arXiv:2408.15723v1 [math.CA] 28 Aug 2024

Turán-Type Inequalities for Gaussian Hypergeometric Functions, and Baricz's Conjecture

Song-Liang Qiu*, Xiao-Yan Ma and Xue-Yan Xiang

Abstract: In 2007, Á. Baricz put forward a conjecture concerning Turán-type inequalities for Gaussian hypergeometric functions (see Conjecture 1.1 in Section 1). In this paper, the authors disprove this conjecture with several methods, and present Turán-type double inequalities for Gaussian hypergeometric functions, and sharp bounds for complete and generalized elliptic integrals of the first kind.

Key Words: Gaussian hypergeometric function; generalized elliptic integral; complete elliptic integral; Baricz's conjecture; Turán-type inequality

MSC2020: 33C05, 33C75.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, we let $r' = \sqrt{1-r^2}$ for each $r \in [0,1]$, $\mathbb{N}$ denote the set of positive integers, $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and let $\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty t^{x-1}e^{-t}dt$, $\psi(x) = \Gamma'(x)/\Gamma(x)$ and $B(x,y) = \Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)/\Gamma(x+y)$ be the classical gamma, psi and beta functions, respectively. For $a \in (0,1)$, we always let

$$\alpha = \alpha(a) = \frac{3-2a}{3(1-a)},\ \eta = \eta(a) = \frac{a}{3-2a} \text{ and } \beta = \beta(a) = \frac{\psi(3/2-a)-\psi(1-a)}{B(a,1-a)}, \tag{1.1}$$

and for $a, b_1, b_2 \in (0,\infty)$, $c_1 = a+b_1$ and $c_2 = a+b_2$ with $b_1 < b_2$, let

$$\overline{\alpha} = \overline{\alpha}(a,b_1,b_2) = \frac{b_2c_1}{b_1c_2},\ \overline{\eta} = \overline{\eta}(a,b_1,b_2) = a\frac{b_2-b_1}{b_2c_1} \text{ and } \overline{\beta} = \overline{\beta}(a,b_1,b_2) = \frac{\psi(b_2)-\psi(b_1)}{B(a,b_1)}.$$

Obviously, $\overline{\alpha}(a,1-a,3/2-a) = \alpha(a)$, $\overline{\eta}(a,1-a,3/2-a) = \eta(a)$, $\overline{\beta}(a,1-a,3/2-a) = \beta(a)$,

$$1 < \alpha = 1 + \frac{a}{3(1-a)},\ 0 < \eta < a,\ 1 < \overline{\alpha} = 1 + \frac{a(b_2-b_1)}{b_1c_2} \text{ and } 0 < \overline{\eta} < \frac{a}{c_1}.$$

For $a, b, c, x \in \mathbb{C}$ with $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \cdots$ and $|x| < 1$, the Gaussian hypergeometric function is defined by

$$F(a,b;c;x) = {}_2F_1(a,b;c;x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n n!}x^n \tag{1.2}$$

which is said to be zero-balanced if $c = a+b$, where $(a)_n$ is the Appell (or Pochhammer) symbol defined by $(a)_0 = 1$ for $a \neq 0$, and $(a)_n = a(a+1)(a+2)\cdots(a+n-1) = \Gamma(n+a)/\Gamma(a)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As particular cases of $F(a,b;c;x)$, the generalized elliptic integrals of the first and second kinds are defined as

$$\mathcal{K}_a = \mathcal{K}_a(r) = \frac{\pi}{2}F\left(a,1-a;1;r^2\right),\ \mathcal{K}_a' = \mathcal{K}_a'(r) = \mathcal{K}_a(r') \tag{1.3}$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_a = \mathcal{E}_a(r) = \frac{\pi}{2}F\left(a-1,1-a;1;r^2\right),\ \mathcal{E}_a' = \mathcal{E}_a'(r) = \mathcal{E}_a(r') \tag{1.4}$$

*Corresponding author.

for $a, r \in (0,1)$, respectively, and the inverse hyperbolic tangent arth can be represented as

$$\operatorname{arth} r = rF\left(1/2, 1; 3/2; r^2\right) \tag{1.5}$$

for $r \in [0,1)$ (see [5] and [3, 15.1.4]). In particular, $\mathscr{K} = \mathscr{K}_{1/2}$ and $\mathscr{K}' = \mathscr{K}'_{1/2}$ ($\mathscr{E} = \mathscr{E}_{1/2}$ and $\mathscr{E}' = \mathscr{E}'_{1/2}$) are the well-known complete elliptic integrals of the first (second, respectively) kind.

It is well known that Gaussian hypergeometric functions have many important applications in several fields of mathematics as well as in physics and engineering, and numerous results have been obtained for them. Because of this, revealing their properties has been a main topic in the field of special functions. For the basic properties of Gaussian hypergeometric functions, the readers are referred to [1–4, 6, 7, 9–12, 14, 17–19].

One of the topics in the study of hypergeometric functions is to reveal their dependence on parameters (cf. [5, 8, 16], for example). In [8], Á. Baricz presented several significant and interesting properties, including Turán-type inequalities, for the zero-balanced hypergeometric functions and some of their particular cases such as generalized elliptic integrals, and put forward the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.1. ([8, Conjecture A]) *For each $a > 0$ and $r \in (0,1)$, and for $b \in (0,\infty)$, we have*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial b}\left[\frac{F(a+1, b+1; a+b+1; r)}{F(a, b; a+b; r)}\right] < 0. \tag{1.6}$$

In particular, for all $a, r \in (0,1)$ we have the following Turán type inequality

$$\frac{F(a+1, 2-a; 2; r)}{F(a, 1-a; 1; r)} > \frac{F(a+1, 5/2-a; 5/2; r)}{F(a, 3/2-a; 3/2; r)}. \tag{1.7}$$

For $a, b \in (0,\infty)$ and $r \in (0,1)$, let $f(a,b,r) = F(a,b;a+b+1;r)/F(a,b;a+b;r)$. Then by the formula

$$F(a,b;c;r) = (1-r)^{c-a-b}F(c-a, c-b; c; r) \tag{1.8}$$

(cf. [3, 15.3.3]), (1.6) and (1.7) are equivalent to the following little simpler inequalities

$$\frac{\partial f(a,b,r)}{\partial b} = \frac{\partial}{\partial b}\left[\frac{F(a,b;a+b+1;r)}{F(a,b;a+b;r)}\right] < 0 \tag{1.9}$$

and

$$f(a, 1-a, r) > f(a, 3/2-a, r), \tag{1.10}$$

respectively. Hence in the sequel, we can replace (1.6) and (1.7) by (1.9) and (1.10), respectively.

For $a, r \in (0,1)$, let f be as in (1.9), and define Λ and Λ_1 by

$$\Lambda(a,r) = \frac{\Lambda_1(a,r)}{F(a,1-a;1;r)} = \frac{f(a,1-a,r)}{f(a,3/2-a,r)} = \frac{F(a,1-a;2;r)F(a,3/2-a;3/2;r)}{F(a,1-a;1;r)F(a,3/2-a;5/2;r)}, \tag{1.11}$$

and for $a, b_1, b_2 \in (0,\infty)$, $c_1 = a + b_1$, $c_2 = a + b_2$ and $r \in (0,1)$ with $b_1 < b_2$, define Λ_2 and Λ_3 by

$$\Lambda_2(a,b_1,b_2,r) = \frac{\Lambda_3(a,b_1,b_2,r)}{F(a,b_1;c_1;r)} = \frac{f(a,b_1,r)}{f(a,b_2,r)} = \frac{F(a,b_1;c_1+1;r)F(a,b_2;c_2;r)}{F(a,b_1;c_1;r)F(a,b_2;c_2+1;r)}. \tag{1.12}$$

The inequalities satisfied by Λ and Λ_2 are Turán-type inequalities. It is easy to see that

$$\Lambda(a,r) = \Lambda_2(a, 1-a, 3/2-a, r),\ \Lambda_1(a,r) = \Lambda_3(a, 1-a, 3/2-a, r),$$
$$\Lambda(1/2,r) = \frac{4\operatorname{arth} t}{3\mathscr{K}(t)} \cdot \frac{\mathscr{E}(t) - t'^2\mathscr{K}(t)}{t - t'^2\operatorname{arth} t} \text{ and } \Lambda_1(1/2,r) = \frac{8\operatorname{arth} t}{3\pi} \cdot \frac{\mathscr{E}(t) - t'^2\mathscr{K}(t)}{t - t'^2\operatorname{arth} t}$$

(cf. (2.30) in Section 2), where $t = \sqrt{r}$, and by applying the well-known Ramanujan's asymptotic formula for zero-balanced hypergeometric functions (cf. [3, 15.3.10]), we can easily obtain the limiting values

$$\Lambda_2(a,b_1,b_2,0) = \Lambda_3(a,b_1,b_2,0) = 1 \text{ and } \Lambda_2(a,b_1,b_2,1^-) = \overline{\alpha}. \tag{1.13}$$

In particular, $\Lambda(a,0)=\Lambda_1(a,0)=1$ and $\Lambda(a,1^-)=\alpha$. It is clear that

$$(1.9)\ \text{holds} \iff \Lambda_2(a,b_1,b_2,r)>1 \iff \Lambda_3(a,b_1,b_2,r)>F(a,b_1;c_1;r), \tag{1.14}$$

and in particular,

$$(1.10)\ \text{holds} \iff \Lambda(a,r)>1 \iff \Lambda_1(a,r)>F(a,1-a;1;r). \tag{1.15}$$

So far, there is no proof or disproof of Conjecture 1.1 in the literature yet. By (1.13)–(1.15), it is natural to think of that a method for us to study Conjecture 1.1 is to reveal the properties of the following functions:

$$f_1(a,r) \equiv \Lambda_1(a,r)-F(a,1-a;1;r), \tag{1.16}$$
$$f_2(a,r) \equiv \alpha F(a,1-a;1;r)-\Lambda_1(a,r), \tag{1.17}$$
$$f_3(a,b_1,b_2,r) \equiv \Lambda_3(a,b_1,b_2,r)-F(a,b_1;a+b_1;r), \tag{1.18}$$
$$f_4(a,b_1,b_2,r) \equiv \overline{\alpha}F(a,b_1;a+b_1;r)-\Lambda_3(a,b_1,b_2,r). \tag{1.19}$$

Obviously, $f_3(a,1-a,3/2-a,r)=f_1(a,r)$, $f_4(a,1-a,3/2-a,r)=f_2(a,r)$, $f_1(a,0)=f_3(a,b_1,b_2,0)=0$, and (1.14) ((1.15)) is true if and only if f_3 (f_1, respectively) is a positive function. On the other hand, the following question is naturally raised.

Question 1.2. *Is it true that $f_2(a,r)>0$ ($f_4(a,b_1,b_2,r)>0$) for all $a,r\in(0,1)$ ($a,b_1,b_2\in(0,\infty)$ and $r\in(0,1)$ with $b_1<b_2$, respectively)?*

If the answer to Question 1.2 is affirmative, and if Conjecture 1.1 is true, then the Turán-type double inequality $1<\Lambda(a,r)<\alpha$ ($1<\Lambda_2(a,b_1,b_2,r)<\overline{\alpha}$) holds for $a,r\in(0,1)$ ($a,b_1,b_2\in(0,\infty)$ and $r\in(0,1)$ with $b_1<b_2$, respectively).

The main purpose of this paper is to disprove Conjecture 1.1, give an affirmative answer to Question 1.2, and present Turán-type double inequalities for $\Lambda(a,r)$ and $\Lambda_2(a,b_1,b_2,r)$, mainly by studying the properties of f_j ($1\le j\le 4$). These results are stated below as Theorems 1.3 and 1.4, whose proofs will be given in Section 3 and Section 5, respectively. In order to prove these two theorems, we shall present several preliminary results in Section 2. In Section 4 (Section 6), we shall give a detailed counterexample for Conjecture 1.1 (remarks giving some other methods to disprove Conjecture 1.1, three open problems and a conjecture, respectively).

Throughout this paper, we always use the notations introduced above, without repeating their definitions.

