $B$ -field dependent term whose variation yields  $X_8(g)$ . In the literature this term is usually taken to be

$$e^{-2\pi i \int_M B \wedge X_8} \quad (8.6)$$

but this is incorrect because  $X_8$  does not have integral periods. Thus, this term in the action is not gauge invariant under large  $B$ -field gauge transformations. Moreover, it is not well-defined in the presence of topologically nontrivial  $B$ -fields. In this subsection we will show how our careful formulation of the partition function (7.18) cures this problem.

The partition function (7.18) is invariant under  $B$ -field gauge transformations. Thus we can only multiply it by a gauge invariant quantity. Although  $X_8$  does not have integral periods it was shown in [3] that

$$\frac{\lambda^2}{8} - X_8 = 30\hat{A}_8 \quad (8.7)$$

has an integral lift  $\Theta \in H^8(X, \mathbb{Z})$  whose image in de Rham cohomology is  $30\hat{A}_8$ . Therefore, the term  $e^{2\pi i \int_M B \wedge 30\hat{A}_8}$  is invariant under large  $B$ -field gauge transformations and can be defined in the presence of topologically nontrivial  $B$ -fields. Therefore, we can safely multiply our partition function by this term. The question is whether we get the term  $-\int_M \delta B \wedge \lambda^2/8$  by varying the RR partition function (7.18).

Suppose that there is no external current and the characteristic class  $\mu$  vanishes. Then we can choose a base point  $\check{A}_\bullet = 0$ . So  $\varepsilon := \sigma(\check{\mu})$  is a globally well defined form of total degree  $j$  such that  $2\varepsilon \in \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^j_{d_H, \mathbb{Z}}$ . The variation (8.1) of the partition function under the change of the  $B$ -field is

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \delta_b \log \mathcal{Z}_{V_1, V_2}(0) = -\frac{1}{2} \left\langle \omega_j(u^{-1} \delta b \wedge \mathcal{F}^-(R - \varepsilon), \mathcal{F}^-(R - \varepsilon)) - \omega_j(u^{-1} \delta b \wedge (\varepsilon)_2^\perp, (\varepsilon)_2^\perp) \right\rangle.$$

The characteristic  $\varepsilon$  can be decomposed as  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$ . One sees that the variation of the partition function indeed contains an extra term:  $\frac{1}{2} (\varepsilon_1)_2^\perp \wedge \phi_0((\varepsilon_1)_2^\perp)$ . In general, the projection  $(\varepsilon_1)_2^\perp$  of the characteristic  $\varepsilon_1$  depends on the choice of Lagrangian subspace  $V_2$ . In type IIA theory there is a natural choice of the Lagrangian subspace  $V_2$  given by the forms of degree 6, 8 and 10 (see (9.17) for more details). Reference [3] calculated the characteristic  $\varepsilon_1$  (or more precisely its projection  $(\varepsilon_1)_2^\perp$ ) for vanishing  $B$ -field as  $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{\lambda}{2} + \dots$ . One expects that for topologically trivial  $B$ -field  $\varepsilon_1 = e^b(\frac{\lambda}{2} + \dots)$  where “...” denote higher degree terms. Thus for topologically trivial  $B$ -field the variation of

$$e^{-2\pi i \int_M b \wedge 30\hat{A}_8} e^{i\pi \operatorname{Re} \omega(\varepsilon_1, \mathcal{F}^-(\varepsilon_1))} \quad (8.8)$$

is exactly  $+2\pi i \int_M \delta b \wedge X_8$ .

Now let us try to generalize these arguments for a topologically nontrivial  $B$ -field. Unfortunately we do not know how to calculate  $\varepsilon_1$  directly, so we conjecture its form, but our conjecture is strongly supported by the known equation of motion of the  $B$ -field, which should apply in the topologically nontrivial case as well. It is clear that to be able to use the arguments above  $\varepsilon_1$  must be related to  $\lambda/2$ . On the other hand  $\varepsilon_1$  must be a  $d_H$ -closed form. Therefore we essentially want  $\lambda$  to have a lift to the  $d_H$ -cohomology. However this condition cannot be taken literally,

and indeed it is easy to make backgrounds which are obviously consistent for which  $[\lambda \wedge H]_{dR}$  is nonzero. (Take the product of a WZW model with a CY space.) Recall that on a spin manifold  $\lambda$  is the preferred integral lift of the fourth Wu class  $\nu_4 \in H^4(X; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ . Thus an arbitrary integral lift of the Wu class  $\nu_4$  is  $[\lambda + 2\rho]$  where  $\rho$  is a closed 4-form with integral periods. We now require that there exists some  $\rho$  such  $\lambda + 2\rho$  has a lift to the  $d_H$ -cohomology. This in means that  $[(\lambda + 2\rho) \wedge H]_{dR} = 0$  and  $\{H, H, \lambda + 2\rho\} = 0$  where  $\{\cdot, \cdot, \cdot\}$  denotes the Massey product. If such a  $\rho$  exists we conjecture that  $\varepsilon_1 = (\frac{1}{2}\lambda + \rho) + \dots$ . In this case the variation of the phase

$$e^{-2\pi i \int_Y H \wedge (30\hat{A}_8 - \frac{1}{2}\rho(\rho + \lambda))} e^{i\pi \text{Re} \omega(\varepsilon_1, \mathcal{F}^-(\varepsilon_1))} \quad (8.9)$$

indeed yields exactly  $+2\pi i \int_M \delta b \wedge X_8$ . Here  $Y$  is an 11-manifold which bounds the 10-dim manifold  $X$ . Notice that the first exponential does not depend on the extension since both  $30\hat{A}_8$  and  $\frac{1}{2}\rho(\rho + \lambda)$  have integral periods.

We regard the above argument as strong evidence that there is a new topological restriction on consistent backgrounds of string theory, namely, the fourth Wu class  $\nu_4$  must have a lift  $\lambda + 2\rho$  whose projection modulo torsion is the first term in a cocycle for  $d_H$ -cohomology.

The above condition would be compatible with the following natural condition on IIA backgrounds:  $\nu_4$  must have an integral lift which itself has a lift to twisted  $K$ -theory. The first condition for such a lift would be:

$$(Sq^3 + h)(\lambda + 2\rho) = 0 \quad (8.10)$$

We speculate that this is in fact a topological restriction on IIA backgrounds. Unlike the condition we have derived, which is a consequence of (8.10), this condition is cleanly stated at the level of integral cohomology. For  $h = 0$  this condition was in fact derived carefully in [3]. A generalization to nonzero  $h$  was derived in [16]. Unfortunately, our equation (8.10) is not quite compatible with equation (11.10) of [16], and we suspect that the equation in [16] should be corrected to (8.10). This point obviously deserves further study, but is outside the scope of the present paper.


## 9 Action and equations of motion

The action for the self-dual field is essentially the complex period matrix (7.16) extended from the twisted K-group to the space of  $d_H$ -closed forms. The purpose of this section is to describe this extension in detail.

### 9.1 Classical action

First we need to extend the definition of the complex period matrix (7.16) defined on the cohomology to the infinite dimensional symplectic vector space  $V_{\mathbb{R}} = \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^j$  consisting of forms of total degree  $j$ .

$(X, g_E) = \mathbf{Riemannian\ manifold}$ . Following the discussion in section 7.2 we choose an orthogonal coordinate system on  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$  to be  $V_2 \oplus V_2^\perp$  where  $V_2$  is a Lagrangian subspace and  $V_2^\perp = J(V_2)$  is its orthogonal complement with respect to the Hodge metric. From the positivity of  $g_E$  it follows that  $V_2 \cap V_2^\perp = \{0\}$ . Recall that the Hodge complex structure is compatible with the symplectic



structure, thus  $V_{\mathbb{R}} = V_2 \oplus V_2^\perp$  is a Lagrangian decomposition. So any form  $v \in V_{\mathbb{R}}$  can be uniquely written in the form  $v = v_2 + v_2^\perp$  for some  $v_2 \in V_2$  and  $v_2^\perp \in V_2^\perp$ .

Let  $V_1$  be another Lagrangian subspace. A choice of Lagrangian decomposition  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2$  of the twisted cohomology  $\Gamma = H(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H}^j$  defines a *canonical* choice of  $V_1$ . However we postpone this discussion till the next paragraph. Now any element  $R$  from the Lagrangian subspace  $V_1$  can be written as

$$R = R_2 + R_2^\perp \tag{9.1}$$

where  $R_2$  and  $R_2^\perp$  are not independent but related by some linear function (see the figure). From (7.7b) it follows that the Euclidean action is

$$S_E(R^+) := i\pi\omega_j(R, \mathcal{F}^-(R)) \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{F}^-(R) := R_2^\perp + iJR_2^\perp. \tag{9.2}$$

$(M, g) = \mathbf{Lorentzian\ manifold}$ . The action in Lorentzian signature can be obtained from (9.2) by Wick rotation:

$$S_L(R) := \pi\omega_j(R, \mathcal{F}^+(R)) \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{F}^+(R) := R_2^\perp + IR_2^\perp. \tag{9.3}$$

This action depends on the choice of Lagrangian subspace  $V_2$ . For a Riemannian manifold a choice of  $V_2$  automatically defines the Lagrangian decomposition  $V_{\mathbb{R}} = V_2 \oplus J(V_2)$ . For a Lorentzian

manifold this is not true, and we need *to constrain* the choice of  $V_2$  by the requirement

$$V_2 \cap I(V_2) = \{0\}. \quad (9.4)$$

This condition means that  $V_2$  should not contain self- or anti self-dual forms.