Theorem 1.3. *(1) Conjecture 1.1 is not true if $b>\sqrt{a(a+1)}$. In particular,* (1.7) *does not hold if $a\in(0,1/3)$.*

(2) If $a\in(0,3/7)$ ($a\in(3/7,1)$), then there exists a number $r_1\in(0,1)$ ($r_2\in(0,1)$) such that f_1 is strictly decreasing (increasing) in $r\in(0,r_1]$ ($r\in(0,r_2]$), so that $\Lambda(a,r)<1$ ($\Lambda(a,r)>1$) for $r\in(0,r_1]$ ($r\in(0,r_2]$, respectively). Moreover, for each $a\in(0,1)$, there exists a number $r_3\in(0,1)$ such that f_1 is positive and strictly increasing in $r\in[r_3,1)$ with $f_1(a,1^-)=\infty$ so that $\Lambda(a,r)>1$ for $r\in[r_3,1)$. In particular, neither the first (or equivalently, the second) inequality in (1.15) *nor its reversed one is always valid for all $a,r\in(0,1)$.*

(3) For $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and $a,r\in(0,1)$, suppose that $f_2(a,r)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}a_kr^k$, $P_n(a,r)=\sum_{k=0}^{n+1}a_kr^{2k}$ and $\delta_n=\alpha\beta-\sum_{k=0}^{n+1}a_k$, where $a_k=a_k(a)$ depends on a, and let $a_k^{(1)}=a_k^{(1)}(a,1-a,3/2-a)$ be as in Lemma 2.3. Then $f_2(a,0)=a_0=\alpha-1=a/[3(1-a)]$, $f_2(a,1^-)=\alpha\beta$, and

$$a_k=a_k(a)=\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\frac{(i+1)(a)_{k-i-1}(3/2-a)_{k-i-1}}{(3/2)_{k-i-1}(k-i-1)!}\left|a_{i+1}^{(1)}\right|>0 \tag{1.20}$$

for $k\in\mathbb{N}$, with $a_1=a(a+1)/10$, $a_2=a(a+1)(-41a^2+24a+100)/2100$, and $a_k(0^+)=0$ for $k\in\mathbb{N}_0$. In particular, for each $a\in(0,1)$, f_2 is absolutely monotone in r from $(0,1)$ onto $(a/[3(1-a)],\alpha\beta)$, δ_n is strictly decreasing to 0 in $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$, and for all $a,r\in(0,1)$,

$$\alpha\mathscr{K}_a(r)=\frac{\mathscr{E}_a(r)-r'^2\mathscr{K}_a(r)}{ar^2}\cdot\frac{F(a,3/2-a;3/2;r^2)}{F(a,3/2-a;5/2;r^2)}+\frac{\pi}{2}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}a_kr^{2k} \tag{1.21}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{K}(r)=&\frac{\mathscr{E}(r)-r'^2\mathscr{K}(r)}{1-(r'^2\operatorname{arth} r)/r}\frac{\operatorname{arth} r}{r}+\frac{3\pi}{8}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}a_k(1/2)r^{2k}\\=&\frac{3\pi}{8}\left[\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}a_k^{(2)}r^{2k}\right)\frac{\operatorname{arth} r}{r}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}a_k(1/2)r^{2k}\right],\end{aligned}\tag{1.22}$$

where $a_k^{(2)}$ *is defined by* (2.26) *in Corollary 2.4. Moreover,*

$$0<\frac{2\alpha}{\pi}\mathscr{K}_a(r)-2\frac{\mathscr{E}_a(r)-r'^2\mathscr{K}_a(r)}{\pi ar^2}\cdot\frac{F(a,3/2-a;3/2;r^2)}{F(a,3/2-a;5/2;r^2)}-P_n(a,r)<\delta_n r^{2(n+2)},\tag{1.23}$$

$$a_{n+2}r^{n+2}<\alpha F(a,1-a;1;r)-P_n\left(a,\sqrt{r}\right)-\Lambda_1(a,r)<\delta_n r^{n+2},\tag{1.24}$$

$$-\delta_n r^{n+1}<\frac{\Lambda_1(a,r)-F(a,1-a;1;r)}{r}-\frac{a(7a-3)}{30}-Q_n(a,r)<-a_{n+2}r^{n+1}\tag{1.25}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(1-\beta)<&\alpha\left[1-\frac{\beta}{F(a,1-a;1;r)}\right]<\alpha-\frac{\alpha\beta r^{n+2}}{F(a,1-a;1;r)}<\alpha-\frac{P_n(a,\sqrt{r})+\delta_n r^{n+2}}{F(a,1-a;1;r)}<\Lambda(a,r)\\<&\alpha-\frac{P_{n+1}(a,\sqrt{r})}{F(a,1-a;1;r)}<\alpha-\frac{r^{n+2}\sum_{k=0}^{n+2}a_k+a_0(1-r^{n+2})}{F(a,1-a;1;r)}<\alpha-\frac{\eta}{F(a,1-a;1;r)}<\alpha\end{aligned}\tag{1.26}$$

for each $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$ *and for all* $a,r\in(0,1)$*, where*

$$\begin{aligned}Q_n(a,r)=&\frac{a}{3(1-a)}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(a)_{k+1}(1-a)_{k+1}}{[(k+1)!]^2}r^k-\sum_{k=1}^{n}a_{k+1}r^k\\=&a\frac{F(a,1-a;1;r)-1-a(1-a)r}{3(1-a)r}-\sum_{k=1}^{n}a_{k+1}r^k.\end{aligned}$$

(1.23) *is sharp as* $r\to0$ *(or* $r\to1$ *or* $a\to0$*), each inequality in* (1.26) *is sharp as* $a\to0$*, and as constant depending only on* a*, each* α *in* (1.23)–(1.24) *and* (1.26) *is best possible.*

Theorem 1.4. *(1) For* $a,b_1,b_2\in(0,\infty)$ *and* $r\in(0,1)$ *with* $b_1<b_2$*, each of the two inequalities in* (1.14) *is not always valid, provided that* $b_1b_2>a(a+1)$*. In particular, Conjecture 1.1 is not true.*

(2) For $a\in(0,\infty)$ *and* $b_1,b_2\in(0,\infty)$ *with* $b_1<b_2$*, if* $b_1b_2>a(a+1)$ *(*$b_1b_2<a(a+1)$*), then there exists a number* $r_4\in(0,1)$ *(*$r_5\in(0,1)$*) such that* $\Lambda_2(a,b_1,b_2,r)<1$ *(*$\Lambda_2(a,b_1,b_2,r)>1$*) for* $r\in(0,r_4)$ *(*$r\in(0,r_5)$*, respectively). Moreover, for* $a,b_1,b_2\in(0,\infty)$ *with* $b_1<b_2$*, there exists a number* $r_6\in(0,1)$ *such that* $\Lambda_2(a,b_1,b_2,r)>1$ *for* $r\in(r_6,1)$*. In particular, neither the first (or equivalently, second) inequality in* (1.14) *nor its reverse inequality always holds for all* $a,b_1,b_2\in(0,\infty)$ *and* $r\in(0,1)$ *with* $b_1<b_2$*.*

(3) The function f_4 *is strictly increasing in* r *from* $(0,1)$ *onto* $(a(b_2-b_1)/(b_1c_2),\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta})$*. In particular, for* $a,b_1,b_2\in(0,\infty)$ *and* $r\in(0,1)$ *with* $b_1<b_2$*,*

$$\overline{\alpha}\left[F(a,b_1;c_1;r)-\overline{\beta}\right]\le\Lambda_3(a,b_1,b_2,r)\le\overline{\alpha}\left[F(a,b_1;c_1;r)-\overline{\eta}\right],\tag{1.27}$$

$$\overline{\alpha}\left[1-\frac{\overline{\beta}}{F(a,b_1;c_1;r)}\right]\le\Lambda_2(a,b_1,b_2,r)\le\overline{\alpha}\left[1-\frac{\overline{\eta}}{F(a,b_1;c_1;r)}\right]\tag{1.28}$$

and

$$\overline{\alpha}(1-\overline{\beta})\le\Lambda_2(a,b_1,b_2,r)\le\overline{\alpha},\tag{1.29}$$

with equality in each instance if and only if $b_1=b_1$*. Moreover, as constants independent of* r*, the coefficients in the lower and upper bounds in* (1.27)–(1.28) *and the upper bound in* (1.29) *are all best possible,* (1.28) *is sharp as* $r\to1^-$*, and* (1.27)–(1.29) *are all sharp as* $a\to0^+$*.*

(4) For $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $a, b_1, b_2 \in (0,\infty)$, $c_1 = a + b_1$, $c_2 = a + b_2$ with $b_1 < b_2$, and for $r \in (0,1)$, suppose that $f_4(a, b_1, b_2, r) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{b}_k r^k$, $\eta_n = \overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta} - \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \tilde{b}_k$, where $\tilde{b}_k = \tilde{b}_k(a, b_1, b_2)$ depends on a, b_1 and b_2, and let

$$\bar{b} = \frac{\sqrt{a^2+4a-1} - \sqrt{a^2-1}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{a+1}{a-1}} \ (>1) \tag{1.30}$$

for $a \in (1,\infty)$. Then f_4 is absolutely monotone in r from $(0,1)$ onto $(a(b_2-b_1)/(b_1c_2), \overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta})$, provided that $a \in (0,1]$, or $a \in (1,\infty)$ and $b_2 \in (0, \bar{b}]$. In particular, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and all $r \in (0,1)$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+2} \tilde{b}_k r^k < \overline{\alpha} F(a, b_1; c_1; r) - \Lambda_3(a, b_1, b_2, r) < \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \tilde{b}_k r^k + \eta_n r^{n+2} \tag{1.31}$$

and

$$\overline{\alpha}(1-\overline{\beta}) < \overline{\alpha} - \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \tilde{b}_k r^k + \eta_n r^{n+2}}{F(a, b_1; c_1; r)} < \Lambda_2(a, b_1, b_2, r) < \overline{\alpha} - \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n+2} \tilde{b}_k r^k}{F(a, b_1; c_1; r)} < \overline{\alpha}. \tag{1.32}$$

In the sequel, for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $a, b \in (0,\infty)$, we always let

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_n(b) =& \frac{(b)_n}{(a+b+1)_n}, \ \rho_n(b) = \frac{(b)_n}{(a+b)_n}, \\
\tau_n(b) =& \psi(a+b+1) - \psi(b) + \psi(n+b) - \psi(n+a+b+1), \\
\lambda_n(b) =& \psi(a+b) - \psi(b) + \psi(n+b) - \psi(n+a+b),
\end{aligned}$$

$R(a,b) = -2\gamma - \psi(a) - \psi(b)$ which is called the Ramanujan constant, and let $R(a) = R(a, 1-a)$ for $a \in (0,1)$. By the basic properties of Γ and ψ, one can easily obtain the following relations and values:

$$\varphi_n(b) = \frac{c}{n+c}\rho_n(b), \varphi_{n+1}(b) = \frac{n+b}{n+c+1}\varphi_n(b), \tag{1.33}$$

$$\rho_{n+1}(b) = \frac{n+b}{n+c}\rho_n(b), \tau_n(b) = \lambda_n(b) + \frac{n}{c(n+c)}, \tag{1.34}$$

$$\varphi_0(b) - 1 = \rho_0(b) - 1 = \tau_0(b) = \lambda_0(b) = 0, \varphi_1(b) = \frac{b}{c+1}, \rho_1(b) = \frac{b}{c}, \tag{1.35}$$

and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\varphi_n(0^+) = \rho_n(0^+) = \varphi_n(\infty) - 1 = \rho_n(\infty) - 1 = \varphi_\infty(b) = \rho_\infty(b) = 0. \tag{1.36}$$

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we prove several preliminary results needed in the proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. First, we prove the following Lemma 2.1 by which one can easily verify that in what follows, all the processes of calculating limits and derivatives for series of functions term by term are feasible.

Lemma 2.1. *(1) For $a, b \in (0,\infty)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) and $c = a + b$, τ_n and λ_n are both strictly increasing (decreasing) in $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (in $b \in (0,\infty)$, respectively). Moreover, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a, b \in (0,\infty)$, $\tau_\infty(b) = \psi(c+1) - \psi(b)$, $\lambda_\infty(b) = \psi(c) - \psi(b)$, $\tau_n(0^+) = \lambda_n(0^+) = \infty$, $\tau_n(\infty) = \lambda_n(\infty) = \lim_{b\to\infty}[\varphi_n(b)\tau_n(b)] = \lim_{b\to\infty}[\rho_n(b)\lambda_n(b)] = 0$,*

$$\lim_{b\to 0^+}[\varphi_n(b)\tau_n(b)] = \frac{(n-1)!}{(a+1)_n} \ \text{ and } \ \lim_{b\to 0^+}[\rho_n(b)\lambda_n(b)] = \frac{(n-1)!}{(a)_n}.$$

(2) The sequences $\{\varphi_n(b)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{\rho_n(b)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are both strictly deceasing, and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varphi_n(b)$ and $\rho_n(b)$ are both strictly increasing and log-concave in b from $(0,\infty)$ onto $(0,1)$.

(3) For $b \in (0, \sqrt{(a+1)(a+2)}]$, the sequence $\{\varphi_n(b)\tau_n(b)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is strictly decreasing in $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $b \in (\sqrt{(a+1)(a+2)}, \infty)$, there exists an $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{\varphi_n(b)\tau_n(b)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is strictly increasing in $n \in \{1, 2, ..., n_1\}$ and then decreasing in $n \geq n_1$.

(4) If $b \in (0, \sqrt{a(a+1)}]$, then the sequence $\{\rho_n(b)\lambda_n(b)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is strictly decreasing in $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $b \in (\sqrt{a(a+1)}, \infty)$, there exists an $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\{\rho_n(b)\lambda_n(b)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is strictly increasing in $n \in \{1, 2, ..., n_2\}$ and decreasing in $n \geq n_2$.

Proof. (1) It follows from [3, 6.3.5 & 6.3.16] that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_n(b) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a+1}{(k+b)(k+c+1)} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a+1}{(k+n+b)(k+n+c+1)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{a+1}{(k+b)(k+c+1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Similarly,

$$\lambda_n(b) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{a}{(k+b)(k+c)}. \tag{2.2}$$

The first assertion in part (1) now follows from (2.1) and (2.2).

Applying [3, 6.1.47, 6.3.5 & 6.3.18] and (1.35)–(1.36), we obtain the following limiting values

$$\tau_\infty(b) = \lim_{n\to\infty} [\psi(c+1) - \psi(b) + \psi(n+b) - \psi(n+c+1)] = \psi(c+1) - \psi(b), \tag{2.3}$$

$$\tau_n(0^+) = \lim_{b\to 0^+} \left[\psi(c+1) - \psi(b+1) + \frac{1}{b} + \psi(n+b) - \psi(n+c+1)\right] = \infty, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\lambda_\infty(b) = \lim_{n\to\infty} [\psi(c) - \psi(b) + \psi(n+b) - \psi(n+c)] = \psi(c) - \psi(b), \tag{2.5}$$

$$\lambda_n(0^+) = \lim_{b\to 0^+} \left[\psi(c) - \psi(b+1) + \frac{1}{b} + \psi(n+b) - \psi(n+c)\right] = \infty, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\tau_n(\infty) = \lambda_n(\infty) = \lim_{b\to\infty} [\varphi_n(b)\tau_n(b)] = \lim_{b\to\infty} [\rho_n(b)\lambda_n(b)] = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{b\to 0^+} [\varphi_n(b)\tau_n(b)] = \lim_{b\to 0^+} \frac{b\Gamma(c+1)\Gamma(n+b)}{\Gamma(b+1)\Gamma(n+c+1)} &[\psi(c+1) - \psi(b+1) + 1/b \\ &+ \psi(n+b) - \psi(n+c+1)] = \frac{(n-1)!}{(a+1)_n} \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\lim_{b\to 0^+} [\rho_n(b)\lambda_n(b)] = \lim_{b\to 0^+} \frac{b\Gamma(c)\Gamma(n+b)[\psi(c) - \psi(b+1) + 1/b + \psi(n+b) - \psi(n+c)]}{\Gamma(b+1)\Gamma(n+c)} = \frac{(n-1)!}{(a)_n}. \tag{2.9}$$

(2) The first assertion in part (2) follows from (1.33) and (1.34).

By logarithmic differentiation, $\varphi_n'(b)/\varphi_n(b) = \tau_n(b)$ and $\rho_n'(b)/\rho_n(b) = \lambda_n(b)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\varphi_0'(b) = \rho_0'(b) = 0$. Hence the second assertion in part (2) follows from part (1) and (1.36).

(3) It follows from (1.33) and (2.1) that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c = a + b$,

$$\frac{n+c+1}{(a+1)\varphi_n(b)} [\varphi_{n+1}(b)\tau_{n+1}(b) - \varphi_n(b)\tau_n(b)] = \frac{1}{n+c+1} - \tau_n(b),$$

which is strictly decreasing in $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by part (1) with

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n\to\infty} \left[\frac{1}{n+c+1} - \tau_n(b)\right] &= \psi(b) - \psi(c+1) < 0, \quad \text{and} \\ \frac{1}{n+c+1} - \tau_n(b) &= \frac{b^2 - (a+1)(a+2)}{b(c+1)(c+2)} \end{aligned}$$

for $n = 1$. Hence part (3) follows.

(4) The proof of part (4) is similar to that of part (3). □

Lemma 2.2. *(1) As a function of a, β defined by* (1.1) *is strictly increasing from* $(0,1)$ *onto itself, with* $\beta(1/2) = (\log 4)/\pi$. *Moreover,* $\lim_{a\to 1^-}[1-\beta(a)]/(1-a) = \log 4$.

(2) For each $r \in (0,1)$, the functions $h_1(a) \equiv 1 - \beta(a)/F(a, 1-a; 1; r)$ and $h_2(a) \equiv 1 - \eta(a)/F(a, 1-a; 1; r)$ are both strictly decreasing from $(0,1)$ *onto itself. Moreover,* $\lim_{a\to 1^-} h_1(a)/(1-a) = \log(4/(1-r))$ *and* $\lim_{a\to 1^-} h_2(a)/(1-a) = 3 - \log(1-r)$.

Proof. (1) Clearly, $\beta(0^+)=0, \beta(1/2)=(\log 4)/\pi$ and $\beta(1^-)=1$. Let $h_3(a)=\psi(3/2-a)-\psi(1-a)$ for $a\in(0,1)$. Then it follows from the well-known monotonicity of $\psi^{(n)}$ (cf. [3, 6.4.1 or 6.4.10]) that

$$h_3^{(n)}(a)=(-1)^n\left[\psi^{(n)}(3/2-a)-\psi^{(n)}(1-a)\right]>0$$

for all $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$ and $a\in(0,1)$, and hence h_3 is absolutely monotone from $(0,1)$ onto $(2-\log 4,\infty)$. Since $\beta(a)=h_3(a)[\sin(\pi a)]/\pi$ by the reflection formula (cf. [3, 6.1.17])

$$\Gamma(a)\Gamma(1-a)=\pi/\sin(\pi a), \tag{2.10}$$

β is strictly increasing from $(0,1/2]$ onto $(0,(\log 4)/\pi)$.

Next, assume that $a\in(1/2,1)$. Set $h_4(a)=(1-a)[\psi(2-a)-\psi(3/2-a)]$ and $h_5(a)=\Gamma(a)\Gamma(2-a)$. Then $h_4(1^-)=0$, $h_4(1/2)=1-\log 2>0$ by [3, 6.3.4], and

$$\beta(a)=\frac{1-a}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(2-a)}\left[\psi\left(\frac{3}{2}-a\right)-\psi(2-a)+\frac{1}{1-a}\right]=\frac{1-h_4(a)}{h_5(a)} \tag{2.11}$$

by [3, 6.3.5]. It is easy to see that h_5 is strictly decreasing and convex from $(0,1)$ onto $(1,\infty)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}h_4(a)=&(1-a)\left[\frac{2}{3-2a}-\frac{1}{2-a}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{2-a}{n+2-a}-\frac{3-2a}{2n+3-2a}\right)\right]\\=&\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1-a}{(n+2-a)(2n+3-2a)}\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

by [3, 6.3.16]. It is clear that for each $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$, the function $a\mapsto(1-a)/[(n+2-a)(2n+3-2a)]$ is strictly decreasing on $[1/2,1)$, and so is h_4 by (2.12). Hence $1-h_4(a)$ is strictly increasing from $[1/2,1)$ onto $[\log 2,1)$, and it follows from (2.11) that β is strictly increasing from $(1/2,1)$ onto $((\log 4)/\pi,1)$.

The monotonicity of β on $(0,1)$ now follows from the above investigation.

By (2.10), [3, 6.3.5 & 6.4.6] and by differentiation,

$$\begin{aligned}\beta'(a)=&\frac{\sin(\pi a)}{\pi}\left[\psi'(1-a)-\psi'\left(\frac{3}{2}-a\right)\right]+\left[\psi\left(\frac{3}{2}-a\right)-\psi(1-a)\right]\cos(\pi a)\\=&\frac{\sin(\pi a)}{\pi}\left[\psi'(2-a)-\psi'\left(\frac{3}{2}-a\right)\right]+\frac{\sin(\pi a)}{\pi(1-a)^2}\\&+\left[\psi\left(\frac{3}{2}-a\right)-\psi(2-a)\right]\cos(\pi a)+\frac{\cos(\pi a)}{1-a}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Applying (2.13) and [3, 6.3.2 & 6.3.3], we obtain the limiting values $\beta'(0^+)=2(1-\log 2)$ and

$$\beta'(1^-)=\psi(1)-\psi(1/2)+\lim_{a\to1^-}\frac{\sin(\pi a)+\pi(1-a)\cos(\pi a)}{\pi(1-a)^2}=\psi(1)-\psi(1/2)=\log 4.$$

Hence by l'Hôpital's rule, $\lim_{a\to1^-}[1-\beta(a)]/(1-a)=\beta'(1^-)=\log 4$.

(2) For each $n\in\mathbb{N}_0$, let $h_{6,n}(a)\equiv\Gamma(n+a)\Gamma(n+1-a)$. Then it is easy to see that $h_{6,n}$ is strictly decreasing (increasing) on $(0,1/2]$ ($[1/2,1)$, respectively) with $h_{6,n}(0^+)=h_{6,n}(1^-)=n!(n-1)!$ for $n\in\mathbb{N}$, and

$$\begin{aligned}&1+\frac{a(1-a)}{\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(2-a)}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{r^n}{n^2}<F(a,1-a;1;r)\\&=1+\frac{a(1-a)}{\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(2-a)}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{h_{6,n}(a)}{(n!)^2}r^n<1+\frac{a(1-a)}{\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(2-a)}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{r^n}{n}\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

by which we obtain the limiting values

$$\lim_{a\to0^+}F(a,1-a;1;r)=\lim_{a\to1^-}F(a,1-a;1;r)=1 \tag{2.15}$$

(see also [5, Corollary 7.3(2)]). Clearly, $h_1(1^-) = 0$ by part (1) and (2.15), and $h_1(a)$ can be written as

$$h_1(a) = 1 - a\frac{\psi(3/2-a) - \psi(2-a) + 1/(1-a)}{\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(1-a)F(a,1-a;1;r)},$$

by which we obtain the limiting value $h_1(0^+) = 1$.

Let $h_7(a) = \Gamma(a)\Gamma(1-a)F(a,1-a;1;r)$, which has the following series expansion

$$h_7(a) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi a)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{h_{6,n}(a)}{(n!)^2} r^n$$

by (2.10) and (2.14). Obviously, if $a \in (0, 1/2]$, then h_7 is strictly decreasing on $(0, 1/2]$, with $h_7(0^+) = \infty$ and $h_7(1/2) = 2\mathcal{K}(\sqrt{r})$. Since $h_1(a) = 1 - h_3(a)/h_7(a)$, h_1 is strictly decreasing on $(0, 1/2]$ by the monotonicity properties of h_3 and h_7.

For $a \in [1/2, 1)$, let $h_8(a) = h_5(a)F(a, 1-a; 1; r)$. Then $h_8(1^-) = 1$ by (2.15), and

$$h_1(a) = 1 - (1-a)\frac{h_3(a)}{h_8(a)} = 1 - \frac{1 - h_4(a)}{h_8(a)} \tag{2.16}$$

by [3, 6.3.5]. By the symmetry of the parameter and [5, Corollary 7.3(2)], $F(a, 1-a; 1; r)$ is strictly decreasing in $a \in [1/2, 1)$ for each $r \in (0, 1)$, and hence so is h_8 by the monotonicity of h_5. Since the function $a \mapsto 1 - h_4(a)$ is strictly increasing from $[1/2, 1)$ onto $[\log 2, 1)$, h_1 is strictly decreasing on $[1/2, 1)$ by (2.16).

From the above discussion, we obtain the monotonicity of h_1 on $(0, 1)$.

By differentiation, [3, 6.3.5] and (2.14), and by Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial F(a,1-a;1;r)}{\partial a} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(1-a)_n}{(n!)^2}[\psi(n+a) - \psi(n+1-a) - \psi(a) + \psi(1-a)]r^n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(1-a)[\psi(n+a) - \psi(n+1-a) - \psi(a+1) + \psi(2-a)] + 1 - 2a}{\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(2-a)(n!)^2} h_{6,n}(a) r^n, \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

which is negative for $a \in [1/2, 1)$ by [8, Theorem 2.5], with

$$\lim_{a\to 0^+} \frac{\partial F(a,1-a;1;r)}{\partial a} = -\lim_{a\to 1^-} \frac{\partial F(a,1-a;1;r)}{\partial a} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{r^n}{n} = \log\frac{1}{1-r}. \tag{2.18}$$

It follows from (2.15) and (2.18) that

$$\begin{aligned} h_8'(1^-) &= \lim_{a\to 1^-} h_5(a)\left\{F(a,1-a;1;r)[\psi(a) - \psi(2-a)] + \frac{\partial F(a,1-a;1;r)}{\partial a}\right\} \\ &= \lim_{a\to 1^-} \frac{\partial F(a,1-a;1;r)}{\partial a} = \log(1-r), \end{aligned}$$

and hence by (2.16) and l'Hôpital's rule,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{a\to 1^-} \frac{h_1(a)}{1-a} &= \lim_{a\to 1^-} \frac{h_8(a) + h_4(a) - 1}{(1-a)h_8(a)} = \lim_{a\to 1^-} \frac{h_8(a) + h_4(a) - 1}{1-a} \\ &= \lim_{a\to 1^-} \left[\psi(2-a) - \psi\left(\frac{3}{2} - a\right) + \frac{h_8(a) - 1}{1-a}\right] \\ &= \log 4 + \lim_{a\to 1^-} \frac{h_8(a) - 1}{1-a} = \log 4 - h_8'(1^-) = \log\frac{4}{1-r}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $h_2(0^+) = 1$, and $h_2(1^-) = 0$ by (2.15). By l'Hôpital's rule, (2.15) and (2.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{a\to 1^-} \frac{h_2(a)}{1-a} &= \lim_{a\to 1^-} \frac{(3-2a)F(a,1-a;1;r) - a}{(1-a)(3-2a)F(a,1-a;1;r)} \\ &= \lim_{a\to 1^-} \left[3F(a,1-a;1;r) + a\frac{F(a,1-a;1;r) - 1}{1-a}\right] \\ &= 3 - \lim_{a\to 1^-} \frac{\partial F(a,1-a;1;r)}{\partial a} = 3 - \log(1-r). \end{aligned}$$

By (1.3), $h_2(a)$ can be written as

$$h_2(a) = 1 - \frac{\pi a}{2(3-2a)[\pi F(a,1-a;1;r)/2]} = 1 - \frac{\pi}{2}\left[(3-2a)\cdot\frac{\mathscr{K}_a(\sqrt{r})}{a}\right]^{-1},$$

and hence h_2 is strictly decreasing on $(0,1/2]$ by [5, Corollary 7.3(2)]) and [16, Theorem 1.1(1)].