In principle,  $V_2$  can be an arbitrary Lagrangian subspace satisfying the constraint (9.4). Recall that on any Lorentzian manifold  $M$  there exists a nowhere vanishing timelike vector field  $\xi$ . It can be used to define a Lagrangian subspace  $V_2(\xi) \subset \Omega(M; \mathcal{R})^j$  via

$$V_2(\xi) := \{\omega \in \Omega(M; \mathcal{R})^j \mid i_\xi \omega = 0\}.$$

**How to choose the Lagrangian subspace  $V_1$ .** Again we follow the discussion of section 7.2. The symplectic form  $\omega_j$  on  $V_{\mathbb{R}}$  defines a symplectic form on the twisted cohomology  $\Gamma = H(M; \mathcal{R})_{d_H}^j$ . In turn, a choice of Lagrangian subspace  $V_2 \subset V_{\mathbb{R}}$  defines a Lagrangian subspace  $\Gamma_2 \subset \Gamma$ . We choose  $\Gamma_1$  to be an arbitrary complementary Lagrangian subspace, so  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2$ . Using this data we define the subspace  $V_1 \subset V_{\mathbb{R}}$  to consist of all  $d_H$ -closed forms of total degree  $j$  whose cohomology class lies in  $\Gamma_1$ :

$$V_1 := \{R \in \Omega(M; \mathcal{R})_{d_H}^j \mid [R]_{d_H} \in \Gamma_1\}. \quad (9.5)$$

$V_1$  defined by (9.5) is an isotropic subspace of  $\Omega(M; \mathcal{R})^j$ . Note that the Lagrangian subspace  $V_1$  really depends on the choice of  $B$ -field: If  $b \in \Omega^2(X)$  then the two subspaces must be related by

$$V_1|_{\tilde{B}+b} = e^b V_1|_{\tilde{B}}. \quad (9.6)$$

**Equations of motion.** The variational problem for the action (9.3) is summarized by the following theorem:

**Theorem 9.1.** *Let  $V_1 \subset V_{\mathbb{R}}$  be a Lagrangian subspace defined by (9.5), and let  $R \in V_1$  be a  $d_H$ -closed form. Then the action*

$$S_L(R) = \pi\omega_j(R, \mathcal{F}^+(R)) = -\pi g_j(R_2^\perp, R_2^\perp) + \pi\omega_j(R_2, R_2^\perp) \quad (9.7)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}^+(R) := (R)_2^\perp + I(R)_2^\perp$  has the following properties:

- a. Variation with respect to  $R \mapsto R + d_H \delta c$  where  $\delta c \in \Omega(M; \mathcal{R})_{cpt}^{j-1}$  is

$$\delta S_L(R) = 2\pi\omega_{j+1}(u\delta c, d_H \mathcal{F}^+(R)). \quad (9.8a)$$

- b. Stationary points of the action are the solutions of the equation:

$$d_H \mathcal{F}^+(R) = 0. \quad (9.8b)$$



**Theorem 9.2.** *An arbitrary  $d_H$ -closed self-dual form  $\mathcal{F}^+$  can be written in form  $\mathcal{F}^+(R)$  for some  $R \in V_1$ .*

The proof is similar to the one presented in [10].

**Gauge symmetries.** The discussion here is identical to that in [10]. Let

$$V_{12} := V_1 \cap V_2 = \{d_H\text{-exact forms in } V_2\}. \quad (9.9)$$

Then we have the

**Theorem 9.3.** *The action (9.7) has two types of gauge symmetries:*

- a. *It manifestly invariant with respect  $\check{C} \mapsto \check{C} + \omega$  where  $\omega \in \Omega(M; \mathcal{R})_{d_H, \mathbb{Z}}^{j-1}$ .*
- b. *It is invariant under a shift  $R \mapsto R + v_{12}$  where  $v_{12} \in (V_1 \cap V_2)_{cpt}$ :*

$$S_L(R + v_{12}) = S_L(R). \quad (9.10)$$

Moreover the self-dual field  $\mathcal{F}^+$  does not depend on  $v_{12}$ :

$$\mathcal{F}^+(R + v_{12}) = \mathcal{F}^+(R). \quad (9.11)$$

From this theorem it follows that the gauge symmetry  $R \mapsto R + v_{12}$  does not affect classical equations. However this extra gauge symmetry has to be taken into account in the quantum theory.

**Coupling to the sources.** In section 5 we mentioned that a  $D$ -brane configuration defines a twisted differential character, the RR current  $[\check{j}] \in \check{K}^{j+1, \check{B}}(M)$ . As has been emphasized by D. Freed, the Ramond-Ramond field should be viewed as a trivialization of the total RR current  $[\check{j} + \check{\mu}]$ . This trivialization only exists if  $[\check{j}]$  satisfies the tadpole constraint:

$$x([\check{j}]) = \mu \quad (9.12)$$

where  $\mu$  is the torsion class appearing in the definition of the Chern-Simons functional. The curvature  $j_D := F(\check{j})$  of the differential cocycle  $\check{j}$  is what is usually called RR current. In [38, 28, 29] a formula for the RR current was derived:

$$j_D = \sqrt{\hat{A}} \text{ch}_{\check{B}}(f_![P]) \delta(W) \quad (9.13)$$

Here  $W$  is the worldvolume of the D-brane and  $\delta(W)$  is a  $\delta$ -form representative of the class Poincaré dual to  $[W]$ , and  $f : W \hookrightarrow M$ .  $\hat{A}$  is differential form representing the A-roof genus,  $P$  is a twisted bundle on  $W$ , and  $\text{ch}_{\hat{B}}(f_! [P])$  is a form representing the twisted Chern character of the pushforward of the twisted bundle  $[P]$  (in particular, it depends on the gauge field on the D-brane).

If the tadpole constraint is satisfied then  $\check{\mu} - \check{j}$  is a topologically trivial character. In section 7 we denoted its trivialization by  $\sigma_{\bullet}$ :

$$d_H \sigma_{\bullet} = -j_D. \quad (9.14)$$

From Remark 7.2 one obtains the following

**Theorem 9.4.** *The Lorentzian action in the presence of D-branes is*

$$S_L(R) = \pi \omega_j(R - \sigma_{\bullet}, \mathcal{F}^+(R - \sigma_{\bullet})) + \pi \omega_j(R, \sigma_{\bullet}) - \pi \omega_j((\sigma_{\bullet})_2, (\sigma_{\bullet})_2^{\perp}) \quad (9.15a)$$

for  $R \in \bar{V}_1$ . The variation of the action with respect to  $\delta R = d_H \delta c$  is given by

$$\delta S_L(R) = 2\pi \omega_{j+1}(u \delta c, d_H \mathcal{F}^+(R - \sigma_{\bullet}) - j_D). \quad (9.15b)$$

The variation of the action under change of the B-field is

$$\delta_b S_L(R) = \pi \omega_j(u^{-1} \delta b \wedge \mathcal{F}^+(R - \sigma_{\bullet}), \mathcal{F}^+(R - \sigma_{\bullet})) - \pi \omega_j(u^{-1} \delta b \wedge (\sigma_{\bullet})_2^{\perp}, (\sigma_{\bullet})_2^{\perp}). \quad (9.15c)$$

The action can also be written in the form

$$S_L(R) = -\pi g_j((R - \sigma_{\bullet})_2^{\perp}, (R - \sigma_{\bullet})_2^{\perp}) + \pi \omega_j((R)_2, (R)_2^{\perp}) + 2\pi \omega_j((R)_2^{\perp}, (\sigma_{\bullet})_2) \quad (9.16)$$

The first term represents the kinetic terms for RR fields. The second term is the analog of the Chern-Simons interaction. Note that it does not depend on the source  $\sigma_{\bullet}$ . The third term is the usual “electric” coupling to the sources.

Denoting  $G_s = -\sigma_{\bullet}$  and  $G = R - \sigma_{\bullet}$  we obtain the action written informally in the introduction. Of course, for localized sources such as branes, the expressions quadratic in  $\sigma_{\bullet}$  will require regularization. This is to be expected when taking into account backreaction in supergravity, and renormalization of these terms relies on an ultraviolet extension of the supergravity theory.

It is interesting to take special note of the “anomalous couplings” of the source to the RR current. This is represented by the second term in (9.15a) and by the third term in (9.16). In the past literature on D-brane couplings there has been some confusion about a crucial factor of two in this coupling. In references [38, 29] the anomalous coupling was written with a factor of two too large and there was a compensating mistake in a reality condition. In references [28, 2]

this factor of two was corrected, and particularly stressed in the second reference, but without a complete explanation of how to handle the self-duality of the RR fields. It is very nice that the correct factor of two appears automatically in our present formalism. Moreover, note that when we rearrange terms so that there is only an electric coupling, as in (9.16) the strength of the coupling is two times as large.

## 9.2 Examples

One of the main results of this paper is that the action for RR fields is *not* unique: the actions are parameterized by Lagrangian subspaces  $V_2$  and  $\Gamma_1$ . In this section we illustrate this result by 4 examples:

- The action for RR fields of type IIA: 1) on an arbitrary Lorentzian 10-manifold; 2) on a product space  $\mathbb{R} \times N$  where  $N$  is a compact 9-manifold.
- The action for RR fields of type IIB: on a product space  $\mathbb{R} \times N$  for different choices of  $V_2$ .