Suppose that $a \in [1/2,1)$. Then $F(a,1-a;1;r)$ is strictly decreasing in $a \in [1/2,1)$ for each given $r \in (0,1)$ as above-mentioned, and so is the function $a \mapsto (3-2a)F(a,1-a;1;r)$. Hence h_2 is strictly decreasing on $[1/2,1)$, too. Consequently, h_2 is strictly decreasing on $(0,1)$ by the above investigation. □

Lemma 2.3. *(1) For $a,b_1,b_2 \in (0,\infty)$, $c_1 = a+b_1$ and $c_2 = a+b_2$ with $b_1 < b_2$, and for $r \in (0,1)$, suppose that $h_9(r) = F(a,b_1;c_1+1;r)/F(a,b_2;c_2+1;r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^{(1)} r^n$, where $a_n^{(1)} = a_n^{(1)}(a,b_1,b_2)$ depends on a,b_1 and b_2. Then h_9 is strictly decreasing from $[0,1]$ onto $[\overline{\alpha}B(a,b_2)/B(a,b_1),1]$, with $a_0^{(1)} = h_9(0) = 1$,*

$$h_9'(0) = a_1^{(1)} = -\frac{a(a+1)(b_2-b_1)}{(c_1+1)(c_2+1)},\ h_9'(1^-) = -\infty,$$

and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$a_n^{(1)} = \frac{(a)_n(b_1)_n}{n!(c_1+1)_n} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\frac{(a)_{n-k}(b_2)_{n-k}a_k^{(1)}}{(n-k)!(c_2+1)_{n-k}}. \tag{2.19}$$

(2) Assume that $a,b_1,b_2 \in (0,\infty)$, $c_1 = a+b_1$ and $c_2 = a+b_2$ with $b_1 < b_2$, and for $a \in (1,\infty)$, let

$$\bar{b} = \frac{\sqrt{a^2+4a-1}-\sqrt{a^2-1}}{2}\sqrt{\frac{a+1}{a-1}}\ (>1).$$

If $a \in (0,1]$, or if $a \in (1,\infty)$ and $b_2 \in (0,\bar{b}]$, then $a_n^{(1)} < 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ so that the functions $(-h_9')$ and $h_{10}(r) \equiv [1-h_9(r)]/r$ are both absolutely monotone on $(0,1)$, with $h_{10}(0^+) = -a_1^{(1)}$ and $h_{10}(1^-) = 1-\overline{\alpha}B(a,b_2)/B(a,b_1)$. In particular, if $b_1 = 1-a$ and $b_2 = 3/2-a$ for $a \in (0,1)$, then h_9 is strictly decreasing and concave from $[0,1]$ onto $[2\alpha\Gamma(3/2-a)/[\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(1-a)],1]$, and furthermore, $(-h_9')$ and h_{10} are both absolutely monotone on $(0,1)$ with ranges $(-a_1^{(1)},\infty)$ and $(-a_1^{(1)},1-4\Gamma(5/2-a)/[3\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(2-a)])$, respectively.

Proof. (1) Clearly, $h_9(0) = a_0^{(1)} = 1$, and

$$h_9(1) = \frac{\Gamma(b_2+1)\Gamma(c_1+1)}{\Gamma(b_1+1)\Gamma(c_2+1)} = \overline{\alpha}\frac{B(a,b_2)}{B(a,b_1)}$$

by [3, 15.1.20]. In the particular case when $b_1 = 1-a$ and $b_2 = 3/2-a$ for $a \in (0,1)$,

$$h_9(1) = \alpha\frac{\Gamma(3/2-a)}{\Gamma(1-a)\Gamma(3/2)} = \frac{4\Gamma(5/2-a)}{3\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(2-a)}.$$

By (1.2), we have

$$h_9(r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^{(1)} r^n = \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a)_n(b_1)_n}{n!(c_1+1)_n}r^n\right]\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a)_n(b_2)_n}{n!(c_2+1)_n}r^n\right]^{-1}, \tag{2.20}$$

from which (2.19) follows.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, set

$$d_n = \left[\frac{(a)_n(b_1)_n}{n!(c_1+1)_n}\right]\left[\frac{(a)_n(b_2)_n}{n!(c_2+1)_n}\right]^{-1} = \frac{(b_1)_n(c_2+1)_n}{(b_2)_n(c_1+1)_n}.$$

Then for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,

$$\frac{d_{n+1}}{d_n} = \frac{(n+b_1)(n+c_2+1)}{(n+b_2)(n+c_1+1)} = 1 - \frac{(a+1)(b_2-b_1)}{(n+b_2)(n+c_1+1)} < 1.$$

Hence d_n is strictly decreasing in $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and h_9 is strictly decreasing on $(0,1)$ by [15, Lemma 2.1].

Differentiation gives

$$\begin{aligned} h_9'(r) =& \frac{1}{F(a,b_2;c_2+1;r)^2}\left[\frac{ab_1}{c_1+1}F(a+1,b_1+1;c_1+2;r)F(a,b_2;c_2+1;r)\right.\\ &\left.-\frac{ab_2}{c_2+1}F(a,b_1;c_1+1;r)F(a+1,b_2+1;c_2+2;r)\right]\\ =& -ah_9(r)F(a+1,b_2+1;c_2+2;r)\left[\frac{b_2}{(c_2+1)F(a,b_2;c_2+1;r)}\right.\\ &\left.-\frac{b_1F(a+1,b_1+1;c_1+2;r)}{(c_1+1)F(a,b_1;c_1+1;r)F(a+1,b_2+1;c_2+2;r)}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

By [3, 15.1.20 & 15.3.11],

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{r\to1^-}\left[\frac{b_2}{(c_2+1)F(a,b_2;c_2+1;r)}-\frac{b_1F(a+1,b_1+1;c_1+2;r)}{(c_1+1)F(a,b_1;c_1+1;r)F(a+1,b_2+1;c_2+2;r)}\right]\\ &=\frac{b_2}{(c_2+1)F(a,b_2;c_2+1;1)}-\frac{b_1}{(c_1+1)F(a,b_1;c_1+1;1)}\lim_{r\to1}\frac{F(a+1,b_1+1;c_1+2;r)}{F(a+1,b_2+1;c_2+2;r)}\\ &=\frac{b_2\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(b_2+1)}{\Gamma(c_2+2)}-\frac{b_1\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(b_1+1)B(a+1,b_2+1)}{\Gamma(c_1+2)B(a+1,b_1+1)}\\ &=(b_2-b_1)\,B(a+1,b_2+1)>0, \end{aligned}$$

and hence by (2.21), we obtain the limiting values

$$h_9'(0)=a_1^{(1)}=-\frac{a(a+1)(b_2-b_1)}{(c_1+1)(c_2+1)},\ h_9'(1^-)=-\infty,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{r\to1^-}\sqrt{1-r}h_9'(r)\\ &=-ah_9(1)\lim_{r\to1^-}\left\{\sqrt{1-r}F(a+1,b_2+1;c_2+2;r)\left[\frac{b_2}{(c_2+1)F(a,b_2;c_2+1;r)}\right.\right.\\ &\left.\left.-\frac{b_1F(a+1,b_1+1;c_1+2;r)}{(c_1+1)F(a,b_1;c_1+1;r)F(a+1,b_2+1;c_2+2;r)}\right]\right\}\\ &=-a\,(b_2-b_1)\,B(a+1,b_2+1)h_9(1)\lim_{r\to1^-}\sqrt{1-r}F(a+1,b_2+1;c_2+2;r)=0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

(2) First, observe that $\bar{b}>1$, which can be easily verified. It follows from (2.20) that

$$\frac{(a)_n(b_1)_n}{n!(c_1+1)_n}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{(a)_{n-k}(b_2)_{n-k}a_k^{(1)}}{(n-k)!(c_2+1)_{n-k}}. \tag{2.23}$$

For $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $k=1,2,...,n$, let $m=n-k$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_n^{(1)}=&\frac{n!(c_2+1)_n}{(a)_n(b_2)_n}\cdot\frac{(a)_n(b_1)_n}{n!(c_1+1)_n}=\frac{(b_1)_n(c_2+1)_n}{(b_2)_n(c_1+1)_n},\\ S_n^{(2)}=&\frac{n!(c_2+1)_n}{(a)_n(b_2)_n}\cdot\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{(a)_{n-k}(b_2)_{n-k}a_k^{(1)}}{(n-k)!(c_2+1)_{n-k}}\\ =&1+\frac{n!(c_2+1)_n}{(a)_n(b_2)_n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{(a)_{n-k}(b_2)_{n-k}a_k^{(1)}}{(n-k)!(c_2+1)_{n-k}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{m,n}^{(1)}=&(c_2+1-ab_2)\,(m+n)+2mn+c_2(c_2+1)-ab_2(c_2+2)\\ =&(a+1)(m+n)+2mn+b_2(1-a)\,(m+n+a+b_2+1)+a(a+1). \end{aligned}$$

Then $S_n^{(1)} = d_n = S_n^{(2)}$ by (2.19), so that $S_n^{(2)}$ is strictly decreasing in $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by the monotonicity of d_n. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
0 >& \frac{(a)_{n+1}(b_2)_{n+1}}{n!(c_2+1)_n}\left(S_{n+1}^{(2)} - S_n^{(2)}\right)\\
=&(n+1)(n+c_2+1)\sum_{k=1}^{n+1}\frac{(a)_{m+1}(b_2)_{m+1}a_k^{(1)}}{(m+1)!(c_2+1)_{m+1}} - (n+a)(n+b_2)\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{(a)_m(b_2)_m a_k^{(1)}}{m!(c_2+1)_m}\\
=&(n+1)(n+c_2+1)a_{n+1}^{(1)}\\
&-\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{(a)_m(b_2)_m a_k^{(1)}}{(m+1)!(c_2+1)_{m+1}}\left\{\left(n^2+c_2n+ab_2\right)\left[m^2+(c_2+2)m+c_2+1\right]\right.\\
&\left.-\left[n^2+(c_2+2)n+c_2+1\right]\left(m^2+c_2m+ab_2\right)\right\}\\
=&(n+1)(n+c_2+1)a_{n+1}^{(1)}\\
&-\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{(n-m)(a)_m(b_2)_m a_k^{(1)}}{(m+1)!(c_2+1)_{m+1}}\left\{(c_2+1-ab_2)(n+m)+2mn+[c_2(c_2+1)-ab_2(c_2+2)]\right\}\\
=&(n+1)(n+c_2+1)a_{n+1}^{(1)} - \sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{k(a)_m(b_2)_m a_k^{(1)}}{(m+1)!(c_2+1)_{m+1}}\Delta_{m,n}^{(1)},
\end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$a_{n+1}^{(1)} < \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+c_2+1)}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\frac{k(a)_m(b_2)_m a_k^{(1)}}{(m+1)!(c_2+1)_{m+1}}\Delta_{m,n}^{(1)}. \tag{2.24}$$

Clearly, $\Delta_{m,n}^{(1)} > 0$ for $a \in (0,1]$, and if $ab_2 \le c_2(c_2+1)/(c_2+2)$, then

$$\Delta_{m,n}^{(1)} \ge (c_2+1-ab_2)(m+n) > (c_2+1-ab_2)\,n > 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
ab_2 \le c_2(c_2+1)/(c_2+2) &\Longleftrightarrow ab_2(a+b_2+2) \le a^2+2ab_2+b_2^2+a+b_2\\
&\Longleftrightarrow \Delta(a,b_2) \equiv -(a-1)b_2^2 - \left(a^2-1\right)b_2 + a(a+1) \ge 0.
\end{aligned}$$

For each $a \in (1,\infty)$, $\Delta(a,b_2)$ is strictly decreasing in b_2 from $(0,\infty)$ onto $(-\infty, a(a+1))$, and hence has the unique zero $\bar{b}$ such that $\Delta(a,b_2) \ge 0$ for $a \in (1,\infty)$ and $b_2 \in (0,\bar{b}]$. Consequently,

$$\Delta_{m,n}^{(1)} > 0 \ \text{ if } \ a \in (0,1], \ \text{ or if } \ a \in (1,\infty) \ \text{ and } \ b_2 \in (0,\bar{b}]. \tag{2.25}$$

Since $a_1^{(1)} < 0$, it is easy for us to apply (2.24)–(2.25) and the mathematical induction to prove that $a_n^{(1)} < 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, provided that $a \in (0,1]$, or $a \in (1,\infty)$ and $b_2 \in (0,\bar{b}]$. This shows that if $a \in (0,1]$, or if $a \in (1,\infty)$ and $b_2 \in (0,\bar{b}]$, then $(-h_9')$ and $h_{10}(r) = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+1}^{(1)} r^n$ are both absolutely monotone on $(0,1)$.

The remaining conclusions in part (2) are clear. □

Corollary 2.4. *(1) Suppose that* $h_{11}(r) = F(1/2,1/2;2;r)/F(1/2,1;5/2;r) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k^{(2)} r^k$ *for* $r \in (0,1)$. *Then* $h_{11}(0^+) = a_0^{(2)} = 1$, $h_{11}(1^-) = 8/(3\pi)$, *and for* $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$a_k^{(2)} = \frac{1}{k+1}\left[\frac{(1/2)_k}{k!}\right]^2 - 3\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\frac{a_j^{(2)}}{[2(k-j)+1][2(k-j)+3]} < 0, \tag{2.26}$$

with $a_1^{(2)} = -3/40$, $a_2^{(2)} = -267/11200$, $a_3^{(2)} = -32279/2688000$, $a_4^{(2)} = -40472969/5519360000$ *and* $a_5^{(2)} = -0.004981513\cdots$. *In particular, for each* $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, *the functions* $(-h_{11}')$ *and*

$$h_{12}(r) \equiv \frac{1}{r^{n+1}}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k^{(2)} r^k - h_{11}(r)\right]$$

are both absolutely monotone on $(0,1)$ *with* $h_{12}(0^+) = -a_{n+1}^{(2)}$, $h_{12}(1) = \tilde{a}_n \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k^{(2)} - 8/(3\pi)$, $\tilde{a}_4 = \sum_{k=0}^{4} a_k^{(2)} - 8/(3\pi) = 0.0353442\cdots$, *and for each* $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *and all* $r \in (0,1)$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} a_k^{(2)} r^k - \tilde{a}_{n+1} r^{n+2} < h_{11}(r) < \sum_{k=0}^{n+2} a_k^{(2)} r^k \tag{2.27}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{4} a_k^{(2)} r^k - \tilde{a}_4 r^5 < h_{11}(r) < \sum_{k=0}^{5} a_k^{(2)} r^k. \tag{2.28}$$

(2) For $r \in (0,1)$, *set* $t = \sqrt{r}$, *and suppose that*

$$h_{13}(r) = \frac{\mathscr{E}(t) - (1-r)\mathscr{K}(t)}{1 - (1-r)(\operatorname{arth} t)/t} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^{(3)} r^n.$$

Then $h_{13}(r) \equiv (3\pi/8) h_{11}(r)$, $a_n^{(3)} = (3\pi/8) a_n^{(2)}$ *for* $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $h_{13}(0^+) = a_0^{(3)} = 3\pi/8$, $h_{13}(1^-) = 1$, *and* $a_n^{(3)} < 0$ *for all* $n \in \mathbb{N}$. *In particular, for all* $r \in (0,1)$,

$$\frac{3\pi}{8}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{4} a_k^{(2)} r^{2k} - \tilde{a}_4 r^{10}\right) < \frac{\mathscr{E}(r) - r'^2\mathscr{K}(r)}{1 - (r'^2 \operatorname{arth} r)/r} < \frac{3\pi}{8}\sum_{k=0}^{5} a_k^{(2)} r^{2k}. \tag{2.29}$$

Proof. (1) Let h_9 and $a_n^{(1)}$ be as in Lemma 2.3. Clearly, $h_{11}(r) = h_9(r)$, $a_n^{(2)} = a_n^{(1)}$ and $h_{12}(r) = -\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k+n+1}^{(2)} r^k$ for $a = b_1 = b_2/2 = 1/2$, so that (2.26) holds. Hence it follows from Lemma 2.3 that for $r \in (0,1)$,

$$-a_{n+1}^{(2)} - a_{n+2}^{(2)} r < h_{12}(r) < -a_{n+1}^{(2)} + \left[h_{12}(1^-) + a_{n+1}^{(2)}\right] r.$$

Computation and (2.26) give the values of $a_i^{(2)}$ $(1 \le i \le 5)$. Consequently, the conclusions in part (1) except for (2.28) follow. Taking $n = 4$ in (2.27), we obtain (2.28).

(2) By (1.2)–(1.5), it is easy to verify that for $r \in (0,1)$,

$$\frac{\mathscr{E}(r) - r'^2\mathscr{K}(r)}{r^2} = \frac{\pi}{4} F\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 2; r^2\right) \text{ and } \frac{1 - r'^2(\operatorname{arth} r)/r}{r^2} = \frac{2}{3} F\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1; \frac{5}{2}; r^2\right). \tag{2.30}$$

Hence $h_{13}(r) = 3\pi h_{11}(r)/8$, and part (2) follows from part (1). □

3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

(1) Let f be as in (1.9). Then by (1.2) and (1.35)–(1.36),

$$F(a,b;c+1;r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n \varphi_n(b)}{n!} r^n,\ F(a,b;c;r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n \rho_n(b)}{n!} r^n, \tag{3.1}$$

$$f(a,0^+,r) = \lim_{b\to 0^+} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n \varphi_n(b)}{n!} r^n\right]\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n \rho_n(b)}{n!} r^n\right]^{-1} = 1 \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$f(a,\infty,r) = \lim_{b\to\infty} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n \varphi_n(b)}{n!} r^n\right]\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n \rho_n(b)}{n!} r^n\right]^{-1} = 1. \tag{3.3}$$

By (3.2)–(3.3), f is not monotone in $b \in (0,\infty)$, and we can roughly assert that Conjecture 1.1 is not true.

By (1.35)–(1.36), (2.7)–(2.9) and Lemma 2.1, and by differentiation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{F(a,b;c;r)^2}{r}\frac{\partial f}{\partial b} =&\frac{1}{r}\left[F(a,b;c;r)\frac{\partial F(a,b;c+1;r)}{\partial b} - F(a,b;c+1;r)\frac{\partial F(a,b;c;r)}{\partial b}\right]\\ =&\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a)_{n+1}\varphi_{n+1}(b)\tau_{n+1}(b)}{(n+1)!}r^n\right]\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a)_n\rho_n(b)}{n!}r^n\\ &-\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a)_n\varphi_n(b)}{n!}r^n\right]\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a)_{n+1}\rho_{n+1}(b)\lambda_{n+1}(b)}{(n+1)!}r^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}A_n r^n,\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}A_n =&\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\frac{(a)_k\rho_k(b)(a)_{m+1}\varphi_{m+1}(b)\tau_{m+1}(b)}{k!(m+1)!} - \frac{(a)_{k+1}\rho_{k+1}(b)\lambda_{k+1}(b)(a)_m\varphi_m(b)}{(k+1)!m!}\right]\\ =&\sum_{k=0}^{n}\frac{(a)_k\rho_k(b)(a)_m\varphi_m(b)}{k!m!}\left[\frac{(m+a)(m+b)}{(m+1)(m+c+1)}\tau_{m+1}(b) - \frac{(k+a)(k+b)}{(k+1)(k+c)}\lambda_{k+1}(b)\right]\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

and $m = n-k$, with

$$A_0 = \frac{a(a+1)}{(c+1)^2} - \frac{a^2}{c^2} = a\frac{b^2-a(a+1)}{c^2(c+1)^2}. \tag{3.6}$$

It follows from (3.6) that a necessary condition for the validity of the inequality (1.9) is $A_0 \le 0$, namely, $0 < b \le \sqrt{a(a+1)}$. Consequently, Conjecture 1.1 is not true if $b > \sqrt{a(a+1)}$.

Next, taking $b_1 = 1-a$ and $b_2 = 3/2-a$ for $a \in (0,1)$, we can write (1.10) as

$$f(a,b_1,r) > f(a,b_2,r). \tag{3.7}$$

If $a + \sqrt{a(a+1)} < 1$, namely, $a \in (0,1/3)$, then $b_2 > b_1 > \sqrt{a(a+1)}$, so that by (3.4) and (3.6), f is strictly increasing in $b \in [b_1,\infty)$ for sufficiently small $r \in (0,1)$. Hence for sufficiently small $r \in (0,1)$,

$$f(a,b_1,r) < f(a,b_2,r), \tag{3.8}$$

that is, the inequality in (3.7) is reversed for $a \in (0,1/3)$. This shows that (1.7), or equivalently, (1.10) does not hold for $a \in (0,1/3)$ and sufficiently small $r \in (0,1)$.

(2) Clearly, $f_1(a,0) = 0$, and

$$\lim_{r\to1^-}\left[\frac{B(a,1-a)F(a,1-a;2;r)}{B(a,3/2-a)F(a,3/2-a;5/2;r)} - 1\right] = \frac{a}{3(1-a)} > 0$$

by [3, 15.1.20]. Hence by [3, 15.3.10],

$$\begin{aligned}f_1(a,1^-) =& \lim_{r\to1^-}\left[\frac{F(a,1-a;2;r)}{B(a,3/2-a)F(a,3/2-a;5/2;r)}\log\frac{e^{R(a,3/2-a)}}{1-r} - \frac{1}{B(a,1-a)}\log\frac{e^{R(a)}}{1-r}\right]\\ =&\frac{F(a,1-a;2;1)}{B(a,3/2-a)F(a,3/2-a;5/2;1)}R(a,3/2-a) - \frac{R(a)}{B(a,1-a)}\\ &+\frac{1}{B(a,1-a)}\lim_{r\to1^-}\left[\frac{B(a,1-a)F(a,1-a;2;r)}{B(a,3/2-a)F(a,3/2-a;5/2;r)} - 1\right]\log\frac{1}{1-r} = \infty.\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Let h_9 be as in Lemma 2.3 with $b_2 = b_1 + 1/2 = 3/2-a$ for $a \in (0,1)$. Then by differentiation and (1.8),

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial r} = h_{15}(r) \equiv& h_9(r)\frac{\partial F(a,3/2-a;3/2;r)}{\partial r} + F\left(a,\frac{3}{2}-a;\frac{3}{2};r\right)h_9'(r) - \frac{a(1-a)}{1-r}F(a,1-a;2;r)\\ =&\frac{a^2}{3(1-r)}F(a,1-a;2;r) + F\left(a,\frac{3}{2}-a;\frac{3}{2};r\right)h_9'(r).\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

By (2.21), (3.10) and Lemma 2.3(1), we obtain the limiting values

$$h_{15}(0) = a^2/3 - a(a+1)/10 = a(7a-3)/30 \tag{3.11}$$

and

$$h_{15}(1^-) = \lim_{r\to 1^-} \frac{1}{1-r}\left[\frac{a^2}{3}F(a,1-a;2;r) + \sqrt{1-r}F\left(a,\frac{3}{2}-a;\frac{3}{2};r\right)\cdot\sqrt{1-r}h_9'(r)\right] = \infty. \tag{3.12}$$

From (3.9)–(3.12), it is easy for us to obtain the first and second assertions in part (2). By part (1) or by the properties of f_1, neither the first (or equivalently, the second) inequality in (1.15) nor its reversed one is always valid for all $a, r \in (0,1)$.