### 9.2.1 RR fields of type IIA: Example 1

The symplectic vector space  $V_{\mathbb{R}} = \Omega(M; \mathcal{R})^0$  can be written as:

$$\Omega(M; \mathcal{R})^0 = \underbrace{\Omega^0 \oplus u^{-1}\Omega^2 \oplus u^{-2}\Omega^4}_{I(V_2)} \oplus \underbrace{u^{-3}\Omega^6 \oplus u^{-4}\Omega^8 \oplus u^{-5}\Omega^{10}}_{V_2} \quad (9.17)$$

It contains a natural Lagrangian subspace  $V_2$  which is spanned by forms of degree 6, 8, 10 and is invariant under the  $b$ -transform:  $e^{u^{-1}b}V_2 \subseteq V_2$  where  $b \in \Omega^2(M)$ . The Lagrangian subspace  $V_2$  defines a Lagrangian subspace  $\Gamma_2$  in the even twisted cohomology  $H(M; \mathcal{R})_{d_H}^0$ . Let  $\Gamma_1$  be an arbitrary complementary Lagrangian subspace.  $V_1$  is defined by

$$V_1 = \{R \in \Omega(M; \mathcal{R})_{d_H}^0 \mid [R]_{d_H} \in \Gamma_1\}. \quad (9.18)$$

$R \in V_1$  decomposes as

$$R = \underbrace{R_0 + u^{-1}R_2 + u^{-2}R_4}_{R_2^\perp} + \underbrace{u^{-3}R_6 + u^{-4}R_8 + u^{-5}R_{10}}_{R_2}. \quad (9.19)$$

Let us stress once again that cohomology classes of  $R_6, R_8, R_{10}$  are *functions* of  $R_0, R_2, R_4$ .

Substituting (9.19) into (9.7) one finds that the self-dual flux  $\mathcal{F}^+(G)$  is

$$\mathcal{F}^+(G) = G_0 + u^{-1}G_2 + u^{-2}G_4 + \ell_s^{-2}u^{-3} * G_4 - \ell_s^{-6}u^{-4} * G_2 + \ell_s^{-10}u^{-5} * G_0 \quad (9.20)$$

where  $G = R - \varepsilon$ . Recall that  $\varepsilon = \sigma([\check{\mu}])$  in the absence of external current. The Lorentzian action is

$$e^{iS_{\text{IIA}}(R)} = \exp \left[ -\frac{i\pi}{\ell_s^{10}} \int_M G_0 \wedge *G_0 - \frac{i\pi}{\ell_s^6} \int_M G_2 \wedge *G_2 - \frac{i\pi}{\ell_s^2} \int_M G_4 \wedge *G_4 - i\pi \int_M (R_{10} \wedge R_0 - R_8 \wedge R_2 + R_6 \wedge R_4) - 2\pi \int_M (R_0 \wedge \varepsilon_{10} - R_2 \wedge \varepsilon_8 + R_4 \wedge \varepsilon_6) \right]. \quad (9.21)$$

Note that in the first line the shifted curvature  $G$  appears while the second line is written using only  $R$ . One might suspect that this action functional depends on extra degrees of freedom contained in 5-, 7- and 9-form gauge potentials, but this is not the case because of the definitions of fields in terms of Lagrangian subspaces.

The variation of the action (9.21) with respect to  $R \mapsto R + d_H \delta c$  yields the equation  $d_H \mathcal{F}^+(G) = 0$  which in components yields the Bianchi identities:

$$dG_0 = 0, \quad dG_2 - H \wedge G_0 = 0, \quad dG_4 - H \wedge G_2 = 0; \quad (9.22a)$$

together with the equations of motion

$$d(\ell_s^{-2} * G_4) - H \wedge G_4 = 0, \quad d(\ell_s^{-4} * G_2) + H \wedge *G_4 = 0. \quad (9.22b)$$

The kinetic terms in the action (9.21) coincide with the kinetic terms of the RR fields in the string frame. The topological term is different from what is usually written. The usual discussion proceeds as follows: Suppose that the 10-dimensional manifold is a boundary of an 11-manifold  $Y$  and that  $H$  and  $R_4$  admit extensions  $\tilde{H}$  and  $\tilde{R}_4$  to  $Y$ . In this situation the Chern-Simons term is usually defined by  $e^{-i\pi \int_Y \tilde{H} \tilde{R}_4 \tilde{R}_4}$ . In order for this term to be well defined the integral  $\oint_Y \tilde{H} \tilde{R}_4 \tilde{R}_4$  over an arbitrary closed 11-manifold would have to be an even integer. Unfortunately, in general, such extensions need not exist; even when they do exist they are not correctly quantized, so that the expression is not well-defined. Moreover, if the extension is just defined as an extension of differential forms the expression varies continuously with a choice of extension. Our expression (9.21) nicely resolves all these problems. To make contact with the usual expression, suppose that  $M$  is a boundary of an 11 manifold  $Y$  and the differential  $K$ -character  $[\check{C}]$  extends to a character  $[\check{\check{C}}]$  over  $Y$ . Then, in particular,  $R_{2p}, H$  admit extensions  $\tilde{R}_{2p}, \tilde{H}$  to  $Y$  satisfying the Bianchi identity. In this case

$$e^{-i\pi \int_{\partial Y} (R_{10} \wedge R_0 - R_8 \wedge R_2 + R_6 \wedge R_4)} = e^{-i\pi \int_Y d(\tilde{R}_{10} \wedge \tilde{R}_0 - \tilde{R}_8 \wedge \tilde{R}_2 + \tilde{R}_6 \wedge \tilde{R}_4)} = e^{-i\pi \int_Y \tilde{H} \wedge \tilde{R}_4 \wedge \tilde{R}_4} \quad (9.23)$$

where in the last equality we used the Bianchi identity. Using this one sees that the local expression in (9.21) has the same local variation as the standard CS term. There is no dependence on the choice of extension since  $\tilde{H} \tilde{R}_4 \tilde{R}_4$  is an exact form.

**Remark 9.1.** Suppose we change the B-field from  $\check{B}$  to  $\check{B} + b$ . The curvature of the RR field also changes:  $R(\check{B} + b) = e^{u^{-1}b}R(\check{B})$ . The ‘‘Chern-Simons’’ for  $\check{B} + b$  is related to that for  $\check{B}$  by the multiplicative factor

$$\exp \left[ -i\pi \int_M \left[ b R_4 R_4 + b^2 R_2 R_4 + \frac{1}{3} b^3 (R_2^2 + R_0 R_4) + \frac{1}{4} b^4 R_0 R_2 + \frac{1}{20} b^5 R_0^2 \right] \right] \quad (9.24)$$

where  $R$  is the curvature of the RR field for the B-field  $\check{B}$ .

**IIA action in the presence of D-branes.** It is also important to stress that our expression makes sense in the presence of  $D$ -brane sources. In the presence of sources the standard CS term is not well defined since  $\check{H}\check{R}_4\check{R}_4$  is not even a closed form. Nevertheless, (9.21) remains well defined.

The D-brane current  $j_D$  can be written as  $j_D = j_1 + u^{-1}j_2 + \dots + u^{-4}j_9$ . Let  $-\sigma$  be its trivialization:  $j_D = -d_H\sigma$ . Substituting the expansion (9.19) into (9.16) one finds the Lorentzian action

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{IIA}}(R) = & -\frac{\pi}{\ell_s^{10}} \int_M G_0 \wedge *G_0 - \frac{\pi}{\ell_s^6} \int_M G_2 \wedge *G_2 - \frac{\pi}{\ell_s^2} \int_M G_4 \wedge *G_4 \\ & - \pi \int_M (R_{10} \wedge R_0 - R_8 \wedge R_2 + R_6 \wedge R_4) - 2\pi \int_M (R_0 \wedge \sigma_{10} - R_2 \wedge \sigma_8 + R_4 \wedge \sigma_6) \end{aligned} \quad (9.25)$$

where  $G = R - \sigma$ . The first three terms are the standard couplings to the magnetic branes, while the last term is the WZ term for the D-brane. Under the change  $R \mapsto R + d_H c$  the last term changes by

$$\exp \left[ 2\pi i \int_M (c_3 \wedge j_7 - c_1 \wedge j_6) \right]. \quad (9.26)$$

This term is the usual WZ coupling of D-brane or RR current to a topologically trivial RR field.

### 9.2.2 RR fields of type IIA: Example 2

In this section we give an example of a type IIA action which is perhaps less familiar to the reader. Suppose that the 10-dimensional manifold  $M$  is a product space  $\mathbb{R} \times N$ . The most general metric on  $M$  is

$$ds_M^2 = -\rho^2 dt^2 + (g_N)_{ij} (dx^i - \xi^i dt)(dx^j - \xi^j dt). \quad (9.27)$$

where  $\rho$  is the lapse and  $\xi^j$  the shift and  $g_N$  is a Riemannian metric on  $N$ . From the geometric point of view  $\Theta = (dx^i - \xi^i dt) \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$  is a connection on a topologically trivial bundle  $N \rightarrow M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  (for more details see section 7.2.2 of [10]). The vector field  $\partial/\partial t$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  lifts to a vector field  $\xi_M$  on  $M$ :

$$i_{\xi_M} \Theta = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \xi_M := \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \xi^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}. \quad (9.28)$$

$\xi_M$  is a tangent vector defined by the proper time. The connection  $\Theta$  defines a decomposition of the tangent plane  $T_x M$  into horizontal and vertical vectors. This decomposition is the orthogonal decomposition in the metric (9.27).

An orthogonal projector onto the space of horizontal vectors is defined by

$$P(\eta) := \xi_M \frac{g(\xi_M, \eta)}{g(\xi_M, \xi_M)} = \xi_M dt(\eta) \quad \text{for } \eta \in \text{Vect}(M).$$

Its dual  $P^* := dt \wedge i_{\xi_M}$  defines a decomposition of the differential forms into vertical and horizontal.

The projector  $P^*$  decomposes the space of even forms into

$$\Omega(M; \mathcal{R})^0 = \underbrace{(1 - P^*)\Omega(M; \mathcal{R})^0}_{\text{vertical: } I(V_2)} \oplus \underbrace{P^*\Omega(M; \mathcal{R})^0}_{\text{horizontal: } V_2} \quad (9.29)$$

If in addition we assume that the  $B$ -field on  $M$  is pulled back from  $N$  then we can choose our Lagrangian subspace  $V_2$  to be the horizontal forms and thus  $I(V_2)$  is the space of vertical ones. Since  $M$  is a product space there is another decomposition of the space of even forms

$$\Omega(M; \mathcal{R})^0 = \Omega^0(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \Omega(N; \mathcal{R})^0 \oplus \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \Omega(N; \mathcal{R})^{-1}. \quad (9.30)$$

These two decomposition are related in the following way

$$R = \bar{R}_{ev} + dt \wedge \bar{R}_{odd} = \underbrace{(\bar{R}_{ev} - dt \wedge i_{\xi} \bar{R}_{ev})}_{(R)_{\frac{1}{2}}} + \underbrace{dt \wedge (\bar{R}_{odd} + i_{\xi} \bar{R}_{ev})}_{(R)_2} \quad (9.31)$$

where  $\bar{R}_{ev} = \bar{R}_0 + u^{-1} \bar{R}_2 + \dots + u^{-4} \bar{R}_8$ ,  $\bar{R}_{odd} = u^{-1} \bar{R}_1 + u^{-2} \bar{R}_3 + \dots + u^{-5} \bar{R}_9$  and  $\{\bar{R}_p\}$  are  $t$ -dependent  $p$ -forms on  $N$ . Substituting the decomposition (9.31) into (9.3) one finds the action

$$\begin{aligned} S_L(R) = & -\pi \int_{\mathbb{R} \times N} \rho dt \wedge [\ell_s^{-10} \bar{R}_0 \wedge *_N \bar{R}_0 + \dots + \ell_s^6 \bar{R}_8 \wedge *_N \bar{R}_8] \\ & + \pi \int_{\mathbb{R} \times N} dt \wedge [(\bar{R}_1 + i_{\xi} \bar{R}_2) \wedge \bar{R}_8 \mp \dots - (\bar{R}_7 + i_{\xi} \bar{R}_8) \wedge \bar{R}_2 + \bar{R}_9 \wedge \bar{R}_0] \end{aligned} \quad (9.32)$$

and the self-dual field

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^+(R) = & \bar{R}_0 + u^{-1}(\bar{R}_2 - \ell_s^6 \rho dt \wedge *_N \bar{R}_8) + u^{-2}(\bar{R}_4 + \ell_s^2 \rho dt \wedge *_N \bar{R}_6) \\ & + u^{-3}(\bar{R}_6 - \ell_s^{-2} \rho dt \wedge *_N \bar{R}_4) + u^{-4}(\bar{R}_8 + \ell_s^{-6} \rho dt \wedge *_N \bar{R}_2) - u^{-5} \ell_s^{-10} \rho dt \wedge *_N \bar{R}_0. \end{aligned} \quad (9.33)$$

Let us compare expressions for the self-dual fields (9.20) for product space and (9.33): it is clear that they are just different parameterizations of the *same* classical object  $\mathcal{F}^+$ .

### 9.2.3 RR fields of type IIB: Example 1

To construct an action principal we have to choose a Lagrangian subspace. So consider a product space  $M = \mathbb{R} \times N$  equipped with metric (9.27). The space of odd forms decomposes as

$$\Omega(M; \mathcal{R})^{-1} = \underbrace{u^{-1}\Omega^1 \oplus u^{-2}\Omega^3 \oplus u^{-3}(1 - P^*)\Omega^5}_{I(V_2)} \oplus \underbrace{u^{-3}P^*\Omega^5 \oplus u^{-4}\Omega^7 \oplus u^{-5}\Omega^9}_{V_2} \quad (9.34)$$

where  $P^*$  is the projection operator defined in the previous section. If in addition we assume that the  $B$ -field on  $M$  is pullback from  $N$  then we can choose Lagrangian subspace  $V_2$  as shown above.

So any element  $R$  of  $V_1$  can be written as

$$R = \underbrace{u^{-1}R_1 + u^{-2}R_3 + u^{-3}(\bar{R}_5 - dt \wedge i_\xi \bar{R}_5)}_{(R)_2^\perp} + \underbrace{u^{-3}dt \wedge (\bar{R}_4 + i_\xi \bar{R}_5) + u^{-4}R_7 + u^{-5}R_9}_{(R)_2}. \quad (9.35)$$

Substituting the decomposition (9.35) into (9.3) one finds the action

$$e^{iS_L(R)} = \exp \left[ -i\pi \int_{\mathbb{R} \times N} [\ell_s^{-8} R_1 \wedge *_M R_1 + \ell_s^{-4} R_3 \wedge *_M R_3] - i\pi \int_{\mathbb{R} \times N} \rho dt \wedge \bar{R}_5 \wedge *_N \bar{R}_5 \right. \\ \left. + i\pi \int_{\mathbb{R} \times N} [dt \wedge (\bar{R}_4 + i_\xi \bar{R}_5) \wedge \bar{R}_5 - R_7 \wedge R_3 + R_9 \wedge R_1] \right]. \quad (9.36)$$

and the self-dual field

$$\mathcal{F}^+(R) = u^{-1}R_1 + u^{-2}R_3 + u^{-3}(\bar{R}_5 - \rho dt \wedge *_N \bar{R}_5) + u^{-4} \ell_s^{-4} *_M R_3 - u^{-5} \ell_s^{-8} *_M R_1. \quad (9.37)$$

**Comparing the action (9.36) to the pseudo action.** The equations of motion for IIB are usually obtained from a “pseudo-action.” The adjective “pseudo” refers to the property that its variation does not give the proper equations of motion. Instead, to obtain the type IIB equations of motion one has to impose the self-duality constraint by hand. The pseudo-action contains the following Chern-Simons term<sup>10</sup>

$$\Phi_B = \exp \left[ -i\pi \int_Y \tilde{R}_5 \wedge \tilde{H} \wedge \tilde{R}_3 \right] \quad (9.38)$$

where  $Y$  is an 11-manifold bounding the 10-manifold  $M$ . The right hand side does not depend on a choice of extension provided the integral of  $\tilde{H} \wedge \tilde{R}_5 \wedge \tilde{R}_3$  over any closed 11-manifold is an even integer. In general, this is in fact not the case! (Indeed this can create difficulties in the

---

<sup>10</sup>We are talking here about the pseudo action defined in [39]. There is a different pseudo action defined in [12], and it does not have this problem.

AdS/CFT correspondence [35].) Let us compare this Chern-Simons term with the one contained in the action (9.36).

Suppose that  $M$  is a product space  $S^1 \times N$  where  $N$  is a compact 9-manifold. We choose our 11-manifold  $Y$  to be  $D_2 \times N$  where  $D_2$  is a two dimensional disk bounding  $S^1$ . Assuming that the RR fields have an extension to  $Y$  we can rewrite the second term in (9.36) as

$$e^{+i\pi \int_{\partial D_2 \times N} [dt \wedge (\bar{R}_4 + i_\xi \bar{R}_5) \wedge \bar{R}_5 - R_7 \wedge R_3 + R_9 \wedge R_1]} \stackrel{\text{Bianchi id.}}{=} \exp \left[ -2\pi i \int_{D_2 \times N} \bar{R}_5 \tilde{H} \tilde{R}_3 \right].$$

Notice the factor of 2 as compared to (9.38)!

### 9.2.4 RR fields of type IIB: Example 2

In this example we choose a different Lagrangian decomposition of the space of odd forms on the product space  $M = \mathbb{R} \times N$  equipped with metric (9.27). The space of odd forms now decomposes as

$$\Omega(M; \mathcal{R})^{-1} = \underbrace{(1 - P^*)\Omega(M; \mathcal{R})^{-1}}_{I(V_2)} \oplus \underbrace{P^*\Omega(M; \mathcal{R})^{-1}}_{V_2} \quad (9.39)$$

where  $P^*$  is the projection operator defined in the previous section. If in addition we assume that the  $B$ -field on  $M$  is pulled back from  $N$  then we can choose Lagrangian subspace  $V_2$  as shown above. Since  $M$  is a product space there is another decomposition of the space of odd forms

$$\Omega(M; \mathcal{R})^{-1} = \Omega^0(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \Omega(N; \mathcal{R})^{-1} \oplus \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \Omega(N; \mathcal{R})^{-2}. \quad (9.40)$$