(3) Clearly, $f_2(a,0) = \alpha - 1 = a/[3(1-a)]$. For each $a \in (0,1)$, and for $r \in (0,1)$, let

$$h_{16}(r) = (3-2a)\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}-a\right)F\left(a,\frac{3}{2}-a;\frac{5}{2};r\right) - 3\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\Gamma(2-a)F(a,1-a;2;r).$$

Then by [3, 15.1.20], it is easy for us to obtain the limiting value $h_{16}(1^-) = 0$, and hence by l'Hôpital's rule,

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{r\to 1^-}\frac{h_{16}(r)}{r'} = \lim_{r\to 1^-}\Bigg[&\frac{3a(1-a)}{2}\Gamma(3/2)\Gamma(2-a)r'F(a+1,2-a;3;r)\\ &-\frac{a(3-2a)^2}{5}\Gamma(3/2-a)r'F\left(a+1,\frac{5}{2}-a;\frac{7}{2};r\right)\Bigg] = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by [3, 15.1.20 & 15.3.10],

$$\begin{aligned}f_2(a,1^-) =& \lim_{r\to 1^-}\left[\frac{\alpha}{B(a,1-a)}\log\frac{e^{R(a)}}{1-r} - \frac{F(a,1-a;2;r)}{B(a,3/2-a)F(a,3/2-a;5/2;r)}\log\frac{e^{R(a,3/2-a)}}{1-r}\right]\\ =&\frac{\alpha R(a)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(1-a)} - \frac{\Gamma(3/2)F(a,1-a;2;1)R(a,3/2-a)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(3/2-a)F(a,3/2-a;5/2;1)}\\ &+\lim_{r\to 1^-}\left[\frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(1-a)} - \frac{\Gamma(3/2)F(a,1-a;2;r)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(3/2-a)F(a,3/2-a;5/2;r)}\right]\log\frac{1}{1-r}\\ =&\frac{\alpha R(a)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(1-a)} - \frac{\Gamma(3/2)\Gamma(5/2-a)R(a,3/2-a)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(3/2-a)\Gamma(2-a)\Gamma(5/2)}\\ &+\frac{1}{\Gamma(a)}\lim_{r\to 1^-}\left[\frac{\alpha}{\Gamma(1-a)} - \frac{\Gamma(3/2)F(a,1-a;2;r)}{\Gamma(3/2-a)F(a,3/2-a;5/2;r)}\right]\log\frac{1}{1-r}\\ =&\frac{\alpha[R(a)-R(a,3/2-a)]}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(1-a)} + \frac{\lim_{r\to 1^-}\left[\frac{h_{16}(r)}{r'}\cdot\left(r'\log\frac{1}{1-r}\right)\right]}{3\Gamma(a)\Gamma(2-a)\Gamma(3/2-a)F(a,3/2-a;5/2;1)}\\ =&\alpha[\psi(3/2-a)-\psi(1-a)]/B(a,1-a) = \alpha\beta.\end{aligned}$$

Let h_9 be as in (3.10). Then by differentiation and [3, 15.3.3],

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial r} =& a(1-a)\alpha F(a+1,2-a;2;r) - \frac{a(3-2a)}{3}F\left(a+1,\frac{5}{2}-a;\frac{5}{2};r\right)h_9(r)\\ &- F\left(a,\frac{3}{2}-a;\frac{3}{2};r\right)h_9'(r) = F\left(a,\frac{3}{2}-a;\frac{3}{2};r\right)\cdot[-h_9'(r)],\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

which is a product of two absolutely monotone functions of $r \in (0,1)$ by Lemma 2.3(2). Hence the absolute monotonicity of f_2 in $r \in (0,1)$ follows. The monotonicity of δ_n with respect to $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is clear.

By (1.3),

$$\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{dF(a,1-a;1;r^2)}{dr} = \frac{d\mathscr{K}_a(r)}{dr},$$

so that by (1.8) and [5, Theorem 4.1],

$$\frac{\pi a(1-a)r}{r'^2}F\left(a,1-a;2;r^2\right) = 2(1-a)\frac{\mathscr{E}_a(r) - r'^2\mathscr{K}_a(r)}{rr'^2},$$

from which it follows that

$$F(a,1-a;2;r)=\frac{2}{\pi a}\cdot\frac{\mathscr{E}_a(t)-t'^2\mathscr{K}_a(t)}{t^2},\tag{3.14}$$

where $t=\sqrt{r}$. Hence

$$\Lambda_1\left(a,r^2\right)=\frac{2}{\pi a}\cdot\frac{\mathscr{E}_a(r)-r'^2\mathscr{K}_a(r)}{r^2}\cdot\frac{F(a,3/2-a;3/2;r^2)}{F(a,3/2-a;5/2;r^2)}\tag{3.15}$$

and

$$\frac{\pi}{2}f_2\left(a,r^2\right)=\alpha\mathscr{K}_a(r)-\frac{\mathscr{E}_a(r)-r'^2\mathscr{K}_a(r)}{ar^2}\frac{F(a,3/2-a;3/2;r^2)}{F(a,3/2-a;5/2;r^2)}=\frac{\pi}{2}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}a_kr^{2k}.\tag{3.16}$$

This yields (1.21) and (1.22).

The double inequality (1.23) follows from (3.16) and the absolute monotonicity of the function

$$r\mapsto\frac{1}{r^{n+1}}\left[f_2(a,r)-\sum_{k=0}^{n}a_kr^k\right]=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}a_{k+n+1}r^k.$$

By Lemma 2.2(1), $\beta<1$. The double inequality (1.24), and the fourth and fifth inequalities in (1.26) follow from the monotonicity of f_2 in $r\in(0,1)$, and (1.25) follows from (1.24). The first, second, third and eighth inequalities in (1.26) are clear. Since

$$P_{n+1}\left(a,\sqrt{r}\right)=r^{n+2}\sum_{k=0}^{n+2}a_k+\sum_{k=0}^{n+2}a_k\left(r^k-r^{n+2}\right)>r^{n+2}\sum_{k=0}^{n+2}a_k+a_0\left(1-r^{n+2}\right),$$

the sixth inequality in (1.26) follows. Clearly,

$$r^{n+2}\sum_{k=0}^{n+2}a_k+a_0(1-r^{n+2})>\eta\Longleftrightarrow r^{n+2}\sum_{k=1}^{n+2}a_k>-\frac{a^2}{3(1-a)(3-2a)},$$

which is obviously true, and hence the seventh inequality in (1.26) holds. It is clear that as constant depending only on a, each α in (1.23)–(1.24) and (1.26) is best possible.

Next, by (1.2), we have the following Maclaurin series

$$F(a,1-a;1;r)=1+\frac{a(1-a)}{\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(2-a)}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\Gamma(n+a)\Gamma(n+1-a)}{(n!)^2}r^n,\tag{3.17}$$

$$F(a,1-a;2;r)=1+\frac{a(1-a)}{\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(2-a)}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\Gamma(n+a)\Gamma(n+1-a)}{(n+1)!n!}r^n,\tag{3.18}$$

$$F\left(a,\frac{3}{2}-a;\frac{3}{2};r\right)=1+\frac{a}{\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(3/2-a)}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\Gamma(n+a)\Gamma(n+3/2-a)}{n!(3/2)_n}r^n\tag{3.19}$$

and

$$F\left(a,\frac{3}{2}-a;\frac{5}{2};r\right)=1+\frac{a}{\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(3/2-a)}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\Gamma(n+a)\Gamma(n+3/2-a)}{n!(5/2)_n}r^n.\tag{3.20}$$

It follows from (3.17)–(3.20) and Lemma 2.2(1) that

$$\Lambda(0^+,r)=1=\alpha(0^+)=\alpha(0^+)[1-\beta(0^+)].$$

Hence each inequality in (1.23) and (1.26) is sharp as $a\to0$.

The remaining conclusion in part (3) is clear. □

4 A Counterexample for (1.7) and a Corollary of Theorem 1.3

Obviously, by Theorem 1.3, one can construct infinitely many counterexamples for (1.6) and (1.7). In this section, we give a detailed counterexample for (1.7), and derive a corollary of Theorem 1.3.

4.1 A Detailed Counterexample for (1.7)

Take $a = 1/4$ in (1.7), or equivalently, (1.10), and let

$$f_5(r) = F\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}; 2; r\right) F\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{5}{4}; \frac{3}{2}; r\right) - F\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}; 1; r\right) F\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{5}{4}; \frac{5}{2}; r\right)$$

for $r \in (0, 1)$. Then $f_5(0) = 0$, and (1.10) becomes

$$\frac{F(1/4, 3/4; 2; r)}{F(1/4, 3/4; 1; r)} > \frac{F(1/4, 5/4; 5/2; r)}{F(1/4, 5/4; 3/2; r)}, \tag{4.1}$$

which is valid for all $r \in (0, 1)$ if and only if $f_5(r) > 0$ for all $r \in (0, 1)$. By [3, 15.3.10], it is easy to obtain the limiting value $f_5(1^-) = \infty$, and hence there exists a number $r_6 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$f_5(r) > 0 \ \text{ for } \ r \in [r_6, 1). \tag{4.2}$$

Differentiation gives

$$\begin{aligned} f_5'(r) =& \frac{3}{32} F\left(\frac{5}{4}, \frac{7}{4}; 3; r\right) F\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{5}{4}; \frac{3}{2}; r\right) + \frac{5}{24} F\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}; 2; r\right) F\left(\frac{5}{4}, \frac{9}{4}; \frac{5}{2}; r\right) \\ & - \frac{3}{16} F\left(\frac{5}{4}, \frac{7}{4}; 2; r\right) F\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{5}{4}; \frac{5}{2}; r\right) - \frac{1}{8} F\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}; 1; r\right) F\left(\frac{5}{4}, \frac{9}{4}; \frac{7}{2}; r\right) \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

with $f_5'(0) = -1/96$, so that there exists a number $r_7 \in (0, 1)$ such that f_5 is strictly decreasing on $(0, r_7]$ and $f_5(r) < f_5(0) = 0$ for $r \in (0, r_7]$. This, together with (4.2), shows that neither the inequality in (1.10) nor its reversed one always holds for all $r \in (0, 1)$, provided that $a = 1/4$.

4.2 A Corollary of Theorem 1.3

From Theorem 1.3, we can derive the sharp bounds for $\mathscr{K}_a(r)$ and $\mathscr{K}(r)$. Here we give an example as follows. Let f_2 and a_k be as in Theorem 1.3(3), h_{13} as in Corollary 2.4(2), and suppose that

$$f_6(r) = \mathscr{K}_a(\sqrt{r}) - \frac{\mathscr{E}_a(\sqrt{r}) - (1 - r)\mathscr{K}_a(\sqrt{r})}{a\alpha r F(a, 3/2 - a; 5/2; r)} F\left(a, \frac{3}{2} - a; \frac{3}{2}; r\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k^{(4)} r^k \tag{4.4}$$

for $a, r \in (0, 1)$, where $a_k^{(4)} = a_k^{(4)}(a)$ depends on a, and set

$$f_7(r) = f_6(r)|_{a=1/2} = \mathscr{K}\left(\sqrt{r}\right) - h_{13}(r) \frac{\operatorname{arth}\sqrt{r}}{\sqrt{r}}. \tag{4.5}$$

Then $f_6(r) = \pi f_2(a, r)/(2\alpha)$ by (3.16), so that by Theorem 1.3(3), f_6 and f_7 are both absolutely monotone on $(0, 1)$ with $a_k^{(4)}(a) = \pi a_k/(2\alpha)$, $f_6(0) = \pi\eta/2$, $f_6(1^-) = \pi\beta/2$, $f_7(0^+) = \pi/8$ and $f_7(1^-) = \log 2$. Hence by Theorem 1.3(3), (1.5) and Corollary 2.4, we obtain the following corollary immediately.

Corollary 4.1. *Let h_{13}, f_6 and f_7 be as Corollary 2.4(2),* (4.4) *and* (4.5)*, respectively. Then for each $a \in (0, 1)$, f_6 and f_7 are both absolutely monotone on* $(0, 1)$ *with ranges* $(\pi\eta/2, \pi\beta/2)$ *and* $(\pi/8, \log 2)$*, respectively. In particular, for all $r \in (0, 1)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{8} + \frac{3\pi}{8}\left[1 - \frac{3}{40} r^2 - \frac{267}{11200} r^4 - \frac{32279}{2688000} r^6 - \left(\frac{2390041}{2688000} - \frac{8}{3\pi}\right) r^8\right] \frac{\operatorname{arth} r}{r} \\ & < \frac{\pi}{8} + h_{13}\left(r^2\right) \frac{\operatorname{arth} r}{r} < \mathscr{K}(r) < \frac{\pi}{8} + h_{13}\left(r^2\right) \frac{\operatorname{arth} r}{r} + \left(\log 2 - \frac{\pi}{8}\right) r^2 \\ & < \frac{\pi}{8} + \left(\log 2 - \frac{\pi}{8}\right) r^2 + \frac{3\pi}{8}\left(1 - \frac{3}{40} r^2 - \frac{267}{11200} r^4 - \frac{32279}{2688000} r^6 - \frac{40472969}{5519360000} r^8\right) \frac{\operatorname{arth} r}{r}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

in which all the constants are best possible.

5 Proof of Theorem 1.4

(1) Suppose that $\Lambda_3(a,b_1,b_2,r)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}B_nr^n$. Then

$$\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a)_n(b_1)_n}{n!(c_1+1)_n}r^n\right]\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a)_n(b_2)_n}{n!(c_2)_n}r^n=\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}B_nr^n\right)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a)_n(b_2)_n}{n!(c_2+1)_n}r^n,$$

by which we obtain $B_0=1$ and

$$B_n=\frac{(a)_n(b_1)_n}{n!(c_1+1)_n}+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\frac{(a)_{n-k}(b_2)_{n-k}}{(n-k)!(c_2)_{n-k+1}}\left[(n-k+c_2)\,\frac{(a)_k(b_1)_k}{k!(c_1+1)_k}-c_2B_k\right] \tag{5.1}$$

for $n\in\mathbb{N}$, with $B_1=a\{b_1/(c_1+1)+b_2/[c_2(c_2+1)]\}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{f_3(a,b_1,b_2,r)}{r}=&\frac{\Lambda_3(a,b_1,b_2,r)-F(a,b_1;c_1;r)}{r}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[B_n-\frac{(a)_n(b_1)_n}{n!(c_1)_n}\right]r^{n-1}\\=&\frac{a(b_2-b_1)(a^2+a-b_1b_2)}{c_1c_2(c_1+1)(c_2+1)}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[B_{n+1}-\frac{(a)_{n+1}(b_1)_{n+1}}{(n+1)!(c_1)_{n+1}}\right]r^n\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

by which we obtain the limiting value

$$\lim_{r\to0}\frac{\Lambda_3(a,b_1,b_2,r)-F(a,b_1;c_1;r)}{r}=\frac{a(b_2-b_1)[a(a+1)-b_1b_2]}{c_1c_2(c_1+1)(c_2+1)}.$$

This shows that if $b_1b_2>a(a+1)$, then there exists a number $r_0\in(0,1)$ such that

$$\Lambda_3(a,b_1,b_2,r)<F(a,b_1;c_1;r)$$

for $r\in(0,r_0]$. Consequently, we obtain part (1) by (1.14).

(2) Clearly, $f_3(a,b_1,b_2,0)=0$. By [3, 15.1.20 & 15.3.10], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}f_3(a,b_1,b_2,1^-)=&\lim_{r\to1^-}\left[\frac{F(a,b_1;c_1+1;r)}{B(a,b_2)F(a,b_2;c_2+1;r)}\log\frac{e^{R(a,b_2)}}{1-r}-\frac{1}{B(a,b_1)}\log\frac{e^{R(a,b_1)}}{1-r}\right]\\=&\frac{R(a,b_2)F(a,b_1;c_1+1;1)}{B(a,b_2)F(a,b_2;c_2+1;r)}-\frac{R(a,b_1)}{B(a,b_1)}\\&+\frac{1}{B(a,b_1)}\lim_{r\to1^-}\left[\frac{B(a,b_1)F(a,b_1;c_1+1;r)}{B(a,b_2)F(a,b_2;c_2+1;r)}-1\right]\log\frac{1}{1-r}=\infty\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

since by [3, 15.1.20],

$$\frac{B(a,b_1)F(a,b_1;c_1+1;1)}{B(a,b_2)F(a,b_2;c_2+1;1)}-1=\overline{\alpha}-1=a\frac{b_2-b_1}{b_1c_2}>0.$$

Let h_9 be as in Lemma 2.3(1). Then $f_3(a,b_1,b_2,r)=F(a,b_2;c_2;r)h_9(r)-F(a,b_1;c_1;r)$, and

$$\frac{\partial f_3}{\partial r}=f_8(r)\equiv\frac{a^2(b_2-b_1)}{c_1c_2(1-r)}F(a,b_1;c_1+1;r)+F(a,b_2;c_2;r)h_9'(r). \tag{5.4}$$

By (5.4), Lemma 2.3(1) and (2.22), we obtain the limiting values

$$f_8(0)=\frac{a^2(b_2-b_1)}{c_1c_2}+h_9'(0)=\frac{a(b_2-b_1)[a(a+1)-b_1b_2]}{c_1c_2(c_1+1)(c_2+1)} \tag{5.5}$$

and

$$f_8(1^-)=\lim_{r\to1^-}\frac{1}{1-r}\left[\frac{a^2(b_2-b_1)}{c_1c_2}F(a,b_1;c_1+1;r)+\sqrt{1-r}F(a,b_2;c_2;r)\cdot\sqrt{1-r}h_9'(r)\right]=\infty. \tag{5.6}$$

Consequently, by (5.3)–(5.6), if $b_1b_2 > a(a+1)$ ($b_1b_2 < a(a+1)$), then there exists a number $r_4 \in (0,1)$ ($r_5 \in (0,1)$) such that f_3 is strictly decreasing (increasing) in $r \in (0, r_4)$ ($r \in (0, r_5)$, respectively), and there exists a number $r_6 \in (0,1)$ such that f_3 is positive and strictly increasing in $r \in (r_6, 1)$. This yields the first and second assertions in part (2).

For arbitrarily given $a, b_1, b_2 \in (0,\infty)$ with $b_1 < b_2$, and for $r \in (0,1)$, let $g_1(r) = \Lambda_2(a, b_1, b_2, r)$. Then $g_1(0) = 1$ and $g_1(1^-) = \overline{\alpha}$ by (1.13). By differentiation,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-r)\left[F(a,b_1;c_1;r)F(a,b_2;c_2+1;r)\right]^2 g_1'(r)\\
=&F(a,b_1;c_1;r)F(a,b_2;c_2+1;r)\left[\frac{ab_2}{c_2}F(a,b_2;c_2+1;r)F(a,b_1;c_1+1;r)\right.\\
&\left.+\frac{ab_1(1-r)}{c_1+1}F(a,b_2;c_2;r)F(a+1,b_1+1;c_1+2;r)\right]\\
&-F(a,b_2;c_2;r)F(a,b_1;c_1+1;r)\left[\frac{ab_1}{c_1}F(a,b_1;c_1+1;r)F(a,b_2;c_2+1;r)\right.\\
&\left.+\frac{ab_2(1-r)}{c_2+1}F(a,b_1;c_1;r)F(a+1,b_2+1;c_2+2;r)\right], \qquad (5.7)
\end{aligned}$$

by which we obtain the limiting value

$$g_1'(0) = \frac{ab_2}{c_2} + \frac{ab_1}{c_1+1} - \frac{ab_1}{c_1} - \frac{ab_2}{c_2+1} = \frac{a(b_2-b_1)[a(a+1)-b_1b_2]}{c_1c_2(c_1+1)(c_2+1)}.$$

Hence if $b_1b_2 < a(a+1)$ ($b_1b_2 > a(a+1)$), then there exists a number $r_8 \in (0,1)$ ($r_9 \in (0,1)$) such that g_1 is strictly increasing (decreasing) on $(0, r_8]$ ($(0, r_9]$, respectively). Consequently,

$$g_1(r) > g_1(0) = 1 \ \ (g_1(r) < g_1(0) = 1)$$

for $r \in (0, r_8]$ ($r \in (0, r_9]$, respectively). This yields the last conclusion in part (2).

(3) Clearly, $f_4(a, b_1, b_2, r)|_{b_1=b_2} \equiv 0$ and $f_4(a, b_1, b_2, 0) = \overline{\alpha} - 1 = a(b_2-b_1)/(b_1c_2)$. Put

$$g_2(r) = b_1c_2B(a,b_1)F(a,b_2;c_2+1;r)\left[h_9(r) - \overline{\alpha}\frac{B(a,b_2)}{B(a,b_1)}\right].$$

Then $g_2(1^-) = 0$ by [3, 15.1.20] and Lemma 2.3(1). By l'Hôpital's rule, and by [3, 15.1.20] and (2.22),

$$\lim_{r\to1^-}\frac{g_2(r)}{r'} = -\,b_1c_2B(a,b_1)\lim_{r\to1^-}\left[\frac{r'}{r}F(a,b_2;c_2+1;1)h_9'(r)\right] = 0.$$

Hence by [3, 15.1.20 & 15.3.10], and we obtain the limiting value

$$\begin{aligned}
f_4(a,b_1,b_2,1^-) &= \lim_{r\to1^-}\left[\frac{\overline{\alpha}}{B(a,b_1)}\log\frac{e^{R(a,b_1)}}{1-r} - \frac{F(a,b_1;c_1+1;r)}{B(a,b_2)F(a,b_2;c_2+1;r)}\log\frac{e^{R(a,b_2)}}{1-r}\right]\\
&= \frac{\overline{\alpha}}{B(a,b_1)}R(a,b_1) - \frac{F(a,b_1;c_1+1;1)}{B(a,b_2)F(a,b_2;c_2+1;1)}R(a,b_2)\\
&\quad - \lim_{r\to1^-}\frac{1}{b_1c_2B(a,b_1)B(a,b_2)F(a,b_2;c_2+1;1)}\lim_{r\to1^-}\left[\frac{g_2(r)}{r'}\cdot r'\log\frac{1}{1-r}\right]\\
&= \frac{\overline{\alpha}\Gamma(c_1)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b_1)}\left[R(a,b_1) - R(a,b_2)\right] = \overline{\alpha}\frac{\psi(b_2)-\psi(b_1)}{B(a,b_1)} = \overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Differentiation and Lemma 2.3(1) give

$$\frac{\partial f_4}{\partial r} = F(a,b_2;c_2;r)[-h_9'(r)] > 0 \qquad (5.8)$$

for all $r \in (0,1)$, which yields the monotonicity of f_4 in $r \in (0,1)$.

The remaining conclusions in part (3) are clear.

(4) Assume that $a \in (0,1]$, or $a \in (1,\infty)$ and $b_2 \in (0,\bar{b}]$. Then it follows from Lemma 2.3(2) and (5.8) that as a function of $r \in (0,1)$,

$$\frac{\partial f_4}{\partial r} = F(a,b_2;c_2;r)[-h_9'(r)]$$

is a product of two absolutely monotone functions on $(0,1)$. Hence f_4 is absolutely monotone in $r \in (0,1)$, and so is the function

$$h_{17}(r) \equiv \frac{1}{r^{n+1}}\left[f_4(a,b_1,b_2,r) - \sum_{k=0}^{n}\tilde{b}_k r^k\right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\tilde{b}_{k+n+1}r^k$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, with $h_{17}(0^+) = \tilde{b}_{n+1}$ and $h_{17}(1^-) = \bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta} - \sum_{k=0}^{n}\tilde{b}_k$. By the property of h_{17}, we obtain

$$\tilde{b}_{n+1} + \tilde{b}_{n+2}r < h_{17}(r) < h_{17}(0^+) + [h_{17}(1^-) - h_{17}(0^+)]\,r = \tilde{b}_{n+1} + \eta_n r,$$

which yields (1.31). The second and third inequalities in (1.32) follow from (1.31), and the first and fourth inequalities in (1.32) are clear. □

6 Concluding Remarks

In this section, we explain that we can disprove Conjecture 1.1 with other methods, and put forward three open problems and a conjecture.

1. Suppose that $\Lambda_1(a,r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n r^n$. Then $C_0 = 1$ and

$$\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a)_n(1-a)_n}{(n+1)!n!}r^n\right]\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a)_n(3/2-a)_n}{n!(3/2)_n}r^n = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}C_n r^n\right)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a)_n(3/2-a)_n}{n!(5/2)_n}r^n,$$

from which we obtain

$$C_n = \frac{(a)_n(1-a)_n}{(n+1)!n!} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\frac{(a)_{n-k}(3/2-a)_{n-k}}{(n-k)!(3/2)_{n-k+1}}\left[\left(n-k+\frac{3}{2}\right)\frac{(a)_k(1-a)_k}{(k+1)!k!} - \frac{3}{2}C_k\right] \tag{6.1}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $C_1 = a(27-23a)/30$. Hence

$$\frac{f_1(a,r)}{r} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[C_n - \frac{(a)_n(1-a)_n}{(n!)^2}\right]r^{n-1} = \frac{a(7a-3)}{30} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left[C_n - \frac{(a)_n(1-a)_n}{(n!)^2}\right]r^{n-1} \tag{6.2}$$

and by Theorem 1.3,

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(a,r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}a_n r^n &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[\alpha\frac{(a)_n(1-a)_n}{(n!)^2} - C_n\right]r^n \\ &= \frac{a}{3(1-a)} + \frac{a(1+a)}{10}r + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left[\alpha\frac{(a)_n(1-a)_n}{(n!)^2} - C_n\right]r^n. \end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

By (6.2), we see that (1.15) is not always valid.

2. It follows from [3, 15.3.1 & 15.3.10], (3.17)–(3.20) and (1.5) that for each $r \in (0,1)$,

$$\Lambda(0^+,r) = 1, \text{ and } \Lambda(1^-,r) = \frac{F(1/2,1;3/2;r)}{F(1/2,1;5/2;r)} = \frac{2(\operatorname{arth}\sqrt{r})/\sqrt{r}}{3[1-(1-r)(\operatorname{arth}\sqrt{r})/\sqrt{r}]} \tag{6.4}$$

which is strictly increasing from $(0,1)$ onto $(1,\infty)$.