These two decomposition are related in the following way

$$R = \bar{R}_{odd} + dt \wedge \bar{R}_{ev} = \underbrace{(\bar{R}_{odd} - dt \wedge i_\xi \bar{R}_{odd})}_{(R)_2^\perp} + \underbrace{dt \wedge (\bar{R}_{ev} + i_\xi \bar{R}_{odd})}_{(R)_2} \quad (9.41)$$

where  $\bar{R}_{odd} = u^{-1}\bar{R}_1 + \dots + u^{-5}\bar{R}_9$ ,  $\bar{R}_{ev} = u^{-1}\bar{R}_0 + \dots + u^{-5}\bar{R}_8$  and  $\{\bar{R}_p\}$  are  $t$ -dependent  $p$ -forms on  $N$ . Substituting the decomposition (9.41) into (9.3) one finds the action

$$\begin{aligned} S_L(R) = & -\pi \int_{\mathbb{R} \times N} \rho dt \wedge [\ell_s^{-8} \bar{R}_1 \wedge *_N \bar{R}_1 + \dots + \ell_s^8 \bar{R}_9 \wedge *_N \bar{R}_9] \\ & + \pi \int_{\mathbb{R} \times N} dt \wedge [(\bar{R}_0 + i_\xi \bar{R}_1) \wedge \bar{R}_9 - (\bar{R}_2 + i_\xi \bar{R}_3) \wedge \bar{R}_7 \mp \dots + (\bar{R}_8 + i_\xi \bar{R}_9) \wedge \bar{R}_1] \end{aligned} \quad (9.42)$$

and the self-dual field

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^+(R) = & u^{-1}(\bar{R}_1 - \ell_s^8 \rho dt \wedge *_N \bar{R}_9) + u^{-2}(\bar{R}_3 + \ell_s^4 \rho dt \wedge *_N \bar{R}_7) \\ & + u^{-3}(\bar{R}_5 - \rho dt \wedge *_N \bar{R}_5) + u^{-4}(\bar{R}_7 + \ell_s^{-4} \rho dt \wedge *_N \bar{R}_3) + u^{-5}(\bar{R}_9 - \ell_s^{-8} \rho dt \wedge *_N \bar{R}_1). \end{aligned} \quad (9.43)$$



## 10 Dependence on metric

To study metric dependence of the partition function and the action one has to use the following two results:

**Lemma 10.1.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a 10-dimensional Lorentzian manifold. The variation of the involution  $I$  defined in (2.8) with respect to the metric is given by*

$$\delta_g I = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(\xi_g) I + I \circ \xi_g \quad \text{where} \quad \xi_g = (\delta g^{-1} g)^\mu{}_\nu dx^\nu \wedge i\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\right). \quad (10.1)$$

**Lemma 10.2.** *If the Lagrangian subspace  $V_2$  is chosen to be independent of the metric then the variation of the bilinear form  $\omega_j(v, \mathcal{F}^+(w))$  with respect to metric is a symmetric form given by*

$$\delta_g \omega_j(v, \mathcal{F}^+(w)) = \frac{1}{2} \omega_j(\mathcal{F}^+(v), I \circ \xi_g \mathcal{F}^+(w)) = -\frac{1}{2} g_j(\mathcal{F}^+(v), \xi_g \mathcal{F}^+(w)). \quad (10.2)$$

These two lemmas easily follow from the results of section 8 in [10].

**Stress-energy tensor for the self-dual field.** In section 9.2 we derived the following action for the RR field on a Lorentzian manifold  $(M, g)$ :

$$S_L(R) = \pi \omega_j(R, \mathcal{F}^+(R)) \quad (10.3)$$

where  $R$  is a  $d_H$ -closed form belonging to the isotropic subspace  $\bar{V}_1$ . The stress-energy tensor is given by the following theorem

**Theorem 10.1.** *The variation of the action (10.3) with respect to the metric is*

$$\delta_g S_L(R) = \frac{\pi}{2} \omega_j(\mathcal{F}^+(R), I \circ \xi_g \mathcal{F}^+(R)) =: -\frac{\pi}{2} \int_M \delta g^{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu}(\mathcal{F}^+) \operatorname{vol}(g) \quad (10.4)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}^+(R) := (R)_2^\perp + I(R)_2^\perp$  is the self-dual projection of  $R$ , and the operator  $\xi_g$  is defined in (10.1). The derivation of (10.4) relies only on the fact that the subspaces  $V_2$  and  $\bar{V}_1$  do not depend on a choice of metric.

In [10] we derived an expression for the stress-energy tensor of a  $p$ -form using the operator  $\xi_g$ . It is convenient to rewrite (10.4) by substituting  $\mathcal{F}^+(R) = (R)_2^\perp + I(R)_2^\perp$ :

$$\int_M \delta g^{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu} \operatorname{vol}(g) = g_j(\mathcal{F}^+(R), \xi_g(R)_2^\perp) - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \xi_g g_j((R)_2^\perp, (R)_2^\perp). \quad (10.5)$$

where  $\operatorname{tr} \xi_g = \operatorname{tr}(\delta g^{-1} g)$ .

Let us consider several examples. The self-dual field  $\mathcal{F}^+$  can be parameterized in many ways depending on the choice of Lagrangian subspace  $V_2$ . Here we will consider the self-dual fields presented in (2.11) and (2.12).

For type IIA one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \delta g^{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{IIA}} \text{vol}(g) = & \ell_s^{-10} \left[ R_0 \wedge * \xi_g R_0 - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \xi_g R_0 \wedge * R_0 \right] \\ & + \ell_s^{-6} \left[ R_2 \wedge * \xi_g R_2 - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \xi_g R_2 \wedge * R_2 \right] + \ell_s^{-2} \left[ R_4 \wedge * \xi_g R_4 - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \xi_g R_4 \wedge * R_4 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (10.6)$$

This is the standard stress-energy tensor for RR fields of type IIA.

For type IIB one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \delta g^{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu}^{\text{IIB}} \text{vol}(g) = & \ell_s^{-8} \left[ R_1 \wedge * \xi_g R_1 - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \xi_g R_1 \wedge * R_1 \right] \\ & + \ell_s^{-4} \left[ R_3 \wedge * \xi_g R_3 - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \xi_g R_3 \wedge * R_3 \right] + \mathcal{F}_5^+ \wedge * \xi_g \mathcal{F}_5^+. \end{aligned} \quad (10.7)$$

This is the standard stress-energy tensor for RR fields of type IIB.

## 11 Conclusion: Future Directions

There are many potentially fruitful directions for future research. As mentioned in the introduction, one of our main motivations was to understand the action sufficiently clearly to be able to address the problem of computing the amplitudes for brane instanton mediated transitions between flux vacua. It is also of interest to compute more explicitly the one-loop determinants, especially in the IIB case (this is also true, and even more pressing, for the self-dual field on the M5-brane). We intend to use our improved understanding of the twisted K-theory partition function to understand how the computation of [3] generalizes to the case of nontrivial background  $H$ -flux. It would also be very interesting to see if our formalism fits in well with supersymmetry, and in particular how the fermions in supergravity can be incorporated. The results of [12] make this avenue of research appear to be very promising. On the more mathematical end, one of the weaknesses in our discussion has been the treatment of differential cocycles. Indeed our motivation for regarding a differential K-theory group as a gauge group was somewhat formal. It would be very nice to have a concrete model of differential cocycles in twisted differential K-theory which makes this identification more obvious. Furthermore, there are interesting formal similarities between the discussion of twisted Chern characters and certain aspects of generalized complex geometry which

we hope to explore.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank D. Freed for initial collaboration on this project, and J. Distler and D. Freed for collaboration on closely related projects. We would also like to thank S. de Alwis, J. Harvey, J. Jenquin, S. Lukic, S. Reffert, G. Segal, Y. Tachikawa, E. Witten and L. Pando Zayas for discussions and correspondence. D.B. thanks C. Hull, A.-K. Kashani-Poor, K. Stelle, A. Tseytlin and D. Waldram for discussions and correspondence. We would like to acknowledge the hospitality of the Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics, the Aspen Center for Physics, and the Simons Workshop at Stony Brook, where part of this work was done. G.M. thanks the Institute for Advanced Study and the Monell foundation for hospitality. D.B. thanks New High Energy Theory Center at Rutgers University, the Center for Theoretical Physics at University of Michigan and Theoretical Physics Group at the University of California at Berkeley. This work was supported in part by DOE grant DE-FG02-96ER40949, and by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY99-07949. D.B. was supported by PPARC and in part by RFBR grant 05-01-00758.

# A Twisted cohomology

In this appendix we give an example of some nontrivial twisted cohomology groups, following the work of Atiyah and Segal [25].

Twisted cohomology is a cohomology for the twisted differential  $d_H = d - u^{-1}H$  which acts on  $\Omega(X; \mathbb{R}[u, u^{-1}])$ . It is clear that  $d_H$  operator preserves only parity and therefore there are only two twisted cohomologies: even  $H_{d_H}^0(X)$  and odd  $H_{d_H}^1(X)$ . Although the twisted differential does not preserve grading of the de Rham complex it preserves filtration whose  $p$ -component is the sum of the forms of degrees greater or equal than  $p$ . Atiyah and Segal [25] showed that this filtration yields a spectral sequence which converges to the twisted cohomology.