Suppose that $\Lambda(a,r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_n r^n$. Then $D_0 = 1$ and

$$\Lambda_1(a,r) = F(a,1-a;1;r)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}D_n r^n$$

from which it follows that

$$D_n = C_n - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} D_k \frac{(a)_{n-k}(1-a)_{n-k}}{[(n-k)!]^2} \tag{6.5}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $D_1 = a(7a-3)/30$. Hence

$$\frac{\Lambda(a,r)-1}{r} = \frac{a(7a-3)}{30} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ C_{n+1} - \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(a)_{n-k+1}(1-a)_{n-k+1} D_k}{[(n-k+1)!]^2} \right\} r^n,$$

by which we see that (1.15) is not always valid for $r \in (0,1)$ if $a \in (0, 3/7)$.

On the other hand,

$$\frac{\partial \Lambda(a,r)}{\partial r} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) D_{n+1} r^n,$$

and

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \frac{\partial \Lambda(a,r)}{\partial r} = D_1 = \frac{a(7a-3)}{30}.$$

Hence by (1.13), if $a \in (0, 3/7)$, then $\Lambda(a,r) < 1$ for sufficiently small r. This also shows that (1.10) is not valid for r close to 0, provided that $a \in (0, 3/7)$.

3. By the series (3.17)–(3.20), we obtain the following limiting values

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{a\to 0^+} F(a, 1-a; 1; r) = \lim_{a\to 0^+} F(a, 1-a; 2; r) \\ &= \lim_{a\to 0^+} F(a, 3/2-a; 3/2; r) = \lim_{a\to 0^+} F(a, 3/2-a; 5/2; r) = 1, \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

and by differentiation and [3, 6.1.15 & 6.3.5], we have

$$\frac{\partial F(a, 1-a; 2; r)}{\partial a} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+a)\Gamma(n+1-a)\psi_{1,n}(a)}{\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(2-a)(n+1)!n!} r^n, \tag{6.7}$$

$$\frac{\partial F(a, 3/2-a; 3/2; r)}{\partial a} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+a)\Gamma(n+3/2-a)\psi_{2,n}(a)}{\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(3/2-a)(3/2)_n n!} r^n \tag{6.8}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial F(a, 3/2-a; 5/2; r)}{\partial a} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+a)\Gamma(n+3/2-a)\psi_{2,n}(a)}{\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(3/2-a)(5/2)_n n!} r^n, \tag{6.9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{1,n}(a) =& a(1-a)[\psi(n+a) - \psi(n+1-a) - \psi(a+1) + \psi(2-a)] + 1 - 2a, \\ \psi_{2,n}(a) =& a[\psi(n+a) - \psi(n+3/2-a) - \psi(a+1) + \psi(3/2-a)] + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (2.17) and (6.7)–(6.9), we obtain

$$\lim_{a\to 0^+} \frac{\partial F(a, 1-a; 1; r)}{\partial a} = \lim_{a\to 0^+} \frac{\partial F(a, 3/2-a; 3/2; r)}{\partial a} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{r^n}{n} = \log \frac{1}{1-r}, \tag{6.10}$$

$$\lim_{a\to 0^+} \frac{\partial F(a, 1-a; 2; r)}{\partial a} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{r^n}{n(n+1)} = 1 - \frac{1-r}{r} \log \frac{1}{1-r} \tag{6.11}$$

and

$$\lim_{a\to 0^+} \frac{\partial F(a, 3/2-a; 5/2; r)}{\partial a} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{3r^n}{n(2n+3)} = \frac{2}{3} + \log \frac{1}{1-r} - \frac{2}{r}\left(\frac{\operatorname{arth} \sqrt{r}}{\sqrt{r}} - 1 \right). \tag{6.12}$$

Logarithmic differentiation gives

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\Lambda(a,r)}\frac{\partial\Lambda(a,r)}{\partial a}=&\frac{1}{F(a,1-a;2;r)}\frac{\partial F(a,1-a;2;r)}{\partial a}\\&+\frac{1}{F(a,3/2-a;3/2;r)}\frac{\partial F(a,3/2-a;3/2;r)}{\partial a}\\&-\frac{1}{F(a,1-a;1;r)}\frac{\partial F(a,1-a;1;r)}{\partial a}\\&-\frac{1}{F(a,3/2-a;5/2;r)}\frac{\partial F(a,3/2-a;5/2;r)}{\partial a}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.13}$$

By (6.6) and (6.10)–(6.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{a\to0^+}\frac{\partial\Lambda(a,r)}{\partial a}=&\lim_{a\to0^+}\left[\frac{\partial F(a,1-a;2;r)}{\partial a}+\frac{\partial F(a,3/2-a;3/2;r)}{\partial a}\right.\\&\left.-\frac{\partial F(a,1-a;1;r)}{\partial a}-\frac{\partial F(a,3/2-a;5/2;r)}{\partial a}\right]\\=&\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{r^n}{n(n+1)}-3\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{r^n}{n(2n+3)}=-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{r^n}{(n+1)(2n+3)}\\=&\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{r}\left[2\left(\frac{\operatorname{arth}\sqrt{r}}{\sqrt{r}}-1\right)-\log\frac{1}{1-r}\right],\end{aligned}$$

which shows that for each $r\in(0,1)$, there exists a number $\check{a}\in(0,\infty)$ such that $\Lambda(a,r)$ is strictly decreasing in $a\in(0,\check{a}]$, and $\Lambda(a,r)<\Lambda(0^+,r)=1$ for $a\in(0,\check{a}]$ by (6.4). This also shows that (1.10) does not hold for $a\in(0,\check{a}]$, and we see that $\Lambda(a,r)$ is not monotone in $a\in(0,1)$ by (6.4).

4. By applying (2.17), (6.7)–(6.9) and (6.13), it is not difficult for us to prove that for each $r\in(0,1)$,

$$\lim_{a\to1^-}\frac{\partial\Lambda(a,r)}{\partial a}>0,$$

showing that for each $r\in(0,1)$, there exists $\hat{a}\in(0,1)$ such that $\Lambda(a,r)$ is strictly increasing in $a\in[\hat{a},1)$.

It seems that as a function of a, $\Lambda(a,r)$ is strictly decreasing and then increasing on $(0,1)$.

5. For $a,b_1,b_2\in(0,\infty)$ and $r\in(0,1)$ with $b_1<b_2$, suppose that $\Lambda_2(a,b_1,b_2,r)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\overline{B}_nr^n$, and let B_n be as in the proof of Theorem 1.4(1). Then $\overline{B}_0=1$ and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}B_nr^n=\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\overline{B}_nr^n\right)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a)_n(b_1)_n}{(c_1)_nn!}r^n,$$

from which it follows that

$$\overline{B}_n=B_n-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\frac{(a)_{n-k}(b_1)_{n-k}}{(c_1)_{n-k}(n-k)!}\overline{B}_k$$

for $n\in\mathbb{N}$, with $\overline{B}_1=a(b_2-b_1)(a^2+a-b_1b_2)/[c_1c_2(c_1+1)(c_2+1)]$. Hence

$$\frac{\Lambda_2(a,b_1,b_2,r)-1}{r}=\frac{a(b_2-b_1)[a(a+1)-b_1b_2]}{c_1c_2(c_1+1)(c_2+1)}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\overline{B}_{n+1}r^n\tag{6.14}$$

and

$$\lim_{r\to0}\frac{\Lambda_2(a,b_1,b_2,r)-1}{r}=\frac{a(b_2-b_1)[a(a+1)-b_1b_2]}{c_1c_2(c_1+1)(c_2+1)}.$$

Consequently, if $b_1b_2>a(a+1)$, then $\Lambda_2(a,b_1,b_2,r)<1$ for sufficiently small $r\in(0,1)$, namely, (1.6) in Conjecture 1.1 is not always valid by (1.14).

6. For $a, b \in (0, \infty)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let f be as in (1.9). Then

$$f(a, b, r) = \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n \varphi_n(b)}{n!} r^n\right]\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n \rho_n(b)}{n!} r^n\right]^{-1}.$$

Applying (1.33) and [15, Lemma 2.1], we can easily show that f is strictly decreasing in $r \in (0, 1)$, so that $f(a, b, r) < f(a, b, 0^+) = 1 = f(a, \infty, r)$ by (3.3). Consequently, there is at least a sufficiently large $\tilde{b} > 0$ such that f is not decreasing in $b \in [\tilde{b}, \infty)$, that is, (1.6) does not hold for $b \in [\tilde{b}, \infty)$.

7. Based on our studies, the following problems are natural.

Open Problem 6.1. *(1) For what values of the positive parameters a and b,* (1.6) *is valid for all* $r \in (0, 1)$*? Can we find the conditions satisfied by the positive parameters a and b, such that these conditions are sufficient and necessary for* (1.6) *to be valid for all* $r \in (0, 1)$*?*

(2) For what values of the parameter $a \in (0, 1)$*,* (1.7) *is valid for all* $r \in (0, 1)$*?*

(3) In (1.26) *and* (1.29)*, what are the best possible lower bounds, which are independent of r, of* $\Lambda(a, r)$ *and* $\Lambda_2(a, b_1, b_2, r)$*?*

In addition, our computation seems to show that the following conjecture is true.

Conjecture 6.2. *The inequality* (1.6) *holds for all* $r \in (0, 1)$ *and* $a \in (0, \infty)$ *if and only if* $b \in (0, \sqrt{a(a+1)}]$*, and the inequality* (1.7) *holds for all* $r \in (0, 1)$ *if and only if* $a \in [3/7, 1)$*.*

Acknowledgements. This work is supported by Zhejiang Sci-Tech University through the Fund of The First-Class Discipline Construction Project of Zhejiang Province, China.

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

[1] G. Andrews, R. Askey and R. Roy, Special Functions, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, 71, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[2] H. Alzer and S.-L. Qiu, Monotonicity theorems and inequalities for the complete elliptic integrals, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 2004, 172: 289–312.

[3] M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun (Eds.), Handbook of Mathematical Functions With Formulas, Graphs and Mathematical Tables, Dover, New York, 1965.

[4] R. Askey, Handbooks of Special Functions, A Century of Mathematics in America, Part III, (P. Duren, eds.), Amer. Math. Soc., 1989.

[5] G.D. Anderson, S.-L. Qiu, M.K. Vamanamurthy and M. Vuorinen, Generalized elliptic integrals and modular equations, Pacific J. Math., 2000, 192(1): 1–37.

[6] G.D. Anderson, M.K. Vamanamurthy and M. Vuorinen, Hypergeometric Functions and Elliptic Integrals, in Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory (H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, eds), World Scientific Publ. Co, Singapore-London, 1992.

[7] G.D. Anderson, M.K. Vamanamurthy and M. Vuorinen, Conformal Invariants, Inequalities, and Quasiconformal Maps, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1997.

[8] Á. Baricz, Turán type inequalities for generalized complete elliptic integrals, Math. Z, 256(2007): 895–911.

[9] B.C. Berndt, Ramanujan's Notebooks, Part I, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.

[10] B.C. Berndt, Ramanujan's Notebooks, Part II, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.

[11] J.M. Borwein and P.B. Borwein, Pi and the AGM, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1987.

[12] B.C. Carlson, Special Functions of Applied Mathematics, Academic Press, New York, 1977.

[13] V. Heikkala, H. Lindén, M.K. Vamanamurthy and M. Vuorinen, Generalized elliptic integrals and the Legendre $\mathscr{M}$-function, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2008, 338: 223–243.

[14] F.W.J. Olver, D.W. Lozier, R.F. Boisvert and C.W. Clark, NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.

[15] S. Ponnusamy and M. Vuorinen, Asymptotic expansions and inequalities for hypergeometric functions, Mathematika, 1997, 44: 278–301.

[16] S.-L. Qiu, X.-Y. Ma and Q. Bao, Monotonicity properties of generalized elliptic integrals with respect to the parameter, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2020, 492: Article no. 124469, 31 pages.

[17] S.-L. Qiu, X.-Y. Ma and Y.-M. Chu, Sharp Landen transformation inequalities for hypergeometric functions, with applications, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2019, 474(2): 1306–1337.

[18] S.-L. Qiu, X.-Y. Ma and Y.-M. Chu, Extensions of quadratic transformation identities for hypergeometric functions, Math. Inequal. Appl., 2020, 23(4): 1391–1423.

[19] S.-L. Qiu and M. Vuorinen, Handbook of Complex Analysis: Special Function in Geometric Function Theory, Elsevier B.V., Vol.2, 2005: 621–659.

[20] S.-L. Qiu and M. Vuorinen, Duplication inequalities for the ratios of hypergeometric functions, Forum Math., 2000, 12: 109–133.

Authors' address:

Song-Liang Qiu:
Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang Sci-Tech University
Hangzhou 310018, Zhejiang, China
Email address: sl_qiu@zstu.edu.cn

Xiao-Yan Ma:
Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang Sci-Tech University
Hangzhou 310018, Zhejiang, China
E-mail address: mxy@zstu.edu.cn

Xue-Yan Xiang:
Department of Mathematics, Lishui University
Lishui 323000, Zhejiang, China
E-mail address: xxy_81917@126.com