The lowest term in the spectral sequence is just  $\Omega^\bullet(X; \mathbb{R})$  with the usual differential  $d$ , so

$$E_2 = H^\bullet(X).$$

Now consider  $x$  of the form

$$x = x_p + u^{-1}x_{p+2} + u^{-2}x_{p+4} + \dots \tag{A.1}$$

where  $dx_p = 0$  is a closed  $p$ -form representing the cohomology class  $[x_p] \in H^p(X)$ . We want to choose  $x_{p+2}, x_{p+4}, \dots$  such that  $d_H x = 0$ . This yields the following series of equations

$$dx_{p+2} - H \wedge x_p = 0, \quad dx_{p+4} - H \wedge x_{p+2} = 0, \quad \text{etc.} \tag{A.2}$$

The first equation says that  $H \wedge x_p$  vanishes in the de Rham cohomology. Thus the third differential in the spectral sequence  $d_3$  is just multiplication by  $-H$ . Hence  $E_3 = \ker d_3 / \text{im } d_3$ . There is no differential of degree 4 so  $E_4 \cong E_3$ . Now for  $x_p \in E_4$  it is guaranteed that  $[H \wedge x_p] = 0$  thus there exists  $x_{p+2}$  such that  $dx_{p+2} = H \wedge x_p$ . The second equation in (A.2) says that  $H \wedge x_{p+2}$  must vanish in the de Rham cohomology. This imposes a restriction on  $x_p$  which can be written using Massey product  $\{H, H, x_p\} = 0$  (for definition of the Massey product see [25]). Thus the fifth differential in the spectral sequence is  $d_5 = -\{H, H, \cdot\}$ . So  $E_6 \cong E_5 = \ker d_5 / \text{im } d_5$ . In [25] it is proved that the higher differentials of this spectral sequence are given by the higher Massey products, e.g.  $d_7 = -\{H, H, H, \cdot\}$  etc. Thus a  $d_H$ -cohomology is roughly speaking a subspace of all cohomology classes which are annihilated by the higher differentials (modulo images). Given an element  $x_p$  from this subspace one can construct  $x_{p+2}, x_{p+4}, \dots$  such that  $x = x_p + u^{-1}x_{p+2} + \dots$  is  $d_H$ -closed. If  $x_p$  is not in the image of higher differentials then  $x$  represents a nontrivial  $d_H$ -cohomology class.

**Examples.** Now we consider an example. In Appendix A of [25] it was constructed a simple  $n + 1$ -manifold  $Y_n$  which has nonvanishing Massey products, and thus interesting  $d_H$  cohomology.

$Y_2$ .  $Y_2$  is a twisted circle bundle over  $S^1 \times S^1$ . Let  $x, y$  be closed one forms with integral periods representing generators  $[x]$  and  $[y]$  of  $H^1(S^1 \times S^1)$ . We denote by  $z$  a connection one form with  $dz = xy$ . The de Rham cohomology of  $Y_2$  has the following generators

$$\begin{aligned} 1 & \text{ in } H^0(Y_2); \\ [x], [y] & \text{ in } H^1(Y_2); \\ [xz], [yz] & \text{ in } H^2(Y_2); \\ [xyz] & \text{ in } H^3(Y_2). \end{aligned}$$

The nonvanishing Massey products are  $\{x, x, y\} = xz$  and  $\{y, y, x\} = -yz$ .

To obtain a 3-form we consider the 7-manifold  $Y_2 \times \mathbb{C}P^2$ . We denote by  $t$  a closed 2-form with integral periods which represents a generator  $[t]$  of  $H^*(\mathbb{C}P^2)$ . We choose  $H = xt$ . The nonzero differentials are  $d_3\phi = -xt\phi$  and  $d_5\phi = -\{xt, xt, \phi\}$  ( $d_5y = xzt^2$ ). A simple calculation shows that the ranks of  $E_2, E_4$  and  $E_6 = E_\infty$  are 18, 10 and 8.  $H_{d_H}(Y_2 \times \mathbb{C}P^2)$  is generated by

$p$	1	3	5	2	4	6
$x_p$	$x$	$yt, xyz$	$yt^2$	$xz$	$xzt, t^2$	$yzt^2$

(A.3)

To get a  $d_H$ -cohomology class one has to restore  $x_{p+2}$ , etc, e.g.

$$[x]_{d_H} = x, \quad [xz]_{d_H} = xz, \quad [yt]_{d_H} = yt + u^{-1}zt^2, \quad \text{etc.}$$

As it is expected the dimension of the twisted cohomology, say  $\dim H_{d_H}^0 = 4$ , is smaller than the sum of the even Betti numbers = 9.

$Y_3$ .  $Y_3$  is a twisted circle bundle over  $Y_2$ . If we denote by  $v$  a connection 1-form the its curvature is one of the previous Massey products, say  $dv = xz$ . The de Rham cohomology of  $Y_3$  has the following generators

$$\begin{aligned} 1 & \text{ in } H^0(Y_3); \\ [x], [y] & \text{ in } H^1(Y_3); \\ [xv], [yz] & \text{ in } H^2(Y_3); \\ [yzv], [xzv] & \text{ in } H^3(Y_3); \\ [xyzv] & \text{ in } H^4(Y_3). \end{aligned}$$

The nonvanishing Massey products are  $\{x, x, x, y\} = xv$  and  $\{y, y, x\} = -yz$ .

To obtain a 3-form we consider a 10-manifold  $X = Y_3 \times \mathbb{C}P^3$ . We denote by  $t$  a closed 2-form with integral periods which represents a generator  $[t]$  of  $H^*(\mathbb{C}P^3)$ . We will consider two

twistings  $H = xt$  and  $H = yt$ . The nonvanishing Massey products are  $\{xt, xt, xt, y\} = xvt^3$ ,  $\{yt, yt, x\} = -yzt^2$  and  $\{yt, yt, xt\} = -yzt^3$ . For  $H = xt$  the ranks of  $E_2$ ,  $E_4 = E_6$  and  $E_8 = E_\infty$  are 32, 20 and 16. The  $d_{xt}$ -cohomologies  $H_{d_{xt}}^0$  and  $H_{d_{xt}}^1$  are generated by

$p$	2	4	6	8	1	3	5	7	9
$x_p$	$xv$	$xyzv, xvt, yzt$	$xvt^2, t^3, yzt^2$	$yzt^3$	$x$	$xzv, yt$	$yt^2, xzvt$	$yt^3, xzvt^2$	$yzvt^3$

To get a  $d_{xt}$ -cohomology class one has to restore  $x_{p+2}$ , etc, e.g.

$$[xv]_{d_{xt}} = xv, \quad [yzt]_{d_{xt}} = yzt + u^{-1}yvt^2 + u^{-2}zvt^3, \quad [yt]_{d_{xt}} = yt + u^{-1}zt^2 + u^{-2}vt^3, \quad \text{etc.}$$

One sees that rank of  $K_{xt}^0$  is 8.

For  $H = yt$  the ranks of  $E_2$ ,  $E_4$  and  $E_6 = E_\infty$  are 32, 20 and 12. The  $d_{yt}$ -cohomologies  $H_{d_{yt}}^0$  and  $H_{d_{yt}}^1$  are generated by

$p$	2	4	6	8	1	3	5	7	9
$x_p$	$yz$	$xyzv, yzt$	$xvt^2, t^3$	$xvt^3$	$y$	$yzv$	$xt^2, yzut$	$xt^3$	$xzvt^3$

(A.4)

To get a  $d_{yt}$ -cohomology class one has to restore  $x_{p+2}$ , etc, e.g.

$$[xvt^2]_{d_{yt}} = xvt^2 - u^{-1}zvt^3, \quad [xt^2]_{d_{yt}} = xt^2 - u^{-1}zt^3, \quad \text{etc.}$$

One sees that the rank of  $K_{yt}^0$  is 6.

## B Determining the metric-dependent factor

In [35, 10] we found that normalizing the Chern-Simons wavefunction  $\|\mathcal{Z}\|^2 = 1$  identifies  $\mathcal{N}_g$ , or more precisely,  $\|\mathcal{N}_{V_1, V_2}(g)\|^2$ , with the correct one-loop determinants of the holographically dual field. It is not clear *a priori* why this is the correct procedure — although it fits in very beautifully with the viewpoint that the partition function in AdS/CFT should be regarded as a wavefunction — but since it works in other cases we will carry out that procedure for the example of the RR fields.

The partition function  $\mathcal{Z}(a; \check{j})$  restricted to  $P_j \cong \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H}^j / \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H, \mathbb{Z}}^j$  defines an element of the finite dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}^{qu}$ . One can normalize the wave function,  $\|\mathcal{Z}\|^2 = 1$ , with respect to the inner product in  $\mathcal{H}^{qu}$  and in this way fix the norm square of  $\mathcal{N}_{V_1, V_2}(g)$ .

It is clear that  $\mathcal{N}_{V_1, V_2}(g)$  does not depend on the source  $\check{j}$ . So to simplify the calculation we put it zero, and assume that the characteristic class  $\mu = 0$ . In this case we can choose the base point  $\check{A}_\bullet = 0$ . This means that  $\sigma(\check{A}_\bullet) = 0$  and  $a = \check{A} - \check{A}_\bullet$  is a  $d_H$ -closed form. In Theorem 7.2 we

introduced a normalization factor  $\mathcal{N}_{V_1, V_2}(g)$ . This must be regarded as a section of a Hermitian line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  over the space of metrics. The norm on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}^{qu}$  is just the  $L^2$ -norm on  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}$ :

$$\|\mathcal{Z}\|_{L^2}^2 := \int_{\Omega(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H}^j / \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H, \mathbb{Z}}^j} \mathcal{D}a \|\mathcal{Z}(a)\|^2 \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where the second set of  $\|\cdot\|^2$  denotes the norm on  $\mathcal{L}$ .

From Theorem 7.2 and Corollary 7.1 we learn that the partition function restricted to the real slice  $a^- = (a^+)^*$  can be written as

$$\mathcal{Z} \left[ \begin{smallmatrix} \varepsilon_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right] (a) = \mathcal{N}_{V_1, V_2}(g) e^{-i\pi\omega(\varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_1)} \sum_{R \in \bar{\Gamma}_1^h - \varepsilon_1} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}(H-B)(R^+ - a_1^+, R^+ - a_1^+) + 2\pi i\omega(a_2 + \varepsilon_2, R) + i\pi\omega(a_1, a_2)} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where  $a$  is a *harmonic* form,  $a = a_1 + a_2$  according to the Lagrangian decomposition  $\Gamma^h = \Gamma_1^h \oplus \Gamma_2^h$ .

To calculate the norm (B.1) we need to fix a gauge in this functional integral. This can be done by using equation (B.13a). By evaluating the Gaussian integral and solving the equation  $\|\mathcal{Z}\|_{L^2}^2 = 1$  for  $\mathcal{N}_g$  one finds for IIA:

$$\|\mathcal{N}_g\|^2 = \left[ \det(\text{Im } \tau) \prod_{p=1}^{10} \left[ \frac{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{T}^{-p})^{-2} \det'(d_{-p}^* d_{-p})^{1/2}}{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{T}^{-p, \bullet \geq 12-p})^{-2} \det'(d_{-p}^* d_{-p}|_{\Omega^{\bullet \geq 12-p}})^{1/2}} \right]^{(-1)^{p+1}} \right]^{1/2}. \quad (\text{B.3a})$$

for IIB:

$$\|\mathcal{N}_g\|^2 = \left[ \det(\text{Im } \tau) \prod_{p=1}^{10} \left[ \frac{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{T}^{-1-p})^{-2} \det'(d_{-1-p}^* d_{-1-p})^{1/2}}{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{T}^{-1-p, \bullet \geq 11-p})^{-2} \det'(d_{-1-p}^* d_{-1-p}|_{\Omega^{\bullet \geq 11-p}})^{1/2}} \right]^{(-1)^{p+1}} \right]^{1/2}. \quad (\text{B.3b})$$

From equation (B.3) it follows that  $\mathcal{N}_{V_1, V_2}(g)$  is some kind of square root of the right hand side of (B.3). We now conjecture that there is a very natural squareroot provided we view  $\mathcal{N}_{V_1, V_2}(g)$  as a section of some determinant line bundle. We expect that we should set

$$(\text{B.3a}) \quad \text{or} \quad (\text{B.3b}) = \|\det \bar{\mathcal{D}}\|_Q^2 \quad (\text{B.4})$$

where the right hand side is the Quillen norm of a section  $\det \bar{\mathcal{D}}$  of some determinant line bundle  $\text{DET}(\bar{\mathcal{D}})$  over the space of metrics on  $X$ .

**Gauge fields.** Consider a gauge potential  $a \in \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^j$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{G}^j$  the group of gauge transformations  $a \mapsto a + \omega^j$  where  $\omega^j \in \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H, \mathbb{Z}}^j$ . In this paragraph we want to obtain a formula for

$$\int_{\Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^j / \mathcal{G}^j} \mathcal{D}a.$$

Note that  $d_j : \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^j \rightarrow \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^{j+1}$  ( $d_j \omega := d_H \omega$ ) is an elliptic operator. Moreover the corresponding Laplacian  $D_j = d_j^* d_j + d_{j-1} d_{j-1}^*$  is a self-adjoint positive elliptic operator where  $d_j^*$  denotes the adjoint operator in the Riemannian metric (2.7). Note that the metric (2.7) is a dimensionless metric defined by a Riemannian metric  $g_E$  on  $X$  and the string scale  $\ell_s$ . Any form  $a \in \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^j$  can be uniquely written in the form (Hodge decomposition)

$$a = a^h + a^T + d_{j-1} \alpha_{j-1}^T$$

where  $a^h \in \ker D_j =: \mathcal{H}^j$  (twisted harmonic forms),  $a^T \in \text{im } d_j^*$  and  $\alpha_{j-1}^T \in \text{im } d_{j-1}^*$ . This implies

$$\|\delta a\|_j^2 = \|\delta a^h\|_j^2 + \|\delta a^T\|_j^2 + g_{j-1}(\delta \alpha_{j-1}^T, [d_{j-1}^* d_{j-1}] \delta \alpha_{j-1}^T) \quad (\text{B.5})$$

where  $\|w\|_j^2$  is  $g_j(w, w)$ . Thus

$$\int_{\Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^j} \mathcal{D}a = \int_{\mathcal{H}^j} \mathcal{D}a^h \int_{\text{im } d_j^*} \mathcal{D}a^T \int_{\text{im } d_{j-1}^*} \mathcal{D}\alpha_{j-1}^T [\det'(d_{j-1}^* d_{j-1})]^{1/2}. \quad (\text{B.6})$$

The gauge group  $\mathcal{G}^j$  has several connected components labelled by the harmonic forms with quantized periods  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}^j(X)$ . Using the Hodge decomposition we can write

$$\int_{\Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^j / \mathcal{G}^j} \mathcal{D}a = \int_{\mathcal{H}^j / \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}^j} \mathcal{D}a^h \int_{\text{im } d_j^*} \mathcal{D}a^T \int_{\text{im } d_{j-1}^*} \frac{\mathcal{D}\alpha_{j-1}^T}{\text{Vol}(\mathcal{G}_0^j)} [\det'(d_{j-1}^* d_{j-1})]^{1/2} \quad (\text{B.7})$$

where  $\mathcal{G}_0^j \cong \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H\text{-exact}}^j / \mathcal{G}^{j-1}$  is the connected component of the identity of the gauge group  $\mathcal{G}^j$ .

**Volume of the gauge group (motivating example).** Before considering the general expression for the volume of the gauge group we consider the example of type IIA: in this case our gauge field is  $a \in \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^0$  and the corresponding gauge transformations and gauge transformations for gauge transformations can be summarized by

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^{-0} &= u^{-0}\Omega^0 \oplus u^{-1}\Omega^2 \oplus u^{-2}\Omega^4 \oplus u^{-3}\Omega^6 \oplus u^{-4}\Omega^8 \oplus u^{-5}\Omega^{10}; \\ \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^{-1} &= \boxed{u^{-0}\Omega^1 \oplus u^{-1}\Omega^3 \oplus u^{-2}\Omega^5 \oplus u^{-3}\Omega^7 \oplus u^{-4}\Omega^9}; \\ \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^{-2} &= \boxed{u^{-1}\Omega^0 \oplus u^{-2}\Omega^2 \oplus u^{-3}\Omega^4 \oplus u^{-4}\Omega^6 \oplus u^{-5}\Omega^8} \oplus u^{-6}\Omega^{10}; \\ \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^{-3} &= \boxed{u^{-2}\Omega^1 \oplus u^{-3}\Omega^3 \oplus u^{-4}\Omega^5 \oplus u^{-5}\Omega^7} \oplus u^{-6}\Omega^9; \\ \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^{-4} &= \boxed{u^{-2}\Omega^0 \oplus u^{-3}\Omega^2 \oplus u^{-4}\Omega^4 \oplus u^{-5}\Omega^6} \oplus u^{-6}\Omega^8 \oplus u^{-7}\Omega^{10}; \\ &\vdots \\ \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^{-9} &= \boxed{u^{-5}\Omega^1} \oplus u^{-6}\Omega^3 \oplus u^{-7}\Omega^5 \oplus u^{-8}\Omega^7 \oplus u^{-9}\Omega^9; \\ \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^{-10} &= \boxed{u^{-5}\Omega^0} \oplus u^{-6}\Omega^2 \oplus u^{-7}\Omega^4 \oplus u^{-8}\Omega^6 \oplus u^{-9}\Omega^8 \oplus u^{-10}\Omega^{10}. \end{aligned}$$



The forms in the gray boxes correspond to the “real” gauge transformations while the forms outside the gray boxes correspond to the fields which has to be excluded. Recall that the group defined on each line acts on the previous one by  $\alpha_{-k} \mapsto \alpha_{-k} + d_H \alpha_{-k-1}$ . If the curvature  $H$  of the  $B$ -field were zero when we could easily describe the gauge group appearing on each line — it consists just of the elements in the gray box modulo closed forms with quantized periods. But if  $H \neq 0$  the description is slightly more complicated because  $d_H$  does not preserve the space indicated by the gray boxes. We must quotient out by the terms not appearing in the gray boxes. The gauge group appearing on each line is

$$\mathcal{F}^{-k} := \left[ \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^{-k} / \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H, \mathbb{Z}}^{-k} \right] / \left[ \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^{-k, \bullet \geq 12-k} / \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H, \mathbb{Z}}^{-k, \bullet \geq 12-k} \right] \quad (\text{B.8})$$

where  $\Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^{-k, \bullet \geq 12-k}$  denotes the space of forms of total degree  $-k$  and differential form degree greater or equal  $12 - k$ . It is easy to see that for  $H = 0$  equation (B.8) yields the correct gauge group.

**Volume of the gauge group.** To calculate the volume  $\text{Vol}(\mathcal{G}_0^j)$  we notice that

$$\text{Vol}(\mathcal{G}_0^j) = \int_{\Omega(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H\text{-exact}}^j / \mathcal{G}^{j-1}} \mathcal{D}\alpha_j = \int_{\mathcal{F}^{j-1}} \mathcal{D}\alpha_{j-1} = \frac{\int_{\Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^{j-1} / \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H, \mathbb{Z}}^{j-1}} \mathcal{D}\alpha_{j-1}}{\int_{\Omega(X; \mathcal{R})^{j-1, \bullet \geq 11+j} / \Omega(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H, \mathbb{Z}}^{j-1, \bullet \geq 11+j}} \mathcal{D}\alpha_{j-1}} \quad (\text{B.9})$$

where  $\mathcal{F}^{j-1}$  is defined in (B.8). Note that  $j = 0, -1$  are the two cases of interest. Effectively we have two different integrals: one in the numerator and another in the denominator. The gauge groups the integrals in the numerator are always  $\Omega(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H, \mathbb{Z}}^{-k}$  while the gauge groups for the integrals in the denominator are always  $\Omega(X; \mathcal{R})_{d_H, \mathbb{Z}}^{-k, \bullet \geq 12-k}$ .

Each of these integrals can now be calculated in the standard way (see, for example, appendix C in [10]). The final result is

$$\text{Vol}(\mathcal{G}_0^j) = \int_{\text{im } d_{j-1}^*} \mathcal{D}\alpha_{j-1}^T \prod_{p=1}^{10} \left[ \frac{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{T}^{j-p}) \det'(d_{j-p-1}^* d_{j-p-1})^{1/2}}{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{T}^{j-p, \bullet \geq 12+j-p}) \det'(d_{j-p-1}^* d_{j-p-1} |_{\Omega^{\bullet \geq 11+j-p}})^{1/2}} \right]^{(-1)^{p+1}} \quad (\text{B.10})$$

where

$$\mathbb{T}^{j-p} = \mathcal{H}^{j-p} / \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{j-p} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{T}^{j-p, \bullet \geq 12+j-p} = \mathcal{H}^{j-p, \bullet \geq 12+j-p} / \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{j-1, \bullet \geq 12+j-p}. \quad (\text{B.11})$$

Here  $\mathcal{H}^{-k}$  is the space of twisted harmonic forms of total degree  $-k$ ,  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{-k}$  is the space of twisted harmonic forms of total degree  $-k$  with quantization condition and  $\mathcal{H}^{-k, \bullet \geq 12-k}$  is the space of twisted harmonic forms of total degree  $-k$  and differential form degree  $\geq 12 - k$ . The volume of the twisted harmonic torus is

$$\text{Vol}(\mathbb{T}^{-k}) = [\det g_{-k}(\omega_\alpha, \omega_\beta)]^{1/2} \quad (\text{B.12})$$

$\{\omega_\alpha\}$  is an “integral” basis of twisted harmonic forms of total degree  $-k$ . Notice that  $\text{Vol}(\mathbb{T}^{-k})$  does not depend on the choice of the “integral” basis  $\{\omega_\alpha\}$ .

Combining this result with (B.7) one finds

$$\int_{\Omega(X;\mathcal{R})^0/\Omega(X;\mathcal{R})_{d_H, \mathbb{Z}}^0} \mathcal{D}a = \int_{\mathcal{H}^0/\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}^0} \mathcal{D}a^h \int_{\text{im } d_0^*} \mathcal{D}a^T \times \left\{ \prod_{p=1}^{10} \left[ \frac{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{T}^{-p})^{-2} \det'(d_{-p}^* d_{-p})^{1/2}}{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{T}^{-p, \bullet \geq 12-p})^{-2} \det'(d_{-p}^* d_{-p}|_{\Omega^{\bullet \geq 12-p}})^{1/2}} \right]^{(-1)^{p+1}} \right\}^{1/2}; \quad (\text{B.13a})$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega(X;\mathcal{R})^{-1}/\Omega(X;\mathcal{R})_{d_H, \mathbb{Z}}^{-1}} \mathcal{D}a = \int_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}/\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{-1}} \mathcal{D}a^h \int_{\text{im } d_{-1}^*} \mathcal{D}a^T \times \left\{ \prod_{p=1}^{10} \left[ \frac{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{T}^{-1-p})^{-2} \det'(d_{-1-p}^* d_{-1-p})^{1/2}}{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{T}^{-1-p, \bullet \geq 11-p})^{-2} \det'(d_{-1-p}^* d_{-1-p}|_{\Omega^{\bullet \geq 11-p}})^{1/2}} \right]^{(-1)^{p+1}} \right\}^{1/2}. \quad (\text{B.13b})$$

In these equations we assumed that  $\text{Vol}(pt) = 1$ .

## References

- [1] G. W. Moore and E. Witten, “Self-duality, Ramond-Ramond fields, and K-theory,” JHEP **0005**, 032 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9912279].
- [2] D. S. Freed and M. J. Hopkins, “On Ramond-Ramond fields and K-theory,” JHEP **0005** (2000) 044 [arXiv:hep-th/0002027].
- [3] D. E. Diaconescu, G. W. Moore and E. Witten, “ $E_8$  gauge theory, and a derivation of K-theory from M-theory,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **6**, 1031 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0005090].
- [4] D. E. Diaconescu, G. W. Moore and E. Witten, “A derivation of K-theory from M-theory,” arXiv:hep-th/0005091.
- [5] D. S. Freed, “Dirac charge quantization and generalized differential cohomology,” arXiv:hep-th/0011220.
- [6] V. Mathai and H. Sati, “Some relations between twisted K-theory and E(8) gauge theory,” JHEP **0403**, 016 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0312033].
- [7] D. S. Freed, G. W. Moore and G. Segal, “Heisenberg Groups and Noncommutative Fluxes,” arXiv:hep-th/0605200.

- [8] D. S. Freed, G. W. Moore and G. Segal, “The Uncertainty of Fluxes,” arXiv:hep-th/0605198.
- [9] M.J. Hopkins and I.M. Singer, “Quadratic functions in geometry, topology, and M-theory,” arXiv:math.at/0211216.
- [10] D. Belov and G. W. Moore, “Holographic action for the self-dual field,” arXiv:hep-th/0605038.
- [11] N. Marcus and J. H. Schwarz, “Field Theories That Have No Manifestly Lorentz Invariant Formulation,” Phys. Lett. B **115** (1982) 111.
- [12] E. Bergshoeff, R. Kallosh, T. Ortin, D. Roest and A. Van Proeyen, “New formulations of D = 10 supersymmetry and D8 - O8 domain walls,” Class. Quant. Grav. **18**, 3359 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0103233].
- [13] G. W. Moore and N. Saulina, “T-duality, and the K-theoretic partition function of type IIA superstring theory,” Nucl. Phys. B **670**, 27 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0206092].
- [14] S. P. de Alwis, “Transitions between flux vacua,” arXiv:hep-th/0605184.
- [15] E. Witten, “Five-brane effective action in M-theory,” J. Geom. Phys. **22**, 103 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9610234].
- [16] E. Diaconescu, G. W. Moore and D. S. Freed, “The M-theory 3-form and  $E_8$  gauge theory,” arXiv:hep-th/0312069.
- [17] M. Atiyah and G. Segal, “Twisted  $K$ -theory”, math.KT/0407054
- [18] P. Bouwknegt, A. L. Carey, V. Mathai, M. K. Murray and D. Stevenson, “Twisted K-theory and K-theory of bundle gerbes,” Commun. Math. Phys. **228** (2002) 17 [arXiv:hep-th/0106194].
- [19] V. Mathai and D. Stevenson, “Chern character in twisted K-theory: Equivariant and holomorphic cases,” Commun. Math. Phys. **236**, 161 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0201010].
- [20] D. S. Freed, M. J. Hopkins and C. Teleman, “Twisted equivariant K-theory with complex coefficients,” arXiv:math.at/0206257.
- [21] A. Kapustin, “D-branes in a topologically nontrivial B-field,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **4**, 127 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9909089].
- [22] M.K. Murray, “Bundle gerbes”, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **54** (1996), no. 2, 403416.
- [23] V. Mathai, M. K. Murray and D. Stevenson, “Type I D-branes in an H-flux and twisted KO-theory,” JHEP **0311**, 053 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0310164].
- [24] N. Hitchin, ”What is ... a gerb?”, <http://www.ams.org/notices/200302/what-is.pdf>

- [25] M. Atiyah and G. Segal, “Twisted K-theory and cohomology”, [arxiv:math.KT/0510674]
- [26] D. Freed, private communication.
- [27] E. Witten, “Duality relations among topological effects in string theory,” JHEP **0005**, 031 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9912086].
- [28] Y. K. Cheung and Z. Yin, “Anomalies, branes, and currents,” Nucl. Phys. B **517** (1998) 69 [arXiv:hep-th/9710206].
- [29] R. Minasian and G. W. Moore, “K-theory and Ramond-Ramond charge,” JHEP **9711**, 002 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9710230].
- [30] D. S. Freed and E. Witten, “Anomalies in string theory with D-branes,” arXiv:hep-th/9907189.
- [31] P. Bouwknegt and V. Mathai, “D-branes, B-fields and twisted K-theory,” JHEP **0003**, 007 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0002023].
- [32] C. Vafa and E. Witten, “A One Loop Test Of String Duality,” Nucl. Phys. B **447** (1995) 261 [arXiv:hep-th/9505053].
- [33] M. J. Duff, J. T. Liu and R. Minasian, “Eleven-dimensional origin of string / string duality: A one-loop test,” Nucl. Phys. B **452** (1995) 261 [arXiv:hep-th/9506126].
- [34] S. Sethi, C. Vafa and E. Witten, “Constraints on low-dimensional string compactifications,” Nucl. Phys. B **480** (1996) 213 [arXiv:hep-th/9606122].
- [35] D. Belov and G. W. Moore, “Conformal blocks for AdS(5) singletons,” arXiv:hep-th/0412167.
- [36] D.S. Freed, M.J. Hopkins, C. Teleman, “Twisted equivariant K-theory with complex coefficients,” [arXiv:math.AT/0206257].
- [37] D. S. Freed and G. W. Moore, “Setting the quantum integrand of M-theory,” arXiv:hep-th/0409135.
- [38] M. B. Green, J. A. Harvey and G. W. Moore, “I-brane inflow and anomalous couplings on D-branes,” Class. Quant. Grav. **14** (1997) 47 [arXiv:hep-th/9605033].
- [39] J. Polchinski, “String theory. Vol. 2: Superstring theory and beyond,”